

ON ALGEBRAIC TWISTS WITH COMPOSITE MODULI, II

YONGXIAO LIN AND PHILIPPE MICHEL

ABSTRACT. We study bounds for correlation sums of automorphic coefficients on $GL_{3,\mathbf{Q}}$ with trace functions of composite moduli. This is a sequel of [KLMS20] and [LM24].

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we pursue our investigations on algebraic twists of automorphic L -function: given some automorphic L -function of degree $d \geq 1$

$$L(\pi, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_\pi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p L(\pi_p, s)$$

(normalized so that $\Re s = 1/2$ is the critical line), an integer q and an “algebraic exponential sum”

$$K : \mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$$

modulo q and V a smooth compactly supported function, our aim is to obtain non-trivial bounds for the correlation sum

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_V(X; \pi, K) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_\pi(n) K(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \stackrel{?}{\ll}_{K, \pi, V} X^{1-\delta}$$

for some fixed $\delta > 0$ as $q, X \rightarrow \infty$ in suitable ranges. Two ranges are of peculiar interest as they appear as natural barriers in numerous classical problems in analytic number theory:

- The *convexity range*

$$X_c = q^{d/2}.$$

Indeed for $K = \chi$ a primitive Dirichlet character (1.1) is essentially equivalent to the subconvexity problem for the twisted L -function $L(\pi \times \chi, 1/2)$.

- The *arithmetic progression* (a.p.) range

$$X_{ap} = q^{\frac{d-1}{2}}.$$

Indeed for $K = Kl_d$ an hyper-Kloosterman sum in d variables, (1.1) is directly related to the distribution of $\lambda_\pi(n)$ in large arithmetic progressions, i.e., the problem of evaluating the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq N \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \lambda_\pi(n)$$

for N as small as possible relative to q ; here a natural barrier is

$$N_{ap} = q^{\frac{d+1}{2}} = q^d / X_{ap}.$$

Obtaining (1.1) within the a.p. range is usually quite hard in general and one often needs extra assumptions on the multiplicative function $n \mapsto \lambda_\pi(n)$ (such as being a multiplicative

Y. L. was partially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2021YFA1000700). Ph. M. was partially supported by the SNF grant 197045 and the SNF-ANR “ETIENE” grant 10003145. Fri 8th May, 2026 01:22.

convolution see [FI85]). An intermediate objective would be to obtain (1.1) for X as close as possible to X_{ap} .

In [FKM15, KLMS20, KLM23, LMS23] we obtained (1.1) for $\mathrm{GL}_2, \mathrm{GL}_3, \mathrm{GL}_4$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_3$ L -functions for X between the convexity and arithmetic progression ranges, when the modulus is prime and for quite general algebraic exponential sums K (then called trace functions). In [LM24], we extended the results of [FKM15, LMS23] (covering the GL_2 and $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_3$ cases) to composite moduli of the shape $q = q_0 q_1$ (with q_0 a prime and $q_1 \geq 2$ an integer coprime with q_0) and improved the outcome over the prime modulus case when q_0 is in specific ranges.

In this note, we complete [LM24] by revisiting [KLMS20] (the GL_3 -case) for composite moduli. To state our main result we need to introduce some notation.

- We denote by φ a Hecke–Maass cuspform for $\mathrm{GL}_{3, \mathbf{Q}}$ of level 1 with Fourier coefficients $(\lambda_\varphi(n, r))_{n \geq 1, r \neq 0}$ which is fixed.
- Let q be a modulus of the shape $q = q_0 q_1$ with q_0 a prime and $q_1 \geq 2$ an integer coprime with q_0 .

We give ourselves an algebraic exponential sum $K : \mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z} \mapsto \mathbf{C}$ of the shape $K = K_0 \cdot K_1$ for two functions

$$K_0 : \mathbf{Z}/q_0\mathbf{Z}, \quad K_1 : \mathbf{Z}/q_1\mathbf{Z},$$

whose product is defined by precomposition with the Chinese Remainder Theorem isomorphism:

$$K : n \mapsto K(n) = K_0(n \pmod{q_0}) K_1(n \pmod{q_1}).$$

- We assume that K_0 is the trace function of a middle extension sheaf on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_q}^1$, say \mathcal{F} , which is pure of weight 0, geometrically irreducible and non-trivial. We denote by $C_0 := C(\mathcal{F})$ its complexity (cf. [FKM15, Def. 1.13]) and assume that C_0 remains absolutely bounded as $q \rightarrow \infty$.
- For the function K_1 , we will only require that its normalized Fourier transform $\widehat{K}_1 : \mathbf{Z}/q_1\mathbf{Z} \mapsto \mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \widehat{K}_1(n) := \frac{1}{q_1^{1/2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{Z}/q_1\mathbf{Z}} K_1(x) e\left(\frac{nx}{q_1}\right)$$

has its supnorm absolutely bounded as $q \rightarrow \infty$.

- Finally for $Z \geq 1$ a real number, let $V \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ be a smooth function, compactly supported in the interval $[1, 2]$ which satisfy for each $j \geq 0$,

$$(1.3) \quad V^{(j)}(x) \ll_j Z^j.$$

Our main (mild) assumption regarding K_0 is that \mathcal{F} is what we call *good*:

Definition 1.1. *For $q \geq 2$ a prime. A middle extension sheaf \mathcal{F} on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_q}^1$, pure of weight 0, geometrically irreducible and non trivial, is good if the local monodromy of \mathcal{F} at infinity has no indecomposable summand with slope equal to 1.*

Remark. Such a sheaf \mathcal{F} is automatically Fourier: that was the single assumption made on the trace function K in [KLMS20].

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\varphi, q_0, q_1, q, K_0, K_1, K, Z, V$ be as above.*

We assume that K_0 is the trace function of an ℓ -adic sheaf \mathcal{F} which is good in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Let $X \geq 1$ be such that $X \geq Z^4 q^2 q_0^{-2}$; for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any integer $r \geq 1$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{C}_V(X; \varphi, K) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_\varphi(n, r) K(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \\ \ll_{\varepsilon, \varphi, C_0} X^{o(1)} Z \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty r^{1/2} \left(X^{1/4} q^{1/2} q_0^{1/2} + X^{3/4} q_0^{3/4} + \frac{X^{3/4} q^{1/2}}{q_0^{1/2}} \right).$$

Remark 1.3. (a) To compare with [KLMS20], we consider the case

$$q_0 \asymp q^{2/5}$$

and $r = Z = 1$; we obtain that for $X \geq q^{6/5}$

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{C}_V(X; \varphi, K) \ll_{\varphi, \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty, C_0} X^{o(1)} (X^{1/4} q^{7/10} + X^{3/4} q^{3/10}).$$

For $X = q^{3/2}$ (the convexity range) we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_V(q^{3/2}; \varphi, K) \ll_{\varphi, \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty, C_0} q^{3/2-3/40+o(1)}.$$

This is better than the bound from [KLMS20]

$$\mathcal{C}_V(q^{3/2}; \varphi, K) \ll_{\varphi, \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty, C_0} q^{3/2-1/36+o(1)}$$

(for q a prime). Moreover (1.5) is non-trivial (i.e., (1.1) holds) as soon as

$$(1.6) \quad X \geq q^{3/2-3/10+\eta}$$

for some $\eta > 0$ (notice that $q^{3/2-3/10} = q^2/q_0^2$); while this does not yet reach the a.p. range, this is better than the range $X \geq q^{3/2-1/6+\delta}$ obtained in [KLMS20] for the prime modulus case; moreover this matches the range $X \geq |t|^{3/2-3/10+\delta}$ in the t -aspect (i.e., $K(n) \rightsquigarrow n^{it}$) proven by Aggarwal [Agg21] (see [Hua21] for further extensions).

(b) Improving (1.6) to

$$X \geq q^{1-\eta}, \quad \eta > 0$$

for φ cuspidal and some modulus q remains a very interesting unsolved problem. When φ is not cuspidal the a.p. range has been passed for $\lambda_\varphi(n, 1) = d_3(n) = 1 \star 1 \star 1(n)$ the ternary divisor function by Friedlander–Iwaniec in their groundbreaking work [FI85] and for $\lambda_\varphi(n, 1) = 1 \star \lambda_f(n)$ with f a GL_2 -cusp form by Kowalski, Sawin and the second named author [KMS17] when q is a prime. This was for $K = \text{Kl}_k$ $k \geq 2$, hyper-Kloosterman sums; by now the result [FKMS25] allows to handle much more general classes of trace functions.

In (1.5) taking $K = \chi$ to be the primitive Dirichlet character of modulus q (the conditions of Definition 1.1 are satisfied since a Kummer sheaf is tamely ramified at ∞) and applying the approximate functional equation for the twisted L -function

$$L(\varphi \times \chi, s) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda_\varphi(n, 1) \chi(n)}{n^s},$$

we readily obtain that

Corollary 1.4. *Assume that q has a prime factor q_0 satisfying*

$$q_0 = q^{2/5+o(1)}.$$

Then we have

$$L(\varphi \times \chi, 1/2) \ll_\varphi q^{3/4-3/40+o(1)}.$$

This improves the subconvexity exponent obtained in [Mun15b, HN18] in the case of the prime modulus q and also the exponent for composite moduli obtained in [Mun15a].

Remark 1.5. It is relatively straightforward, using the same method, to incorporate the t -component and obtain the bound

$$L(\varphi \times \chi, 1/2 + it) \ll_{\varphi} (q(|t| + 2))^{3/4 - 3/40 + o(1)}.$$

This removes the restriction that $q < (|t| + 2)^{8/7}$ in the work [KKL22] of Kiral, Kuan, and Lesesvre, at the cost of requiring q to have a divisor of appropriate size.

Let $\lambda_{1\boxplus\varphi}(n) = 1 \star \lambda_{\varphi}(\bullet, 1)(n) = \sum_{m|n} \lambda_{\varphi}(m, 1)$. Applying Theorem 1.2 to the trace function

$$K(n) = \text{Kl}_4(an; q)$$

(the conditions of Definition 1.1 are satisfied since the hyper-Kloosterman sheaf \mathcal{Kl}_4 is Fourier and its only slope at ∞ is $1/4$) we have

Corollary 1.6. *Using the notation from the theorem. Assume that q has a prime factor q_0 satisfying*

$$q_0 = q^{2/5 + o(1)}.$$

Given any $\eta > 0$. For any $(a, q) = 1$ and for q satisfying

$$q \leq X^{25/61 - \eta} = X^{2/5 + 3/305 - \eta},$$

we have

$$\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \lambda_{1\boxplus\varphi}(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) - \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ (n, q) = 1}} \lambda_{1\boxplus\varphi}(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \ll_{\varphi, \eta, V} \left(\frac{X}{q}\right)^{1 - \delta}$$

for some $\delta = \delta(\eta) > 0$.

This is better than [KLM23, Thm. 1.6] where (for a prime modulus q) the level of distribution $2/5 + 1/260$ was obtained; interestingly, the exponent $3/305$ matches exactly the exponent $3/305$ of [Hua24, Thm. 3] concerning the error term of the Rankin–Selberg problem¹. See also [Zhu24] in the case $q = p^k$.

Principle of the proof. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on (variants of) the δ -symbol method pioneered by Munshi [Mun15a] in the case $K = \chi$.

Here we follow closely the approach of [LMS23] and start by separating $\lambda(r, n)$ and $K(n)$ in the correlation sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(r, n) K(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right)$$

through a form of the δ -symbol method. As in [LM24] we take advantage of the factorisation $q = q_0 q_1$ through a “conductor-lowering” trick (modulo q_0) in the application of the δ -symbol. This gives us the flexibility of detecting the equation $n = 0$ in two steps: $n \equiv 0 \pmod{q_0}$ and $n/q_0 = 0$. The first is via orthogonality of additive character and the second via the δ -symbol of Duke, Friedlander, and Iwaniec [DFI93], but now with a reduced size in the choice of the parameter C .

Much as in [KLMS20], the contribution of the factor K_1 is absorbed very softly and requires only that the ∞ -norm of its Fourier transform \widehat{K}_1 be absolutely bounded (is q_1 a prime this follows if K_1 is the trace function of a sheaf with absolutely bounded complexity). Handling the contribution of K_0 however is more delicate and requires to bound correlation sums of the shape

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_{q_0}} Z(v) \overline{Z'}(v + \delta)$$

where Z, Z' are two trace functions formed from K_0 via multiplicative convolution against some pull-back of a Kloosterman sum in one variable (see Prop. 3.2 and §4). These sums are very similar

¹which itself was inspired by [KLM23]; see [Hua24, p.2].

to the sums treated in [LMS23, Prop 4.5] (although a bit simpler) and we follow closely the proof of loc.cit.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Tengyou Zhu for an inquiry that led us to writing this note.

2. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.6

In this section, we show how to derive Corollary 1.6 from Theorem 1.2.

Let $q = q_0 q_1$. We apply the *duality principle* [LMS23, Cor. 9.2] to the sum

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \varphi}(n) K(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right)$$

where

$$K(n) = q^{1/2} \delta_{n \equiv a \pmod{q}}.$$

Setting $\check{X} = q^4/X$, this gives (up to some negligible error terms)

$$\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \varphi}(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) - \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ (n,q)=1}} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \varphi}(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) = \frac{X}{q^{5/2}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \check{\varphi}}(n) \text{Kl}_4(an; q) \check{V}\left(\frac{n}{\check{X}}\right).$$

Inserting the definition

$$\lambda_{1 \boxplus \check{\varphi}}(n) = \sum_{lm=n} \lambda_{\check{\varphi}}(m, 1)$$

and subdividing the variables l, m into dyadic ranges, we are reduced to bounding

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{X}{q^{5/2}} \sum_{l \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} \lambda_{\check{\varphi}}(m, 1) \text{Kl}_4(alm; q) V_1\left(\frac{l}{L}\right) V_2\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)$$

for $O(\log^2 X)$ many real numbers $L, M \geq 1$ satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad LM \ll \frac{q^4}{X}.$$

Here V_1, V_2 are smooth functions compactly supported in $[1, 2[$.

From now on we assume that q_0 is such that

$$q_0 = q^{2/5+o(1)}.$$

2.1. The case $M \geq q^{6/5-\delta}$. Applying Theorem 1.2, the double sum in (2.1) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{XL}{q^{5/2}} \left| \sum_{m \geq 1} \lambda_{\check{\varphi}}(m, 1) \text{Kl}_4(alm; q) V_2\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) \right| &\ll X^{o(1)} \frac{XL}{q^{5/2}} M^{3/4} q^{3/10} \\ &\ll X^{o(1)} \frac{X}{q} \left(\frac{L^5 q^{36}}{X^{15}} \right)^{20}, \end{aligned}$$

which is $\leq (X/q)^{1-\delta}$ as long as

$$q \leq X^{5/12-\eta} L^{-5/36}$$

for some fixed $\eta > 0$.

2.2. **The case** $L \geq q^{1/2}$. According to the calculations in [LM24, §3.2], we have

$$\frac{X}{q^{5/2}} \sum_{l \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} \lambda_{\bar{\varphi}}(m, 1) \text{Kl}_4(alm; q) V_1\left(\frac{l}{L}\right) V_2\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) \ll (X/q)^{1-\delta}$$

as long as

$$L \geq q^{8/15+\eta}.$$

2.3. **The case** $L \leq q^{1-\eta}$. According to [LM24, §3.3], we know

$$\frac{X}{q^{5/2}} \sum_{l \geq 1} \sum_{m \geq 1} \lambda_{\bar{\varphi}}(m, 1) \text{Kl}_4(alm; q) V_1\left(\frac{l}{L}\right) V_2\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) \ll (X/q)^{1-\delta}$$

as long as

$$q \leq X^{2/5-\eta} L^{1/5}.$$

Let $L_0 = X^{3/61}$ be the solution of

$$X^{5/12} L^{-5/36} = X^{2/5} L^{1/5} = X^{2/5+3/305}.$$

By combining the above three cases and following the analysis presented in [LM24, §3.4], we know that there exists some $\delta = \delta(\eta) > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \equiv a \pmod{q}}} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \varphi}(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) - \frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ (n, q) = 1}} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \varphi}(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \ll (X/q)^{1-\delta}$$

as long as

$$X^{2/5-\eta} \leq q \leq X^{25/61-\eta}.$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 1.6.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

3.1. **First transformations.** We assume that Z satisfies $1 \leq Z \leq q$ and $V \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies the bound (1.3). We suppress the dependence on φ and denote by $\lambda(r, n)$ the (r, n) -th Fourier coefficient of φ . As in [KLMS20], we write

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{C}_{V,r}(X; K) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(r, n) K(n) V\left(\frac{n}{X}\right).$$

We can write $\mathcal{C}_{V,r}(X; K)$ as

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{C}_{V,r}(X; K) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(r, n) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K(m) \delta_{n=m} U\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{X}\right).$$

Here U is a smooth function supported in $(1/100, 100)$ and satisfying $U(x) = 1$ for $x \in [1, 2]$ and $U^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1$ for $j \geq 0$.

Let $C = (X/q_0)^{1/2}$. We apply a version of the delta method due to Duke–Friedlander–Iwaniec ([DFI93])

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{n=0} &= \frac{1}{C} \sum_{\substack{c \leq 2C \\ (c,q)=1}} \frac{1}{cq_0} \sum_{u(cq_0)}^* e\left(n \frac{u}{cq_0}\right) h\left(\frac{c}{C}, \frac{n}{C^2 q_0}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{C} \sum_{\substack{c \leq 2C \\ (c,q)=1}} \frac{1}{cq_0} \sum_{a(c)}^* e\left(n \frac{a}{c}\right) h\left(\frac{c}{C}, \frac{n}{C^2 q_0}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{C} \sum_{c \leq 2C/q} \frac{1}{cq_0} \sum_{a(cq_0)}^* e\left(n \frac{a}{cq_0}\right) h\left(\frac{cq}{C}, \frac{n}{C^2 q_0}\right) + O_A(C^{-A})\end{aligned}$$

as presented in [HB96, Thm. 1] and [LMS23, (3.7)] to $\delta_{n=m}$ in (3.2), and we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \mathfrak{C}_{V,r}(X; K) = \text{Main} + \text{Err}_1 + \text{Err}_2 + O_A(X^{-A})$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned}\text{Main} &= \frac{1}{Cq_0} \sum_{\substack{c \leq 2C \\ (c,q)=1}} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{u(cq_0)}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(r, n) e\left(\frac{un}{cq_0}\right) U\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K(m) e\left(\frac{-um}{cq_0}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{X}\right) h\left(\frac{c}{C}, \frac{n-m}{C^2 q_0}\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Err}_1 &= \frac{1}{Cq_0} \sum_{\substack{c \leq 2C \\ (c,q)=1}} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{a(c)}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(r, n) e\left(\frac{an}{c}\right) U\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K(m) e\left(\frac{-am}{c}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{X}\right) h\left(\frac{c}{C}, \frac{n-m}{C^2 q_0}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Err}_2 &= \frac{1}{Cq_0} \sum_{\substack{c \leq 2C/q \\ (c,q)=1}} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{a(cq_0)}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(r, n) e\left(\frac{an}{cq_0}\right) U\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K(m) e\left(\frac{-am}{cq_0}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{X}\right) h\left(\frac{cq}{C}, \frac{n-m}{C^2 q_0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

As in [LM24], we focus our analysis on the term Main in (3.4) which is the hardest and is responsible for the final bound. The treatment for the terms Err₁, Err₂ are very similar to the one presented in [LMS23, §7.1], and just as in [LMS23] their contribution turns out to be smaller as compared to that of Main. As such we completely skip their treatments and the reader is referred to [LMS23, §7.1] for the relevant details.

3.1.1. *Bounding Main.* We apply the Poisson summation formula to the m -sum in (3.4), to get

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{m \geq 1} (\dots) = \frac{X}{cq} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\beta(q)} K(\beta) e\left(\frac{-u\beta\bar{c}}{q_0}\right) e\left(\frac{m\beta\bar{c}}{q}\right) \sum_{\beta(c)} e\left(\frac{-\beta u \bar{q}_0}{c}\right) e\left(\frac{m\beta\bar{q}}{c}\right) \widehat{v}\left(n, \frac{mX}{cq}\right) \\ = \frac{X}{q^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ u \equiv \bar{q}_1 m(c)}} \widehat{K}(\bar{c}(m - q_1 u)) \widehat{v}\left(n, \frac{mX}{cq}\right)$$

where \widehat{K} is the normalized Fourier transform (1.2) and

$$(3.6) \quad \widehat{v}(n, x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} V(y) h\left(\frac{c}{C}, \frac{n - yX}{C^2 q_0}\right) e(-xy) dy$$

is the Fourier transform of $V(y) h\left(\frac{c}{C}, \frac{n - yX}{C^2 q_0}\right)$. We also note that the weight function $\widehat{v}\left(n, \frac{mX}{cq}\right)$ restricts the effective range of the dual m -sum to

$$(3.7) \quad |m| \leq X^{o(1)} Zcq/X.$$

By this we mean that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the contribution of the terms satisfying

$$|m| > X^\varepsilon Zcq/X$$

is bounded by $O_{A,\varepsilon}(X^{-A})$ for any $A \geq 1$.

We find that (3.4) can be rewritten as

$$(3.8) \quad \text{Main} = \frac{1}{Cq_0} \sum_{\substack{c \leq 2C \\ (c,q)=1}} \frac{1}{c} \sum_{u(cq_0)}^* \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(r, n) e\left(\frac{un}{cq_0}\right) U\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \\ \times \frac{X}{q^{1/2}} \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ u \equiv \bar{q}_1 m(c)}} \widehat{K}(\bar{c}(m - q_1 u)) \widehat{v}\left(n, \frac{mX}{cq}\right).$$

We next apply the Voronoi summation of [MS06] (see [LMS23, Prop. 2.2]) to the n -sum in (3.8), which gives

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(r, n) e\left(\frac{un}{cq_0}\right) U\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \widehat{v}\left(n, \frac{mX}{cq}\right) \\ = cq_0 \sum_{\pm} \sum_{n_1 | rcq_0} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n, n_1)}{nn_1} S(r\bar{u}, \pm n; \frac{rcq_0}{n_1}) \mathcal{W}_{\pm}\left(\frac{m}{cq/X}, \frac{n_1^2 n}{c^3 q_0^3 r/X}\right),$$

where after inserting (3.6),

$$\mathcal{W}_{\pm}(y, z) = z \int_{\mathbf{R}} V(x) \left(\int_0^{\infty} U(\xi) h\left(\frac{c}{C}, \frac{X(\xi - x)}{C^2 q_0}\right) J_{\varphi, \pm}(z\xi) d\xi \right) e(-xy) dx;$$

see [Qi20, (3.34)] for the definition of $J_{\varphi, \pm}(\xi)$ and [LMS23, (3.19)] for details.

If $z \gg 1$, by using integration by parts to the inner integral over ξ , we know that

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{W}_{\pm}(y, z) \ll_A (1 + |z|)^{-A}.$$

For $z \ll 1$, from [LMS23, (2.9)] we know that $\mathcal{W}_{\pm}(y, z) \ll z^{2/3}$; in particular, we have

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{W}_{\pm}(y, z) \ll z^{1/2}.$$

Substituting back, we obtain a sum of the form

$$(3.12) \quad \text{Main} = \frac{X}{Cq^{1/2}} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{c \leq 2C \\ (c,q)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1 | rcq_0 \\ n \geq 1}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n, n_1)}{nn_1} \\ \times \sum_{u(cq_0)}^* \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ u \equiv \bar{q}_1 m(c)}} \widehat{K}(\bar{c}(m - q_1 u)) S(r\bar{u}, \pm n; \frac{rcq_0}{n_1}) \mathcal{W}_{\pm}(\frac{m}{cq/X}, \frac{n_1^2 n}{c^3 q_0^3 r/X}).$$

Notice that for $(q_0, q_1) = 1$ we have the twisted multiplicativity

$$\widehat{K}(\bar{c}(m - q_1 u); q_0 q_1) = \widehat{K}_0(\bar{c}(m - q_1 u)\bar{q}_1; q_0) \widehat{K}_1(\bar{c}m\bar{q}_0; q_1).$$

We further split the sum in (3.12) into two subsums according to $(n_1, q_0) = 1$ or not, and write

$$\text{Main} = \text{Main}_0 + \text{Err}_3,$$

where

$$\text{Main}_0 = \frac{X}{Cq^{1/2}} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{c \leq 2C \\ (c,q)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1 | rc \\ (n_1, q_0)=1}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n, n_1)}{nn_1} \sum_{u(cq_0)}^* \sum_{\substack{m \in \mathbb{Z} \\ u \equiv \bar{q}_1 m(c)}} \widehat{K}_1(\bar{c}m\bar{q}_0; q_1) \\ \times \widehat{K}_0(\bar{c}(m - q_1 u)\bar{q}_1; q_0) S(r\bar{u}, \pm n; \frac{rcq_0}{n_1}) \mathcal{W}_{\pm}(\frac{m}{cq/X}, \frac{n_1^2 n}{c^3 q_0^3 r/X}),$$

which corresponds to the sum with $(q_0, n_1) = 1$ and

$$\text{Err}_3 = \frac{X}{Cq^{1/2}} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{c \leq 2C \\ (c,q)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1 | rc \\ n \geq 1}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n, n_1 q_0)}{nn_1 q_0} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{K}_1(\bar{c}m\bar{q}_0; q_1) S(rq_1 \bar{m}, \pm n; \frac{rc}{n_1}) \\ \times \sum_{u(q_0)}^* \widehat{K}_0(\bar{c}(m - q_1 u)\bar{q}_1; q_0) \mathcal{W}_{\pm}(\frac{m}{cq/X}, \frac{n_1^2 n}{c^3 q_0^3 r/X}),$$

that corresponds to the complementary sum with $q_0 | n_1$ in (3.12).

3.2. The case of Err_3 . Notice that we have

$$\sum_{u(q_0)}^* \widehat{K}_0(\bar{c}(m - q_1 u)\bar{q}_1; q_0) = -\widehat{K}_0(\bar{c}m\bar{q}_1; q_0) \ll \|\widehat{K}_0\|_{\infty}.$$

We can bound Err_3 as follows

$$(3.13) \quad \text{Err}_3 \ll X^{o(1)} \frac{X \|\widehat{K}_0\|_{\infty} \|\widehat{K}_1\|_{\infty}}{Cq^{1/2}} \sum_{c \leq 2C} \sum_{n_1 | rc} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n_1^2 n \leq C^3 q_0 r/X}} \frac{|\lambda(n, n_1 q_0)|}{nn_1 q_0} \sum_{m \leq ZCq/X} (rq_1 \bar{m}, n; \frac{rc}{n_1})^{1/2} (\frac{rc}{n_1})^{1/2} \\ \ll X^{o(1)} \frac{X \|\widehat{K}_0\|_{\infty} \|\widehat{K}_1\|_{\infty} q_0^{\varpi_3}}{Cq^{1/2} q_0} C \frac{ZCq}{X} (rc)^{1/2} \ll X^{o(1)} \|\widehat{K}_0\|_{\infty} \|\widehat{K}_1\|_{\infty} Zr^{1/2} X^{3/4} q_0^{\varpi_3 - 7/4} q^{1/2}$$

upon plugging in $C = (X/q_0)^{1/2}$. Here $\varpi_3 = 5/14$ is the Kim–Sarnak bound [Kim03].

3.3. **The case of Main₀.** For Main₀, since $n_1|rc$, we have

$$S(r\bar{u}, \pm n; \frac{rcq_0}{n_1}) = S(\bar{c}n_1\bar{u}, \pm\bar{r}cn_1n; q_0)S(\bar{q}_0r\bar{u}, \pm\bar{q}_0n; rc/n_1)$$

so that we can write the sum over $u \bmod cq_0$ in Main₀ as

$$S(\bar{q}_0q_1r\bar{m}, \pm\bar{q}_0n; rc/n_1)\widehat{K}_1(\bar{c}m\bar{q}_0; q_1)\sum_{u(q_0)}^*\widehat{K}_0(\bar{c}(m - q_1u)\bar{q}_1; q_0)S(\bar{c}n_1\bar{u}, \pm\bar{r}cn_1n; q_0).$$

Hence we have

$$(3.14) \quad \text{Main}_0 = \frac{Xq_0}{Cq^{1/2}} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\substack{c \leq 2C \\ (c,q)=1}} \sum_{\substack{n_1|rc \\ (n_1,q_0)=1}} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\lambda(n, n_1)}{nn_1} \\ \times \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{K}_1(\bar{c}m\bar{q}_0; q_1)N_{c,r}(m, n; q_0)S(\bar{q}_0q_1r\bar{m}, \pm\bar{q}_0n; rc/n_1)\mathcal{W}_{\pm}\left(\frac{m}{cq/X}, \frac{n_1^2n}{c^3q_0^3r/X}\right),$$

where

$$(3.15) \quad N_{c,r}(m, n; q_0) = \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{u(q_0)}^* \widehat{K}_0(\bar{c}(m - q_1u)\bar{q}_1; q_0)S(\bar{c}n_1\bar{u}, \pm\bar{r}cn_1n; q_0) \\ = \frac{1}{q_0^{1/2}} \sum_{u(q_0)}^* \widehat{K}_0(\bar{c}(m - q_1u)\bar{q}_1; q_0) \text{Kl}_2(\pm\bar{c}^2\bar{r}n_1^2n\bar{u}; q_0).$$

We break the c -sum in (3.14) into $O(\log X)$ many dyadic intervals with $c \sim C'$, where C' satisfies

$$C' \leq 2C = 2(X/q_0)^{1/2}.$$

Notation. To lighten the expressions to come we write

$$A \lesssim B \text{ in place of } A \leq X^{o(1)}B.$$

By (3.7) and (3.10), we know that the m and (n_1, n) sums in (3.14) can be truncated at

$$(3.16) \quad m \lesssim M = Z \frac{C'q}{X}, nn_1^2 \lesssim \frac{C'^3q_0^3r}{X}.$$

For each fixed n_1 we break the n -sum in (3.14) into $O(\log q)$ dyadic intervals $n \sim N/n_1^2$ with N satisfying

$$N \lesssim \frac{C'^3q_0^3r}{X}.$$

Now for each $c \sim C'$ and $nn_1^2 \sim N$ we will evaluate the truncated version of Main₀.

3.3.1. *Cauchy–Schwarz.* We now factorize $c = c_1c_2$ with

$$c_1 \leq C', n_1|rc_1, c_1|(n_1r)^\infty \text{ and } (c_2, n_1r) = 1.$$

Then we apply Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the Rankin–Selberg estimate to bound the sum Main₀ as follows (for the various choices of \pm)

$$(3.17) \quad \text{Main}_0 \ll X^{o(1)} \frac{Xq_0}{Cq^{1/2}} \sup_{N \lesssim \frac{C'^3q_0^3r}{X}} \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} B(N)^{1/2}$$

with

$$B(N) := \sum_{\substack{c_1, n_1 \\ (n_1, q_0)=1}} \sum_{m_1^2 \approx N} n_1 \left| \sum_{m \leq M} \sum_{\substack{c_2 \sim C'/c_1 \\ (c_2, q)=1}} \widehat{K}_1(\bar{c}m\bar{q}_0; q_1) \right. \\ \left. \times S(\bar{q}_0 q_1 r \bar{m}, \pm \bar{q}_0 n; rc_1 c_2/n_1) N_{c_1 c_2, r}(m, n; q_0) \mathcal{W}_{\pm} \left(\frac{m}{c_1 c_2 q/X}, \frac{n_1^2 n}{c_1^3 c_2^3 q_0^3 r/X} \right) \right|^2 U \left(\frac{n}{N/n_1^2} \right).$$

Here $U \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}_{>0})$ is a smooth function satisfying $U^{(j)}(x) \ll_j 1$ for $j \geq 0$.

After opening the square, the factor $B(N)$ equals

$$(3.18) \quad B(N) = \sum_{c_1, n_1} \sum_{m, m'} n_1 \sum_{c_2, c_2'} \widehat{K}_1(\bar{c}m\bar{q}_0; q_1) \overline{\widehat{K}_1(\bar{c}'m'\bar{q}_0; q_1)} \\ \times \sum_{n \geq 1} S(\bar{q}_0 q_1 r \bar{m}, \pm \bar{q}_0 n; rc/n_1) \overline{S(\bar{q}_0 q_1 r \bar{m}', \pm \bar{q}_0 n; rc'/n_1)} \\ \times N_{c, r}(m, n; q_0) \overline{N_{c', r}(m', n; q_0)} \mathcal{W} \left(\frac{n}{N/n_1^2} \right),$$

where

$$(3.19) \quad c = c_1 c_2, c' = c_1 c_2'$$

and

$$\mathcal{W} \left(\frac{n}{N/n_1^2} \right) = U \left(\frac{n}{N/n_1^2} \right) \mathcal{W}_{\pm} \left(\frac{m}{cq/X}, \frac{n_1^2 n}{c^3 q_0^3 r/X} \right) \overline{\mathcal{W}_{\pm} \left(\frac{m'}{c'q/X}, \frac{n_1^2 n}{c'^3 q_0^3 r/X} \right)}.$$

We apply Poisson formula to the n -variable keeping in mind that

$$n \mapsto S(\bar{q}_0 q_1 r \bar{m}, \pm \bar{q}_0 n; rc/n_1) \overline{S(\bar{q}_0 q_1 r \bar{m}', \pm \bar{q}_0 n; rc'/n_1)} N_{c, r}(m, n; q_0) \overline{N_{c', r}(m', n; q_0)}$$

is periodic of period $q_0 k$ with $k = rc_1 c_2 c_2'/n_1$, and we see that (3.18) becomes

$$(3.20) \quad B(N) = \sum_{c_1, n_1} \sum_{m, m'} n_1 \sum_{c_2, c_2'} \widehat{K}_1(\bar{c}m\bar{q}_0; q_1) \overline{\widehat{K}_1(\bar{c}'m'\bar{q}_0; q_1)} \\ \times \frac{N}{n_1^2 q_0 k} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \text{FT}_1(n; q_0) \text{FT}_2(n; k) \widehat{\mathcal{W}}(n/N^*),$$

where (after inserting (3.15))

$$(3.21) \quad \text{FT}_1(n; q_0) = \frac{1}{q_0} \sum_{u, u' \bmod q_0} \widehat{K}_0(\bar{c}(m - q_1 u)\bar{q}_1; q_0) \overline{\widehat{K}_0(\bar{c}'(m' - q_1 u')\bar{q}_1; q_0)} \\ \times \sum_{v \bmod q_0} \text{Kl}_2(\pm \bar{c}^2 \bar{r} n_1^2 v \bar{u}; q_0) \overline{\text{Kl}_2(\pm \bar{c}'^2 \bar{r} n_1^2 v \bar{u}'; q_0)} e \left(\frac{nv\bar{k}}{q_0} \right)$$

and with

$$(3.22) \quad k = rc_1 c_2 c_2'/n_1$$

and

$$\text{FT}_2(n; k) = \sum_{v(k)} S(\bar{q}_0 q_1 r \bar{m}, \pm \bar{q}_0 v; rc_1 c_2/n_1) \overline{S(\bar{q}_0 q_1 r \bar{m}', \pm \bar{q}_0 v; rc_1 c_2'/n_1)} e \left(\frac{nv\bar{q}_0}{k} \right)$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad N^* := q_0 k n_1^2 / N.$$

The Fourier transform $\widehat{W}(y)$ of W is of rapid decay when $|y| \gg q^\varepsilon$. Hence we can truncate the dual n -sum in (3.20) at $|n| \ll q^\varepsilon N^*$. For $|n| \ll q^\varepsilon N^*$, from the estimate (3.11), we readily have the bound

$$(3.24) \quad \widehat{W}(n/N^*) \ll \frac{N}{C^{\prime 3} q_0^3 r / X}.$$

3.3.2. *Computation of $\text{FT}_2(n; k)$.* The following bounds can be proved.

Lemma 3.1. *We have the following estimates*

$$\text{FT}_2(0; k) \ll \delta_{c_2=c_2'} \frac{rc_1 c_2 c_2'}{n_1} \sum_{d|(rc_1 c_2/n_1, r(m-m'))} d$$

and for $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$

$$\text{FT}_2(n; k) \ll \left(\frac{rc_1}{n_1}\right)^2 c_2 c_2'.$$

Proof. Inserting the definition of the Kloosterman sum, we can write

$$\text{FT}_2(n; k) = \frac{rc_1 c_2 c_2'}{n_1} \sum_{y_1(rc_1 c_2/n_1)}^* e\left(\frac{\overline{y_1 q_0 q_1 r \overline{m}}}{rc_1 c_2/n_1}\right) \sum_{\substack{y_2(rc_1 c_2'/n_1) \\ \pm(y_1 c_2' - y_2 c_2) + n \equiv 0 \pmod{rc_1 c_2 c_2'/n_1}}}^* e\left(\frac{-\overline{y_2 q_0 q_1 r \overline{m'}}}{rc_1 c_2'/n_1}\right).$$

We consider two cases.

Case 1. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, then the inner sum vanishes unless $c_2 = c_2'$ and $y_1 \equiv y_2 \pmod{rc_1 c_2/n_1}$ in which case we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FT}_2(0; k) &= \delta_{c_2=c_2'} \frac{rc_1 c_2 c_2'}{n_1} \sum_{y_1(rc_1 c_2/n_1)}^* e\left(\frac{\overline{y_1(\overline{m} - \overline{m'}) q_0 q_1 r}}{rc_1 c_2/n_1}\right) \\ &= \delta_{c_2=c_2'} \frac{rc_1 c_2 c_2'}{n_1} \sum_{d|(rc_1 c_2/n_1, r(m-m'))} d \mu\left(\frac{rc_1 c_2/n_1}{d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. If $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k}$, then we can further write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FT}_2(n; k) &= \frac{rc_1 c_2 c_2'}{n_1} \sum_{y_1(rc_1/n_1)}^* e\left(\frac{\overline{y_1 q_0 q_1 r \overline{m c_2}}}{rc_1/n_1}\right) \sum_{\substack{y_2(rc_1/n_1) \\ \pm(y_1 c_2' - y_2 c_2) + n \equiv 0 \pmod{rc_1/n_1}}}^* e\left(\frac{-\overline{y_2 q_0 q_1 r \overline{m' c_2'}}}{rc_1/n_1}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{x_1(c_2)}^* e\left(\frac{\overline{x_1 q_0 q_1 \overline{m c_1 n_1}}}{c_2}\right) \sum_{\substack{x_2(c_2') \\ \pm(x_1 c_2' - x_2 c_2) + n \equiv 0 \pmod{c_2 c_2'}}}^* e\left(\frac{-\overline{x_2 q_0 q_1 \overline{m' c_1 n_1}}}{c_2'}\right) \\ &\ll \frac{rc_1 c_2 c_2'}{n_1} \varphi\left(\frac{rc_1}{n_1}\right) \end{aligned}$$

with φ being Euler's totient function. \square

3.3.3. *Computation of $\text{FT}_1(n; q_0)$.* Using calculations very similar to [LMS23, §4.2.2] (in the notation of [LMS23, (4.21)-(4.22)], $\widehat{L}(x) = K_0(xc; q_0)e(m\overline{q_1}x/q_0)$), we can express $\text{FT}_1(n; q_0)$ which is given in (3.21) as

$$\text{FT}_1(n; q_0) = \sum_{v \pmod{q_0}} Z(v) \overline{Z'(v - \delta)},$$

where

$$Z(v) = Z_{\alpha, \beta}(v) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{q_0}} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_{q_0}^\times} K_0(xv; q_0) e\left(\frac{\alpha xv}{q_0}\right) \text{Kl}_2(\beta x; q_0),$$

and $Z'(v)$ is defined likewise with the parameter (α, β) being replaced by (α', β') and $\alpha, \alpha', \beta, \beta' \in \mathbf{F}_{q_0}$ are given by

$$(3.25) \quad \alpha = \bar{c}m\bar{q}_1, \quad \alpha' = \bar{c}'m'\bar{q}_1, \quad \beta = \varepsilon\bar{c}^3\bar{r}n_1^2, \quad \beta' = \varepsilon\bar{c}'^3\bar{r}n_1^2, \quad \delta = \bar{k}n,$$

with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

The following result is analogous to [LMS23, Prop 4.5].

Write $q := q_0$; let $T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ be the subgroup of \mathbf{F}_q^\times defined by

$$T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times, [\times \lambda]^* \mathcal{F} \text{ is geometrically isomorphic to } \mathcal{F}\}$$

and let $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ be the subgroup of affine linear transformations of \mathbf{F}_q defined by

$$\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q) = \{\gamma : y \mapsto ay + b, [\gamma]^* \mathcal{F} \text{ is geometrically isomorphic to } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Assuming that the sheaf \mathcal{F} is good in the sense of Definition 1.1 and that q is sufficiently large depending on the complexity $C(\mathcal{F})$. For any $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta', \delta \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$, we have*

$$(3.26) \quad \sum_v Z(v) \overline{Z'(v - \delta)} = O(q^{1/2}).$$

If $\delta = 0$, (3.26) holds unless one of the following holds

– $\alpha/\alpha' = \beta/\beta'$ and

$$\gamma := \alpha/\alpha' = \beta/\beta' \in T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q),$$

– $\alpha/\alpha' \neq \beta/\beta', \beta/\beta' \neq 1$ and

$$\gamma : y \mapsto \frac{\beta}{\beta'}y + \alpha' \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'} - \frac{\beta}{\beta'} \right) \in \text{Aff}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q).$$

In these two cases, we have

$$(3.27) \quad \sum_v Z(v) \overline{Z'(v)} = c_{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma)q + O(q^{1/2})$$

for $c_{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma)$ some complex number of modulus 1. In these estimates, the implicit constants depend only on $C(\mathcal{F})$.

Returning to our original sum, applying Proposition 3.2 (with $q = q_0$) and using that (3.25), we see that the $\text{FT}_1(n; q_0)$ in (3.21) is $O(q_0^{1/2})$ unless $\delta = 0$ and either

$$(3.28) \quad \gamma := c'm/cm' = c'^3/c^3 \in T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_{q_0})$$

or

$$\gamma : y \mapsto \frac{c'^3}{c^3}y + \bar{c}'m'\bar{q}_1 \left(\frac{c'm}{cm'} - \frac{c'^3}{c^3} \right) \in \text{Aff}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_{q_0})$$

in which case $\text{FT}_1(n; q_0)$ equals $c_{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma)q_0 + O(q_0^{1/2})$ with $|c_{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma)| = 1$.

3.4. Contribution of the $n = 0$ frequency. In this section we bound the contribution to $B(N)$ in (3.20) from the frequency $n = 0$, given by

$$B_{n=0}(N) = \sum_{c_1, n_1} \sum_{m, m'} \sum_{c_2, c_2'} \widehat{K}_1(\overline{c}m\overline{q}_0; q_1) \overline{\widehat{K}_1(\overline{c}'m'\overline{q}_0; q_1)} \\ \times \frac{N}{q_0 r c_1 c_2 c_2'} \text{FT}_1(0; q_0) \text{FT}_2(0; r c_1 c_2 c_2' / n_1) \widehat{W}(0).$$

By Lemma 3.1, when $n = 0$ we know that

$$(3.29) \quad c_2 = c_2', \quad c = c', \quad k = r c_1 c_2^2 / n_1.$$

We use the case $\delta = 0$ of Proposition 3.2: by (3.29) and (3.28) we have that $\text{FT}_1(0; q_0)$ is $O(q_0^{1/2})$ unless we have the congruence modulo q_0 :

$$m \equiv m' \pmod{q_0}$$

in which case $\text{FT}_1(0; q_0)$ equals $c q_0 + O(q_0^{1/2})$ for some complex number c of modulus 1.

Similar to the calculations presented in [LMS23, §5], upon plugging in Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.1, we see that $B_{n=0}(N)$ is bounded by

$$\|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty^2 \sum_{c_1, n_1} \sum_{m, m'} \sum_{c_2} \frac{N}{q_0 r c_1 c_2^2} (q_0 \delta_{m \equiv m' \pmod{q_0}} + q_0^{1/2}) \frac{r c_1 c_2^2}{n_1} \sum_{d | (r c_1 c_2 / n_1, r(m - m'))} d \\ \ll X^{o(1)} \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty^2 r N (C'^2 M + \frac{1}{q_0^{1/2}} C' M^2).$$

Taking the square root of this term and multiplying it by $\frac{X q_0}{C q^{1/2}} \frac{1}{N^{1/2}}$, where N runs over all

$$N \ll \frac{C'^3 q_0^3 r}{X},$$

we see that the contribution of these terms to (3.17) and therefore to (3.14) is bounded by

$$(3.30) \quad X^{o(1)} \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty \frac{X q_0}{C q^{1/2}} r^{1/2} (C' M^{1/2} + C'^{1/2} M / q_0^{1/4}) \\ \ll X^{o(1)} \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty r^{1/2} (Z^{1/2} C^{1/2} X^{1/2} q_0 + Z C^{1/2} q^{1/2} q_0^{3/4}) \\ \ll X^{o(1)} \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty r^{1/2} (Z^{1/2} X^{3/4} q_0^{3/4} + Z X^{1/4} q^{1/2} q_0^{1/2}).$$

3.5. Contribution from the $n \neq 0$ frequencies. Recall from (3.20) the contribution from the non-zero frequencies $n \neq 0$ to $B(N)$ is given by

$$B_{n \neq 0}(N) = \frac{N}{q_0 r} \sum_{c_1, n_1} \sum_{c_1} \frac{1}{c_1} \sum_{m \leq M} \sum_{m' \leq M} \sum_{c_2 \sim C'/c_1} \sum_{c_2' \sim C'/c_1} \frac{1}{c_2 c_2'} \widehat{K}_1(\overline{c_1 c_2} m \overline{q}_0; q_1) \overline{\widehat{K}_1(\overline{c_1 c_2'} m' \overline{q}_0; q_1)} \\ \times \sum_{n \neq 0} \text{FT}_1(n; q_0) \text{FT}_2(n; k) \widehat{W}(n/N^*),$$

where $k = r c_1 c_2 c_2' / n_1$ and $N^* = q_0 k n_1^2 / N$.

We distinguish two cases:

– If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{q_0}$ we use again Proposition 3.2 for $\delta = 0$ and using Lemma 3.1 and (3.24), we obtain the following bounds

$$B_{q_0|n, n \neq 0}(N) \ll X^{o(1)} \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty^2 r^2 C'^4 q_0^{1/2} M^2 \frac{1}{q_0^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{N}{C'^3 q_0^3 r/X}.$$

– If $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q_0}$ we apply (3.26) of Proposition 3.2 for $\delta \neq 0$ and obtain

$$B_{n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q_0}}(N) \ll X^{o(1)} \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty^2 r^2 C'^4 q_0^{1/2} M^2 \cdot \frac{N}{C'^3 q_0^3 r/X}.$$

The reader is referred to [LMS23, §6.1–§6.2] for very similar calculations.

The non-zero frequencies contribution to (3.17) and hence to (3.14) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & X^{o(1)} \frac{Xq_0}{Cq^{1/2}} \sup_{N \lesssim \frac{C'^3 q_0^3 r}{X}} \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} (B_{n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q_0}}(N) + B_{q_0|n, n \neq 0}(N))^{1/2} \\ & \ll X^{o(1)} \frac{Xq_0}{Cq^{1/2}} \sup_{N \lesssim \frac{C'^3 q_0^3 r}{X}} \frac{1}{N^{1/2}} \left(\|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty^2 r^2 C'^4 q_0^{1/2} M^2 \frac{N}{C'^3 q_0^3 r/X} \right)^{1/2} \\ (3.31) \quad & \ll X^{o(1)} Z \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty r^{1/2} \frac{X^{1/2} C^{1/2} q^{1/2}}{q_0^{1/4}} \ll X^{o(1)} Z \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty r^{1/2} \frac{X^{3/4} q^{1/2}}{q_0^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

since by (3.16) $M = Z \frac{C'q}{X}$ and $C' \leq 2C = 2(X/q_0)^{1/2}$.

3.6. Bounding $\mathcal{C}_{V,r}(X; K)$: the final steps. Let us recall that the sum Main in (3.12) was split into two subsums Main₀ and Err₃ depending on whether $(n_1, q_0) = 1$ or not.

By (3.30) and (3.31) the sum Main₀ in (3.14) is bounded by

$$(3.32) \quad \ll X^{o(1)} \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty r^{1/2} \left(Z^{1/2} X^{3/4} q_0^{3/4} + ZX^{1/4} q^{1/2} q_0^{1/2} + Z \frac{X^{3/4} q^{1/2}}{q_0^{1/2}} \right).$$

According to (3.13), the complement sum Err₃ is bounded by

$$(3.33) \quad \ll X^{o(1)} \|\widehat{K}_0\|_\infty \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty Z r^{1/2} X^{3/4} q_0^{\varpi_3 - 7/4} q^{1/2},$$

where $\varpi_3 = 5/14$ is the Kim–Sarnak bound [Kim03]. This bound is absorbed into the last factor in (3.32) (by simply applying the trivial bound $\|\widehat{K}_0\|_\infty \ll q_0^{1/2}$).

Combining the bound (3.33) with (3.32) we see that the sum Main in (3.4) and hence the sum $\mathcal{C}_{V,r}(X; K)$ in (3.1) is bounded as follows

$$\mathcal{C}_{V,r}(X; K) \ll X^{o(1)} Z \|\widehat{K}_1\|_\infty r^{1/2} \left(X^{3/4} q_0^{3/4} + X^{1/4} q^{1/2} q_0^{1/2} + \frac{X^{3/4} q^{1/2}}{q_0^{1/2}} \right).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4. SQUARE-ROOT CANCELLATION FOR CERTAIN EXPONENTIAL SUMS

In this section we establish Proposition 3.2 whose statement and notation we recall below:

Given q a prime and $K(\bullet)$ the trace function of a geometrically irreducible middle-extension ℓ -adic sheaf \mathcal{F} on $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_q}^1$ weight ≤ 0 and complexity $C(\mathcal{F})$; We will assume that the sheaf \mathcal{F} is Fourier and *good* that is

– The local monodromy of \mathcal{F} at infinity has no indecomposable summand with slope equal to 1.

Given $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta' \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$, we set

$$(4.1) \quad Z(v) := Z_{\alpha, \beta}(v) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} K(xv) e_q(\alpha xv) \text{Kl}_2(\beta x; q),$$

and define

$$Z'(v) := Z_{\alpha', \beta'}(v).$$

Let $T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ be the subgroup of \mathbf{F}_q^\times defined by

$$T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times, [\times \lambda]^* \mathcal{F} \text{ is geometrically isomorphic to } \mathcal{F}\}$$

and let $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q) \supset T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ be the subgroup of affine linear transformations of \mathbf{F}_q defined by

$$\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q) = \{\gamma : y \mapsto ay + b, (a, b) \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times \times \mathbf{F}_q \text{ } [\gamma]^* \mathcal{F} \text{ is geometrically isomorphic to } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *Assuming that the sheaf \mathcal{F} is good and that q is sufficiently large depending on $C(\mathcal{F})$. For any $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta', \delta \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$, we have*

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_v Z(v) \overline{Z'(v - \delta)} = O(q^{1/2}).$$

If $\delta = 0$ the above bound holds unless one of the following holds

$$- \alpha/\alpha' = \beta/\beta' \text{ and}$$

$$\gamma := \alpha/\alpha' = \beta/\beta' \in T_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q),$$

$$- \alpha/\alpha' \neq \beta/\beta', \beta/\beta' \neq 1 \text{ and}$$

$$\gamma : y \mapsto \frac{\beta}{\beta'} y + \alpha' \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'} - \frac{\beta}{\beta'} \right) \in \text{Aff}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{F}_q).$$

In these two cases we have

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_v Z(v) \overline{Z'(v)} = c_{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma) q + O(q^{1/2})$$

for $c_{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma)$ some complex number of modulus 1. In these estimates, the implicit constants depend only on $C(\mathcal{F})$.

Remark 4.2. This proposition is analogous to [LMS23, Prop 4.5] where we studied the correlations of sums similar to $Z(v), Z'(v)$ but with $e_q(\alpha xv)$ replaced by the Kloosterman sum $\text{Kl}_2(\alpha xv; q)$.

4.1. **The case $\delta = 0$.** We observe that for $v \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times$ one has

$$Z(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} K(x) e_q(\alpha x) \text{Kl}_2(\beta x; q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \sum_{y \in \mathbf{F}_q} \widehat{K}(y + \alpha) e_q(\beta/y v) v^{-1}$$

where $u = -v^{-1}$. In particular

$$\sum_{v \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} Z(v) \overline{Z'(v)} = \sum_{x, x' \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} K(x) \overline{K(x')} e_q(\alpha x - \alpha' x') \frac{1}{q} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \text{Kl}_2(\beta x u; q) \overline{\text{Kl}_2(\beta' x' u; q)}$$

The inner sum equals (since $\text{Kl}_2(0; q) = 0$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{q} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} \text{Kl}_2(\beta x u; q) \overline{\text{Kl}_2(\beta' x' u; q)} &= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{F}_q} \text{Kl}_2(\beta u x; q) \overline{\text{Kl}_2(\beta' x' u; q)} \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{z, z' \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} e_q(\beta x/z - \beta' x'/z') \frac{1}{q} \sum_{u \in \mathbf{F}_q} e_q((z - z')u) \\
&= \frac{1}{q} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} e_q((\beta x - \beta' x')/z) \\
&= \delta_{\beta x = \beta' x'} - \frac{1}{q}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{v \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} Z(v) \overline{Z'(v)} &= \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} K(x) \overline{K\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta'} x\right)} e_q\left(\left(\alpha - \frac{\alpha' \beta}{\beta'}\right) x\right) + (\widehat{K}(\alpha) - K(0)) \overline{(\widehat{K}(\alpha') - K(0))} \\
&= \sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_q^\times} K(x) \overline{K\left(\frac{\beta}{\beta'} x\right)} e_q\left(\left(\alpha - \frac{\alpha' \beta}{\beta'}\right) x\right) + O(1)
\end{aligned}$$

since \mathcal{F} is Fourier and therefore $\|\widehat{K}\|_\infty \ll_{C(\mathcal{F})} 1$.

The x -sum in the last expression above is $O(q^{1/2})$ unless one has a geometric isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{e_q(\alpha' \eta x)} \simeq [\times \beta / \beta'] \mathcal{F}$$

for $\eta = \alpha/\alpha' - \beta/\beta'$.

Suppose $\eta = 0$ then, since \mathcal{F} is geometrically irreducible, we then must have $\alpha/\alpha' = \beta/\beta' \in T_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{F}_q)$ and (4.3) holds.

If $\eta \neq 0$, taking the Fourier transforms on both sides we have

$$[+\alpha' \eta] \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \simeq [\times \beta' / \beta] \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \iff [\gamma] \star \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F}$$

where γ is the affine transformation

$$\gamma : y \mapsto \frac{\beta}{\beta'} y + \alpha' \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha'} - \frac{\beta}{\beta'} \right).$$

Notice that if $\beta = \beta'$, then γ would then be a non-trivial translation which would imply (for $C(\mathcal{F}) \ll_q 1$) that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is an Artin–Schreier sheaf which is excluded.

4.2. The case $\delta \neq 0$. Let \mathcal{L}_α be the Artin–Schreier sheaf associated with the additive character $x \mapsto e_q(\alpha x)$, then

$$K_\alpha : x \mapsto K(x) e_q(\alpha x)$$

is the trace function of the twist $\mathcal{F}_\alpha := \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\alpha$.

Next we observe that $Z(v)$ is the multiplicative convolution of $x \mapsto K_\alpha(x)$ with $x \mapsto \text{Kl}_2(\beta x^{-1})$ so that $Z(v)$ is the trace function of the middle convolution sheaf

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha, \beta} := \mathcal{F}_\alpha \star [y \rightarrow \beta/y] \star \mathcal{K} \ell_2 = \mathcal{F}_\alpha \star \mathcal{K}$$

say. Likewise Z' is the trace function of

$$\mathcal{Z}' = \mathcal{Z}_{\alpha', \beta'} = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha'} \star [y \rightarrow \beta'/y] \star \mathcal{K} \ell_2 = \mathcal{F}_{\alpha'} \star \mathcal{K}'.$$

Notice that \mathcal{F}_α and $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha'}$ are geometrically irreducible and non trivial (being Artin–Schreier twists of the geometrically irreducible sheaf \mathcal{F} , which is Fourier).

This implies that \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z}' are also geometrically irreducible. This is because \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{Z}' are obtained from \mathcal{F}_α and $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha'}$ by two applications of a geometric Fourier transform which preserves geometric irreducibility.

It follows that (4.2) holds unless we have the geometric isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Z}' \simeq [+ \delta]^* \mathcal{Z}.$$

To show that this does not occur, we follow the same path as in [LMS23, §8] excepted that the present argument is much simpler.

Lemma 4.3. *If \mathcal{F} has no slope at ∞ equal to 1, then \mathcal{Z} is lisse away from $\{0, \infty\}$.*

Proof. The middle convolution $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \star \mathcal{K}$ is equal to the compactly supported convolution $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \star_! \mathcal{K}$ up to a lisse sheaf, so it suffices to prove this for the compactly supported convolution.

We can rewrite the compactly supported convolution as

$$\pi_!(\mathcal{F}_\alpha \otimes [\times \beta x/v]^* \mathcal{K} \ell_2)$$

where

$$\pi : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m & \mapsto & \mathbb{G}_m \\ (x, v) & \mapsto & v \end{array}$$

To study where \mathcal{Z} is lisse, we apply Deligne's semicontinuity theorem and examine the variation with v of the Euler characteristic of the sheaf (in the x -variable) $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \otimes [x \mapsto \beta x/v]^* \mathcal{K} \ell_2$. Since $\mathcal{K} \ell_2$ is wildly ramified only at ∞ (with a single slope $1/2$) it suffices to look at the variation with v of the Swan conductor

$$(4.5) \quad v \mapsto \text{Swan}_\infty(\mathcal{F}_\alpha \otimes [x \mapsto \beta x/v] \mathcal{K} \ell_2).$$

We recall that for $\alpha \neq 0$, \mathcal{L}_α has a (unique) slope at ∞ , equal to 1 and for any v the sheaf $[x \mapsto \beta x/v]^* \mathcal{K} \ell_2$ has a single slope at ∞ , equal to $1/2$, with multiplicity 2.

Let V be an indecomposable summand of the local monodromy representation of \mathcal{F} at ∞ and $s \neq 1$ be its slope; the slope of $(V \otimes \mathcal{L}_\alpha)$ equals $\max(s, 1) \geq 1$; in particular it does not depend on α .

It follows that the sheaf in the x -variable, $(V \otimes \mathcal{L}_\alpha) \otimes [x \mapsto \beta x/v]^* \mathcal{K} \ell_2$ has for unique slope $\max(1/2, \max(s, 1)) = \max(s, 1) \geq 1$ for every v , so the contribution of this representation to the Swan conductor (4.5) is constant with v .

By Deligne's semicontinuity theorem $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{K}$ is lisse on \mathbb{G}_m . □

Lemma 4.4. *If \mathcal{F}_∞ has no irreducible summand with slope equal to 1, then $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{F}_\alpha \star \mathcal{K}$ has a nontrivial singularity at zero.*

Proof. Following the same arguments as in the beginning of the proof [LMS23, Lemma 8.7] (the fact that the Euler characteristic of χ is non-positive) with the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ here, replacing the sheaf denoted $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{F} \otimes [\times \alpha]^* \mathcal{K} \ell_2$ and noting that the sheaf $\mathcal{K} = [x \mapsto \beta x^{-1}]^* \mathcal{K} \ell_2$ was denoted \mathcal{L} in [LMS23], one shows that at least of the following situations happens:

- (1) \mathcal{F} is singular at some point on \mathbb{G}_m .
- (2) The local monodromy representation of \mathcal{F} at zero is not unipotent.
- (3) The local monodromy representation of \mathcal{F} at zero has a Jordan block of size ≥ 4 .
- (4) The local monodromy representation of \mathcal{F} at ∞ has a summand with slope $\geq 1/3$.

Since \mathcal{F}_∞ has no summand with slope 1, the following holds for $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}_\alpha$.

- (1) \mathcal{F}_α is singular at some point on \mathbb{G}_m .
- (2) The local monodromy representation of \mathcal{F}_α at zero is not unipotent.
- (3) The local monodromy representation of \mathcal{F}_α at zero has a Jordan block of size ≥ 4 .
- (4) The local monodromy representation of \mathcal{F}_α at ∞ has all its slopes ≥ 1 .

The first three situations are the same as in [LMS23, Lemma 8.7] while the fourth is stronger in the present paper; hence the arguments of [LMS23, Lemma 8.7] apply and we obtain that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha \star \mathcal{K}$ has non-trivial local monodromy at zero. \square

We can now conclude the proof of (4.2): we have to show that \mathcal{Z}' is not geometrically isomorphic to $[+\delta]\mathcal{Z}$ for any $\delta \neq 0$ but if it were the case \mathcal{Z}' would have a non-trivial singularity at $+\delta$ (inherited from the non-trivial singularity of \mathcal{Z} at 0 by Lemma 4.4) and this is impossible by Lemma 4.3.

REFERENCES

- [Agg21] K. Aggarwal, *A new subconvex bound for $GL(3)$ L -functions in the t -aspect*, Int. J. Number Theory **17** (2021), no. 5, 1111–1138.
- [DFI93] W. Duke, J. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec, *Bounds for automorphic L -functions*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), no. 1, 1–8.
- [FKM15] É. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, and Ph. Michel, *Algebraic twists of modular forms and Hecke orbits*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **25** (2015), no. 2, 580–657.
- [FKMS25] É. Fouvry, E. Kowalski, Ph. Michel, and W. Sawin, *Bilinear forms with trace functions*, ArXiv preprint [2511.09459](https://arxiv.org/abs/2511.09459) (2025).
- [FI85] J. B. Friedlander and H. Iwaniec, *Incomplete Kloosterman sums and a divisor problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **121** (1985), no. 2, 319–350. (with an appendix by B. J. Birch and E. Bombieri).
- [HB96] D. R. Heath-Brown, *A new form of the circle method, and its application to quadratic forms*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **481** (1996), 149–206.
- [HN18] R. Holowinsky and P. D. Nelson, *Subconvex bounds on GL_3 via degeneration to frequency zero*, Math. Ann. **372** (2018), no. 1-2, 299–319.
- [Hua21] B. Huang, *On the Rankin-Selberg problem*, Math. Ann. **381** (2021), no. 3-4, 1217–1251.
- [Hua24] ———, *On the Rankin-Selberg problem, II*, Q. J. Math. **75** (2024), no. 1, 1–10.
- [Kim03] H. H. Kim, *Functoriality for the exterior square of GL_4 and the symmetric fourth of GL_2* , J. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (2003), no. 1, 139–183. With appendix 1 by Dinakar Ramakrishnan and appendix 2 by Kim and Peter Sarnak.
- [KKL22] E. M. Kiral, C. I. Kuan, and D. Lesesvre, *Subconvexity for twisted GL_3 L -functions*, Acta Arith. **202** (2022), no. 3, 281–302.
- [KLM23] E. Kowalski, Y. Lin, and Ph. Michel, *Rankin-Selberg coefficients in large arithmetic progressions*, Sci. China Math. **66** (2023), no. 12, 2767–2778.
- [KLMS20] E. Kowalski, Y. Lin, Ph. Michel, and W. Sawin, *Periodic twists of GL_3 -automorphic forms*, Forum Math. Sigma **8** (2020), Paper No. e15, 39.
- [KMS17] E. Kowalski, Ph. Michel, and W. Sawin, *Bilinear forms with Kloosterman sums and applications*, Ann. of Math. (2) **186** (2017), no. 2, 413–500.
- [LM24] Y. Lin and Ph. Michel, *On algebraic twists with composite moduli*, Ramanujan J. **63** (2024), no. 3, 803–837.
- [LMS23] Y. Lin, Ph. Michel, and W. Sawin, *Algebraic twists of $GL_3 \times GL_2$ L -functions*, Amer. J. Math. **145** (2023), no. 2, 585–645.
- [MS06] S. D. Miller and W. Schmid, *Automorphic distributions, L -functions, and Voronoi summation for $GL(3)$* , Ann. of Math. (2) **164** (2006), no. 2, 423–488.
- [Mun15a] R. Munshi, *The circle method and bounds for L -functions, II: Subconvexity for twists of $GL(3)$ L -functions*, Amer. J. Math. **137** (2015), no. 3, 791–812.
- [Mun15b] ———, *The circle method and bounds for L -functions—IV: Subconvexity for twists of $GL(3)$ L -functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **182** (2015), no. 2, 617–672.
- [Qi20] Z. Qi, *Theory of fundamental Bessel functions of high rank*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **267** (2020), no. 1303, vii+123.
- [Zhu24] T. Zhu, *Rankin-Selberg coefficients in arithmetic progressions modulo prime powers* (2024). preprint.

DATA SCIENCE INSTITUTE, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN 250100, CHINA; STATE KEY LABORATORY OF CRYPTOGRAPHY AND DIGITAL ECONOMY SECURITY, SHANDONG UNIVERSITY, JINAN 250100, CHINA
Email address: yongxiao.lin@sdu.edu.cn

EPFL/MATH/TAN, STATION 8, CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND
Email address: philippe.michel@epfl.ch