

ADMISSIBLE SUBGRAPHS AND THE DEPTH OF SYMBOLIC POWERS OF COVER IDEALS OF GRAPHS

TRAN DUC DUNG, NGUYEN THU HANG, AND THANH VU

ABSTRACT. Let G be a simple graph. We introduce the notion of t -admissible subgraphs of G and show how to use them to compute the depth of the t -th symbolic powers of the cover ideal of G . As an application, we prove that

$$\text{depth}(S/J(C_n)^{(t)}) = n - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{tn}{2t+1} \right\rfloor$$

for all $t \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, where $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $J(C_n)$ is the cover ideal of the cycle on n vertices.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K , and let I be a homogeneous ideal of S . A classical theorem of Brodmann [5] asserts that the sequence $\text{depth}(S/I^t)$ is eventually constant for all sufficiently large t . The least positive integer t_0 such that

$$\text{depth}(S/I^t) = \text{depth}(S/I^{t_0}) \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0$$

is called the *index of depth stability* of I and is denoted by $\text{dstab}(I)$.

When I is a squarefree monomial ideal, a result of Hoa and Trung [14] shows that the symbolic depth function $\text{depth}(S/I^{(t)})$ also stabilizes. The smallest index at which it stabilizes is called the *index of symbolic depth stability* of I .

Given a squarefree monomial ideal I , determining its (symbolic) limit depth and the index of (symbolic) depth stability is a difficult problem. When restricted to the class of edge ideals and cover ideals of graphs, the problem is better understood. We now recall the definitions of these two ideals associated with a finite simple graph. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. The *edge ideal* and the *cover ideal* of G , denoted by $I(G)$ and $J(G)$, respectively, are defined by

$$I(G) = (x_i x_j \mid \{i, j\} \in E(G)), \quad J(G) = \bigcap_{\{i, j\} \in E(G)} (x_i, x_j).$$

Trung [22] showed that the limit depth $\text{depth}(S/I(G)^t)$ is equal to the number of bipartite connected components of G . Recently, Lam, Trung, and Trung [17] described the index of depth stability of $I(G)$. Both the symbolic limit depth and the index of symbolic depth stability of edge ideals remain unknown; see [19] for more information. On the other hand, for cover ideals, the symbolic limit depth is known by results of

Hoa, Kimura, Terai, and Trung [13], and Binh, Hang, Hien, and Trung [4] provided a sharp bound for the index of symbolic depth stability of $J(G)$.

While the limiting behavior of the depth of powers of edge ideals and the depth of symbolic powers of cover ideals of graphs is fairly well understood, the intermediate values are known only for a very limited class of graphs [1, 18, 12]. For symbolic powers of cover ideals of graphs, the first nontrivial results were recently obtained by Dung, Hang, Nam, and Tam [8] for paths. We note that when G is a bipartite graph, it is known that $I(G)^t = I(G)^{(t)}$ and $J(G)^t = J(G)^{(t)}$ [20, 10]. In this work, we compute the depth of symbolic powers of cover ideals of cycles.

Theorem 1.1. *Let C_n be a cycle on n vertices. Then, for all $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\text{depth}(S/J(C_n)^{(t)}) = n - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{tn}{2t + 1} \right\rfloor.$$

To achieve this, we use Hochster's formula for depth [15] to reduce the problem to computing the regularity of admissible subgraphs; see Section 2 for more details. For cycles, we explicitly describe the t -admissible subgraphs in order to derive the main result.

2. ADMISSIBLE SUBGRAPHS

In this section, we recall basic notation and results used throughout the paper. We then introduce the notion of t -admissible subgraphs and explain how to use them to compute the depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals of graphs. Throughout the paper, let K be a field, $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ a polynomial ring, and $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the *maximal homogeneous ideal* of S .

2.1. Depth and Castelnuovo–Mumford regularity. Let L be a nonzero finitely generated graded S -module. Let $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L)$ denote the i -th local cohomology module of L with support in \mathfrak{m} . Then the depth and the regularity of L are defined by

$$\text{depth}(L) = \min\{i \mid H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L) \neq 0\},$$

and

$$\text{reg}(L) = \max\{j + i \mid H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(L)_j \neq 0, \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, \dim(L), j \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let I be a monomial ideal in S . From [15], we recall the concept of an *associated radical ideal* of I , defined as follows.

Definition 2.1. Let I be a monomial ideal in S , and let u be a monomial not contained in I . The radical ideal $Q := \sqrt{I} : u$ is called an *associated radical ideal* of I . We denote the set of all associated radical ideals of I by $\text{assrad}(I)$.

Remark 2.2. Let I be a monomial ideal in S . For each associated prime P of I , by [11], there exists a monomial f such that $P = I : f$. Hence, P is an associated radical of I . Furthermore, $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{I : 1}$ is also an associated radical of I .

Hochster showed that the depth of monomial ideals can be computed via the depth of their associated radicals.

Theorem 2.3 (Hochster). *Let I be a monomial ideal of S . Then*

$$\text{depth}(S/I) = \min\{\text{depth}(S/Q) \mid Q \text{ is an associated radical of } I\}.$$

2.2. Graphs and their edge ideal and cover ideals. We recall some basic notions from graph theory; for further details, see [2].

Definition 2.4. Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$.

- (1) A simple graph H is a subgraph of G if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. It is an *induced subgraph* of G if $E(H) = E(G) \cap (V(H) \times V(H))$.
- (2) For a subset $U \subseteq V(G)$, we denote by $G[U]$ and $G \setminus U$ the induced subgraphs of G on U and on $V(G) \setminus U$, respectively.
- (3) A path P_n on n vertices is the graph with vertex set $V(P_n) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and edge set

$$E(P_n) = \{\{1, 2\}, \dots, \{n-1, n\}\}.$$

- (4) A cycle C_n on n vertices is the graph with vertex set $V(C_n) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and edge set

$$E(C_n) = E(P_n) \cup \{\{1, n\}\}.$$

- (5) A *forest* is a graph with no cycles. A *tree* is a connected forest.
- (6) A subset $M \subseteq E(G)$ is called a *matching* of G if no two edges in M share a common vertex. It is an *induced matching* if the subgraph induced by the vertices of M has edge set exactly M . The *induced matching number* of G , denoted by $\nu(G)$, is the maximum size of an induced matching in G .

Lemma 2.5. *Let P_n be a path on n vertices. Then*

$$\nu(P_n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{3} \right\rfloor.$$

Definition 2.6. Let G be a simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. The *edge ideal* of G is defined by

$$I(G) = (x_i x_j \mid \{i, j\} \in E(G)) \subseteq S.$$

The *cover ideal* of G is defined by

$$J(G) = \bigcap_{\{i, j\} \in E(G)} (x_i, x_j).$$

By a result of Jacques [16], we have

Lemma 2.7. *Let C_n be a cycle on n vertices. Then*

$$\text{reg } I(C_n) = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rfloor.$$

2.3. Associated radicals of symbolic powers of cover ideals and admissible subgraphs.

Definition 2.8. Let G be a simple graph on n vertices, and let $J(G)$ be its cover ideal. The t -th symbolic power of $J(G)$ is

$$J(G)^{(t)} = \bigcap_{\{i,j\} \in E(G)} (x_i, x_j)^t.$$

By [10, Theorem 5.1], we have $J(G)^{(t)} = J(G)^t$ for all $t \geq 1$ if and only if G is bipartite.

For an exponent $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we denote $x^{\mathbf{a}} = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$. The support of \mathbf{a} (and of the monomial $x^{\mathbf{a}}$) is defined by

$$\text{supp}(\mathbf{a}) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid a_i \neq 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.9. Let G be a simple graph and let $f = x^{\mathbf{a}}$ be a monomial in S . Then

$$\sqrt{J(G)^{(t)} : f} = J(H),$$

where H is the subgraph of G with edge set

$$E(H) = \{\{i, j\} \in E(G) \mid a_i + a_j < t\}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{J(G)^{(t)} : f} &= \sqrt{\bigcap_{\{i,j\} \in E(G)} (x_i, x_j)^t : f} \\ &= \bigcap \{(x_i, x_j) \mid \{i, j\} \in E(G) \text{ and } a_i + a_j < t\} \\ &= J(H). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Definition 2.10. A nonempty subgraph H of G is said to be t -admissible if there exists $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that

- (1) $a_i + a_j \geq t$ for all $\{i, j\} \in E(G) \setminus E(H)$,
- (2) $a_i + a_j < t$ for all $\{i, j\} \in E(H)$.

We denote by $\text{Adm}_t(G)$ the set of all t -admissible subgraphs of G , and by $\text{Adm}_t^*(G)$ the set of all t -admissible subgraphs of G excluding G itself.

Lemma 2.11. Let G be a simple graph. Then

$$\text{depth}(S/J(G)^{(t)}) = n - \max\{\text{reg}(I(H)) \mid H \text{ is a } t\text{-admissible subgraph of } G\}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\text{depth}(S/J(G)^{(t)}) = \min\{\text{depth}(S/J(H)) \mid H \in \text{Adm}_t(G)\}.$$

Since $J(G)$ is the Alexander dual of the edge ideal $I(G)$, by a result of Terai [21], we have $\text{pd}(S/J(H)) = \text{reg}(I(H))$. The conclusion follows from the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula. \square

Corollary 2.12. *Assume that G is a cycle or a forest. Then*

$$\text{depth}(S/J(G)^{(t)}) = n - \max\{\text{reg}(I(G)), 1 + \nu(H) \mid H \in \text{Adm}_t^*(G)\}.$$

Proof. Since any proper subgraph of a cycle is a forest, the conclusion follows from Lemma 2.11 and [3, Theorem 4.7]. \square

3. DEPTH OF SYMBOLIC POWERS OF COVER IDEALS OF CYCLES

In this section, we analyze the t -admissible subgraphs of cycles and prove the main theorem. We first fix some notation. Let H be a nonempty proper subgraph of a cycle C_n . Then the edge set $E(H)$ can be written uniquely as a disjoint union of maximal sets of consecutive edges. Suppose that

$$E(H) = B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_r,$$

where each B_i consists of consecutive edges. For each i , let C_i denote the set of edges between B_i and B_{i+1} , where we identify B_{r+1} with B_1 .

By relabeling if necessary, we may assume that 1 is the first vertex of an edge in B_1 . For each i , suppose that the vertices of the edges in B_i are $(b_i, b_i + 1, \dots, c_i)$. Then the vertices of the edges in C_i are $(c_i, c_i + 1, \dots, b_{i+1})$. In particular, we have $c_i < b_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let C_n be a cycle on n vertices. Suppose that H is a subgraph of C_n with*

$$E(H) = B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_r,$$

where each B_i consists of consecutive edges supported on the interval $[b_i, c_i]$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then H is t -admissible if and only if there exist integers $u_i, v_i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ such that:

- (1) If $|B_i| = 1$, then $u_i + v_i < t$;
- (2) If $|C_i| = 1$, then $v_i + u_{i+1} \geq t$, where $u_{r+1} = u_1$.

Proof. First, assume that H is t -admissible. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ be a vector satisfying the admissibility conditions. For each i , let u_i and v_i be the values of \mathbf{a} at the endpoints of the block B_i . Then $u_i, v_i \leq t - 1$. By definition, if $|B_i| = 1$, then $u_i + v_i < t$, and if $|C_i| = 1$, then $v_i + u_{i+1} \geq t$.

Conversely, suppose that integers $u_i, v_i \in \{0, \dots, t-1\}$ satisfy the stated conditions. We construct a vector $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ as follows. Set $a_{b_i} = u_i$ and $a_{c_i} = v_i$. For all other vertices $j \in V(B_i) \setminus \{b_i, c_i\}$, set $a_j = 0$. For vertices $j \in V(C_i) \setminus \{c_i, b_{i+1}\}$, set $a_j = t$. One checks directly that \mathbf{a} satisfies the required inequalities, and hence H is t -admissible. \square

Definition 3.2. Let H be a t -admissible subgraph of C_n . A tuple $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{N}^r \times \mathbb{N}^r$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 3.1 is called a *certificate* of H .

Definition 3.3. A sequence $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_r)$ is said to be t -realizable for C_n if there exists a t -admissible subgraph H of C_n such that $|B_i| = b_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_r)$ is t -realizable. If $b_i \geq 4$, then*

$$\mathbf{b}' = (b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, 1, b_i - 3, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_r)$$

is also t -realizable.

Proof. Let H be a t -admissible subgraph of C_n with edge decomposition $E(H) = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_r$. By Lemma 3.1, H admits a certificate $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{N}^r \times \mathbb{N}^r$.

Consider the subgraph H' of H with

$$E(H') = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{i-1} \cup B_i^1 \cup B_i^2 \cup B_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup B_r,$$

where B_i^1 consists of the first edge of B_i and B_i^2 consists of the last $b_i - 3$ edges of B_i . Then

$$E(C_n) \setminus E(H') = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_{i-1} \cup C'_i \cup C_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup C_r,$$

where C'_i consists of two edges removed from B_i .

Define \mathbf{u}' and \mathbf{v}' by

$$u'_j = \begin{cases} u_j & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = i + 1, \\ u_{j-1} & \text{for } j = i + 2, \dots, r, \end{cases} \quad v'_j = \begin{cases} v_j & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, i - 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = i, \\ v_{j-1} & \text{for } j = i + 1, \dots, r. \end{cases}$$

Since $|C'_i| = 2$, it imposes no constraint on $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}')$. The only new constraints arise from B_i^1 and B_i^2 , and these are satisfied since $v'_i = 0$ and $u'_{i+1} = 0$. Hence, $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}')$ is a certificate for H' . By Lemma 3.1, H' is t -admissible. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Assume that $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_r)$ is t -realizable. If $2 \leq b_i \leq 3$, then*

$$\mathbf{b}' = (b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, 1, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_r)$$

is also t -realizable.

Proof. Let H be a t -admissible subgraph of C_n with edge decomposition $E(H) = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_r$. By Lemma 3.1, H admits a certificate $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{N}^r \times \mathbb{N}^r$.

Consider the subgraph H' of H with

$$E(H') = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{i-1} \cup B'_i \cup B_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup B_r,$$

where B'_i consists of the first edge of B_i . Then

$$E(C_n) \setminus E(H') = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_{i-1} \cup C'_i \cup C_{i+1} \cup \dots \cup C_r,$$

where C'_i consists of the remaining edges from B_i and C_i .

Define $\mathbf{u}' = \mathbf{u}$ and \mathbf{v}' by

$$v'_j = \begin{cases} v_j & \text{if } j \neq i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = i. \end{cases}$$

Since $|C'_i| \geq 2$, it imposes no constraint on $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}')$. The only new constraint comes from B'_i , which is satisfied since $u'_i + v'_i = u_i < t$. Hence, $(\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}')$ is a certificate for H' . By Lemma 3.1, H' is t -admissible. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let H be a subgraph of C_n with*

$$E(H) = \{e_j, e_{j+2}, \dots, e_{j+2k}\}.$$

Then H is t -admissible provided that $k \leq t - 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u} = (0, 1, \dots, k)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (t - 1, t - 2, \dots, t - k - 1)$. Then (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) is a certificate for H . \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $H_1 = (e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_s})$ and $H_2 = (e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_t})$ be subgraphs of C_n such that*

$$e_{i_1} < \dots < e_{i_s} < e_{j_1} < \dots < e_{j_t},$$

with $j_1 - i_s \geq 3$ and $n + i_1 - j_t \geq 3$. If both H_1 and H_2 are t -admissible, then $H_1 \cup H_2$ is t -admissible.

Proof. Let $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_1)$ and $(\mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_2)$ be certificates of H_1 and H_2 , respectively. Then $\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2)$ and $\mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2)$ form a certificate for $H_1 \cup H_2$, since the distance between H_1 and H_2 is at least 2, so the new gaps impose no additional constraints. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let $t \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$ be integers, and set $m = \lfloor \frac{tn}{2t+1} \rfloor$. Then the sequence $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{1}^m = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ is t -realizable.*

Proof. First, note that if $t \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then $m = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, and $\mathbf{1}^m$ is realizable by Lemma 3.6. Hence, we may assume that $t \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Write $m = tq + r$ with $1 \leq r \leq t$. By Lemma 3.6, a chain of t alternating edges of C_n is realizable. We now show that a stack consisting of q such chains together with one chain of r alternating edges is realizable. This configuration contains $q(2t - 1) + (2r - 1)$ edges. By Lemma 3.7, this configuration is realizable provided that the chains are separated by at least two edges. Thus, it suffices to show that

$$q(2t - 1) + (2r - 1) + 2q + 2 \leq n,$$

which is equivalent to

$$q(2t + 1) + 2r + 1 \leq n.$$

By the definition of m , we have

$$n \geq \frac{m(2t + 1)}{t} = \frac{(tq + r)(2t + 1)}{t} = q(2t + 1) + 2r + \frac{r}{t}.$$

Since n is an integer, it follows that $n \geq q(2t + 1) + 2r + 1$, as required. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let H be a subgraph of C_n with $E(H) = \{e_j, e_{j+2}, \dots, e_{j+2k}\}$. Assume that H is t -admissible. Then $k \leq t - 1$.*

Proof. Let \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} be a certificate of H . Then we have

$$u_i + v_i \leq t - 1 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k + 1,$$

and

$$v_i + u_{i+1} \geq t \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

In particular, $u_{i+1} > u_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Since $u_1 \geq 0$ and $u_{k+1} \leq t - 1$, we deduce that $k \leq t - 1$. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let $t \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$ be integers. Then*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \right\rfloor \leq \left\lfloor \frac{tn}{2t+1} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. Write $n+1 = 3k+r$ with $0 \leq r \leq 2$. It suffices to show that $k(2t+1) \leq tn$. Indeed, we compute

$$t(3k+r-1) - k(2t+1) = tk - k + tr - t.$$

If $r > 0$, then the right-hand side is nonnegative. If $r = 0$, then $k \geq 2$, and hence $tk - k - t \geq 0$. The conclusion follows. \square

We are now ready to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Corollary 2.12 and Lemma 2.7, it suffices to show that

$$\max\{\nu(H) \mid H \in \text{Adm}_t^*(C_n)\} = \left\lfloor \frac{tn}{2t+1} \right\rfloor.$$

By Lemmas 3.4, 3.5, and 3.8, it is enough to consider the case where $H \in \text{Adm}_t^*(C_n)$ with

$$E(H) = B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_r \quad \text{and} \quad |B_i| = 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

We must show that $r \leq \left\lfloor \frac{tn}{2t+1} \right\rfloor$.

As in the proof of Lemma 3.8, we may assume that $t \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Decompose $B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_r$ into q chains of alternating edges, where each chain is separated from the next by at least two edges. Let ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_q denote the lengths of these chains. We have

$$\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_q = r, \quad \sum_{i=1}^q (2\ell_i - 1) + 2q \leq n.$$

By Lemma 3.9, $\ell_i \leq t$. Hence, $qt \geq r$. Therefore,

$$n \geq 2 \sum_{i=1}^q \ell_i + q = 2r + q \geq 2r + \frac{r}{t}.$$

Equivalently, $r(2t+1) \leq tn$, which implies $r \leq \left\lfloor \frac{tn}{2t+1} \right\rfloor$, as desired. \square

Remark 3.11. By [9, Corollary 3.6], we have $\text{depth}(S/J(C_n)^t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 2$ when n is odd. When n is even, it is known that $J(C_n)^t = J(C_n)^{(t)}$. Hence, Theorem 1.1 also determines the depth of powers of the cover ideal $J(C_n)$.

Remark 3.12. The study of the depth of symbolic powers of cover ideals of graphs is closely related to the study of ordered matchings in graphs. We refer to [7, 4, 13] for further information.

4. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was partially funded by the TNU-University of Sciences under the project code: CS2026-TN06-04.

Data Availability Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

Conflict of interest There are no competing interests of either financial or personal nature.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Balanescu and M. Cimpoeas, *Depth and Stanley depth of powers of the path ideal of a path graph*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A **86** (4) (2024). [2](#)
- [2] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **244**, Springer, New York 2008. [3](#)
- [3] S. Beyarslan, H.T. Ha and T.N. Trung (2015), *Regularity of powers of forests and cycles*, J. Algebraic Combin. 42, no. 4, 1077-1095. [5](#)
- [4] M.P. Binh, N. T. Hang, T. T. Hien, T. N. Trung, *Depth Stability of Cover ideals*, J. Algebraic Combin., <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10801-025-01483-7> (2026). [2](#), [8](#)
- [5] M. Brodmann, *The asymptotic nature of the analytic spread*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **86** (1979), 35–39. [1](#)
- [6] W. Brun and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 39, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1993.
- [7] A. Constantinescu and M. Varbaro, *Koszulness, Krull dimension, and other properties of graph-related algebras*, J. Algebr. Comb., **34**(2011), 375–400. [8](#)
- [8] T.D. Dung, N. T. Hang, P. H. Nam, N. T. T. Tam, *The depth function of powers of cover ideals of path graphs*, preprint. [2](#)
- [9] C. A. Francisco, H. T. Ha, and A. Van Tuyt, *Associated primes of monomial ideals and odd holes in graphs*, J Algebr Comb, **32** (2010), 287–301. [8](#)
- [10] J. Herzog, T. Hibi and N. V. Trung, *Symbolic powers of monomial ideals and vertex cover algebras*, Adv. Math. **210**(1) (2007), 304 - 322. [2](#), [4](#)
- [11] J. Herzog and T. Hibi (2010), *Monomial ideals*. GTM 260, Springer. [2](#)
- [12] T. T. Hien, N. T. Hang, and T. Vu, *Depth of powers of edge ideals of Cohen-Macaulay trees*, Communications in Algebra **52** (2024), 5049–5060. [2](#)
- [13] L. T. Hoa, K. Kimura, N. Terai and T. N. Trung, *Stability of depths of symbolic powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals*, J. Algebra, **473**(2017), 307-323. [2](#), [8](#)
- [14] L. T. Hoa and T. N. Trung, *Partial Castelnuovo-Mumford regularities of sums and intersections of powers of monomial ideals*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **149** (2010), 1–18. [1](#)
- [15] M. Hochster, *Cohen - Macaulay rings, combinatorics, and simplicial complexes*, in *B. R. McDonald and R. A. Morris (eds)*, Ring theory II, Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 26, M. Dekker, 1977, 171–223. [2](#)
- [16] S. Jacques, *Betti numbers of graph ideals*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Sheffield, 2004. [3](#)
- [17] H. M. Lam, N. V. Trung, and T. N. Trung, *A general formula for the index of depth stability of edge ideals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **377** (2024), 8633–8657. [1](#)
- [18] N. C. Minh, T. N. Trung, and T. Vu, *Depth of powers of edge ideals of cycles and starlike trees*, to appear in Rocky M. J. Math. arXiv:2308.00874. [2](#)

- [19] N. C. Minh, T. N. Trung, and T. Vu, *Stable value of depth of symbolic powers of edge ideals of graphs*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **329** (2024), 147–164. [1](#)
- [20] A. Simis, W.V. Vasconcelos, and R. H. Villarreal, *On the ideal theory of graphs*, J. Algebra **167** (1994), 389–416. [2](#)
- [21] N. Terai, *Alexander duality theorem and Stanley-Reisner rings*, Surikaiseikikenkyusho Kokyuroku **1078**, 174–184, Free resolutions of coordinate rings of projective varieties and related topics (Kyoto, 1998). [4](#)
- [22] T. N. Trung, *Stability of depths of powers of edge ideals*, J. Algebra **452** (2016), 157–187. [1](#)

THAI NGUYEN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES, PHAN DINH PHUNG WARD, THAI NGUYEN, VIETNAM
Email address: `dungtd@tnus.edu.vn`

THAI NGUYEN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCES, PHAN DINH PHUNG WARD, THAI NGUYEN, VIETNAM
Email address: `hangnt@tnus.edu.vn`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, VAST, 18 HOANG QUOC VIET, HANOI, VIETNAM
Email address: `vqthanh@gmail.com`