

LATE-TIME TAILS FOR LINEAR WAVES ON RADially SYMMETRIC STATIONARY SPACETIMES OF TWO SPACE DIMENSIONS

ONYX GAUTAM

ABSTRACT. We show that the leading-order term in the late-time asymptotics of solutions to the linear wave equation on radially symmetric stationary perturbations of $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space is proportional to $u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2}$ (which solves the wave equation on Minkowski space), where u and v are double null coordinates. Our proof adapts the physical space techniques in the work of Gajic [Gaj23] on the wave equation with an inverse-square potential on the Schwarzschild spacetime. In particular, we extend the r^p -weighted energy estimates of Dafermos–Rodnianski [DR09] to two space dimensions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Related results	3
1.2. Outline of the proof	4
Acknowledgements	11
2. Preliminaries	11
2.1. Notation and conventions for constants	11
2.2. Assumptions on the metric	12
2.3. The hyperboloidal foliation and spacetime regions	12
2.4. Notation for vector fields	13
2.5. Projection to angular modes	13
2.6. Notation for scalar fields and expressions for the wave equation	13
2.7. Norms	14
3. Precise statement of the main result	17
4. Energy estimates	17
4.1. Energy estimates for scalar fields that satisfy an equation with a good zeroth-order term	17
4.2. Energy estimates for radially symmetric scalar fields	22
5. Energy decay and improved energy decay for time derivatives	29
5.1. An abstract interpolation lemma	29
5.2. Energy decay for scalar fields that satisfy an equation with a good zeroth-order term	30
5.3. Energy decay for radially symmetric scalar fields	32
6. Constructing time integrals	36
6.1. The Minkowskian solution and the renormalized solution	37
6.2. Constructing time integral initial data by inverting an elliptic operator	39
6.3. Constructing time integrals from initial data by solving a wave equation	42
7. Late-time asymptotics	46
7.1. Energy decay for the renormalized solution	46
7.2. Pointwise decay for the renormalized solution	48
Appendix A. Failure of integrated local energy decay for radially symmetric scalar fields	53
Appendix B. An exceptional cancellation on Minkowski space and control of a zeroth-order bulk term	54
References	58

1. INTRODUCTION

We are interested in solutions to the linear wave equation on spacetimes of two space dimensions:

$$\square_g \varphi = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Our main result determines the leading-order late-time asymptotics of solutions to (1.1) when the metric g is a radially symmetric stationary perturbation of the Minkowski metric.

Theorem 1.1 (Main theorem on leading-order late-time asymptotics, rough version). *Let g be a Lorentzian metric on \mathbf{R}^{2+1} that is a small, stationary, radially symmetric, and asymptotically flat perturbation of the Minkowski metric. Let (u, v, θ) be a double null coordinate system on (\mathbf{R}^{2+1}, g) normalized as in Minkowski space. Then there is $\delta > 0$ such that for large values of u , solutions φ of (1.1) arising from suitably regular and decaying initial data satisfy*

$$\varphi(u, v, \theta) = \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2} + O(u^{-1/2-\delta}v^{-1/2}). \tag{1.2}$$

Here $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$ is a linear functional of the initial data for φ , and the implicit constant in the big- O notation depends on a weighted Sobolev norm of the initial data. Moreover, the estimate (1.2) is stable under differentiation by $r\partial_v$ and uT , where r is the area-radius function associated to the orbits of radial symmetry and T is the Killing vector field associated to stationarity.

See theorem 3.1 for a more precise statement.

Remark 1.2 (The leading-order profile). The explicit function $u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2}$ that appears in theorem 1.1 solves the wave equation on exact Minkowski space (where $u = t - r$ and $v = t + r$). For this reason $u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2}$ is a natural candidate for the leading-order profile of general solutions on spacetimes that are small perturbations of Minkowski space.

Remark 1.3 (The representation formula on Minkowski space). On exact Minkowski space, one could in principle deduce theorem 1.1 from the representation formula for solutions to the wave equation, but this method does not apply to the more general backgrounds we consider.

Remark 1.4 (Contrast with the strong Huygens principle in odd space dimensions). Solutions to the linear wave equation on $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space for $n \geq 3$ odd obey the strong Huygens principle, and so exhibit no non-trivial late-time asymptotics when their Cauchy data is compactly supported. The setting of theorem 1.1 (specialized to Minkowski space) is therefore the simplest possible for a late-time tails result.

Remark 1.5 (A comment on the assumptions). We must assume that the perturbation is small to prevent trapping or bound states, which obstruct decay. The assumption of stationarity is natural for potential applications that involve the stability of steady states. In such problems, the background will be asymptotically stationary. The methods we use have been applied to spacetimes settling down appropriately to the stationary Schwarzschild spacetime in [GK25], and so our methods could be applied to backgrounds that are only asymptotically stationary. Finally, the assumption that the background metric is radially symmetric is a significant restriction of our proof. We use this assumption to split the scalar field into its radially symmetric part φ_0 and non-radially symmetric part $\varphi_{\geq 1}$ and construct the good scalar field $\Psi_0 := r^{1/2}\partial_r\varphi_0$. On non-symmetric backgrounds, these quantities would satisfy suitable equations near infinity, but not in a compact set, where many of the difficulties lie.

Remark 1.6 (A comment on the proof). The main difficulties in this problem arise from an inverse-square potential of a bad sign in the equation for $r^{1/2}\varphi$. The inverse-square potential is scale-critical and moreover appears with the critical constant $-1/4$.¹ For this reason, techniques that are standard in $(3 + 1)$ dimensions, such as integrated local energy decay estimates and r^p -weighted energy estimates, degenerate in $(2 + 1)$ dimensions. The main new steps of our proof are:

- (i) an extension of the r^p -weighted energy method of Dafermos–Rodnianski [DR09] and methods of Gajic [Gaj23] to $(2 + 1)$ dimensions,

¹Here “scale-critical” means that the inverse-square potential in the equation for $r^{1/2}\varphi$ scales the same way as the derivative terms in the spatial part of the operator in the equation for $r^{1/2}\varphi$, namely $\partial_r^2 + r^{-2}\partial_\theta^2$ (on Minkowski space). Inverse-square potentials are also critical for the behaviour of the spectrum of the corresponding Schrödinger operator. See remarks 1.7 and 1.8 for further discussion.

- (ii) and a resolution of the difficulty caused by the inverse-square potential with critical constant $-1/4$ by introducing the commuted quantity $\Psi_0 := r^{1/2}\partial_r\varphi_0$. Here φ_0 is the radially symmetric part of φ and ∂_r is the coordinate derivative in (t, r) coordinates. The quantity Ψ_0 is good because it solves a wave equation with inverse-square potential with the constant $+3/4$. This means we can prove estimates for Ψ_0 using standard techniques. We then prove estimates for φ_0 by treating Ψ_0 as a controlled source term.

See section 1.2.1 for a more detailed summary of the proof.

1.1. Related results.

1.1.1. *Late-time tails for linear and nonlinear waves in three space dimensions.* There is a vast literature studying the late-time asymptotics of linear and nonlinear waves in three (and higher) space dimensions, including [Hin23; LO24; GK25], which treat general dynamical and nonlinear settings. We refer to [LO24, Sec. 1.3] for a more detailed overview of the literature, including upper bound results.

Much of the literature studies the wave equation on black hole spacetimes. Heuristics for the sharp decay rate of linear waves on the Schwarzschild spacetime of three space dimensions go back to Price [Pri72]. The sharp pointwise asymptotics on various black hole spacetimes, including the subextremal Kerr background, were proven mathematically in [AAG18b; AAG23a; AAG23b] and in [Hin22]. A Price’s law result was established in a nonlinear and spherically symmetric setting in [Gau24].

1.1.2. *Linear wave equations with an inverse-square potential in three space dimensions.* The first results, namely Strichartz and dispersive estimates, for wave equations with an inverse-square potential in three space dimensions are due to [PST02; BPST03; BPST04]. The work of Gajic [Gaj23] establishes late-time asymptotics for solutions to the wave equation with an inverse-square potential on the Schwarzschild spacetime (of three space dimensions). In [Hin23], similar results are obtained in all higher dimensions. Another proof is given in [BGM25], working in the framework of [BVW15] (and relying on [BM22]), which establishes sharp decay rates for such equations (as well as for solutions of the massless Dirac–Coulomb system). We also mention the work [GVdM24], which uses the conformal embedding of $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space into $\text{AdS}_2 \times S^2$ to derive late-time asymptotics for such equations. See [VdM25] for an extension of the r^p -weighted energy method to linear wave equations on spherically symmetric spacetimes with small inverse-square potentials (as well as small scale-critical first-order terms) that may oscillate in time.

We also mention the works [DS10; CH14], which study the sharp decay rates for the *one-dimensional* wave equation with a potential V satisfying $|V(x)| \lesssim (1 + x)^{-p}$ for $p > 2$, and the works [CSST08; DK13] which consider the case $p = 2$. The estimates in [DK13] were used in [DKSW16] to prove the codimension-one stability of the catenoid of two space dimensions as a stationary solution of the hyperbolic vanishing mean curvature equation to perturbations that are radially symmetric and satisfy an additional discrete symmetry. Finally, we refer to the survey article [Sch21] for further references.

1.1.3. *Linear wave equations in two space dimensions.* We first discuss results that use physical space methods, as we do here. The recent work [Ike25] established that for a class of wave equations with variable coefficients (without a symmetry condition as we impose here), the *local* energy (namely the energy restricted to a compact region of space) of the solution decays like $t^{-2} \log t$, as long as the data is compactly supported; note that theorem 1.1 provides the sharp rate t^{-2} . In [Won19], Wong obtained the almost-sharp *global* decay rate $u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2} \log^2(uv)$ for solutions to the wave equation on $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space using the conformal (or Morawetz) multiplier $u^2\partial_u + v^2\partial_v$, again under a compact support assumption; the associated energy estimates are then used to study the global existence problem for wave maps. In [HM23], the authors use the multiplier $r(\log r)^q\partial_v$ for $q \in (0, 1)$ to obtain an integrated energy estimate in the context of the Dirichlet problem for the wave equation outside an obstacle containing the origin in two space dimensions.

There is also a large body of work using spectral methods to study linear wave equations with a potential on $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space, namely $(\square_m - V)\varphi = 0$. In this setting, when $|V| \lesssim (1 + r)^{-\beta}$ for some $\beta > 2$, the spectrum of the stationary (spatial) operator, namely the Hamiltonian $-\Delta_{\mathbf{R}^2} + V$, consists of finitely many non-negative eigenvalues and the absolutely continuous spectrum $[0, \infty)$. The negative eigenvalues lead to exponentially growing mode solutions, and the zero eigenvalues are obstructions to decay. For this reason, one first projects away the non-positive eigenvalues (thereby considering solutions orthogonal to the corresponding eigenfunctions), or demands that the zero be a “regular point” of the spectrum of

$H = -\Delta_{\mathbf{R}^2} + V$. This means that H has no resonances or zero-energy eigenfunctions.² This assumption is *not* satisfied in the setting of theorem 1.1, since the constant function 1 is an “s-wave” resonance $H = -\Delta_{\mathbf{R}^2}$. When this assumption is satisfied, one can obtain better decay results for linear wave equations with a potential: [Kop10; Gre14] (see also the high-frequency analysis of [Mou09]) show a pointwise decay rate $|\varphi| \lesssim t^{-1}(\log t)^{-2}$ near $\{r = 0\}$, which is faster than the rate given by theorem 1.1 by $(\log t)^2$.

We also remark that [Bec16] proves Strichartz estimates. The methods in these works are related to the study of zero-energy resolvent expansions for $-\Delta_{\mathbf{R}^2} + V$ (see [JN01; EG13]). Finally, we mention the work [FZZ22], which studies wave equations in two dimensions with an inverse-square *electromagnetic* potential.

1.2. Outline of the proof. We now outline the proof of theorem 1.1 when the background is Minkowski space (and when $N = M = 0$). Let φ be a solution to the wave equation $\square_m \varphi = 0$. We will use the following notation for scalar fields associated to φ :

Notation	Meaning
φ	solution to $\square_m \varphi = 0$
φ_0 and $\varphi_{\geq 1}$	φ_0 is the radially symmetric part of φ , and $\varphi_{\geq 1} := \varphi - \varphi_0$
$\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$	a linear functional of the initial data for φ defined in (2.36)
φ_{mink}	the leading-order profile $u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2}$, which solves the wave equation on Minkowski space
$\widehat{\varphi}$	$\widehat{\varphi} := \varphi - \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]\varphi_{\text{mink}}$ is the renormalized solution
ψ	$\psi := r^{1/2}\varphi$ achieves a finite non-zero limit at future null infinity
ψ_0 and $\psi_{\geq 1}$	defined analogously to φ_0 and $\varphi_{\geq 1}$
Ψ_0	$\Psi_0 := r^{1/2}\partial_r\varphi_0$, where ∂_r is the coordinate derivative in (t, r, θ) coordinates

As we will explain, the scalar fields $\psi_{\geq 1}$ and Ψ_0 are “good,” while the radially symmetric scalar field φ_0 is “bad.” The good quantities $\psi_{\geq 1}$ and Ψ_0 satisfy estimates that are standard in $(3 + 1)$ dimensions and which fail for the bad quantity φ_0 . We will also write $\underline{L} = \partial_u$ and $L = \partial_v$ for the coordinate derivatives in (u, v, θ) coordinates.

1.2.1. A brief summary of the proof. Our goal is to prove a late-time tails result for the linear wave equation in $(2 + 1)$ dimensions. There are many such results in higher dimensions, even in nonlinear settings (see section 1.1.1). We highlight in particular the work of Gajic [Gaj23] on wave equations with an inverse-square potential in $(3 + 1)$ dimensions, which makes crucial use of the Dafermos–Rodnianski r^p -weighted estimates [DR09]. However, the methods of [DR09; Gaj23] do not apply directly to our problem.

The main challenge in two space dimensions appears at the level of the radially symmetric part of φ , which we call φ_0 . The quantity $r^{1/2}\varphi_0$ solves an equation with an inverse-square potential of a *bad sign* (with the critical constant $-1/4$), which obstructs standard Morawetz and r^p -weighted estimates.³ The mechanism, which we identify in appendix A, is the scale invariance of the energy norm $\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ in two space dimensions. On Minkowski space, one can nevertheless prove r^p -weighted energy estimates for φ_0 , due to an exact cancellation that arises when $p = 1$ (see appendix B). This cancellation does not persist on perturbations of Minkowski space.

We overcome this difficulty by identifying two “good” quantities that solve wave equations with (effective) potentials of a favourable sign. The first is $r^{1/2}\varphi_{\geq 1} := r^{1/2}(\varphi - \varphi_0)$, and the second, more important one, is $\Psi_0 := r^{1/2}\partial_r\varphi_0$. One can close energy estimates for these good quantities using standard techniques. Since we can decompose $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \varphi_{\geq 1}$, it remains to estimate φ_0 . We prove estimates for φ_0 by coupling them to estimates for the good quantity Ψ_0 . That is, once Ψ_0 is estimated, we can treat it as an acceptable error term in estimates for φ_0 . We use this strategy to derive novel integrated local energy decay and r -weighted estimates for φ_0 .

To turn our energy estimates into *sharp* pointwise decay results, we subtract from φ a suitable multiple of the desired leading-order profile $\varphi_{\text{mink}} := u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2}$ (which solves the wave equation on $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space) and consider instead the *renormalized quantity* $\widehat{\varphi} := \varphi - \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]\varphi_{\text{mink}}$. The suitable multiple $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$ (introduced in theorem 1.1) is chosen so that one can construct a *time integral* of $\widehat{\varphi}$, namely a function

²Let $w \neq 0$ be a distributional solution of $Hw = (-\Delta_{\mathbf{R}^2} + V)w = 0$. We say w is an “s-wave” resonance if $w \in L^\infty$ and $w \notin L^p$ for any $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $w \in L^p$ for all $p > 2$ but $w \notin L^2$, then w is called a “p-wave” resonance. Finally, w is a zero-energy eigenfunction if $w \in L^2$.

³In higher even spatial dimensions, the inverse-square potential has a good sign, and in higher odd spatial dimensions, the inverse-square potential does not appear. For this reason, one can establish Morawetz and r^p estimates in higher dimensions.

$T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}$ such that $TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi} = \widehat{\varphi}$. The reason we construct a time integral of $\widehat{\varphi}$, thereby expressing it as a time derivative of a solution to (1.1), is that time derivatives decay faster. The improved decay we therefore obtain for $\widehat{\varphi} = \varphi - \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]_{\varphi_{\text{mink}}}$ means that the leading-order asymptotics of φ are indeed given by $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]_{\varphi_{\text{mink}}}$.

We construct the time integral by solving the wave equation from initial data obtained by solving an elliptic problem $\mathcal{L}[T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}] = \mathcal{F}[\widehat{\varphi}]$, where \mathcal{L} is the spatial part of the wave operator and \mathcal{F} is the first-order operator such that $\mathcal{L}[\varphi] = \mathcal{F}[T\varphi]$ for solutions of (1.1). Working with the renormalized quantity $\widehat{\varphi}$ (as opposed to the original solution φ) is necessary because the image of \mathcal{L} (acting on radially symmetric functions) has codimension one; the quantity $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$ is defined so that the source $\mathcal{F}[\widehat{\varphi}]$ is in the image of \mathcal{L} .

We then show that each time derivative gains two powers of u in energy decay (where we consider an energy defined along asymptotically null hypersurfaces). That is, while the T -energy $E[\varphi]$ decays like u^{-1} , the T -energy $E[T\varphi]$ decays like u^{-3} . It follows that the pointwise norm of a time derivative decays faster by one power in u . Since $\widehat{\varphi} = TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}$ is a time derivative, this shows that $\widehat{\varphi}$ decays faster in u than φ_{mink} , which means that φ itself is described at late times by a multiple of φ_{mink} plus an error term that decays faster in u .

These ideas are discussed in more detail in sections 1.2.2–1.2.8.

1.2.2. *Difficulties associated to the zeroth-order term of a bad sign.* The main difficulty in $(2+1)$ dimensions is the presence of zeroth-order terms of a *bad sign* that form an obstruction to integrated local energy decay (or Morawetz) and r -weighted energy estimates. By integrated local energy decay, we mean an estimate of the form

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq R\}} r(\partial\varphi)^2 + \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \lesssim_R E[\varphi](0), \quad (1.3)$$

where τ is a time function with level sets $\Sigma(\tau)$ (in our proof we take τ to have hyperboloidal level sets, so that energies defined on the foliation $\Sigma(\tau)$ will decay), $R > 0$ is arbitrary, and $E[\varphi](0)$ is the initial T -energy (where $T = \partial_t$ is the stationary Killing vector field). By an r -weighted energy estimate, we mean an estimate roughly of the form

$$\int_{\Sigma(\tau_2)} r^p (L\psi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} pr^{p-1} (L\psi)^2 + (2-p)r^{p-3} (\psi^2 + (\partial_\theta\psi)^2) \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \lesssim \int_{\Sigma(\tau_1)} r^p (L\psi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \quad (1.4)$$

for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and $0 \leq p \leq 2$. Here we have written $\psi := r^{1/2}\varphi$ and $L = \partial_v$ for the coordinate derivative in (u, v, θ) coordinates, where $u = \frac{1}{2}(t - r)$ and $v = \frac{1}{2}(t + r)$ are the standard double null coordinates on Minkowski space.

The bad zeroth-order term is manifest in the equation for $\psi = r^{1/2}\varphi$, which has an *inverse-square potential* with constant $-1/4$:

$$r^{1/2}\square\varphi = -\underline{L}L\psi - \alpha r^{-2}\psi + r^{-2}\partial_\theta^2\psi \quad \text{for } \alpha = -\frac{1}{4}. \quad (1.5)$$

Here we write $\underline{L} = \partial_u$ and $L = \partial_v$ for the coordinate derivatives in (u, v, θ) coordinates. Previous works have studied wave equations with an inverse-square potential of the form αr^{-2} for $\alpha > -1/4$ (see section 1.1.2 for further discussion), but (1.5) corresponds to the case where the parameter α takes the critical value $-1/4$.

Remark 1.7 (Criticality of inverse-square potentials of the form αr^{-2} with $\alpha = -1/4$). Inverse-square potentials are critical for the behaviour of the spectrum of the corresponding Schrödinger operator in the following sense. The operator $-\Delta + V$ acting on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)$ has an infinite discrete spectrum when $V \sim \alpha r^{-p}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ for $p > 2$, while the discrete spectrum is finite if $p < 2$. In the critical case $p = 2$, the spectral properties of this operator depend on the value of α : when $\alpha < -1/4$, the operator is unbounded from below and fails to be essentially self-adjoint, and when $\alpha \geq -1/4$, the discrete spectrum is finite. See [RS81, Thm. XII.6] for further discussion.

Remark 1.8 (Relation between Hardy's inequality and the critical value $\alpha = -1/4$). In 3 dimensions, 4 is the best constant in Hardy's inequality, namely the estimate $\|r^{-1}f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}^2 \leq 4\|\partial f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^3)}^2$ for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$. This means that, when $\alpha > -1/4$, bad zeroth-order terms in estimates for solutions φ to $(\square - \alpha r^{-2})\varphi = 0$ can be absorbed by the remaining good derivative terms. Put another way, the operator $-\Delta + \alpha r^{-2}$ acting on $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^3)$ is positive-definite when $\alpha > -1/4$ but fails to be positive-definite when $\alpha = -1/4$.

The analogue of Hardy's inequality fails in two dimensions, due to the non-integrability of $1/r^2$ near the origin, but a logarithmically modified version holds.

The obstruction caused by the bad zeroth-order term can be overcome when $\varphi_0 \equiv 0$, where φ_0 is the radially symmetric part of φ (namely the average of φ over circles of constant τ and r , where τ is a time function). Indeed, for such functions, which satisfy $\varphi = \varphi_{\geq 1}$, where $\varphi_{\geq 1} := \varphi - \varphi_0$ is the projection of φ to non-zero angular modes, the following Poincaré inequality on S^1 holds:

$$\int_{S^1} (\partial_\theta \varphi)^2 d\theta \geq \int_{S^1} \varphi^2 d\theta \text{ when } \varphi = \varphi_{\geq 1}. \quad (1.6)$$

It follows that the angular derivative term can absorb the zeroth-order term of a bad sign, and so the *effective potential* in the equation for $\psi_{\geq 1}$ is αr^{-2} for $\alpha = 3/4$, which has a good sign. Since the effective value of α is positive, one can establish integrated local energy decay estimates and r^p -weighted energy estimates in the full range $0 \leq p < 2$ for $\psi_{\geq 1}$ using the same proofs that work in $(3+1)$ dimensions (see section 4.1).

On the other hand, the integrated local energy decay estimate of (1.3), which controls in particular a zeroth-order term in the bulk by the T -energy, *fails* to hold for radially symmetric scalar fields in $(2+1)$ dimensions, due to the scale invariance of the energy space $\dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ (see appendix A).

1.2.3. *A quantity that solves an equation with a zeroth-order term of a good sign.* A key observation is that the quantity $\Psi_0 := r^{1/2} \partial_r \varphi_0$, where $\partial_r = \partial_v - \partial_u$ is the coordinate derivative in (t, r, θ) coordinates, solves an equation with a zeroth-order term of a *good sign*, namely

$$0 = \underline{L}L\Psi_0 + \frac{3}{4}r^{-2}\Psi_0. \quad (1.7)$$

This is to be contrasted with the bad sign in the equation that $\psi_0 = r^{1/2}\varphi_0$ satisfies, namely

$$0 = \underline{L}L\psi_0 - \frac{1}{4}r^{-2}\psi_0. \quad (1.8)$$

In other words, commuting with $r^{1/2}\partial_r$ converts the bad sign in front of the inverse-square potential to a favourable sign. For this reason, one can establish integrated local energy decay estimates, as well as r^p -weighted energy estimates in the full range $0 < p < 2$ for Ψ_0 , which take the form

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p[\Psi_0](\tau_2) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} r^{p-1}(L\Psi_0)^2 + r^{p-3}\Psi_0^2 dr d\theta d\tau \lesssim_p \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p[\Psi_0](\tau_1), \quad (1.9)$$

where we have written

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p[\Phi](\tau) := \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} r^p(L\Phi)^2 + h(r)r^{p-2}\Phi^2 dr d\theta. \quad (1.10)$$

Here $h(r)$ is a function that measures the rate at which the hyperboloidal foliation $\Sigma(\tau)$ becomes null as $r \rightarrow \infty$ (see section 2.3). For example, we can take $h(r)$ to equal $(1+r)^{-2}$ for $r \geq 1$. Similar estimates hold for $\psi_{\geq 1}$ (where the bulk term in (1.9) and energy in (1.10) include angular terms).

1.2.4. *An integrated energy estimate for radially symmetric scalar fields.* The wave equation for a radially symmetric scalar field φ can be written

$$\square_m \varphi = -\partial_u \partial_v \varphi + r^{-1} \partial_r \varphi. \quad (1.11)$$

To obtain an integrated energy estimate that controls derivatives of φ in the bulk by the T -energy, we treat $r^{-1}\partial_r \varphi = r^{-3/2}\Psi$ in (1.11) as a source term. This turns the principal part of the equation into $\partial_u \partial_v \varphi$. We use a standard multiplier for this principal part and treat the terms involving Ψ as an error controlled by the r^p -weighted energy estimates established in section 1.2.3. This yields the novel estimate

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} (1+r)^{-1+\delta} (\partial\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \lesssim_\delta E[\varphi](\tau_1) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta}[\Psi](\tau_1). \quad (1.12)$$

for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. Thus, although integrated local energy decay controlling a zeroth-order bulk term fails directly for radial waves (see appendix A), one still obtains a weaker integrated estimate controlling only derivative terms after coupling the estimate to the auxiliary quantity Ψ .

This estimate is established in section 4.2.1.

1.2.5. *r*-weighted energy estimates for radially symmetric scalar fields. The usual proofs [DR09; Mos16] of r^p -weighted energy estimates in $(3+1)$ dimensions, which are very robust, fail on general backgrounds in $(2+1)$ dimensions, and so we need to introduce new techniques. Recall that, in these proofs, one uses a multiplier $r^p \partial_v$ (where $p \in (0, 2)$) in a large- r region and controls the error terms in the complementary finite- r region using an integrated local energy decay estimate. As we show in appendix A, the latter estimate (which would control a zeroth-order term in the bulk) does not hold in $(2+1)$ dimensions. The obstruction to proving integrated local energy decay and r^p -weighted estimates in $(2+1)$ dimensions is precisely due to the zeroth-order term associated to φ_0 , the radially symmetric part of φ . For this reason, we assume for the rest of this section that $\varphi = \varphi_0$.

The zeroth-order term obstructing an r^p -weighted energy estimate happens to vanish on exact Minkowski space when $p = 1$! One can exploit this cancellation to establish r^p -weighted energy estimates on Minkowski space in the full range $p \in [1, 2)$ (see appendix B).

On perturbed backgrounds, the cancellation that occurs on Minkowski space disappears, producing zeroth-order bulk terms that, although small, we cannot control. For this reason, we are *unable* to establish r -weighted energy estimates for φ itself in our setting. Nevertheless, we can close estimates for derivatives of φ , namely for $T\varphi$ and $(rL)\varphi$. Replacing φ with $T\varphi$ turns the dangerous zeroth-order term into a derivative bulk term that one can control using the integrated energy estimate of section 1.2.4. On the other hand, computing with (rL) generates bulk terms of a good sign that compensate for the loss of the cancellation in the zeroth-order term.

We now explain how the cancellation on Minkowski space arises, why it fails on general backgrounds, and how this failure can be circumvented using commutation. We use $f(r)L\psi$ as a *global* multiplier for (1.5), all the way to $\{r = 0\}$. After differentiating by parts multiple times and writing $f(r) = rg(r)$, we obtain the identity

$$-2fL\psi r^{1/2} \square_m \varphi = \underline{L}(f(L\psi)^2) + \frac{1}{4}L((2rg' + g)\varphi^2) + f'(L\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(-rg'' - g')\varphi^2. \quad (1.13)$$

When $f(r) \equiv r$, i.e. $g(r) \equiv 1$, the zeroth-order term in the identity (1.13) vanishes,⁴ yielding a coercive estimate. On Minkowski space, $p = 1$ is the unique $p \in (0, 2)$ for which the zeroth-order term in (1.13) vanishes when $f(r) = r^p$, rather than contributing with the wrong sign;⁵ concretely we have $-rg'' - g' = -(p-1)^2 r^{p-2}$ when $g(r) = r^{p-1}$.

On perturbations of Minkowski space, the zeroth-order term in (the analogue of) (1.13) does not vanish, even when $g(r) \equiv 1$! Because a Morawetz estimate controlling a zeroth-order term in the bulk is not available, we treat this term as an error, so that (1.13) produces (for $f(r) \equiv r$) an estimate of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_1[\varphi](\tau_2) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} r(L\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ \leq A\mathcal{E}_1[\varphi](\tau_1) + B\epsilon \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} (1+r)^{-2} \varphi^2 dr d\theta d\tau - C \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} 2rL\psi r^{1/2} \square_m \varphi dr d\theta d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

Here $A, B, C > 0$ are constants, ϵ measures the size of the metric perturbation, and we have written

$$\mathcal{E}_1[\varphi](\tau) := \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} r(L\psi)^2 + h(r)\varphi^2 dr d\theta, \quad (1.15)$$

where h is as in section 1.2.3. Because of the zeroth-order bulk term on the right-hand side of (1.14), we are not able to obtain r -weighted energy estimates for φ itself on a general background.

We now explain how to close the estimates for $T\varphi$ and $(rL)\varphi$. First, we apply (1.14) with $T\varphi$ in place of φ . When $\square\varphi = 0$, we have $\square T\varphi = 0$, and so the final term on the right-hand side of (1.14) vanishes. The

⁴The two functions $f(r) = rg(r)$ for which the zeroth-order term in (1.13) vanishes are $f(r) = r$ and $f(r) = r \log r$. The multipliers that interpolate between these two endpoints, namely $f(r)L$ with $f(r) = r(\log r)^q$ for $q \in (0, 1)$, produce a zeroth-order term with a good sign in (1.13). However, these logarithmically weighted multipliers are not sufficiently regular at $\{r = 0\}$. One can remove the issue of regularity near $\{r = 0\}$ by considering the Dirichlet problem for the wave equation outside an obstacle containing the origin, as is done in [HM23], which uses the logarithmically weighted multipliers.

⁵This observation is consistent with [Gaj23], where r^p -weighted energy estimates are derived for solutions to a wave equation (on the Schwarzschild spacetime of $(3+1)$ dimensions) with an inverse square potential αr^{-2} (for $\alpha > -1/4$) in the range $p \in (1 - \beta, 1 + \beta)$ for $\beta = \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha}$. The case $\alpha = -1/4$ arises in $(2+1)$ dimensions, and in this case we have $\beta = 0$.

bulk term with an ϵ -factor (involving now $T\varphi$) can be controlled by the T -energy of φ and an r^p -weighted energy of Ψ , in view of the discussion in section 1.2.4. In conclusion, we obtain an estimate

$$\mathcal{E}_1[T\varphi](\tau_2) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} r(LT\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \lesssim \mathcal{E}_1[T\varphi](\tau_1) + E[\varphi](\tau_1) + \text{terms involving } \Psi. \quad (1.16)$$

Next, we apply (1.14) with $(rL)\varphi$ in place of φ . The integrand of the final term on the right-hand side of (1.14) becomes $-2rL(r^{1/2}(rL)\varphi)\square_m(rL)\varphi$. Expanding this and commuting (rL) with \square_m produces bulk terms involving $L(rL)^{\leq 1}\varphi$ of a favourable sign and terms involving Ψ . The good bulk terms are enough to compensate for the term in (1.14) with an ϵ -factor. After treating all terms involving Ψ as error, we obtain the estimate

$$\mathcal{E}_1[(rL)\varphi](\tau_2) + \sum_{n=0}^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} r(L(rL)^n\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \lesssim \mathcal{E}_1[(rL)\varphi](\tau) + \text{terms involving } \Psi. \quad (1.17)$$

We have therefore proven r -weighted estimates for derivatives of φ , and these estimates are sufficient to prove theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.9 (Estimates with stronger r -weights). In order to derive pointwise estimates, one also needs control of r^p -weighted energies for $p = 1 + \delta$, where $\delta > 0$ is small. Using $f(r) = r(1+r)^\delta$ in (1.13) (where $\delta > 0$) produces an additional zeroth-order error term in the bulk. Since this term comes with a δ -factor, it can be absorbed as above when δ is small.

These estimates are established in section 4.2.2.

1.2.6. *Improved energy decay for time derivatives.* It is well-known since [DR09] that r^p -weighted energy estimates of the type proven in section 1.2.5 (with $p = 1$), lead to τ^{-1} decay for the T -energy, via what we will call in this section a ‘‘pigeonhole argument’’. However, the decay rate τ^{-1} for the T -energy of φ is too slow to prove theorem 1.1, since the best pointwise decay it can imply for φ is $\tau^{-1/2}$, while theorem 1.1 shows that φ decays like τ^{-1} near $\{r = 0\}$. In $(3+1)$ -dimensions, one could improve the decay in τ for φ by increasing the range of p for which one applies r^p -weighted estimates. However, as discussed in section 1.2.5, such an increased range is not available in $(2+1)$ dimensions.

To obtain improved decay for φ , we first obtain improved decay for $T\varphi$, whose T -energy decays two powers faster, like τ^{-3} . This is helpful because we will eventually write a renormalized version of φ as a time derivative (see section 1.2.7).

We first discuss the improved decay of T -derivatives of the ‘‘good scalar field’’ Ψ_0 . The same argument works for $\psi_{\geq 1}$. The methods in this case are standard (see [Gaj23, Sec. 8]). Improved decay for T -derivatives (after commuting with (rL)) goes back to [Sch13; Mos16], and appears in [AAG18a; AAG18b; AAG23a]. First, the r^p -weighted energy estimate for Ψ_0 with $p = 1$ (see (1.9)) implies the estimate

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1[\Psi_0](\tau_2) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0[\Psi_0](\tau) d\tau \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1[\Psi_0](\tau_1) \quad (1.18)$$

for $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. A pigeonhole argument provides τ^{-1} decay for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0[\Psi_0](\tau)$, which is at the level of the T -energy. Then, one uses the equation for Ψ_0 (see (1.7)) together with the expression $T = L + \underline{L}$ to estimate

$$r^2(LT\Psi_0)^2 \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^1 (L(rL)^n\Psi_0)^2 + r^{-2}\Psi_0^2. \quad (1.19)$$

When integrated in the bulk, the left-hand side of (1.19) corresponds to the $p = 3$ energy of $T\Psi_0$, while the terms on the right-hand side of (1.19) are controlled by the $p = 1$ energy of Ψ_0 (see (1.9)). In this way, one can exchange time derivatives in an energy norm for two powers of r , at the cost of one commutation with (rL) . Heuristically, gaining two powers of r corresponds to ‘‘increasing the range of an r^p -weighted energy hierarchy by two,’’ which corresponds to gaining two powers of decay in τ . Indeed, we obtain a τ^{-3} decay rate for the T -energy of $T\Psi_0$ (compared to the τ^{-1} decay rate for the T -energy of Ψ_0 itself), using only r^p -weighted energies with $p = 1$.

We now discuss the estimates for radially symmetric scalar fields $\varphi = \varphi_0$, which do not appear in previous works. We want to show that $E[T\varphi_0]$ decays like τ^{-3} . As a first step, we can show that an analogue of (1.18)

holds for φ_0 :

$$E[(rL)^{\leq 1}\varphi_0](\tau_2) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} E[(rL)^{\leq 1}\varphi_0](\tau) d\tau \lesssim E[(rL)^{\leq 1}\varphi_0](\tau_1) + \mathcal{E}_1[(rL)\varphi_0](\tau_1) + \text{terms involving } \Psi_0 \quad (1.20)$$

for $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. A pigeonhole argument extracts a decay rate τ^{-1} for the T -energy of $(rL)^{\leq 1}\varphi_0$. From here, we cannot directly apply the strategy used for Ψ_0 and write $(LT\psi_0)^2 \lesssim r^{-1}(L(rL)^{\leq 1}\psi_0)^2 + r^{-2}\psi_0^2$ in analogy with (1.19). This is because we do not control a bulk term involving $L\psi_0$ (only one involving $L\varphi$) or, more importantly, a zeroth-order bulk term involving ψ_0 . Instead, we estimate

$$r^2(LT\psi_0)^2 \lesssim r(L(rL)^{\leq 1}\varphi_0)^2 + r^2(L\Psi_0)^2. \quad (1.21)$$

After integrating in the bulk, the first term on the right-hand side of (1.21) can be controlled by the $p = 1$ energy of $(rL)^{\leq 1}\varphi_0$ (see (1.17)). Thus a gain in r -powers, and hence a gain in τ -decay, after commuting with T holds for φ_0 just as it does for Ψ_0 , as long as we can control the term

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} r^2(L\Psi_0)^2 dr d\theta d\tau. \quad (1.22)$$

This bulk term corresponds to the $p = 3$ energy of Ψ_0 . However, we would like to only use $p = 1$ energies, and even then, one can only control the r^p -weighted energy of Ψ_0 with $p \in (0, 2)$! To control this term, we write $\Psi_0 = TT^{-1}\Psi_0$, where $T^{-1}\Psi_0$ is a *time integral* of Ψ_0 (see section 1.2.7), and exchange the time derivative for two powers of r -decay by applying (1.19) with $T^{-1}\Psi_0$ in place of Ψ_0 . We are then left with a term of the form

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} (LT^{-1}\Psi_0)^2 dr d\theta d\tau, \quad (1.23)$$

which is controlled by the $p = 1$ energy of $T^{-1}\Psi_0$.

Remark 1.10 (Constructing two time integrals of Ψ_0). When, after the discussion of section 1.2.7, we apply the analysis of this section to $T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0$ in place of φ (where $\widehat{\varphi}$ is a suitably renormalized version of φ), we will need to construct *two* time integrals of the renormalized quantity $\widehat{\Psi}_0$. Although we cannot construct two time integrals of $\widehat{\varphi}_0$, this is possible for $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ precisely because this quantity solves an equation with an inverse-square potential of a good sign.

In the end, we can show that $\mathcal{E}_1[(rL)T\varphi]$ decays like τ^{-2} (a gain in two powers over $\mathcal{E}_1[(rL)\varphi]$, which is merely bounded). On the other hand, since the estimate for $\mathcal{E}_1[T\varphi]$ sees $E[\varphi]$ (which decays like τ^{-1}) on the right-hand side, we do not obtain an estimate better than τ^{-1} for $\mathcal{E}_1[T\varphi]$.⁶ Nevertheless, we can obtain the sharp rate $E[T\varphi] \lesssim \tau^{-3}$, since the estimate (1.20) applied to $T\varphi$ in place of φ does not see $\mathcal{E}_1[T\varphi]$, but only $\mathcal{E}_1[(rL)T\varphi]$, which indeed decays like τ^{-2} .

We can also derive higher-order versions of the above estimates. In summary, we obtain the following estimates for radially symmetric scalar fields (where $M \geq 0$):

$$E[\varphi](\tau) \lesssim \tau^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{E}_1[\varphi] \lesssim 1, \quad E[T^{M+1}\varphi] \lesssim \tau^{-3-2M}, \quad \mathcal{E}_1[T^{M+1}\varphi] \lesssim \tau^{-1-2M}, \quad \mathcal{E}_1[(rL)T^{M+1}\varphi] \lesssim \tau^{-2-2M}. \quad (1.24)$$

The crucial feature of the estimates in (1.24) is the gain of two powers of τ in energy decay for each time derivative.

This step is carried out in section 5.

1.2.7. Time inversion and subtraction of the Minkowskian solution. The gain of two powers of decay for time derivatives discussed in section 1.2.6 motivates the construction of *time integrals* (“inverse time derivatives”) of the solution, as in [AAG18b; AAG23a; Gaj23], thereby converting improved decay for time derivatives into improved decay for the solution itself. That is, φ itself decays faster whenever one can construct its time integral, namely a function $T^{-1}\varphi$ such that $TT^{-1}\varphi = \varphi$, since then φ is a time derivative.

In [Gaj23], the time integral $T^{-1}\varphi$ is constructed by inverting the spatial part of the wave operator. One also constructs $T^{-1}\varphi_{\text{mink}}$ (where $\varphi_{\text{mink}} := u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2}$ is the desired leading-order profile) and subtracts a suitable multiple of $T^{-1}\varphi_{\text{mink}}$ from $T^{-1}\varphi$. In our case, we must work directly with the renormalized quantity $\widehat{\varphi} := \varphi - \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]\varphi_{\text{mink}}$. This is because the elliptic operator we invert to construct the time integral has a

⁶The $\mathcal{E}_1[T\varphi_0](\tau) \lesssim \tau^{-1}$ stands in contrast to the estimate we obtain $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_1[T\Psi_0]$, which decays like τ^{-2} . By theorem 1.1, our estimate for $\mathcal{E}_1[T\varphi_0]$ is not sharp. Note that, on exact Minkowski space, one can indeed prove that $\mathcal{E}_1[T\varphi_0]$ decays like τ^{-2} .

codimension one image when acting on radially symmetric functions;⁷ the constant $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$ is chosen precisely so that the source term corresponding to $\widehat{\varphi}$ that we seek to invert lies in this image.

To construct a time integral of $\widehat{\varphi}$, we recast the wave equation as an elliptic problem (coming from the spatial part of the wave operator) with a source term (coming from the part of the wave operator involving time derivatives). That is, we write

$$\mathcal{L}\psi = \mathcal{F}[T\psi] + r^{1/2}\square_m\varphi, \quad (1.25)$$

where \mathcal{L} is a second-order elliptic operator involving only spatial derivatives and \mathcal{F} is a first-order operator. We first construct the solution Φ to the elliptic problem

$$\mathcal{L}\Phi = \mathcal{F}[\widehat{\psi}]|_{\Sigma(0)}, \quad (1.26)$$

Here $\widehat{\psi} := r^{1/2}\widehat{\varphi}$ has been constructed to lie in the kernel of the functional determining the invertibility of \mathcal{L} . We then solve the wave equation with initial data $(r^{-1/2}\Phi, \widehat{\varphi})$ prescribed on $\Sigma(0)$.

Remark 1.11 (Time integrals outside of Minkowski space). Outside of Minkowski space, $\varphi_{\text{mink}} = u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2}$, and hence $\widehat{\varphi}$, is only an approximate solution to the wave equation. Since $\widehat{\varphi}$ is not a solution to the wave equation, all the estimates in earlier sections must accommodate for inhomogeneities. Furthermore, we must directly construct and estimate the time integral $T^{-1}\square_g\varphi_{\text{mink}}$ and include it as a source term in the wave equation used to construct $T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}$.

This step is carried out in section 6.

1.2.8. *Pointwise estimates.* Since pointwise estimates for $\varphi_{\geq 1}$ follow from standard techniques, we focus this discussion on the novel estimates for radially symmetric scalar fields and assume $\varphi = \varphi_0$.

The basic idea is to interpolate between τ -decay in a finite- r region with a loss depending on the size of the region with r -decay in a large- r region. In order to control $\widehat{\varphi}$ pointwise in a finite- r region via Sobolev embedding, we need to control $\widehat{\varphi}$ in L^2 near the origin. However, the weakest (and hence fastest decaying) energy with this control is $\mathcal{E}_1[\widehat{\varphi}]$, which decays like τ^{-1} , by the results discussed in sections 1.2.6 and 1.2.7. This would lead to an estimate $|\widehat{\varphi}|_{r=0} \lesssim \tau^{-1/2}$. Near $r = 0$, the Minkowskian solution $\varphi_{\text{mink}} = u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2}$ decays like τ^{-1} , and so we cannot show that $\widehat{\varphi}$ decays faster in u than φ_{mink} using this estimate.

To remedy this issue, we first derive an estimate for $(rL)\widehat{\varphi}$. We then integrate this estimate in the L -direction to null infinity, where $\widehat{\varphi} = 0$, to obtain an estimate in the region $\{r \geq 1\}$. To estimate $\widehat{\varphi}$ in the region $\{r \leq 1\}$, we integrate an estimate for $\widehat{\Psi} = r^{1/2}\partial_r\widehat{\varphi}$ in the ∂_r -direction, which is possible since $r^{-1/2}$ is integrable near $\{r = 0\}$.

We now elaborate on this procedure. First, we obtain the following estimate:

$$\|(rL)\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(\tau)\cap\{r \leq R\})}^2 \lesssim R^C(\mathcal{E}_1[T\varphi](\tau) + E[(rL)^{\leq 1}T^{\leq 1}\varphi](\tau)) \quad (R \geq 1). \quad (1.27)$$

Here $C > 0$ is a constant determined by the foliation (specifically the function h discussed in section 1.2.3). Applying this estimate to $\widehat{\varphi} = TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}$, we obtain, by (1.24), the decay rate

$$\|(rL)\widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(\tau)\cap\{r \leq R\})}^2 \lesssim R^C\tau^{-3}. \quad (1.28)$$

On the other hand, a standard estimate using the r^p -weighted energy for $p = 1 + \delta$ with $\delta > 0$ gives

$$|\varphi|^2 \lesssim_\delta r^{-1}(\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau) + E[\varphi](\tau)) \quad \text{for } \delta > 0 \text{ in } \{r \geq 1\}. \quad (1.29)$$

Applying this estimate to $(rL)\widehat{\varphi} = (rL)TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}$ and recalling (1.24), we obtain

$$|(rL)\widehat{\varphi}|^2 \lesssim r^{-1}\tau^{-2} \quad \text{in } \{r \geq 1\}. \quad (1.30)$$

Interpolating between (1.28) in a small- r region and (1.30) in the large- r region, we obtain

$$|(rL)\widehat{\varphi}| \lesssim u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2}u^{-\delta} \quad \text{for some } \delta > 0 \text{ depending on } C. \quad (1.31)$$

Integrating this estimate in the L -direction (that is, in the outgoing null direction) to future null infinity, where $\widehat{\varphi}$ vanishes, one obtains

$$|\widehat{\varphi}| \lesssim u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2}u^{-\delta/2} \quad \text{in } \{r \geq 1\}. \quad (1.32)$$

To control $\widehat{\varphi}$ in $\{r \leq 1\}$, we obtain a pointwise estimate

$$|\widehat{\Psi}|^2 \lesssim \tau^{-5} \quad \text{in } \{r \leq 1\}, \quad (1.33)$$

⁷The obstruction is given by the s-wave resonance discussed in section 1.1.3.

which is two powers better than (1.28) because we can construct one more time integral of $\widehat{\Psi}$ than of $\widehat{\varphi}$. We then recall the definition $\widehat{\Psi} = r^{1/2}\partial_r\widehat{\varphi}$ and integrate in the ∂_r -direction (where ∂_r is the coordinate derivative in (t, r, θ) coordinates) to $\{r = 1\}$, using the integrability of $r^{-1/2}$ near $\{r = 0\}$. This extends the estimate (1.32) to all values of r .

These estimates are carried out in section 7.

1.2.9. *Diagrammatic overview of the proof.* In figure 1, we summarize the dependencies between the energy estimates and pointwise estimates used to prove theorem 1.1 for the radially symmetric scalar field φ_0 and record where each estimate is proved. Since the estimates for the non-radially symmetric part $\varphi_{\geq 1} = \varphi - \varphi_0$ are similar to the estimates for $\Psi_0 = r^{1/2}\partial_r\varphi_0$, we suppress their role here.




FIGURE 1. A summary of the proof of the pointwise estimate for $\widehat{\varphi}_0$ that, together with estimates for $\varphi_{\geq 1}$, implies that the late-time asymptotics of φ are described by φ_{mink} .

Acknowledgements. We thank Dejan Gajic, Georgios Moschidis, and Igor Rodnianski for helpful discussions. We thank Jonathan Luk and Sung-Jin Oh for pointing out references. We thank Dejan Gajic and Igor Rodnianski for comments on the manuscript.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Notation and conventions for constants.** For $x \in \mathbf{R}$, we write $\langle x \rangle := \sqrt{2 + x^2}$.

For $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$, we write $f(r) = O(g(r))$ to mean that $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a smooth function such that there exists a constant $C > 0$ for which $|f(r)| \leq Cg(r)$. We write $f(r) = \mathcal{O}(g(r))$ to mean that $(r\partial_r)^k f(r) = O(g(r))$ for each $k \geq 0$. If f depends on additional parameters θ , then we write $f(r, \theta) = \mathcal{O}(g(r))$ to mean that $f(\cdot, \theta) = \mathcal{O}(g(r))$ for each choice of parameters θ , with the implicit constants uniform in θ . In practice, $f = f(r, \theta)$ will be a smooth function in polar coordinates. If the implicit constants do depend on additional parameters, this will be indicated with the use of a subscript (e.g. $f_k(r) = \mathcal{O}_k(1)$).

Fix constants $\eta_0 > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, and $a > 1$. The constant η_0 will be used to limit the range of p for which we establish r^p -weighted energy estimates (see proposition 4.8) and elliptic estimates (see section 6.2.1). The constant ϵ will measure the size of the metric perturbation, and a will quantify the asymptotic flatness of the metric perturbation (see section 2.2). We will assume without comment that ϵ is much smaller than all other constants that appear (including η_0).

We write $A \lesssim B$ (or $B \gtrsim A$) when there is a constant C , depending only on η_0 , a , and the function h used to construct the foliation Σ_τ defined in section 2.3 such that $A \leq CB$. We write $A \sim B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$.

2.2. Assumptions on the metric. The Minkowski metric on \mathbf{R}^{2+1} , expressed in the global (Cartesian) coordinate chart (t, x^1, x^2) , is

$$g_{\text{mink}} := -dt^2 + (dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2. \quad (2.1)$$

Define $r : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ by $r(x^1, x^2) := \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2}$. Write θ for a coordinate on S^1 (with range $(0, 2\pi)$). Then the standard polar coordinates (t, r, θ) form a chart on $\mathbf{R}^{2+1} \setminus \{r = 0\}$, in which the Minkowski metric takes the form

$$g_{\text{mink}} = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2. \quad (2.2)$$

We consider metrics g that are asymptotically flat stationary perturbations of the Minkowski metric, namely metrics that take the following form on $\mathbf{R}^{2+1} \setminus \{r = 0\}$:

$$g = -A(r)^2 dt^2 + B(r)^2 dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2, \quad A(r), B(r) = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon \langle r \rangle^{-a}), \quad A(0) = B(0). \quad (2.3)$$

Here $\epsilon > 0$ and $a > 1$ are the constants fixed in section 2.1. We assume that $A(r)$ and $B(r)$ define smooth functions on \mathbf{R}^2 , so that the metric g is smooth on \mathbf{R}^{2+1} .

2.3. The hyperboloidal foliation and spacetime regions. Let $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 2)$ be a smooth function such that:

- (i) (regularity conditions at 0) $h(0) = 1$ and $h^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for each $k \geq 1$,
- (ii) (decay conditions) $h(r) = \mathcal{O}(\langle r \rangle^{-1-\eta_h})$ for some $\eta_h > 0$,
- (iii) (condition used in lemma 6.6) $h(r) + |rh'(r)| \leq B_h \langle r \rangle^{-1-\eta_h}$ for some $B_h > 0$ and $|h'(r)| \leq \epsilon_h$ for some $\epsilon_h > 0$,
- (iv) (lower bound) and $h(r) \geq c \langle r \rangle^{-C_h}$ for some $c > 0$ and $C_h \geq 2$.

Remark 2.1 (Comments on the assumptions on h). The conditions in (i) are required to ensure that the level sets of the function τ , which will be defined using the function h in (2.6), are smooth at $\{r = 0\}$. In (ii), we used the \mathcal{O} -notation introduced in section 2.1. The condition (ii) implies that the foliation determined by level sets of τ are asymptotically null, while (iv) implies that the foliation does not become null too quickly. We can assume that the constant η_h is sufficiently small, since if (ii) holds for a particular value of $\eta_h > 0$, it also holds for all smaller positive values. The condition (iii) (where the existence of B_h is implicit in (ii)) are used only in the proof of lemma 6.6, to show that a certain quantity associated to the foliation is non-zero, so that the quantity $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$ introduced in theorem 1.1 is well-defined. To do this, we will need to choose ϵ_h small depending on B_h and η_h .

Define the coordinates

$$u := \frac{1}{2}(t - \tilde{G}(r)), \quad v := \frac{1}{2}(t + \tilde{G}(r)), \quad \tilde{G}(r) := \int_0^r G(s)^{-1} ds, \quad G(r) := \frac{A(r)}{B(r)} \quad (2.4)$$

Note that $G \circ r$ is a smooth function on \mathbf{R}^2 with $G(0) = 1$. Then (u, v, θ) are smooth coordinates on $\mathbf{R}^{2+1} \setminus \{r = 0\}$, in which g takes the form

$$g = -4A^2 du dv + r^2 d\theta^2. \quad (2.5)$$

Evidently $g^{-1}(du, du) = g^{-1}(dv, dv) = 0$, and so u and v are double null coordinates. Define also

$$\tau := u - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_r^\infty h(s) ds. \quad (2.6)$$

Then (τ, r, θ) are smooth coordinates on $\mathbf{R}^{2+1} \setminus \{r = 0\}$. Moreover, τ and $h \circ r$ define smooth functions on \mathbf{R}^{2+1} , in view of lemma 2.3, the smoothness of G , and the conditions on h at 0 in (i). The level sets of τ , denoted

$$\Sigma(\tau') := \{\tau = \tau'\}, \quad (2.7)$$

are spacelike hypersurfaces, since $g^{-1}(d\tau, d\tau) = -\frac{1}{4}h(2-h) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon \langle r \rangle^{-a})$ is negative for ϵ sufficiently small. For $v_0 \geq 0$, define the cutoff hypersurface

$$\Sigma(\tau, v_0) := \Sigma(\tau) \cap \{v \leq v_0\}. \quad (2.8)$$

We also introduce notation for the ingoing null cones

$$\underline{C}(v_0) := \{v = v_0\} \quad (2.9)$$

and the spacetime regions

$$\mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v) = \bigcup_{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2} \Sigma(\tau, v), \quad \mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \infty, v) := \bigcup_{\tau \geq \tau_1} \mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau, v), \quad \mathcal{R} := \bigcup_{\tau \geq 0} \Sigma(\tau). \quad (2.10)$$

By construction, we have $u \geq 1$ in \mathcal{R} . Finally, the spacetime volume form dvol satisfies

$$\text{dvol} = rA(r)^2G(r)^{-1} dr d\theta dt \sim r dr d\theta dt \sim r du dv d\theta \sim r dr d\theta d\tau, \quad (2.11)$$

where the equivalence up to constants of these expressions holds when ϵ is sufficiently small.

2.4. Notation for vector fields. Define the vector field $T := \partial_t|_{x^1, x^2}$ on \mathbf{R}^{2+1} . We introduce the following notation for the coordinate derivatives in (t, r, θ) coordinates, (u, v, θ) coordinates, and (τ, r, θ) coordinates:

$$Z := \partial_r|_{t, \theta}, \quad \underline{L} := \partial_u|_{v, \theta}, \quad L := \partial_v|_{u, \theta}, \quad X := \partial_r|_{\tau, \theta}. \quad (2.12)$$

One readily derives the following relations between these vector fields on $\mathbf{R}^{2+1} \setminus \{r = 0\}$:

Lemma 2.2 (Relations between coordinate derivatives). *We have*

$$\partial_t|_{r, \theta} = T, \quad \partial_\tau|_{r, \theta} = 2T, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\underline{L} = T - GZ = (2 - Gh)T - GX, \quad (2.14)$$

$$L = T + GZ = GhT + GX. \quad (2.15)$$

2.5. Projection to angular modes. We recall the following elementary facts.

Lemma 2.3 (Facts about smooth radially symmetric functions). *Suppose $f : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is such that $f = F \circ r$ for some even function $F : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Then we say f is radially symmetric, and the following statements hold:*

- (i) *f is smooth if and only if F is (if and only if $\tilde{F} := F|_{[0, \infty)}$ is smooth and $\tilde{F}^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for all k odd),*
- (ii) *and in this case $F(x) = g(x^2)$ for some function $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ that is smooth if and only if F is, and moreover depends smoothly on a parameter when f does,*
- (iii) *and for each $k \geq 0$, when f is smooth, $r^{-1}\partial_r^{2k+1}f$ and $\partial_r^{2k}f$, which are smooth on $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$, extend to smooth functions on \mathbf{R}^2 , where we write ∂_r for the radial derivative in polar coordinates (r, θ) .*

Proof. Part (ii) is a classical theorem of Whitney, established in the (2-page!) paper [Whi43] (where the smooth dependence of g on F , hence on f , is evident from the proof). Part (iii) follows from (ii) and the chain rule. \square

Lemma 2.4 (Vanishing at the origin for higher modes). *Let $f : \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be smooth. Let $f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} f d\theta$ denote the radially symmetric part of f , and let $f_{\geq 1} := f - f_0$ be the projection of f to angular modes ≥ 1 . Then $r^{-1}f_{\geq 1}$ is bounded as $r \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. This follows from a Taylor expansion around the origin. \square

2.6. Notation for scalar fields and expressions for the wave equation. From now on, let φ denote a smooth function on the spacetime region \mathcal{R} defined in (2.10). We introduce the following important rescaled quantities:

$$\psi := r^{1/2}\varphi, \quad \Psi := r^{1/2}GZ\varphi = \frac{1}{2}r^{1/2}(L - \underline{L})\varphi. \quad (2.16)$$

The quantity ψ is natural since it attains a finite non-zero limit at null infinity (the Friedlander radiation field). The quantity Ψ is important because its radially symmetric part, which we call Ψ_0 , satisfies a wave equation with a favourable potential (see (2.26)).

We write φ_0 for the radially symmetric part of φ , namely

$$\varphi_0(r) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(r, \theta) d\theta. \quad (2.17)$$

We write $\varphi_{\geq 1}$ for the non-radially symmetric part of φ , namely

$$\varphi_{\geq 1} := \varphi - \varphi_0. \quad (2.18)$$

Similarly, we write $\psi_{\geq 1}$, Ψ_0 , and so on.

We will write $\square := A^2 \square_g$, where \square_g is the wave operator associated to the metric g , and will from now on refer to solutions of

$$\square\varphi = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

which are of course equivalent to solutions of (1.1). We now derive various expressions for the equations satisfied by φ , ψ , and Ψ .

Lemma 2.5 (Expressions for the wave equation). *The wave equation for $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ can be written in the following forms:*

$$\square\varphi = -\underline{L}L\varphi + \frac{1}{2}r^{-1}G(L - \underline{L})\varphi + r^{-2}A^2\partial_\theta^2\varphi, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1}\square\varphi &= -h(2 - Gh)T^2 - (2 - 2Gh)XT + ((Gh)' - r^{-1}(1 - Gh))T \\ &\quad + GX^2 + r^{-1}(G + rG')X + A^2G^{-1}r^{-2}\partial_\theta^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Moreover, $\psi = r^{1/2}\varphi$ satisfies

$$r^{1/2}\square\varphi = -\underline{L}L\psi + \frac{1}{4}r^{-2}G(G - 2rG')\psi + r^{-2}A^2\partial_\theta^2\psi, \quad (2.22)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}(G^{1/2}\psi) = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}T\psi] + G^{-3/2}r^{1/2}\square\varphi, \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} := X^2 + \frac{1}{4}r^{-2}(1 - 2G^{-1}rG' + G^{-2}r^2G'^2 - 2G^{-1}r^2G'') + A^2G^{-2}r^{-2}\partial_\theta^2 \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F} := -G^{-1}h(2 - Gh)T - 2G^{-1}(1 - Gh)X + G^{-1}(G^{-1}G'(1 - Gh) + (Gh)'). \quad (2.25)$$

Finally, $\Psi_0 = r^{1/2}GZ\varphi_0$ satisfies

$$r^{1/2}GZ\square\varphi_0 = -\underline{L}L\Psi_0 - \frac{3}{4}r^{-2}G\left(G - \frac{2}{3}rG'\right)\Psi_0. \quad (2.26)$$

Remark 2.6 (The effective inverse-square potential for good scalar fields). Observe from lemma 2.5 that Ψ_0 satisfies an equation with a zeroth-order term of a good sign. To be precise, the zeroth-order term in the equation for $\psi_{\geq 1}$ has a bad sign, but it is compensated for by the angular term of a good sign (via a Poincaré inequality on S^1).

Proof. First, (2.20) is a direct computation (in (u, v, θ) coordinates) from (2.5) using

$$\square_g\varphi = |\det g|^{-1/2}\partial_\alpha(|\det g|^{1/2}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta\varphi). \quad (2.27)$$

Then (2.21)–(2.23) and (2.26) can be derived from (2.20) using lemma 2.2. For (2.26), we note that the $+\frac{3}{4}$ arises from adding $-\frac{1}{4}$ (coming from $r^{-1/2}\partial_r^2r^{1/2} = -\frac{1}{4}r^{-2}$) and $+1$ (coming from $-\partial_r r^{-1} = r^{-2}$). \square

2.7. Norms. In this section, we introduce norms that measure energy of a scalar field along $\Sigma(\tau)$, inhomogeneities in the bulk region $\mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$, and initial data on $\Sigma(0)$. Some norms will appear with a tilde (for example $\tilde{E}[\Psi_0]$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,N}[\tilde{F}]$), while others (such as $E[\varphi]$ or $\mathcal{A}_{p,N}[F]$) appear without a tilde. We will use the tilded energies for quantities associated to the good quantities $\Psi_0 = r^{1/2}GZ\varphi_0$ and $\psi_{\geq 1} = r^{1/2}\varphi_{\geq 1}$, which carry an $r^{1/2}$ -weight. We will use the unadorned energies for radially symmetric scalar fields φ_0 (without an $r^{1/2}$ -weight). The notation Φ will always denote an abstract good scalar field, as defined in section 4.1.1 (we will later specialize to Ψ_0 and $\psi_{\geq 1}$).

2.7.1. *Index of notation.* We collect here notation for all the norms we use. We first introduce the energy norms defined in section 2.7.2.

Notation	Meaning
$E[\varphi]$	T -energy of a radially symmetric scalar field φ
$\tilde{E}[\Phi]$	T -energy of a good scalar field Φ
$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi]$	r^p -weighted energy of a radially symmetric field φ , with $p = 1 + \delta$ for $\delta \geq 0$ small
$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p[\Phi]$	r^p -weighted energy of a good scalar field Φ , used for $p \in [0, 2)$

Energies with an additional subscript, such as $E_N[\varphi]$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1,N}[\Phi]$ are higher-order variants of the above energies with respect to commutation with (rL) and ∂_θ (see (2.32)). These energies take as arguments a time τ and a value v : for example, $E[\varphi](\tau, v)$ measures the T -energy of φ on the truncated hyperboloidal surface $\Sigma(\tau, v)$ (see (2.8)). When we omit the parameter v , it is to be interpreted as “ $v = \infty$.”

Next, we introduce the norms for inhomogeneities defined in section 2.7.3.

Notation	Meaning
$\mathcal{A}_{p,N}[F]$	r^p -weighted norm of $(rL)^n F$, with $p \geq 0$ and $0 \leq n \leq N$
$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,N}[\tilde{F}]$	r^p -weighted norm of $(rL)^{n_1} \partial_\theta^{n_2} \tilde{F}$ with $n_1 + n_2 \leq N$

In practice, we will take $F = \square\varphi$ and $\tilde{F} = r^{1/2}GZ\square\varphi$ to be the inhomogeneities associated to φ and Ψ , respectively. These will be non-zero when considering the renormalized quantities $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\Psi}$, since the Minkowskian profile φ_{mink} is not an exact solution to the wave equation on the perturbed background metric.

Finally, we introduce notation for the initial data norms defined in section 2.7.4.

Notation	Meaning
$\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$	the scalar needed to construct the renormalized solution $\hat{\varphi} := \varphi - \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]\varphi_{\text{mink}}$
$\mathbf{D}_{N,\delta}[\varphi]$	$\leq N$ derivatives of the data in r -weighted L^∞ and L^2 norms, with corrections defined by $\delta > 0$

To capture the improved decay for T -derivatives, we also use the following norms:

Notation	Meaning
$\mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi]$	derivatives $(rL)^{\leq N}$ and $T^{\leq M}$, with τ -weights; defined in proposition 5.4
$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{N,M,\delta}[\Phi]$	analogous to $\mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}$, used for good scalar fields; defined in proposition 5.6

In practice, we will use the norm $\mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}$ with arguments $\hat{\varphi}_0$ and $T^{-1}\hat{\Psi}_0$, as well as $T^{-1}\hat{\varphi}_0$ and $T^{-2}\hat{\Psi}_0$.

2.7.2. *Energy norms.* Define the T -energy

$$E[\varphi](\tau, v) := \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} [(L\varphi)^2 + h(r)(\underline{L}\varphi)^2 + r^{-2}(\partial_\theta\varphi)^2] r \, dr \, d\theta \sim \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} [(X\varphi)^2 + h(r)(T\varphi)^2 + r^{-2}(\partial_\theta\varphi)^2] r \, dr \, d\theta. \quad (2.28)$$

We will also use the modified T -energy

$$\tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau, v) := \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} (L\Phi)^2 + h(r)(\underline{L}\Phi)^2 + r^{-2}(\partial_\theta\Phi)^2 + r^{-2}\Phi^2 \, dr \, d\theta. \quad (2.29)$$

The energy \tilde{E} differs from E in two ways: it lacks the factor of r in the volume form, on account of the $r^{1/2}$ -weight already carried by Φ (which in practice is either $\Psi_0 = r^{1/2}GZ\varphi_0$ or $\psi_{\geq 1} = r^{1/2}\varphi_{\geq 1}$), and includes the zeroth-order term $r^{-2}\Phi$, which is present because Φ is a good scalar field.

Next, we introduce the following r -weighted energy for $\delta \geq 0$:

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau, v) := \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^\delta (L\varphi)^2 + h(r) \langle r \rangle^\delta \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta. \quad (2.30)$$

For $p \in \mathbf{R}$, we define a modified r -weighted energy:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p[\Phi](\tau, v) := \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^p (L\Phi)^2 + h(r) \langle r \rangle^p r^{-2} (\partial_\theta\Phi)^2 + h(r) \langle r \rangle^p r^{-2} \Phi^2 \, dr \, d\theta. \quad (2.31)$$

We also define higher-order variants of the above energies (suppressing here the arguments (τ, v)):

$$E_N[\varphi] := \sum_{n=0}^N E[(rL)^n \varphi], \quad \mathcal{E}_{p,N}[\varphi] := \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{E}_p[(rL)^n \varphi], \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[\Phi] := \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p[\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rL)^{n_2} \Phi]. \quad (2.32)$$

We will not use a higher-order version of the modified T -energy. We write

$$E[\varphi](\tau) := \sup_{v \geq 0} E[\varphi](\tau, v), \quad (2.33)$$

and use similar notation for the other energies.

2.7.3. Norms for inhomogeneities. For $p \geq 0$, $N \geq 0$, and $\tau \geq 0$, define the norm

$$\mathcal{A}_{p,N}[F](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) := \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^p |(rL)^n F|^2 dr d\theta d\tau. \quad (2.34)$$

For $p \geq 0$, $N \geq 0$, $\tau_0 \geq 0$, and $v \geq 0$, define the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,N}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) &:= \sum_{n_1+n_2 \leq N} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{p+1} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rL)^{n_2} \tilde{F})^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{n_1+n_2 \leq N+1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{1+\eta_0} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rL)^{n_2} \tilde{F})^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ &+ \sum_{n_1+n_2 \leq N} \sup_{\tau \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{1+\eta_0} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rL)^{n_2} \tilde{F})^2 dr d\theta \\ &+ \sum_{n_1+n_2 \leq N} \int_{\underline{\mathcal{C}}(v) \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} \langle r \rangle^{2+\eta_0} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rL)^{n_2} \tilde{F})^2 du d\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

where η_0 is the small constant fixed in section 2.1. We introduce the constant η_0 and the loss of derivatives in the second line (where $N+1$ appears instead of N) in the inhomogeneous norm $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ to avoid the anomalous degeneracy in the r^p estimates when $p=0$ (see the proof of proposition 4.8). In practice, we will only apply these estimates to scalar fields that satisfy a known inhomogeneity, arising from the failure of φ_{mink} to solve (2.19). Since the inhomogeneity is known, a loss of derivatives does not present an issue. If there is extra τ -decay available, one can remove the loss of derivatives.

2.7.4. Initial data norm. Define the L^1 -type quantity

$$\mathfrak{L}[\varphi] := \left(\int_0^\infty r^{1/2} G^{1/2} \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2} \psi_{\text{mink}}] |_{\Sigma(0)} + r G^{-1} T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}} |_{\Sigma(0)} dr \right)^{-1} \int_0^\infty r^{1/2} G^{1/2} \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2} \psi_0](r) |_{\Sigma(0)} dr, \quad (2.36)$$

where \mathcal{F} is as in (2.25) and $\varphi_{\text{mink}} = u^{-1/2} v^{-1/2}$. The well-definedness of the quantity $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$ (in particular the existence of $T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$ and the non-vanishing of the factor that is inverted) is established in section 6.1.2.

For $N \geq 0$ and $\delta \geq 0$, define the initial data norm

$\mathbf{D}_{N,\delta}[\varphi]$

$$\begin{aligned} &:= \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]^2 + \sum_{\substack{n,m \geq 0 \\ n+m \leq N}} \|\langle r \rangle^{1/2} (rX)^n T^m \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(0))}^2 + \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 0 \\ n_1+n_2+n_3 \leq N}} \|\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rX)^{n_2} T^{n_3} \psi_{\geq 1}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(0))} \\ &+ \sum_{m=0}^N (E_{N-m}[T^m \varphi_0](0) + \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N-m}[T^m \varphi_0](0) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N-m}[T^m \psi_{\geq 1}](0)) + \sum_{m=0}^{N-2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N-m}[T^m \Psi_0](0) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{n,m \geq 0 \\ n+m \leq N}} \int_{\Sigma(0)} \langle r \rangle^{3-\delta} (X(rX)^n \psi_0)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{2-\delta} (T(rX)^n \varphi_0)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{2-\delta} ((rX)^n \varphi_0)^2 dr d\theta \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0, v)} \langle r \rangle^2 (X(rX)^n \Psi_0)^2 + ((rX)^n T \Psi_0)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{1-2\delta} ((rX)^n \Psi_0)^2 dr d\theta \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1+n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\Sigma(0)} \langle r \rangle^{3-\delta} (X \partial_\theta^{n_1} (rX)^{n_2} \psi_{\geq 1})^2 + \langle r \rangle^{1-\delta} (T \partial_\theta^{n_1} (rX)^{n_2} \psi_{\geq 1})^2 + \langle r \rangle^{1-\delta} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rX)^{n_2} \psi_{\geq 1})^2 dr d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

We also note that the initial data norms $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{N,M,\delta}[\Phi]$ and $\mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi]$, which are used in section 5 to establish improved decay for T -derivatives, are defined in propositions 5.4 and 5.6, respectively.

3. PRECISE STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

Given the notation introduced in section 2, we can state a precise version of our main result.

Theorem 3.1 (Main theorem, precise version). *Let h be the function defined in section 2.3 that determines the hyperboloidal foliation $\Sigma(\tau)$, and let $\delta_h := \frac{1}{4}(C_h + 1)^{-1} > 0$, where $C_h \geq 2$ (defined in (iv) of section 2.3) determines the polynomial rate (in the radial coordinate r) at which the hyperboloidal foliation becomes null.*

Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ solve (2.19), and let $\varphi_{\text{mink}} := u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2} = (t^2 - \tilde{G}(r)^2)^{-1/2}$. If the constant $\epsilon > 0$ (which measures the size of the deviation of the metric g from the Minkowski metric) is sufficiently small, then the following estimate holds for each $N \geq 0$, $M \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small (depending on h):

$$\begin{aligned} & |T^M(rL)^N(\varphi - \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]\varphi_{\text{mink}})(\tau, r, \theta)| \\ & \lesssim_{N,M,h,\delta} \varphi_{\text{mink}}(\tau, r, \theta) \cdot (1 + \tau)^{-M-\delta_h} \cdot \left(\mathbf{D}_{\min(1,N)+M+4,\delta}[\varphi] + \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathbf{D}_{\min(1,N)+M+2,\delta}[\partial_\theta^k \varphi] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Here the linear functional \mathfrak{L} and the data norm \mathbf{D} were defined in section 2.7.4.

Proof. This follows from proposition 7.6. □

4. ENERGY ESTIMATES

In this section, we prove energy estimates, namely energy boundedness estimates, integrated energy estimates, and r -weighted energy estimates. The estimates of section 4.1, for scalar fields satisfying an equation with a good zeroth-order term, are derived using standard techniques. The estimates in section 4.2, for radially symmetric scalar fields, are new. There we establish estimates for φ_0 which include on the right-hand side quantities involving the good scalar field Ψ_0 , which is estimated in section 4.1.

4.1. Energy estimates for scalar fields that satisfy an equation with a good zeroth-order term.

We first establish energy estimates for the quantities $\Psi_0 = r^{1/2}Z\varphi_0$ and $\psi_{\geq 1} = r^{1/2}\varphi_{\geq 1}$, which satisfy equations with (effective) zeroth-order terms of a good sign.

4.1.1. *The class of scalar fields under consideration.* To treat Ψ_0 and $\psi_{\geq 1}$ uniformly (although these quantities satisfy different equations), we formulate the class of “good scalar fields” for which we prove energy estimates.

Definition 4.1 (The class of good scalar fields). We say that $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R} \setminus \{r = 0\})$ satisfies an equation with a good (effective) zeroth-order term with inhomogeneity $\tilde{F} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R} \setminus \{r = 0\})$ if Φ satisfies the boundary conditions

$$\text{for each } N_1, N_2, N_3 \geq 0, r^{-1}\partial_\theta^{N_1}(rL)^{N_2}T^{N_3}\Phi \text{ extends continuously to } \mathcal{R} \cap \{r = 0\} \text{ and vanishes there,} \quad (4.1)$$

solves the equation

$$\underline{L}L\Phi + \alpha r^{-2}(1 + f_1(r))\Phi - r^{-2}(1 + f_2(r))\partial_\theta^2\Phi = \tilde{F} \quad (4.2)$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $f_1, f_2 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon\langle r \rangle^{-a})$, and satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) $\alpha > 0$,
- (ii) or $\alpha > -1$ and $\Phi = \Phi_{\geq 1}$.

Remark 4.2 (A coercivity property for good scalar fields). If Φ satisfies the assumptions of definition 4.1, then we have

$$\int_{S^1} (1 + f_2(r))(\partial_\theta\Phi)^2 + \alpha(1 + f_1(r))\Phi^2 d\theta \gtrsim_\alpha \int_{S^1} (\partial_\theta\Phi)^2 + \Phi^2 d\theta \quad (4.3)$$

when ϵ is sufficiently small. Indeed, this is clear when (i) holds, and if (ii) holds, then this follows from the Poincaré inequality

$$\int_{S^1} (\partial_\theta\Phi_{\geq 1})^2 d\theta \geq \int_{S^1} \Phi^2 d\theta. \quad (4.4)$$

Lemma 4.3 (The quantities $\psi_{\geq 1}$ and Ψ_0 are good scalar fields). *If $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$, then $\psi_{\geq 1}$ and Ψ_0 satisfy the assumptions of definition 4.1 with inhomogeneities given by the left-hand sides of (2.22) (projected to modes ≥ 1) and (2.26), respectively.*

Proof. For Ψ_0 , we have $\alpha = 3/4$, and for $\psi_{\geq 1}$, we have $\alpha = -1/4$. The relevant equations are satisfied by (2.26) and (2.22). The boundary conditions are satisfied for any element of $r^{3/2}C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$, and we have $\Psi_0 \in r^{3/2}C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ by lemma 2.3 and $\psi_{\geq 1} \in r^{3/2}C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ by lemma 2.4. \square

4.1.2. *Energy boundedness and integrated local energy decay.* In this section, we prove an energy boundedness estimate and integrated energy estimate (or Morawetz estimate) for good scalar fields using the standard multipliers T and $f(r)Z$.

Proposition 4.4 (Energy boundedness and integrated local energy decay for good scalar fields). *Suppose $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R} \setminus \{r = 0\})$ satisfies the assumptions of definition 4.1 with inhomogeneity \tilde{F} . Then for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, $v \geq 0$, and $\delta > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1-\delta} ((L\Phi)^2 + (\underline{L}\Phi)^2) + r^{-2} \langle r \rangle^{-1} (\Phi^2 + (\partial_\theta \Phi)^2) dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim_\delta \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1+\delta, 0}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1-\delta} (\underline{L}\Phi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim_\delta \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1-\delta} (\underline{L}\Phi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} |T\Phi| |\tilde{F}| dr d\theta d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Remark 4.5 (Higher-order estimates). We only prove the estimates in proposition 4.4 for the good scalar field Φ itself, and do not prove higher-order analogues that include commutation. Instead, we prove higher-order estimates for the r^p -weighted energy in section 4.1.3. In most situations, we will use the $p = 0$ energy $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0[\Phi]$ as a replacement for the T -energy $\tilde{E}[\Phi]$.

Remark 4.6 (Control of terms involving $\underline{L}\Phi$). The importance of the estimates in proposition 4.4 is their control of flux terms on $\Sigma(\tau)$ as well as bulk terms that involve $\underline{L}\Phi$, since these quantities are not controlled by the r^p -weighted energy.

Proof. Step 1: Energy boundedness. In this step we will prove (4.6) and also the statement that for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and $v \geq 0$, we have

$$\tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\underline{C}(v) \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} (\underline{L}\Phi)^2 + r^{-2} \Phi^2 + r^{-2} (\partial_\theta \Phi)^2 du d\theta \lesssim \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} |T\Phi| |\tilde{F}| dr d\theta d\tau. \quad (4.7)$$

In Step 2, we will use (4.7) to conclude (4.5).

Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ be a bounded non-increasing C^1 function. Multiply (4.2) by $4f(r)T\Phi$, and then use the Leibniz rule and lemma 2.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} 4fT\Phi\tilde{F} = T(f(r)[(2 - Gh)(L\Phi)^2 + Gh(\underline{L}\Phi)^2 + 2\alpha r^{-2}(1 + f_1(r))\Phi^2 + r^{-2}(1 + f_2(r))(\partial_\theta \Phi)^2]) \\ + GX(f(r)[(\underline{L}\Phi)^2 - (L\Phi)^2]) + \partial_\theta(\dots) + (-f')[(\underline{L}\Phi)^2 - (L\Phi)^2] \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Let $r_0 > 0$ and $v_0 > 0$ and integrate (4.8) over the region $\{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\} \cap \{v \leq v_0\} \cap \{r \geq r_0\}$ with respect to the volume form $G^{-1} dr d\theta d\tau$. The boundary term at $\{r = r_0\}$ vanishes as $r_0 \rightarrow 0$ by the boundary conditions in (4.1). By remark 4.2, the boundary term at $\{v = v_0\}$, with integrand $(L\Phi)^2 + \alpha r^{-2}(1 + f_1(r))\Phi^2 + r^{-2}(1 + f_2(r))(\partial_\theta \Phi)^2$, has a good sign since $f(r) > 0$, and it is comparable to the one in (4.7) when $f(r) \equiv 1$. The boundary terms at $\{\tau = \tau_i\}$ ($i = 1, 2$) are comparable to $\tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau_i, v)$ when $f(r) \equiv 1$ by remark 4.2. Thus we obtain (4.7) by taking $f(r) \equiv 1$. To obtain (4.6), take $f(r) = 1 + (1 + r)^{-\delta}$.

Step 2: Integrated local energy decay. Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ be a bounded non-decreasing C^1 function. Multiply (4.2) by $2f(r)(L - \underline{L})\Phi$, and then use the Leibniz rule to get

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(r)F(L - \underline{L})\Phi &= \underline{L}(f(L\Phi)^2 - \alpha fr^{-2}(1 + f_1(r))\Phi^2 - fr^{-2}(1 + f_2(r))(\partial_\theta\Phi)^2) \\ &\quad + L(-f(\underline{L}\Phi)^2 + \alpha fr^{-2}(1 + f_1(r))\Phi^2 + fr^{-2}(1 + f_2(r))(\partial_\theta\Phi)^2) \\ &\quad - 2G\alpha(fr^{-2}(1 + f_1(r)))'\Phi^2 - 2G(fr^{-2}(1 + f_2(r)))'(\partial_\theta\Phi)^2 \\ &\quad + Gf'[(\underline{L}\Phi)^2 + (L\Phi)^2] + \partial_\theta(\cdots) \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Let $r_0 > 0$ and $v_0 > 0$ and integrate (4.9) over the region $\{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\} \cap \{v \leq v_0\} \cap \{r \geq r_0\}$ with respect to the volume form $G^{-1} dr d\theta d\tau$. The boundary term at $\{r = r_0\}$ vanishes as $r_0 \rightarrow 0$ by the boundary conditions in (4.1). The boundary terms at $\{\tau = \tau_i\}$ and $\{v = v_0\}$ are controlled by the left-hand side of (4.7). We now consider the bulk terms. Choose $f = 1 - (1 + r)^{-\delta}$, so that $f' \gtrsim_\delta \langle r \rangle^{-1-\delta}$ and $-(fr^{-2})' \gtrsim r^{-2}\langle r \rangle^{-1}$. Since $f_i(r) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, we conclude using remark 4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1-\delta} ((L\Phi)^2 + (\underline{L}\Phi)^2) + r^{-2}\langle r \rangle^{-1}(\Phi^2 + (\partial_\theta\Phi)^2) dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} (|L\Phi| + |\underline{L}\Phi|)|F| dr d\theta d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Using Young's inequality and noting that

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{1+\delta} |F|^2 \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1+\delta, 0}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) \quad (4.11)$$

completes the proof of (4.5). \square

4.1.3. r^p -weighted energy estimates. In this section, we prove r^p -weighted estimates for good scalar fields. Since these quantities satisfy equations with a zeroth-order term of a favourable sign, these estimates follow from standard techniques.

We first derive the equation satisfied by the commuted quantities $(rL)^N\Phi$.

Lemma 4.7 (Equation satisfied by a good scalar field after commutation with (rL)). *Suppose Φ solves (4.2) for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and $f_1, f_2 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon\langle r \rangle^{-a})$. Then for $N \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \underline{L}(rL)^N\Phi + GNr^{-1}L(rL)^N\Phi + \alpha r^{-2}(1 + f_1(r))(rL)^N\Phi - r^{-2}(1 + f_2(r))\partial_\theta^2(rL)^N\Phi \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})(rL)^n\Phi + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})\partial_\theta^2(rL)^n\Phi + \sum_{n=0}^N C_{N,n}(rL)^n\tilde{F}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

for some constants $C_{N,n} \in \mathbf{R}$, where the implicit constants in the \mathcal{O} -notation depend on α , N , and the functions f_1 and f_2 .

Proof. We induct on N . The $N = 0$ case is immediate from (4.2). Now suppose (4.12) holds for some $N \geq 0$. Multiplying both sides of the equation by r and using the Leibniz rule for the first term gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \underline{L}(rL)^{N+1}\Phi + G(N+1)L(rL)^N\Phi + \alpha r^{-1}(1 + f_1(r))(rL)^N\Phi - r^{-1}(1 + f_2(r))\partial_\theta^2(rL)^N\Phi \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})(rL)^n\Phi + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})\partial_\theta^2(rL)^n\Phi + \sum_{n=0}^N C_{N,n}r(rL)^n\tilde{F}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Act with L on both sides and rewrite

$$LL(rL)^N\Phi = r^{-1}L(rL)^{N+1}\Phi - r^{-1}L(rL)^N\Phi \quad (4.14)$$

to obtain (4.12) with $N+1$ in place of N . In particular, we use the fact that $f_i(r) = \mathcal{O}(\langle r \rangle^{-a})$ for $i = 1, 2$ to obtain $L(r^{-1}(1 + f_i(r))) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})$. \square

Proposition 4.8 (r^p -weighted energy estimates). *Suppose $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R} \setminus \{r = 0\})$ satisfies the assumptions of definition 4.1 with inhomogeneity \tilde{F} . Let $p \in [0, 2 - \eta_0]$ (where the small constant $\eta_0 > 0$ was fixed in*

section 2.1). Then for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, $v \geq 0$, and $N \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[\Phi](\tau_2, v) + \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} (n_2 + p) \langle r \rangle^p r^{-1} (L \partial_\theta^{n_1} (rL)^{n_2} \Phi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ & + \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^p r^{-3} (\partial_\theta \partial_\theta^{n_1} (rL)^{n_2} \Phi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^p r^{-3} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} \Phi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ & \lesssim_{\alpha, \eta_0, N} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[\Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,N}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v), \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where the norm $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,N}$ was defined in section 2.7.3. It follows that for $p \in (0, 2 - \eta_0]$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[\Phi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p-1,N}[\Phi](\tau, v) d\tau \lesssim_{\alpha, p, \eta_0, N} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[\Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,N}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v). \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. Step 1: Preliminary reductions. First, note that (4.16) follows immediately from (4.15), since $h(r)$ is bounded. To establish (4.15), it suffices to consider the case where $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[\Phi](\tau_2, v)$ is replaced by $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p[(rL)^N \Phi](\tau_2, v)$ and $n_1 = 0$ and $n_2 = N$ in the sum on the left-hand side. This is because $\partial_\theta \Phi$ satisfies the assumptions of definition 4.1 whenever Φ does (in particular since ∂_θ commutes with equation (4.2)).

Moreover, it suffices to prove the estimates with $\langle r \rangle^p$ in the bulk term on the left-hand side of (4.15) replaced with r^p and with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ replaced with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^\circ$, where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p^\circ[\Phi](\tau, v) := \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^p (L\Phi)^2 + h(r) r^{p-2} (\partial_\theta \Phi)^2 + h(r) r^{p-2} \Phi^2 dr d\theta \quad (4.17)$$

and the higher-order version $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}^\circ$ is defined as in section 2.7.2. This is because the estimate for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}$ can be obtained by summing the estimates for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{0,N}^\circ$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}^\circ$.

Step 2: Zeroth-order estimate. We first establish (4.15) (with the appropriate reductions of Step 1) when $N = 0$. Multiply (4.2) by $2r^p L\Phi$ and use the Leibniz rule to get

$$\begin{aligned} r^p L\Phi \cdot \tilde{F} &= \underline{L}(r^p (L\Phi)^2) + L(r^{p-2} (1 + f_2(r)) (\partial_\theta \Phi)^2 + \alpha r^{p-2} (1 + f_1(r)) \Phi^2) + \partial_\theta(\dots) + Gpr^{p-1} (L\Phi)^2 \\ &+ G(2-p)r^{p-3} [\alpha(1 + f_1(r) - (2-p)^{-1} r f_1'(r)) \Phi^2 + (1 + f_2(r) - (2-p)^{-1} r f_2'(r)) (\partial_\theta \Phi)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Fix $r_0 > 0$ and $v_0 > 0$. We integrate (4.18) over the region $\{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\} \cap \{v \leq v_0\} \cap \{r \geq r_0\}$ with respect to $G^{-1} dr d\theta d\tau$. The boundary term on $\{\tau = \tau_i\}$ is proportional to $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p^\circ[\Phi](\tau_i, v)$ by remark 4.2. The bulk term that arises is proportional to the bulk term in (4.15) (with the appropriate reductions of Step 1) when $N = 0$ by remark 4.2, after one chooses $\epsilon \ll 2 - p \leq \eta_0$, so that

$$1 + f_1(r) - (2-p)^{-1} r f_1'(r) = 1 + O(\epsilon \max(1, (2-p)^{-1})) \geq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.19)$$

The boundary term at $\{r = r_0\}$ is $r^p [(L\Phi)^2 - r^{-2} (1 + f_2(r)) (\partial_\theta \Phi)^2 - \alpha r^{-2} (1 + f_1(r)) \Phi^2]$. By the boundary conditions (4.1), this term vanishes as $r_0 \rightarrow 0$. Applying remark 4.2 to the boundary term at $\{v = v_0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p^\circ[\Phi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} pr^{p-1} (L\Phi)^2 + r^{p-3} \Phi^2 + r^{p-3} (\partial_\theta \Phi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau + \int_{\underline{C}(v) \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} r^{p-2} \Phi^2 du d\theta \\ & \lesssim_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p^\circ[\Phi](\tau_1, v) + \left| \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^p L\Phi \cdot \tilde{F} dr d\theta d\tau \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

To control the bulk term involving the inhomogeneity F , we can use Young's inequality directly when $p \geq \eta_0$:

$$\left| \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^p L\Phi \cdot \tilde{F} dr d\theta d\tau \right| \leq \delta p \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{p-1} (L\Phi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau + \delta^{-1} \eta_0^{-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{p+1} \tilde{F}^2 dr d\theta d\tau. \quad (4.21)$$

The first term on the right can be absorbed into the left-hand side of (4.20) (for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small depending on α), and the second term on the right is controlled by the norm $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,0}[F](\tau_1, \tau_2, v)$. If $p \leq \eta_0$, then we first integrate the L -derivative by parts and then use Young's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^p L\Phi \cdot \tilde{F} \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \right| \\
& \lesssim \delta \left[\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{p-3} \Phi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau + \int_{\Sigma(\tau_2, v)} h(r) r^{p-2} \Phi \, dr \, d\theta + \int_{\underline{C}(v_0) \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} r^{p-2} \Phi^2 \, du \, d\theta \right] \\
& \quad + \delta^{-1} \left[\sum_{n=0}^1 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{p+1} ((rL)^n F)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\Sigma(\tau_i, v)} h(r) r^{p+2} \tilde{F}^2 \, dr \, d\theta \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\Sigma(\tau_1, v)} h(r) r^{p-2} \Phi \, dr \, d\theta + \int_{\underline{C}(v) \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} r^{p+2} \tilde{F}^2 \, du \, d\theta \right]. \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

The terms with δ can be absorbed into the left-hand side of (4.20), and the terms with δ^{-1} make up the norm $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,0}[F](\tau_1, \tau_2, v)$ (after noting that $h(r) \lesssim \langle r \rangle^{-1}$). In either case, we obtain the $N = 0$ case of (4.15).

Step 3: Higher-order estimates. Now let $N \geq 1$ and suppose (4.15) (with the appropriate reductions of Step 1) holds for $N - 1$ in place of N . Multiply (4.12) by $2r^p L(rL)^N \Phi$ and use the Leibniz rule to get

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \underline{L}(r^p (L(rL)^N \Phi)^2) + L(\alpha r^{p-2} (1 + f_1(r)) ((rL)^N \Phi)^2 + r^{p-2} (1 + f_2(r)) (\partial_\theta (rL)^N \Phi)^2) + \partial_\theta(\dots) \\
& \quad + 2G(N + p) r^{p-1} (L(rL)^N \Phi)^2 + G\alpha(2 - p) r^{p-3} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon \max(1, (2 - p)^{-1}))) ((rL)^N \Phi)^2 \\
& \quad + G(2 - p) r^{p-3} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon \max(1, (2 - p)^{-1}))) (\partial_\theta (rL)^N \Phi)^2 \\
& \quad + r^{(p-1)/2} L(rL)^N \Phi \cdot \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{(p-3)/2}) (rL)^n \Phi + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{(p-3)/2}) (\partial_\theta \partial_\theta (rL)^n \Phi) \right] \\
& \quad + r^p L(rL)^N \Phi \cdot \sum_{n=0}^N C_{N,n} (rL)^n \tilde{F}, \tag{4.23}
\end{aligned}$$

Fix $r_0 > 0$ and $v_0 > 0$ and integrate over $\{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\} \cap \{v \leq v_0\} \cap \{r \geq r_0\}$. As in Step 2, the boundary term at $\{r = r_0\}$ vanishes as $r_0 \rightarrow 0$. After using remark 4.2 to show that the bulk term and the boundary terms at $\{\tau = \tau_i\}$ and $\{v = v_0\}$ are coercive, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p^\circ[(rL)^N \Phi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{p-1} (L(rL)^N \Phi)^2 + r^{p-3} (\partial_\theta (rL)^N \Phi)^2 + r^{p-3} ((rL)^N \Phi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\
& \quad + \int_{\underline{C}(v_0) \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} r^{p-2} ((rL)^N \Phi)^2 \, du \, d\theta \\
& \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_p^\circ[(rL)^N \Phi](\tau_1, v) \\
& \quad + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{(p-1)/2} L(rL)^N \Phi \cdot \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{(p-3)/2}) (rL)^n \Phi + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{(p-3)/2}) (\partial_\theta \partial_\theta (rL)^n \Phi) \right] \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\
& \quad + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^p L(rL)^N \Phi \cdot C_{N,n} (rL)^n \tilde{F} \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \tag{4.24}
\end{aligned}$$

After using Young's inequality and adding a suitable multiple of (4.15) (with the appropriate reductions of Step 1) with $N - 1$ in place of N (applied to both u and $\partial_\theta u$) to control the terms on the second line of the right-hand side of (4.24), we obtain

$$(\text{LHS of (4.24)}) \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^1 \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p, N-1}^\circ[\partial_\theta^n \Phi](\tau_1, v) + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} r^p L(rL)^N \Phi \cdot (rL)^n \tilde{F} \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \tag{4.25}$$

Controlling the bulk term on the right by integrating by parts as in Step 2 completes the proof of (4.15), in view of Step 1. \square

4.1.4. *An integrated estimate for the modified T -energy.* The energy estimates of sections 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 allow us to quickly prove an estimate controlling the integral of the modified T -energy.

Proposition 4.9 (Integrated estimate for the modified T -energy). *Suppose $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R} \setminus \{r = 0\})$ satisfies the assumptions of definition 4.1 with inhomogeneity \tilde{F} . Then for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, $v \geq 0$, and $\delta > 0$, we have*

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau, v) \, d\tau \lesssim_\delta \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1[\Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1+\delta, 0}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v). \quad (4.26)$$

Proof. Since $h(r) \lesssim \langle r \rangle^{-1-\eta_h}$, we have

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau, v) \, d\tau \lesssim \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} (L\Phi)^2 + r^{-2}(\partial_\theta\Phi)^2 + r^{-2}\Phi^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-1-\eta_h}(\underline{L}\Phi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \quad (4.27)$$

To control the final term on the right-hand side, use (4.6) (with η_h in place of δ there) to obtain

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tilde{E}[\Phi](\tau, v) \, d\tau \lesssim \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} (L\Phi)^2 + r^{-2}(\partial_\theta\Phi)^2 + r^{-2}\Phi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} |T\Phi| |\tilde{F}| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \quad (4.28)$$

To control the first three terms on the right-hand side, use proposition 4.8 with $p = 1$. For the final term, use an r -weighted Young's inequality together with (4.5). \square

4.2. Energy estimates for radially symmetric scalar fields. We now turn our attention to radially symmetric scalar fields, for which proving energy estimates is more difficult, as discussed in section 1.2.

4.2.1. *Energy boundedness and integrated energy estimates.* We first record the standard T -energy estimate. For a higher-order version of this estimate (controlling the energy $E_N[\varphi]$ for $N \geq 1$), see proposition 4.13.

Proposition 4.10 (Boundedness of the T -energy). *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$. For $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and $v \geq 0$, we have*

$$E[\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \sup_{0 \leq v' \leq v} \int_{\underline{C}(v') \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} r(\underline{L}\varphi)^2 + r^{-1}(\partial_\theta\varphi)^2 \, du \, d\theta \lesssim E[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} |T\varphi| |r\Box\varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \quad (4.29)$$

Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1-\delta} r(\underline{L}\varphi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim_\delta E[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1-\delta} r(L\varphi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-\delta} |T\varphi| |r\Box\varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Proof. Let $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ be a bounded non-increasing C^1 function. Multiply (2.20) by $-4rf(r)T\varphi$, and then use the Leibniz rule and lemma 2.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} -4rfT\varphi\Box\varphi &= \underline{L}(fr(L\varphi)^2 + fr^{-1}(\partial_\theta\varphi)^2) + L(fr(\underline{L}\varphi)^2 + fr^{-1}(\partial_\theta\varphi)^2) \\ &\quad - \partial_\theta(2fr^{-2}\partial_\theta\varphi T\varphi) + G(-f')r[(\underline{L}\varphi)^2 - (L\varphi)^2] \\ &= T(fr(2-h)(L\varphi)^2 + frh(\underline{L}\varphi)^2 + fr^{-1}(\partial_\theta\varphi)^2) + GX(-fr(L\varphi)^2 + fr(\underline{L}\varphi)^2) \\ &\quad + \partial_\theta(\cdots) + G(-f')r[(\underline{L}\varphi)^2 - (L\varphi)^2]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

Let $r_0 > 0$ and $v_0 > 0$ and integrate (4.31) over the region $\{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\} \cap \{v \leq v_0\} \cap \{r \geq r_0\}$ with respect to the volume form $G^{-1} \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau$. The boundary term at $\{r = r_0\}$ is $-fr(L\varphi)^2 + fr(\underline{L}\varphi)^2$, which vanishes as $r_0 \rightarrow 0$ due to the regularity of φ at $\{r = 0\}$. To obtain (4.29), take $f(r) \equiv 1$ and take a supremum over $v_0 \leq v$. To obtain (4.30), take $f(r) = (1+r)^{-\delta}$. \square

We now establish an estimate that controls a bulk term involving derivatives of φ by the T -energy of φ and a bulk term involving Ψ . We need this estimate to control terms arising from commutation (see proposition 4.13).

Proposition 4.11 (Integrated energy estimate; radially symmetric case). *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ be radially symmetric. For $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, $v \geq 0$, and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} E[\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} [(L\varphi)^2 + (\underline{L}\varphi)^2] dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim_\delta E[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} \Psi^2 dr d\theta d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} |r\Box\varphi|^2 dr d\theta d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

Proof. Take $f(r) = (1+r)^{-1+\delta}$, so that f is bounded and $(rf)' \sim_\delta (1+r)^{-1+\delta}$. Rewrite (2.20) as

$$\underline{L}L\varphi = r^{-1}GZ\varphi - \Box\varphi = r^{-3/2}\Psi - \Box\varphi. \quad (4.33)$$

We have reinterpreted the first-order term $r^{-1}GZ\varphi$, which obstructs a standard Morawetz estimate, as a source term involving Ψ , which we have already controlled. Multiply (4.33) by $2rf(r)(L - \underline{L})\varphi = 4f(r)r^{1/2}\Psi$ and use the Leibniz rule and Young's inequality to get

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{L}(rf(L\varphi)^2) - L(rf(\underline{L}\varphi)^2) + G(rf)'[(L\varphi)^2 + (\underline{L}\varphi)^2] &= 4r^{-1}f\Psi^2 + 4f \cdot r^{-1/2}\Psi \cdot r\Box\varphi \\ &\lesssim r^{-1}f\Psi^2 + f|r\Box\varphi|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Fix $r_0 > 0$ and $v_0 > 0$. The boundary term at $\{r = r_0\}$ arising from integrating (4.34) over the region $\{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\} \cap \{v \leq v_0\} \cap \{r \geq r_0\}$ is $rf(L\varphi)^2 - rf(\underline{L}\varphi)^2$, which vanishes as $r_0 \rightarrow 0$. The boundary terms at $\{\tau = \tau_i\}$ are controlled by the T -energy, since f and G are bounded. After adding a suitable multiple of (4.29), we obtain (4.32). \square

Next, we turn to proving a higher-order version of propositions 4.10 and 4.11. To this end, we compute the terms that arise when commuting the above estimates with $(rL)^N$.

Lemma 4.12 (Commutation with $(rL)^N$). *Suppose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$. Then for $N \geq 0$, we have*

$$r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^N\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{O}(1)(rL)^n\Psi + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{1/2})L(rL)^n\varphi \quad (4.35)$$

and

$$L(r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^N\varphi) = \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{O}(1)L(rL)^n\Psi + \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{O}(r^{-1/2})L(rL)^n\varphi. \quad (4.36)$$

Moreover, if φ is radially symmetric, we have

$$[\Box, (rL)^N]\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\mathcal{O}(r^{-1})L(rL)^n\varphi + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1/2})L(rL)^n\Psi + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1/2}\langle r \rangle^{-2})(rL)^n\Psi]. \quad (4.37)$$

We interpret sums from 0 to $N-1$ as being empty if $N=0$.

Proof. First, (4.35) follows from the stronger statement that for each $N \geq 1$ and $0 \leq n \leq N$, there exist constants $C_{N,n}$ such that

$$r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^N\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^N C_{N,n}(rL)^n\Psi + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{1/2})L(rL)^n\varphi. \quad (4.38)$$

The $N=1$ case of (4.38) follows from the computation

$$r^{1/2}GZ(rL)\varphi = (rL)\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\Psi + r^{1/2}GL\varphi, \quad (4.39)$$

and the general case follows by induction on N after using (4.39) with $(rL)^N\varphi$ in place of φ to write

$$r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^{N+1}\varphi = r^{1/2}GZ(rL)(rL)^N\varphi = (rL)(r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^N\varphi) - \frac{1}{2}r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^N\varphi + r^{1/2}GL(rL)^N\varphi. \quad (4.40)$$

Now (4.36) is obtained by acting with L on both sides of (4.35).

The $N=1$ case of (4.37) follows from the computation

$$[\Box, rL]\varphi = (G^2 + GrG')r^{-1}L\varphi + 2r^{-1/2}GL\Psi - r^{-1/2}GG'\Psi, \quad (4.41)$$

which can be obtained from (2.20), and the inequality $a \geq 1$ (where a appears in section 2.2). The general case follows by induction on N after writing

$$[\square, (rL)^{N+1}\varphi] = (rL)[\square, (rL)^N\varphi] + [\square, rL](rL)^N\varphi \quad (4.42)$$

and using the identities (4.35) and (4.36) to compute the final term in (4.42). \square

Proposition 4.13 (Higher-order T -energy estimate and integrated energy estimate; radially symmetric case). *Suppose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ is radially symmetric. Then for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, $v \geq 0$, $N \geq 0$, and $\delta > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} E_N[\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} [(L(rL)^n\varphi)^2 + (\underline{L}(rL)^n\varphi)^2] dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim_{N, \delta} E_N[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N}[\Psi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1+\delta, N}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) + \mathcal{A}_{1+\delta, N}[\square\varphi](\tau_1, \tau_2, v), \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

where $\tilde{F} := r^{1/2}GZ\square\varphi$ is the inhomogeneity in the equation satisfied by Ψ (see (2.26)) and the inhomogeneous norms \mathcal{A} and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ were defined in section 2.7.3.

Proof. First, the $N = 0$ case follows from propositions 4.8 and 4.11. Now suppose that $N \geq 1$ and that we have established (4.43) with $N - 1$ in place of N . Apply proposition 4.11 with $(rL)^N\varphi$ in place of φ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E[(rL)^N\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} [(L(rL)^N\varphi)^2 + (\underline{L}(rL)^N\varphi)^2] dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim_\delta E[(rL)^N\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} (r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^N\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} |r[\square, (rL)^N]\varphi|^2 dr d\theta d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} r^2 |(rL)^N\square\varphi|^2 dr d\theta d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

We now control the bulk terms on the right-hand side of (4.44). Use (4.35) and proposition 4.8 applied to Ψ (with $p = 1 + \delta$) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} (r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^N\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} ((rL)^n\Psi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} (L(rL)^n\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N}[\Psi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1+\delta, N}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, v) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} (L(rL)^n\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

Next, use (4.37) and proposition 4.8 applied to Ψ (with $p = 1 + \delta$) to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} |r[\square, (rL)^N]\varphi|^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} r (L(rL)^n\Psi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-4+\delta} ((rL)^n\Psi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} (L(rL)^n\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N-1}[\Psi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1+\delta, N-1}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} (L(rL)^n\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

To complete the proof of (4.43), substitute (4.45) and (4.46) into (4.44) and add a suitable multiple of (4.43) with $N - 1$ in place of N . \square

4.2.2. *r-weighted energy estimates.* We now establish *r*-weighted energy estimates for radially symmetric scalar fields. We establish these estimates not for φ itself, but for $(rL)\varphi$ (see proposition 4.15) and $T\varphi$ (see proposition 4.16). That is, we must commute with one derivative in order to obtain an *r*-weighted energy estimate.

We begin by deriving a general identity associated to multipliers of the form $f(r)L$.

Lemma 4.14 (Identity associated to the multiplier $f(r)L$). *Let $f(r) = rg(r)$ for $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ a C^2 function. Then for any $v \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, an identity of the following form holds for radially symmetric functions $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma(\tau_2, v)} (2 - Gh)f(L\psi)^2 + \frac{1}{4}G^2h(2rg' + (1 + O(\epsilon))g)\varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \\
& + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r f'(L\varphi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau + \int_{\underline{C}(v) \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} \frac{1}{4}G^2(2rg' + (1 + O(\epsilon))g)\varphi^2 \, du \, d\theta \\
& = \int_{\Sigma(\tau_1, v)} (2 - Gh)f(L\psi)^2 + \frac{1}{4}G^2h(2rg' + (1 + O(\epsilon))g)\varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \\
& + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} (O(1)rg'' + O(1)g' + O(\epsilon\langle r \rangle^{-1-a})g)\varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\
& - \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} 2G^{-1}fL\psi r^{1/2}\square\varphi \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

Proof. Multiply both sides of (2.22) by $-2f(r)L\psi$, use the expansions

$$L\psi = \frac{1}{2}Gr^{-1/2}\varphi + r^{1/2}L\varphi, \quad (L\psi)^2 = r(L\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}GL(\varphi^2) + \frac{1}{4}G^2r^{-1}\varphi^2, \tag{4.48}$$

and use the Leibniz rule to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
-2fL\psi r^{1/2}\square\varphi &= \underline{L}(f(L\psi)^2) + \frac{1}{4}L(-r^{-1}fG(G - 2rG')\varphi^2) + Grf'(L\varphi)^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}G \left[r(r^{-2}fG(G - 2rG'))' + G^2r^{-1}f' \right] \varphi^2 + \frac{1}{2}G^2f'L(\varphi^2) \\
&= \underline{L}(f(L\psi)^2) + \frac{1}{4}L(G^2(2rg' + g + 2rgG^{-1}G')\varphi^2) + Grf'(L\varphi)^2 \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}G^3 \left[-rg'' - (1 + 3rG^{-1}G')g' - gG^{-1}(rG'' + rG^{-1}(G')^2 + G') \right] \varphi^2
\end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

In passing to the final line, we expressed $f = rg$ and differentiated the $L(\varphi^2)$ term by parts, which produces a term $\frac{1}{2}(G^2f')\varphi^2$ and a total- L -derivative term. By the assumptions on G (and lemma 2.3), the zeroth-order term (in the last line of (4.49)) has the form

$$(O(1)rg'' + O(1)g' + O(\epsilon\langle r \rangle^{-1-a})g)\varphi^2. \tag{4.50}$$

Now let $r_0 > 0$ and $v_0 > 0$. Use (4.48) and the identity $f = rg$ to express the integrand of the boundary term at $\{r = r_0\}$ that arises from integrating (4.49) on $\{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\} \cap \{v \leq v_0\} \cap \{r \geq r_0\}$ with respect to the volume form $G^{-1} \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau$ as follows:

$$f(L\psi)^2 - \frac{1}{4}G^2(2rg' + g + 2rgG^{-1}G')\varphi^2 = r^2g(L\varphi)^2 + Gf\varphi L\varphi - \frac{1}{4}G^2(2rg' + 2rgG^{-1}G')\varphi^2. \tag{4.51}$$

This term vanishes as $r \rightarrow 0$ since g and G are C^1 functions of r (up to and including $r = 0$). We therefore obtain the desired identity upon integration. \square

We now prove an estimate for (rL) -derivatives of the solution. We can close the estimate because with (rL) will produce bulk terms involving $L(rL)^{\leq 1}\varphi$ of a good sign as well as error terms involving Ψ .

Proposition 4.15 (*r*-weighted energy estimate for (rL) -derivatives; radially symmetric case). *Suppose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ is radially symmetric. Then for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, $v \geq 0$, and $N \geq 0$, and $\delta \geq 0$ sufficiently small, we*

have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[(rL)\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L(rL)^n \varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
& \lesssim_N \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[(rL)\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N}[\Psi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1+\delta, N}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) + \mathcal{A}_{3+\delta, N+1}[\square\varphi](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) \\
& \quad + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^2 \langle r \rangle^\delta (L(rL)^n \Psi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

where $\tilde{F} := r^{1/2}GZ\square\varphi$ is the inhomogeneity in the equation satisfied by Ψ (see (2.26)) and the inhomogeneous norms \mathcal{A} and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ were defined in section 2.7.3.

Proof. Step 1: The case $N = 0$. Set $f(r) = r(1+r)^\delta$ (i.e. $g(r) = (1+r)^\delta$). We explicitly compute

$$\begin{cases} 2rg'(r) + (1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon))g(r) \sim \langle r \rangle^\delta, \\ rf'(r) \geq r \langle r \rangle^\delta \\ |rg''| + |g'| + \epsilon \langle r \rangle^{-1-a} |g| \lesssim (\delta + \epsilon) \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta}. \end{cases} \tag{4.53}$$

It follows from lemma 4.14 (after dropping the term on $\underline{C}(v)$, which has a good sign) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& C^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau_2, v) + C^{-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
& \leq C \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + C(\delta + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} \varphi^2 dr d\theta d\tau - \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} 2G^{-1}r(1+r)^\delta L\psi r^{1/2} \square\varphi dr d\theta d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Applying (4.54) to $(rL)\varphi$ in place of φ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& C^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[(rL)\varphi](\tau_2, v) + C^{-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L(rL)\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
& \leq C \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + C(\delta + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
& \quad - \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} 2G^{-1}r(1+r)^\delta L(r^{1/2}(rL)\varphi)r^{1/2}\square(rL)\varphi dr d\theta d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{4.55}$$

We now compute the final term on the right-hand side of (4.55) and observe that it produces a bulk term (of a good sign) that controls $\langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta}((rL)\varphi)^2$. The commutation formula (4.41) and the identity

$$L(r^{1/2}(rL)\varphi) = r^{1/2}L(rL)\varphi + \frac{1}{2}r^{1/2}L\varphi \tag{4.56}$$

imply

$$\begin{aligned}
& G^{-1}r(1+r)^\delta L(r^{1/2}(rL)\varphi)r^{1/2}[\square, rL]\varphi \\
& = (1+r)^\delta \left(r^{1/2}L(rL)\varphi + \frac{1}{2}r^{1/2}L\varphi \right) ((G+rG')r^{1/2}L\varphi + 2rL\Psi - rG'\Psi)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.57}$$

We now expand the parentheses, producing terms proportional to

$$(I) := r(1+r)^\delta (L\varphi)^2 \text{ and } (II) := (1+r)^\delta \cdot r^{1/2}L(rL)\varphi \cdot r^{1/2}L\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(1+r)^\delta L((rL)\varphi)^2, \tag{4.58}$$

with proportionality constants independent of δ . We also produce terms multiplying $L^{\leq 1}\Psi$, which we treat as error. The key step is to differentiate by parts term (II), producing a total- L -derivative term of a good sign and a bulk term of a bad sign proportional to $\delta r(1+r)^\delta (L\varphi)^2$, which is compensated for by the pre-existing

such term of a good sign that comes without a δ -factor, namely term (I). We therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(LHS of (4.57))} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}L((1+r)^\delta(G+rG'))((rL)\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(G-rG'-r^2G''-\delta(1+r)^{-1})r(1+r)^\delta(L\varphi)^2 \\
&\quad + (1+r)^\delta\left(r^{1/2}L(rL)\varphi + \frac{1}{2}r^{1/2}L\varphi\right)(2rL\Psi - rG'\Psi) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}L((1+O(\epsilon))(1+r)^\delta((rL)\varphi)^2) + \frac{1}{4}r\langle r \rangle^\delta(L\varphi)^2 - C\langle r \rangle^\delta(r^{1/2}|L(rL)\varphi| + r^{1/2}|L\varphi|)(r|L\Psi| + \langle r \rangle^{-1}|\Psi|).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.59}$$

for $\delta \geq 0$ sufficiently small (say $\delta < 1/8$). We used $|rG'| \leq \langle r \rangle^{-1}$ to pass to the final line. Substitute (4.56) and (4.57) into (4.55) and rearrange terms to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& C^{-1}\mathcal{E}_1[(rL)\varphi](\tau_2, v) + C^{-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r\langle r \rangle^\delta(L(rL)\varphi)^2 + r\langle r \rangle^\delta(L\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} L((1+O(\epsilon))(1+r)^\delta((rL)\varphi)^2) dr d\theta d\tau \\
&\leq C\mathcal{E}_1[(rL)\varphi](\tau_1, v) + C(\delta + \epsilon) \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r\langle r \rangle^\delta(L\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
&\quad + C \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^\delta(r^{1/2}|L(rL)\varphi| + r^{1/2}|L\varphi|)(r|L\Psi| + \langle r \rangle^{-1}|\Psi| + r^{3/2}|(rL)\square\varphi|) dr d\theta d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.60}$$

The final term on the left-hand side produces a term at $\underline{C}(v)$ with a good sign (and no boundary term at $\{r=0\}$). After dropping this term, absorbing the $(\delta + \epsilon)$ -term to the left-hand side (taking δ sufficiently small), and applying Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_1[(rL)\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r\langle r \rangle^\delta(L(rL)\varphi)^2 + r\langle r \rangle^\delta(L\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1[(rL)\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^2\langle r \rangle^\delta(L\Psi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-2+\delta}\Psi^2 + r^3\langle r \rangle^\delta|(rL)\square\varphi|^2 dr d\theta d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.61}$$

Apply proposition 4.8 to Ψ (with $p = 1 + \delta$) to control the zeroth-order term involving Ψ on the right-hand side of (4.61) and obtain (4.52) when $N = 0$.

Step 2: The case $N \geq 1$. Suppose $N \geq 1$ and (4.52) holds with $N - 1$ in place of N . Apply (4.61) with $(rL)^N\varphi$ in place of φ and use lemma 4.12 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_1[(rL)^N(rL)\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r\langle r \rangle^\delta(L(rL)^N(rL)\varphi)^2 + r\langle r \rangle^\delta(L(rL)^N\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1[(rL)^N(rL)\varphi](\tau_1, v) \\
&\quad + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^2\langle r \rangle^\delta(L(r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^N\varphi))^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-2+\delta}(r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^N\varphi)^2 + r^3\langle r \rangle^\delta|(rL)\square(rL)^N\varphi|^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1[(rL)^N(rL)\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^2\langle r \rangle^\delta(L(rL)^n\Psi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-2+\delta}((rL)^n\Psi)^2 + r\langle r \rangle^\delta(L(rL)^n\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^3\langle r \rangle^\delta|(rL)^{N+1}\square\varphi|^2 dr d\theta d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{4.62}$$

To complete the proof of (4.52) with $N \geq 1$, add to (4.61) a multiple of (4.52) with $\delta = 0$ and $N - 1$ in place of N and a multiple of (4.15) applied to Ψ (with $p = 1 + \delta$). \square

We now prove an estimate for a $(rL)^N T$ -derivative of the solution. We can close the estimate when $N = 0$ because replacing φ with $T\varphi$ turns dangerous zeroth-order terms in the bulk into derivative bulk terms that we can control using proposition 4.13. To prove the estimate for $N \geq 1$, we argue as in the proof of proposition 4.15 to commute with (rL) .

Proposition 4.16 (*r*-weighted energy estimate for a *T*-derivative; radially symmetric case). *Suppose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ is radially symmetric. Then for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, $v \geq 0$, $N \geq 0$, and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[T\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L(rL)^n T\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ & \lesssim_{N, \delta} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[T\varphi](\tau_1, v) + E_N[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N}[\Psi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1+\delta, N}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) + \mathcal{A}_{3+\delta, N}[T\Box\varphi](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^2 \langle r \rangle^\delta (L(rL)^n T\Psi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{4.63}$$

where $\tilde{F} := r^{1/2}GZ\Box\varphi$ is the inhomogeneity in the equation satisfied by Ψ (see (2.26)) and the inhomogeneous norms \mathcal{A} and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ were defined in section 2.7.3.

Proof. Apply (4.54) with $T\varphi$ in place of φ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[T\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (LT\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[T\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} (T\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau - \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta |LT\psi| |r^{1/2}T\Box\varphi| dr d\theta d\tau \end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

After estimating $|LT\psi| \lesssim r^{1/2}|LT\varphi| + r^{-1/2}|T\varphi|$ and applying (an *r*-weighted) Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[T\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (LT\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[T\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} (T\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{1+\delta} |r\Box T\varphi|^2 dr d\theta d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{4.65}$$

Control the bulk term associated to $T\varphi$ on the right-hand side of (4.65) using proposition 4.13 to obtain (4.63) when $N = 0$. The case $N \geq 1$ follows as in the proof of proposition 4.13. \square

4.2.3. An integrated estimate for the *T*-energy. We now use the energy estimates of sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 to estimate the integral of the higher-order *T*-energy, in preparation for an argument that establishes energy decay using the pigeonhole principle (see section 5).

Proposition 4.17 (Integrated estimate for the higher-order *T*-energy; radially symmetric case). *Suppose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ is radially symmetric. Then for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, $v \geq 0$, $N \geq 1$, and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} E_N[\varphi](\tau, v) d\tau \\ & \lesssim_{N, \delta} E_N[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \mathcal{E}_{1, N-1}[(rL)\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N}[\Psi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1, N}[\tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) + \mathcal{A}_{3, N}[T\Box\varphi](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle (L(rL)^n \Psi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau, \end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

where $\tilde{F} := r^{1/2}GZ\Box\varphi$ is the inhomogeneity in the equation satisfied by Ψ (see (2.26)), the inhomogeneous norms \mathcal{A} and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ were defined in section 2.7.3, and η_h is a parameter associated to the hyperboloidal foliation (see section 2.3).

Proof. First, use $h(r) \lesssim \langle r \rangle^{-1-\eta_h}$, and then use proposition 4.15 applied to φ and (4.30) (where η_h takes the role of δ) applied to $(rL)^n \varphi$ for $0 \leq n \leq N$ in place of φ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} E_N[\varphi](\tau, v) \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r(L(rL)^n \varphi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-1-\eta_h} r(\underline{L}(rL)^n \varphi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r(L(rL)^n \varphi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} |T(rL)^n \varphi| |r \square(rL)^n \varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.67)$$

We now control the final bulk term on the right-hand side of (4.67). Now use an r -weighted Young's inequality and then use (4.37) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} |T(rL)^n \varphi| |r \square(rL)^n \varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} (T(rL)^n \varphi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{1-\delta} |r \square(rL)^n \varphi|^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r(L(rL)^n \varphi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} [(L(rL)^n \varphi)^2 + (\underline{L}(rL)^n \varphi)^2] \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^{1-\delta} (L(rL)^n \Psi)^2 + r \langle r \rangle^{-3-\delta} ((rL)^n \Psi)^2 + r^2 \langle r \rangle^{1-\delta} |(rL)^n \square \varphi|^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (4.68)$$

To complete the proof, substitute (4.68) into (4.67) and use propositions 4.8, 4.13, and 4.15 to control the terms on the right. \square

5. ENERGY DECAY AND IMPROVED ENERGY DECAY FOR TIME DERIVATIVES

The goal of this section is to show that energies associated to time derivatives decay faster in time. In section 6, we will show that a suitably renormalized version of φ (namely $\widehat{\varphi} = \varphi - \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]_{\varphi_{\min k}}$) can be written as a time derivative. In this way we will obtain improved decay for φ itself.

In section 5.1, we prove an abstract interpolation lemma (a version of [Gaj23, Prop. 8.2]). We then use this lemma to prove improved decay for time derivatives of good scalar fields in section 5.2 and for time derivatives of radially symmetric scalar fields in section 5.3.

5.1. An abstract interpolation lemma. We formulate an abstract version of the interpolation argument used in [Gaj23, Prop. 8.2].

Lemma 5.1 (Abstract interpolation lemma). *Let $\alpha, \beta, \delta, D \geq 0$, and let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}$ be an integrable function satisfying the following assumptions:*

$$f(0) \lesssim D, \quad (5.1)$$

$$f(\tau') \lesssim f(\tau) + (1 + \tau)^{-\beta} D \quad \text{for } \tau' \geq \tau \geq 0, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} f(\tau') \, d\tau' \lesssim R^{-\alpha} (1 + \tau)^{\delta} D + R(f(\tau) + (1 + \tau)^{-\beta} D) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq R \leq \tau. \quad (5.3)$$

Then for $\tau \geq 0$ and $\eta > 0$, we have

$$f(\tau) \lesssim_{\alpha, \beta, \eta} (1 + \tau)^{-\min(\beta, \alpha+1)+\delta+\eta} D. \quad (5.4)$$

Remark 5.2. In sections 5.2 and 5.3, we will apply lemma 5.1 when $f(\tau)$ is an energy defined on $\Sigma(\tau)$, D is an initial data norm, (5.1) is the boundedness of initial data, (5.2) is an energy estimate, and (5.3) is an integrated energy estimate obtained by splitting the spatial integral defining the energy $f(\tau)$ into the regions $\{r \leq R\}$ and $\{r \geq R\}$.

Proof. By (5.2) and (5.1), we have

$$f(\tau) \lesssim f(0) + D \lesssim D \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 0, \quad (5.5)$$

and so it suffices to prove (5.4) for $\tau \geq 1$.

Step 1: The iteration argument. Suppose we have shown that

$$f(\tau) \lesssim \tau^{-\gamma+\delta} D \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 1 \quad (5.6)$$

for some $0 \leq \gamma \leq \min(\beta, \alpha + 1)$. We will show that (5.6) holds with $\min(1 + (1 - \frac{1}{\alpha+1})\gamma, \beta)$ in place of γ (with an implicit constant that is larger by a factor independent of γ). Note that the case $\gamma = 0$ of (5.6) follows from (5.5).

Let $\tau_i = 2^i$ be a dyadic sequence. Combine (5.6) with (5.3) to obtain

$$\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_{i+1}} f(\tau) d\tau \lesssim R^{-\alpha} \tau_i^\delta D + R \tau_i^{-\gamma} D \quad \text{for } 1 \leq R \leq \tau_i. \quad (5.7)$$

Since $\gamma \leq \alpha + 1$, we can take $R = \tau_i^{\gamma/(\alpha+1)}$ in (5.7) to obtain

$$\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_{i+1}} f(\tau) d\tau \lesssim \tau_i^{-\gamma\alpha/(\alpha+1)+\delta} D. \quad (5.8)$$

By the pigeonhole principle, there is $\tau'_i \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]$ such that

$$f(\tau'_i) \lesssim \tau_i^{-1-\gamma\alpha/(\alpha+1)+\delta} D. \quad (5.9)$$

By (5.2) and the dyadicity of the τ_i , and hence of the τ'_i , we can extend the estimate (5.9) to

$$f(\tau) \lesssim \tau^{-\min(1+\gamma\alpha/(\alpha+1), \beta)+\delta} D \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 1, \quad (5.10)$$

as desired.

Step 2: Completing the proof. Define a sequence γ_n for $n \geq 0$ by

$$\gamma_0 = 0, \quad \gamma_{n+1} = \min\left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)\gamma_n, \beta\right). \quad (5.11)$$

If $\gamma_n \leq \alpha + 1$, then so is γ_{n+1} (since $\gamma_{n+1} \leq 1 + (1 - 1/(\alpha + 1))\gamma_n$). Since $\gamma_0 = 0$, it follows that $\gamma_n \leq \min(\beta, \alpha + 1)$ for all $n \geq 0$. In particular, Step 1 shows that

$$f(\tau) \lesssim_n \tau^{-\gamma_n+\delta} D \quad \text{for } \tau \geq 1. \quad (5.12)$$

If $\beta \geq \alpha + 1$, then by induction we have

$$\gamma_{n+1} = 1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)\gamma_n \implies \gamma_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^k = \alpha + 1 - \alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^n. \quad (5.13)$$

If $\beta < \alpha + 1$, then we have

$$\gamma_{n+1} = \min\left(\alpha + 1 - \alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\right)^n, \beta\right), \quad (5.14)$$

and there is some $N \geq 0$ such that $\gamma_n = \beta$ for $n \geq N$. In either case, we establish (5.4) by taking n sufficiently large that $\alpha(1 - 1/(\alpha + 1))^n \leq \eta$. \square

5.2. Energy decay for scalar fields that satisfy an equation with a good zeroth-order term. In this section, we closely follow [Gaj23, Sec. 8] to show that energies of time derivatives of good scalar fields decay faster in time. We first show that we can express T -derivatives in terms of (rL) -derivatives and ∂_θ -derivatives.

Lemma 5.3. *If $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R} \setminus \{r = 0\})$ solves (4.2) with inhomogeneity $F \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R} \setminus \{r = 0\})$, then for $N \geq 0$ and $M \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & L(rL)^N T^M \Phi \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N+M} \mathcal{O}(r^{-M}) L(rL)^n \Phi \\ & \quad + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \sum_{n=0}^{N+M-m-1} \left(\mathcal{O}(r^{-1-M+m}) (rL)^n T^m \Phi + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1-M+m}) \partial_\theta^2 (rL)^n T^m \Phi + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1-M+m}) (rL)^n T^m F \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

where the implied constants in the \mathcal{O} -notation depend on N , M , and the parameters of (4.2).

Proof. Use $2T = L + \underline{L}$ to write

$$2L(rL)^N T\Phi = LL(rL)^N \Phi + \underline{LL}(rL)^N \Phi = r^{-1}L(rL)^{N+1}\Phi - r^{-1}L(rL)^N \Phi + \underline{LL}(rL)^N \Phi. \quad (5.16)$$

Rewrite the final term on the right using lemma 4.7 to obtain the $M = 1$ case of (5.15):

$$L(rL)^N T\Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})L(rL)^n \Phi + \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})(rL)^n \Phi + \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{O}(r^{-2})\partial_\theta^2(rL)^n \Phi + \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{O}(1)(rL)^n F. \quad (5.17)$$

To complete the proof, induct on M and use (5.17) in the induction step. \square

Proposition 5.4 (Energy decay and improved energy decay for time derivatives). *Let $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R} \setminus \{r=0\})$ satisfy the assumptions of proposition 4.8. For $p \in [0, 2 - \eta_0]$ (where η_0 was fixed in proposition 4.8), $N \geq 0$, $M \geq 0$, and $v \geq 0$, define*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,M}[\Phi](v) := \sum_{m=0}^M \left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N+M-m}[T^m \Phi](0, v) + \sup_{\tau \geq 0} (1 + \tau)^{2m} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p+1,N+M-m}[T^m F](\tau, \infty, v) \right), \quad (5.18)$$

where $F \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R} \setminus \{r=0\})$ is the inhomogeneity in the equation solved by Φ (see definition 4.1) and the norm $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,N}[F](\tau)$ was defined in section 2.7.3. For $p \in [0, 2 - \eta_0]$, $N \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, and $v \geq 0$, we have the energy boundedness estimate

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[\Phi] \lesssim_{N,M,\eta_0} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,M}[\Phi](v), \quad (5.19)$$

as well as the following improved energy decay estimates for time derivatives, where $\eta > 0$ is arbitrary:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[T^M \Phi](\tau, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\eta_0,\eta} (1 + \tau)^{-2M+\eta} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,M}[\Phi](v) \quad (M \geq 1). \quad (5.20)$$

Proof. First, (5.19) follows from proposition 4.8, so we can consider $M \geq 1$. By proposition 4.8, it suffices to consider $\tau \geq 1$. Let $1 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and let $R \geq 1$. Split into the regions $\{r \leq R\}$ and $\{r \geq R\}$ and use $h(r) \lesssim \langle r \rangle^{-1}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[T^M \Phi](\tau, v) \, d\tau \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^p (L\partial_\theta^{n_1}(rL)^{n_2} T^M \Phi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \quad + \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} h(r) r^{p-2} [(\partial_\theta \partial_\theta^{n_1}(rL)^{n_2} T^M \Phi)^2 + (\partial_\theta^{n_1}(rL)^{n_2} T^M \Phi)^2] \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim R \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v) \cap \{r \leq R\}} r^{p-1} (L\partial_\theta^{n_1}(rL)^{n_2} T^M \Phi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \quad + R^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v) \cap \{r \geq R\}} r^{p+1} (L\partial_\theta^{n_1}(rL)^{n_2} T^M \Phi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \quad + \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^{p-3} (\partial_\theta \partial_\theta^{n_1}(rL)^{n_2} T^M \Phi)^2 + r^{p-3} (\partial_\theta^{n_1}(rL)^{n_2} T^M \Phi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim R \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[T^M \Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tau_1^{-2M} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,M}[\Phi](v) + R^{-1} \cdot (\mathbb{I})_{R,N,M}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

where

$$(\mathbb{I})_{R,N,M} := \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v) \cap \{r \geq R\}} r^{p+1} (L\partial_\theta^{n_1}(rL)^{n_2} T^M \Phi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \quad (5.22)$$

To pass to the last line in (5.21), we used proposition 4.8 and the estimate $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,N}[T^M F](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) \leq \tau_1^{-2M} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,M}[\Phi](v)$ (which follows from the definition of the norm $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$). To control the term (I) $_{R,N,M}$, use lemma 5.3 to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{(I)}_{R,N,M} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v) \cap \{r \geq R\}} r^{-2(M-1)} \sum_{n=0}^{n_2+M} r^{p-1} (L \partial_\theta^{n_1} (rL)^n \Phi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\
& \quad + \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} r^{-2(M-m-1)} \sum_{n=0}^{n_2+M-m-1} \\
& \quad \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v) \cap \{r \geq R\}} (r^{p-3} (\partial_\theta \partial_\theta^{n_1+1} (rL)^n T^m \Phi)^2 + r^{p-3} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rL)^n T^m \Phi)^2 + r^{p+1} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rL)^n T^m F)^2) dr d\theta d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Using the r^p -weighted energy estimate of proposition 4.8 to estimate the right-hand side of (5.23), we obtain

$$\text{(I)}_{R,N,M} \lesssim R^{-2(M-1)} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} R^{2m} (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N+M-m}[T^m \Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tau_1^{-2m} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,M}[\Phi](v)). \tag{5.24}$$

In particular, when $M = 1$, we have

$$\text{(I)}_{R,N,1} \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,1}[\Phi](v). \tag{5.25}$$

Substitute (5.25) into (5.21) to obtain

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[T\Phi](\tau, v) d\tau \lesssim R^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,1}[\Phi](v) + R(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[T\Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tau_1^{-2} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,1}[\Phi](v)). \tag{5.26}$$

Since $\tau_2 \geq \tau_1$ is arbitrary, (5.20) for $M = 1$ now follows from lemma 5.1 (where the assumptions (5.1) and (5.2) of lemma 5.1 follow from proposition 4.8 and (5.26) plays the role of (5.3)).

Now suppose that $M > 1$ and that we have established (5.20) for $M - 1$ in place of M . Then, returning to (5.24) and using proposition 4.8 and the induction hypothesis (applied to $T^m \Phi$ for $0 \leq m \leq M - 1$), we conclude that for any $\eta > 0$ and $1 \leq R \leq \tau_1$, we have

$$\text{(I)}_{R,N,M} \lesssim_\eta R^{-2(M-1)} \tau_1^\eta \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,M}[\Phi](v), \tag{5.27}$$

where we have used the fact that (for $N \geq 0$, $M \geq 1$ and $v \geq 0$)

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N+1,M-1}[\Phi](v) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,M}[\Phi](v). \tag{5.28}$$

Substitute (5.27) into (5.21) to get

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[T^M \Phi](\tau, v) d\tau \lesssim R^{-2M+1} \tau_1^\eta \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,M}[\Phi](v) + R(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{p,N}[T^M \Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tau_1^{-2M} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{p,N,M}[\Phi](v)). \tag{5.29}$$

for $1 \leq R \leq \tau_1$. Now (5.20) follows from lemma 5.1 and (5.29) as in the case $M = 1$. \square

5.3. Energy decay for radially symmetric scalar fields. In this section, we partially extend the results of section 5.2 to radially symmetric scalar fields. We first show that we can express T -derivatives of a radially symmetric scalar field φ in terms of (rL) -derivatives of φ and of the quantity Ψ .

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$. Then for $N \geq 0$ and $M \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
L(r^{1/2}(rL)^N T^M \varphi) &= \mathcal{O}(r^{-M+1}) \sum_{n=0}^{N+M} \mathcal{O}(r^{-1/2}) L(rL)^n \varphi \\
& \quad + \mathcal{O}(r^{-M+1}) \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{m+1}) \sum_{n=0}^{N+M-m-1} (\mathcal{O}(r^{-2}) T^m \Psi + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}) L(rL)^n T^m \Psi).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

Proof. The case $N = 0$ and $M = 1$ follows from the computation

$$LT\psi = L(r^{1/2}T\varphi) = L(r^{1/2}L\varphi) - L(r^{1/2}GZ\varphi) = r^{-1/2}L(rL)\varphi - \frac{1}{2}r^{-1/2}GL\varphi - L\Psi. \quad (5.31)$$

Apply (5.31) to $(rL)^N\varphi$ in place of φ and use (4.36) to conclude the case $M = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} L(r^{1/2}(rL)^N T\varphi) &= r^{-1/2}L(rL)^{N+1}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}r^{-1/2}GL(rL)^N\varphi - L(r^{1/2}GZ(rL)^N)\varphi \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \mathcal{O}(r^{-1/2})L(rL)^n\varphi + \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{O}(1)L(rL)^n\Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Multiply (5.32) by $r^{1/2}$ and rearrange terms to obtain

$$L(rL)^N T\varphi = \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})L(rL)^n\varphi + \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{O}(r^{-1/2})L(rL)^n\Psi + \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{O}(r^{-3/2})(rL)^n\Psi. \quad (5.33)$$

The general formula (5.30) follows by induction on M , where in the inductive step one uses (5.32) and (5.33) applied to $T^{M-1}\varphi$ in place of φ . \square

Proposition 5.6 (Energy decay and improved energy decay for time derivatives; radially symmetric case). *Suppose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ is radially symmetric. Suppose moreover that there exists a function $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R} \setminus \{r = 0\})$ such that*

- (i) $T\Phi = \Psi = r^{1/2}GZ\varphi$,
- (ii) and Φ satisfies the assumptions of definition 4.1 with inhomogeneity \tilde{F} .

For $N \geq 1$, $M \geq 0$, $\delta \geq 0$, and $v \geq 0$, define the initial data norm

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) &:= \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1+\delta,N,M+1}[\Phi](v) + \sum_{m=0}^M (E_{N+M-m}[T^m\varphi](0, v) + \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N+M-m}[T^m\varphi](0, v)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=0}^M \left(\sup_{\tau \geq 0} (1 + \tau)^{2m+2} \mathcal{A}_{1+\delta,N}[T^m\Box\varphi](\tau, \infty, v) + \sup_{\tau \geq 0} (1 + \tau)^{2m} \mathcal{A}_{3+\delta,N}[T^m\Box\varphi](\tau, \infty, v) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.34)$$

where the data norm $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ was defined in proposition 5.4 and the norm \mathcal{A} of the inhomogeneity was defined in section 2.7.3.

Then for all $N \geq 1$, $\tau \geq 0$, and $v \geq 0$, and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small (that the estimates of section 4.2.2 hold), we have the energy boundedness and decay estimates

$$E_N[\varphi](\tau, v) \lesssim_{N,\delta} (1 + \tau)^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{N,0,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v), \quad (5.35)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N-1}[(rL)\varphi](\tau, v) \lesssim_{N,\delta} \mathcal{D}_{N,0,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v), \quad (5.36)$$

as well as the following improved energy decay estimates for time derivatives, where $M \geq 1$ and $\eta > 0$:

$$E_N[T^M\varphi](\tau, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta,\eta} (1 + \tau)^{-1-2M+\delta+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v), \quad (5.37)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N-1}[(rL)T^M\varphi](\tau, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta,\eta} (1 + \tau)^{-2M+\delta+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v), \quad (5.38)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[T^M\varphi](\tau, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta,\eta} (1 + \tau)^{-2M+1+\delta+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v). \quad (5.39)$$

Remark 5.7 (Sharpness of the decay rates). Note that, although we do not obtain the sharp decay rate (on Minkowski or given by theorem 3.1) for $\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[T\varphi]$ (namely τ^{-2}), we still obtain the sharp rate τ^{-3} for $E_N[T\varphi]$. This is crucial for the sharp energy decay and pointwise estimates proven in section 7.

Proof. Fix $v \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, and let $\eta > 0$.

Step 1: Preliminary estimates. Let $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. First, we claim that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v)} r^2 \langle r \rangle^\delta (L(rL)^n T^M \Psi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \lesssim_\eta (1 + \tau_1)^{-2M+\eta} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1+\delta,N,M+1}[\Phi](v). \quad (5.40)$$

when $N \geq 1$ and $M \geq 0$. We delay the proof to the end of this step. We now note several consequences of (5.40) and the energy-estimates of section 4.2. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} E_N[T^M \varphi](\tau_2, v) + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} (T(rL)^n T^M \varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim_{\eta} E_N[T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + (1 + \tau_1)^{-2M-2+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N, M, \delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

when $N \geq 0$ and $M \geq 0$, and

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} E_N[T^M \varphi](\tau) d\tau \lesssim_{\eta} E_N[T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + \mathcal{E}_{1, N-1}[(rL)T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + (1 + \tau_1)^{-2M+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N, M, \delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) \quad (5.42)$$

when $N \geq 1$ and $M \geq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[(rL)T^M \varphi](\tau_2, v) + \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^{\delta} (L(rL)^n T^M \varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim_{\eta} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[(rL)T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + (1 + \tau_1)^{-2M+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N+1, M, \delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

when $N \geq 0$ and $M \geq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[T^M \varphi](\tau_2, v) + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^{\delta} (L(rL)^n T^M \varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ \lesssim_{\eta} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + E_N[T^{M-1} \varphi](\tau_1, v) + (1 + \tau_1)^{-2M+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N, M, \delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

when $N \geq 0$ and $M \geq 1$. Indeed, (5.41)–(5.44) follow immediately from propositions 4.13 and 4.15–4.17 combined with proposition 5.4 once (5.40) has been established.

We now establish (5.40). Use lemma 5.3 (applied to $T^M \Phi$), proposition 4.8 (applied to $T^M \Phi$ with $p = 1 + \delta$), and proposition 5.4:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^2 \langle r \rangle^{\delta} (L(rL)^n T^M \Psi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r^2 \langle r \rangle^{\delta} (L(rL)^n T T^M \Phi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ &\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{\delta} (L(rL)^n T^M \Phi)^2 + r^{-2} \langle r \rangle^{\delta} ((rL)^n T^M \Phi)^2 + r^2 \langle r \rangle^{\delta} ((rL)^n T^M \tilde{F})^2 dr d\theta d\tau \\ &\lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{0, N}[T^M \Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N}[T^M \Phi](\tau_1, v) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{2+\delta, N}[T^M \tilde{F}](\tau_1, \tau_2, v) \\ &\lesssim_{\eta} (1 + \tau_1)^{-2M+\eta} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1+\delta, N, M}[\Phi](v). \end{aligned} \quad (5.45)$$

Step 2: Decay for the T -energy from decay for the r -weighted energy; proof of (5.37) from (5.38). The point of this step is that the integral of $E_N[T^M \varphi]$ is controlled by $\mathcal{E}_{1, N-1}[(rL)T^M \varphi]$ (see (5.42)), and so a pigeonhole argument can obtain a decay rate τ^{-1} faster for the former than for the latter.

Let $N \geq 1$ and $M \geq 0$. In this step we will show that for $M \geq 0$, the statement

$$\mathcal{E}_{1, N-1}[(rL)T^M \varphi](\tau, v) \lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-\beta} \mathcal{D}_{N, M, \delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) \text{ for all } \tau \geq 0 \quad (5.46)$$

for some $\beta \leq 2M$ implies the statement

$$E_N[T^M \varphi](\tau, v) \lesssim_{\eta} (1 + \tau)^{-1-\beta+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N, M, \delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) \text{ for all } \tau \geq 0. \quad (5.47)$$

In particular, (5.37) follows from (5.38).

First, (5.41) implies that it suffices to consider $\tau \geq 1$. Now suppose we have shown that for some $k \geq 0$ and all $\tau \geq 1$, we have

$$E_N[T^M \varphi](\tau, v) \leq \tau^{-k} \mathcal{D}_{N, M, \delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v). \quad (5.48)$$

By (5.41), the estimate (5.48) holds for $k = 0$. Let $\tau_i = 2^i$ be a dyadic sequence. By (5.46) and (5.48) together with (5.42), we have

$$\int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_{i+1}} E_N[T^M \varphi](\tau, v) d\tau \lesssim_{\eta} \tau_i^{-\min(k, \beta)+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N, M, \delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v). \quad (5.49)$$

By the pigeonhole principle, there is $\tau'_i \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]$ for which

$$E_N[T^M \varphi](\tau'_i, v) \lesssim_\eta \tau_i'^{-1-\min(k,\beta)+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v). \quad (5.50)$$

By (5.42), (5.46), and the dyadicity of the τ_i (and hence of the τ'_i), we can extend this to

$$E_N[T^M \varphi](\tau, v) \lesssim_\eta \tau^{-1-\min(k,\beta)+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) \quad (5.51)$$

for all $\tau \geq 1$. If $k+1 \leq \beta$, then this implies (5.48) for $k+1-\eta$ in place of k . We can repeat this argument until $k \geq \beta$, in which case (5.51) implies the desired (5.47) (with $2M\eta$ in place of η).

Step 3: The interpolation argument. Fix $j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $N \geq 0$ such that $N+j \geq 1$, fix $M \geq 1$, and fix $1 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. Let $1 \leq R \leq \tau_i$. The goal of this step is to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[(rL)^j T^M \varphi](\tau, v) \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim_\eta R^{-2M+1+\delta} \tau_1^\eta \mathcal{D}_{N+j,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) \\ & \quad + R(\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[(rL)^j T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + (1-j)E_{N+j}[T^{M-1}\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \tau_1^{-2M+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N+j,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.52)$$

To produce this estimate, we will expand the integral and interpolate between (i.e. split the region of integration into) a large- r region (which produces the term on the first line) and a small- r region (which produces the terms on the last line).

Split into the regions $\{r \leq R\}$ and $\{r \geq R\}$ and use $h(r) \lesssim \langle r \rangle^{-1}$ to obtain

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[(rL)^j T^M \varphi](\tau, v) \, d\tau \lesssim (\text{I})_{N,j,M,R} + R^{-1+\delta} (\text{II})_{N,j,M,R} \quad (5.53)$$

for

$$(\text{I})_{N,j,M,R} := \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v)} \mathbf{1}_{r \leq R} \langle r \rangle^\delta (L(r^{1/2}(rL)^{n+j} T^M \varphi))^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} ((rL)^{n+j} T^M \varphi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \quad (5.54)$$

and

$$(\text{II})_{N,j,M,R} := \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v) \cap \{r \geq R\}} r^2 (L(r^{1/2}(rL)^{n+j} T^M \varphi))^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \quad (5.55)$$

We now control the terms on the right-hand side of (5.53). By estimating $(L(r^{1/2}f))^2 \lesssim r(Lf)^2 + r^{-1}f^2$ and using the fact that the first term in $(\text{I})_{N,j,M,R}$ is only integrated over $\{r \leq R\}$ (and $R \geq 1$), we obtain

$$(\text{I})_{N,j,M,R} \lesssim R \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L(rL)^{n+j} T^M \varphi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} ((rL)^{n+j} T^M \varphi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \quad (5.56)$$

When $j=0$, we have $N \geq 1$, and so we can estimate the first term on the left-hand side of (5.56) using (5.43) (with $N-1$ in place of N), and since $M \geq 1$, we can estimate the second term on the left-hand side of (5.56) by writing $(rL)^{n+j} T^M \varphi = T(rL)^n T^{M-1} \varphi$ and using (5.41) (with $M-1$ in place of M):

$$(\text{I})_{N,0,M,R} \lesssim_\eta R(\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N-1}[(rL)T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + E_N[T^{M-1}\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \tau_1^{-2M+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v)). \quad (5.57)$$

When $j=1$, we estimate the the first term on the left-hand side of (5.56) using (5.43), and we estimate the second term on the left-hand side of (5.56) by writing $((rL)^{n+j} T^M \varphi)^2 = r^2 (L(rL)^n T^M \varphi)^2$ and using (5.43):

$$(\text{I})_{N,1,M,R} \lesssim_\eta R(\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[(rL)T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + \tau_1^{-2M+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v)). \quad (5.58)$$

Combining (5.57) and (5.58), we obtain

$$(\text{I})_{N,1,M,R} \lesssim_\eta R(\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[(rL)^j T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + (1-j)E_{N+j}[T^{M-1}\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \tau_1^{-2M+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v)). \quad (5.59)$$

Next, we estimate $(\text{II})_{N,j,M,R}$: the calculation in lemma 5.5, together with the fact that the integral is over $\{r \geq R\}$, implies that for $R \leq \tau_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\text{II})_{N,j,M,R} &\lesssim R^{-2M+2} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{N+M+j} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v)} r(L(rL)^n \varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} R^{2m+2} \sum_{n=0}^{N+M+j-m-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v)} (L(rL)^n T^{m+1} \Phi)^2 + r^{-2} (T^{m+1} \Phi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \right] \\
&\lesssim R^{-2M+2} \left[\mathcal{E}_{1,N+M+j-1}[(rL)\varphi](0,v) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1,N+j,M+1}[\Phi](v) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} R^{2m+2} (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1,N+j+M-m-1}[T^{m+1}\Phi] + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1,N+j+M-m-1}[T^{m+1}\tilde{F}](\tau_1)) \right] \\
&\lesssim_{\eta} R^{-2M+2} \tau_1^{\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N+j,M,0}[\varphi, \Phi](v).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.60}$$

To pass to the second last line, we applied proposition 4.15 (with $N+M+j-1$ in place of N), estimating the terms that arise on the right-hand side using (5.40) and proposition 5.4, and applied proposition 4.8 (in which the norm $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ was defined). To pass to the last line, we used proposition 5.4.

Combining (5.53), (5.59), and (5.60), we obtain (5.52).

Step 4: The iteration argument: proof of (5.38) and (5.39). By Steps 1 and 2, it suffices to prove the estimates (5.38) and (5.39) for $\tau \geq 1$. First, note that (5.38) holds for $M=0$ by (5.43). Now suppose that $M \geq 1$ and we have established (5.38) for $M-1$. By Step 2, for $\tau \geq 0$ and $N \geq 0$ we have

$$E_{N+1}[T^{M-1}\varphi](\tau, v) \lesssim_{\eta} (1+\tau)^{-2M+1+\delta+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N+1,M-1,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) \lesssim (1+\tau)^{-2M+1+\delta+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v). \tag{5.61}$$

Now let $j \in \{0, 1\}$ and let $N \geq 0$ satisfy $N+j \geq 1$. By Step 3 and (5.61), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[(rL)^j T^M \varphi](\tau, v) d\tau \\
&\lesssim_{\eta} R^{-2M+1+\delta} \tau_1^{\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N+j,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v) + R(\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[(rL)^j T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + \tau_1^{-2M+(1-j)+\delta+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N+j,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v)).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.62}$$

By (5.43) and (5.44), we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[(rL)^j T^M \varphi](\tau_2, v) \lesssim_{\eta} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[(rL)^j T^M \varphi](\tau_1, v) + (1+\tau_1)^{-2M+(1-j)+\delta+\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N+j,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v). \tag{5.63}$$

It now follows from lemma 5.1 and (5.62) and (5.63) that

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[(rL)^j T^M \varphi](\tau, v) \lesssim_{\eta} (1+\tau)^{-2M+(1-j)+\delta+2\eta} \mathcal{D}_{N+j,M,\delta}[\varphi, \Phi](v), \tag{5.64}$$

which establishes (5.38) and (5.39) (with 2η in place of η). \square

6. CONSTRUCTING TIME INTEGRALS

In view of the results of section 5, we would like to show that the difference of φ and a suitable multiple of the claimed leading-order profile can be written as a time derivative of a solution to (2.19), so that said difference decays faster than the leading-order profile itself. That is, we aim to construct a ‘‘time integral’’ of a suitably renormalized solution $\hat{\varphi}$ (see section 6.1.1). Recall from lemma 2.5 that

$$\mathcal{L}(G^{1/2}\psi) = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}T\psi] + G^{-3/2}r^{1/2}\square\varphi, \tag{6.1}$$

where the operators \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{F} were defined in (2.24) and (2.25). We can therefore hope to construct a ‘‘time integral’’ of the renormalized solution $\hat{\varphi}$ by constructing a solution ζ to the equation $\mathcal{L}\zeta = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}\hat{\psi}]|_{\Sigma_0}$ on Σ_0 and then solving (2.19) with initial data $(r^{-1/2}G^{-1/2}\zeta, \hat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma_0})$.

In section 6.1, we introduce the Minkowskian solution φ_{mink} and the renormalized solution $\hat{\varphi}$. In section 6.2, we invert an elliptic operator to construct functions which we use in section 6.3 as initial data for wave equations to construct time integrals.

6.1. The Minkowskian solution and the renormalized solution. Define the Minkowskian solution

$$\varphi_{\text{mink}} := u^{-1/2}v^{-1/2} = 4(t^2 - \tilde{G}(r)^2)^{-1/2}. \quad (6.2)$$

Then $\varphi_{\text{mink}} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ is radially symmetric. An explicit computation shows that on Minkowski space (where $\tilde{G}(r) \equiv r$), we have $\square_m \varphi_{\text{mink}} = 0$, where \square_m is the wave operator on Minkowski space of $(2+1)$ dimensions.

6.1.1. The renormalized solution. Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$. We recall from (2.36) the linear functional $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$ of the (radially symmetric part of the) initial data $(\varphi|_{\Sigma(0)}, T\varphi|_{\Sigma(0)})$:

$$\mathfrak{L}[\varphi] := \left(\int_0^\infty r^{1/2} G^{1/2} \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2} \psi_{\text{mink}}]|_{\Sigma(0)} + r G^{-1} T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}|_{\Sigma(0)} dr \right)^{-1} \int_0^\infty r^{1/2} G^{1/2} \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2} \psi_0](r)|_{\Sigma(0)} dr.$$

Here the linear operator \mathcal{F} was defined in (2.25). When $\varphi|_{\Sigma(0)}$ has sufficient decay towards infinity, the final integral defining $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$ converges. The quantity $T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$ is defined in proposition 6.3, and the well-definedness of the quantity $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$ (in particular the non-vanishing of the factor involving φ_{mink} that is inverted) is established in lemma 6.6.

Definition 6.1 (The renormalized solution). Given $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$, we define the renormalized quantity

$$\widehat{\varphi} := \varphi - \mathfrak{L}[\varphi] \varphi_{\text{mink}}. \quad (6.3)$$

We write

$$\widehat{\psi} := r^{1/2} \widehat{\varphi}, \quad \widehat{\Psi} := r^{1/2} G Z \widehat{\varphi}. \quad (6.4)$$

Clearly, we have

$$\widehat{\varphi}_0 = \varphi_0 - \mathfrak{L}[\varphi_0] \varphi_{\text{mink}}, \quad \widehat{\varphi}_{\geq 1} = \varphi_{\geq 1}, \quad \square \varphi = 0 \implies \square \widehat{\varphi} = -\mathfrak{L}[\varphi] \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}. \quad (6.5)$$

6.1.2. Estimates for the Minkowskian solution. In this section, we construct and estimate the second time integral $T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$ of the inhomogeneity associated to φ_{mink} . In particular, we show that the quantity $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$ defined in (2.36) is well-defined (see lemma 6.6).

Lemma 6.2 (Estimating φ_{mink} and Ψ_{mink}). *We have*

$$|(rL)^N T^M \varphi_{\text{mink}}| \lesssim_{N,M} u^{-1/2-M} v^{-1/2} \quad (6.6)$$

and

$$|(rL)^N T^M \Psi_{\text{mink}}| \lesssim_{N,M} r^{3/2} u^{-3/2-M} v^{-3/2}. \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. This is a straightforward calculation. □

Proposition 6.3 (Time integrals of inhomogeneities associated to φ_{mink}). *There exists a radially symmetric function $T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ such that $T^2 T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}} = \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$. Moreover, the following estimates hold for $N \geq 0$ and $M \geq 0$:*

$$|(rL)^N T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}| \lesssim_N \langle r \rangle^{-1} (\tau + r)^{-1} \quad (6.8)$$

$$|(rL)^N T^M T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}| \lesssim_{N,M} \langle r \rangle^{-1} (1 + \tau)^{-1/2-M} (1 + \tau + r)^{-3/2}. \quad (6.9)$$

Similarly, the quantity $\tilde{F} := r^{1/2} G Z T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$ is radially symmetric, satisfies $r^{-3/2} \tilde{F} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$, and satisfies the following estimates for $N \geq 0$ and $M \geq 0$:

$$|(rL)^N T^M \tilde{F}| \lesssim_{N,M} \langle r \rangle^{-3/2} \tau^{-M} (\tau + r)^{-1}. \quad (6.10)$$

Remark 6.4. We write $T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}} := T T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$.

Proof. Define

$$T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}} := \frac{2}{\tilde{G}(r)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{G}(r)}{r} G(r) \right) (t - (t^2 - \tilde{G}(r)^2)^{1/2}). \quad (6.11)$$

Using (2.4) and (2.20), we compute

$$\square \varphi_{\text{mink}} = \frac{1}{4} (1 - (v-u)r^{-1}G) u^{-3/2} v^{-3/2} = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{G}(r)}{r} G(r) \right) u^{-3/2} v^{-3/2} = 2 \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{G}(r)}{r} G(r) \right) \frac{1}{(t^2 - \tilde{G}(r)^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (6.12)$$

A computation now verifies that $T^2 T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}} = T T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}} = \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$. The smoothness and radial symmetry of G (and lemma 2.3) imply

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{G}(r)^2} \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{G}(r)}{r} G(r) \right) = \mathcal{O}(\langle r \rangle^{-3}). \quad (6.13)$$

Similar considerations show that $T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}} \in r^2 C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$. One obtains (6.8) by considering separately the regions $\{r \leq u/2\}$ and $\{r \geq u/2\}$. For example, when $N = M = 0$, we use the binomial expansion in $\{r \leq u/2\}$ and a trivial estimate in $\{r \geq u/2\}$ to obtain

$$t(1 - (1 - \tilde{G}(r)^2/t^2)^{1/2}) \lesssim \begin{cases} r^2/t \sim r^2/v & \text{in } \{r \leq u/2\} \\ t \sim r \sim r^2/v & \text{in } \{r \geq u/2\} \end{cases} \implies |T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}| \lesssim r^2 \langle r \rangle^{-3} v^{-1}, \quad (6.14)$$

and this estimate persists under differentiation by (rL) and T . Note that $u \sim 1 + \tau$ and $v \sim 1 + \tau + r$.

The smoothness of $r^{-3/2} \tilde{F}$ and the estimates in (6.10) follow from using $GZ = L - T$ and lemma 2.3 and the estimates for $T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$. \square

Corollary 6.5 (Estimates for inhomogeneous norms associated to time integrals of φ_{mink}). *Let $F := T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$. For $N \geq 0$, $M \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $v \geq 0$, and $\eta > 0$, we have*

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,N}[T^M F](\tau, \infty, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\eta} (1 + \tau)^{-3-2M+\eta}, \quad (6.15)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{2-\eta,N}[T^M F](\tau, \infty, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\eta} (1 + \tau)^{-2-2M}, \quad (6.16)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{4-\eta,N}[T^M F](\tau, \infty, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\eta} (1 + \tau)^{-2M}, \quad (6.17)$$

where the norm \mathcal{A} was defined in section 2.7.3.

Let $\tilde{F} := r^{1/2} G Z T^{-2} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$ be as in proposition 6.3. For $p \in [0, 2 - \eta_0]$, $N \geq 0$, $M \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, and $v \geq 0$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{p,N}[T^M \tilde{F}](\tau, \infty, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\eta_0} (1 + \tau)^{-2M}, \quad (6.18)$$

where the norm $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ was defined in section 2.7.3.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of proposition 6.3 and the definitions of the norms. \square

Lemma 6.6 (Well-definedness of the quantity $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$). *Let $\eta_h > 0$, $B_h > 0$, and $\epsilon_h > 0$ be the constants defined in (ii) and (iii) of section 2.3, respectively. If ϵ_h is sufficiently small depending on B_h and η_h , then*

$$\int_0^\infty r^{1/2} G^{1/2} \mathcal{F}[\psi_{\text{mink}}]|_{\Sigma(0)} + r G^{-1} T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}|_{\Sigma(0)} dr \neq 0, \quad (6.19)$$

where the operator \mathcal{F} was defined in (2.25) and $T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}}$ was defined in proposition 6.3. In particular, the linear functional \mathfrak{L} introduced in (2.36) is well-defined.

Proof. The value of the integral is continuous in the function G (near $G \equiv 1$, in the C^1 topology), so it suffices to prove that the integral has a sign when $G \equiv 1$. We will show that the integrand (when $G \equiv 1$), namely

$$\frac{1}{4} u^{-3/2} v^{-3/2} r^{-1/2} (\text{I}), \quad (\text{I}) := h(r)^2 r(v + u) + 4uvr h'(r) + 4u^2(1 - h(r)), \quad (6.20)$$

is positive when ϵ_h is small enough compared to B_h . By the definition of τ (see (2.6)), we have $1 \leq u \leq C$ on $\Sigma(0)$, where C depends only on B_h . Since the first term in (I) is non-negative, the assumption (ii) of section 2.3 implies that

$$(\text{I}) \geq 4(1 - B_h \langle r \rangle^{-1}) - 4C \langle r \rangle^{-\eta_h}. \quad (6.21)$$

It follows that there is $R = R(B_h, \eta_h) > 0$ such that in $\{r \geq R\}$, we have $(\text{I}) \geq 1$. Next, since $h(0) = 1$, we have

$$|1 - h(r)| \leq \int_0^r |h'(s)| ds \leq \epsilon_h r. \quad (6.22)$$

It follows from (6.22), the assumption (iii) of section 2.3 and the estimate $v = u + r \leq C \langle r \rangle$ on $\Sigma(0)$ (for C depending only on B_h) that in $\{r \leq R\}$, we have

$$(\text{I}) \geq r(2(1 - \epsilon_h r) - C \epsilon_h \langle r \rangle) - C \epsilon_h \langle R \rangle. \quad (6.23)$$

If $\epsilon_h \ll R$, then we obtain $(\text{I}) \geq r$ in $\{r \leq R\}$. \square

6.2. Constructing time integral initial data by inverting an elliptic operator. In this section, we invert an elliptic operator to construct functions which will be used in section 6.3 as initial data for wave equations that define time integrals.

6.2.1. *Scalar fields that solve an equation with a good zeroth-order term.* For $f_1(r), f_2(r) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon r^2 \langle r \rangle^{-2})$, define the operator

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha, f_1, f_2} \Phi := X^2 \Phi - \alpha r^{-2} (1 + f_1(r)) \Phi + r^{-2} (1 + f_2(r)) \partial_\theta^2 \Phi. \quad (6.24)$$

For $p \in \mathbf{R}$ and $N \geq 0$, let $\mathbf{H}_{p, N}$ be the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Sigma(0) \setminus \{r = 0\})$ under the norm

$$\|\Phi\|_{p, N}^2 := \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\Sigma(0)} r^p [(X \partial_\theta^{n_1} (rX)^{n_2} \Phi)^2 + r^{-2} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rX)^{n_2} \Phi)^2 + r^{-2} (\partial_\theta \partial_\theta^{n_1} (rX)^{n_2} \Phi)^2] dr d\theta. \quad (6.25)$$

Lemma 6.7. *For $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma(0) \setminus \{r = 0\})$, $p \in \mathbf{R}$, and $N \geq 0$, we have*

$$\|\Phi\|_{p, N} \lesssim_{p, N} \|r^{p/2} \Phi\|_{0, N}. \quad (6.26)$$

Proof. This is a straightforward calculation. \square

Lemma 6.8 (Commutation of the operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ with (rX) -derivatives). *For $N \geq 0$, there are constants $C_{N, n} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha, f_1, f_2} (rX)^N = \sum_{n=0}^N C_{N, n} (rX)^n \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha, f_1, f_2} + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(\langle r \rangle^{-2}) (rX)^n + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(\langle r \rangle^{-2}) \partial_\theta^2 (rX)^n, \quad (6.27)$$

where the implicit constants in the \mathcal{O} -notation depend on α, f_1 , and f_2 .

Proof. Induction on N . \square

Lemma 6.9 (A priori estimate for the elliptic operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$). *Suppose $\Phi \in \mathbf{H}_{p, N}$ solves*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha, f_1, f_2} \Phi = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}. \quad (6.28)$$

Suppose moreover that one of the following assumptions holds:

- (i) $\alpha > 0$,
- (ii) or $\alpha > -1$, $\alpha \neq 0$, and $\Phi = \Phi_{\geq 1}$.

Define $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$ if (i) holds and $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha + 1$ if (ii) holds. Then for $\eta_0 > 0$ such that $\epsilon \ll \eta_0$, $|p| \leq 2\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}} - \eta_0$ and $N \geq 0$, we have

$$\|\Phi\|_{p, N}^2 \lesssim_{p, N, \alpha, \eta_0} \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\Sigma(0)} r^{p+2} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rX)^{n_2} \tilde{\mathcal{F}})^2 dr d\theta. \quad (6.29)$$

Proof. By density, it suffices to consider $\Phi \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma(0) \setminus \{r = 0\})$.

Step 1: The case $N = 0$. Compute

$$\begin{aligned} -r^p \Phi \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha, f_1, f_2} \Phi &= -X(r^p \Phi X \Phi) - \partial_\theta((1 + f_2(r)) \Phi \partial_\theta \Phi) + r^p (X \Phi)^2 + pr^{p-1} \Phi X \Phi \\ &\quad + \alpha r^{p-2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) \Phi^2 + r^{p-2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) (\partial_\theta \Phi)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.30)$$

After integrating on $\Sigma(0)$ and applying an r -weighted Young's inequality for the last term on the first line, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Sigma(0)} r^p \left(1 - \frac{1}{4} \delta^{-1} p^2\right) (X \Phi)^2 + r^{p-2} (\alpha(1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) - \delta) \Phi^2 + r^{p-2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)) (\partial_\theta \Phi)^2 dr d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma(0)} r^p |\Phi| |\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha, f_1, f_2} \Phi| dr d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (6.31)$$

If (ii) holds, then we use the Poincaré inequality on S^1 :

$$\int_{S^1} (\partial_\theta \Phi_{\geq 1})^2 d\theta \geq \int_{S^1} \Phi_{\geq 1}^2 d\theta. \quad (6.32)$$

In either case, the expression on the left-hand side of (6.31) is coercive for $\delta = \alpha - \eta_0$ and $|p| \leq 2\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}} - \eta_0$, and so we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma(0)} r^p (X\Phi)^2 + r^{p-2}\Phi^2 + r^{p-2}(\partial_\theta\Phi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \lesssim_{p,\alpha,\eta_0} \int_{\Sigma(0)} r^p |\Phi| |\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}\Phi| \, dr \, d\theta. \quad (6.33)$$

Using Young's inequality completes the proof when $N = 0$.

Step 2: The case $N \geq 1$. Now assume $N \geq 1$ and (6.29) holds with $N - 1$ in place of N . Applying the $N = 0$ case to $(rX)^N\Phi$ in place of Φ and using lemma 6.8, we obtain

$$\|(rX)^N\Phi\|_{p,0} \lesssim \int_{\Sigma(0)} r^{p+2} (\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}((rX)^N\Phi))^2 \, dr \, d\theta \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0)} r^{p+2} ((rX)^N\tilde{\mathcal{F}})^2 \, dr \, d\theta + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|(rX)^n\Phi\|_{p,0}. \quad (6.34)$$

Adding a suitable multiple of the $N - 1$ case, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \|(rX)^n\Phi\|_{p,0} \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0)} r^{p+2} ((rX)^N\tilde{\mathcal{F}})^2 \, dr \, d\theta. \quad (6.35)$$

Repeating this argument with $\partial_\theta^n\Phi$ in place of Φ completes the proof (since ∂_θ commutes with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}$). \square

Proposition 6.10 (Inversion of the elliptic operator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ acting on good scalar fields). *Suppose that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \in C^\infty(\Sigma(0) \setminus \{r = 0\})$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} \int_{\Sigma(0)} r^{p+2} (\partial_\theta^{n_1} (rX)^{n_2} \tilde{\mathcal{F}})^2 \, dr \, d\theta < \infty \quad (6.36)$$

for some $N \geq 0$ and $p \in \mathbf{R}$. Suppose moreover that either $\alpha = -1/4$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\geq 1}$, or $\alpha = 3/4$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is radially symmetric. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ and η_0 be as in lemma 6.9, and let $|p| \leq 2\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}} - \eta_0$. Then there is a unique $\Phi \in \mathbf{H}_{p,N}$ solving (6.28), which is radially symmetric (resp. supported on angular modes ≥ 1) if $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is, and the following estimate holds:

$$\|\Phi\|_{p,N}^2 \lesssim_{p,N,\alpha} (\text{LHS of (6.36)}). \quad (6.37)$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{F} \in r^\beta C^\infty(\Sigma(0))$ for $\beta := \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\alpha})$, then $\Phi \in r^\beta C^\infty(\Sigma(0))$.

Remark 6.11. We could have formulated a version of proposition 6.10 for $\alpha \notin \{-1/4, 3/4\}$, but these are the only cases that we need in order to prove theorem 3.1.

Proof. As in [Gaj23, Sec. 9.1], define the twisted operator

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}^{(p)} \Phi := r^{p/2} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha,f_1,f_2} (r^{-p/2} \Phi). \quad (6.38)$$

We also introduce the associated bilinear operator $B_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}^{(p)} : \mathbf{H}_{0,0} \times \mathbf{H}_{0,0} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$:

$$B_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}^{(p)}[\Phi_1, \Phi_2] := \int_{\Sigma(0)} X(r^{-p/2}\Phi_1)X(r^{p/2}\Phi_2) + \alpha r^{-2}(1 + f_1(r))\Phi_1\Phi_2 + r^{-2}(1 + f_2(r))\partial_\theta\Phi_1\partial_\theta\Phi_2 \, dr \, d\theta. \quad (6.39)$$

Note that $B_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}^{(p)}[\Phi_1, \Phi_2] = \langle \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}^{(p)}\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma(0), dr \, d\theta)}$. One can check that for $|p| \leq 2\sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}} - \eta_0$, we have

$$|B_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}^{(p)}[\Phi_1, \Phi_2]| \lesssim_{p,\alpha} \|\Phi_1\|_{0,0} \|\Phi_2\|_{0,0}, \quad |B_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}^{(p)}[\Phi, \Phi]| \gtrsim_{p,\alpha} \|\Phi\|_{0,0}^2. \quad (6.40)$$

By (6.36), the functional $\langle r^{p/2}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\Sigma(0), dr \, d\theta)}$ is continuous on $\mathbf{H}_{0,0}$. By the Lax–Milgram lemma, there exists a unique $\Phi \in \mathbf{H}_{0,0}$ solving $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}^{(p)}\Phi = r^{p/2}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ weakly, equivalently $\tilde{\Phi} = r^{p/2}\Phi \in \mathbf{H}_{0,0}$ solving $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}\tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ and, by lemma 6.9, satisfying the estimate $\|\tilde{\Phi}\|_{0,0}^2 \lesssim (\text{LHS of (6.36)})$. Now suppose $N = 1$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\partial_\theta\tilde{\Phi} = \partial_\theta\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, the uniqueness part of the Lax–Milgram lemma ensures that $\partial_\theta\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbf{H}_{0,0}$. By lemma 6.8 and the fact that $\tilde{\Phi}, \partial_\theta\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbf{H}_{0,0}$, we conclude that $(rX)\tilde{\Phi}$ satisfies $r^{p/2+1}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha,f_1,f_2}(rX)\tilde{\Phi} \in L^2(\Sigma(0), dr \, d\theta)$. Then a Lax–Milgram argument shows that $(rX)\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbf{H}_{0,0}$ (with an estimate). This shows that $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbf{H}_{0,1}$. In this way one can inductively show that $\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbf{H}_{0,N}$ and $\|\tilde{\Phi}\|_{0,N}^2 \lesssim (\text{LHS of (6.36)})$. By lemma 6.7, we conclude that $\Phi = r^{-p/2}\tilde{\Phi} \in \mathbf{H}_{p,N}$ and (6.37) holds.

We now deduce a smoothness property of Φ by considering the equation solved by $\tilde{\Phi} := r^{-\beta}\Phi$, namely

$$X^2\tilde{\Phi} + \frac{2\beta}{r}X\tilde{\Phi} - \alpha r^{-2}f_1(r)\tilde{\Phi} + r^{-2}(1 + f_2(r))\partial_\theta^2\tilde{\Phi} = r^{-\beta}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}. \quad (6.41)$$

If $\alpha = -1/4$, then $\beta = 1/2$, and so we have

$$(\Delta_{\mathbf{R}^2} + r^{-2}f_2(r)\partial_\theta^2)\tilde{\Phi} + \alpha r^{-2}f_1(r)\tilde{\Phi} = r^{-\beta}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \quad (6.42)$$

where we have written $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}^2} = X^2 + r^{-1}X + r^{-2}\partial_\theta^2$. The principal part of the operator on the left is a small and smooth perturbation of the Laplacian in two dimensions with small and smooth potential (by the assumptions on f_1 and f_2), and the source on the right-hand side is smooth by assumption. We conclude by standard interior elliptic regularity results that $\tilde{\Phi} \in C^\infty(\Sigma(0))$. If instead $\alpha = 3/4$ and \mathcal{F} is radially symmetric, then Φ is radially symmetric by uniqueness. Moreover, $\beta = 3/2$, and the equation becomes

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{R}^4}\tilde{\Phi} - \alpha r^{-2}f_1(r)\tilde{\Phi} = r^{-\beta}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \quad (6.43)$$

where we have written $\Delta_{\mathbf{R}^4} = X^2 + 3r^{-1}X + \Delta_{S^3}$, and now interpret $\tilde{\Phi}$ as a spherically symmetric function on \mathbf{R}^4 . Again, elliptic regularity for this equation is standard. \square

6.2.2. Radially symmetric scalar fields. Although the elliptic theory of section 6.2.1 fails for radially symmetric functions (because the critical value $-1/4$ in the coefficient of the inverse-square potential in the equation for ψ_0 makes the operator only degenerate elliptic rather than elliptic), for such scalar fields the operator \mathcal{L} reduces to an ODE which we can solve via direct integration.⁸

Proposition 6.12 (Inverting the operator \mathcal{L} via direct integration; radially symmetric case). *Let $\mathcal{F} \in C^\infty((0, \infty))$. Suppose that there are constants $B_{N,\delta} > 0$ such that the following estimate holds for each $N \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^N \int_0^\infty r^{-1}\langle r \rangle^{4-\delta} ((rX)^n \mathcal{F})^2 dr \leq B_{N,\delta}^2. \quad (6.44)$$

Suppose moreover that we have the vanishing property

$$\int_0^\infty r^{1/2}G(r)^{1/2}\mathcal{F}(r) dr = 0. \quad (6.45)$$

Then the function $\zeta : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined formally by

$$\zeta(r) := -r^{1/2}G(r)^{1/2} \int_r^\infty \rho^{-1}G(\rho)^{-1} \int_0^\rho s^{1/2}G(s)^{1/2}\mathcal{F}(s) ds d\rho \quad (6.46)$$

- (i) is well-defined and smooth, with $r^{-1/2}\zeta$ bounded as $r \rightarrow 0$,
- (ii) determines an element of $r^{1/2}C^\infty(\Sigma_0)$ if \mathcal{F} does, which is moreover radially symmetric,
- (iii) solves $\mathcal{L}\zeta = \mathcal{F}$,
- (iv) and satisfies the following estimate for each $N \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^N \int_0^\infty r(X(rX)^n(r^{-1/2}G^{-1/2}\zeta))^2 + r\langle r \rangle^{-2\delta}(X(r^{1/2}(rX)^n(r^{-1/2}G^{-1/2}\zeta)))^2 \\ & \quad + \langle r \rangle^{-2\delta}((rX)^n(r^{-1/2}G^{-1/2}\zeta))^2 dr \\ & \lesssim_{N,\delta} B_{N,\delta}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.47)$$

Remark 6.13 (Purpose of the vanishing condition (6.45)). Without the vanishing property (6.45), the ρ -integral in (6.46) would not converge.

Proof. We first establish (i). We claim that

$$\left| \int_0^r s^{1/2}G(s)^{1/2}\mathcal{F}(s) ds \right| \lesssim_\delta B_{0,\delta} r^{3/2} \langle r \rangle^{-2+\delta/2}. \quad (6.48)$$

⁸In [GK25], which studies late-time tails for linear and nonlinear waves on the Schwarzschild spacetime of three space dimensions, an elliptic operator similar to \mathcal{L} is inverted for low angular modes via direct integration, while elliptic theory is used to invert the operator acting on high angular modes.

Indeed, using Cauchy–Schwarz and $|G(s)| \lesssim 1$, we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^r s^{1/2} G(s)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}(s) ds \right| \lesssim B_{0,\delta} \left(\int_0^r s^2 \langle s \rangle^{-4+\delta} ds \right)^{1/2} \lesssim B_{0,\delta} r^{3/2} \langle r \rangle^{-3/2}. \quad (6.49)$$

This implies (6.48) as $r \rightarrow 0$. To also obtain (6.48) as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we use the vanishing condition (6.45) to write

$$\left| \int_0^r s^{1/2} G(s)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}(s) ds \right| = \left| \int_r^\infty s^{1/2} G(s)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}(s) ds \right| \lesssim B_{0,\delta} \left(\int_r^\infty s^2 \langle s \rangle^{-4+\delta} ds \right)^{1/2} \lesssim B_{0,\delta} \langle s \rangle^{-1/2+\delta/2}. \quad (6.50)$$

It follows that ζ is well-defined. Moreover, ζ inherits the smoothness of \mathcal{F} on $(0, \infty)$ by the fundamental theorem of calculus. Next, we establish (ii). By assumption, $r^{-1/2} \mathcal{F}$ determines a smooth radially symmetric function on Σ_0 , and so $r^{1/2} \mathcal{F} \in rC^\infty(\Sigma_0)$. In view of (i) of lemma 2.3, a Taylor expansion shows that

$$r^{-1} \int_0^r s^{1/2} G(s)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}(s) ds \in rC^\infty(\Sigma_0), \quad (6.51)$$

and so $r^{-1/2} \zeta$ determines an element of $C^\infty(\Sigma_0)$, being the difference of a constant and an element of $r^2 C^\infty(\Sigma_0) \subset C^\infty(\Sigma_0)$. Next, (iii) follows from the fundamental theorem of calculus and the representation

$$\mathcal{L}\zeta = r^{-1/2} G^{-1/2} X(rFX(r^{-1/2} G^{-1/2} \zeta)). \quad (6.52)$$

Finally, we turn to (iv). Write

$$(I) := \int_r^\infty \rho^{-1} G(\rho)^{-1} \int_0^\rho s^{1/2} G(s)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}(s) ds d\rho, \quad (II) := \int_0^r s^{1/2} G(s)^{1/2} \mathcal{F}(s) ds, \quad (6.53)$$

so that

$$|(I)| = |r^{-1/2} G^{-1/2} \zeta| \lesssim \langle r \rangle^{-1/2+\delta/2}, \quad |(II)| \lesssim_\delta B_{0,\delta} r^{3/2} \langle r \rangle^{-2+\delta/2}, \quad (6.54)$$

by (6.48). By differentiating (6.46) and using $G = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $G' = \mathcal{O}(\langle r \rangle^{-1})$, we obtain

$$(rX)^N (r^{-1/2} G^{-1/2} \zeta) = \mathbf{1}_{N=0}(I) + \mathcal{O}(1)(II) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-2} \mathcal{O}(r^{3/2})(rX)^n \mathcal{F}, \quad (6.55)$$

$$X(rX)^N (r^{-1/2} G^{-1/2} \zeta) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})(II) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{O}(r^{1/2})(rX)^n \mathcal{F}, \quad (6.56)$$

$$X(r^{1/2}(rX)^N (r^{-1/2} G^{-1/2} \zeta)) = \mathcal{O}(r^{-1/2})(I) + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1/2})(II) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-2} \mathcal{O}(r)(rX)^n \mathcal{F}. \quad (6.57)$$

Now (iv) follows from (6.44) and (6.54)–(6.57). \square

6.3. Constructing time integrals from initial data by solving a wave equation. In this section, we build time integrals of the renormalized quantity $\widehat{\varphi}$ (and of $\widehat{\Psi}_0$) using the functions constructed in section 6.2 as initial data for wave equations. In view of the results of section 5, it is important that we can construct *two* time integrals of $\widehat{\Psi}_0$; this is possible because $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ solves an equation with a good zeroth-order term. We first provide a formula comparing (rL) -derivatives with (rX) -derivatives.

Lemma 6.14 (Comparing (rL) -derivatives with (rX) -derivatives). *For $N \geq 1$, we have*

$$(rX)^N = \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N-1}} ((1 + \mathcal{O}(r \langle r \rangle^{-1})) (rL)(rL)^{n_1} T^{n_2} + \mathcal{O}(r \langle r \rangle^{-1}) T (rL)^{n_1} T^{n_2}), \quad (6.58)$$

and the same formula holds with X and L swapped.

Proof. The case $N = 1$ follows from the explicit formula $rX = G^{-1}rL + rhT$ and the fact that $G^{-1} = \mathcal{O}(1)$ and $rh = \mathcal{O}(1)$ (by (ii) of section 2.3). The general case follows by induction on N . The case when X and L are swapped is analogous. \square

Proposition 6.15 (Constructing the first time integral of $\widehat{\varphi}$). *Suppose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ solves (2.19). There exists $T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ solving*

$$\square T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi} = -\mathfrak{L}[\varphi] T^{-1} \square \varphi_{\text{mink}} \quad (6.59)$$

such that $TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi} = \widehat{\varphi}$ and the following estimates hold for each $N \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$:

$$E_N[T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0](0, v) + \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0](0, v) + \widetilde{E}[T^{-1}\widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}](0, v) + \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N}[T^{-1}\widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}](0, v) \lesssim_{N, \delta} v^{3\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N, \delta}[\varphi], \quad (6.60)$$

where the initial data norm $\mathbf{D}_{N, \delta}[\varphi]$ was defined in section 2.7.4.

Proof. Step 1: Construction. Let $\zeta_0 \in r^{1/2}C^\infty(\Sigma(0))$ be the solution to

$$\mathcal{L}\zeta_0 = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}\widehat{\psi}_0]|_{\Sigma(0)} - G^{-3/2}r^{1/2}\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]T^{-1}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}}|_{\Sigma(0)} \quad (6.61)$$

constructed in proposition 6.12. Note that the right side of (6.61) is an element of $r^{1/2}C^\infty(\Sigma(0))$ and satisfies the vanishing condition (6.45) by the definition of $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]$. Let $\zeta_{\geq 1} \in r^{1/2}C^\infty(\Sigma(0))$ be the solution to

$$\mathcal{L}\zeta_{\geq 1} = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}\widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}]|_{\Sigma(0)} \quad (6.62)$$

constructed in proposition 6.10 (noting that the operator \mathcal{L} is of the type considered in the proposition). Then $\zeta := \zeta_0 + \zeta_{\geq 1}$ is a solution $\zeta \in r^{1/2}C^\infty(\Sigma(0))$ to

$$\mathcal{L}\zeta = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}\widehat{\psi}]|_{\Sigma(0)} - G^{-3/2}r^{1/2}\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]T^{-1}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}}|_{\Sigma(0)}. \quad (6.63)$$

Let $T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ be the unique solution to

$$\square T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi} = -\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]T^{-1}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}}, \quad (T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)}, TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)}) = (G^{-1/2}r^{-1/2}\zeta, \widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)}), \quad (6.64)$$

where $T^{-1}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}}$ was defined in proposition 6.3.

We now show that $TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi} = \widehat{\varphi}$. Since

$$\square TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi} = T\square T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi} = \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]TT^{-1}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}} = \square\widehat{\varphi}, \quad (6.65)$$

it suffices to show that $(\widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)}, T\widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)}) = (TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)}, TTT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)})$, by the uniqueness of solutions to (2.19). The equality $TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)} = \widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)}$ holds by construction. To see that $TTT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)} = T\widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)}$, note that, by lemma 2.5 and (6.64) we have

$$\mathcal{L}(G^{1/2}T^{-1}\widehat{\psi}) = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}TT^{-1}\widehat{\psi}] - G^{-3/2}r^{1/2}\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]T^{-1}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}} \quad (6.66)$$

Restricting to $\Sigma(0)$, using the prescription $G^{1/2}r^{1/2}T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma(0)} = \zeta$, and recalling (6.63), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(G^{1/2}T^{-1}\widehat{\psi})|_{\Sigma(0)} = \mathcal{L}\zeta = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}\widehat{\psi}]|_{\Sigma(0)} - G^{-3/2}r^{1/2}\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]T^{-1}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}}|_{\Sigma(0)}. \quad (6.67)$$

It follows from (6.66) and (6.67) that

$$\mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}TT^{-1}\widehat{\psi}]|_{\Sigma(0)} = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}\widehat{\psi}]|_{\Sigma(0)}. \quad (6.68)$$

Recalling the definition of \mathcal{F} (see (2.25)), we obtain the desired equality $TTT^{-1}\widehat{\psi}|_{\Sigma(0)} = \widehat{\psi}|_{\Sigma(0)}$.

Step 2: Estimates. We want to control energies of $T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}$ that involve (rL) -derivatives. We first use lemma 6.14 to replace (rL) -derivatives by (rX) -derivatives and T -derivatives. We also use the relation $TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi} = \widehat{\varphi}$ so that we only estimate $T^M T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}$ for $M = 0$ and $T^M \widehat{\varphi}$ for $M > 0$. This is done in (6.69) and (6.70). We then estimate the (rX) -derivatives using the results of section 6.2, which in turn requires bounding the source term on the right-hand side of (6.63) using proposition 6.3; this is done in (6.71).

First, by lemma 6.14 and $TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0 = \widehat{\varphi}_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & E_N[T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0](0, v) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0)} r(X(rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-1} ((rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \\ & \quad + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, m \geq 1 \\ n+m \leq N}} \int_{\Sigma(0)} ((rX)^n T^{m-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 + r(X(rX)^n T^{m-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 + h(r)r(T(rX)^n T^{m-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \\ & \lesssim \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, m \geq 0 \\ n+m \leq N}} \|\langle r \rangle^{1/2} (rX)^n T^m \widehat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(0))}^2 + \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} E_{N-1-m}[T^m \widehat{\varphi}_0](0, v) \\ & \quad + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0)} r(X(rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-1} ((rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 \, dr \, d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (6.69)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0](0, v) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (X(r^{1/2}(rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0))^2 + \langle r \rangle^\delta ((rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0) dr d\theta \\
& \quad + \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, m \geq 1 \\ n+m \leq N}} \int_{\Sigma(0, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L(r^{1/2}(rL)^n T^{m-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0))^2 + \langle r \rangle^\delta ((rX)^n T^{m-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 dr d\theta \\
& \lesssim \sum_{m=0}^N \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N-m}[T^m \widehat{\varphi}_0](0, v) + v^{3\delta} \sum_{\substack{n \geq 0, m \geq 0 \\ n+m \leq N}} \|\langle r \rangle^{1/2} (rX)^n T^m \widehat{\varphi}_0\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(0))}^2 \\
& \quad + v^{3\delta} \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0)} r \langle r \rangle^{-2\delta} (X(r^{1/2}(rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0))^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-2\delta} ((rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0) dr d\theta,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.70}$$

where we have used $v \sim \langle r \rangle$ on $\Sigma(0)$. By proposition 6.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^N \int_0^\infty r (X(rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 + r \langle r \rangle^{-2\delta} (X(r^{1/2}(rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0))^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-2\delta} ((rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 dr \\
& \lesssim_{N, \delta} \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0)} r^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{4-\delta} ((rX)^n (\text{RHS of (6.63)}))^2 dr d\theta
\end{aligned} \tag{6.71}$$

By estimating the right-hand side using proposition 6.3, combining with (6.69) and (6.70), and using lemma 6.2 to replace $\widehat{\varphi}$ with φ in energy and pointwise norms, we obtain

$$E_N[T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0](0, v) + \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta, N}[T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0](0, v) \lesssim v^{3\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N, \delta}[\varphi]. \tag{6.72}$$

The estimates for the norms involving $\psi_{\geq 1}$ are similar, but we use proposition 6.10 with $p = 0$ and $p = 1 - \delta$ (noting that \mathcal{L} is an operator of the form $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\alpha, f_1, f_2}$ considered there, with $\alpha = -1/4$) in place of proposition 6.12. \square

We will construct time integrals of $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ not by solving the wave equation that $\widehat{\varphi}$ solves, but by solving the equation that $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ itself solves (see (2.26)).

Lemma 6.16 (Solvability theory for the equation solved by Ψ_0). *Suppose Φ_0, Φ_1 , and F are radially symmetric elements of $r^{3/2}C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$. Then there exists a unique solution $\Phi \in r^{3/2}C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ to the equation*

$$-\underline{L}L\Phi - \frac{3}{4}r^{-2}G\left(G - \frac{2}{3}rG'\right)\Phi = F \tag{6.73}$$

that is radially symmetric and achieves the initial data $(\Phi|_{\Sigma_0}, T\Phi|_{\Sigma_0}) = (\Phi_0, \Phi_1)$.

Proof. Observe that a radially symmetric scalar field Φ solves (6.73) if and only if $\tilde{\Phi} := r^{-3/2}\Phi$ solves

$$-T^2\tilde{\Phi} + G^2Z^2\tilde{\Phi} + G^2\frac{3}{r}Z\tilde{\Phi} + GG'Z\tilde{\Phi} + 2Gr^{-1}G'\tilde{f} = r^{-3/2}F. \tag{6.74}$$

This is the equation for a spherically symmetric solution to a wave equation associated to an asymptotically flat metric in $(4+1)$ -dimensions with smooth potential and source, for which existence and uniqueness for solutions arising from smooth data is standard. Namely, Φ solves (6.73) if and only if $\tilde{\Phi} := r^{-3/2}\Phi$ solves

$$\square_{\tilde{g}}\tilde{\Phi} + 2A^{-2}Gr^{-1}G'\tilde{\Phi} = r^{-3/2}F, \tag{6.75}$$

where \tilde{g} is the spherically symmetric metric

$$\tilde{g} = -A(r)^2 dt^2 + A(r)^2 G(r)^{-2} dr^2 + r^2 g_{S^3}. \tag{6.76}$$

The reduction to (6.75) is motivated by the fact that the r^3 volume form present in four space dimensions absorbs the $r^{-3/2}\Phi$ term that would otherwise introduce a term that is too singular at the origin to apply standard existence and uniqueness results. \square

Proposition 6.17 (Constructing the first two time integrals of $\widehat{\Psi}_0$). *There exists a radially symmetric function $T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0 \in r^{3/2}C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ solving*

$$\underline{L}LT^{-2}\Psi_0 + \frac{3}{4}r^{-2}G\left(G - \frac{2}{3}rG'\right)T^{-2}\Psi_0 = \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]GZ(r^{1/2}T^{-2}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}}) \quad (6.77)$$

such that $T^2T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0 = \widehat{\Psi}_0$ and $T^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_0 := -TT^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0 = r^{1/2}GZT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0$, where $T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0$ was constructed in proposition 6.15. Moreover, the following estimate holds for each $N \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N}[T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0](0, v) \lesssim_{N,\delta} v^{3\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+1,\delta}[\varphi], \quad (6.78)$$

where the initial data norm $\mathbf{D}_{N,\delta}[\varphi]$ was defined in section 2.7.4.

Proof. Step 1: Construction. Note that if a radially symmetric field Φ solves

$$\underline{L}L\Phi + \frac{3}{4}r^{-2}G\left(G - \frac{2}{3}rG'\right)\Phi = \tilde{F}, \quad (6.79)$$

then we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(G^{1/2}\Phi) = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}T\Phi] - G^{-3/2}\tilde{F}, \quad (6.80)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\Phi := X^2\Phi - \frac{3}{4}r^{-2}\left(1 - \frac{2}{r}G^{-1}rG' + \frac{2}{3}G^{-1}r^2G'' - \frac{1}{3}G^{-2}(rG')^2\right)\Phi + r^{-2}A^2G^{-2}\partial_\theta^2\Phi. \quad (6.81)$$

In particular, $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is of the form considered in section 6.2.1 (with $\alpha = 3/4$).

Let $\Phi^{(1)}$ be the solution to

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\Phi^{(1)} = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}\widehat{\Psi}_0] + \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]T(GZ(r^{1/2}T^{-2}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}})) \quad (6.82)$$

constructed by proposition 6.10. By the uniqueness of such solutions in the Hilbert space $\mathbf{H}_{0,N}$ considered in proposition 6.10, we have $\Phi^{(1)} = r^{1/2}GZT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0|_{\Sigma(0)}$, where $T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0$ was constructed in proposition 6.15. Moreover, $\Phi^{(1)}$ is a radially symmetric element of $r^{3/2}C^\infty(\Sigma(0))$. Define $T^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_0$ to be the unique solution to (6.73) with initial data $(G^{-1/2}\Phi^{(1)}, \widehat{\Psi}_0|_{\Sigma(0)})$ and inhomogeneity $F = -\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]T(GZ(r^{1/2}T^{-2}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}}))$ constructed in lemma 6.16 (where the equation is satisfied by (2.26) applied to $\widehat{\varphi}_0$). Since $T^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_0$ and $r^{1/2}GZT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0$ solve (6.79) with the same initial data, they are equal by the uniqueness statement of lemma 6.16.

Next, let $\Phi^{(2)}$ be the solution to

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\Phi^{(2)} = \mathcal{F}[G^{1/2}T^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_0] + \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]GZ(r^{1/2}T^{-2}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}}) \quad (6.83)$$

constructed by proposition 6.10. Then Φ is a radially symmetric element of $r^{3/2}C^\infty(\Sigma(0))$. We now let $T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0$ be the unique solution to (6.73) with initial data $(G^{-1/2}\Phi^{(2)}, T^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_0|_{\Sigma(0)})$ and inhomogeneity $F = -\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]GZ(r^{1/2}T^{-2}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}})$ constructed in lemma 6.16 (where the equation is satisfied by (2.26) applied to $T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0$). We argue as in Step 1 of the proof of proposition 6.15 to show that $TT^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0 = T^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_0$.

Step 2: Estimates. Arguing as in the proof of Step 2 of proposition 6.15 (using now the estimates of proposition 6.10 with $p = 1 - 2\delta$ in place of those of proposition 6.12 and the right-hand side of (6.83) in place of that of (6.63), as well as proposition 6.3 to estimate the inhomogeneity associated to φ_{mink}), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N}[T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0](0, v) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{m=0}^{N-2} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N-m}[T^m\widehat{\Psi}_0](0, v) + v^{3\delta} \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0,v)} \langle r \rangle^{3-2\delta} (X(rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_0)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{1-2\delta} ((rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_0)^2 dr d\theta \\ & \quad + v^{3\delta} \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0,v)} \langle r \rangle^{1-2\delta} ((rX)^n \widehat{\Psi}_0)^2 dr d\theta + v^{3\delta} \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6.84)$$

By lemma 6.2, we can replace $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ by Ψ_0 (at the cost of gaining $\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]^2$, which is already present) to see that all the terms but the one involving $T^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_0$ are present in the data norm $\mathbf{D}_{N,\delta}[\varphi]$. On one hand, by expanding

$$\sum_{n=0}^N X(rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\Psi}_0 = \sum_{n=0}^N X(rX)^n (r^{1/2}GZT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})X(r^{1/2}(rX)^n T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0) + \sum_{n=0}^N \mathcal{O}(1)X(rX)^n \widehat{\psi}_0, \quad (6.85)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0,v) \cap \{r \geq 1\}} \langle r \rangle^{3-2\delta} (X(rX)^n T^{-1} \widehat{\Psi}_0)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{1-2\delta} ((rX)^n T^{-1} \widehat{\Psi}_0)^2 dr d\theta \\
& \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \int_{\Sigma(0)} r \langle r \rangle^{-2\delta} (X(r^{1/2}(rX)^n T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}_0))^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-2\delta} ((rX)^n T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}_0)^2 dr d\theta + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0)} \langle r \rangle^{3-2\delta} (X(rX)^n \widehat{\psi}_0)^2 dr d\theta \\
& \lesssim \mathbf{D}_{N,\delta}[\varphi] + \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \int_{\Sigma(0)} r^{-1} \langle r \rangle^{4-\delta} ((rX)^n (\text{RHS of (6.63)}))^2 dr d\theta,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.86}$$

We can estimate the final term on the right-hand side by $\mathbf{D}_{N+1,\delta}[\varphi]$ as in Step 2 of the proof of proposition 6.15. On the other hand, by Step 1, we can use proposition 6.10 with $p = 0$ to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0,v) \cap \{r \leq 1\}} \langle r \rangle^{3-2\delta} (X(rX)^n T^{-1} \widehat{\Psi}_0)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{1-2\delta} ((rX)^n T^{-1} \widehat{\Psi}_0)^2 dr d\theta \\
& \lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0)} \langle r \rangle^2 ((rX)^n (\text{RHS of (6.82)}))^2 dr d\theta \\
& \lesssim \mathfrak{L}[\varphi]^2 + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(0)} \langle r \rangle^2 (X(rX)^n \widehat{\Psi}_0)^2 + ((rX)^n T \widehat{\Psi}_0)^2 + ((rX)^n \widehat{\Psi}_0)^2 dr d\theta \lesssim \mathbf{D}_{N,\delta}[\varphi].
\end{aligned} \tag{6.87}$$

Combining (6.86) and (6.87) and replacing $\widehat{\Psi}_0$ with Ψ_0 using lemma 6.2, we obtain (6.78). \square

7. LATE-TIME ASYMPTOTICS

In this section, we combine the results of sections 5 and 6 to derive pointwise decay for the renormalized quantity $\widehat{\varphi}$, and hence precise late-time asymptotics for φ itself. In section 7.1, we derive energy decay estimates, and in section 7.2, we derive pointwise decay estimates.

7.1. Energy decay for the renormalized solution. In this section, we prove energy decay estimates for the renormalized solution $\widehat{\varphi}$. To do this, we write $\widehat{\varphi} = TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}$ using the time integral construction of section 6, and then apply the improved decay results for time derivatives obtained in section 5. A caveat is that the time integral $T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}$ does not have a finite $p = 1$ energy, and so we are forced to work with a small loss in v for the energy defined on the truncated hypersurface $\Sigma(\tau, v)$. In lemma 7.2, we show that this loss in v can be exchanged for a loss in τ -decay for the energy defined on the full hypersurface $\Sigma(\tau)$. The main result of this section is proposition 7.3, where we prove energy decay estimates for all the solution variables associated to $\widehat{\varphi}$.

Lemma 7.1 (Estimate for the initial data of time integrals of the renormalized solution). *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$. For $N \geq 0$, $M \geq 0$, $\delta > 0$, and $v \geq 0$, we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1+\delta,N,M}[T^{-1}\widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}](v) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} v^{3\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M,\delta}[\varphi], \tag{7.1}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1+\delta,N,M}[T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0](v) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} v^{3\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+1,\delta}[\varphi], \tag{7.2}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0, T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0](v) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} v^{3\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+2,\delta}[\varphi] \quad (N \geq 1). \tag{7.3}$$

Proof. We first establish (7.1) by considering $T^{-1}\widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}$, which satisfies the assumptions of definition 4.1 (see lemma 4.3 and (2.22)) with vanishing inhomogeneity (since φ_{mink} is radially symmetric). It follows from the estimates in proposition 6.15 and the definition of the norm $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ (see proposition 5.4) that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1+\delta,N,M}[\widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}](v) \lesssim_{N,\delta} v^{3\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M,\delta}[\varphi] + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N+M-m}[T^m \widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}](0, v). \tag{7.4}$$

Next, we consider $T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0$, which satisfies the assumptions of definition 4.1 (see lemma 4.3 and (6.77)) with inhomogeneity $F := -r^{1/2}\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]GZZT^{-2}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}}$. It follows from corollary 6.5 and the estimates in proposition 6.17 that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{1+\delta,N,M}[T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0] \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} v^{3\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+1,\delta}[\varphi] + \sum_{m=0}^M \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N+M-m}[T^m\widehat{\Psi}_0](0,v). \quad (7.5)$$

Finally, we establish (7.3) by considering $T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0$, which solves $\square T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0 = F = -\mathfrak{L}[\varphi]T^{-1}\square\varphi_{\text{mink}}$. It follows from corollary 6.5, (7.5), and the definition of the norm \mathcal{D} (given in proposition 5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{N,M,\delta}[T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0, T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0](v) &\lesssim_{N,M,\delta} v^{3\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+2,\delta}[\varphi] + \sum_{m=0}^M \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N+M-m}[T^m\widehat{\Psi}_0](0,v) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} (E_{N+M-m}[T^m\widehat{\varphi}_0](0,v) + \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N+M-m}[T^m\widehat{\varphi}_0]). \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

□

Lemma 7.2 (Exchanging growth in v of the truncated energy for a loss in τ -decay of the full energy). *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$. Suppose that for all $\delta > 0$ small, there exists a constant $D_\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[\varphi](\tau, v) \leq D_\delta v^\eta (1+\tau)^{-\beta} \quad (7.7)$$

for some $\eta > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$ (independent of δ). Suppose moreover that $D_\delta \leq D_{\delta'}$ whenever $\delta \leq \delta'$. Then for $\delta < \eta$, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[\varphi](\tau) \lesssim D_{2\delta} (1+\tau)^{-\beta+\eta}. \quad (7.8)$$

The same result holds with $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N}[\psi](\tau, v)$ in place of $\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[\varphi](\tau, v)$.

Proof. We use the interpolation argument of [Gaj23, Prop. 10.6]. First, choose $A > 0$ large enough (independently of τ) that $\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq R\} \subset \Sigma(\tau) \cap \{v \leq AR\}$ for all $R \geq 1 + \tau$. Now let r_i be a dyadic sequence with $r_0 = 1 + \tau$, and split

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau) &= \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} \underbrace{r(1+r)^\delta (L\psi)^2 + h(r)(1+r)^\delta \varphi^2}_{:= (*)} dr d\theta \\ &= \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq r_0\}} (*) dr d\theta + \sum_{i \geq 0} \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r_i \leq r \leq r_{i+1}\}} (*) dr d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

By assumption, we can estimate

$$\int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq r_0\}} (*) dr d\theta \leq \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{v \leq A(1+\tau)\}} (*) dr d\theta = \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau, A(1+\tau)) \lesssim D_\delta (1+\tau)^{-\beta+\eta}. \quad (7.10)$$

Using the dyadicity of the r_i , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r_i \leq r \leq r_{i+1}\}} (*) dr d\theta &\leq (1+r_i)^{-\delta} \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{v \leq Ar_{i+1}\}} r(1+r)^{2\delta} (L\psi)^2 + h(r)(1+r)^{2\delta} \varphi^2 dr d\theta \\ &= (1+r_i)^{-\delta} \mathcal{E}_{1+2\delta}[\varphi](\tau, Ar_{i+1}) \lesssim (1+r_i)^{-\delta+\eta} D_{2\delta} (1+\tau)^{-\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.11)$$

Returning to (7.9) (and summing the geometric series in (7.11)), and repeating this argument for $(rL)^n \varphi$ in place of φ , we obtain the desired result. The argument for $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N}[\psi](\tau, v)$ is similar. □

Proposition 7.3 (Energy estimates for the renormalized solution). *Suppose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ solves (2.19). For $N \geq 0$, $M \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $v \geq 0$, and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we have*

$$\tilde{E}[T^M T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0](\tau) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{0,N}[T^M T^{-2}\widehat{\Psi}_0](\tau) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} (1+\tau)^{-1-2M+4\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+1,2\delta}[\varphi], \quad (7.12)$$

$$\tilde{E}[T^M T^{-1}\widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}](\tau) + \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{0,N}[T^M T^{-1}\widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}](\tau) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} (1+\tau)^{-1-2M+4\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M,2\delta}[\varphi], \quad (7.13)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N}[T^M T^{-1}\widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}](\tau) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} (1+\tau)^{-2M+4\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+2,2\delta}[\varphi], \quad (7.14)$$

$$E_N[T^M T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0](\tau) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} (1+\tau)^{-1-2M+5\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+2,2\delta}[\varphi], \quad (7.15)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[T^M T^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0](\tau) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} (1+\tau)^{1-2M+5\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+2,2\delta}[\varphi] \quad (M \geq 1). \quad (7.16)$$

Proof. We first claim that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N}[T^M T^{-1} \widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}](\tau, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} v^{3\delta} (1+\tau)^{-2M+\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M,\delta}[\varphi], \quad (7.17)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N}[T^M T^{-2} \widehat{\Psi}_0](\tau, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} v^{3\delta} (1+\tau)^{-2M+\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+1,\delta}[\varphi], \quad (7.18)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N-1}[(rL)T^M T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}_0](\tau, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} v^{3\delta} (1+\tau)^{-2M+2\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+2,\delta}[\varphi] \quad (N \geq 1), \quad (7.19)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N}[T^M T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}_0](\tau, v) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} v^{3\delta} (1+\tau)^{1-2M+2\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+2,\delta}[\varphi] \quad (M \geq 1). \quad (7.20)$$

Indeed, these are immediate consequences of proposition 5.4 applied to $T^{-1} \widehat{\psi}_{\geq 1}$ and $T^{-2} \widehat{\Psi}_0$ (which satisfy the relevant assumptions by lemma 4.3), proposition 5.6 applied to $T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}_0$ (where $T^{-2} \widehat{\Psi}_0$ plays the role of Φ in the theorem), and lemma 7.1. Now (7.14) and (7.16) follow from lemma 7.2 together with (7.17) and (7.20), and (7.13) follows from (7.14) and a standard pigeonhole argument using propositions 4.4 and 4.9. Similarly, (7.18) and (7.19) and lemma 7.2 imply

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N}[T^M T^{-2} \widehat{\Psi}_0](\tau) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} (1+\tau)^{-2M+4\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+1,2\delta}[\varphi], \quad (7.21)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+\delta,N-1}[(rL)T^M T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}_0](\tau) \lesssim_{N,M,\delta} (1+\tau)^{-2M+5\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+2,2\delta}[\varphi] \quad (N \geq 1). \quad (7.22)$$

Note that (7.12) follows from (7.21) and a standard pigeonhole argument using proposition 4.8 (see (4.16)).

It now remains to establish (7.15). By proposition 4.13, (7.21), and corollary 6.5, we have

$$E_N[T^M T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}_0](\tau_2) \lesssim_{N,\delta} E_N[T^M T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}_0](\tau_1) + (1+\tau)^{-2-2M+4\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M,2\delta}[\varphi]. \quad (7.23)$$

By proposition 4.17, (7.21), (7.22), corollary 6.5, and an argument as in the proof of (5.40), we have

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} E_N[T^M T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}_0](\tau) d\tau \lesssim_{N,\delta} E_N[T^M T^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}_0](\tau_1, v) + (1+\tau)^{-2M+5\delta} \mathbf{D}_{N+M+1,2\delta}[\varphi]. \quad (7.24)$$

A pigeonhole argument using (7.23) and (7.24) (as in Step 2 of the proof of proposition 5.6) proves (7.15). \square

7.2. Pointwise decay for the renormalized solution. In this section, we complete the proof of theorem 3.1 by proving sharp pointwise estimates for φ , which come from improved decay estimates for $\widehat{\varphi} = TT^{-1} \widehat{\varphi}$. We do not prove pointwise estimates for $\widehat{\varphi}$ directly. As usual, the obstruction comes at the level of the radially symmetric part $\widehat{\varphi}_0$. To get around this obstruction, we first prove an estimate for $(rL)\widehat{\varphi}_0$ by interpolating between the estimates of proposition 7.4 applied in appropriate large- r and small- r regions. We then integrate this estimate in the L -direction to future null infinity (where we pick up no boundary term). This yields an estimate in the region $\{r \geq 1\}$. To extend the estimate to $\{r \leq 1\}$, we integrate the estimate we have for $\widehat{\Psi}_0 \sim r^{1/2} Z \widehat{\varphi}_0$ in the Z -direction to $\{r = 1\}$, using the integrability of $r^{-1/2}$ near $\{r = 0\}$. We pursue this argument in proposition 7.6, completing the proof of theorem 3.1.

Proposition 7.4 (Pointwise estimates for general scalar fields). *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ and let $\eta_h > 0$ and $C_h \geq 2$ be the constants defined in (ii) and (iv) of section 2.3. Recall the norm \mathcal{A} defined in section 2.7.3. Then for $R \geq 1$, $N \geq 0$, and $\tau \geq 0$, we have*

$$\|(rL)^N \varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq R\})}^2 \lesssim_N R^{C_h} \left(\mathcal{E}_1[T^N \varphi_0](\tau) + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq N \\ 0 \leq k \leq 1}} E_{N-m}[T^{m+k} \varphi_0](\tau) + \sum_{\substack{n,m \geq 0 \\ n+m \leq N}} \mathcal{A}_{1,N-m}[T^m \square \varphi](\tau) \right) \quad (7.25)$$

and

$$\|(rL)^N \varphi_{\geq 1}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq R\})} \lesssim_N R^{C_h} \sum_{k=0}^1 \left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{0,N}[\partial_\theta^k \psi_{\geq 1}](\tau) + \tilde{E}[\partial_\theta^k \psi_{\geq 1}](\tau) + \mathcal{A}_{1,N-1}[\partial_\theta^k \square \varphi_{\geq 1}] \right) \quad (7.26)$$

and

$$\|\Psi_0\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq R\})}^2 \lesssim R^{C_h+2} (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0[\Psi_0](\tau) + \tilde{E}[\Psi_0](\tau)). \quad (7.27)$$

For $N \geq 0$, $\tau \geq 0$, $0 < \delta \leq \eta_h$, and $r \geq 1$, we have

$$|r^{1/2} (rL)^N \varphi_0(\tau, r, \theta)|^2 \lesssim_{N,\delta} \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[(rL)^N \varphi_0](\tau) + E[(rL)^N \varphi_0](\tau) \quad (7.28)$$

and

$$|r^{1/2}(rL)^N \varphi_{\geq 1}(\tau, r, \theta)|^2 \lesssim_{N, \delta} \sum_{k=0}^1 \left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta, N}[\partial_\theta^k \psi_{\geq 1}](\tau) + \tilde{E}[\partial_\theta^k \psi_{\geq 1}](\tau) + \mathcal{A}_{2, N-1}[\partial_\theta^k \square \varphi_{\geq 1}] \right). \quad (7.29)$$

Remark 7.5. We could of course formulate an (rL) -commuted version of (7.27) (or an analogue for general scalar fields satisfying (4.2)), as well as a corresponding version of (7.29), but we do not need such estimates for the proof of theorem 3.1.

Proof. Step 1: Proof of (7.25). Recall the following estimate for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$:

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)}^2 \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \|\Delta f\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}. \quad (7.30)$$

Let $\chi(r)$ be a cutoff function that is supported on $\{r \leq 2R\}$, is identically 1 on $\{r \leq R\}$, and satisfies $r|\chi'(r)| + r^2|\chi''(r)| \lesssim 1$. By applying (7.30) with $\chi\varphi$ (considered as a function on $\Sigma(\tau)$) in place of f and using the expression $\Delta = X^2 + r^{-1}X + r^{-2}\partial_\theta^2$ and the identity

$$\Delta(\chi\varphi) = \chi\Delta\varphi + (\Delta\chi)\varphi + 2\chi'X\varphi \implies |\Delta(\chi\varphi)| \lesssim |\Delta\varphi| + R^{-2}|\varphi| + R^{-1}|X\varphi|, \quad (7.31)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq R\})}^2 \\ & \lesssim R^{-2} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\})}^2 + \|X\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\})}^2 + \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\})} \|\Delta\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\})}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.32)$$

where the L^2 norms are with respect to the volume form $r dr d\theta$.

Step 1a: Proof of (7.25) when $N = 0$. In this step we will show that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq R\})}^2 \lesssim R^{C_h} (\mathcal{E}_1[\varphi](\tau) + E[\varphi](\tau) + E[T\varphi](\tau) + E[\partial_\theta\varphi](\tau)) + \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\}} r |\square\varphi|^2 dr d\theta. \quad (7.33)$$

First, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\})}^2 + \|X\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\})}^2 &= \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\}} \varphi^2 r + r(X\varphi)^2 dr d\theta \\ &\lesssim R^{C_h+1} \mathcal{E}_1[\varphi](\tau, v_R(\tau)) + E[\varphi](\tau, v_R(\tau)). \end{aligned} \quad (7.34)$$

We now estimate the Laplacian by the spatial part of the wave operator in (τ, r) coordinates, namely

$$\mathcal{P} := X^2 + r^{-1}(1 + rG^{-1}G')X + A^2G^{-2}r^{-2}\partial_\theta^2, \quad (7.35)$$

which satisfies

$$G^{-2}\square\varphi = \mathcal{P}\varphi - hG^{-1}(2 - Gh)T^2\varphi - G^{-1}(2 - 2Gh)XT\varphi + G^{-1}((Gh)' - r^{-1}(1 - Gh))T\varphi, \quad (7.36)$$

by (2.21). In view of the assumptions on h and G and the expression $\Delta = X^2 + r^{-1}X + r^{-2}\partial_\theta^2$ in (r, θ) coordinates, we have

$$\Delta = \mathcal{O}(1)\square + \mathcal{O}(1)XT\varphi + \mathcal{O}(1)X\varphi + \mathcal{O}(1)\partial_\theta^2 + \mathcal{O}(1)hT^2\varphi + \mathcal{O}(\langle r \rangle^{-1})T\varphi. \quad (7.37)$$

It follows that

$$\|\Delta\varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\})}^2 \lesssim R^{C_h-2} (E[\varphi](\tau) + E[T\varphi](\tau) + E[\partial_\theta\varphi](\tau)) + \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\}} r |\square\varphi|^2 dr d\theta. \quad (7.38)$$

Combining (7.32), (7.34), and (7.38) we get (7.33).

Step 1b: Applying (7.32) with $(rX)^N \varphi$ for $N \geq 1$ in place of φ . Let $N \geq 1$. The goal of this step is to show that

$$\|(rX)^N \varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq R\})}^2 \lesssim R^{C_h} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leq N \\ k_1, k_2 \geq 0 \\ k_1 + k_2 \leq 1}} E_{N-m}[T^{k_1} \partial_\theta^{k_2} T^m \varphi](\tau) + \sum_{\substack{n, m \geq 0 \\ n+m \leq N}} \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\}} r |(rL)^n T^m \square\varphi|^2 dr d\theta. \quad (7.39)$$

We first use lemma 6.14 and the definition of the constant $C_h \geq 2$ to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|(rX)^N \varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\})}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N-1}} \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\}} [r^2 (L(rL)^{n_1} T^{n_2} \varphi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{C_h} h(T(rL)^{n_1} T^{n_2} \varphi)^2] r \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\lesssim R^{C_h} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} E_{N-m-1}[T^m \varphi](\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (7.40)$$

Next, we use lemma 6.14 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(rX)^N \varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\})}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N-1}} \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\}} [(X(rL)^{n_1+1} T^{n_2} \varphi)^2 + (X(rL)^{n_1} T^{n_2+1} \varphi)^2] r \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\lesssim \sum_{m=0}^N E_{N-m}[T^m \varphi](\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (7.41)$$

From the commutation formula $[\Delta, rX] = 2\Delta$, we obtain

$$\Delta(rX)^N \varphi = \sum_{n=0}^N C_n (rX)^n \Delta \varphi \quad (7.42)$$

for some integers C_n . It follows from (7.37) and (7.42) and lemma 6.14 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta(rX)^N \varphi\|_{L^2(\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\})}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\}} [(X(rX)^n \varphi)^2 + (X(rX)^n T \varphi)^2 + (\partial_\theta \partial_{\bar{\theta}} (rX)^n \varphi)^2 \\ &\quad + \langle r \rangle^{-2} (T(rX)^n T \varphi)^2 + |(rX)^n \square \varphi|^2] r \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\lesssim R^{C_h-2} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq 1 \\ k_1 + k_2 \leq 1}} E_N [T^{k_1} \partial_{\bar{\theta}}^{k_2} \varphi](\tau) + \sum_{n=0}^N \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\}} r |(rX)^n \square \varphi|^2 \, dr \, d\theta \end{aligned} \quad (7.43)$$

Substituting (7.40), (7.41), and (7.43) into (7.32), we obtain (7.39).

Step 1c: Completing the proof. We established (7.25) when $N = 0$ in Step 1a. Let $N \geq 1$. Lemma 6.14 gives

$$|(rL)^N \varphi| \lesssim |T^N \varphi| + \sum_{\substack{n_1 \geq 1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 + n_2 \leq N}} |(rX)^{n_1} T^{n_2} \varphi|. \quad (7.44)$$

Combining this estimate with (7.39) and (7.33) applied to $T^N \varphi$ in place of φ , we obtain (7.25) when $N \geq 1$.

Step 2: Proof of (7.26). Let χ be as in Step 1. First, estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |X((\chi\varphi)^2)| &\leq 2\chi^2 |\varphi X\varphi| + 2|\chi\chi'| |\varphi|^2 \lesssim r(X\varphi)^2 + r^{-1}\varphi^2 \lesssim (X\psi)^2 + r^{-2}\psi^2 \lesssim (X\psi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{C_h} h(r)r^{-2}\psi^2 \\ &\lesssim (L\psi)^2 + h^2(\underline{L}\psi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{C_h} h(r)r^{-2}\psi^2. \end{aligned} \quad (7.45)$$

Since there are constants $C_{N,n}$ such that

$$r^{1/2}(rL)^N \varphi = \sum_{n=0}^N C_{N,n} (rL)^n \psi, \quad (7.46)$$

we obtain from (7.45) (applied to $(rL)^N \varphi$ in place of φ) the estimate

$$X((\chi(rL)^N \varphi)^2) \lesssim_N \sum_{n=0}^N [(L(rL)^n \psi)^2 + h(r)^2 (\underline{L}(rL)^n \psi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{C_h} h(r)r^{-2} ((rL)^n \psi)^2]. \quad (7.47)$$

Use (2.22), (4.13), and (7.45) to estimate

$$\sum_{n=0}^N (\underline{L}(rL)^n \psi)^2 \lesssim (\underline{L}\psi)^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [(\underline{L}(rL)^n \psi)^2 + r^{-2}((rL)^n \psi)^2 + r^{-2}(\partial_\theta \partial_\theta (rL)^n \psi) + r^3 |(rL)^n \square \varphi|^2]. \quad (7.48)$$

Using the fundamental theorem of calculus in the X -direction together with (7.47) and (7.48), we find that for $r \leq R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^1} ((rL)^N \varphi)^2(\tau, r, \theta) \, d\theta &\leq \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\}} |X((\chi\varphi)^2)| \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\lesssim R^{C_h} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{0,N}[\psi](\tau) + \tilde{E}[\psi](\tau) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{r \leq 2R\}} r^3 |(rL)^n \square \varphi|^2 \, dr \, d\theta \end{aligned} \quad (7.49)$$

Sobolev embedding on the circle (and $C_h \geq 2$) completes the proof of (7.26).

Step 3: Proof of (7.27). Let χ be as in Step 1. As in Step 2, we estimate

$$|X((\chi\Psi_0)^2)| \lesssim (L\Psi_0)^2 + h(r)(\underline{L}\Psi_0)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{C_h+2} h(r) r^{-2} \Psi_0^2. \quad (7.50)$$

Using the fundamental theorem of calculus in the X -direction together with (7.50) (and the radial symmetry of Ψ_0), we obtain (7.27).

Step 4: Proof of (7.28). Let $r_0 \in [1/2, 1]$. For $R \geq 1$, the fundamental theorem of calculus in the X -direction gives

$$\int_{S^1} |\psi(\tau, R, \theta)| \, d\theta \leq \int_{S^1} |\psi(\tau, r_0, \theta)| \, d\theta + \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{1/2 \leq r \leq R\}} |X\psi| \, dr \, d\theta. \quad (7.51)$$

Since r and $h(r)$ are comparable to 1 in $\{1/2 \leq r \leq 1\}$, Cauchy–Schwarz gives

$$\left(\int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{1/2 \leq r \leq 1\}} |\psi| \, dr \, d\theta \right)^2 \lesssim \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{1/2 \leq r \leq 1\}} r \langle r \rangle^{C_h} h \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \lesssim \mathcal{E}_1[\varphi](\tau), \quad (7.52)$$

since $R \geq 1$. Use Cauchy–Schwarz, the integrability of $r \langle r \rangle^\delta$ on $[r_0, \infty)$ for $\delta > 0$, and the identity $X = G^{-1}L - hT$ to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{1/2 \leq r \leq R\}} |X\psi| \, dr \, d\theta \right)^2 &\leq \int_{\Sigma(\tau) \cap \{1/2 \leq r \leq R\}} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (X\psi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L\psi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta + \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} h(r) r \langle r \rangle^\delta \cdot h(r) (T\varphi)^2 \, r \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau) + E[\varphi](\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (7.53)$$

where in the last line we used $\delta \leq \eta_h$. Averaging (7.51) over $r_0 \in [1/2, 1]$ and substituting (7.52) and (7.53), we obtain

$$\left(\int_{S^1} |\psi(\tau, r, \theta)| \, d\theta \right)^2 \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau) + E[\varphi](\tau). \quad (7.54)$$

The Sobolev embedding $W^{1,1}(S^1) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(S^1)$ (which follows from the fundamental theorem of calculus) combined with (7.54) applied to $(rL)^N \varphi$ in place of φ completes the proof.

Step 5: Proof of (7.29). Let $R \geq 1$. Arguing as in Step 3, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{S^1} |\psi(\tau, R, \theta)| \, d\theta \right)^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L\psi)^2 + h(r)(\underline{L}\psi)^2 + h(r)r^{-2}\psi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta}[\psi](\tau) + \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} h(r)(\underline{L}\psi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \end{aligned} \quad (7.55)$$

Combining this estimate with (7.48), we find that for $N \geq 0$, we have

$$\left(\int_{S^1} |(rL)^N \psi(\tau, R, \theta)| \, d\theta \right)^2 \lesssim \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\delta,N}[\psi](\tau) + \tilde{E}[\psi](\tau) + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{\Sigma(\tau)} r^3 \langle r \rangle^{-1} |(rL)^n \square \varphi|^2 \, dr \, d\theta. \quad (7.56)$$

To complete the proof, commute the $(rL)^N$ on the left inside the $r^{1/2}$ -weight and use the Sobolev embedding $W^{1,1}(S^1) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(S^1)$ (which follows from the fundamental theorem of calculus). \square

Proposition 7.6 (Pointwise estimates for the renormalized solution). *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ solve (2.19), and let $\widehat{\varphi}$ be the corresponding renormalized solution defined in section 6.1.1. Let $\gamma := (C_h + 1)^{-1}$, where $C_h \geq 2$ (defined in (iv) of section 2.3) determines the polynomial rate (in the radial coordinate r) at which the hyperboloidal foliation $\Sigma(\tau)$ becomes null. For $N \geq 0$ and $M \geq 0$ and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, we have*

$$|(rL)^N T^M \widehat{\varphi}(\tau, r, \theta)| \lesssim \varphi_{\text{mink}}(\tau, r, \theta) \cdot (1 + \tau)^{-M - \gamma/4} \left(\mathbf{D}_{\min(1, N) + M + 4, \delta}[\varphi] + \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathbf{D}_{\min(1, N) + M + 2, \delta}[\partial_\theta^k \varphi] \right). \quad (7.57)$$

Proof. Let $R \geq 1$, and let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small. Fix $N \geq 0$ and $M \geq 0$ and write

$$\mathbf{D} := \mathbf{D}_{N+M+4, \delta}[\varphi] + \sum_{k=0}^1 \mathbf{D}_{N+M+2, \delta}[\partial_\theta^k \varphi]. \quad (7.58)$$

Step 1: The case $N \geq 1$. Writing $\widehat{\varphi} = TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_0 + TT^{-1}\widehat{\varphi}_{\geq 1}$ and applying the estimates in proposition 7.4 (with $\delta/5$ in place of δ) and proposition 7.3 and estimating the inhomogeneous terms that arise using corollary 6.5, we obtain

$$|(rL)^N T^M \widehat{\varphi}(\tau, r, \theta)|^2 \lesssim_{N, M, \delta} \begin{cases} R^{C_h} (1 + \tau)^{-\min(3, 2N+1) - 2M + \delta} \mathbf{D} & \{r \leq R\}, \\ r^{-1} (1 + \tau)^{-2 - 2M + \delta} \mathbf{D} & \{r \geq 1\}. \end{cases} \quad (7.59)$$

Taking $R = (1 + \tau)^\gamma$ for $\gamma := (C_h + 1)^{-1} < 1$ and using the first estimate in $\{r \leq R\}$ and the second estimate in $\{r \geq R\}$, we obtain the estimate

$$|(rL)^N T^M \widehat{\varphi}(\tau, r, \theta)|^2 \lesssim_{N, M, \delta} u^{-1} v^{-1} (1 + \tau)^{-\gamma - 2M + \delta} \mathbf{D} \quad (N \geq 1), \quad (7.60)$$

which proves (7.57) when $N \geq 1$ (after taking $\delta < \gamma/2$).

Step 2: The case $N = 0$. We now consider the case $N = 0$. There are two reasons we do not argue directly as in the case $N \geq 1$. First, the right-hand sides of the estimates in proposition 7.4 do not have enough τ -decay. For example, (7.25) includes $E[\widehat{\varphi}_0]$ when $N = 0$, which decays like τ^{-2} , and so this estimate concludes τ^{-1} decay for $\widehat{\varphi}_0$ near the origin. However, we need to prove that $\widehat{\varphi}_0$ decays faster than φ_{mink} , which itself decays like τ^{-1} near the origin. More seriously, the right-hand side of (7.25) when $N = 0$ includes $\mathcal{E}_1[\widehat{\varphi}_0]$, which we do not even control (recall that we only control (rL) -derivatives and T -derivatives of the scalar field in the \mathcal{E}_1 norm, but not the scalar field itself). For this reason, we use the case $N = 0$ to control $\widehat{\varphi}_0$ in the region $\{r \geq 1\}$ by integrating the estimate for $(rL)\widehat{\varphi}_0$ in the L -direction to null infinity, and integrate an estimate for $\widehat{\Psi}_0 \sim r^{1/2} Z\widehat{\varphi}_0$ in the Z -direction to obtain control in $\{r \leq 1\}$.

From (7.60), we have

$$|LT^M \widehat{\varphi}(\tau, r, \theta)| \lesssim_{M, \delta} r^{-1} u^{-1/2} v^{-1/2} (1 + \tau)^{-\gamma/2 - M + \delta/2} \mathbf{D}|_{N=1}. \quad (7.61)$$

From (7.59), we know $T^M \widehat{\varphi}|_{r=\infty} = 0$, and so we can integrate (7.61) in the L -direction to null infinity (and use $1 + \tau \sim u$ and $v \sim u + r$) to obtain (in (u, v) coordinates)

$$|T^M \widehat{\varphi}(u_0, v_0, \theta)| \lesssim_{M, \delta} u_0^{-1/2 - \gamma/2 - M + \delta/2} \mathbf{D}|_{N=1} \cdot \int_{r_0}^{\infty} r^{-1} v^{-1/2} dr, \quad (7.62)$$

where the integral is over a curve of constant u_0 . Let $r_0 := r(u_0, v_0) \geq 1$. We split the integral into the regions $\{r_0 \leq r \leq v_0/2\}$ (where $v \sim u$) and $\{r \geq v_0/2\}$ (where $v \sim r$). When the first piece exists, we have $u_0 \sim v_0$, and so this piece contributes logarithmically only in u_0 . The second piece always contributes like $v_0^{-1/2}$. Thus we have

$$\int_{r_0}^{\infty} r^{-1} v^{-1/2} dr \lesssim \mathbf{1}_{r_0 \leq v_0/2} u_0^{-1/2} \int_{r_0}^{v_0/2} r^{-1} dr + \int_{v_0/2}^{\infty} r^{-3/2} dr \lesssim v_0^{-1/2} \log u_0. \quad (7.63)$$

Combining (7.62) and (7.63) we obtain

$$|T^M \widehat{\varphi}(u, v, \theta)| \lesssim_{\eta} u^{-1/2} v^{-1/2} \cdot u^{-\gamma/2 - M + \delta/2 + \eta} \mathbf{D}|_{N=1} \quad (r(u, v) \geq 1) \quad (7.64)$$

for any $\eta > 0$, which implies (7.57) in the region $\{r \geq 1\}$.

We now consider the region $\{r \leq 1\}$. From (7.27) in proposition 7.4 (with $R = 1$) and (7.12), we obtain

$$|T^M \widehat{\Psi}_0| \lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-5 + 4\delta} \mathbf{D}|_{N=0} \implies |ZT^M \widehat{\varphi}_0| \lesssim r^{-1/2} (1 + \tau)^{-5 + 4\delta} \quad \text{in } \{r \leq 1\}. \quad (7.65)$$

Since $r^{-1/2}$ is integrable near $\{r = 0\}$, we can integrate (7.65) in the Z -direction to $\{r \leq 1\}$ (which is possible when $\tau \gg 1$) and use (7.57) for $N = 0$ in the region $\{r \geq 1\}$ (which we have already obtained) to obtain (7.57) for $N = 0$ in the region $\{r \leq 1\} \cap \{\tau \gg 1\}$. The result in the region $\{\tau \lesssim 1\}$ follows from (7.59). \square

APPENDIX A. FAILURE OF INTEGRATED LOCAL ENERGY DECAY FOR RADIALLY SYMMETRIC SCALAR FIELDS

In this section, we explain why, unlike in $(3 + 1)$ dimensions, in two space dimensions, one cannot control a zeroth-order bulk term by the T -energy. For this reason, the standard strategy for proving Morawetz estimates in $(3 + 1)$ dimensions, which provides control of a zeroth-order bulk term, must fail in $(2 + 1)$ dimensions. In particular, in $(2 + 1)$ dimensions one cannot hope to directly apply the method of Dafermos–Rodnianski [DR09] to prove r^p -weighted estimates, since this strategy requires as input an integrated local energy decay estimate.

The obstruction lies at the level of the radially symmetric part of the scalar field. The mechanism is the scale invariance of the energy norm in two space dimensions (namely $k = 1$ and $p = 0$ in proposition A.1). As a consequence, one can only hope to control a zeroth-order term in the bulk if one allows on the right-hand side an energy with an r^p -weight with $p \geq 1$ (as we do in section 4.2.2).

Due to the necessary restriction $p \geq 1$, one can only hope to prove r^p -weighted estimates on their own, without invoking an integrated local energy decay statement that controls φ itself by the T -energy. However, as we show in proposition 4.11, we can establish an integrated estimate for *derivatives of* φ , provided that one is willing to include an r^p -weighted energy of $\Psi := r^{1/2}\partial_r\varphi$ on the right-hand side. This is the reason that our estimates for radially symmetric scalar fields φ are coupled to the estimates for Ψ , which is the main innovation in our argument (see section 1.2 and in particular section 1.2.5 for further discussion).

To illustrate the argument, it is convenient to use energies defined on surfaces of constant t .

Proposition A.1. *For each $T \geq 1$, there exists a radially symmetric function $\varphi_T \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{2+1})$ solving the linear wave equation on Minkowski space (\mathbf{R}^{2+1}, m) and arising from compactly supported data on $\{t = 0\}$ such that for any $p \in [0, 1)$ and $k \geq 1$, the following estimate holds:*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t \cap \{r \leq 1\}} \varphi_T^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, dt \gtrsim_k T^{2k-1-p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (1+r)^p (\partial^k \varphi_T)^2|_{\{t=0\}} r \, dr \, d\theta, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where we write $\Sigma_{t_0} := \{t = t_0\}$ and $\partial^k \varphi_T := \sum_{j=0}^k \partial_t^j \partial_r^{k-j} \varphi_T$. In particular, there is no uniform estimate of the form

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\Sigma_t \cap \{r \leq 1\}} \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, dt \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_0} (\partial\varphi)^2 r \, dr \, d\theta \quad (\text{A.2})$$

for solutions φ to the wave equation on (\mathbf{R}^{2+1}, m) .

Remark A.2. This proposition says that no uniform integrated local energy decay statement can hold for radially symmetric scalar fields in two space dimensions, even if one allows small growing weights in time and/or a loss of derivatives on the right-hand side. As we use in section 4.1, this obstruction does not occur for scalar fields with vanishing radial part, because there is additional coercivity available from the angular term in the energy, by way of a Poincaré inequality on S^1 .

Proof. The proof of this proposition was communicated to the author by Georgios Moschidis [Mos]. Fix $\chi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on $[0, 1]$ and $\chi \equiv 0$ on $[2, \infty)$. Let φ_T be the solution to the wave equation arising from initial data $(\varphi_T|_{\{t=0\}}, \partial_t \varphi_T|_{\{t=0\}}) = (\chi(r/2T), 0)$. Since $\chi(r/2T) \equiv 1$ on $\{r \leq 2T\}$, the domain of dependence property for solutions to the wave equation implies that $\varphi_T \equiv 1$ on $\{r \leq 1\} \cap \{0 \leq t \leq T\} \subset \{r \leq 2T - |t|\}$, and so

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma_t \cap \{r \leq 1\}} \varphi_T^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, dt \sim T. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

On the other hand, we can compute (using the wave equation $(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_r^2 + r^{-1}\partial_r)\varphi_T = 0$ to express $\partial_t^2\varphi_T|_{\{t=0\}}$ in terms of $\partial_r^2\varphi_T|_{\{t=0\}}$ and $r^{-1}\partial_r\varphi_T|_{\{t=0\}}$, noting that $\partial_t\varphi_T|_{\{t=0\}} = 0$, and using $\text{supp } \chi' \subset [1, 2]$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^k \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (1+r)^p (\partial_t^j \partial_r^{k-j} \varphi_T)^2|_{\{t=0\}} r \, dr \, d\theta &\lesssim_k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} (1+r)^p r^{-2j} (\partial_r^{k-j} \varphi_T|_{\{t=0\}})^2 r \, dr \, d\theta \\
&\lesssim_k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_{2T}^{4T} (1+r)^p r^{-2j} (\partial_r^{k-j} (\chi(r/2T)))^2 r \, dr \\
&\lesssim_k T^{-2k+2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_1^2 (1+2Tx)^p |\chi^{(k-j)}(x)|^2 \, dx \\
&\lesssim_k T^{-2k+2+p}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

In passing from the second line to the third line, we have used the fact that $r \sim T$ in the region of integration and that each r -derivative of $\chi(r/2T)$ produces a power of T^{-1} . \square

APPENDIX B. AN EXCEPTIONAL CANCELLATION ON MINKOWSKI SPACE AND CONTROL OF A ZERO-TH-ORDER BULK TERM

In this section, we derive estimates that control a zeroth-order bulk term associated to a radially symmetric scalar field on exact Minkowski space (\mathbf{R}^{2+1}, m) . We do not use these estimates in the proof of theorem 3.1, which concerns perturbations of Minkowski space; we aim here to highlight the special structure in the estimates present on exact Minkowski space.

As discussed in appendix A, an estimate controlling a zeroth-order bulk term on Minkowski space must include an r^p -weighted energy on the right hand side with $p \geq 1$. The starting point is to prove a $p = 1$ estimate (see proposition B.1). This is possible on Minkowski space due to a crucial cancellation in the zeroth-order bulk term, which contributes with a bad sign for all $p \in (0, 2) \setminus \{1\}$. The $p = 1$ estimate controls a flux term along ingoing null cones, which we then use to extend the r^p -weighted estimates to the full range $p \in [1, 2)$, albeit with growing weights in time on the right-hand side for $p > 1$ (see proposition B.8).

On perturbations of Minkowski space, the cancellation that occurs on Minkowski space for $p = 1$ is broken, and so we must treat the zeroth-order term in the bulk as an error term on the right-hand side. For this reason, we do not prove r^p -weighted estimates for φ itself. However, we can prove r^p -weighted estimates for derivatives of φ , and this is enough to close the argument. See section 1.2.5 for further discussion.

Proposition B.1 (*r^p -weighted energy estimate for $p = 1$ on Minkowski space*). *Let $f(r) = rg(r)$ for $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ a C^2 function. Then for any $v \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, an identity of the following form holds for radially symmetric functions $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_1[\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r(L\varphi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau + \int_{\underline{C}(v) \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} \varphi^2 \, du \, d\theta \\
\lesssim \mathcal{E}_1[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} |rL\psi| |r^{1/2} \square_m \varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the multiplier identity in lemma 4.14 with $f(r) \equiv r$ (so that $g(r) \equiv 1$). On exact Minkowski space, we have $G(r) \equiv 1$, and so the zeroth-order term in lemma 4.14 vanishes. \square

We now use Hardy's inequality to prove an estimate that controls a zeroth-order term in the bulk by the $p = 1$ energy, using in particular the control of the flux term on ingoing null cones in proposition B.1. However, this estimate includes a logarithmic loss in time.

Lemma B.2 (1D Hardy inequality). *Fix $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ with $a < b$, and let $f, W \in C^1([a, b])$. If W is strictly increasing, then*

$$\int_a^b W'(x) f^2(x) \, dx \leq 2[W(b)f^2(b) - W(a)f^2(a)] + 4 \int_a^b \frac{W(x)^2}{W'(x)} f'(x)^2 \, dx. \tag{B.2}$$

Remark B.3. If W is strictly decreasing, then we can apply lemma B.2 to $-W$.

Lemma B.4 (Estimate for a zeroth-order bulk term in a compact- r region on Minkowski space). *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ be radially symmetric. Fix $0 < r_1 \leq r_2$. Then for $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and $v \geq 0$, we have*

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v) \cap \{r_1 \leq r \leq r_2\}} \varphi^2 dr d\theta d\tau \lesssim \log^2 \langle \tau_2 - \tau_1 \rangle \left[\mathcal{E}_1[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} |rL\psi| |r^{1/2} \square_m \varphi| dr d\theta d\tau \right]. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Proof. We first introduce some notation. Fix $v_0 \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$. Let v_\star be the minimum of v_0 and the value of v on the circle $\Sigma(\tau_2) \cap \{r = r_2\}$. By construction, we have

$$\mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v_0) \cap \{r_1 \leq r \leq r_2\} \subset \mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v_\star) \cap \{r \geq r_1\}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Write $v_{\max}(u_0)$ for the maximum v -value in $\mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v_\star) \cap \{u = u_0\}$. Write r_{\max} for the largest r -value in $\mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v_\star) \cap \{r \geq r_1\}$. By the definition of τ , we have

$$r_{\max} \lesssim_R \tau_2 - \tau_1. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Finally, define the truncated outgoing null cones

$$C(u_0) := \mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v_\star) \cap \{u = u_0\} \cap \{r \geq r_1\}, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where we omit the fixed parameters τ_1 , τ_2 , v_\star , and r_1 from the notation.

We now apply lemma B.2 in the L -direction with the increasing weight $W(r) = \log(2+r)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C(u_0)} \varphi^2 dv d\theta &\lesssim \int_{C(u_0)} \frac{\varphi^2}{2+r} dv d\theta \\ &\lesssim \int_{S^1} \log(2+r) \varphi^2|_{u=u_0, v=v_{\max}(u_0)} d\theta + \int_{C(u_0)} (2+r) \log^2(2+r) (L\varphi)^2 dv d\theta \\ &\lesssim \log^2(2+r_{\max}) \left[\int_{S^1} \varphi^2|_{u=u_0, v=v_{\max}(u_0)} d\theta + \int_{C(u_0)} r (L\varphi)^2 dv d\theta \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where the implicit constants depend on r_1 and r_2 . Integrate (B.7) in u (noting that the curves $C(u_0)$ partition the region $\mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v_\star) \cap \{r \geq r_1\}$) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v_0) \cap \{r_1 \leq r \leq r_2\}} \varphi^2 dr d\theta d\tau &\leq \iiint_{\mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v_\star) \cap \{r \geq r_1\}} \varphi^2 du dv d\theta \\ &\lesssim \log^2(2+r_{\max}) \left[\int_{\underline{C}(v_\star) \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} \varphi^2 du d\theta + \int_{\Sigma(\tau_2, v_\star) \cap \{r \leq r_2\}} \varphi^2 du d\theta + \int_{\mathcal{R}(\tau_1, \tau_2, v_\star) \cap \{r \geq r_1\}} r (L\varphi)^2 du dv d\theta \right] \\ &\lesssim \log^2(2+r_{\max}) \left[\int_{\underline{C}(v_\star) \cap \{\tau_1 \leq \tau \leq \tau_2\}} \varphi^2 du d\theta + \int_{\Sigma(\tau_2, v_\star)} h(r) \varphi^2 dr d\theta + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v_0)} r (L\varphi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

In the first and last inequalities we used the equivalence of $du dv d\theta$ and $dr d\theta d\tau$ as spacetime volume forms and of $du d\theta$ and $h(r) dr d\theta$ as volume forms on $\Sigma(\tau_2)$. Moreover, in the first inequality we also used observation (B.4). To complete the proof, substitute (B.5) into (B.8) and use proposition B.1 to control the terms on the right-hand side. \square

In view of lemma B.4, in order to establish an analogue of (B.1) with control of a zeroth-order bulk term, it suffices to construct a multiplier of the form $f(r)L$ which produces (via lemma 4.14) a zeroth-order bulk term that is positive for sufficiently small and sufficiently large r . We carry out this strategy in the following proposition, using a similar multiplier to the one used in [HM23] (in the study of an exterior obstacle problem in two space dimensions). To state the proposition, we introduce a “ $p = 1+$ ” energy, which has a logarithmically stronger r -weight than the $p = 1$ energy:

$$\mathcal{E}_{1+}[\varphi](\tau, v) := \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \log \langle r \rangle (L\psi)^2 + h(r) \log \langle r \rangle \varphi^2 dr d\theta. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Proposition B.5 (Estimate for a zeroth-order bulk term on Minkowski space). *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ be radially symmetric. For $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and $v \geq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_{1+}[\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \log \langle r \rangle (L\varphi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-1} \log^{-2} \langle r \rangle \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim \log^2 \langle \tau_2 - \tau_1 \rangle \left[\mathcal{E}_{1+}[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} |r \log \langle r \rangle L\psi| |r^{1/2} \square_m \varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Remark B.6. The r -weight in the zeroth-order bulk term controlled on the left-hand side of (B.10) is weaker than would be expected (namely two powers of r less than the r -weight on $(L\varphi)^2$) by $\log^3 \langle r \rangle$. As the proof shows, the loss of $\log^3 \langle r \rangle$ can be improved to a loss of $\log^{2+\delta} \langle r \rangle$ for any $\delta > 0$. However, our proof strategy cannot avoid the “loss in r -weights.”

Proof. Let $0 < r_0 < 1$ be a number to be chosen. Let $g_1, g_2 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ be smooth functions such that

$$g_1(r) = \begin{cases} (1+r)^{-1} & \text{in } \{r \leq r_0\}, \\ \sqrt{\log r} & \text{in } \{r \geq 2\}, \end{cases} \quad g_2(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in } \{r \leq r_0\}, \\ \log r & \text{in } \{r \geq 2\}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.11})$$

with the further requirement that g_1 be positive, which is possible because $g_1(0)$ and $g_1(2)$ are positive. We now compute the relevant quantities to obtain a coercive estimate from lemma 4.14. Since

$$(-r g_1''(r) - g_1'(r))|_{r=0} = 1 > 0, \quad (\text{B.12})$$

one can choose r_0 sufficiently small so that $(-r g_1'' - g_1')(r) \geq 1/2 > 0$ in $\{r \leq r_0\}$. By (B.12) and further explicit computations, we conclude that

$$\begin{cases} (-r g_1'' - g_1')(r) \sim \langle r \rangle^{-1} \log^{-3/2} \langle r \rangle & \text{in } \{r \geq 2\}, \\ (-r g_2'' - g_2')(r) = 0 & \text{outside } \{r_0 \leq r \leq 2\}, \\ 2r g_i'(r) + g_i(r) \sim g_i(r) & \text{in } \{r \geq 2\}, \text{ where } i = 1, 2. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.13})$$

We now set $f(r) = r g(r)$ for $g := A + g_1 + g_2$, where the constant $A > 0$ is chosen sufficiently large that $f'(r) \geq 1$. It follows from (B.13) that

$$\begin{cases} f(r) \sim r \log \langle r \rangle, \\ r f'(r) \sim r \log \langle r \rangle, \\ 2r g'(r) + g(r) \sim \log \langle r \rangle, \\ -r g''(r) - g'(r) \gtrsim \langle r \rangle^{-1} \log^{-2} \langle r \rangle & \text{outside } \{r_0 \leq r \leq 2\}, \\ |-r g''(r) - g'(r)| \lesssim 1 & \text{in } \{r_0 \leq r \leq 2\}. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Since g extends to a smooth function on $[0, \infty)$, we can apply lemma 4.14 with $f(r)$ as above to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_{1+}[\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \log \langle r \rangle (L\varphi)^2 + r^{-1} \log^{-2} \langle r \rangle \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{1+}[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, t) \cap \{r_0 \leq r \leq 2\}} \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} |r \log \langle r \rangle L\psi| |r^{1/2} \square_m \varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

To complete the proof, use lemma B.4 to control the second term on the right-hand side of (B.15). \square

The control of the zeroth-order bulk term in proposition B.5 allows us to use proposition 4.10 to control a bulk term involving $\underline{L}\psi$.

Corollary B.7 (Estimate for a bulk term involving $\underline{L}\psi$ on Minkowski space). *Let $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ be radially symmetric. For $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$ and $\delta > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^{-2+\delta} (\underline{L}\psi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim \log^2 \langle \tau_2 - \tau_1 \rangle \left[E[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \mathcal{E}_{1+}[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} (|T\varphi| + |r^{-1/2} r \log \langle r \rangle L\psi|) |r \square_m \varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.16})$$

where the energy \mathcal{E}_{1+} was defined in (B.9).

Proof. Since $(\underline{L}\psi)^2 \lesssim r(\underline{L}\varphi)^2 + r^{-1}\varphi^2$, this follows from (4.30) and proposition B.5. \square

Given the control of the zeroth-order bulk term in proposition B.5 and the bulk term involving $\underline{L}\psi$ in corollary B.7, we can use an interpolation argument to control energies with stronger r -weights, at the cost of adding stronger weights in τ on the right side.

Proposition B.8 (Estimate for a zeroth-order bulk term on Minkowski space with stronger r -weights). *Suppose $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{R})$ is radially symmetric. For $0 \leq \tau_1 \leq \tau_2$, $v \geq 0$, and $\delta \in (0, 1)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L\varphi)^2 + \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim_\delta \langle \tau_2 - \tau_1 \rangle^{2\delta} \left[E[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} (|T\varphi| + |r^{-1/2} r \langle r \rangle^\delta L\psi|) |r \square_m \varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.17})$$

Proof. Step 1: The multiplier estimate. Set $f(r) = rg(r)$ for $g(r) = (1+r)^\delta$. We explicitly compute

$$\begin{cases} 2rg'(r) + g(r) \sim \langle r \rangle^\delta, \\ rf'(r) \gtrsim r \langle r \rangle^\delta, \\ |-rg''(r) - g'(r)| \lesssim \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta}, \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.18})$$

By lemma 4.14 (whose assumptions f clearly satisfies), we get (after dropping the boundary term on $\underline{C}(v)$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau_2, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r \langle r \rangle^\delta (L\varphi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \\ & \lesssim \mathcal{E}_{1+\delta}[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} |r \langle r \rangle^\delta L\psi| |r^{1/2} \square_m \varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.19})$$

Step 2: The interpolation argument. We now control the final term on the right-hand side of (B.19) using an interpolation argument. Treating τ_1 , τ_2 , and v as fixed, define

$$B := E[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \mathcal{E}_{1+}[\varphi](\tau_1, v) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} (|T\varphi| + |r^{-1/2} r \log \langle r \rangle L\psi|) |r \square_m \varphi| \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau, \quad (\text{B.20})$$

where the energy \mathcal{E}_{1+} was defined in (B.9). It suffices to show

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1+\delta} \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \lesssim_\delta \langle \tau_2 - \tau_1 \rangle^{2\delta} B, \quad (\text{B.21})$$

since one can add a large multiple of (B.21) to (B.19) to establish (B.17).

We now show (B.21). On one hand, proposition B.5 gives

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-1} \log^{-2} \langle r \rangle \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \lesssim \log^2 \langle \tau_2 - \tau_1 \rangle B. \quad (\text{B.22})$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} \langle r \rangle^{-\delta} \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \lesssim \langle \tau_2 - \tau_1 \rangle B. \quad (\text{B.23})$$

We delay the proof of this claim to Step 3. We obtain (B.21) by interpolating between (B.22) and (B.23). By this we mean splitting the integral into the regions $\{r \leq R\}$ and $\{r \geq R\}$, using (B.22) for the first region and (B.23) for the second region, and then choosing $R \sim \tau_2 - \tau_1$ appropriately.

Step 3: Proof of (B.23). First, we claim that by lemma B.2 and an averaging argument, we have

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} (1+r)^{-\delta} \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau \lesssim \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v) \cap \{r \leq 2\}} \varphi^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau, v)} r (X\psi)^2 \, dr \, d\theta \, d\tau =: (\text{I}) + (\text{II}). \quad (\text{B.24})$$

To see this, fix $R \in [1, 2]$ and use lemma B.2 in the X -direction with the decreasing weight $(1+r)^{-\delta}$ (see remark B.3) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v) \cap \{r \geq 2\}} (1+r)^{-\delta} \varphi^2 dr d\theta &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v) \cap \{r \geq R\}} (1+r)^{-1-\delta} \psi^2 dr d\theta \\ &\lesssim \int_{S^1} r(1+r)^{-\delta} \varphi^2|_{\Sigma_\tau \cap \{r=R\}} d\theta + \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v) \cap \{r \geq 1\}} (1+r)^{1-\delta} (X\psi)^2 dr d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.25})$$

Now average (B.25) over $R \in [1, 2]$, integrate in τ , and add a zeroth-order bulk term in the region $\{0 \leq r \leq 2\}$ to obtain (B.24).

It remains to control terms (I) and (II) on the right-hand side of (B.24). Control term (I) using proposition B.5. For term (II), use lemma 2.2, the estimate $h = O(\langle r \rangle^{-1})$, proposition 4.15, and corollary B.7 to get

$$(\text{II}) \lesssim \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v)} r(L\psi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \int_{\Sigma(\tau,v)} r(1+r)^{-2} (\underline{L}\psi)^2 dr d\theta d\tau \lesssim \langle \tau_2 - \tau_1 \rangle B. \quad (\text{B.26})$$

This concludes the proof of (B.23). □

REFERENCES

- [AAG18a] Y Angelopoulos, S Aretakis, and D Gajic. “A vector field approach to almost-sharp decay for the wave equation on spherically symmetric, stationary spacetimes”. en. In: *Ann. PDE* 4.2 (Dec. 2018).
- [AAG18b] Yannis Angelopoulos, Stefanos Aretakis, and Dejan Gajic. “Late-time asymptotics for the wave equation on spherically symmetric, stationary spacetimes”. In: *Adv. Math.* 323 (2018), pp. 529–621.
- [AAG23a] Yannis Angelopoulos, Stefanos Aretakis, and Dejan Gajic. “Late-time tails and mode coupling of linear waves on Kerr spacetimes”. In: *Adv. Math.* 417 (2023), p. 108939. ISSN: 0001-8708.
- [AAG23b] Yannis Angelopoulos, Stefanos Aretakis, and Dejan Gajic. “Price’s Law and Precise Late-Time Asymptotics for Subextremal Reissner–Nordström Black Holes”. In: *Annales Henri Poincaré* 24.9 (June 2023), pp. 3215–3287. ISSN: 1424-0661. DOI: 10.1007/s00023-023-01328-8. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00023-023-01328-8>.
- [Bec16] Marius Beceanu. “Decay estimates for the wave equation in two dimensions”. In: *Journal of Differential Equations* 260.6 (2016), pp. 5378–5420. ISSN: 0022-0396. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2015.12.009>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S002203961500666X>.
- [BGM25] Dean Baskin, Jesse Gell-Redman, and Jeremy L. Marzuola. “Price’s law for the massless Dirac–Coulomb system”. In: *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 335.2 (Apr. 2025), pp. 211–227. ISSN: 0030-8730. DOI: 10.2140/pjm.2025.335.211. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2025.335.211>.
- [BM22] Dean Baskin and Jeremy L. Marzuola. “The radiation field on product cones”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 408 (2022), p. 108589. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2022.108589>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001870822004066>.
- [BPST03] Nicolas Burq, Fabrice Planchon, John G. Stalker, and A.Shadi Tahvildar-Zadeh. “Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with the inverse-square potential”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 203.2 (2003), pp. 519–549. ISSN: 0022-1236. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1236\(03\)00238-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1236(03)00238-6). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022123603002386>.
- [BPST04] Nicolas Burq, Fabrice Planchon, John G. Stalker, and A.S. Tahvildar-Zadeh. “Strichartz estimates for the wave and schrödinger equations with potentials of critical decay”. In: *Indiana University Mathematics Journal* 53.6 (2004). Cited by: 131, pp. 1665–1680. URL: <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-12744280057&partnerID=40&md5=c86bb704d40820cb76572b16e2175e7e>.
- [BVW15] Dean Baskin, András Vasy, and Jared Wunsch. “Asymptotics of radiation fields in asymptotically Minkowski space”. In: *American Journal of Mathematics* 137.5 (2015), pp. 1293–1364. ISSN: 00029327, 10806377. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24477589> (visited on 07/31/2025).
- [CH14] O. Costin and M. Huang. “Decay estimates for one-dimensional wave equations with inverse power potentials”. en. In: *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 367.5 (July 2014), pp. 3705–3732.
- [CSST08] Ovidiu Costin, Wilhelm Schlag, Wolfgang Staubach, and Saleh Tanveer. “Semiclassical analysis of low and zero energy scattering for one-dimensional Schrödinger operators with inverse square potentials”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 255.9 (2008). Special issue dedicated to Paul Malliavin, pp. 2321–2362. ISSN: 0022-1236. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2008.07.015>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022123608002905>.
- [DK13] Roland Donninger and Joachim Krieger. “A Vector Field Method on the Distorted Fourier Side and Decay for Wave Equations with Potentials”. In: *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* 241 (July 2013). DOI: 10.1090/memo/1142.
- [DKSW16] Roland Donninger, Joachim Krieger, Jérémie Szeftel, and Willie Wong. “Codimension one stability of the catenoid under the vanishing mean curvature flow in Minkowski space”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 165.4 (Mar. 2016). ISSN: 0012-7094. DOI: 10.1215/00127094-3167383. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/00127094-3167383>.

- [DR09] Mihalis Dafermos and Igor Rodnianski. “A new physical-space approach to decay for the wave equation with applications to black hole spacetimes”. In: *XVIIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics* (2009), pp. 421–433.
- [DS10] Roland Donniger and Wilhelm Schlag. “Decay Estimates for the One-dimensional Wave Equation with an Inverse Power Potential”. In: *International Mathematics Research Notices* (Mar. 2010). ISSN: 1687-0247. DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnq038. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnq038>.
- [EG13] M. Burak Erdoğan and William R. Green. “Dispersive estimates for Schrödinger operators in dimension two with obstructions at zero energy”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 365.12 (Apr. 2013), pp. 6403–6440. ISSN: 1088-6850. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-2013-05861-8. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2013-05861-8>.
- [FZZ22] Luca Fanelli, Junyong Zhang, and Jiqiang Zheng. “Dispersive estimates for 2D-wave equations with critical potentials”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 400 (2022), p. 108333. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2022.108333>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001870822001499>.
- [Gaj23] Dejan Gajic. “Late-time asymptotics for geometric wave equations with inverse-square potentials”. In: *Journal of Functional Analysis* 285.7 (2023), p. 110058. ISSN: 0022-1236. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2023.110058>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S002212362300215X>.
- [Gau24] Onyx Gautam. *Late-time tails and mass inflation for the spherically symmetric Einstein-Maxwell-scalar field system*. 2024. arXiv: 2412.17927 [gr-qc]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.17927>.
- [GK25] Dejan Gajic and Lionor Kehrberger. *Linear and nonlinear late-time tails on dynamical black hole spacetimes via time integrals*. 2025. arXiv: 2511.23242 [gr-qc]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2511.23242>.
- [Gre14] William R. Green. “Time decay estimates for the wave equation with potential in dimension two”. In: *Journal of Differential Equations* 257.3 (Aug. 2014), pp. 868–919. ISSN: 0022-0396. DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2014.04.020. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2014.04.020>.
- [GVdM24] Dejan Gajic and Maxime Van de Moortel. *Late-time tails for scale-invariant wave equations with a potential and the near-horizon geometry of null infinity*. 2024. arXiv: 2401.13047 [math.AP]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.13047>.
- [Hin22] Peter Hintz. “A sharp version of Price’s law for wave decay on asymptotically flat spacetimes”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 389.1 (2022), pp. 491–542.
- [Hin23] Peter Hintz. *Linear waves on asymptotically flat spacetimes. I*. 2023. arXiv: 2302.14647 [math.AP]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.14647>.
- [HM23] Kellan Hepditch and Jason Metcalfe. “A local energy estimate for 2-dimensional Dirichlet wave equations”. In: *Involve, a Journal of Mathematics* 16 (Aug. 2023), pp. 483–492. DOI: 10.2140/involve.2023.16.483.
- [Ike25] Ryo Ikehata. *Local energy decay for 2-D wave equations with variable coefficients*. 2025. arXiv: 2509.13640 [math.AP]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.13640>.
- [JN01] Arne Jensen and Gheorghe Nenciu. “A unified approach to resolvent expansions at thresholds”. In: *Reviews in Mathematical Physics* 13.06 (2001), pp. 717–754. DOI: 10.1142/S0129055X01000843.
- [Kop10] E A Kopylova. “Dispersive estimates for the 2D wave equation”. en. In: *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* 17.2 (June 2010), pp. 226–239.
- [LO24] Jonathan Luk and Sung-Jin Oh. *Late time tail of waves on dynamic asymptotically flat spacetimes of odd space dimensions*. 2024. arXiv: 2404.02220 [gr-qc].
- [Mos] Georgios Moschidis. personal communication.
- [Mos16] Georgios Moschidis. “The r^p -weighted energy method of Dafermos and Rodnianski in general asymptotically flat spacetimes and applications”. In: *Annals of PDE* 2.6 (2016), pp. 1–194.
- [Mou09] Simon Moulin. “High frequency dispersive estimates in dimension two”. en. In: *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 10.2 (May 2009), pp. 415–428.
- [Pri72] Richard H. Price. “Nonspherical Perturbations of Relativistic Gravitational Collapse. II. Integer-Spin, Zero-Rest-Mass Fields”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 5 (10 May 1972), pp. 2439–2454.
- [PST02] Fabrice Planchon, John Stalker, and A Tahvildar-Zadeh. “ L^p Estimates for the wave equation with the inverse-square potential”. In: *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A* 9.2 (Dec. 2002), pp. 427–442.
- [RS81] Michael Reed and Barry Simon. *I: Functional analysis*. en. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, Jan. 1981.
- [Sch13] Volker Schlue. “Decay of linear waves on higher-dimensional Schwarzschild black holes”. In: *Analysis & PDE* 6.3 (July 2013), pp. 515–600. ISSN: 2157-5045. DOI: 10.2140/apde.2013.6.515. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/apde.2013.6.515>.
- [Sch21] W. Schlag. “On pointwise decay of waves”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 62.6 (June 2021). ISSN: 1089-7658. DOI: 10.1063/5.0042767. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/5.0042767>.
- [VdM25] Maxime Van de Moortel. “An extension of the r^p method for wave equations with scale-critical potentials and first-order terms”. In: *Annals of Applied Mathematics* 41.1 (Apr. 2025), pp. 112–154.
- [Whi43] Hassler Whitney. “Differentiable even functions”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 10.1 (1943), pp. 159–160. DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-43-01015-4. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-43-01015-4>.
- [Won19] Willie Wai Yeung Wong. *Small data global existence and decay for two dimensional wave maps*. 2019. arXiv: 1712.07684 [math.AP]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07684>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
 Email address: onyxg@princeton.edu