

# The Galois Alperin weight conjecture for finite category algebras

Xin Huang

---

## Abstract

Let  $p$  be a prime,  $k$  an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\Gamma$  the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  the  $p$ -orbit category of  $\mathcal{C}$  defined by Linckelmann [4]. We formulate a version of the Galois Alperin weight conjecture (GAWC) for finite category algebras stating that there exists a  $\Gamma \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ -equivariant bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of simple  $k\mathcal{C}$ -modules and that of the weights of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . We reduce the GAWC for finite categories to finite groups. For  $\mathcal{C}$  an EI-category, we give a partition of weights of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  with respect to blocks of  $k\mathcal{C}$  and then formulate a blockwise Galois Alperin weight conjecture (BGAWC) for  $\mathcal{C}$ . Similarly, we reduce the BGAWC for finite EI-categories to finite groups.

*Keywords:* Alperin's weight conjecture, Galois automorphisms, category algebras, blocks, reduction theorems

---

## 1. Introduction

The (blockwise) Galois Alperin weight conjecture, due to Navarro [7], a strong form of Alperin's weight conjecture [1], predicts that the fields of values of irreducible Brauer characters (of a block) of a finite group can be locally determined. In this paper we extend the (blockwise) Galois Alperin weight conjecture from finite groups to finite categories.

Throughout this paper we fix a prime number  $p$  and an algebraic closure  $k$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and denote by  $\Gamma$  the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_p)$ . A category  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *finite* if its morphism class is a finite set. Any finite group can be viewed as a finite category with one object.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category. According to [4, Definition 1.1], the  *$p$ -transporter category* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the finite category  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  defined as follows. The objects of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  are the pairs  $(X, P)$  consisting of an object  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  and a not necessarily unitary  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of the monoid  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ . For any two objects  $(X, P)$  and  $(Y, Q)$ , the morphism set  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}}((X, P), (Y, Q))$  is the set of all triples  $(s, P, Q)$  where  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying  $s = s \circ 1_P = 1_Q \circ s$  and  $s \circ P \subseteq Q \circ s$ . The composition of morphisms in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is induced by that in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The identity morphism of an object  $(X, P)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is  $(1_P, P, P)$ . If no confusion arise, we will denote a morphism  $(s, P, Q)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  again by  $s$ . Allowing nonunitary subgroups  $P$  of  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  in the definition of objects of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  means that the unit element  $1_P$  of  $P$  need not be equal to  $\text{Id}_X$  but can be any idempotent endomorphism of  $X$ . The condition  $s = s \circ 1_P = 1_Q \circ s$  in this definition implies that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}}((X, P), (Y, Q))$  can be identified to a subset of  $1_Q \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ 1_P$ . With this identification, the morphism set  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}}((X, P), (Y, Q))$  is a  $Q$ - $P$ -subsubset of  $1_Q \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ 1_P$  with respect to the actions induced by precomposing with morphisms in  $P$  and composing with morphisms in  $Q$ . The condition  $s \circ P \subseteq Q \circ s$  in

the above implies that  $Q \circ s \circ P = Q \circ s$ ; that is, any  $Q$ - $P$ -orbit in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}}((X, P), (Y, Q))$  is in fact a  $Q$ -orbit. According to [4, Definition 1.2], the  $p$ -orbit category of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the finite category  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  defined as follows. The objects of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  are the same as those of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . For any two objects  $(X, P)$  and  $(Y, Q)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , the morphism set  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}}((X, P), (Y, Q))$  is the set of all  $Q$ - $P$ -orbits  $Q \backslash \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}}((X, P), (Y, Q)) / P$  of morphisms in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . The composition of morphisms in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is induced by that in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

For a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , denote by  $\mathcal{S}(A)$  the set of isomorphism classes of simple  $A$ -modules. Following [6], given a finite category  $\mathcal{C}$ , the isomorphism classes of simple  $k\mathcal{C}$ -modules are parametrised by isomorphism classes of pairs  $(e, T)$ , with  $e$  an idempotent endomorphism of some object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $T$  a simple  $kG_e$ -module, where  $G_e$  is the group of all invertible elements in the monoid  $e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \circ e$ . Such a pair  $(e, T)$  is called a *weight* of  $k\mathcal{C}$  if the simple  $kG_e$ -module  $T$  is in addition projective. Denote by  $\mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{C})$  the set of isomorphism classes of weights of  $k\mathcal{C}$ ; we review this in Section 3 below. The group-theoretic version of Alperin's weight conjecture in [1] is equivalent to the following statement:

**Conjecture 1.1** (Alperin [1]). *For any finite group  $G$ , there exists a bijection between  $\mathcal{S}(kG)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_G)$ .*

Linckelmann [4] extended Conjecture 1.1 to finite categories:

**Conjecture 1.2** (Linckelmann [4, Conjecture 1.3]). *For any finite category  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a bijection between  $\mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$ .*

In [4, Corollary 1.5], Linckelmann showed that Conjectures 1.2 and 1.1 are in fact equivalent. To consider the action of the Galois group  $\Gamma$ , we need the following notation:

**Notation 1.3.** Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra and let  $A' := k \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} A$ . Let  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ . We see that  $\sigma$  induces an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra automorphism of  $A'$  sending  $x \otimes a$  to  $\sigma(x) \otimes a$  for any  $x \in k$  and  $a \in A$ . We abusively use the same symbol  $\sigma$  to denote this  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra automorphism. Clearly  $\Gamma$  permutes the set of (primitive) central idempotents of  $A'$ . For any  $A'$ -module  $U$ , denote by  ${}^{\sigma}U$  the  $A'$ -module which is equal to  $U$  as a  $k$ -module endowed with the structure homomorphism  $A' \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} A' \rightarrow \text{End}_k(U)$ . Sometimes we will also write  ${}^{\sigma}U$  as  ${}_{\sigma}U$ . Note that if  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is an  $A'$ -module homomorphism, then  $f$  is also an  $A'$ -module homomorphism  ${}^{\sigma}U \rightarrow {}^{\sigma}V$ . One checks that the map sending  $x \otimes a$  to  $\sigma^{-1}(x) \otimes a$  induces an isomorphism of  $A'$ -modules  $A' \cong {}^{\sigma}A'$ , where  $x \in k'$  and  $a \in A$ . Now it is clear that  ${}^{\sigma}U$  is a simple (resp. projective)  $A'$ -module if and only if  $U$  is simple (resp. projective). Hence the group  $\Gamma$  acts on the set  $\mathcal{S}(A')$ . Moreover, if  $b$  is a central idempotent of  $A'$ , then  $\Gamma_b$  (the stabiliser of  $b$  in  $\Gamma$ ) acts on the set  $\mathcal{S}(A'b)$ . By Proposition 2.5 below, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a finite category and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^{\times})$ , then  $\Gamma$  also acts on the set of (isomorphism classes of) weights of  $k_{\alpha}\mathcal{C}$ .

In [7], Navarro predicted a Galois refinement of the Alperin weight conjecture for finite group which can be reformulated as follows:

**Conjecture 1.4** (Navarro [7]; cf. [11, Conjecture]). *For any finite group  $G$ , there exists a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(kG) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_G)$  commuting with the action of  $\Gamma$ .*

This leads to the obvious extension to finite categories:

**Conjecture 1.5.** *For any finite category  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$  commuting with the action of  $\Gamma$ .*

If we forget the action of  $\Gamma$ , then Conjecture 1.5 returns to Linckelmann's Conjecture 1.2. Similar to Linckelmann's result [4, Theorem 1.4], we show that Conjectures 1.4 and 1.5 are in fact equivalent. This equivalence holds more generally for twisted group algebras and twisted category algebras with a 2-cocycle in  $Z^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$  which is extendible to the orbit category. There are canonical functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  sending an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $(X, \{\text{Id}_X\})$ , and a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  to its obvious images in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , respectively. In particular, every 2-cocycle  $\alpha$  in  $Z^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$  restricts to a 2-cocycle in  $Z^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ , again denoted by  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ . If for any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k_{\alpha}G_e) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_{\alpha}\mathcal{O}_{G_e})$ , then there exists a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k_{\alpha}\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_{\alpha}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$ .*

This will be proved in Section 3.

**Corollary 1.7.** *Conjectures 1.4 and 1.5 are equivalent.*

**Remark 1.8.** (i) In Theorem 1.6, the reason why we require  $\alpha$  to be a 2-cocycle with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$  is that in this case we have  $k_{\alpha}\mathcal{C} \cong k \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\mathbb{F}_p)_{\alpha}\mathcal{C}$ , and then the Galois group  $\Gamma$  has an action on  $\mathcal{S}(k_{\alpha}\mathcal{C})$  as described in Notation 1.3.

(ii) Note that the formulation in Theorem 1.6 for twisted category algebras requires the 2-cocycle  $\alpha$  to be the restriction to  $\mathcal{C}$  of a 2-cocycle of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  along the canonical functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . It is not clear whether the map  $H^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$  induced by the canonical functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is injective or surjective in general. The corresponding canonical functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  sending  $X$  to  $(X, \{\text{Id}_X\})$  poses no problem:

**Proposition 1.9** (cf. [4, Proposition 1.6]). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category. The canonical functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  induces a graded isomorphism  $H^*(\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times) \cong H^*(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ .*

As Linckelmann mentioned in [4], it is less clear what happens under the functor  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  in general. We have the following special case for EI-categories (i.e. categories in which all endomorphisms are isomorphisms):

**Proposition 1.10** (cf. [4, Proposition 1.7]). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite EI-category. Then  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  are EI-categories, and the canonical functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  induces a graded isomorphism  $H^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times) \cong H^*(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ .*

One checks that the proofs of [4, Propositions 1.6, 1.7, 4.6] did not use the blanket assumption there that the ground field is algebraically closed - we can replace the coefficient field there by any perfect field of characteristic  $p$ .

**Example 1.11.** Brauer algebras, Temperley–Lieb algebras, partition algebras, and their cyclotomic analogues can be interpreted as twisted monoid algebras (cf. [12]). The 2-cocycles of the underlying monoids for these algebras satisfy the hypotheses of [4, Proposition 4.6]; in particular, they are constant on maximal subgroups (so that their restrictions to maximal subgroups represent the trivial classes) and they extend to the associated orbit categories. Using a recent result in [2] that the BGAWC holds for symmetric groups, it is easy to see that the maximal subgroups of the underlying diagram monoids satisfy the GAWC, and hence so do these diagram algebras.

Since there is a blockwise Galois Alperin weight conjecture (BGAWC) for finite group, one will ask whether there is a BGAWC for a finite category  $\mathcal{C}$ . For this question we need to first give a partition of the weights of the orbit category algebra  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  with respect to blocks of  $k\mathcal{C}$ . Unfortunately, for the general case we didn't find such a partition. But if  $\mathcal{C}$  is an EI-category, we can give such a partition; see Definition 5.4 below. For any central idempotent  $b$  of a finite EI-category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we define the notion of a  $b$ -weight of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . If  $b = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, b)$  returns to  $\mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$ . We can easily show that  $\Gamma_b$  acts on  $\mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, b)$ ; see Definition 5.4.

A finite group can be regarded as a finite EI-category, and the BGAWC for finite groups can be reformulated as follows:

**Conjecture 1.12** (Navarro [7]; cf. [3, Conjecture 2]). *For any finite group  $G$  and any central idempotent  $b$  of  $kG$ , there exists a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(kGb) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_G, b)$  commuting with the action of  $\Gamma_b$ .*

This leads to the obvious extension to finite EI-categories:

**Conjecture 1.13.** *For any finite EI-category  $\mathcal{C}$  and any central idempotent  $b$  of  $k\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, b)$  commuting with the action of  $\Gamma_b$ .*

If we take  $b = 1$ , then Conjecture 1.13 returns to Conjecture 1.5. We show that Conjectures 1.12 and 1.13 are in fact equivalent. As in the block-free case, this equivalence holds more generally for twisted group algebras and twisted category algebras:

**Theorem 1.14.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite EI-category,  $b$  a central idempotent of  $k\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ . Then for any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , setting  $\hat{e} = \alpha(e, e)^{-1}e$ ,  $\hat{e}b$  is a central idempotent in  $k_\alpha G_e$ ; see Proposition 5.1 below. If for any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a  $\Gamma_b$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_e \hat{e}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_e}, \hat{e}b)$ , then there exists a  $\Gamma_b$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha \mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, b)$ .*

This will be proved in Section 5 after we investigate the Brauer construction on twisted group algebras in Section 4.

**Corollary 1.15.** *Conjectures 1.12 and 1.13 are equivalent.*

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be finite category. Recall that a functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is called an *automorphism* of  $\mathcal{C}$  if there is another functor  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$

denote the group of automorphisms of the category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Clearly if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a finite group  $G$ , then  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Aut}(G)$ . We can formulate analogues of Conjectures 1.2 and 1.13 with  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  instead of  $\Gamma$ . We need the following notation:

**Notation 1.16.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an automorphism of a finite category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then for any objects  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_{X,Y} : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}(X), \mathcal{F}(Y))$ ; for shorthand we abusively denote the isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_{X,Y}$  by  $\mathcal{F}$ . As  $X$  and  $Y$  run over all objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , those isomorphisms  $\mathcal{F}_{X,Y}$  induce a  $k$ -algebra automorphism of  $k\mathcal{C}$  sending any  $s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  to  $\mathcal{F}(s)$ ; we still use the symbol  $\mathcal{F}$  to denote this automorphism of  $k\mathcal{C}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{F}$  permutes the set of (primitive) central idempotents of  $k\mathcal{C}$ . For any  $k\mathcal{C}$ -module  $U$ , denote by  ${}_{\mathcal{F}}U$  the  $k\mathcal{C}$ -module which is equal to  $U$  as a  $k$ -module endowed with the structure homomorphism  $k\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}^{-1}} k\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{End}_k(U)$ . Note that if  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is a  $k\mathcal{C}$ -module homomorphism, then  $f$  is also a  $k\mathcal{C}$ -module homomorphism  ${}_{\mathcal{F}}U \rightarrow {}_{\mathcal{F}}V$ . One checks that the map sending  $a$  to  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(a)$  induces an isomorphism of  $k\mathcal{C}$ -modules  $k\mathcal{C} \cong {}_{\mathcal{F}}k\mathcal{C}$ , where  $a \in k\mathcal{C}$ . Now it is clear that  ${}_{\mathcal{F}}U$  is a simple (resp. projective)  $k\mathcal{C}$ -module if and only if  $U$  is simple (resp. projective). Hence the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  acts on the set  $\mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C})$ . Moreover, if  $b$  is a central idempotent of  $k\mathcal{C}$ , then  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})_b$  (the stabiliser of  $b$  in  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})_b$ ) acts on the set  $\mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C}b)$ . By 6.5 (i) below,  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  also acts on the set of (isomorphism classes of) weights of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an EI-category, then  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})_b$  acts on  $\mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, b)$ ; see 6.5 (ii).

The following conjecture is extracted from the inductive (blockwise) Alperin weight condition:

**Conjecture 1.17** (Navarro–Tiep [8, 3.2]; Späth [9, Definition 4.2 (ii)]). (i) *For any finite group  $G$ , there exists a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(kG) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_G)$  commuting with the action of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ .*  
(ii) *For any finite group  $G$  and any central idempotent  $b$  of  $kG$ , there exists a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(kGb) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_G, b)$  commuting with the action of  $\text{Aut}(G)_b$ .*

This leads to the following extension to finite categories:

**Conjecture 1.18.** (i) *For any finite category  $\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$  commuting with the action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ .*  
(ii) *For any finite EI-category  $\mathcal{C}$  and any central idempotent  $b$  of  $k\mathcal{C}$ , there exists a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, b)$  commuting with the action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})_b$ .*

Combining Conjectures 1.18 and 1.13, there is an even stronger conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.19.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category (resp. EI-category) and  $b$  the unit element (resp. a central idempotent) of  $k\mathcal{C}$ . Then there exists a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, b)$  commuting with the action of  $(\Gamma \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ , the stabiliser of  $b$  in  $\Gamma \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ .*

As before, we reduce Conjectures 1.18 and 1.19 to finite groups:

**Theorem 1.20.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category (resp. EI-category) and  $b$  the unit element (resp. a central idempotent) of  $k\mathcal{C}$ . Then for any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $eb$  is a central idempotent in  $kG_e$ ; see Proposition 5.1. Let  $H$  be any subgroup of  $\Gamma_b$ . Assume that for any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  there is an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(G_e))_{be}$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{S}(kG_e b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{G_e}, eb)$ . Then there exists an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, b)$ .*

This will be proved in Section 6.

**Corollary 1.21.** *If Conjecture 1.19 holds for all finite groups, then it holds for all finite categories. In particular, Conjectures 1.17 and 1.18 are equivalent.*

## 2. Twisted category algebras and their idempotent endomorphisms

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. For two  $R$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ , an  $R$ -algebra isomorphism  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  and an  $A$ -module  $U$ , we denote by  ${}_{\varphi}U$  the  $B$ -module which is equal to  $U$  as an  $R$ -module endowed with the structure homomorphism  $B \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} A \rightarrow \text{End}_R(U)$ . Unless otherwise specified, all modules (actions) in this paper are left modules (actions). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category. The set of idempotent endomorphisms of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$  is partially ordered, with partial order given by  $e \leq f$  whenever  $e$  and  $f$  are idempotent endomorphisms of an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying  $e = e \circ f = f \circ e$ . Two idempotent endomorphisms  $e$  and  $f$  of objects  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, are called *isomorphic* if there are morphisms  $s : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $t : Y \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $t \circ s = e$  and  $s \circ t = f$ . In this case,  $s$  and  $t$  can be chosen such that  $s = f \circ s = s \circ e$  and  $t = e \circ t = t \circ f$ ; indeed, using that  $e$  and  $f$  are idempotents, we have  $e = t \circ s = e \circ t \circ s \circ t \circ s \circ e = (e \circ t \circ f) \circ (f \circ s \circ e)$ , and a similar argument yields  $f = (f \circ s \circ e) \circ (e \circ s \circ f)$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a 2-cocycle in  $Z^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$ ; that is,  $\alpha$  is a map sending any two morphisms  $s$  and  $t$  in  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$  for which  $t \circ s$  is defined to a element  $\alpha(t, s)$  in  $R^\times$ , such that for any three morphisms  $s$ ,  $t$  and  $u$  for which the compositions  $t \circ s$  and  $u \circ t$  are defined, we have the *2-cocycle identity*  $\alpha(u, t \circ s)\alpha(t, s) = \alpha(u \circ t, s)\alpha(u, t)$ . The *twisted category algebra*  $R_\alpha\mathcal{C}$  is the free  $R$ -module having the morphism set  $\text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})$  as an  $R$ -basis, with an  $R$ -bilinear multiplication given by  $ts = \alpha(t, s)t \circ s$  if  $t \circ s$  is defined, and  $ts = 0$  otherwise. The 2-cocycle identity is equivalent to the associativity of this multiplication. The isomorphism class of  $R_\alpha\mathcal{C}$  depends only on the class of  $\alpha$  in  $H^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$ ; see e.g. [5, Theorem 1.4.7 (iii)]. So if  $\alpha$  represents the trivial class in  $H^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$  then  $R_\alpha\mathcal{C} \cong R\mathcal{C}$ , the usual category algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $R$ . For any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  of an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we denote by  $G_e$  the group of all invertible elements in the monoid  $e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \circ e$ ; following Linckelmann [4], we call such a group  $G_e$  a *maximal subgroup* of  $\mathcal{C}$ . The restriction of  $\alpha$  to the group  $G_e$  is abusively again denoted by  $\alpha$ . Note that the image in  $R_\alpha\mathcal{C}$  of an idempotent endomorphism  $e$  of an object in  $\mathcal{C}$  is not necessarily an idempotent; more precisely,  $e^2$  in  $R_\alpha\mathcal{C}$  is equal to  $\alpha(e, e)e$ , and hence  $\hat{e} = \alpha(e, e)^{-1}e$  is an idempotent in  $R_\alpha\mathcal{C}$ . However, we have  $\hat{e}R_\alpha\mathcal{C}\hat{e} = eR_\alpha\mathcal{C}e$ . Note that if  $\mathcal{C}$  is an EI-category, then for any object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{Id}_X$  is the unique idempotent in  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  and we have  $G_{\text{Id}_X} = \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and let  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$ . The unit element of  $R_\alpha\mathcal{C}$  is  $\sum_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \alpha(\text{Id}_X, \text{Id}_X)^{-1} \text{Id}_X$ .*

*Proof.* For any objects  $X', Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X', Y)$ , we have

$$s\left(\sum_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \alpha(\text{Id}_X, \text{Id}_X)^{-1} \text{Id}_X\right) = \alpha(\text{Id}_{X'}, \text{Id}_{X'})^{-1} \alpha(s, \text{Id}_{X'}) s = s,$$

where the second equality holds by the 2-cocycle identity applied with  $s, \text{Id}_{X'}, \text{Id}_{X'}$ . Similarly,

$$\left(\sum_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \alpha(\text{Id}_X, \text{Id}_X)^{-1} \text{Id}_X\right) s = \alpha(\text{Id}_Y, \text{Id}_Y)^{-1} \alpha(\text{Id}_Y, s) s = s,$$

where the second equality holds by the 2-cocycle identity applied with  $\text{Id}_Y, \text{Id}_Y, s$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2** ([6, Propositions 5.2, 5.4]). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and let  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$ . If  $e$  and  $f$  are isomorphic idempotents in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then there is an  $R$ -algebra isomorphism  $\varphi : R_\alpha G_e \cong R_\alpha G_f$ , which is uniquely determined up to an inner automorphism. More explicitly, the following hold:*

- (i) *Assume that  $e$  and  $f$  are idempotent endomorphisms of objects  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Assume that  $s \in f \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ e$  and  $t \in e \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \circ f$  satisfying  $t \circ s = e$  and  $s \circ t = f$ . For  $x \in G_e$ , set  $\beta(x) = \alpha(x, t) \alpha(s, x \circ t) \alpha(e, e)^{-1} \alpha(t, s)^{-1}$ . Then the map  $\varphi$  sending  $x \in G_e$  to  $\beta(x)(s \circ x \circ t)$  induces an  $R$ -algebra isomorphism  $R_\alpha G_e \cong R_\alpha G_f$ .*
- (ii) *Assume that  $s' \in f \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ e$  and  $t' \in e \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \circ f$  satisfying  $t' \circ s' = e$  and  $s' \circ t' = f$ . For any  $y \in G_f$ , set  $\beta'(y) = \alpha(y, s') \alpha(t', y \circ s') \alpha(f, f)^{-1} \alpha(s', t')^{-1}$ . Then the map sending  $x \in G_e$  to  $\beta(x) \beta'(s \circ x \circ t)(t' \circ s \circ x \circ t \circ s')$  induces an inner automorphism of the  $R$ -algebra  $R_\alpha G_e$ ; it coincides with conjugation by  $t' \circ s$  in  $k_\alpha G_e$ .*

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and let  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$ . Let  $e$  be an idempotent endomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For any  $R_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ -module  $U$ , the  $R$ -space  $eU = \hat{e}U$  is an  $eR_\alpha \mathcal{C}e$ -module (or equivalently, an  $\hat{e}R_\alpha \mathcal{C}\hat{e}$ -module), hence restricts to an  $R_\alpha G_e$ -module. Two pairs  $(e, U)$  and  $(f, V)$ , consisting of idempotents  $e \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ ,  $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)$ , an  $R_\alpha G_e$ -module  $U$  and an  $R_\alpha G_f$  module  $V$ , are called *isomorphic* if the idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  are isomorphic and if the isomorphism classes of  $U$  and  $V$  correspond to each other through the induced isomorphism  $\varphi : R_\alpha G_e \cong R_\alpha G_f$  in Proposition 2.2 (i). That is,  $\varphi U \cong V$  as  $R_\alpha G_f$ -modules. Since inner automorphisms of an  $R$ -algebra stabilise all isomorphism classes of modules, by Proposition 2.2 (ii) this property is independent of the choice of the isomorphism  $\varphi : R_\alpha G_e \cong R_\alpha G_f$ .

**Theorem 2.4** ([6, Theorem 1.2]). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and let  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$ . The map sending a simple  $R_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ -module  $S$  to the pair  $(e, eS)$ , where  $e$  is an idempotent endomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  minimal with respect to  $eS \neq 0$ , induces a bijection  $\Pi$  between  $\mathcal{S}(R_\alpha \mathcal{C})$  and the set of isomorphism classes of pairs  $(e, T)$  consisting of an idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and a simple  $R_\alpha G_e$ -module  $T$ .*

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and let  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times) \subseteq Z^2(\mathcal{C}; k^\times)$ . There is a well-defined action of the Galois group  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_p)$  on the set of isomorphism classes of pairs  $(e, T)$  consisting of an idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and a simple  $k_\alpha G_e$ -module  $T$  via  ${}^\sigma(e, T) = (e, {}^\sigma T)$ , where  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ . Sometimes we will also write  ${}^\sigma(e, T)$  as  ${}_\sigma(e, T)$ . Moreover,  $(e, T)$  is a weight if and only if  $(e, {}^\sigma T)$  is a weight.*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ . For the first statement we need to show that if  $(e, T)$  is isomorphic to  $(e', T')$ , then  $(e, {}^\sigma T)$  is isomorphic to  $(e', {}^\sigma T')$ . By Proposition 2.2 (i), there is a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\varphi : k_\alpha G_e \cong k_\alpha G_{e'}$ . We need to show that  ${}_\varphi({}^\sigma T) \cong {}^\sigma T'$  as  $k_\alpha G_{e'}$ -modules. Since  $\alpha$  is in  $Z^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ , by the explicit construction of  $\varphi$  in Proposition 2.2 (i),  $\varphi$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ; that is, there exists an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra isomorphism  $\varphi_0 : (\mathbb{F}_p)_\alpha G_e \cong (\mathbb{F}_p)_\alpha G_{e'}$  such that  $\varphi = \text{Id}_k \otimes \varphi_0$ . Since  $(e, T)$  is isomorphic to  $(e', T')$ , there is an isomorphism  $\psi : {}_\varphi T \cong T'$  of  $k_\alpha G_{e'}$ -modules. The map  $\psi$  is also a  $k_\alpha G_{e'}$ -module isomorphism  ${}^\sigma({}_\varphi T) \cong {}^\sigma T'$ . Now it suffices to show that  ${}_\varphi({}^\sigma T) \cong {}^\sigma({}_\varphi T)$  as  $k_\alpha G_{e'}$ -modules. Indeed, the structure homomorphisms of the  $k_\alpha G_{e'}$ -modules  ${}_\varphi({}^\sigma T)$  and  ${}^\sigma({}_\varphi T)$  are, respectively,

$$k_\alpha G_{e'} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} k_\alpha G_{e'} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} k_\alpha G_e \rightarrow \text{End}_k(T),$$

and

$$k_\alpha G_{e'} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} k_\alpha G_e \xrightarrow{\sigma^{-1}} k_\alpha G_e \rightarrow \text{End}_k(T).$$

By definition (see Notation 1.3),  $\sigma^{-1} : k_\alpha G_e \rightarrow k_\alpha G_e$  and  $\sigma^{-1} : k_\alpha G_{e'} \rightarrow k_\alpha G_{e'}$  can be written, respectively, as  $\sigma^{-1} \otimes \text{Id}_{(\mathbb{F}_p)_\alpha G_e}$  and  $\sigma^{-1} \otimes \text{Id}_{(\mathbb{F}_p)_\alpha G_{e'}}$ . Since  $\varphi^{-1} = \text{Id}_k \otimes \varphi_0^{-1}$ , by the commutativity of the tensor product we have

$$\varphi^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} = (\text{Id}_k \otimes \varphi_0^{-1}) \circ (\sigma^{-1} \otimes \text{Id}_{(\mathbb{F}_p)_\alpha G_e}) = \sigma^{-1} \otimes \varphi_0^{-1} = (\sigma^{-1} \otimes \text{Id}_{(\mathbb{F}_p)_\alpha G_{e'}}) \circ (\text{Id}_k \otimes \varphi_0^{-1}) = \sigma^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1},$$

which proves the claim. As explained in Notation 1.3,  $T$  is projective if and only if  ${}^\sigma T$  is projective, whence the second statement.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Keep the notation of Theorem 2.4. Assume that  $R = k$  and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ . Then the bijection  $\Pi$  commutes with the action of the Galois group  $\Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \Gamma$  and  $S$  a simple  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ -module. Denote by  $[S]$  the isomorphism class of  $S$ . Let  $e$  be an idempotent endomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ , minimal with respect to  $eS \neq 0$ . Then  ${}^\sigma S$  is a simple  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ -module,  $e({}^\sigma S)$  is a  $k_\alpha G_e$ -module, and  $e({}^\sigma S) \cong {}^\sigma(eS)$  as  $k_\alpha G_e$ -modules. Hence by the minimality of  $e$ , we see that  $e$  is also minimal with respect to  $e({}^\sigma S) \neq 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\Pi([{}^\sigma S]) = [(e, e({}^\sigma S))] = [(e, {}^\sigma(eS))] = \sigma[(e, eS)] = \sigma(\Pi([S])),$$

where the notation  $[(e, S)]$  denotes the isomorphism class of  $(e, S)$ , and where the third equality holds by Proposition 2.5.  $\square$

**Notation 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; k^\times)$ . For any two isomorphic idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we denote by  $\Lambda_{e,f} : \mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_e) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_f)$  the bijection sending  $[T] \in \mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_e)$  to  $[{}_\varphi T]$ , where  $\varphi$  is the isomorphism  $k_\alpha G_e \cong k_\alpha G_f$  as described in Proposition 2.2 (i). Since inner automorphisms of a  $k$ -algebra stabilise all isomorphism classes of modules,  $\Lambda_{e,f}$  is independent of the choice of  $\varphi$ . It is clear that the inverse of  $\Lambda_{e,f}$  is  $\Lambda_{f,e}$ . Moreover, one easily checks that for any three isomorphic idempotents  $d, e$  and  $f$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\Lambda_{e,f} \circ \Lambda_{d,e} = \Lambda_{d,f}$ . If  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ , then  $\Lambda_{e,f}$  commutes with the action of  $\Gamma$ . Indeed, by the explicit construction of the isomorphism  $\varphi$  in Proposition 2.2 (i),  $\varphi$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . For any  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ , by the proof of Proposition 2.5, we have  ${}^\sigma({}_\varphi T) \cong {}_\varphi({}^\sigma T)$  as  $k_\alpha G_f$ -modules.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category. Let  $(X, P)$  and  $(Y, Q)$  be objects in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Let  $(e, P, P)$  and  $(f, Q, Q)$  be idempotent endomorphisms of  $(X, P)$  and  $(Y, Q)$ , respectively. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The morphisms  $(e, P, P)$  and  $(f, Q, Q)$  are isomorphic in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .*
- (ii) *There exist  $s \in f \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ e$  and  $t \in e \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \circ f$  satisfying  $t \circ s = e$ ,  $s \circ t = f$  and  $s \circ (e \circ P) \circ t = f \circ Q$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(e, P, P)$  and  $(f, Q, Q)$  are respectively endomorphisms of  $(X, P)$  and  $(Y, Q)$ , we have

$$e = e \circ 1_P = 1_P \circ e, \quad e \circ P \subseteq P \circ e, \quad f = f \circ 1_Q = 1_Q \circ f \quad \text{and} \quad f \circ Q \subseteq Q \circ f.$$

From the inclusion  $e \circ P \subseteq P \circ e$  we see that  $e \circ P = e \circ P \circ e$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G_e$ . Similarly,  $f \circ Q = f \circ Q \circ f$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G_f$ .

Suppose (i) holds. By definition of being isomorphic, there exist morphisms  $s' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  and  $t' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)$  such that

$$s' = s' \circ 1_P = 1_Q \circ s', \quad t' = t' \circ 1_Q = 1_P \circ t', \quad t' \circ s' = e, \quad s' \circ t' = f$$

$$s' \circ P \subseteq Q \circ s' \quad \text{and} \quad t' \circ Q \subseteq P \circ t'.$$

Set  $s = f \circ s' \circ e$  and  $t = e \circ t' \circ f$ . Then we have  $t \circ s = e$  and  $s \circ t = f$ . Consider the inclusion  $s' \circ P \subseteq Q \circ s'$ . Composing with  $f$  and precomposing with  $e$  yields  $f \circ s' \circ P \circ e \subseteq f \circ Q \circ s' \circ e$ . Using  $e \circ P \circ e = e \circ P \subseteq P \circ e$  and  $f \circ Q = f \circ Q \circ f$ , we obtain  $(f \circ s' \circ e) \circ (e \circ P) \subseteq (f \circ Q) \circ (f \circ s' \circ e)$ , or equivalently,

$$s \circ (e \circ P) \subseteq (f \circ Q) \circ s.$$

A similarly argument applied to the inclusion  $t' \circ Q \subseteq P \circ t'$  shows that

$$t \circ (f \circ Q) \subseteq (e \circ P) \circ t.$$

Using that  $t \circ s = e$  and  $s \circ t = f$ , we easily see that the two inclusions above should be equalities. Thus (i) implies (ii).

Now suppose (ii) holds. Consider the objects  $(X, e \circ P)$  and  $(Y, f \circ Q)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . The equalities  $e \circ P = e \circ P \circ e$  and  $f \circ Q = f \circ Q \circ f$  implies that  $(e, e \circ P, e \circ P)$  is an idempotent endomorphism of  $(X, e \circ P)$  and  $(f, f \circ Q, f \circ Q)$  is an idempotent endomorphism of  $(Y, f \circ Q)$ . By assumption, we see that  $s$  and  $t$  define morphisms  $(s, e \circ P, f \circ Q) : (X, e \circ P) \rightarrow (Y, f \circ Q)$  and  $(t, f \circ Q, e \circ P) : (Y, f \circ Q) \rightarrow (X, e \circ P)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Moreover, we have  $(t, f \circ Q, e \circ P) \circ (s, e \circ P, f \circ Q) = (e, e \circ P, e \circ P)$  and  $(s, e \circ P, f \circ Q) \circ (t, f \circ Q, e \circ P) = (f, f \circ Q, f \circ Q)$ . Hence  $(e, e \circ P, e \circ P)$  and  $(f, f \circ Q, f \circ Q)$  are isomorphic idempotents in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Then by [4, Lemma 3.1 (iv)],  $(e, P, P)$  and  $(f, Q, Q)$  are isomorphic in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . This shows that (i) is a consequence of (ii).  $\square$

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ . Let  $(X, P)$  and  $(Y, Q)$  be objects in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Assume that  $(e, P, P)$  and  $(f, Q, Q)$  are isomorphic idempotent endomorphisms*

in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , or equivalently, there exist  $s \in f \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ e$  and  $t \in e \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \circ f$  satisfying  $t \circ s = e$ ,  $s \circ t = f$  and  $s \circ (e \circ P) \circ t = f \circ Q$ . The  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\varphi : k_{\alpha}G_e \cong k_{\alpha}G_f$  described in Proposition 2.2 restricts to a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $k_{\alpha}N_{G_e}(e \circ P) \cong k_{\alpha}N_{G_f}(f \circ Q)$ , which in turn induces a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : k_{\alpha}N_{G_e}(e \circ P)/(e \circ P) \cong k_{\alpha}N_{G_f}(f \circ Q)/(f \circ Q)$ .

*Proof.* The map sending  $x \in G_e$  to  $s \circ x \circ t$  is a group isomorphism  $G_e \cong G_f$  and it restricts to group isomorphisms  $e \circ P \cong f \circ Q$  and  $N_{G_e}(e \circ P) \cong N_{G_f}(f \circ Q)$ . Hence it induces a group isomorphism  $N_{G_e}(e \circ P)/(e \circ P) \cong N_{G_f}(f \circ Q)/(f \circ Q)$ . By the explicit construction of the isomorphism  $\varphi$ , we see that  $\varphi$  restricts to a  $k$ -linear isomorphism  $k_{\alpha}N_{G_e}(e \circ P) \cong k_{\alpha}N_{G_f}(f \circ Q)$ . This is also a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism because  $\varphi$  respects multiplication. Since  $\alpha$  is taken from  $Z^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{F}_p^{\times})$ , for any  $x, y \in N_{G_e}(e \circ P)$ ,  $\alpha(x, y) = 1$  if one of  $x$  or  $y$  is in  $e \circ P$ . Similarly, for any  $x', y' \in N_{G_f}(f \circ Q)$ ,  $\alpha(x', y') = 1$  if one of  $x'$  or  $y'$  is in  $f \circ Q$ . Hence the isomorphism  $k_{\alpha}N_{G_e}(e \circ P) \cong k_{\alpha}N_{G_f}(f \circ Q)$  induces a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : k_{\alpha}N_{G_e}(e \circ P)/(e \circ P) \cong k_{\alpha}N_{G_f}(f \circ Q)/(f \circ Q)$ .  $\square$

**Notation 2.10.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category,  $R$  a finite subfield of  $k$  and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; R^{\times}) \subseteq Z^2(\mathcal{C}; k^{\times})$ . The *extension of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $R^{\times}$  associated with  $\alpha$*  is the category  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  with object set  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  and morphism set  $\text{Mor}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}) = \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C}) \times R^{\times}$ , such that the composition in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  is defined by  $(t, b) \circ (s, a) = (t \circ s, ba\alpha(t, s))$  for any two morphisms  $s, t \in \mathcal{C}$  for which  $t \circ s$  is defined and any  $a, b \in R^{\times}$ . There is a canonical functor  $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  which is the identity on objects and which sends a morphism  $(s, a)$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  to the morphism  $s$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The isomorphism class of the extension  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $R^{\times}$  depends only on the class of  $\alpha$  in  $H^2(\mathcal{C}; R^{\times})$ . It is clear that  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  is finite. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an EI-category, then  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  is an EI-category as well.

**Proposition 2.11.** *Keep the notation of 2.10. The following hold:*

- (i) *The map sending a morphism  $(s, a)$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $as \in k_{\alpha}\mathcal{C}$  induces a surjective  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\pi : k\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow k_{\alpha}\mathcal{C}$ .*
- (ii) *Let  $e_R = \frac{1}{|R^{\times}|} \sum_{r \in R^{\times}} \sum_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} r^{-1}(\text{Id}_X, \alpha(\text{Id}_X, \text{Id}_X)^{-1}r)$ . Then  $e_R$  is a central idempotent in  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ . The homomorphism  $\pi$  in (i) restricts to a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}e_R \cong k_{\alpha}\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check the statement (i) and the fact that  $e_R$  is a central idempotent in  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ . Let  $1$  be the unit element of  $k_{\alpha}\mathcal{C}$ ; by Proposition 2.1 we have  $1 = \sum_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \alpha(\text{Id}_X, \text{Id}_X)^{-1} \text{Id}_X$ . Since  $e_R$  is a central idempotent in  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ , we have  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}} = k\hat{\mathcal{C}}e_R \oplus k\hat{\mathcal{C}}(1 - e_R)$ . By the definition of  $\pi$  we see that  $\pi(e_R) = 1$ . Hence we obtain by restriction a surjective unitary  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}e_R \rightarrow k_{\alpha}\mathcal{C}$ . In order to prove that this is an isomorphism, it suffices to show that  $\{(s, 1_R)e_R \mid s \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})\}$  is a  $k$ -basis of  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}e_R$ . Indeed, for any objects  $X, Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $s \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  and  $a \in R^{\times}$ , an easy calculation shows that

$$(s, a)e_R = a(s, 1_R)e_R.$$

Hence  $\{(s, 1_R)e_R \mid s \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{C})\}$  is a  $k$ -basis of  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}e_R$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.12** ([6, Lemma 4.3 (i), (iii)]). *Keep the notation of 2.10. The following hold:*

- (i) *The canonical functor  $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  induces a bijection between the set of idempotents in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  and the set of idempotents in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The inverse of this bijection sends an idempotent  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  to the idempotent  $(e, \alpha(e, e)^{-1})$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ .*
- (ii) *For any two idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $e$  is isomorphic to  $f$  if and only if  $(e, \alpha(e, e)^{-1})$  is isomorphic to  $(f, \alpha(f, f)^{-1})$  in  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ . More precisely, if  $s \in f \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ e$  and  $t \in e \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \circ f$  satisfies  $t \circ s = e$  and  $s \circ t = f$ , then setting  $c = \alpha(s, t)^{-1} \alpha(f, f)^{-1}$ , we have  $(t, c) \circ (s, 1_R) = (e, \alpha(e, e)^{-1})$  and  $(s, 1_R) \circ (t, c) = (f, \alpha(f, f)^{-1})$ .*

**Proposition 2.13.** *Keep the notation of 2.10. Let  $X$  be an object in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $e \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  an idempotent. Then by Lemma 2.12,  $(e, \alpha(e, e)^{-1})$  is an idempotent in  $\text{End}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}(X)$ . One checks that the invertible elements in the monoid  $(e, \alpha(e, e)^{-1})\text{End}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}(X)(e, \alpha(e, e)^{-1})$  is exactly the group  $G_e \times R^\times$  with the multiplication described in Notation 2.10. We denote this group by  $\hat{G}_e$ . Then the following hold:*

- (i) *The  $k$ -algebra homomorphism (resp. isomorphism)  $\pi : k\hat{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow k_\alpha\mathcal{C}$  (resp.  $\pi : k\hat{\mathcal{C}}e_R \rightarrow k_\alpha\mathcal{C}$ ) in Proposition 2.11 restricts to a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism (resp. isomorphism)  $\pi_e : k\hat{G}_e \cong k_\alpha G_e$  (resp.  $\pi_e : e_R k\hat{G}_e e_R = k\hat{G}_e e_R \cong k_\alpha G_e$ ).*
- (ii) *Let  $Y \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)$  be an idempotent isomorphic to  $e$ , then by Lemma 2.12, the idempotent  $(f, \alpha(f, f)^{-1})$  is isomorphic to  $(e, \alpha(e, e)^{-1})$ . Let  $s, t$  and  $c$  be chosen as in Lemma 2.12 (ii). Let  $\hat{\varphi}$  be the group isomorphism  $\hat{G}_e \cong \hat{G}_f$  sending  $\hat{x} \in \hat{G}_e$  to  $(s, 1_R) \circ \hat{x} \circ (t, c)$ . Then  $\hat{\varphi}$  extends to a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $k\hat{G}_e \cong k\hat{G}_f$ , which we still denote by  $\hat{\varphi}$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $k_\alpha G_e \cong k_\alpha G_f$  described in Proposition 2.2 (i). The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
k\hat{G}_e e_R & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} & k\hat{G}_f e_R \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
k\hat{G}_e & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} & k\hat{G}_f \\
\downarrow \pi_e & & \downarrow \pi_f \\
k_\alpha G_e & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & k_\alpha G_f
\end{array}$$

*is commutative.*

*Proof.* Statement (i) is a straightforward verification by using the explicit construction of the map  $\pi$  described in Proposition 2.11 (i). For the statement (ii), let  $(x, \lambda) \in \hat{G}_e$ , where  $x \in G_e$  and  $\lambda \in R^\times$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_f \circ \hat{\varphi}((x, \lambda)) &= \pi_f((s, 1_R) \circ (x, \lambda) \circ (t, c)) \\
&= \lambda c \alpha(s, x) \alpha(s \circ x, t) s \circ x \circ t \\
&= \lambda \alpha(s, t)^{-1} \alpha(f, f)^{-1} \alpha(s, x) \alpha(s \circ x, t) s \circ x \circ t
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \circ \pi_e((x, \lambda)) &= \varphi(\lambda x) = \lambda \beta(x) s \circ x \circ f \\ &= \lambda \alpha(x, t) \alpha(s, x \circ t) \alpha(e, e)^{-1} \alpha(t, s)^{-1} s \circ x \circ f\end{aligned}$$

The 2-cocycle identity applied with  $s, x, t$  yields

$$\alpha(s, x) \alpha(s \circ x, t) = \alpha(s, x \circ t) \alpha(x, t).$$

By [6, Lemma 5.3] we have

$$\alpha(t, s) \alpha(e, e) = \alpha(s, t) \alpha(f, f).$$

Using the above two equalities, we obtain  $\pi_f \circ \hat{\varphi}((x, \lambda)) = \varphi \circ \pi_e((x, \lambda))$ , whence (ii).  $\square$

### 3. Weights of $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_C$ and proof of Theorem 1.6

**Definition 3.1** ([6, 1.4]). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; k^\times)$ . A *weight* of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$  is a pair  $(e, T)$  consisting of an idempotent endomorphism  $e$  of an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and a projective simple  $k_\alpha G_e$ -module  $T$ .

In the rest of this section, let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category with  $p$ -transporter category  $\mathcal{T}_C$  and associated  $p$ -orbit category  $\mathcal{O}_C$ . The canonical functor  $\mathcal{T}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$  is the identity on objects, and surjective on morphisms between any pair of objects in  $\mathcal{T}_C$ . For any object  $(X, P)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_C$ , the kernel of the canonical moniod homomorphism  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}_C}((X, P)) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_C}((X, P))$  can be identified with  $P$ . For any two objects  $(X, P)$  and  $(Y, Q)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_C$ , the canonical map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_C}((X, P), (Y, Q)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_C}((X, P), (Y, Q))$$

induces a bijection between the sets  $Q \setminus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_C}((X, P), (Y, Q))$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_C}((X, P), (Y, Q))$ .

**Lemma 3.2** ([4, Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, 3.4]). *Let  $(X, P)$  and  $(Y, Q)$  be objects in  $\mathcal{T}_C$ . Identify morphisms between  $(X, P)$  and  $(Y, Q)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_C$  (resp.  $\mathcal{O}_C$ ) with their canonical images in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$  (resp.  $Q \setminus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)/P$ ). Let  $e \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}_C}((X, P))$  and  $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}_C}((Y, Q))$  be idempotent endomorphisms. Denote by  $\bar{e} = P \circ e \circ P = P \circ e$  the canonical image of  $e$  in  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_C}((X, P))$ , and by  $G_e$  the group of invertible elements in the monoid  $e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \circ e$ . The following hold:*

- (i) *For any idempotent  $d' \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_C}((X, P))$ , there is an idempotent  $d \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}_C}((X, P))$  such that  $d' = P \circ d \circ P$ .*
- (ii) *The idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  are isomorphic in  $\mathcal{T}_C$  if and only if  $\bar{e}$  and  $\bar{f}$  are isomorphic idempotents in  $\mathcal{O}_C$ .*
- (iii) *The group of invertible elements in the monoid  $e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}_C}((X, P)) \circ e$  is equal to  $N_{G_e}(e \circ P)$ .*
- (iv) *The group of invertible elements in the monoid  $\bar{e} \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_C}((X, P)) \circ \bar{e}$  is equal to  $N_{G_e}(e \circ P)/(e \circ P)$ .*

**Remark 3.3.** By Lemma 3.2, we easily see that:

(i) The isomorphism  $\varphi|_{k_\alpha N_{G_e}(e \circ P)} : k_\alpha N_{G_e}(e \circ P) \cong k_\alpha N_{G_f}(f \circ Q)$  in Proposition 2.9 coincides the isomorphism obtained by applying Proposition 2.2 (i) to  $\mathcal{T}_C$ ,  $(e, P, P)$ ,  $(f, Q, Q)$ ,  $(s, P, Q)$ ,  $(t, Q, P)$  instead of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $e$ ,  $f$ ,  $s$ ,  $t$ , respectively.

(ii) The isomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : k_\alpha N_{G_e}(e \circ P)/(e \circ P) \cong k_\alpha N_{G_f}(f \circ Q)/(f \circ Q)$  in Proposition 2.9 coincides the isomorphism obtained by applying Proposition 2.2 (i) to  $\mathcal{O}_C$ ,  $(\overline{e, P, P})$ ,  $(\overline{f, Q, Q})$ ,  $(\overline{s, P, Q})$ ,  $(\overline{t, Q, P})$  instead of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $e$ ,  $f$ ,  $s$ ,  $t$ , respectively. Here the overline notation denotes taking the canonical image in  $\mathcal{O}_C$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_C; k^\times)$ .

(i) Combining Definition 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we see that: a weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_C$  is exactly a pair  $(\bar{e}, T)$ , where  $\bar{e} = P \circ e \circ P$  for some idempotent endomorphism  $e$  of some object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $P$  is a not necessarily unitary  $p$ -subgroup of the monoid  $\text{End}_C(X)$ , and  $T$  is a projective simple  $k_\alpha N_{G_e}(e \circ P)/(e \circ P)$ -module. We identify the weight  $(\bar{e}, T)$ , the quadruple  $(e, P, P, T)$  and the pair  $((e, P, P), T)$ . Note that  $(e, P, P)$  is an idempotent endomorphism of  $(X, P)$  in the category  $\mathcal{T}_C$ . Consider  $G_e$  as a category with one object  $X$ , then  $(e \circ P, T) = (P \circ e \circ P, T)$  is a weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_e}$ .

(ii) Conversely, let  $X$  be an object in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $e \in \text{End}_C(X)$  an idempotent. A weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_e}$  is of the form  $(P, T)$ , where  $P$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G_e$ , and  $T$  is a projective simple  $k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P$ -module. One easily sees that the pair  $(P, T) = (P \circ e \circ P, T)$  is also a weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_C$ .

(iii) Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $e \in \text{End}_C(X)$  and  $f \in \text{End}_C(Y)$  be a pair of isomorphic idempotents. Let  $(P, T)$  be a weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_e}$  and  $(Q, T')$  a weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_f}$ . Denote by  $[(P, T)] \in \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_e})$  (resp.  $[(Q, T')] \in \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_f})$ ) the isomorphism class of  $(P, T)$  (resp.  $(Q, T')$ ). We say that  $[(P, T)]$  and  $[(Q, T')]$  are *isomorphic* if the pairs  $((e, P, P), T)$  and  $((f, Q, Q), T')$  are isomorphic in the sense of Definition 2.3. In fact, this is equivalent to that as weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_C$ ,  $(P, T)$  and  $(Q, T')$  are in the same isomorphism class.

(iv) Keep the notation in (iii) and assume that  $[(P, T)]$  and  $[(Q, T')]$  are isomorphic. Then by Proposition 2.8, there exist  $s \in f \circ \text{Hom}_C(X, Y) \circ e$  and  $t \in e \circ \text{Hom}_C(Y, X) \circ f$  such that  $t \circ s = e$ ,  $s \circ t = f$  and  $Q = s \circ P \circ t$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\varphi : k_\alpha G_e \cong k_\alpha G_f$  described in Proposition 2.2. By Proposition 2.9,  $\varphi$  induces a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P \cong k_\alpha N_{G_f}(Q)/Q$ . The pairs  $((e, P, P), T)$  and  $((f, Q, Q), T')$  being isomorphic implies that the isomorphism classes of  $T$  and  $T'$  correspond to each other via  $\bar{\varphi}$  (that is,  $T' \cong_{\bar{\varphi}} T$  as  $k_\alpha N_{G_f}(Q)/Q$ -modules).

**Lemma 3.5** ([4, Lemma 3.5]). *Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For any  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ , denote by  $X_e$  the object in  $\mathcal{C}$  of which  $e$  is an idempotent endomorphism, by  $G_e$  the subgroup of invertible elements of the monoid  $e \circ \text{End}_C(X_e) \circ e$  and by  $\mathcal{X}_e$  a set of representatives of the  $G_e$ -conjugacy classes of  $p$ -subgroups of  $G_e$ . Then the following hold:*

- (i) *The set  $\{(X_e, P) \mid e \in \mathcal{E}, P \in \mathcal{X}_e\}$  is a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of objects in  $\mathcal{T}_C$ .*

(ii) The set  $\{(e, P, P) \mid e \in \mathcal{E}, P \in \mathcal{X}_e\}$  is a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

Combining (ii) and Lemma 3.2 (i), (ii) we also have:

(iii) The set  $\{(\bar{e}, P, P) \mid e \in \mathcal{E}, P \in \mathcal{X}_e\}$ , where  $\bar{e} = P \circ e \circ P$ , is a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; k^\times)$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $e \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  and  $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)$  be a pair of isomorphic idempotents.

- (i) For any weight  $(P, T)$  of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_e}$ , there is a unique isomorphism class  $[(Q, T')]$  of weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_f}$  isomorphic to  $[(P, T)]$ .
- (ii) Assume that  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ . The correspondence  $[(P, T)] \mapsto [(Q, T')]$  defines a bijection  $\Omega_{e,f} : \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_e}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_f})$  which is commuting with the action of the Galois group  $\Gamma$ . In other words, for any  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ ,  $[(P, T)] \cong [(Q, T')]$  if and only if  $[(P, \sigma T)] \cong [(Q, \sigma T')]$ .
- (iii) The inverse of the bijection  $\Omega_{e,f}$  is  $\Omega_{f,e}$ .
- (iv) For any three isomorphic idempotents  $d, e$  and  $f$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\Omega_{e,f} \circ \Omega_{d,e} = \Omega_{d,f}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.5 (ii), up to  $G_f$ -conjugation there is a unique  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G_f$  such that  $(f, Q, Q)$  is isomorphic to  $(e, P, P)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . By Proposition 2.8, there exist  $s \in f \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ e$  and  $t \in e \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \circ f$  such that  $t \circ s = e$ ,  $s \circ t = f$  and  $Q = s \circ P \circ t$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\varphi : k_\alpha G_e \cong k_\alpha G_f$  described in Proposition 2.2. By Proposition 2.9,  $\varphi$  induces a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\bar{\varphi} : k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P \cong k_\alpha N_{G_f}(Q)/Q$ . Then there is a unique isomorphism class of simple  $k_\alpha N_{G_f}(Q)$ -module, say  $[T']$ , such that  $[T]$  and  $[T']$  correspond to each other via  $\bar{\varphi}$ . Hence  $[(Q, T')]$  is the unique isomorphism class of weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_f}$  isomorphic to  $[(P, T)]$ , proving statement (i). For statement (ii), note that  $\bar{\varphi} T \cong T'$  if and only if  $\sigma(\bar{\varphi} T) \cong \sigma T'$ . Since  $\alpha$  is in  $Z^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ , by the explicit construction of the isomorphism  $\varphi$  in Proposition 2.2 (i),  $\bar{\varphi}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Using a similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.5, we have  $\sigma(\bar{\varphi} T) \cong \bar{\varphi}(\sigma T)$  as  $k_\alpha N_{G_f}(Q)/Q$ -modules. Therefore,  $\bar{\varphi} T \cong T'$  if and only if  $\bar{\varphi}(\sigma T) \cong \sigma T'$ , whence (ii). Statements (iii) and (iv) are trivial.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* We will use the notation of Lemma 3.5. By Theorem 2.4, there is a bijection

$$\Pi : \mathcal{S}(k_\alpha \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_e),$$

where the symbol  $\bigsqcup$  denotes the disjoint union. By Proposition 2.6,  $\Pi$  is commuting with the action of  $\Gamma$ . By assumption, the GAWC holds for  $k_\alpha G_e$ , that is, for any  $e \in \mathcal{E}$  there is a  $\Gamma$ -equivariant bijection

$$\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_e) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{X}_e} \mathcal{Z}(k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P),$$

where  $\mathcal{Z}(k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P)$  is the set of isomorphism classes of projective simple  $k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P$ -modules. Hence there is a bijection

$$\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{X}_e} \mathcal{Z}(k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P)$$

commuting with the action of  $\Gamma$ . It remains to show that the right side corresponds to a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_C$ . In this double union,  $e$  runs over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $P$  over  $\mathcal{X}_e$ . By Lemma 3.5 (ii), this implies that the triples  $(e, P, P)$  runs over a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{T}_C$ . By Lemma 3.2 (ii), the images of the triples in the morphism sets of  $\mathcal{O}_C$  runs over a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{O}_C$ . By Lemma 3.2 (iv), the maximal subgroup determined by the image of any such  $(e, P, P)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_C$  is  $N_{G_e}(P)/P$ , and hence when  $[S]$  runs over  $\mathcal{Z}(k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P)$ , the quadruple  $(e, P, P, S)$  runs over a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_C$  associated with the image of  $(e, P, P)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_C$ . Therefore, the double union corresponds to a set of representatives the isomorphism classes of weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_C$ .  $\square$

#### 4. The Brauer construction applied to twisted group algebras

Let  $G$  be a finite group. A  $G$ -algebra over  $k$  is a  $k$ -algebra  $A$  endowed with an action of  $G$  by  $k$ -algebra automorphisms, denoted  $a \mapsto {}^g a$ , where  $a \in A$  and  $g \in G$ . For any  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $A^P$  the  $N_G(P)$ -subalgebra of  $P$ -fixed points of  $A$ . For any two  $p$ -subgroups  $Q \leq P$  of  $G$ , the *relative trace map*  $\text{Tr}_Q^P : A^Q \rightarrow A^P$  is defined by  $\text{Tr}_Q^P(a) = \sum_{x \in [P/Q]} {}^x a$ , where  $[P/Q]$  denotes a set of representatives of the left cosets of  $Q$  in  $P$ . We denote by  $A(P)$  the  $P$ -Brauer quotient of  $A$ , i.e., the  $N_G(P)$ -algebra

$$A^P / \sum_{Q < P} \text{Tr}_Q^P(A^Q).$$

We denote by  $\text{br}_P^A : A^P \rightarrow A(P)$  the canonical map, which is called the  $P$ -Brauer homomorphism. If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a homomorphism of  $G$ -algebras, then  $f$  restricts to a homomorphism of  $N_G(P)$ -algebras  $f^P : A^P \rightarrow B^P$ , which in turn induces a homomorphism of  $N_G(P)$ -algebras  $f(P) : A(P) \rightarrow B(P)$  sending  $\text{br}_P^A(a)$  to  $\text{br}_P^B(f(a))$  for any  $a \in A^P$ . In other words, we have  $f(P) \circ \text{br}_P^A = \text{br}_P^B \circ f^P$ . In this way, the  $P$ -Brauer construction defines a functor (called the  $P$ -Brauer functor) from the category of  $G$ -algebras to the category of  $N_G(P)$ -algebras.

**Lemma 4.1** (cf. e.g. [10, Exercise 11.4 or Proposition 27.6 (a)]). *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $P$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $A$  be a  $G$ -algebra over  $k$ . If  $A$  has a  $P$ -stable  $k$ -basis  $X$ , then  $\{\text{br}_P^A(a) \mid a \in X^P\}$  is a  $k$ -basis of  $A(P)$ , where  $X^P := \{x \in X \mid {}^u x = x, \forall u \in P\}$ .*

**4.2.** Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $P$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $\alpha \in Z^2(G; k^\times)$ . Then both  $kG$  and  $k_\alpha G$  are  $G$ -algebras via conjugation action of  $G$ . Since  $kG$  has an obvious  $P$ -stable  $k$ -basis  $G$ , then by Lemma 4.1, we have  $(kG)(P) \cong kC_G(P)$ . But it is not very obvious that  $k_\alpha G$  has a  $P$ -stable  $k$ -basis. To obtain  $(k_\alpha G)(P) \cong k_\alpha C_G(P)$ , we can not directly use Lemma 4.1. Since  $G$  is finite and since any finite subset of  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$  is contained in a finite subfield of  $k$ , we may assume that  $\alpha \in Z^2(G; R^\times)$  for a finite subfield  $R$  of  $k$ . Let  $\hat{G}$  be the extension of  $G$  by  $R^\times$  associated with  $\alpha$ ; see Notation 2.10. Recall from Notation 2.10 and Proposition 2.11 that  $\hat{G}$  has the following properties:

- (i)  $\hat{G} = \{(g, r) \mid g \in G, r \in R^\times\}$ . Denote by  $\tau$  the canonical surjection  $\hat{G} \rightarrow G$  sending  $(g, r)$  to  $g$  for any  $g \in G$  and  $r \in R^\times$ . Then  $\ker(\tau) = \{(1, r) \mid r \in R^\times\} \cong R^\times$  is a central subgroup of  $\hat{G}$  of order not divisible by  $p$ .
- (ii) Write  $e_R := \frac{1}{|R^\times|} \sum_{r \in R^\times} r^{-1}(1, \alpha(1, 1)^{-1}r)$ . Then  $e_R$  is an idempotent in  $Z(k\hat{G})$  and we have a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\pi : k\hat{G}e_R \rightarrow k_\alpha G$  sending  $(g, r) \in \hat{G}$  to  $rg \in k_\alpha G$ .

Since  $P$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and since  $p \nmid |\ker(\tau)|$ , there is a  $p$ -subgroup  $\hat{P}$  of  $\hat{G}$  such that  $\hat{P} \cong P$  via  $\tau$ . Denote by  $\hat{u}$  the preimage of  $u \in P$  in  $\hat{P}$ . Then  $\hat{P} = \{\hat{u} \mid u \in P\}$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Keep the notation of 4.2. For any  $t \in k\hat{G}$  and  $g \in G$ , set  ${}^g t = (g, 1)t(g, 1)^{-1}$ ; clearly  ${}^g t = (g, r)t(g, r)^{-1}$  for any  $r \in R^\times$ . This defines an action of  $G$  on  $k\hat{G}$ , and the isomorphism  $\pi : k\hat{G}e_R \cong k_\alpha G$  in 4.2 is an isomorphism of  $G$ -algebras.*

*Proof.* This can be checked straightforward by definition. □

**Proposition 4.4.** *Keep the notation of 4.2. The following hold:*

- (i)  $\tau^{-1}(C_G(P)) = C_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})$  and  $\tau^{-1}(N_G(P)) = N_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})$ .
- (ii) *The  $G$ -algebra isomorphism  $\pi : k\hat{G}e_R \cong k_\alpha G$  restricts to isomorphisms of  $N_G(P)$ -algebras*

$$kC_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})e_R \cong k_\alpha C_G(P)$$

and

$$kN_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})e_R \cong k_\alpha N_G(P),$$

where the restrictions of  $\alpha$  to subgroups of  $G$  are abusively again denoted by  $\alpha$ .

- (iii) *The  $N_G(P)$ -algebra isomorphism  $kN_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})e_R \cong k_\alpha N_G(P)$  induces an  $N_G(P)$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$kN_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})/\hat{P}\bar{e}_R \cong k_\alpha N_G(P)/P,$$

where  $\bar{e}_R$  is the canonical image of  $e_R$  in  $N_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})/\hat{P}$ .

- (iv) *If  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ , then all isomorphisms in (ii) and (iii) are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly we have  $C_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P}) \subseteq \tau^{-1}(C_G(P))$  and  $N_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P}) \subseteq \tau^{-1}(N_G(P))$ . For any  $a \in \tau^{-1}(C_G(P))$ , since  $\tau(a) \in C_G(P)$ , we have  $a\hat{u}a^{-1}\hat{u}^{-1} \in \ker(\tau)$  for all  $u \in P$ . It follows that  $a\hat{u}a^{-1} = \hat{u}z$  for some  $z \in \ker(\tau)$ . Since  $a\hat{u}a^{-1}$  is a  $p$ -element of  $\hat{G}$ ,  $\hat{u}z$  should also be a  $p$ -element of  $\hat{G}$ . This forces  $z = 1$  and hence  $a \in C_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})$ . Let  $a \in \tau^{-1}(N_G(P))$ . Since  $\tau(a) \in N_G(P)$ , for any  $u \in P$  there exists  $v \in P$  such that  $\tau(a)u\tau(a)^{-1} = v$ . Equivalently, we have  $\tau(a)\tau(\hat{u})\tau(a)^{-1} = \tau(\hat{v})$ . This implies that  $a\hat{u}a^{-1} = \hat{v}z$  for some  $z \in \ker(\tau)$ . Since  $a\hat{u}a^{-1}$  is a  $p$ -element of  $\hat{G}$ ,  $\hat{v}z$  should also be a  $p$ -element of  $\hat{G}$ . This again forces  $z = 1$  and hence  $a \in N_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})$ , completing the proof of (i). Statements (ii), (iii) and (iv) follows from (i) and the explicit construction of  $\pi$  in 4.2 (iii). □

Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. By a *block* of  $A$ , we mean a primitive central idempotent of  $A$ . The  $k$ -algebra  $Ab = bAb$  is called a block algebra of  $A$ . For any indecomposable  $A$ -module  $U$ , there is a unique block  $b$  of  $A$  such that  $bU \neq 0$ , and hence  $U$  is an  $Ab$ -module.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $P$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $\alpha \in Z^2(G; k^\times)$ . The following hold:*

- (i)  $k_\alpha C_G(P) \subseteq (k_\alpha G)^P$ .
- (ii) *The composition  $k_\alpha C_G(P) \hookrightarrow (k_\alpha G)^P \xrightarrow{\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G}} (k_\alpha G)(P)$  is an isomorphism of  $N_G(P)$ -algebras.*
- (iii) *We abusively use the same symbol  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G}$  to denote the following composition of  $N_G(P)$ -algebra homomorphisms*

$$(k_\alpha G)^P \rightarrow (k_\alpha G)(P) \cong k_\alpha C_G(P) \hookrightarrow k_\alpha N_G(P),$$

*where the isomorphism  $(k_\alpha G)(P) \cong k_\alpha C_G(P)$  is the inverse of the isomorphism obtained in (ii). Then  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G}$  restricts to a unitary  $k$ -algebra homomorphism from  $Z(k_\alpha G)$  to  $Z(k_\alpha N_G(P))$ .*

- (iv) *For any block idempotent  $c$  of  $k_\alpha N_G(P)$  and any central idempotent  $b$  of  $k_\alpha G$ , exactly one of  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G}(b)c$ ,  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G}(1-b)c$  is nonzero. In particular, there exists a unique block idempotent of  $k_\alpha G$  such that  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G}(b)c \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We are in the context of 4.2, so we can use the notation there.

- (i) By Proposition 4.4, we have the following commutative diagram of  $N_G(P)$ -algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} kC_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})e_R & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\pi} & k_\alpha C_G(P) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k\hat{G}e_R & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\pi} & k_\alpha G \end{array}$$

Since  $kC_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})e_R \subseteq (k\hat{G}e_R)^P$ , by the above commutative diagram we have  $k_\alpha C_G(P) \subseteq (k_\alpha G)^P$ , whence (i).

(ii) By Lemma 4.1, we see that the composition  $kC_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})e_R \hookrightarrow (k\hat{G}e_R)^P \xrightarrow{\text{br}_P^{k\hat{G}}} (k\hat{G}e_R)(P)$  is an isomorphism of  $N_G(P)$ -algebras. Now statement (ii) follows from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} kC_{\hat{G}}(\hat{P})e_R & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\pi} & k_\alpha C_G(P) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (k\hat{G}e_R)^P & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\pi} & (k_\alpha G)^P \\ \text{br}_P^{k\hat{G}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G} \\ (k\hat{G}e_R)(P) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\pi(P)} & (k_\alpha G)(P) \end{array}$$

(iii) By definition, it is easy to see that the homomorphism  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G} : (k_\alpha G)^P \rightarrow k_\alpha N_G(P)$  is unitary. Since  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G} : (k_\alpha G)^P \rightarrow k_\alpha N_G(P)$  is an  $N_G(P)$ -algebra homomorphism, it maps  $N_G(P)$ -fixed points to  $N_G(P)$ -fixed points, and hence maps  $Z(k_\alpha G) = (k_\alpha G)^G$  to  $Z(k_\alpha N_G(P)) = (k_\alpha N_G(P))^{N_G(P)}$ .

- (iv) follows easily from (iii). □

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $P$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $\alpha \in Z^2(G; k^\times)$ . Let  $b$  be a central idempotent of  $k_\alpha G$ ,  $c$  a block of  $k_\alpha N_G(P)$  and  $U$  an indecomposable  $k_\alpha N_G(P)$ -module. We say that  $c$  (resp.  $U$ ) is associated to  $b$  if  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G}(b)c \neq 0$  (resp.  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G}(b)U \neq 0$ ). By Proposition 4.5 (iv), there is a unique block of  $k_\alpha G$  to which  $c$  (resp.  $U$ ) is associated. Assume that  $\alpha \in Z^2(G; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an element of  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_p)$ . In this case the Brauer map  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (because  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G} = \text{Id}_k \otimes \text{br}_P^{(\mathbb{F}_p)^\alpha G}$ ), hence commuting with  $\sigma$ . Since  $\sigma$  commutes with the Brauer map  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G}$ , we see that  $\sigma(c)$  (resp.  $\sigma U$ ) is associated to  $\sigma(b)$  if and only if  $c$  (resp.  $U$ ) is associated to  $b$ . Hence the group  $\Gamma_b$  acts on the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $k_\alpha N_G(P)$ -modules associated to  $b$ .

## 5. EI-categories $\mathcal{C}$ and partition of weights of $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ by blocks of $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$

The following proposition is the reason why we can give a partition of weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  by blocks of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$  for an EI-category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $\mathcal{C}$  a finite category,  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$  and  $b$  a central idempotent of  $R_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $e$  be an idempotent endomorphism of an object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and let  $\hat{e} = \alpha(e, e)^{-1}e$ . Then  $\hat{e}b$  is a central idempotent in the subalgebra  $R_\alpha(e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \circ e)$  of  $R_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is an EI-category, then  $e = \text{Id}_X$  and  $\hat{e}b$  is a central idempotent of  $R_\alpha G_e$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\hat{e}$  is the unit element of  $R_\alpha(e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \circ e)$ , it suffices to show that  $\hat{e}b$  is contained in the subalgebra  $R_\alpha(e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \circ e)$ . Since  $\hat{e}$  is an idempotent in  $R_\alpha \mathcal{C}$  and since  $b \in Z(R_\alpha \mathcal{C})$ , we have  $\hat{e}b = \hat{e}^2 b = \hat{e}b\hat{e}$ . Since  $\hat{e}$  is an  $R$ -linear combination of elements of  $e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \circ e$ , by the definition of the multiplication in  $R_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ , we see that  $\hat{e}b\hat{e}$  is an  $R$ -linear combination of elements of  $e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \circ e$ . Hence  $\hat{e}b \in R_\alpha(e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \circ e)$ , proving the first statement. If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an EI-category, then  $\text{Id}_X$  is the unique idempotent in  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$  and we have  $e \circ \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \circ e = G_{\text{Id}_X}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  a finite EI-category,  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; k^\times)$  and  $b$  a central idempotent of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Set  $e = \text{Id}_X$ ,  $f = \text{Id}_Y$ ,  $\hat{e} = \alpha(e, e)^{-1}e$  and  $\hat{f} = \alpha(f, f)^{-1}f$ . Assume that  $e$  is isomorphic to  $f$ . Then there exist  $s \in f \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ e$  and  $t \in e \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \circ f$  satisfying  $t \circ s = e$  and  $s \circ t = f$ . Let  $\varphi$  be the  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $k_\alpha G_e \cong k_\alpha G_f$  described in Proposition 2.2 (i). Then  $\varphi(\hat{e}b) = \hat{f}b$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is finite and since any finite subset of  $k = \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$  is contained in a finite subfield of  $k$ , we may assume that  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$  for a finite subfield  $R$  of  $k$ . By the commutative diagram in Proposition 2.13 (ii), we may assume that  $\alpha$  is trivial. Then  $\varphi$  is the  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $kG_e \cong kG_f$  sending  $x \in kG_e$  to  $sxt$ . Since  $b$  is in the center of  $k\mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\varphi(\hat{e}b) = sebt = setb = \hat{f}b$ , whence the result.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3** (a generalisation of Proposition 5.2). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite EI-category,  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; k^\times)$  and  $b$  a central idempotent of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Set  $e = \text{Id}_X$ ,  $f = \text{Id}_Y$ ,  $\hat{e} = \alpha(e, e)^{-1}e$  and  $\hat{f} = \alpha(f, f)^{-1}f$ . Assume that  $e$  is isomorphic to  $f$ . Then there exist  $s \in f \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ e$  and  $t \in e \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \circ f$  satisfying  $t \circ s = e$  and  $s \circ t = f$ .*

Let  $\varphi$  be the  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $k_\alpha G_e \cong k_\alpha G_f$  described in Proposition 2.2 (i). Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G_e$  and let  $Q = s \circ P \circ t$ . Then  $Q$  is a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G_f$ . By Proposition 2.9,  $\varphi$  restricts to a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P) \cong k_\alpha N_{G_f}(Q)$ . Recall from Proposition 4.5 that  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}$  denotes the following composition of  $N_{G_e}(P)$ -algebra homomorphisms

$$(k_\alpha G_e)^P \rightarrow (k_\alpha G_e)(P) \cong k_\alpha C_{G_e}(P) \hookrightarrow k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P).$$

Similarly,  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_f}$  denotes an  $N_{G_f}(Q)$ -algebra isomorphism  $(k_\alpha G_f)^Q \rightarrow k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)$ . We have  $\varphi(\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b)) = \text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_f}(\hat{f}b)$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $k_\alpha G_e$  is a  $G_e$ -algebra and  $k_\alpha G_f$  is a  $G_f$ -algebra; see 4.2. Denote by  $\varphi_0$  the group isomorphism  $G_e \cong G_f$  sending  $x \in G_e$  to  $s \circ x \circ t$ . Through the group isomorphism  $\varphi_0$ , we can regard  $G_f$ -algebras as  $G_e$ -algebras. Hence  $k_\alpha C_{G_f}(Q)$  and  $(k_\alpha G_f)(Q)$  are regarded as  $N_{G_e}(P)$ -algebras. As we explained before, we may assume that  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$  for a finite subfield  $R$  of  $k$ . By Proposition 2.13 (ii) we have the following commutative diagram of  $k$ -algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k\hat{G}_e e_R & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\hat{\varphi}} & k\hat{G}_f e_R \\ \pi_e \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \pi_f \\ k_\alpha G_e & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi} & k_\alpha G_f \end{array}$$

Through the group isomorphism  $\hat{G}_e \cong \hat{G}_f$  we can regard  $\hat{G}_f$ -algebras as  $\hat{G}_e$ -algebras. In particular, we regard the  $\hat{G}_f$ -algebra  $k\hat{G}_f e_R$  as a  $\hat{G}_e$ -algebra. Then one easily checks by definition that the isomorphism  $\hat{\varphi} : k\hat{G}_e e_R \rightarrow k\hat{G}_f e_R$  is an isomorphism of  $\hat{G}_e$ -algebras. By Proposition 4.3 we can regard  $k\hat{G}_e e_R$  as a  $G_e$ -algebra and regard  $k\hat{G}_f$  as a  $G_f$ -algebra. Therefore, we can regard  $k\hat{G}_f$  as a  $G_e$ -algebra via the group isomorphism  $\varphi_0 : G_e \cong G_f$ . Denote by  $\tau_e$  (resp.  $\tau_f$ ) the canonical surjection  $\hat{G}_e \rightarrow G_e$  (resp.  $\hat{G}_f \rightarrow G_f$ ) sending  $(x, r)$  (resp.  $(y, r)$ ) to  $x$  (resp.  $y$ ) for any  $x \in G_e$  (resp.  $y \in G_f$ ) and  $r \in R^\times$ . Then using definition one also easily checks the following commutative diagram of groups:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{G}_e & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\hat{\varphi}} & \hat{G}_f \\ \tau_e \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_f \\ G_e & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi_0} & G_f \end{array}$$

Hence the isomorphism  $\hat{\varphi} : k\hat{G}_e e_R \rightarrow k\hat{G}_f e_R$  is an isomorphism of  $G_e$ -algebras. Since  $\pi_e$  and  $\pi_f$  are isomorphisms of  $G_e$ -algebras (see Proposition 4.3), the isomorphism  $\varphi : k_\alpha G_e \cong k_\alpha G_f$  is an isomorphism of  $G_e$ -algebras.

Then applying the  $P$ -Brauer functors we have the following commutative diagram of

$N_{G_e}(P)$ -algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi} & k_\alpha N_{G_f}(Q) \\
\uparrow \wr & & \uparrow \wr \\
k_\alpha C_{G_e}(P) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi} & k_\alpha C_{G_f}(Q) \\
\downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\
(k_\alpha G_e)^P & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi} & (k_\alpha G_f)^Q \\
\downarrow \text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e} & & \downarrow \text{br}_Q^{k_\alpha G_f} \\
(k_\alpha G_e)(P) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi(P)} & (k_\alpha G_f)(Q)
\end{array}
\cong$$

By Proposition 5.2, we have  $\varphi(\hat{e}b) = \hat{f}b$ . Hence by the above commutative diagram we have

$$\varphi(P)(\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b)) = \text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_f}(\hat{f}b).$$

Since we identify  $(k_\alpha G_e)(P)$  (resp.  $(k_\alpha G_f)(Q)$ ) and  $k_\alpha C_{G_e}(P)$  (resp.  $k_\alpha C_{G_f}(Q)$ ), by the above commutative diagram, we have  $\varphi(\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b)) = \text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_f}(\hat{f}b)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 5.4.** Keep the notation of Remark 3.4 (i). Assume further that  $\mathcal{C}$  is an EI-category. Then  $e = \text{Id}_X$ . Set  $\hat{e} = \alpha(e, e)^{-1}e$ . We regard  $T$  as a simple  $k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)$ -module via the canonical surjection  $k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P) \rightarrow k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P$ . Let  $b$  be a central idempotent of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ . Then by Proposition 5.1,  $\hat{e}b$  is in  $k_\alpha G_e$ . We say that  $(\bar{e}, T)$  is a  $b$ -weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  if  $T$  is associated to the central idempotent  $\hat{e}b$  of  $k_\alpha G_e$ ; by Definition 4.6 this means that  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b)T \neq 0$ . If the pair  $(\bar{f}, T')$  is another weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  isomorphic to  $(\bar{e}, T)$ , then by Proposition 5.3 we see that  $(\bar{e}, T)$  is a  $b$ -weight if and only if  $(\bar{f}, T')$  is a  $b$ -weight. Hence  $b$  also gives a partition of isomorphism classes of weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, b)$  the set of isomorphism classes of  $b$ -weights; clearly we have  $\mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, 1) = \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}})$ . Let  $\sigma \in \Gamma$ . Assume that  $(\bar{e}, T)$  is a  $b$ -weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , or equivalently,  $T$  is associated to the central idempotent  $\hat{e}b$  of  $k_\alpha G_e$ . Then by Definition 4.6,  ${}^\sigma T$  is associated to the central idempotent  $\sigma(\hat{e}b) = \hat{e}\sigma(b)$  of  $k_\alpha G_e$ . This implies that  $(\bar{e}, {}^\sigma T)$  is a  $\sigma(b)$ -weight of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Hence the action of  $\Gamma$  on the set of (isomorphism classes of) weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  restricts to an action of  $\Gamma_b$  on the set of (isomorphism classes of)  $b$ -weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

**Remark 5.5.** Definition 5.4 gives a partition of (isomorphism classes of) weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  by a central idempotent (and hence a block) of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$  for any finite EI-category  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  is not EI, then we don't know whether  $\hat{e}b$  lies in  $k_\alpha G_e$ , hence we can no longer have such a partition.

**Theorem 5.6** (a block-theoretic refinement of Theorem 2.4). *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $\mathcal{C}$  a finite EI-category,  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; R^\times)$  and  $b$  a central idempotent of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ . The map sending a simple  $R_\alpha \mathcal{C}b$ -module  $S$  to the pair  $(e, eS)$ , where  $e$  is an idempotent endomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  minimal with respect to  $eS \neq 0$ , induces a bijection  $\Pi_b$  between  $\mathcal{S}(R_\alpha \mathcal{C}b)$  and the set of isomorphism classes of pairs  $(e, T)$  consisting of an idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and a simple  $R_\alpha G_e(b\hat{e})$ -module  $T$ , where  $\hat{e} = \alpha(e, e)^{-1}e$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e$  be an idempotent endomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is EI,  $e = \text{Id}_X$  for some object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . By Proposition 5.1,  $\hat{e}b$  is a central idempotent in  $R_\alpha G_e = R_\alpha G_e \hat{e}$ . If  $S$  is a simple  $R_\alpha \mathcal{C}b$ -module, then  $eS$  is an  $R_\alpha G_e(\hat{e}b)$ -module. Since  $eS$  is also a simple  $R_\alpha G_e$ -module (see Theorem 2.4), it is a simple  $R_\alpha G_e(\hat{e}b)$ -module. Hence the map  $\Pi$  in Theorem 2.4 restricts to a map  $\Pi_b$  from  $\mathcal{S}(R_\alpha \mathcal{C}b)$  to the set of isomorphism classes of pairs  $(e, T)$  consisting of an idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and a simple  $R_\alpha G_e(b\hat{e})$ -module  $T$ . Since

$$\mathcal{S}(R_\alpha \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{S}(R_\alpha \mathcal{C}b) \bigsqcup \mathcal{S}(R_\alpha \mathcal{C}(1-b))$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}(R_\alpha G_e) = \mathcal{S}(R_\alpha G_e \hat{e}) = \mathcal{S}(R_\alpha G_e(\hat{e}b)) \bigsqcup \mathcal{S}(R_\alpha G_e(\hat{e}(1-b))),$$

the union of the maps  $\Pi_b$  and  $\Pi_{1-b}$  is exactly the bijection  $\Pi$  in Theorem 2.4. Hence  $\Pi_b$  is a bijection.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.7.** *Keep the notation of Theorem 5.6. Assume that  $R = k$  and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ . Then the bijection  $\Pi_b$  commutes with the action of the Galois group  $\Gamma_b$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Pi_b$  is a part of the bijection  $\Pi$  in Theorem 2.4, the statement follows from Proposition 2.6.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite EI-category and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; k^\times)$ . Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $e = \text{Id}_X$  and  $f = \text{Id}_Y$  be isomorphic idempotents. Let  $b$  be a central idempotent of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ . Set  $\hat{e} = \alpha(e, e)^{-1}e$  and  $\hat{f} = \alpha(f, f)^{-1}f$ . Then the bijection  $\Lambda_{e,f}$  defined in Notation 2.7 restricts to a  $\Gamma_b$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_e \hat{e}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_f \hat{f}b)$  and the bijection  $\Omega_{e,f}$  defined in Proposition 3.6 (ii) restricts to a  $\Gamma_b$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_e}, \hat{e}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_f}, \hat{f}b)$ .*

*Proof.* The first statement follows from Proposition 5.2 and the second from Proposition 5.3.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.14.* We will use the notation of Lemma 3.5. By Theorem 5.6, there is a bijection

$$\Pi_b : \mathcal{S}(k_\alpha \mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_e(\hat{e}b)),$$

where  $\hat{e} = \alpha(e, e)^{-1}e$ . By Proposition 5.7,  $\Pi_b$  is commuting with the action of  $\Gamma_b$ . By assumption, the BGAWC holds for  $k_\alpha G_e(\hat{e}b)$ , that is, for any  $e \in \mathcal{E}$  there is a  $\Gamma_b$ -equivariant bijection

$$\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_e(\hat{e}b)) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{X}_e} \mathcal{Z}(k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b)})),$$

where  $\overline{\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b)}$  is the image of  $\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b) \in k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)$  in  $k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P$ , and

$$\mathcal{Z}(k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b)}))$$

is the set of isomorphism classes of projective simple  $k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b)})$ -modules. Hence there is a bijection

$$\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha \mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{X}_e} \mathcal{Z}(k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b)}))$$

commuting with the action of  $\Gamma_b$ .

It remains to show that the right side corresponds to a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of  $b$ -weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$ . In this double union,  $e$  runs over  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $P$  over  $\mathcal{X}_e$ . By Lemma 3.5 (ii), this implies that the triples  $(e, P, P)$  runs over a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{C}$ . By Lemma 3.2 (ii), the images of the triples in the morphism sets of  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$  runs over a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$ . By Lemma 3.2 (iv), the maximal subgroup determined by the image of any such  $(e, P, P)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$  is  $N_{G_e}(P)/P$ , and hence when  $[S]$  runs over  $\mathcal{Z}(k_\alpha N_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{k_\alpha G_e}(\hat{e}b)}))$ , the quadruple  $(e, P, P, S)$  runs over a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of  $b$ -weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$  associated with the image of  $(e, P, P)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$ . Therefore, the double union corresponds to a set of representatives the isomorphism classes of  $b$ -weights of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.9.** In Theorem 1.14, the assumption that  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$  is only using for ensuring that we can consider the actions of  $\Gamma_b$ . If we consider the non-Galois version of the blockwise Alperin weight conjecture (BAWC), then we can assume that  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}; k^\times)$ . The proof of Theorem 1.14 can be easily adapted to a proof of the statement that: if for any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha G_e \hat{e}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_{G_e}, \hat{e}b)$ , then there exists a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha \mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}, b)$ . In particular, the BAWC for finite twisted EI-categorie algebras and finite twisted group algebras are equivalent.

For the proof of Theorem 1.14, we have developed many auxiliary results. Using part of those auxiliary results, we show the BGAWC for finite twisted EI-category algebras and finite ordinary EI-category algebras are equivalent:

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite EI-category and  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$ . Let  $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$  be the extension of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$  associated with  $\alpha$ ; see Notation 2.10. The following are equivariant:*

- (i) *For any central idempotent  $b$  of  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}e_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ , there is a  $\Gamma_b$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k\hat{\mathcal{C}}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}, b)$ . Here  $e_{\mathbb{F}_p} = \frac{1}{|\mathbb{F}_p^\times|} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \sum_{X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} r^{-1}(\text{Id}_X, \alpha(\text{Id}_X, \text{Id}_X)^{-1}r)$  is a central idempotent of  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ ; see Proposition 2.11 (ii).*
- (ii) *For any central idempotent  $b$  of  $k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ , there is a  $\Gamma_b$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha \mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{C}, b)$ .*

(Sketch of) Proof. By Proposition 2.11 (ii), we have a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\pi : k\hat{\mathcal{C}}e_{\mathbb{F}_p} \cong k_\alpha \mathcal{C}$ . Let  $b$  be a central idempotent of  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}e_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ , the isomorphism  $\pi$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  as well. Then one easily checks that  $\pi$  induces a  $\Gamma_b$ -equivariant bijection

between  $\mathcal{S}(k\hat{\mathcal{C}}b)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha\mathcal{C}\pi(b))$  sending  $[T]$  to  $[\pi T]$  for any simple  $k\hat{\mathcal{C}}b$ -module. By using Proposition 4.4 and the commutative diagram of  $G_e$ -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k\hat{G}_e e_R & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\hat{\varphi}} & k\hat{G}_f e_R \\ \pi_e \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow \pi_f \\ k_\alpha G_e & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\varphi} & k_\alpha G_f \end{array}$$

in the proof of Proposition 5.3, one checks that  $\pi$  also induces a bijection between  $\mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}, b)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(k_\alpha\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, \pi(b))$ . Again by using the assumption that  $\alpha$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ , this bijection is  $\Gamma_b$ -equivariant as well. The claim follows.  $\square$

**Remark 5.11.** In Theorem 5.10, the assumption that  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; \mathbb{F}_p^\times)$  is only using for ensuring that the bijections  $\mathcal{S}(k\hat{\mathcal{C}}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(k_\alpha\mathcal{C}\pi(b))$  and  $\mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}, b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, \pi(b))$  induced by  $\pi$  are  $\Gamma_b$ -equivariant. If we consider the non-Galois version of the BAWC, then we can assume that  $\alpha \in Z^2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}; k^\times)$ . The proof of Theorem 5.10 can be easily adapted to a proof of the statement that: there is a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k\hat{\mathcal{C}}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathcal{C}}}, b)$  if and only if there is a bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k_\alpha\mathcal{C}\pi(b)) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k_\alpha\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, \pi(b))$ . In particular, the BAWC for finite twisted EI-category algebras and finite ordinary EI-category algebras are equivalent.

## 6. Automorphisms of categories and proof of Theorem 1.20

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ . For any object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  defines an isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_X : \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}(X))$  of monoids; for shorthand we abusively denote the isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_X$  by  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $e$  be an idempotent in  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(e)$  is an idempotent in  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}(X))$ . The monoid isomorphism  $\mathcal{F} : \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{F}(X))$  restricts to a group isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_e : G_e \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$ , which in turn extends to a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $kG_e \rightarrow kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$  still denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_e$ . For any  $kG_e$ -module  $U$ , we set  ${}_{\mathcal{F}}U$  to be the  $kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$ -module  ${}_{\mathcal{F}_e}U$  and set  ${}_{\mathcal{F}}[U]$  to be  $[{}_{\mathcal{F}}U]$ .

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ . There is a well-defined action of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  on the set of isomorphism classes of pairs  $(e, T)$  consisting of an idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and a simple  $kG_e$ -module  $T$  via  ${}^{\mathcal{F}}(e, T) = (\mathcal{F}(e), {}_{\mathcal{F}_e}T)$ . Sometimes we will also write  ${}^{\mathcal{F}}(P, T)$  as  ${}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, T)$ . Moreover,  $(e, T)$  is a weight if and only if  $(\mathcal{F}(e), {}_{\mathcal{F}_e}T)$  is a weight.*

*Proof.* By the definition of being isomorphic (see the first paragraph of Section 2), it is clear that two idempotent endomorphisms  $e$  and  $e'$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\mathcal{F}(e)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(e')$  are isomorphic. For the first statement we need to show that if  $(e, T)$  is isomorphic to  $(e', T')$ , then  $(\mathcal{F}(e), {}_{\mathcal{F}_e}T)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{F}(e'), {}_{\mathcal{F}_{e'}}T')$ . By Proposition 2.2 (i), there is a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\varphi : kG_e \rightarrow kG_{e'}$ . The group isomorphisms  $\mathcal{F}_e : G_e \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{e'} : G_{e'} \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{F}(e')}$  induce  $k$ -algebra isomorphisms  $\mathcal{F}_e : kG_e \rightarrow kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{e'} : kG_{e'} \rightarrow kG_{\mathcal{F}(e')}$ , respectively. Let  $\mathcal{F}_\varphi := \mathcal{F}_{e'} \circ \varphi \circ \mathcal{F}_e^{-1}$ . We need to show that the isomorphism classes of  ${}_{\mathcal{F}_e}T$  and  ${}_{\mathcal{F}_{e'}}T'$  correspond to each other through the induced isomorphism  $\mathcal{F}_\varphi : kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)} \rightarrow kG_{\mathcal{F}(e')}$ .

In other words, we need to show that  ${}_{\mathcal{F}\varphi}(\mathcal{F}_e T) \cong {}_{\mathcal{F}e'} T'$  as  $kG_{\mathcal{F}(e')}$ -modules. Since  $(e, T)$  is isomorphic to  $(e', T')$ , there is an isomorphism  ${}_{\varphi} T \cong T'$  of  $kG_{e'}$ -modules. It follows that

$${}_{\mathcal{F}\varphi}(\mathcal{F}_e T) = {}_{\mathcal{F}\varphi \circ \mathcal{F}_e} T = {}_{\mathcal{F}e' \circ \varphi} T = {}_{\mathcal{F}e'}(\varphi T) \cong {}_{\mathcal{F}e'} T',$$

as required. This proves the first statement. For the second statement, we need to show that  $T$  is a projective  $kG_e$ -module if and only if  ${}_{\mathcal{F}_e} T$  is a projective  $kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$ -module. It suffices to show that  ${}_{\mathcal{F}_e} kG_e \cong kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$  as  $kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$ -modules. Indeed, the map sending  $a \in {}_{\mathcal{F}_e} kG_e$  to  $\mathcal{F}_e(a) \in kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$  is a desired isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.2.** *Keep the notation of Theorem 2.4. Assume that  $R = k$  and  $\alpha$  is trivial. Then the bijection  $\Pi$  commutes with the action of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $S$  a simple  $k\mathcal{C}$ -module. Denote by  $[S]$  the isomorphism class of  $S$ . Let  $e$  be an idempotent endomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ , minimal with respect to  $eS \neq 0$ . Then one easily checks that  ${}_{\mathcal{F}} S$  is a simple  $k\mathcal{C}$ -module,  $\mathcal{F}(e)({}_{\mathcal{F}} S)$  is a  $kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$ -module, and  $\mathcal{F}(e)({}_{\mathcal{F}} S) \cong {}_{\mathcal{F}}(eS)$  as  $kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$ -modules. Hence by the minimality of  $e$ , we see that  $\mathcal{F}(e)$  is minimal with respect to  $\mathcal{F}(e)({}_{\mathcal{F}} S) \neq 0$ . Therefore, we have

$$\Pi([{}_{\mathcal{F}} S]) = [(\mathcal{F}(e), \mathcal{F}(e)({}_{\mathcal{F}} S))] = [(\mathcal{F}(e), {}_{\mathcal{F}}(eS))] = {}^{\mathcal{F}}[(e, eS)] = {}^{\mathcal{F}}(\Pi([S])),$$

where the notation  $[(e, S)]$  denotes the isomorphism class of  $(e, S)$ , and where the third equality holds by Proposition 6.1.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3.** *Keep the notation of Theorem 5.6. Let  $H$  be any subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Assume that  $R = k$  and  $\alpha$  is trivial. Then the bijection  $\Pi_b$  commutes with the action of the group  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Pi_b$  is a part of the bijection  $\Pi$  in Theorem 2.4, the statement follows from Propositions 2.6 and 6.2.  $\square$

**Remark 6.4.** Let  $G$  be a finite group,  $P$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Now we denote by  $\text{br}_P^{kG} : (kG)^P \rightarrow kC_G(P)$  the map sending  $\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$  (where  $\alpha_g \in k$ ) to  $\sum_{g \in C_G(P)} \alpha_g g$ . Let  $b$  be a central idempotent of  $kG$ ,  $c$  a block of  $kN_G(P)$  and  $U$  an indecomposable  $kN_G(P)$ -module. By Definition 4.6,  $c$  (resp.  $U$ ) is associated to  $b$  if  $\text{br}_P^{kG}(b)c \neq 0$  (resp.  $\text{br}_P^{kG}(b)U \neq 0$ ). By Proposition 4.5 (iv), there is a unique block of  $kG$  to which  $c$  (resp.  $U$ ) is associated. Let  $G'$  be another finite group and  $\mathcal{F} : G \cong G'$  a group isomorphism. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  extends  $k$ -linearly to a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $kG \cong kG'$  which in turn restricts to  $k$ -algebra isomorphisms  $kC_G(P) \cong kC_{G'}(\mathcal{F}(P))$  and  $kN_G(P) \cong kN_{G'}(\mathcal{F}(P))$ ; we abusively denote these isomorphisms by  $\mathcal{F}$ . The following diagram is obviously commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (kG)^P & \xrightarrow{\text{br}_P^{kG}} & kC_G(P) & \hookrightarrow & kN_G(P) \\ \mathcal{F} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{F} & & \downarrow \mathcal{F} \\ (kG')^{\mathcal{F}(P)} & \xrightarrow{\text{br}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}^{kG'}} & kC_{G'}(\mathcal{F}(P)) & \hookrightarrow & kN_{G'}(\mathcal{F}(P)) \end{array}$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}(c)$  (resp.  ${}_{\mathcal{F}}U$ ) is associated to  $\mathcal{F}(b)$  if and only if  $c$  (resp.  $U$ ) is associated to  $b$ . Hence the group  $\text{Aut}(G)_b$  acts on the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable  $kN_G(P)$ -modules associated to  $b$ . The isomorphism  $\mathcal{F} : N_G(P) \cong N_{G'}(\mathcal{F}(P))$  induces a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $N_G(P)/P \cong N_{G'}(\mathcal{F}(P))/\mathcal{F}(P)$  still denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$ . For any weight  $(P, T)$  of  $k\mathcal{O}_G$ , we set  ${}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, T)$  to be  $(\mathcal{F}(P), {}_{\mathcal{F}}T)$ , which is a weight of  $k\mathcal{O}_{G'}$ . One easily checks that if  $(P, T)$  and  $(Q, T')$  are isomorphic weights of  $k\mathcal{O}_G$ , then  $(\mathcal{F}(P), {}_{\mathcal{F}}T)$  and  $(\mathcal{F}(Q), {}_{\mathcal{F}}T')$  are isomorphic weights of  $k\mathcal{O}_{G'}$ ; we set  ${}_{\mathcal{F}}[(P, T)] := [{}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, T)]$ .

**6.5.** (i) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category and  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  induces an automorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  sending an object  $(X, P)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \mathcal{F}(P)) \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  with the obvious maps induced by  $\mathcal{F}$  on morphism sets. Similarly,  $\mathcal{F}$  induces an automorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  sending an object  $(X, P)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  to  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \mathcal{F}(P)) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . By Proposition 6.1, the automorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$  acts on the set of (isomorphism classes of) weights of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Hence we can define an action of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  on the set of (isomorphism classes of) weights of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  by letting  $\mathcal{F}$  act as  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . With the notation of Remark 3.4 (i), we see that a weight of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  is a pair  $(\bar{e}, T)$ , where  $\bar{e} = P \circ e \circ P$  for some idempotent endomorphism  $e$  of some object  $X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $P$  is a not necessarily unitary  $p$ -subgroup of the moniod  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ , and  $T$  is a projective simple  $kN_{G_e}(e \circ P)/(e \circ P)$ -module. The automorphism  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  induces a group isomorphism

$$N_{G_e}(e \circ P)/(e \circ P) \cong N_{G_{\mathcal{F}(e)}}(\mathcal{F}(e) \circ \mathcal{F}(P))/(\mathcal{F}(e) \circ \mathcal{F}(P))$$

which in turn induces a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism

$$kN_{G_e}(e \circ P)/(e \circ P) \cong kN_{G_{\mathcal{F}(e)}}(\mathcal{F}(e) \circ \mathcal{F}(P))/(\mathcal{F}(e) \circ \mathcal{F}(P));$$

we again abusively denote these isomorphisms by  $\mathcal{F}$ . Write  $\mathcal{F}(\bar{e}) := \mathcal{F}(P) \circ \mathcal{F}(e) \circ \mathcal{F}(P)$ . Then by definition, we have

$${}_{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{e}, T) = {}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\bar{e}, T) = (\mathcal{F}(\bar{e}), {}_{\mathcal{F}}T).$$

(ii) Assume further that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a finite EI-category and  $b$  is a central idempotent in  $k\mathcal{C}$ . Assume that  $(\bar{e}, T)$  is a  $b$ -weight of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , or equivalently,  $T$  is associated to the central idempotent  $eb$  of  $kG_e$ . Then by Remark 6.4,  ${}_{\mathcal{F}}T$  is associated to the central idempotent  $\mathcal{F}(eb) = \mathcal{F}(e)\mathcal{F}(b)$  of  $kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$ . This implies that  $(\mathcal{F}(\bar{e}), {}_{\mathcal{F}}T)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}(b)$ -weight of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Let  $H$  be any subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Then the action of  $H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C})$  on the set of (isomorphism classes of) weights of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$  restricts to an action of  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$  on the set of (isomorphism classes of)  $b$ -weights of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category (resp. EI-category) and  $b$  the unit element (resp. a central idempotent) of  $k\mathcal{C}$ . Then for any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $eb$  is a central idempotent in  $kG_e$ ; see Proposition 5.1 below. Let  $H$  be any subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Assume that for any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is a bijection  $\Omega_e : \mathcal{S}(kG_e eb) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{G_e}, eb)$  fulfilling the following conditions:*

- (i) *For any idempotent  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and any simple  $kG_e eb$ -module  $T$ ,  $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}(e)}((\sigma, \mathcal{F})[T]) = (\sigma, \mathcal{F})\Omega_e([T])$  for any  $(\sigma, \mathcal{F}) \in (H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ .*

(ii) For any isomorphic idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\Omega_f \circ \Lambda_{e,f} = \Omega_{e,f} \circ \Omega_e$ , where  $\Lambda_{f,e}$  is defined as in Notation 2.7 and  $\Omega_{e,f}$  is defined as in Proposition 3.6.

Then there exists an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ -equivariant bijection  $\mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}, b)$ .

*Proof.* We will use the notation of Lemma 3.5. By Theorem 5.6, there is a bijection

$$\Pi_b : \mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{S}(kG_e(eb)).$$

In this bijection, the left side is an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ -set. The right side is an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ -set in the following way: for any  $(\sigma, \mathcal{F}) \in (H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$  and any  $[T] \in \mathcal{S}(kG_e(eb))$ , where  $T$  is a simple  $kG_e(eb)$ -module, we see that  ${}_{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}T$  is a simple  $kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}(\mathcal{F}(e)b)$ -module. Let  $e'$  be the unique element in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(e) \cong e'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then we define  ${}^{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}[T] := \Lambda_{\mathcal{F}(e), e'}([{}_{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}T])$ , and this makes  $\bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{S}(kG_e(eb))$  into an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ -set. By Proposition 6.3,  $\Pi_b$  is commuting with the action of  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ .

By assumption, for any  $e \in \mathcal{E}$  there is a bijection

$$\Omega_e : \mathcal{S}(k_{\alpha}G_e(eb)) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{G_e}, eb) = \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{X}_e} \mathcal{Z}(kN_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb)})),$$

where the equality is an identification,  $\overline{\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb)}$  is the image of  $\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb) \in kN_{G_e}(P)$  in  $kN_{G_e}(P)/P$ , and

$$\mathcal{Z}(kN_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb)}))$$

is the set of isomorphism classes of projective simple  $kN_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb)})$ -modules. Hence there is a bijection

$$\Sigma_b := \bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \Omega_e : \bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{S}(kG_e(eb)) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{X}_e} \mathcal{Z}(kN_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb)})).$$

As we explained, the left side is an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ -set. The right side is an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ -set in the following way: for any  $(\sigma, \mathcal{F}) \in (H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ ,  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{X}_e$  and  $[T] \in \mathcal{Z}(kN_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb)}))$  (where  $T$  is a projective simple  $kN_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb)})$ -module), we see that  ${}_{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}T$  is a projective simple  $kN_{G_{\mathcal{F}(e)}}(\mathcal{F}(P))/\mathcal{F}(P)(\overline{\text{br}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}^{kG_{\mathcal{F}(e)}}(\mathcal{F}(e)b)})$ -module. Let  $e' \in \mathcal{E}$  be the unique element in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(e) \cong e'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $Q$  the unique element in  $\mathcal{X}_{e'}$  such that  $(\mathcal{F}(e), \mathcal{F}(P), \mathcal{F}(P)) \cong (e', Q, Q)$  as idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$ ; see Lemma 3.5 (ii) for the existence and uniqueness of  $Q$ . Then we define

$${}^{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}[T] := \Omega_{\mathcal{F}(e), e'}([{}_{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}T]) \in \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{G_{e'}}, e'b) = \bigsqcup_{Q \in \mathcal{X}_{e'}} \mathcal{Z}(kN_{G_{e'}}(Q)/Q(\overline{\text{br}_Q^{kG_{e'}}(e'b)})),$$

and this makes

$$\bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{X}_e} \mathcal{Z}(kN_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb)}))$$

into an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ -set.

Next we show that  $\Sigma_b$  commutes with  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ . For any  $(\sigma, \mathcal{F}) \in (H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ , any  $e \in \mathcal{E}$  and any  $[T] \in \mathcal{S}(kG_e(eb))$ , let  $e'$  be the unique element in  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}(e) \cong e'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_b^{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}([T]) &= \Sigma_b(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}(e), e'}([\sigma, \mathcal{F}]T)) = \Omega_{e'}(\Lambda_{\mathcal{F}(e), e'}([\sigma, \mathcal{F}]T)) = \Omega_{\mathcal{F}(e), e'}(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}(e)}([\sigma, \mathcal{F}]T)) \\ &= \Omega_{\mathcal{F}(e), e'}(\sigma, \mathcal{F})\Omega_e([T]) = {}^{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}(\Omega_e([T])) = {}^{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}(\Sigma_b([T])), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality holds by the condition (ii), the fourth equality holds by the condition (i), and the fifth equality holds by the definition of the action of  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$  on

$$\bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{X}_e} \mathcal{Z}(kN_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb)})).$$

Hence  $\Sigma_b$  commutes with  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ .

Now we obtain an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ -equivariant bijection

$$\Sigma_b \circ \Pi_b : \mathcal{S}(k\mathcal{C}b) \rightarrow \bigsqcup_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{X}_e} \mathcal{Z}(kN_{G_e}(P)/P(\overline{\text{br}_P^{kG_e}(eb)}))$$

By the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 1.14, the right side corresponds to a set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of  $b$ -weights of  $k\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite category (resp. EI-category) and  $b$  the unit element (resp. a central idempotent) of  $k\mathcal{C}$ . Then for any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $eb$  is a central idempotent in  $kG_e$ ; see Proposition 5.1. Let  $H$  be any subgroup of  $\Gamma_b$ . Assume that for any idempotent endomorphism  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  there is an  $(H \times \text{Aut}(G_e))_{be}$ -equivariant bijection  $\Omega'_e : \mathcal{S}(kG_e eb) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{G_e}, eb)$ . Then we can modify the choices of some bijections and obtain a family of  $(H \times \text{Aut}(G_e))_{be}$ -equivariant bijections  $\{\Omega_e : \mathcal{S}(kG_e eb) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{G_e}, eb)\}$  satisfying the following two conditions in Proposition 6.6:*

- (i) *For any idempotent  $e$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and any simple  $kG_e eb$ -module  $T$ ,  $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}(e)}([\sigma, \mathcal{F}]T) = {}^{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}\Omega_e([T])$  for any  $(\sigma, \mathcal{F}) \in (H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ .*
- (ii) *For any objects  $X, Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and any isomorphic idempotents  $e \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ ,  $f \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y)$ , we have  $\Omega_f \circ \Lambda_{e, f} = \Omega_{e, f} \circ \Omega_e$ , where  $\Lambda_{f, e}$  is defined as in Notation 2.7 and  $\Omega_{e, f}$  is defined as in Proposition 3.6.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of all idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Define a relation on the set  $\mathcal{I}$  by  $e \sim f$ , if there exists a group isomorphism  $\psi : G_e \cong G_f$  such that the induced  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\psi : kG_e \cong kG_f$  satisfying  $\psi(eb) = f\sigma^{-1}(b)$  for some  $\sigma \in H$ . For convenience, we say that the pair  $(\sigma, \psi)$  belongs to  $(H \times \text{Iso}(G_e, G_f))_b$ . One easily checks that the relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation. By Proposition 5.2, if  $e$  and  $f$  are isomorphic idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $e \sim f$ . Also, if  $e$  and  $f$  are idempotent endomorphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $f = \mathcal{F}(e)$  for some  $(\sigma, \mathcal{F}) \in (H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ , then  $e \sim f$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{I}$  be a set of representatives of the equivalence classes of the relation  $\sim$ . For any  $e \in \mathcal{I}_0$ , we set

$\Omega_e := \Omega'_e$ . Let  $f$  be an arbitrary idempotent endomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ . There exists a unique idempotent  $e \in \mathcal{I}_0$  such that  $e \sim f$ . By definition, there is a group isomorphism  $\psi : G_e \cong G_f$  with the induced  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\psi : kG_e \cong kG_f$  satisfying  $\psi(eb) = f\sigma^{-1}(b)$  for some  $\sigma \in H$ . By Remark 6.4, if  $(P, T)$  is a weight of  $k\mathcal{O}_{G_e}$ , then  $(\psi(P), \psi T)$  is a weight of  $k\mathcal{O}_{G_f}$ . Now we see that if  $(P, T)$  is an  $eb$ -weight of  $k\mathcal{O}_{G_e}$ , then  $(\psi(P), \sigma(\psi T))$  is an  $fb$ -weight of  $k\mathcal{O}_{G_f}$ . For any simple  $kG_f fb$ -module  $T$ , we define  $\Omega_f([T])$  to be  ${}_{(\sigma, \psi)}\Omega_e({}_{(\sigma^{-1}, \psi^{-1})}[T]) := \sigma({}_{(\psi)}\Omega_e({}_{(\sigma^{-1})}[\sigma^{-1}T]))$ . Since  $\Omega_e$  is  $(H \times \text{Aut}(G_e))_b$ -equivariant,  $\Omega_f$  is independent of the choice of the pair  $(\sigma, \psi)$ . One easily checks that  $\Omega_f$  is a bijection from  $\mathcal{S}(kG_f fb)$  to  $\mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{G_f}, fb)$ . We claim that  $\Omega_f$  is  $(H \times \text{Aut}(G_f))_{fb}$ -equivariant. Let  $(\sigma', \mathcal{F}) \in (H \times \text{Aut}(G_f))_{fb}$ . Then  $(\sigma^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) \circ (\sigma', \mathcal{F}) \circ (\sigma, \psi) \in (H \times \text{Aut}(G_e))_{eb}$ . For any simple  $kG_f fb$ -module  $T$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_f({}_{(\sigma', \mathcal{F})}[T]) &= {}_{(\sigma, \psi)}\Omega_e({}_{(\sigma^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) \circ (\sigma', \mathcal{F})}T) = {}_{(\sigma, \psi)}\Omega_e({}_{(\sigma^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) \circ (\sigma', \mathcal{F}) \circ (\sigma, \psi) \circ (\sigma^{-1}, \psi^{-1})}T) \\ &= {}_{(\sigma, \psi) \circ (\sigma^{-1}, \psi^{-1}) \circ (\sigma', \mathcal{F}) \circ (\sigma, \psi)}\Omega_e({}_{(\sigma^{-1}, \psi^{-1})}T) = {}_{(\sigma', \mathcal{F}) \circ (\sigma, \psi)}\Omega_e({}_{(\sigma^{-1}, \psi^{-1})}T) \\ &= {}_{(\sigma', \mathcal{F})}\Omega_f([T]), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. Next we show that the family of bijections  $\{\Omega_e : \mathcal{S}(kG_e eb) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{G_e}, eb)\}$  satisfies the required two conditions:

**Condition (i).** Now let  $e$  be an arbitrary idempotent endomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $e_0$  be the unique element in  $\mathcal{I}_0$  such that  $e \sim e_0$  via some pair  $(\sigma_0, \psi_0) \in (H \times \text{Iso}(G_{e_0}, G_e))_b$ . Let  $(\sigma, \mathcal{F})$  be an arbitrary element of  $(H \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}))_b$ . Write  $\sigma' = \sigma \circ \sigma_0$  and  $\psi' = \mathcal{F} \circ \psi_0$ . Then we have  $(\sigma', \psi') \in (H \times \text{Iso}(G_{e_0}, G_{\mathcal{F}(e)}))_b$ . For any simple  $kG_e eb$ -module  $T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\mathcal{F}(e)}({}_{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}[T]) &= {}_{(\sigma', \psi')}\Omega_{e_0}({}_{(\sigma'^{-1}, \psi'^{-1}) \circ (\sigma, \mathcal{F})}T) = {}_{(\sigma', \psi')}\Omega_{e_0}({}_{(\sigma_0^{-1}, \psi_0^{-1})}T) \\ &= {}_{(\sigma', \psi') \circ (\sigma_0^{-1}, \psi_0^{-1}) \circ (\sigma, \mathcal{F})}\Omega_{e_0}({}_{(\sigma_0^{-1}, \psi_0^{-1})}T) \\ &= {}_{(\sigma, \mathcal{F})}\Omega_e([T]) \end{aligned}$$

where the first and fourth equalities hold by the definitions of  $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}(e)}$  and  $\Omega_e$ , respectively. Therefore, the family of bijections  $\{\Omega_e : \mathcal{S}(kG_e eb) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(k\mathcal{O}_{G_e}, eb)\}$  satisfies condition (i).

**Condition (ii).** Let us first assume that  $e \in \mathcal{I}_0$ . Let  $s \in f \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \circ e$  and  $t \in e \circ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X) \circ f$  satisfying  $t \circ s = e$  and  $s \circ t = f$ . Then we have a group isomorphism  $\varphi : G_e \cong G_f$  sending  $x \in G_e$  to  $s \circ x \circ t$ . By Proposition 5.2, we have  $(\text{Id}_k, \varphi) \in (H \times \text{Iso}(G_e, G_f))_b$ . For any simple  $kG_f fb$ -module  $T$ , by definition of  $\Omega_f$ , we have

$$\Omega_f([T]) = {}_{(\text{Id}_k, \varphi)}\Omega_e({}_{(\text{Id}_k, \varphi^{-1})}T) = {}_{\varphi}\Omega_e({}_{(\varphi^{-1})}T) = \Omega_{e, f} \circ \Omega_e \circ \Lambda_{f, e}([T])$$

where the third equality holds by the definitions of  $\Lambda_{f, e}$  and  $\Omega_{e, f}$ . Now let us remove the assumption that  $e \in \mathcal{I}_0$ . Since  $e$  and  $f$  are isomorphic idempotents, there is a unique idempotent  $d \in \mathcal{I}_0$  such that  $e \sim d \sim f$ . Then by the argument above, we have  $\Omega_e := \Omega_{d, e} \circ \Omega_d \circ \Lambda_{e, d}$  and  $\Omega_f := \Omega_{d, f} \circ \Omega_d \circ \Lambda_{f, d}$ . It follows that

$$\Omega_f = \Omega_{d, f} \circ \Omega_{e, d} \circ \Omega_e \circ \Lambda_{d, e} \circ \Lambda_{f, d} = \Omega_{e, f} \circ \Omega_e \circ \Lambda_{f, e}.$$

Equivalently,  $\Omega_f \circ \Lambda_{e, f} = \Omega_{e, f} \circ \Omega_e$ . Therefore, condition (ii) holds.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.20.* This follows from Propositions 6.6 and 6.7. □

**Acknowledgements.** When writing this paper, the author is a visitor at City St George's, University of London supported by China Scholarship Council (202506770066) from 2026 to 2028. The author would like to thank Prof. Markus Linckelmann for some very helpful discussions and thank City for its hospitality and comfortable working environment. The author acknowledges support from National Natural Science Foundation of China (12471016), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (GZC20262006, 2025T001HB), and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (CCNU24XJ028).

## References

- [1] J.L. Alperin, Weights for finite groups, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **47** (1987) 369–379.
- [2] Y. Du, X. Huang, The blockwise Galois Alperin Weight Conjecture for symmetric and alternating groups, *Math. Z.* **311** (2025) Paper No. 3.
- [3] X. Huang, Virtual Morita equivalences and Brauer character bijections, *Arch. Math.* **123** (2024) 117–121.
- [4] M. Linckelmann, A version of Alperin's weight conjecture for finite category algebras, *J. Algebra* **398** (2014) 386–395.
- [5] M. Linckelmann, *The Block Theory of Finite Group Algebras I*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts, vol. **91**, Cambridge University Press, 2018.
- [6] M. Linckelmann, M. Stolorz, On simple modules over twisted finite category algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (2012) 3725–3737.
- [7] G. Navarro, The McKay conjecture and Galois automorphisms, *Ann. Math.* **160** (2004) 1129–1140.
- [8] G. Navarro, P. H. Tiep, A reduction theorem for the Alperin weight conjecture, *Invent. Math.* **184** (2011) 529–565.
- [9] B. Späth, A reduction theorem for the blockwise Alperin weight conjecture, *J. Group Theory* **16** (2013) 159–220.
- [10] J. Thévenaz, *G-algebras and Modular Representation Theory*, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon, Oxford, 1995.
- [11] A. Turull, The strengthened Alperin weight conjecture for  $p$ -solvable groups, *J. Algebra* **398** (2014) 469–480.
- [12] S. Wilcox, Cellularity of diagram algebras as twisted semigroup algebras, *J. Algebra* **309** (2007) 10–31.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China  
Email address: xinhuang@mails.ccnu.edu.cn