

**NEUTRAL REPRESENTATIONS
OF FINITE DIAGONALIZABLE GROUP SCHEMES
AND FIELDS OF MODULI**

GIULIO BRESCIANI, ANGELO VISTOLI, AND TIANZHI YANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of a *neutral representation* of a finite group, or finite group scheme, G ; a representation V with the property that if a gerbe \mathcal{G} over a field k that is a form of the classifying stack $\mathcal{B}G$ admits a vector bundle that is a form of V , then it is neutral, that is, $\mathcal{G}(k)$ is not empty. We give some criteria for a representation of a finite diagonalizable group scheme to be neutral.

We apply this notion to give wide classes of examples of smooth curves, or varieties with a marked point, with cyclic automorphism groups, which are defined over their field of moduli, greatly generalizing some of the results in [BV24b].

1. INTRODUCTION

The motivation for this paper comes from the theory of fields of moduli. The concept of *field of moduli* was introduced by Matsusaka in [Mat58], and considerably clarified by Shimura in [Shi59]. In the paper [BV24b], inspired by [DE99], this concept is generalized and put in the general context of the theory of gerbes, in the sense of Grothendieck and Giraud [Gir71]. We refer to the introduction of [BV24b] for a general discussion of the history of the problem.

Let k be a field, \bar{k} its algebraic closure. Let X be a proper variety, possibly with an additional structure, for example a polarization, or a set of marked points, with finite automorphism group over \bar{k} . Under some fairly weak hypotheses there exist an intermediate extension $k \subseteq \ell \subseteq \bar{k}$, finite over k , and a finite gerbe $\mathcal{G}_X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \ell$ of twisted forms of X , called the *residual gerbe* of X ; the field ℓ is the field of moduli of X .

The basic question is: is X defined over its field of moduli? In other words, is $\mathcal{G}_X(\ell) \neq \emptyset$, or is the gerbe \mathcal{G}_X *neutral*? In [BV24b] we give a non-trivial answer, based on the concept of *R-singularity*. If X is a d -dimensional variety over \bar{k} with a smooth marked point $x_0 \in X$, and its group of automorphism has the R_d -property [BV24b, Definition 6.12], then the pair (X, x_0) is defined over its field of moduli. This generalizes several results in the literature.

In the present paper we introduce a new idea, which allows us to give many new examples of classes of varieties that are defined over their field of moduli. If V is a cohomology group intrinsically associated with X , for example $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for some $i \geq 0$, this becomes a representation of the group G of automorphisms of X . Also,

The first and second authors were partially supported by research funds from Scuola Normale Superiore, project SNS19_B_VISTOLI, and by PRIN project “Derived and underived algebraic stacks and applications”.

V descends to a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_X$ over the residual gerbe. We show that there is an interesting class of examples of representations V of finite groups G , or, more generally, of finite group schemes, with the property that if the representation descends to a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ on a form \mathcal{G} of $\mathcal{B}G$, then \mathcal{G} is neutral. We call these *neutral representations*: see Definition 2.1 for the exact definition.

This paper treats the case of representations of diagonalizable groups; in particular, we get results about neutral representations of finite abelian groups of order prime with the characteristic of the base field. For simplicity, this is the only case that we will consider in the introduction.

To give a flavor of our results, in this introduction we only give the simplest example of neutral representation, which is however enough to show that the theory is nontrivial.

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be a prime, G a cyclic group of order p , V a finite-dimensional representation of G over an algebraically closed field of characteristic different from p . If $\dim V - \dim V^G$ is not divisible by p , then the representation is neutral.*

This is a particular case of Corollary 6.10. The case $p = 2$ is already contained in [BV24b].

Here are two corollaries, which cannot be proved with the techniques of [BV24b].

Corollary 1.2. *Let X be a smooth projective curve over \bar{k} , p a prime different from $\text{char } k$. Assume the following two conditions.*

- (1) *The automorphism group $\text{Aut } X$ of X is cyclic of order p .*
- (2) *The difference between the genus of X and the genus of $X/\text{Aut } X$ is not divisible by p .*

Then X is defined over its field of moduli.

This is a particular case of Theorem 8.2. The case $p = 2$ and $X/\text{Aut } X = \mathbb{P}^1$ follows from standard results on hyperelliptic curves.

Corollary 1.3. *Let (X, x_0) be an integral variety over \bar{k} with a smooth rational point $x_0 \in X(k)$, p a prime different from $\text{char } k$. Assume the following two conditions.*

- (1) *The automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X, x_0)$ is cyclic of order p .*
- (2) *The difference between $\dim X$ and the dimension of the fixed point locus X^G at x_0 is not divisible by p .*

Then (X, x_0) is defined over its field of moduli.

This is a particular case of Theorem 8.1. The case in which $p = 2$ and x_0 is an isolated fixed point is the content of [BV24b, Corollary 6.25].

In [Bre23], the first author classified R -singularities in dimension 2: as we shall explain in Theorem 3.2, this is equivalent to classifying faithful, neutral representations in dimension 2 without pseudoreflections. In the forthcoming paper [BY26], the first and third author complete the classification of faithful, neutral representations in dimension ≤ 3 and characteristic 0. Furthermore, they introduce new methods for studying faithful, neutral representations of abelian groups in arbitrary dimensions.

Contents of the paper. Section 2 contains the definition of a neutral representation.

In Section 3 we explain the close connection between neutral representations and R -singularities, a central tool in [BV24b]. Our methods allow us to give many more, but not all, examples of R -singularities.

Section 4 introduces the basic tool that we use, the *blended decomposition* of a representation.

Section 5 contains an auxiliary technical result that is undoubtedly known to experts, but for which we do not have a reference.

The main results are stated in Section 6. Together, they give a general condition for a representation to be neutral. The statements are somewhat involved, but they become much simpler for cyclic groups: see Corollary 6.9 for the more general statement, and Corollary 6.10 for a particularly simple statement. The proofs of the main theorems are in Section 7.

Finally, Section 8 contains our applications to fields of moduli of curves and pointed varieties with cyclic automorphism groups, Theorem 8.2 and Theorem 8.1.

2. GENERAL SETUP, AND NEUTRAL REPRESENTATIONS

If K is a field, we denote by (Aff/K) the category of affine schemes over K . If G is a finite group scheme over a field K , or a finite group, we denote by $\mathcal{B}_K G \rightarrow (\text{Aff}/K)$ the classifying stack of G -torsors over K -algebras.

All the gerbes we will consider are going to be finite gerbes, in the sense of [BV15, Definition 4.1], over fields; this means the following. Let K be a field, $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow (\text{Aff}/K)$ a category fibered in groupoids. We say that \mathcal{G} is a *finite gerbe over K* (or, from now on, simply *a gerbe*) if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1) $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow (\text{Aff}/K)$ is a gerbe in the fppf topology.
- (2) \mathcal{G} is limit-preserving, in the sense that if $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a filtered system of K -algebras, the natural functor $\varinjlim_i \mathcal{G}(A_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\varinjlim_i A_i)$ is an equivalence of categories.
- (3) The diagonal $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}$ is representable and finite.

A gerbe $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow (\text{Aff}/K)$ is *neutral* if $\mathcal{G}(K) \neq \emptyset$. Such a gerbe should properly be called “neutralizable”, and the term “neutral” should be reserved for a gerbe with a specified section in $\mathcal{G}(K)$, but the distinction is not important for our purposes, and we will go with the simpler word. If $\xi \in \mathcal{G}(K)$ and $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{\text{Aut}}_K \xi$, then \mathcal{G} is equivalent to $\mathcal{B}_K G$.

The conditions above imply that there exists a finite extension L/K , a finite group scheme $G \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$, and an equivalence $\mathcal{G}_L \simeq \mathcal{B}_L G$. A finite gerbe over K is a smooth Artin stack of finite type over K .

Two gerbes, \mathcal{G} over K and \mathcal{H} over L , are *equivalent* if there exists a common extension $K \subseteq E$ and $L \subseteq E$ such that \mathcal{G}_E and \mathcal{H}_E are equivalent as fibered categories over (Aff/E) .

Neutral representations. Let K a field, G a finite group scheme, $G \rightarrow \text{GL}_K(V)$ a finite-dimensional representation of G . We can view V as a vector bundle $[V/G] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_K G$.

If $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is a gerbe and $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a vector bundle, we say that *the pair $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V})$ is equivalent to $(\mathcal{B}_K G, V)$* , or that *$(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V})$ is a model of $(\mathcal{B}_K G, V)$* , if there exists a common extension E of k and K , and an equivalence $\alpha: \mathcal{B}_E G_E \simeq \mathcal{G}_E$, with an isomorphism of vector bundles $\phi: [V/G]_E \simeq \alpha^* \mathcal{V}_E$ over $\mathcal{B}_E G$.

Such a pair (α, ϕ) will be called *an equivalence of $(\mathcal{B}_K G, V)$ with $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V})$* . Alternatively, a vector bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ on a gerbe \mathcal{G} such that $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V})$ is equivalent to $(\mathcal{B}_K G, V)$ will be called *a V -form*.

If G is a finite group and G_K is the corresponding discrete group scheme over K , representations $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_K(V)$ correspond to representations $G_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_K(V)$; from now on we will identify representations of G and representations of G_K .

The following is the main definition of our paper.

Definition 2.1. Let K be a field, G a finite group scheme over K , $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_K(V)$ a finite-dimensional representation of G . We say that V is *neutral* if, for every V -form $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, the gerbe \mathcal{G} is neutral.

Of course, this definition could be greatly generalized; one could also consider non-finite group schemes, and sets of representations rather than a single representation. One can also fix a base field k , and only consider gerbes over extensions of k . These generalizations will not be pursued in the present paper.

If K' is an extension of K , and V is a representation of G over K , then the representation $V_{K'}$ over K' by extension of scalars is neutral if and only if V is neutral. So, in discussing what representations are neutral we can always assume that K is algebraically closed.

3. NEUTRAL REPRESENTATIONS AND R -SINGULARITIES

Let us recall the notion of R -singularity. The following definitions are contained in [BV24b].

Definition 3.1.

- (a) A *singularity* over a field k is a pair (S, s) , where S is a scheme of finite type over k , and $s \in S(k)$ is a rational point.
- (b) Two singularities (S, s) and (S', s') over k are *equivalent* if $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S,s}$ is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{S',s'}$ as a k -algebra. By Artin's approximation theorem, this is equivalent to saying that (S, s) and (S', s') have isomorphic étale neighborhoods.
- (c) Two singularities (S, s) and (S', s') over two fields k and k' with the same characteristic are *stably equivalent* if there exists a common extension $k \subseteq k''$ and $k' \subseteq k''$ such that $(S, s)_{k''}$ and $(S', s')_{k''}$ are equivalent.
- (d) A singularity (S, s) is a *tame quotient singularity* if it is stably equivalent to a singularity of type $(V/G, [0])$, where G is a finite discrete group with $\mathrm{char} k \nmid |G|$ and V is a representation of G over an algebraically closed field K . By Cartan's theorem, this is equivalent to the existence of a variety V over an algebraically closed field K with a smooth point $v_0 \in V(K)$, together with the action of a finite discrete group with $\mathrm{char} k \nmid |G|$ on V leaving v_0 fixed, such that (S, s) is stably equivalent to $(V/G, [v_0])$.
- (e) A singularity (S, s) over k is *liftable* if given a resolution of singularities $\tilde{S} \rightarrow S$, there is a k -rational point of \tilde{S} lying over s . By the Lang–Nishimura's theorem, this condition does not depend on the resolution \tilde{S} .
- (f) An *R -singularity* is a tame quotient singularity (S, s) , such that every singularity (S', s') that is stably equivalent to (S, s) is liftable.

R -singularities and neutral representations are strictly linked.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a finite group with $p \nmid |G|$, and let $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_K(V)$ be a faithful representation, where K is an algebraically closed field with $\mathrm{char} K \nmid |G|$.*

- (1) Assume that $(V/G, [0])$ is an R -singularity. Then the representation V is neutral.
(2) Assume that G does not contain any pseudoreflection, and that the representation V is neutral. Then $(V/G, [0])$ is an R -singularity.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a V -form over a field k . By extending K we may assume that $k \subseteq K$, and that $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V})_K$ is equivalent to $(\mathcal{B}_K G, [V/G])$. Call M the moduli space of \mathcal{V} , fix $m_0 \in M(k)$, and let $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a resolution of singularities. The base change M_K is isomorphic to V/G , and the image $m_0 \in M(k)$ corresponds to $[O] \in V/G$.

Consider the embedding $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ given by the 0-section; the image of \mathcal{G} in M is m_0 . The reduced inverse image of m_0 in \mathcal{V} is \mathcal{G} . Since $(V/G, [0])$ is an R -singularity, the resolution \widetilde{M} has a rational point over m_0 . Then [BV24a, Corollary 4.4] shows that $\mathcal{G}(k)$ is not empty, and the first statement follows.

Now, assume that $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_K(V)$ does not contain any pseudoreflection, and V is neutral. Let (S, s) be a singularity over a field k which is stably equivalent to $(V/G, [0])$, and let $\widetilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be a resolution of singularities. Call $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow S$ the minimal stack of S (see [BV24b, §6.1]). We need to show that \widetilde{S} has a rational point over k . Denote by \mathcal{G} the reduced inverse image of s in \mathcal{S} , and let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be the normal bundle of \mathcal{G} in \mathcal{S} . Again by [BV24a, Corollary 4.4] it is enough to show that \mathcal{G} has a rational point. Since $[V/G] \rightarrow V/G$ is the minimal stack, because G does not contain any pseudoreflections, and formation of the minimal stack commutes with base change on the base field, we have that \mathcal{S}_K is equivalent to $[V/G]$, and \mathcal{G} is the substack $[0/G] \subseteq [V/G]$. Hence $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a V -form, and the conclusion follows. ♠

Example 3.3. Let us show that the condition that G does not contain any pseudoreflection in Theorem 3.2.(2) is necessary. Take an algebraically closed field K of characteristic different from 2, let C_4 be a cyclic group of order 4, and χ a faithful character of C_4 . Consider the 2-dimensional representation $V = \chi \oplus \chi^2$ of C_4 .

The element of order 2 of C_4 acts as a pseudoreflection on V , so V/C_2 is smooth by the Chevalley–Shephard–Todd theorem and the singularity $(V/C_4, [0]) = ((V/C_2)/C_2, [0])$ is equivalent to the singularity $((\rho \oplus \rho)/C_2, [0])$, where ρ is a faithful character of C_2 . The representation V of C_4 is neutral by Corollary 6.10, but $(V/C_4, [0]) \sim ((\rho \oplus \rho)/C_2, [0])$ is not an R -singularity by [Bre23, Theorem 4].

Corollary 3.4. Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(K)$ be a finite discrete subgroup with $\mathrm{char} k \nmid |G|$, which is generated by pseudoreflections. Then the corresponding representation is neutral.

Proof. Set $V \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathbb{A}^n$. By the Chevalley–Shephard–Todd theorem, the quotient V/G is smooth; hence $(V/G, [0])$ is an R -singularity, so the result follows from the theorem. ♠

4. BLENDED EIGENSPACES

In the rest of the paper we will discuss neutral representations of finite diagonalizable groups. The field K will be algebraically closed, and G will always be a finite diagonalizable group scheme over K . We will say that G is *cyclic* if it is isomorphic to μ_n for some natural number n .

We denote by $\widehat{G} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{Hom}_K(G, \mathbb{G}_m)$ the group of characters of G . We will use additive notation for \widehat{G} .

Fix a representation $\rho_V : G \xrightarrow{\rho_V} \mathrm{GL}_K(V)$ of G over K ; we will usually simply say “ V is a representation of G ”. Then $V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} V_\chi$ has an eigenspace decomposition, where G acts on V_χ by multiplication through χ .

We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_V G$ the subgroup of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut} G$ consisting of automorphisms $\phi : G \simeq G$ with the property that the composite representation $\rho_V \circ \phi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_K(V)$ is isomorphic to V . An automorphism ϕ of G is in $\mathrm{Aut}_V G$ if and only if $\dim_K V_\chi = \dim_K V_{\chi \circ \phi}$ for every $\chi \in \widehat{G}$.

Denote by Ω_V the set of orbits for the natural action of $\mathrm{Aut}_V G$ on \widehat{G} ; clearly, if two characters χ and χ' are in the same orbit, we have $\dim V_\chi = \dim V_{\chi'}$. If $\omega \in \Omega_V$, we denote by $V_\omega \subseteq V$ the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\chi \in \omega} V_\chi$. Two characters χ and χ' are *V-equivalent* if they are in the same orbit.

Definition 4.1. If $\omega \in \Omega_V$, we denote by $V_\omega \subseteq V$ the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\chi \in \omega} V_\chi$. The subrepresentations $V_\omega \subseteq V$ are called *blended eigenspaces*.

A *blended subrepresentation* of V is a direct sum of blended eigenspaces.

The *blended decomposition* of V is the decomposition

$$V = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega_V} V_\omega$$

It is easy to see that a subspace $W \subseteq V$ is a blended subrepresentation if and only if it is preserved by every ϕ -equivariant automorphism of V , where $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}_V G$.

The idea of the blended decomposition is to define the finest decomposition of V which is guaranteed to induce a decomposition of every V -form.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a V -form over L . The blended decomposition of V induces a decomposition $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega_V} \mathcal{V}_\omega$.*

Proof. Let A be the band of \mathcal{G} , and A' a diagonalizable group scheme over L such that $A_{\bar{L}} \simeq G$. Since $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}(G)$ is a finite étale group scheme and $\underline{\mathrm{Isom}}_L(A, A')$ is an $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_L(G)$ -torsor, there exists a finite Galois extension L'/L such that $A_{L'} \simeq A'_{L'}$ is diagonalizable. By [Lie07, Proposition 2.2.1.6], $\mathcal{V}_{L'}$ splits as a direct sum of eigensheaves corresponding to the eigenspaces of V . The statement follows by Galois descent. \spadesuit

5. PERIODS OF ABELIAN GERBES

Let A be an abelian, finite group scheme over a field k and $\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^i(k, A)$ an fppf cohomology class for $i \geq 1$. The *period* of α is its order in $\mathrm{H}^i(k, A)$, while the index of α is the greatest common divisor of the degrees of its splitting fields. The period of α divides the order of A .

It is well-known that, for étale (Galois) cohomology classes, the period divides the index and they have the same prime factors. This is still true for non-reduced group schemes and fppf cohomology.

Lemma 5.1. *The period of α divides its index, and they have the same prime factors.*

Proof. If h/k is a splitting field, then $0 = \mathrm{cor}_{h/k} \alpha_h = [h : k]\alpha \in \mathrm{H}^2(k, A)$, where $\mathrm{cor} : \mathrm{H}^2(h, A_h) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^2(k, A)$ is the corestriction operator. Hence the period divides the index.

Let p be a prime which does not divide the period; we want to show that there exists a splitting field of degree prime with p . Let h/k be a splitting field which

is a normal extension, and let $h_0 \subset h$ be the separable closure of k in h . Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(h/k) = \text{Gal}(h_0/k)$ be a p -Sylow subgroup, write $k' = h_0^G$ if $p = \text{char } k$ and $k' = h^G$ otherwise. In any case, $[h : k']$ is a power of p and $[k' : k]$ is prime with p .

Since h is a splitting field, the period of $\alpha_{k'}$ divides $[h : k']$; this implies that $\alpha_{k'}$ is trivial, since we are assuming that p does not divide the period of α . Hence, k'/k is a splitting field for α of degree prime with p . \spadesuit

A finite abelian gerbe \mathcal{G} over k with band A has an associated cohomology class $[\mathcal{G}] \in H^2(k, A)$, so we can talk about the period and index of \mathcal{G} .

6. THE MAIN THEOREMS

Let us fix a finite diagonalizable group scheme G over an algebraically closed field K , and a finite-dimensional representation $G \rightarrow \text{GL}_K(V)$. We want to give a criterion for V to be neutral.

Definition 6.1. A prime p is *critical* if there exists a V -form $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that p divides the period of \mathcal{G} .

Thus, a representation is neutral if and only if it has no critical primes.

Notice that every critical prime must divide $|G|$.

If p is a prime, denote by G_p the largest subgroup scheme of G whose order is a power of p . The character group \widehat{G}_p is the p -primary part $\widehat{G}\{p\}$ of the character group \widehat{G} , and the restriction homomorphism $\widehat{G} \rightarrow \widehat{G}_p$ induced by the embedding $G_p \subseteq G$ is the projection coming from the decomposition $\widehat{G} = \prod_p \widehat{G}\{p\}$. We will call G_p the p -Sylow subgroup of G .

Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a V -form over a field L . By extending K , we may assume that $L \subseteq K$. Furthermore, we will fix an equivalence (α, ϕ) of $(\mathcal{B}_K G, [V/G])$ with $(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathcal{V})$. This will allow us to associate with a line bundle \mathcal{L} on \mathcal{G} , corresponding to a morphism $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_L \mathbb{G}_m$, a character $G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, obtained by composing $\alpha: \mathcal{B}_K G \simeq \mathcal{G}_K$ with the morphism $\mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_K \mathbb{G}_m$ coming from the pullback \mathcal{L}_K of \mathcal{L} to \mathcal{G}_K . It is important to keep in mind that the character associated with \mathcal{L} depends on α .

Definition 6.2. We say that the character $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ *descends to \mathcal{G}* if it comes from a line bundle on \mathcal{G} .

Example 6.3. Let $\omega \in \Omega_V$, and set $d \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim V_\chi$ for $\chi \in \omega$. Then the character $\chi_\omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} d \sum_{\chi \in \omega} \chi$ descends to \mathcal{G} , as it corresponds to the line bundle $\det \mathcal{V}_\omega$ on \mathcal{G} .

Here is our general criterion.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a V -form over a field L , and p a divisor of $|G|$. Assume that there exists a finite extension E/L of degree prime to p , such that the restrictions in \widehat{G}_p of the characters of G that descend to \mathcal{G}_E generate \widehat{G}_p .*

Then p does not divide the index of \mathcal{G} .

In order to apply the theorem we need criteria to check when a character descends, after passing to an extension of degree prime to p .

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a V -form over a field L , p a divisor of $|G|$, and ω in Ω_V .*

- (1) *If p does not divide $|\omega|$, there exists a finite separable extension E/L of degree prime to p , such that one of the characters in ω descends to \mathcal{G}_E .*

- (2) If $p > |\omega|$, there exists a finite Galois extension E/L of degree prime to p , such that all the characters in ω descend to \mathcal{G}_E .

Corollary 6.6. *Let p be a prime divisor of $|G|$ with $p > \dim_K V$. If V is faithful when restricted to G_p , then p is not critical.*

Corollary 6.7. *If V is faithful, and $|G|$ is prime with $(\dim_K V)!$, then V is neutral.*

Together with Theorem 3.2 and [BV24b, Lemma 6.22], this gives an alternative proof of [BV24b, Theorem 6.19].

The problem with applying Theorem 6.5 is that in case $p \leq |\omega|$, we don't have a way of knowing which of the characters in ω descends. The characters in \widehat{G} whose image in $\widehat{G}_p/p\widehat{G}_p$ is zero do not contribute. Thus, if χ_1, \dots, χ_r are characters whose restriction to \widehat{G}_p is not in $p\widehat{G}_p$, whether their images in \widehat{G}_p generate only depends on the lines that their images in $\widehat{G}_p/p\widehat{G}_p$ generate (here we are thinking of $\widehat{G}_p/p\widehat{G}_p$ as a vector space on \mathbb{F}_p). Denote by P the projective space of lines in $\widehat{G}_p/p\widehat{G}_p$; if the action of $\text{Aut}_V G$ on P is trivial, then whether the images in \widehat{G}_p of a sequence χ_1, \dots, χ_r of characters generate only depends on the classes of the χ_i in Ω_V . Hence we get the following.

Corollary 6.8. *Assume the following two conditions.*

- (1) *The action of $\text{Aut}_V G$ on the set of lines in $\widehat{G}_p/p\widehat{G}_p$ is trivial.*
- (2) *Let S be the set of characters $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ such that p does not divide $\dim V_\chi$, and such that either*
 - (a) *the restriction to G_p of $\sum_{\chi' \equiv \chi} \chi'$ is primitive, or*
 - (b) *the order of the orbit of χ is not divisible by p .*

Then the restrictions of the elements of S to G_p generate \widehat{G}_p .

Then p is not critical.

When G_p is cyclic, the result has a particularly simple form, given that the first condition of the corollary above is automatically satisfied, and that to generate G_p we only need a faithful character.

Corollary 6.9. *Suppose that G_p is cyclic. Assume that there exists a faithful character $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ such that p does not divide $\dim_K V_\chi$, and either*

- (a) *the restriction of $\sum_{\chi' \equiv \chi} \chi'$ to G_p is faithful, or*
- (b) *the order of the orbit of χ is not divisible by p .*

Then p is not critical.

From this we get the following simple criterion for V to be neutral when G is cyclic.

Corollary 6.10. *Assume that G is cyclic. For each prime divisor p of $|G|$, denote by $H_p \subseteq G$ the only subgroup scheme of G that is isomorphic to μ_p . If the difference $\dim_K V - \dim_K V^{H_p}$ is not divisible by p for every prime divisor p of $|G|$, then V is neutral.*

Proof. The point here is that a character of G_p is faithful if and only if its restriction to H_p is nontrivial. ♠

7. THE PROOFS OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of Theorem 6.4. Since E/L has degree prime with p , then p divides the index of \mathcal{G} if and only if it divides the index of \mathcal{G}_E . Hence, up to replacing L with E , we may assume that the restrictions in \widehat{G}_p of the characters of G that descend to \mathcal{G} generate \widehat{G}_p .

If $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$ is the band of \mathcal{G} , we have a decomposition $\Gamma = \Gamma' \times \Gamma''$, where Γ', Γ'' are group schemes of multiplicative type, such that the order of Γ' is a power of p , and the order of Γ'' is prime with p . This gives a decomposition $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}' \times \mathcal{G}''$, where Γ', Γ'' are the bands of $\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{G}''$ respectively. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that \mathcal{G}'' has a splitting field F of degree prime to p .

If we show that \mathcal{G}'_F is neutral, we can conclude that F/L is a splitting field for \mathcal{G} , and hence, that p does not divide the index of \mathcal{G} . Thus, we may base change to F , and assume that $\mathcal{G}'' = \mathcal{B}_L \Gamma''$.

Denote by V_p the restriction of V to G_p ; the morphism $\text{Spec } L \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_L \Gamma''$ corresponding to the trivial Γ'' -torsor yields a representable morphism $\mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. The pullback \mathcal{V}' of \mathcal{V} is a V_p -form. Hence we can replace G with G_p , and prove the following particular case of the theorem.

Lemma 7.1. *Assume that G is a p -group, and that the characters of G that descend to \mathcal{G} generate \widehat{G} . Then \mathcal{G} is neutral.*

Proof. Choose a sequence χ_1, \dots, χ_r of characters of G that descend to \mathcal{G} , that forms a minimal set of generators of \widehat{G} . Let the order of χ_i be p^{d_i} , so that $\widehat{G} = (\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_1}\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \dots \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p^{d_r}\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r$ be a sequence of line bundles on \mathcal{G} , such that the pullback of \mathcal{L}_i to $\mathcal{B}_K G \simeq \mathcal{G}_E$ corresponds to χ_i .

Let $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_L \mathbb{G}_m^r$ be the morphism obtained from the sequence $\mathcal{L}_1, \dots, \mathcal{L}_r$. If Γ is the band of \mathcal{G} , the resulting homomorphism of commutative group schemes $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^r$ is injective, and the class of \mathcal{G} in $H^2(L, \Gamma)$ maps to the class of $\mathcal{B}_L \mathbb{G}_m^r$, which is 0, in $H^2(L, \mathbb{G}_m^r)$.

The homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^r$ gives an isomorphism of Γ with $\mu_{p^{d_1}} \times \dots \times \mu_{p^{d_r}} \subseteq \mathbb{G}_m^r$, and $H^2(L, \mu_{p^{d_i}}) \rightarrow H^2(L, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is injective by Hilbert's theorem 90. It follows that $H^2(L, \Gamma) \rightarrow H^2(L, \mathbb{G}_m^r) = H^2(L, \mathbb{G}_m)^r$ is injective as well, hence the class of \mathcal{G} in $H^2(L, \Gamma)$ is 0 and \mathcal{G} is neutral. ♠

This ends the proof of Theorem 6.4. ♠

Proof of Theorem 6.5. As G is diagonalizable, it comes from a unique diagonalizable group scheme over the prime field k , which we call again G ; similarly, V comes from a unique representation of G over k , which we denote again by V .

Let us define two set-valued functors Γ and Δ on (Aff/L) as follows. Let n be the order of ω . Let S be an affine scheme over L .

- (1) $\Gamma(S)$ is the set of subbundles $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_S$, with the property that there exists an fppf cover $\{S_\alpha \rightarrow S\}$, an equivalence of $\mathcal{V}_{S_\alpha} \simeq [V/G]_{S_\alpha}$, sending $\mathcal{W}_{S_\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{S_\alpha}$ into $([V_\chi/G])_{S_\alpha}$ for some $\chi \in \omega$ (this χ might depend on α).
- (2) $\Delta(S)$ is the set of sequences $\mathcal{W}_1, \dots, \mathcal{W}_n$ of subbundles $\mathcal{W}_i \subseteq \mathcal{V}_S$, with the property that there exists an fppf cover $\{S_\alpha \rightarrow S\}$, an equivalence of $\mathcal{V}_{S_\alpha} \simeq [V/G]_{S_\alpha}$, sending the $(\mathcal{W}_i)_{S_\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{S_\alpha}$ into the set $([V_\chi])_{S_\alpha}$ for $\chi \in \omega$, with some ordering.

It is easy to see that Γ is a finite étale cover of $\text{Spec } L$ of degree n ; while Δ is a finite Galois cover with group S_n , where S_n acts on Δ by permuting the \mathcal{W}_i above. If we take E to be an extension of L such that $\text{Spec } E$ is a connected component of Γ in case (1), and Δ in case (2), we see that in both cases E has the desired property. \spadesuit

8. GEOMETRIC APPLICATIONS

We will refer to [BV24b] for our general setup on fields of moduli and residual gerbes. Assume that we are given a locally finitely presented fppf stack $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow (\text{Aff}/k)$. If ξ is an object in $\mathcal{M}(\bar{k})$, we can define its residual gerbe \mathcal{G}_ξ , is a subcategory of \mathcal{M} which is an fppf gerbe over an algebraic extension ℓ of k . Let us assume that \mathcal{G}_ξ is a finite over ℓ ; if k is perfect, by [BV24a, Proposition 3.9], this is equivalent to asking that the automorphism group scheme $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{k}} \xi$ is a finite group scheme.

Assume that for some n we are given a cartesian functor $F: \mathcal{G}_\xi \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_k \text{GL}_n$, which associates with every object η of some $\mathcal{G}_\xi(S)$ a vector bundle $F(\eta)$ of rank n over S ; this gives a vector bundle \mathcal{V} over \mathcal{G}_ξ . We obtain a finite-dimensional vector space $V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F(\xi)$ over \bar{k} . By functoriality, the group G acts linearly on V . By definition $(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{V})$ is equivalent to $(\mathcal{B}_{\bar{k}} G, V)$.

So, if the representation $G \rightarrow \text{GL}_{\bar{k}} V$ is neutral, the residual gerbe \mathcal{G}_ξ is neutral; in other words, ξ is defined over its field of moduli.

For example, assume that \mathcal{M} is the stack of proper algebraic spaces; in other words, for each affine scheme S over k , $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is the category of proper finitely presented algebraic spaces over S . If $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \bar{k}$ is a proper algebraic space with residual gerbe $\mathcal{G}_X \rightarrow \text{Spec } \ell$ and $\pi: Y \rightarrow S$ is a twisted form of X defined over an affine scheme S , the sheaf $R^i \pi_* \Omega_{Y/S}$ is locally free, and its formation commutes with base change. We get a vector bundle \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{G}_X ; it is equivalent to the vector space $H^i(X, \Omega_{X/\bar{k}})$, with the natural action of $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{k}} X$.

We can will also consider the category \mathcal{M} of pointed spaces; an object (X, x_0) is a proper finitely presented algebraic space over S , and $x_0: S \rightarrow X$ is a section of $X \rightarrow S$. If (X, x_0) is an object of $\mathcal{M}(S)$, we can consider the normal bundle $N_S X$. This is defined as the dual vector bundle to the locally free sheaf $I_S X / (I_S X)^2$ on S , where $I_S X$ is the sheaf of ideals of the closed embedding $x_0: S \rightarrow X$. The corresponding representation of $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{k}}(X, x_0)$ is its natural action on the tangent space $T_{X, x_0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\mathfrak{m}_{x_0} / \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}^2)^\vee$.

We will only give two simple examples of applications of this principle; much more general, and more elaborate, statements are of course possible. We will limit ourselves to the case of objects with cyclic automorphism groups, in which we can apply Corollary 6.10; already in this simple case the statements are much more general than those present in the literature.

Theorem 8.1. *Let X be a proper algebraic variety over \bar{k} , and let $x_0 \in X(\bar{k})$ a smooth point. Assume that*

$$G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\bar{k}}(X, x_0) = \mu_n$$

for some positive integer n . For each prime divisor p of n denote by $H_p \subseteq G$ the only subgroup scheme that is isomorphic to μ_p . Assume that for each prime divisor p of n we have that $\dim_{x_0} X - \dim_{x_0} X^{H_p}$ is not divisible by p . Then the pointed variety (X, x_0) is defined over its field of moduli.

Proof. Call V the tangent space to X in x_0 ; then G acts linearly on V . Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgroup scheme of G ; since H is linearly reductive, we have that X^H is smooth at x_0 , and the tangent space to X^H in x_0 is V^H . Hence the statement follows from Corollary 6.10. ♠

Theorem 8.2. *Let X be a smooth projective curve over \bar{k} of genus at least 2, such that $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Aut}_{\bar{k}} X$ is cyclic, of order prime to $\text{char } k$. For each prime divisor p of $|G|$ we denote by $H_p \subseteq G$ the only subgroup of order p . Assume that for every such p the difference between the genus of X and the genus of X/H_p is not divisible by p . Then X is defined over its field of moduli.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that the representation $H^0(X, \Omega_{X/\bar{k}})$ of G is neutral. Since the genus of X equals $\dim_{\bar{k}} H^0(X, \Omega_{X/\bar{k}})$, while the genus of X/H_p equals $\dim_{\bar{k}} H^0(X/H_p, \Omega_{(X/H_p)/\bar{k}}) = \dim_{\bar{k}} H^0(X, \Omega_{X/\bar{k}})^{H_p}$, the statement follows from Corollary 6.10. ♠

REFERENCES

- [Bre23] Giulio Bresciani, *The arithmetic of tame quotient singularities in dimension 2*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2023), <https://academic.oup.com/imrn/advance-article/doi/10.1093/imrn/rnad079/7142915>.
- [BV15] Niels Borne and Angelo Vistoli, *The Nori fundamental gerbe of a fibered category*, J. Algebraic Geom. **24** (2015), no. 2, 311–353.
- [BV24a] Giulio Bresciani and Angelo Vistoli, *An arithmetic valuative criterion for proper maps of tame algebraic stacks*, Manuscripta Math. **173** (2024), no. 3-4, 1061–1071.
- [BV24b] ———, *Fields of moduli and the arithmetic of tame quotient singularities*, Compos. Math. **160** (2024), no. 5, 982–1003.
- [BY26] Giulio Bresciani and Tianzhi Yang, *Twisted representations and arithmetic of quotient singularities in dimension ≤ 3* , to appear, 2026.
- [DE99] Pierre Dèbes and Michel Emsalem, *On fields of moduli of curves*, J. Algebra **211** (1999), no. 1, 42–56.
- [Gir71] Jean Giraud, *Cohomologie non abélienne*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 179.
- [Lie07] Max Lieblich, *Moduli of twisted sheaves*, Duke Math. J. **138** (2007), no. 1, 23–118. MR 2309155
- [Mat58] T. Matsusaka, *Polarized varieties, fields of moduli and generalized Kummer varieties of polarized abelian varieties*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 45–82.
- [Shi59] Goro Shimura, *On the theory of automorphic functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **70** (1959), 101–144.

Email address, Bresciani: giulio.bresciani1@unipi.it

Email address, Vistoli: angelo.vistoli@sns.it

Email address, Yang: tianzhi.yang@sns.it

(Bresciani) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PISA, ITALY

(Vistoli, Yang) SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PISA, ITALY