

BILINEAR SPHERICAL MAXIMAL FUNCTION ON THE HEISENBERG GROUP

ABHISHEK GHOSH AND RAJESH K. SINGH

ABSTRACT. We introduce the bilinear Nevo–Thangavelu spherical means on the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n , and derive $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ estimates for the single-scale bilinear averaging operators, the (full) bilinear Nevo–Thangavelu maximal operator and finally for the bilinear lacunary maximal operator on $\mathbb{H}^n; n \geq 2$. Our result for the full maximal operator is sharp. The principal tools in our analysis include newly developed estimates for single-scale bilinear averages, Hopf’s maximal ergodic theorem, and a T^*T argument adapted to this setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important pursuit in modern real-variable harmonic analysis is the study of averages over lower-dimensional manifolds. This goes back to the pioneering work by Stein in [32] where he proved that the spherical maximal function, defined by,

$$\mathcal{S}f(x) := \sup_{r>0} |S_r f(x)|, \quad S_r f(x) := \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x - ry) d\sigma_{n-1}(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

(where $d\sigma_{n-1}$ is the normalized surface measure on S^{n-1}) is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $p > n/(n-1)$ for $n \geq 3$. In dimension two, Bourgain [6] resolved the problem by showing that the circular maximal function is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to itself for $p > 2$. The lacunary analogue, known as the lacunary spherical maximal operator, is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{lac}} f(x) = \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |S_{2^\ell} f(x)|,$$

and was studied by Coifman–Weiss [9] and by C. Calderón in [7], and it was proved that \mathcal{S}_{lac} maps $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself for $1 < p \leq \infty$. A more general framework was developed by Duoandikoetxea and Rubio de Francia in [11] relating the boundedness of singular maximal operators $M_\mu f(x) := \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |f * \mu_\ell|$ with the Fourier decay of the underlying measure μ . These prompted a great deal of research in this direction and study of these maximal operators is still an active area of research. Recently, in [31], the work of Duoandikoetxea and Rubio de Francia is extended to the vast generality of homogeneous groups

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 43A80, 42B25. Secondary: 22E25, 42B35.

Key words and phrases. Bilinear spherical means, Heisenberg group, Bilinear spherical maximal function, Homogeneous group, Lacunary maximal function.

wherein the Fourier decay is appropriately replaced by the *Curvature assumption* of the singular measure μ at hand. Needless to mention that this goes back to the foundational work of Ricci–Stein in [26]. Motivated by these works, in this article we introduce bilinear spherical averages on the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n and study the associated lacunary and full bilinear spherical maximal operator on the Heisenberg group. To provide context and clearly state our results, we introduce certain preliminaries at this stage.

The bilinear Nevo–Thangavelu maximal function. Let $\mathbb{H}^n := \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ denote the $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group, equipped with the group law defined for $x = (z, t), y = (w, s) \in \mathbb{H}^n$ by

$$(1.1) \quad (z, t) \cdot (w, s) := \left(z + w, t + s + \frac{1}{2}\Im(z \cdot \bar{w}) \right).$$

Further, $\delta_r(z, t) := (rz, r^2t)$ denotes the one-parameter family of dilations on \mathbb{H}^n for every $r > 0$. The Haar measure on \mathbb{H}^n is the Lebesgue measure $dzdt$, and \mathbb{H}^n is an homogeneous space equipped with the left-invariant Korányi norm $|(z, t)| = (\|z\|^4 + |t|^2)^{1/4}$, $\|z\|$ is the Euclidean norm on \mathbb{C}^n . Also, we have $|B(a, r)| = c_n r^Q$, where $Q = (2n + 2)$, denotes the *homogeneous dimension* of \mathbb{H}^n , and $d = (2n + 1)$ will denote the *topological dimension* of \mathbb{H}^n . For $r > 0$, and $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n)$, we define the bilinear Nevo–Thangavelu averages \mathfrak{S}_r as

$$(1.2) \quad \mathfrak{S}_r(f, g)(x) = \int_{S^{4n-1}} f(x \cdot \delta_r(z_1, 0)) g(x \cdot \delta_r(z_2, 0)) d\sigma_{4n-1}(z_1, z_2), \quad x \in \mathbb{H}^n,$$

$d\sigma_{4n-1}$ represents the rotation invariant normalized surface measure on S^{4n-1} , and here on wards we simply write $d\sigma$ if there is no confusion. The associated lacunary and full bilinear spherical maximal functions are, respectively, defined by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}(f, g)(x) = \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f, g)(x)|, \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}(f, g)(x) = \sup_{r > 0} |\mathfrak{S}_r(f, g)(x)|.$$

The primary objective of this article is to study the $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ bounds for $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}$. We now state the first main result of this article concerning the boundedness properties of the single-scale averaging operators \mathfrak{S}_r .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 2$, and $d = 2n + 1$. Suppose $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2})$ is contained in the region \mathcal{D} , which consists of the open pentagon with the corners $O = (0, 0)$, $A = (0, 1)$, $E = (\frac{d-1}{d}, 1)$, $F = (1, \frac{d-1}{d})$, $D = (1, 0)$, together with the line segments $[O, A], [A, E], (F, D], [D, O]$. Let $0 < p \leq \infty$ obeying the Hölder relation $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. Then, we have*

$$\|\mathfrak{S}_r(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

uniformly for all $r > 0$.

To provide the right context to the above result, we note that multilinear convolution operators of the form

$$T_n(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n)(x) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x - z_i) d\sigma(z_1, \dots, z_n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

were studied by Oberlin [24]. We also note the interesting result by Shrivastava and Shuin in [29] where they studied the operator T_n for Banach range of indices. Recently, in [16] the authors studied Euclidean bilinear spherical means

$$\mathcal{A}_r(f, g)(x) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} |f(x - ry)g(x - rz)| d\sigma_{2n-1}(y, z),$$

and proved that \mathcal{A}_r maps $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $s \in [1/2, 1]$, they also proved similar results for the triangle averaging operators. Our result Theorem 1.1 extends these to the Heisenberg group.

When it comes to the Euclidean bilinear spherical maximal operator

$$\mathcal{M}(f, g)(x) := \sup_{r>0} |\mathcal{A}_r(f, g)(x)|,$$

there are many serious developments over the last couple of years. To start with, in [13] the authors proved that $\mathcal{M} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and subsequently, Barrionuevo *et al* [2] proved that \mathcal{M} is bounded from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ and $(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q})$ lies in the open quadrilateral with vertices $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, and $(0, 1)$ and $(\frac{2n-10}{2n-5}, \frac{2n-10}{2n-5})$, and was further improved in [14], and in [15]. The main idea in [2] was to use wavelet decomposition, however, very recently, Jeong and Lee obtained the sharp range of exponents proving that for $n \geq 2, 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $0 < r \leq \infty$, the maximal function \mathcal{M} maps $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if and only if $r > \frac{n}{2n-1}, \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$; except the points $(p, q, r) = (1, \infty, 1)$ or $(\infty, 1, 1)$ where they obtained appropriate weak-type estimates. They opened a new paradigm for studying bilinear averages using the slicing method, we recall it here as it will be useful for our purpose later. The authors in [17] showed that for any continuous function G on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}, n \geq 2$, one can write

$$\begin{aligned} (1.3) \quad & \int_{S^{2n-1}} G(z_1, z_2) d\sigma_{2n-1}(z_1, z_2) \\ &= \int_{B^n(0,1)} \int_{S^{n-1}} G(z_1, \sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2} z_2) d\sigma_{n-1}(z_2) (1 - \|z_1\|^2)^{(n-2)/2} dz_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using this they controlled the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}(f, g)(x)$ by

$$(1.4) \quad \min\{M_{HL}f(x)\mathcal{S}g(x), M_{HL}g(x)\mathcal{S}f(x)\}$$

and then an application of Hölder's inequality concludes their proof. For $n = 1$, the bilinear maximal operator \mathcal{M} was studied by Christ and Zhou [8], and by Dosisidis and Ramos [10], we also refer [5] for sparse domination and

weighted estimates. Now we state our main result for the full maximal operator $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. Suppose $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2})$ is contained in the region \mathcal{P} , which consists of the open pentagon \mathcal{P} with the corners $O = (0, 0)$, $A = (0, 1)$, $B = (\frac{d-2}{d-1}, 1)$, $C = (1, \frac{d-2}{d-1})$ and $D = (1, 0)$, together with half-open line segments $[O, A)$ and $[O, D)$, see Figure 1. Let $0 < p \leq \infty$ obeying the Hölder relation $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. Then, we have*

$$(1.5) \quad \|\mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)}.$$

A few words on the proof of Theorem 1.2 are in order. Here, we observe that one can use the slicing argument, but the presence of the Heisenberg group law and higher co-dimension makes it more complicated than the Euclidean counterpart and the appropriate replacement of (1.4) involves the product of the Nevo–Thangavelu maximal operator M_S , defined by (1.8), and an ergodic maximal operator Λ , defined by (2.2). This ergodic maximal operator made its appearance in earlier works of Nevo and Thangavelu in [23] and of Narayanan–Thangavelu in [22]. Another important feature is that the Theorem 1.2 is sharp. This is the content of the following result.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $n \geq 2$. Let $1 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ and $0 < p < \infty$. If we have*

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)}$$

then we must have

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \leq \frac{2d-3}{d} + \frac{1}{pd}.$$

In fact, we prove a stronger statement by showing that condition (1.6) is also necessary for the boundedness of the local maximal function $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}$, defined by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}(f, g)(x) := \sup_{1 \leq r \leq 2} |\mathfrak{S}_r(f, g)(x)|.$$

Remark 1.4. Considering the scaling relation:

$$\mathfrak{S}_{rR}(f, g) = \mathfrak{S}_r(f \circ \delta_R, g \circ \delta_R) \circ \delta_{1/R},$$

we get the Hölder's condition $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$ is necessary for (1.5). However, for $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}$ we further obtain a necessary condition $\frac{2}{p_1} + \frac{2}{p_2} \leq 1 + \frac{d}{p}$ for the boundedness of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}$ from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$, see Proposition 6.1.

Building further upon the works of Jeong and Lee [17], Borges and Foster [4] studied the lacunary Euclidean bilinear maximal operator

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{lac}}(f, g)(x) := \sup_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_{2^\ell}(f, g)(x),$$

and proved that $\mathcal{M}_{\text{lac}}(f, g) : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $0 < r \leq \infty$ satisfying the Hölder relation on $n \geq 2$. They also studied the lacunary bilinear triangle averaging operator in [4]. Now we state our main results for the lacunary maximal operator $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}$.




FIGURE 1. $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}$ -boundedness region \mathcal{P} which is the union of open pentagon with vertices O, A, B, C, D and the line segments $[O, A]$ and $[O, D]$.




FIGURE 2. $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}$ -boundedness region \mathcal{R} which is the union of open pentagon with vertices O, A, E, F, D and line segments $[O, A]$ and $[O, D]$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $n \geq 2$. Suppose $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2})$ is contained in the region \mathcal{R} , which consists of the open pentagon with the corners $O = (0, 0)$, $A = (0, 1)$,*

$E = (\frac{d-1}{d}, 1)$, $F = (1, \frac{d-1}{d})$ and $D = (1, 0)$, together with the line segments $[O, A)$, $(D, O]$, see Figure 2. Let $0 < p \leq \infty$ obeying the Hölder relation $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. Then, we have

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)}.$$

Theorem 1.1, slicing argument, and the Littlewood-Paley decomposition given in Proposition 2.1 play quintessential role in the proof Theorem 1.5. The primary difference with the work [4] lies on the fact that the Fourier transform on \mathbb{H}^n becomes operator-valued and makes the use of the Fourier decay of the surface measure much more challenging. To circumvent this issue, we resort to the approach taken by [31] and a suitable use of the T^*T argument combined with the *Curvature assumption* yields the desired $L^2(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with decay for the “frequency-localised” pieces of \mathfrak{S} . We believe one can also follow the approach by Müller–Seeger [21] and use FIO based techniques, however, we think our approach maybe more suitable for extending this results to general homogeneous groups.

To have a proper historical perspective, we say a few words on the linear Nevo–Thangavelu spherical means. Let μ be the normalized surface measure on the horizontal sphere $S^{2n-1} \times \{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}^n$. For $r > 0$, the dilate of μ , μ_r is defined as $\langle f, \mu_r \rangle = \langle f \circ \delta_r, \mu \rangle$, for Schwartz class functions. The linear Nevo–Thangavelu spherical means are the averages over horizontal spheres, defined as

(1.7)

$$A_r f(x) := f * \mu_r(x) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} f(z - ru, t - \frac{1}{2}r\mathfrak{S}(z \cdot \bar{u})) d\sigma_{2n-1}(u), \quad x = (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n,$$

and for $r = 1$, we simply denote the averaging operator A_1 , by A . Finally, the Nevo–Thangavelu spherical maximal function, for Schwartz class functions, is defined as

$$(1.8) \quad M_S f(x) = \sup_{r>0} |A_r f(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

The authors in [23] introduced the operator M_S as an analogue of the Stein’s spherical maximal ([32]) function on \mathbb{H}^n and proved the ergodicity of the spherical means A_r by proving that M_S is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ for $p > \frac{2n-1}{2n-2}$ with $n \geq 2$. Subsequently, it was independently proved by Müller–Seeger in [21] and by Narayanan–Thangavelu in [22] that M_S is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ if and only if $p > \frac{2n}{2n-1}$ and $n \geq 2$. On \mathbb{H} , we mention the important work [3] where the authors obtained Lebesgue space estimates of Nevo–Thangavelu maximal function on \mathbb{H} restricted to the Heisenberg radial functions. In recent times also the operator M_S has attracted a great deal of research, for instance, in [1] the authors studied the sparse operator bounds, weighted estimates for M_S , they also obtained the L^p improving estimates for spherical means A_r and thus built the analogue of the influential work of Lacey in [20] in the Heisenberg group. These were further improved and extended to the more general context of Métivier groups in [25] using oscillatory integrals

and Carleson-Sjölin estimates. In a recent breakthrough in [27], the authors extended the Müller–Seeger result on any two-step nilpotent Lie group. In view of the above, we believe our results are a timely addition to the rich literature in this direction. Lastly, we mention that there is another type of maximal operator on \mathbb{H}^n , known as the Korányi maximal operator studied in [12, 30] and bilinear analogue of them will be addressed in a future work.

Key ideas in proofs. We close this section by key ideas in the proofs of our results.

- To start with, we obtain a description of the Hölder boundedness of single-scale operators for all indices $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2})$ in the region \mathcal{D} . Such an estimate will play a crucial role in the proof of the lacunary maximal function. This entails a lot new features not present in the Euclidean counterpart proved in [16]. For instance, the higher co-dimension of our operators and the non-abelian structure makes the use of slicing argument not directly applicable in our context. In Proposition 3.1, we compute the density of the pushforward measure using certain change of variables which are particularly tailored for this purpose. This is a major observations in this present work and is the content of our Section 3.
- Another key element that arises in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the appearance of uniform spherical averages. These are controlled by the ergodic maximal function associated to Hopf’s theorem, unlike the Euclidean analogue, see Section 4. Moreover, we consider Knapp-type examples to show that the Theorem 1.2 is sharp, and is available in Section 6.
- After the single scale estimates in Section 3 are available, we show that the “dyadically localised” single-scale operators satisfy appropriate decay estimate. To avoid the use of the operator-valued group Fourier transform, we employ a T^*T argument and this makes the proof of such an estimate much more challenging than the Euclidean counterpart. Finally, a delicate application of an argument by Christ combines “dyadically-localised” pieces to obtain the final estimate for the lacunary maximal function. Here also our analysis involves a two-parameter family of Littlewood-Paley projections unlike the Euclidean case where one can easily construct Littlewood-Paley functions $\{\phi_k\}$ and $\{\phi'_k\}$ such that $\sum_k \phi_k * \phi'_k = \delta$ using the Fourier transform, a fact heavily used in such analysis, see [4, 15].

Finally, we conclude by noting that the validity of the range of exponents for the boundedness of the lacunary maximal function, i.e., the region \mathcal{R} , is restricted by the validity of the single-scale estimate Theorem 1.1. The problem of obtaining the sharp range of exponents for single-scale operators will be handled in a future work.

Notation: We use the notation $[A, B)$ and (A, B) to denote half-open (excluding B) and open line-segment joining the two points A and B respectively. Also, the $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ norm of a function f will be denoted by $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)}$ or by $\|f\|_p$. For $x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and a function g , the left translate is denoted by $\tau_x g(y) := g(x \cdot y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$. The notation $A \lesssim B$ represents that $A \leq CB$, for some implied constant C which may depend on n , and $A \simeq B$ indicates $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect some preliminaries which will be useful later. As we already defined, $\mathbb{H}^n := \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is the $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional two-step nilpotent Lie group, equipped with group law

$$(z, t) \cdot (w, s) := (z + w, t + s + \frac{1}{2}\Im(z \cdot \bar{w})).$$

The Lebesgue measure $dzdt$ on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ serves as the Haar measure on \mathbb{H}^n . As already mentioned, there is a family of parabolic dilations defined by $\delta_r(z, t) := (rz, r^2t)$, and the Korányi norm is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to this family of dilations, that is, $|\delta_r(z, t)| = r|(z, t)|$. The convolution of f with g on \mathbb{H}^n is defined by

$$f * g(x) = \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(xy^{-1})g(y)dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

Also, for $r > 0$ we denote

$$f_r(x) := r^{-Q} f \circ \delta_{r^{-1}}(x);$$

where $Q = 2n + 2$ is the homogeneous dimension of \mathbb{H}^n . For $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, the centered Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M_{\text{HL}}f$ is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad M_{\text{HL}}f(x) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{H}^n,$$

where the supremum is over all balls $B(x, r)$, where $B(x, r)$ denotes the ball of radius r centered at x under the left invariant metric $d_L(x, y) = |x^{-1} \cdot y|$. The standard L^p boundedness for $1 < p \leq \infty$ and the weak $(1, 1)$ boundedness of M_{HL} follow as \mathbb{H}^n is a space of homogeneous type.

Littlewood-Paley theory. Littlewood-Paley decomposition are well-known for homogeneous groups, we recall it here specialized to the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n . Following [31], consider a function $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n)$ with mean 1, that is, $\int \phi = 1$. Moreover, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the functions ψ_{2^k} are defined as

$$\psi_{2^k}(x) := - \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \frac{\partial \phi_r(x)}{\partial r} dr.$$

Then the family $\{\psi_{2^k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms a Littlewood-Paley decomposition in the sense:

Proposition 2.1 ([31]). *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Then we have*

$$f = \sum_k f * \psi_{2^k},$$

where the convergence is in $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$ norm.

Birkhoff averages. As mentioned earlier, an important operator that arises very naturally are the following uniform averages of linear Nevo–Thangavelu means. More precisely, let $\mu = \sigma_{2n-1} * \delta_0$ denotes the measure on the horizontal sphere $S^{2n-1} \times \{0\}$, then

$$A_s f(x) := f * \mu_s(x) = \int_{S^{2n-1}} f(x \cdot \delta_s(z, 0)^{-1}) d\sigma_{2n-1}(z).$$

Consider the maximal operator

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda(g)(x) := \sup_{r>0} |\Lambda_r g(x)| := \sup_{r>0} \left| g * \left(\frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \mu_s ds \right) \right|.$$

Realizing the uniform averages Λ_r as Birkhoff averages over the group of reals, the following result follows from the Hopf’s abstract maximal ergodic theorem.

Proposition 2.2 ([33] or Proposition 3.6.1, [34]). *Let $1 < q < \infty$. Then Λ defines a bounded operator on $L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)$ to itself, that is,*

$$\|\Lambda g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)}.$$

This operator played a crucial role in the works [22, 23]. As the reader will observe in the sequel, Λ also plays a pervasive role in many of our estimates.

3. SINGLE SCALE ESTIMATES

This section is dedicated in proving the boundedness of single-scale operators \mathfrak{S}_r , Theorem 1.1. We begin with the following proposition. Besides being a primary ingredient in the proof of boundedness of the lacunary maximal function, the following result is of independent interest and involves delicate adaptations pertaining to the Heisenberg group. For brevity, we denote \mathfrak{S}_1 as \mathfrak{S} .

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\frac{d}{d-1} < p_2 < \infty$. Then the operator \mathfrak{S} is bounded from $L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Similarly, for $\frac{d}{d-1} < p_1 < \infty$, \mathfrak{S} is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$.*

Proof. We shall only prove the first estimate as the second one follows by similar arguments. As \mathfrak{S} is a positive operator, we can take f, g to be non-negative functions. Performing a change of variables, we can write the L^1 norm of $\mathfrak{S}(f, g)$ as

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \mathfrak{S}(f, g)(x) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \int_{S^{4n-1}} f(x.(z_1, 0)^{-1})g(x.(z_2, 0)^{-1}) d\sigma(z_1, z_2) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(x) \int_{S^{4n-1}} g(x.(z_1, 0).(z_2, 0)^{-1}) d\sigma(z_1, z_2) dx =: \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} f(x) \mathcal{I}(g)(x) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}g(x) = \int_{S^{4n-1}} g(x.(z_1, 0).(z_2, 0)^{-1}) d\sigma(z_1, z_2).$$

We claim that $\mathcal{I}(g)(x) \leq C\|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)}$. This in turn will conclude that $\mathfrak{S} : L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$. We proceed to prove the claim. A further change of variables $z_1 = \frac{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}}{2}, z_2 = \frac{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}}{2}$ reduces the above to

$$\int_{S^{4n-1}} g(x.(\mathbf{b}, \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{b}.\bar{\mathbf{a}})) d\sigma(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$$

which is same as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n}} (\tau_x g)(\mathbf{b}, \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{b}.\bar{\mathbf{a}})) \delta(|\mathbf{a}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2 - 1) d\mathbf{a} d\mathbf{b}.$$

Utilizing the the slicing argument (1.3) we break the integral as

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\mathbf{b} \in B^{2n}(0,1)} (1-|\mathbf{b}|^2)^{n-1} \int_{S^{2n-1}} \tau_x g\left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}{4}\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{b}.\bar{\mathbf{a}})\right) d\sigma_{2n-1}(\mathbf{a}) d\mathbf{b}.$$

For a fixed $\mathbf{b} \in B^{2n}(0,1)$, we call the inner integral

$$\Upsilon(\mathbf{b}) := \int_{S^{2n-1}} (\tau_x g)\left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}{4}\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{b}.\bar{\mathbf{a}})\right) d\sigma_{2n-1}(\mathbf{a}).$$

For a non-zero \mathbf{b} , we write $\mathbf{b} = |\mathbf{b}|A\mathbf{e}_1$ for some orthogonal matrix $A \in O(2n)$ and \mathbf{e}_1 is the standard basis vector $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Therefore, using the rotational invariance of the surface measure, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon(\mathbf{b}) &:= \int_{S^{2n-1}} (\tau_x g)\left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}{4}|\mathbf{b}|(A\mathbf{e}_1.J\bar{\mathbf{a}})\right) d\sigma_{2n-1}(\mathbf{a}) \\
&\stackrel{\mathbf{a} \rightarrow J^{-1}\mathbf{a}}{=} \int_{S^{2n-1}} (\tau_x g)\left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}{4}|\mathbf{b}|(A\mathbf{e}_1.\bar{\mathbf{a}})\right) d\sigma_{2n-1}(\mathbf{a}) \\
&= \int_{S^{2n-1}} (\tau_x g)\left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}{4}|\mathbf{b}|(\mathbf{e}_1.A^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{a}})\right) d\sigma_{2n-1}(\mathbf{a}) \\
(3.2) \quad &\stackrel{\mathbf{a} \rightarrow A\mathbf{a}}{=} \int_{S^{2n-1}} (\tau_x g)\left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}{4}|\mathbf{b}|a_1\right) d\sigma_{2n-1}(\mathbf{a}),
\end{aligned}$$

where $J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is the matrix associated to the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{R}^{2n} given by $\mathbf{b}^T J \mathbf{a} := -\mathfrak{S}(\mathbf{b}.\bar{\mathbf{a}})$, $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n \equiv \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Using spherical coordinates, we further decompose (3.2) as

$$\Upsilon(\mathbf{b}) = \int_{-1}^1 \int_{S^{2n-2}} (\tau_x g)\left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}{4}|\mathbf{b}|s\right) (\sqrt{1-s^2})^{2n-3} d\theta ds$$

$$(3.3) \quad = c_n \int_{-1}^1 (\tau_x g) \left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}{4} |\mathbf{b}|s \right) (\sqrt{1-s^2})^{2n-3} ds.$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.3) we derive that

$$\mathcal{I}g(x) = c_n \int_{\mathbf{b} \in B^{2n}(0,1)} (1-|\mathbf{b}|^2)^{(n-1)} \int_{-1}^1 (\tau_x g) \left(\mathbf{b}, \frac{\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}{4} |\mathbf{b}|s \right) (\sqrt{1-s^2})^{2n-3} ds d\mathbf{b}.$$

Again, performing the change of variable $s \rightarrow \frac{4s}{|\mathbf{b}|\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}$ the above expression becomes a constant times

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathbf{b} \in B^{2n}(0,1)} (1-|\mathbf{b}|^2)^{(n-1)} \int_{|s| < \frac{1}{4} |\mathbf{b}| \sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}} (\tau_x g)(\mathbf{b}, s) \left(1 - \frac{16s^2}{|\mathbf{b}|^2(1-|\mathbf{b}|^2)}\right)^{\frac{(2n-3)}{2}} \frac{ds d\mathbf{b}}{|\mathbf{b}|\sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}}.$$

Using Hölder's inequality this is dominated by

$$\|\tau_x g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \left(\int_{|\mathbf{b}| < 1} \int_{|s| < \frac{1}{4} |\mathbf{b}| \sqrt{1-|\mathbf{b}|^2}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{b}|^{p_2'}} d\mathbf{b} ds \right)^{1/p_2'} \leq C \|\tau_x g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)} = C \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

provided $-p_2' + 2n + 1 > 0 \iff p_2' < 2n + 1$ which is equivalent to $p_2 > \frac{2n+1}{2n} = \frac{d}{d-1}$. This proves the claim, and thus, in turn completes the proof. \square

Our next result, for $p_2 > \frac{d}{d-1}$, lifts the boundedness from

$$\mathfrak{S} : L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$$

to the Hölder bound

$$\mathfrak{S} : L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^s(\mathbb{H}^n)$$

for all $s \in [\frac{p_2}{p_2+1}, 1]$. The proof essentially relies on the local nature of \mathfrak{S} . The idea behind the proof goes back to work of Kenig–Stein [19], see also [16]. This result will play an important role in propagating our $L^2(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ decay estimate Proposition 5.1 to the region \mathcal{R} .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then for each $\frac{d}{d-1} < p_2 < \infty$ the operator \mathfrak{S} maps $L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ to $L^s(\mathbb{H}^n)$ boundedly, for all $s \in [\frac{p_2}{p_2+1}, 1]$. Similarly, for each $\frac{d}{d-1} < p_1 < \infty$ the operator \mathfrak{S} maps $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ to $L^s(\mathbb{H}^n)$ boundedly, for all $s \in [\frac{p_1}{p_1+1}, 1]$.*

Proof. Fix p_2 such that $\frac{d}{d-1} < p_2 < \infty$. Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\frac{1}{p_2} = \frac{1}{d/(d-1)+\varepsilon}$. Set $\frac{1}{s_0} := 1 + \frac{1}{d/(d-1)+\varepsilon}$. Then from Proposition 3.1, the case $s = 1$, and the continuity of exponents in the complex interpolation it thus suffices to show

$$\mathfrak{S} : L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^{s_0}(\mathbb{H}^n).$$

For $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}$, let $Q_a = a \cdot Q_0$, where $Q_0 = [0, 1)^{2n+1}$. The proof relies on two localization principles as follows:

(P1) There exists a dimensional constant $\kappa_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathfrak{S}(f\chi_{Q_a}, g\chi_{Q_b})(x) = 0 \text{ whenever } |b^{-1}a| \geq \kappa_1.$$

(P2) There exists a dimensional constant $\kappa_2 \geq 1$ such that $\text{supp}(\mathfrak{S}(f, g)) \subset (\text{supp}(f) \cup \text{supp}(g)) \cdot B(0, \kappa_2)$.

The properties (P1), and (P2) are easy to verify and we leave the details. As $0 < s_0 < 1$, $\|\mathfrak{S}(f, g)\|_{L^{s_0}(\mathbb{H}^n)}^{s_0}$ is dominated by

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}; |b| \leq \kappa_1} |\mathfrak{S}(f\chi_{Q_a}, g\chi_{Q_{a \cdot b}})(x)|^{s_0} dx.$$

By (P2), for fixed $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}$, the support of $\mathfrak{S}(f\chi_{Q_a}, g\chi_{Q_{a \cdot b}})$ is contained in a finite measure set whose measure depends only on the dimension. Therefore, by Hölder's inequality, we lift the L^{s_0} norm to L^1 and thus (3.5) is further dominated by

$$\sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}; |b| \leq \kappa_1} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |\mathfrak{S}(f\chi_{Q_a}, g\chi_{Q_{a \cdot b}})(x)| dx \right)^{s_0}.$$

At this point, we invoke $\mathfrak{S} : L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{\frac{d}{d-1}+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ boundedness from Proposition 3.1 to control the above by

$$\sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}; |b| \leq \kappa_1} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}} \|f\chi_{Q_a}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)}^{s_0} \|g\chi_{Q_{a \cdot b}}\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{H}^n)}^{s_0}.$$

A further application of the Hölder's inequality and the bounded overlap of the family of cells $\{Q_a\}_{a \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}}$, conclude that the above is bounded by $\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)}^{s_0} \|g\|_{L^{\frac{d}{d-1}+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{H}^n)}^{s_0}$, and this completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Considering the scaling condition

$$\mathfrak{S}_r(f, g) = \mathfrak{S}_1(f \circ \delta_r, g \circ \delta_r) \circ \delta_{1/r},$$

we will prove our results for \mathfrak{S} only. For $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p \leq \infty$, that is for Banach range of exponents, we use Minkowski's inequality to obtain

$$\|\mathfrak{S}(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

for $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. Interpolating these with the estimates $\mathfrak{S} : L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^s(\mathbb{H}^n)$, for $s \in [\frac{p_2}{p_2+1}, 1]$, and $\mathfrak{S} : L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^1(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^s(\mathbb{H}^n)$, for $s \in [\frac{p_1}{p_1+1}, 1]$ with any $p_1, p_2 > \frac{d}{d-1}$, from Lemma 3.2 we conclude that \mathfrak{S} is bounded from $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)$ to $L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)$, for $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2})$ in the open pentagon \mathcal{D} including the boundary line segments $[O, A]$, $[A, E]$, $[D, F]$ and $[D, O]$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. \square

4. FULL MAXIMAL FUNCTION

This section contains the proof of the sharp Lebesgue space estimates for the bilinear Nevo–Thangavelu maximal function, Theorem 1.2. The reader will notice, while decoupling the bilinear averages using the slicing techniques, the Birkhoff averages over linear spherical means appear naturally.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We start with the demonstrating the slicing argument in this case. Let $r > 0$ be any fixed positive number. Then using (1.3) we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad & \mathfrak{S}_r(f, g)(x) \\
&= \int_{B^{2n}(0,1)} f(x \cdot \delta_r(z_1, 0)^{-1}) \int_{S^{2n-1}} g(x \cdot \delta_r((\sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2} z_2, 0)^{-1}) d\sigma_{2n-1}(z_2) (1 - \|z_1\|^2)^{n-1} dz_1 \\
&= \int_0^1 \int_{S^{2n-1}} f(x \cdot \delta_r(s\omega, 0)) d\sigma_{2n-1}(\omega) \\
&\quad \times \int_{S^{2n-1}} g(x \cdot \delta_r(\sqrt{1 - s^2} z_2, 0)^{-1}) d\sigma_{2n-1}(z_2) (1 - s^2)^{(n-1)} s^{2n-1} ds \\
&= \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1 - s^2)^{n-1} A_{rs} f(x) A_{r\sqrt{1-s^2}} g(x) ds \\
&\leq M_S(f)(x) \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1 - s^2)^{n-1} A_{r\sqrt{1-s^2}} g(x) ds \\
&= M_S(f)(x) \int_0^1 s^{2n-2} (1 - s^2)^{n-1} A_{r\sqrt{1-s^2}} g(x) s ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Performing a change of variable $s \rightarrow r^{-1} \sqrt{(r^2 - s^2)}$ we obtain that $\mathfrak{S}_r(f, g)(x)$ is dominated by

$$M_S(f)(x) \frac{1}{r^2} \int_0^r \left(\frac{r^2 - t^2}{r^2} \right)^{n-1} \left(\frac{t^2}{r^2} \right)^{n-1} A_t(g)(x) t dt \lesssim M_S(f)(x) \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r A_t g(x) dt.$$

Now taking supremum over $r > 0$, we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}(f, g)(x) \leq C M_S(f)(x) \Lambda(g)(x),$$

where M_S is the Nevo–Thangavelu maximal operator and Λ is the maximal operator over uniform averages in (2.2). Interchanging the role of f and g , we conclude

$$(4.2) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}(f, g)(x) \leq C \min\{M_S(f)(x) \Lambda(g)(x), M_S(g)(x) \Lambda(f)(x)\}.$$

Thus, if $\frac{d-1}{d-2} < p_1 \leq \infty, 1 < p_2 \leq \infty$ then from Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \|\mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \lesssim \|M_S f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|\Lambda g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)}.$$

Similarly, for $1 < p_1 \leq \infty$, $\frac{d-1}{d-2} < p_2 \leq \infty$, we can use the estimate $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}(f, g)(x) \leq C\Lambda(f)(x)M_Sg(x)$ and Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \|\mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \lesssim \|\Lambda f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|M_Sg\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)}.$$

Now a standard linearization technique and complex interpolation between (4.3) and (4.4) we obtain that (4.3) holds when $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2})$ belong to the open pentagon with the corners $(0, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(\frac{d-2}{d-1}, 1)$, $(1, \frac{d-2}{d-1})$ and $(1, 0)$ with half open line segments $[O, A)$ and $[O, D)$ included. \square

5. LACUNARY MAXIMAL FUNCTION

This section contains all the details of the boundedness of the lacunary maximal function $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}$. As mentioned earlier, a key ingredient in the proof is the $L^2(\mathbb{H}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)$ decay estimate for “localized” pieces of the averaging operator \mathfrak{M} . Before proceeding further, we borrow some terminology from [31]. A locally finite measure ν on \mathbb{H}^n is said to satisfy the *Curvature assumption* (CA) if there exists natural number N such that the iterated convolution $\nu^{(N)}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of \mathbb{H}^n and there exists $\gamma > 0, C_\mu > 0$ such that the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $\nu^{(N)}$, say h , satisfies

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} (|h(xy^{-1}) - h(x)| + |h(y^{-1}x) - h(x)|) dx \leq C_\mu |y|^\gamma$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$. The iterated convolutions are defined as $\nu^{(2k+1)} = \nu^{(2k)} * \tilde{\nu}$ and $\nu^{(2k)} = \nu^{(2k-1)} * \nu$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, from Lemma 3.3 in [31] we know that the surface measure of the horizontal sphere $S^{2n-1} \times \{0\}$, $\mu = \sigma_{2n-1} * \delta_0$ in \mathbb{H}^n , satisfies (5.1). We shall frequently make use of the following scaling relations:

$$\mathfrak{S}_r(f, g) = \mathfrak{S}(f \circ \delta_r, g \circ \delta_r) \circ \delta_{1/r}, \quad \text{and} \quad (f * \psi_t) \circ \delta_r = f \circ \delta_r * \psi_{t/r}.$$

By slicing argument we have already seen that

$$\mathfrak{S}(f, g)(x) = \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1-s^2)^{n-1} A_s f(x) A_{\sqrt{1-s^2}} g(x) ds.$$

The next step is to introduce a Littlewood-Paley decomposition (see Proposition 2.1) of f and g to write $f = \sum_j f * \psi_{2^j}$ and $g = \sum_k g * \psi_{2^k}$. Consequently,

$$\mathfrak{S}(f, g)(x) = \sum_{k,j} \mathfrak{S}^{j,k}(f, g)(x),$$

where

$$\mathfrak{S}^{j,k}(f, g)(x) = \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1-s^2)^{n-1} A_s (f * \psi_{2^j})(x) A_{\sqrt{1-s^2}} (g * \psi_{2^k})(x) ds.$$

Now we state our main decay estimate.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists $\delta > 0$ so that*

$$(5.2) \quad \|\mathfrak{S}^{j,k}(f, g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)} \lesssim 2^{(j+k)\delta} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

for all integers j, k .

Remark 5.2. The reader should note that the above estimate is only useful for integers $j, k < 0$. This should not be surprising as the negative indices correspond to the “high frequencies” in an analogy with the Euclidean case.

Proof. Using Minkowski and Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\mathfrak{S}^{j,k}(f, g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)} \\ & \leq \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1-s^2)^{n-1} \|A_s(f * \psi_{2^j}) A_{\sqrt{1-s^2}}(g * \psi_{2^k})\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds \\ & \leq \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1-s^2)^{n-1} \|A_s(f * \psi_{2^j})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|A_{\sqrt{1-s^2}}(g * \psi_{2^k})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose for the moment that we could prove the following claim:

Claim: There exists some $0 < \delta < 2n$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad \|A_s(f * \psi_{2^j})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C(2^j/s)^\delta \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}.$$

Then we would have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathfrak{S}(f, g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)} \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1-s^2)^{n-1} 2^{j\delta} s^{-\gamma} 2^{k\delta} (1-s^2)^{-\gamma/2} ds \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} 2^{\delta(j+k)}, \end{aligned}$$

for $\delta < 2n$.

It thus remains to prove the (5.4) in the claim. As the Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group is operator valued, we shall pursue the approach based on the iterated T^*T method. By scaling, $A_s(f * \psi_{2^j}) = A(f \circ \delta_s * \psi_{2^j/s}) \circ \delta_{1/s}$, the estimate (5.4) is equivalent to

$$(5.5) \quad \|A(f * \psi_{2^j/s})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C(2^j/s)^\delta \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

where A denotes A_1 . So by T^*T method it suffices to show that

$$(5.6) \quad \|f * \psi_{2^j/s} * \mu * \tilde{\mu} * \tilde{\psi}_{2^j/s}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq (C 2^j/s)^{2\delta} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}.$$

To this end, let $N \geq 1$ be such that the convolution of μ iterated N times, denoted as $\mu^{(N-1)}$, is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure on \mathbb{H}^n . We define the operator $A^{(N)} f := f * \psi_{2^j/s} * \mu^{(N)}$, and observe that

$$(A^{(N)})^* A^{(N)} f = f * \psi_{2^j/s} * \mu^{(N+1)} * \widetilde{\mu^{(N-1)}} * \tilde{\psi}_{2^j/s}.$$

Young’s inequality now concludes

$$\|(A^{(N)})^* A^{(N)} f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq \|A^{(N+1)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|\psi_{2^j/s} * \mu^{(N-1)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

whose recursive application yields the following operator norm estimate

$$\|A^{(1)}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \lesssim_N \|\psi_{2^j/s} * \mu^{(N-1)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{H}^n)}^{1/2^N}.$$

Whereas, the L^1 norm $\|\psi_{2^j/s} * \mu^{(N-1)}\|_1$ can be estimated using the cancellation present in ψ and by the *curvature condition* (5.1) on μ , as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \left| \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} \left(\mu^{(N-1)}(y^{-1} \cdot x) - \mu^{(N-1)}(x) \right) \psi_{2^j/s}(y) dy \right| dx \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{H}^n} |y|^\gamma |\psi_{2^j/s}(y)| dy \lesssim (2^j/s)^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

which gives $\|A^{(1)}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)} \lesssim_N (2^j/s)^{\gamma/N} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^n)}$, as it was required in (5.6). \square

5.1. Single scale decay estimates throughout \mathcal{D} . Next, we interpolate this decay estimate (when $j, k < 0$) with the following single-scale estimates coming from Theorem 1.1. As the functions ψ_{2^ℓ} are L^1 normalized, Theorem 1.1 followed by the Young's inequality implies that

$$(5.7) \quad \|\mathfrak{S}^{j,k}(f, g)\|_p \lesssim \|f * \psi_{2^j}\|_{p_1} \|g * \psi_{2^k}\|_{p_2} \lesssim \|f\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{p_2},$$

holds uniformly in j, k , for all $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2}) \in \mathcal{D}$. Interpolation of (5.7) with Proposition 5.1 yields the following proposition.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $n \geq 2$. Suppose $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2})$ is contained in the interior of the region \mathcal{D} of Theorem 1.1. Let $0 < p < \infty$ be in the Hölder relation with p_1, p_2 , that is, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$. Then, there exists $\delta := \delta(p_1, p_2, n) > 0$ so that*

$$(5.8) \quad \|\mathfrak{S}^{j,k}(f, g)\|_p \lesssim 2^{(j+k)\delta} \|f\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{p_2},$$

for all integers j, k .

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.5. We now have enough ingredients to prove Theorem 1.5. Consider first proving the boundedness of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}$ when the points $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2})$ are in the interior of the diagram \mathcal{R} . We will include the remaining boundary points of \mathcal{R} later in the proof.

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Fix an $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. By non-negativity of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}$, we may assume f, g are non-negative. We begin as before with a decomposition of identity from Lemma 2.1, which we can rewrite as

$$f = f * \phi_{2^\ell} + \sum_{j < 0} f * \psi_{2^{j+\ell}} \quad \text{and} \quad g = g * \phi_{2^\ell} + \sum_{k < 0} g * \psi_{2^{k+\ell}}.$$

We thus decompose the single lacunary average, \mathfrak{S}_{2^ℓ} , as

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f, g)(x) &= \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * \phi_{2^\ell}, g) + \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f, g * \phi_{2^\ell}) - \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * \phi_{2^\ell}, g * \phi_{2^\ell}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j, k < 0} \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * \psi_{2^{j+\ell}}, g * \psi_{2^{k+\ell}}). \end{aligned}$$

We notice that the slicing analysis, as in (4.1), reveals that we may dominate the first term by the product of Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M_{\text{HL}}(f)$, and the maximal function $\Lambda(g)$ associated to the uniform averages of the measure μ_s . To see this, from scaling

$$\mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * \phi_{2^\ell}, g) = \mathfrak{S}(f \circ \delta_{2^\ell} * \phi, g \circ \delta_{2^\ell}) \circ \delta_{1/2^\ell}$$

it suffices to show

$$|\mathfrak{S}(f * \phi, g)(x)| \lesssim M_{\text{HL}}(f)(x) \Lambda(g)(x),$$

because by rescaling it, the first term is then bounded by the product $M_{\text{HL}}(f \circ \delta_{2^\ell})(\delta_{2^{-\ell}}x) \Lambda(g \circ \delta_{2^\ell})(\delta_{2^{-\ell}}x)$ which is further bounded by $M_{\text{HL}}(f)(x) \Lambda(g)(x)$.

Then from properties of ϕ , and the slicing, the left hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{S}(f * \phi, g)(x)| &\leq \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1-s^2)^{n-1} |A_{\sqrt{1-s^2}}(f * \phi)(x)| A_s(g)(x) ds \\ &\lesssim \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1-s^2)^{n-1} (f * \chi_{B(0, C+\sqrt{1-s^2})})(x) A_s(g)(x) ds \\ &\leq M_{\text{HL}}(f)(x) \int_0^1 s^{2n-1} (1-s^2)^{n-1} A_s(g)(x) ds \\ &\leq M_{\text{HL}}(f)(x) \int_0^1 (g * \mu_s)(x) ds \\ &\leq M_{\text{HL}}(f)(x) \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r (g * \mu_s)(x) ds \\ &= M_{\text{HL}}(f)(x) \Lambda(g)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Performing a similar set of computations, we may control the second term in (5.9) by $\Lambda(f)(x) M_{\text{HL}}g(x)$. Finally, the third term there can also be controlled by $M_{\text{HL}}(f)(x) M_{\text{HL}}(f)(x)$.

Altogether, from Hölder's inequality and the $L^q(\mathbb{H}^n)$ -boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and that of Λ , see Proposition 2.2, for all $1 < q \leq \infty$, we have

$$(5.10) \quad \left\| \sup_{\ell} |\mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * \phi_{2^\ell}, g) + \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f, g * \phi_{2^\ell}) - \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * \phi_{2^\ell}, g * \phi_{2^\ell})| \right\|_p \lesssim \|f\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{p_2}.$$

Next, the treatment of the high frequencies in (5.9), can be done by an argument essentially due to Michael Christ (see for instance, [4]). For each $L \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Upsilon(L)$ be the smallest constant such that

$$\left\| \sup_{|\ell| \leq L} |\mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f, g)| \right\|_p \leq \Upsilon(L) \|f\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{p_2},$$

then to show the boundedness of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}$ it then suffices to show that $\Upsilon(L) \lesssim 1$. We have the following two vector valued inequalities: On one hand, from the positivity of \mathfrak{S}_{2^ℓ} , majorization by Hardy-Littlewood maximal function

gives

$$(5.11) \quad \left\| \sup_{|\ell| \leq L} |\mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f_\ell, g_\ell)| \right\|_p \leq \Upsilon(L) \left\| \sup_{|\ell| \leq L} |f_\ell| \right\|_{p_1} \left\| \sup_{|\ell| \leq L} |g_\ell| \right\|_{p_2}.$$

On the other hand the scale invariance of \mathfrak{S}_{2^ℓ} in conjugation with decay estimate of $\mathfrak{S}^{j,k}$ from Proposition 5.3, give

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |\mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f_\ell * \psi_{2^{j+\ell}}, g_\ell * \psi_{2^{k+\ell}})|^p \right)^{1/p} \right\|_p \\ & \leq 2^{(j+k)\delta} \left\| \sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |f_\ell| \right\|_{p_1} \left\| \sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |g_\ell| \right\|_{p_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Interpolation of (5.11) (with f_ℓ replaced by $f_\ell * \psi_{2^{j+\ell}}$), and (5.12), yields a key estimate

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |\mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f_\ell * \psi_{2^{j+\ell}}, g_\ell * \psi_{2^{k+\ell}})|^{2p} \right)^{1/2p} \right\|_p \\ & \leq 2^{(j+k)\delta/2} \Upsilon(L)^{1/2} \left\| \left(\sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |f_\ell|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p_1} \left\| \left(\sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |g_\ell|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p_2}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying (5.13) to $f_\ell := f * \psi_{2^{j'+\ell}}$, in conjugation with union bound argument and Littlewood-Paley theory, we may bound

$$(5.14) \quad \left\| \sup_{|\ell| \leq L} |\mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * \psi_{2^{j'+\ell}} * \psi_{2^{j+\ell}}, g_\ell * \psi_{2^{k+\ell}})| \right\|_p$$

by a positive constant times

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2^{j\delta/2} 2^{k\delta/2} \Upsilon(L)^{1/2} \left\| \left(\sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |f * \psi_{2^{j'+\ell}}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p_1} \left\| \left(\sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |g_\ell|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p_2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{j\delta/2} 2^{k\delta/2} \Upsilon(L)^{1/2} \|f\|_{p_1} \left\| \left(\sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |g_\ell|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging the role of j and j' (that is assume (5.13) holds for j' instead of j and then take $f_\ell := f * \psi_{2^{j+\ell}}$), we may also bound (5.14) by

$$2^{j'\delta/2} 2^{k\delta/2} \Upsilon(L)^{1/2} \|f\|_{p_1} \left\| \left(\sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |g_\ell|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p_2}.$$

Taking the geometric mean of this with (5.15), we obtain that (5.14) is bounded by

$$2^{(j+j')\delta/2} 2^{k\delta/2} \Upsilon(L)^{1/2} \|f\|_{p_1} \left\| \left(\sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |g_\ell|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p_2}.$$

If we then sum this in $j < 0$ and $j' < 0$, we obtain

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \sup_{|\ell| \leq L} \left| \sum_{j, j' < 0} \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * \psi_{2^{j'+\ell}} * \psi_{2^{j+\ell}}, g_\ell * \psi_{2^{k+\ell}}) \right| \right\|_p \\ & \lesssim 2^{k\delta/2} \Upsilon(L)^{1/2} \|f\|_{p_1} \left\| \left(\sum_{|\ell| \leq L} |g_\ell|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{p_2}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument employed to (5.16), with $g_\ell := g * \psi_{2^{k'+\ell}}$ yield

$$(5.17) \quad \left\| \sup_{|\ell| \leq L} \left| \sum_{j,j',k,k' < 0} \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * \psi_{2^{j'+\ell}} * \psi_{2^{j+\ell}}, g * \psi_{2^{k'+\ell}} * \psi_{2^{k+\ell}}) \right| \right\|_p \lesssim \Upsilon(L)^{1/2} \|f\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{p_2}.$$

Since, analogous to (5.9), we may also write

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f, g)(x) &= \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * (2\phi - \phi * \phi)_{2^\ell}, g) + \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f, g * (2\phi - \phi * \phi)_{2^\ell}) \\ &\quad - \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * (2\phi - \phi * \phi)_{2^\ell}, g * (2\phi - \phi * \phi)_{2^\ell}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,j',k,k' < 0} \mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f * \psi_{2^{j'+\ell}} * \psi_{2^{j+\ell}}, g * \psi_{2^{k'+\ell}} * \psi_{2^{k+\ell}}). \end{aligned}$$

We may as before control the terms involving ϕ by maximal functions M_{HL} and Λ , and the last term by (5.17) is controlled by constant times $\Upsilon(L)^{1/2} \|f\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{p_2}$.

As a consequence, we finally obtain the estimate

$$\left\| \sup_{|\ell| \leq L} |\mathfrak{S}_{2^\ell}(f, g)| \right\|_p \leq \Upsilon(L)^{1/2} \|f\|_{p_1} \|g\|_{p_2},$$

which forces $\Upsilon(L) \lesssim \Upsilon(L)^{1/2}$, thus concluding that

$$\Upsilon(L) \lesssim 1,$$

which is what we were aiming for. Finally, sub-additivity of $\|\cdot\|^{\min(1,p)}$ takes care of the case $0 < p < 1$ as well.

Now it only remains to consider the boundedness of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}$ for boundary exponents, $(\frac{1}{p_1}, \frac{1}{p_2})$, lying in either of the line segments $[O, A)$, and $[O, D)$. However, these are covered immediately due to the pointwise domination $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{lac}}(f, g)(x) \leq \mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}(f, g)(x)$ and the bounds for $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{full}}$ for these exponents described in Theorem 1.2. This completes the proof of the Theorem 1.5.

6. SHARPNESS

In this section, we show that the Theorem 1.2 is sharp. We construct Knapp type examples to conclude the sharpness. Our examples are motivated by [17] and [25]. Our second result provides another necessary condition for the boundedness of the local maximal function $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}$.

Proof of Proposition 1.3. Let $\delta > 0$ be any small positive number and we set

$$f = \chi_{B^e(0, \delta)} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \chi_{B^e(0, \delta)},$$

where $B^e(0, \delta)$ represents the euclidean ball of radius δ centered at origin in \mathbb{H}^n . Let $x = (z, t) \in \mathfrak{A}_\delta$, where

$$\mathfrak{A}_\delta := \left\{ (z, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n : \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \|z\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \kappa, |t| < \delta \right\},$$

and κ is sufficiently small but fixed positive number. We will show that for $x = (z, t) \in \mathfrak{R}_\delta$,

$$(6.1) \quad \mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}(f, g)(x) \geq \mathfrak{S}_{\sqrt{2}\|z\|}(f, g)(x) \geq C\delta^{4n-1}.$$

Let $(z, t) \in \mathfrak{R}_\delta$, consider two sets

$$E_{(z,t)}^1 := \{z_1 \in B^{2n}(0, 1) : \|\hat{z} - \sqrt{2}z_1\| \leq c\delta\}, \quad E_{(z,t)}^2 := \{z_2 \in S^{2n-1} : \|\hat{z} - z_2\| \leq c'\delta\},$$

here \hat{z} represents the unit vector $\hat{z} := z/\|z\|$. By the slicing argument we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{S}_{\sqrt{2}\|z\|}(f, g)(x) \\ &= \int_{B^{2n}(0,1)} f(x \cdot \sqrt{2}\|z\|(z_1, 0)^{-1}) \cdot \\ & \int_{S^{2n-1}} g(x \cdot \sqrt{2}\|z\|(\sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2}z_2, 0)^{-1}) (1 - \|z_1\|^2)^{n-1} d\sigma_{2n-1}(z_2) dz_1 \\ & \geq \int_{E_{(z,t)}^1} f(x \cdot \sqrt{2}\|z\|(z_1, 0)^{-1}) \cdot \\ & \int_{E_{(z,t)}^2} g(x \cdot \sqrt{2}\|z\|(\sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2}z_2, 0)^{-1}) (1 - \|z_1\|^2)^{n-1} d\sigma_{2n-1}(z_2) dz_1. \end{aligned}$$

Observe a series of computations. Firstly, as $z_1 \in E_{(z,t)}^1$, by triangle inequality, we obtain that $|\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \|z_1\|| \lesssim \|\hat{z} - \sqrt{2}z_1\| = O(\delta)$, and this in turn yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right| \left| \sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right| \\ (6.2) \quad &= (1 - \|z_1\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}) = (\frac{1}{2} - \|z_1\|^2) = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \|z_1\| \right| \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \|z_1\| \right| = O(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

From (6.2) it follows that

$$(6.3) \quad \left| \sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right| \lesssim \delta,$$

and consequently, the term $\sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2} \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Moreover, by triangle inequality we obtain

$$\|z - \sqrt{2}\|z\|z_1\| \lesssim \|\hat{z} - \sqrt{2}z_1\| \lesssim \delta.$$

Now from the group law, it immediately implies that $f(x \cdot \sqrt{2}\|z\|(z_1, 0)^{-1}) = 1$, as $|t| < \delta$. Similarly, we can show that

$$\|z - \sqrt{2}\|z\|\sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2}z_2\| = O(\|\hat{z} - z_2\|) \lesssim \delta,$$

and hence $g(x \cdot \sqrt{2}\|z\|(\sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2}z_2, 0)^{-1}) = 1$. Combining all these, we obtain that

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}(f, g)(z, t) \geq \mathfrak{S}_{\sqrt{2}\|z\|}(f, g)(z, t) \geq |E_{(z,t)}^1| \sigma_{2n-1}(E_{(z,t)}^2) \simeq \delta^{4n-1}.$$

As a consequence, we obtain

$$(6.4) \quad \delta^{4n-1} \delta^{1/p} \lesssim \delta^{4n-1} |\mathfrak{M}_\delta|^{1/p} \lesssim C \delta^{(2n+1)(\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2})}.$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain

$$(4n-1) + \frac{1}{p} - (2n+1)\left(\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \geq 0 \iff \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} \leq \frac{4n-1}{2n+1} + \frac{1}{p(2n+1)}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

We finally record the following necessary condition for the boundedness of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $1 \leq p_1, p_2 \leq \infty$ and $0 < p < \infty$. If we have*

$$\|\mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)},$$

then we must have

$$(6.5) \quad \frac{2}{p_1} + \frac{2}{p_2} \leq 1 + \frac{2n+1}{p}.$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small and Q_δ denote the set

$$\{(z, t) : \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \|z\| \right| < \delta, |t| < \delta\}.$$

Let $f = g = \chi_{Q_\delta}$. By slicing

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{S}(f, g)(x) \\ &= \int_{B^{2n}(0,1)} f(x.(z_1, 0)^{-1}) \\ & \int_{S^{2n-1}} g(x.(\sqrt{1-\|z_1\|^2}z_2, 0)^{-1}) (1-\|z_1\|^2)^{n-1} d\sigma_{2n-1}(z_2) dz_1 \\ & \geq \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \delta < \|z_1\| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \delta} f(x.(z_1, 0)^{-1}) \\ & \int_{S^{2n-1}} g(x.(\sqrt{1-\|z_1\|^2}z_2, 0)^{-1}) (1-\|z_1\|^2)^{n-1} d\sigma_{2n-1}(z_2) dz_1. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $R_\delta := \{x = (z, t) : \|z\| < \delta, |t| < \delta\}$. Then for $x = (z, t) \in R_\delta$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|z - z_1\| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right| &= \max\left\{ \|z - z_1\| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \|z - z_1\| \right\} \\ &\leq \max\left\{ \|z\| + \|z_1\| - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \|z_1\| + \|z\| \right\} \lesssim \delta, \end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < \|z_1\| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \delta$ and $\|z\| < \delta$. Further, $|t - \frac{1}{2}\Im(z\bar{z}_1)| \lesssim \delta$, as $\|z\|, \|t\| < \delta$. Therefore, $x.(z_1, 0)^{-1} \in Q_\delta$, thus $f(x.(z_1, 0)^{-1}) = 1$. Further, observing that for $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} < \|z_1\| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + \delta$ we have $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - \delta \leq \sqrt{1-\|z_1\|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, now performing similar computations as above one can show that

$$x.(\sqrt{1-\|z_1\|^2}z_2, 0)^{-1} \in Q_\delta$$

and hence $g(x \cdot (\sqrt{1 - \|z_1\|^2} z_2, 0)^{-1}) = 1$, for all $z_2 \in S^{2n-1}$.

Combining above we obtain $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}(f, g)(x) \geq \delta$ for $x \in R_\delta$. Therefore,

$$\delta \delta^{\frac{2n+1}{p}} \lesssim \delta |R_\delta|^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|\mathfrak{M}_{\text{loc}}(f, g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{H}^n)} \leq C \delta^{\frac{2}{p_1} + \frac{2}{p_2}}.$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain

$$\frac{2}{p_1} + \frac{2}{p_2} \leq 1 + \frac{2n+1}{p}.$$

□

Acknowledgements. AG gratefully acknowledges the support by the Industrial Consultancy and Sponsored Research (IC & SR), Indian Institute of Technology Madras for the New Faculty Initiation Grant RF25261459MANFIG009296.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bagchi, S. Hait, L. Roncal and S. Thangavelu, *On the maximal function associated to the lacunary spherical means on the Heisenberg group*, New York J. Math. **27**, (2021), 631–675.
- [2] J. A. Barrionuevo, L. Grafakos, D. He, P. Honzík, and L. Oliveira, *Bilinear spherical maximal function*. Math. Res. Lett., 25(5):1369–1388, 2018.
- [3] D. Beltran, S. Guo, J. Hickman & A. Seeger, *The circular maximal operator on Heisenberg radial functions*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (5) 23 (2) (2022) 501–568.
- [4] T. Borges and B. Foster. *Bounds for Lacunary Bilinear Spherical and Triangle Maximal Functions*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 30(5):Paper No. 55, 2024.
- [5] Tainara Borges, Benjamin Foster, Yumeng Ou, Jill Pipher, and Zirui Zhou. *Sparse bounds for the bilinear spherical maximal function*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 107(4):1409–1449, 2023.
- [6] J. Bourgain, *Averages in the plane over convex curves and maximal operators*, J. Anal. Math. **47** (1986), 69–85.
- [7] C. P. Calderón, *Lacunary spherical means*, Illinois J. Math. **23** (1979), 476–484.
- [8] Michael Christ and Zirui Zhou, *A class of singular bilinear maximal functions*, J. Funct. Anal., 287(8):Paper No. 110572, 37, 2024.
- [9] R. Coifman, G. Weiss, *Review: R. E. Edwards and G.I. Gaudry Littlewood–Paley and multiplier theory*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 84(2), 242–250 (1978)
- [10] G. Dosidis and J. P. G. Ramos, *The multilinear spherical maximal function in one dimension*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2), 67(4):1045–1059, 2024.
- [11] Javier Duoandikoetxea, José L. Rubio de Francia, *Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates*, Invent. Math. 84 (3) (1986) 541–561. MR 837527.
- [12] P. Ganguly, S. Thangavelu, *On the lacunary spherical maximal function on the Heisenberg group*, J. Funct. Anal. 280(3), 108832 (2021)
- [13] Dan-Andrei Geba, Allan Greenleaf, Alex Iosevich, Eyvindur Palsson, and Eric Sawyer, *Restricted convolution inequalities, multilinear operators and applications*, Math. Res. Lett., 20(4):675–694, 2013.
- [14] Loukas Grafakos, Danqing He, and Petr Honzík, *Maximal operators associated with bilinear multipliers of limited decay*, J. Anal. Math., 143(1):231–251, 2021.
- [15] Y. Heo, S. Hong, and Chan Woo Yang, *Improved bounds for the bilinear spherical maximal operators*, Math. Res. Lett., 27(2):397–434, 2020.

- [16] Alex Iosevich, Eyvindur Ari Palsson, and Sean R. Sovine, *Simplex averaging operators: quasi-Banach and L^p improving bounds in lower dimensions*, J. Geom. Anal., 32(3):Paper No. 87, 16, 2022.
- [17] Eunhee Jeong and Sanghyuk Lee, *Maximal estimates for the bilinear spherical averages and the bilinear Bochner–Riesz operators*, J. Funct. Anal., 279(7):108629, 29, 2020.
- [18] B. Jawerth, *Weighted inequalities for maximal operators: linearization, localization and factorization*, Amer. J. Math. 108 (1986), no. 2, 361–414.
- [19] Carlos E. Kenig, Elias M. Stein, *Multilinear estimates and fractional integration*, Math. Res. Lett. 6 (1) (1999) 1–15.
- [20] M. T. Lacey, *Sparse bounds for spherical maximal functions*, J. Anal. Math. 139 (2019), no. 2, 613–635.
- [21] D. Müller and A. Seeger, *Singular spherical maximal operators on a class of two step nilpotent Lie groups*, Israel J. Math. 141 (2004), 315–340.
- [22] E. K. Narayanan and S. Thangavelu, *An optimal theorem for the spherical maximal operator on the Heisenberg group*, Israel J. Math. 144 (2004), 211–219.
- [23] A. Nevo and S. Thangavelu, *Pointwise ergodic theorems for radial averages on the Heisenberg group*, Adv. Math. 127 (1997), 307–339.
- [24] Daniel M. Oberlin, *Multilinear convolutions defined by measures on spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 310(2):821–835, 1988.
- [25] J. Roos, A. Seeger, R. Srivastava, *Lebesgue space estimates for spherical maximal functions on Heisenberg groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN(2022), no. 24, 19222–19257.
- [26] Fulvio Ricci, Elias M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis on nilpotent groups and singular integrals. II. Singular kernels supported on submanifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 78 (1) (1988) 56–84. MR 937632.
- [27] J. Ryu & A. Seeger, *Spherical maximal functions on two step nilpotent Lie groups*, Adv. Math. 453 (2024), Paper No. 109846, 40 pp.
- [28] S. Sato, *Estimates for singular integrals on homogeneous groups*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 400 (2013), no. 2, 311–330.
- [29] S. Shrivastava and K. Shuin, *L^p estimates for multilinear convolution operators defined with spherical measure*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 53(4):1045–1060.
- [30] R. Srivastava, *On the Korányi spherical maximal function on Heisenberg groups*, Math. Ann. 388 (2024), no. 1, 191–247.
- [31] A. G. Sheri, J. Hickman, J. Wright, *Lacunary maximal functions on homogeneous groups*, J. Funct. Anal. 286 (2024), no. 3, Paper No. 110250, 25 pp.
- [32] E. M. Stein, *Maximal functions. I. Spherical means*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 73(7), 2174–2175, 1976.
- [33] E. M. Stein, J. -O. Strömberg, *Behavior of maximal functions in \mathbb{R}^n for large n* , Ark. Mat., 21 (1983), no. 2, 259–269.
- [34] S. Thangavelu, *Harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 159, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998.

(Abhishek Ghosh) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, CHENNAI, 600036, INDIA.

Email address: abhi@iitm.ac.in, abhi170791@gmail.com

(Rajesh K. Singh) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GITAM INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, GITAM UNIVERSITY, VISAKHAPATNAM- 530045, A.P., INDIA

Email address: rsingh4@gitam.edu