

---

# DashengTokenizer: One layer is enough for unified audio understanding and generation

---

Heinrich Dinkel Xingwei Sun Gang Li Jiahao Mei Yadong Niu

Jizhong Liu Xiyang Li Yifan Liao Jiahao Zhou Junbo Zhang Jian Luan

MiLM Plus, Xiaomi Inc., Beijing, China  
{dinkelheinrich,zhangjunbo}@xiaomi.com

## Abstract

This paper introduces DashengTokenizer, a continuous audio tokenizer engineered for joint use in both understanding and generation tasks. Unlike conventional approaches, which train acoustic tokenizers and subsequently integrate frozen semantic knowledge, our method inverts this paradigm: we leverage frozen semantic features and inject acoustic information. In linear evaluation across 22 diverse tasks, our method outperforms previous audio codec and audio encoder baselines by a significant margin while maintaining competitive audio reconstruction quality. Notably, we demonstrate that this acoustic injection improves performance for tasks such as speech emotion recognition, music understanding, and acoustic scene classification. We further evaluate the tokenizer’s generative performance on text-to-audio (TTA), text-to-music (TTM), and speech enhancement (SE). Our approach surpasses standard variational autoencoder (VAE)-based methods on TTA and TTM tasks, while its effectiveness on SE underscores its capabilities as a general-purpose audio encoder. Finally, our results challenge the prevailing assumption that VAE-based architectures are a prerequisite for audio synthesis. Checkpoints are available 🤗.

## 1 Introduction

The recent surge in Generative AI has been propelled by advances in Large Language Models (LLMs) and Diffusion Models, significantly enhancing the capabilities of audio foundation models.

Both of these models are in theory capable to be used for audio understanding [11, 67] and audio generation [60, 24], a representation gap persists in practice. Understanding tasks typically rely on unidirectional encoders that produce coarse, high-dimensional semantic embeddings.

In contrast, generation employs tokenizers ( discrete or continuous autoencoders ) to ensure high-fidelity reconstruction from low-dimensional acoustic features.

Current literature for joint understanding and generation thus adopt one of two architectures: (I) Employing a semantic encoder alongside an independent acoustic tokenizer. While effective, this approach is computationally redundant and increases system complexity; or (II) Training a single model to capture both semantic and acoustic information. These models frequently prioritize reconstruction, often resulting in subpar semantic representations compared to dedicated encoders

In contrast to previous single tokenizer methods, which distill high-dimensional semantic knowledge into a low-dimensional acoustic model, our approach aims to do the inverse: We embed low-dimensional acoustic information into high-dimensional semantic features. We introduce Dasheng-

Tokenizer, a unified continuous audio tokenizer designed for both understanding and generation across speech, music, and environmental sound domains. Our approach freezes previous semantic knowledge from a pretrained, strong semantic encoder, and injects acoustic information from a mel-spectrogram via a linear projection. The method is simple, requiring to only train a the linear projection with an additional standard acoustic decoder. A comparison with previous works can be seen in Table 1. DashengTokenizer performs on par with previous continuous tokenizers for reconstruction tasks, while significantly outperforming codecs and encoders in general audio understanding. Furthermore, our experiments in Text-to-Audio (TTA), Text-to-Music (TTM), and Speech Enhancement (SE) demonstrate the superior versatility of our representation for high-fidelity audio generation. A summarization of DashengTokenizer’s performance is seen in Figure 1.

Table 1: A comparison of our work in contrast to previous audio encoders and tokenizers.

Approach	Type	Dimension	Representative work	Understanding	Generation
Audio Codec	Discrete	Low	VQ-VAE [54]	×	~
Audio Codec + Semantic	Discrete	Low	Mimi [13]	~	~
Audio Encoders	Continuous	High	Whisper [43]	✓	×
Acoustic Tokenizers	Continuous	Low	AudioLDM [34]	×	✓
Ours	Continuous	High	Ours	✓	✓




Figure 1: A summarization of DashengTokenizer capabilities for understanding and generation tasks.

## 2 Previous works

**Current audio tokenization research** primarily utilizes (Vector Quantized) Variational Autoencoders (VQ-VAE) to compress raw waveforms or spectrograms into low-dimensional latent spaces. While standard VAEs compress audio into a low-dimensional continuous latent, VQ-VAEs apply further quantization to produce discrete tokens suitable for sequence modeling, also known as codecs.

**Codecs** A substantial body of literature concentrates on the compression of audio signals into discrete quantized tokens. Notably, foundational frameworks such as SoundStorm [63], Encodec [12] and DAC [30] primarily prioritized maintaining high acoustic fidelity throughout the reconstruction process. However, with the rise of Large Language Models (LLMs) for speech and audio modeling [11, 14], research has increasingly transitioned towards augmenting acoustic codecs with semantic information [13, 10, 59, 35, 62, 31, 65, 32]. This integration aims to provide the underlying LLM with richer contextual cues, enhancing performance in downstream understanding tasks. While effective, due to the lossy quantization process, semantic codecs are outperformed in both understanding and reconstruction performance by unified tokenizers.

**Unified tokenizers** Developing a single representation capable of both high-level understanding and high-fidelity generation remains an open challenge. While improvements have been made in recent years for general audio encoders [55, 61, 15, 6], little emphasis has been paid on making these models capable of audio generation.

The work most closely related to ours is Ming-UniAudio [58]. However, DashengTokenizer differs in two fundamental aspects: (I) Domain Versatility: Ming-UniAudio is restricted to the speech domain, whereas our approach generalizes across speech, music, and environmental audio. (II) Simplicity: Ming-UniAudio requires a complex three-stage training pipeline (acoustic modeling, semantic distillation, and fine-tuning), mirroring the established semantic codec training pipeline. In contrast, our framework employs a single-stage acoustic injection via a linear projection, significantly reducing training complexity while maintaining competitive performance.

### 3 Methodology

Dashengtokenizer injects acoustic information into rich semantic embeddings. A simplified overview between our approach and previous methods can be seen in Figure 2.

Given an input signal  $x \in \mathbb{R}^s$ , we first obtain a semantic features  $z_{\text{sem}} \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times t \times d}$ , where  $b$ ,  $t$ , and  $d$  represent batch size, temporal length, and feature dimension respectively, extracted from a frozen pre-trained model ( $\mathcal{T}_{\text{frozen}}$ ) as:

$$z_{\text{sem}} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{frozen}}(x). \quad (1)$$

Simultaneously, we extract acoustic embeddings  $z_{\text{ac}} \in \mathbb{R}^{b \times t \times d}$  from the same input  $x$ , extracted via a combination of a MelSpectrogram, followed by a linear projection  $\phi$  and layer normalization:

$$z_{\text{ac}} = \text{LayerNorm}(\phi(\text{MelSpec}(x))). \quad (2)$$

To ensure temporal alignment,  $\phi$  is implemented as a non-overlapping patch embedding that maps consecutive spectrogram frames to the framerate of  $z_{\text{sem}}$ . The final unified features  $z$  is computed via additive fusion:

$$z = z_{\text{sem}} + z_{\text{ac}}. \quad (3)$$

We train a generator (vocoder)  $G$  to reconstruct the original input  $x$  from  $z$ ,  $G(z) \mapsto x$ . The training follows a Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) framework using a Multi-Frequency Discriminator (MFD) [62] and a hinge loss objective. The generator loss  $\mathcal{L}_G$  is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \lambda_{\text{sem}}\mathcal{L}_{\text{sem}} + \lambda_{\text{mel}}\mathcal{L}_{\text{mel}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{fm}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{adv}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{fm}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{adv}}$  represent feature-matching and adversarial losses, and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{mel}}$  is the  $\ell_1$  reconstruction loss in the mel-frequency domain. Crucially, we introduce a semantic preservation loss  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{sem}}$  to prevent the acoustic features from overwhelming the semantic features, which would lead to a collapse of the understanding capabilities:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{sem}} = \|z_{\text{sem}} - z_{\text{ac}}\|_2^2. \quad (5)$$

In our experiments, we set  $\lambda_{\text{sem}} = 45$  and  $\lambda_{\text{mel}} = 45$ . For more information we provide an ablation study in Section A.

As illustrated in Figure 2, DashengTokenizer offers superior efficiency through a single-stage training pipeline that eliminates the need for multi-stage distillation (see [B]) while ensuring inference consistency by retaining the semantic encoder during deployment. Other benefits of our approach is that the acoustic feature is independent of the semantic feature, allowing generation performance to be enhanced via higher sampling rates or higher-resolution spectrograms as inputs, without retraining the semantic encoder.

## 4 Experiments

### 4.1 Datasets

Our training pipeline utilizes approximately 282k hours of diverse audio data, sampled with specific domain weights: Music (21%; Million Sound Dataset [5], MTG-Jamendo [7]), English Speech (21%; Emilia [22], Yodas [33], LibriLight [27], CommonVoice15 [3]), Chinese Speech (40%; AISHELL-1/2/3 [8, 17, 47], Emilia), Other Languages (10%; multi-lingual Emilia [22]), and General Sound (26%; AudioSet [19], FSD50K [18], AudioCaps, CochlScene [25], ACAVCaps [41]). By default, all datasets are resampled to 16 kHz.




Figure 2: The proposed DashengTokenizer compared to prior approaches: [A] standard acoustic modeling using VAE, and [B] semantically distilled (VQ-)VAEs. In contrast, our approach eliminates the multi-stage training required by [B] and does not rely on a semantic decoder that is discarded during inference, thereby avoiding a train-test mismatch.

Table 2: DashengTokenizer architecture.

Attribute	Semantic Encoder	Acoustic Encoder	Decoder
Parameters	630 M	0.66 M	173 M
Architecture	32 Layer Transformer	2D Conv	Vocos
Hidden Dim	1280	1280	1280
Input	64-bin Mel	128-bin Mel	Unified ( $d = 1280$ )
Frame Rate	25 Hz	25 Hz	25 Hz (Upsample to 50 Hz)

**Evaluation benchmarks** We evaluate representations of DashengTokenizer across three distinct axes. First, audio understanding is evaluated via the X-ARES [64] benchmark, utilizing a linear probing protocol across 22 tasks in the speech, music, and sound domains. Second, reconstruction fidelity is quantified using wideband Perceptual Evaluation of Speech Quality (PESQ) [46] and Short-Time Objective Intelligibility (STOI) [52] for speech on the SEED-TTS dataset [2]. Signal reconstruction quality for music and environmental audio is measured via Mel-spectrogram (Mel-16k) and Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT-16k) distances calculated via the DAC toolkit [30] on MUSDB18-HQ [44] and AudioSet [19], respectively. Finally, we validate generative capabilities through downstream experiments in Speech Enhancement (Valentini [53], DNS [50]), Text-to-Audio (AudioCaps [29]), and Text-to-Music (MusicCaps [1]). For SE, we employ DNSMOS P.835 [45], NISQAv2 [38], and Spksim to assess signal quality and speaker identity preservation. For both TTA and TTM, we evaluate performance using Fréchet Audio Distance (FAD [28]), Fréchet Distance (FD), Kullback–Leibler (KL) divergence, and CLAPScore [56] to measure text-audio alignment.

## 4.2 Setup

The model is trained for one million steps using the AdamW [36] optimizer with a global batch size of 256. The learning rate is initialized at  $5 \times 10^{-4}$  and decayed to 10% using a cosine schedule.

### 4.2.1 Architecture Configuration

Our framework consists of a semantic encoder, a trained acoustic encoder and an acoustic decoder, summarized in Table 2. We further discuss each component individually.

Table 3: Speech reconstruction performance across different tokenizers. Codecs are highlighted in blue, while continuous tokenizers are highlighted in red. For all metrics higher is better and best are in **bold** and second best are underlined.

Model	Framerate	Seed-TTS (ZH)		Seed-TTS (EN)	
		PESQ $\uparrow$	STOI $\uparrow$	PESQ $\uparrow$	STOI $\uparrow$
SNAC [49]	-	1.841	0.862	1.804	0.870
Mimi [13]	12.5	2.050	0.890	2.010	0.890
XCodec 2.0 [62]	50	2.190	0.920	2.370	0.930
XY-Tokenizer [20]	12.5	2.270	0.900	2.140	0.900
DAC [30]	50	3.860	0.967	3.763	0.969
EzAudio [21]	50	3.857	0.987	3.668	0.989
UniFlow-Audio [57]	50	4.048	<b>0.990</b>	3.858	<b>0.992</b>
MingTok-Audio [58]	50	<b>4.210</b>	0.980	4.040	0.980
Ours	25	<u>4.163</u>	<u>0.988</u>	<b>4.125</b>	0.987

**Semantic encoder** We utilize the 630M parameter encoder from MiDashengLM-7B [14], a 32-layer Transformer pretrained for general audio understanding on public datasets. Unlike alternatives with unknown training data mixture like Whisper [43], the MiDashengLM backbone ensures full reproducibility. It operates at a 25 Hz frame rate with  $d = 1280$  dimensional embeddings.

**Acoustic Encoder** To inject acoustic information, we apply a 2D convolution over a 128-bin mel-spectrogram, extracted every 10 ms. We use non-overlapping patches ( $128 \times 4$  bins) to match the 25 Hz temporal resolution of the semantic feature. This lightweight component (0.66M parameters) followed by LayerNorm [4] produces the residual features  $z_{ac}$ .

**Acoustic decoder** The decoder upsamples the unified 25 Hz features to 50 Hz via a 1D transposed convolution. We adopt a scaled Vocos architecture [48] (173M parameters, 12 layers, 1280 hidden dimension) to accommodate the high-dimensional latent space ( $d = 1280$ ).

## 5 Results

We evaluate our results against existing literature, which can be broadly categorized into three distinct approaches: neural discrete codecs, audio encoders, and continuous tokenizers.

### 5.1 Reconstruction evaluation

We evaluate speech reconstruction quality on the Mandarin (ZH) and English (EN) subsets of the Seed-TTS benchmark [2]. Here, we compare against speech codecs and continuous acoustic tokenizers (EzAudio [21], UniFlow-Audio [57]). All waveform targets and model outputs are resampled to 16 kHz for consistent evaluation.

The results, summarized in Table 3, demonstrate that continuous tokenizers generally exceed the performance of discrete codecs across both language benchmarks. While high-performance codecs like DAC [30] achieve strong results, they are consistently outperformed by continuous representations in perceptual quality. Notably, our proposed model achieves competitive results, while maintaining a lower framerate (25 Hz) compared to other top-performing continuous models.

Beyond speech tasks, Table 4 evaluates reconstruction performance on general environmental audio and music. Notably, our model achieves strong results for AudioSet in the Mel-16k metric with a score of 0.320, outperforming baselines. While UniFlow-Audio-VAE remains highly competitive in music reconstruction, our model demonstrates robust generalization across diverse acoustic environments, consistently placing among the top-tier performers.

Table 4: General audio (Audioset) and music (MUSDB) reconstruction performance measured with Mel and STFT distances on 16 kHz audio. Codecs are highlighted in blue, while continuous tokenizers are highlighted in red. For all metrics lower is better and best are in **bold** and second best are underlined.

Model	Framerate	Audioset		MUSDB18	
		Mel-16k ↓	STFT-16k ↓	Mel-16k ↓	STFT-16k ↓
XY-Tokenizer	12.5	0.901	2.361	0.607	1.817
DAC	50	0.664	1.986	0.965	2.352
SNAC	-	1.195	2.690	1.242	2.655
XCodec 2.0	50	1.253	2.925	1.273	2.855
EzAudio	50	0.349	<u>1.434</u>	<u>0.258</u>	<u>1.168</u>
UniFlow-Audio	50	0.321	<b>1.385</b>	<b>0.232</b>	<b>1.129</b>
MingTok-Audio	50	0.462	1.768	0.432	1.616
Ours	25	<b>0.320</b>	1.585	0.293	1.458

## 5.2 Understanding

**Speech understanding** Speech understanding performance on the X-ARES benchmark is reported across eleven tasks, being emotion recognition (Crema-D, RAV), intent classification (FSC), speaker identification (VoxC), speaker counting (LibCnt), keyword spotting (SPV1), automatic speech recognition (LS100h), gender classification (LSMF), vocal sound classification (VocS), vocal imitation classification (VocI) and language identification (VoxL33). For all discrete codecs, features are extracted as continuous latents prior to the quantization stage. Note that MingTok-Audio [58] operates in two modes: A low dimensional Acoustic VAE embedding and a high-dimensional unified embedding, both of which are evaluated here.

Table 5: Understanding Performance in the Speech Domain on the X-ARES benchmark. Codecs are highlighted in blue, while encoder models are highlighted in yellow and continuous tokenizers are highlighted in red. For all metrics higher is better and best are in **bold** and second best are underlined.

Model	CREMA-D	FSC	LibCnt	LS100h	LSMF	RAV	SPV1	VocI	VocS	VoxC	VoxL33
DAC [30]	43.90	2.55	43.93	0.00	91.58	36.45	15.50	2.23	42.17	13.24	7.96
Encodec [12]	39.16	3.48	33.78	0.00	88.44	27.71	26.70	2.16	43.06	10.92	7.89
SemantiCodec [35]	60.30	46.40	66.05	0.00	98.14	55.00	88.02	23.82	84.28	60.99	26.66
FlexiCodec [32]	58.00	<b>99.00</b>	43.00	0.00	0.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	79.00	0.00	72.00
WavTokenizer [26]	33.00	2.00	38.00	0.00	0.00	27.00	0.00	0.00	27.00	0.00	9.00
OpenBeats [6]	65.90	58.50	69.90	0.00	97.30	63.00	94.40	21.40	87.70	0.00	0.00
Whisper-Large-V3 [43]	71.32	97.78	64.40	<u>90.00</u>	94.93	68.47	<b>97.75</b>	29.30	91.48	24.76	<b>97.38</b>
HuBERT [23]	58.06	<u>98.73</u>	49.72	<u>82.45</u>	85.94	47.71	95.67	18.82	81.71	3.39	45.06
MSM-MAE [39]	68.64	0.47	<u>71.22</u>	0.00	97.58	66.39	92.77	0.34	87.56	71.64	66.63
WavLM [9]	45.16	96.07	50.37	67.06	75.95	32.57	89.77	10.71	71.19	4.51	40.89
SPEAR-Base [61]	72.90	96.90	62.40	<u>90.00</u>	<u>98.60</u>	68.80	97.10	29.10	90.20	65.50	86.10
SPEAR-XLarge [61]	78.41	98.20	69.00	88.43	<b>98.73</b>	<u>76.59</u>	97.30	<b>31.28</b>	92.62	<u>79.42</u>	90.49
Dasheng-Base [15]	77.20	94.38	68.80	72.40	98.47	72.50	96.70	25.05	91.00	77.98	81.29
Dasheng 0.6B [15]	<u>79.39</u>	97.79	69.67	69.64	98.58	78.13	97.22	<u>29.67</u>	<u>92.21</u>	<b>84.00</b>	86.33
EzAudio [21]	38.80	1.63	37.19	0.00	84.90	25.56	12.47	1.21	35.26	5.99	6.96
UniFlow-Audio [57]	39.78	1.32	37.15	0.00	74.57	23.26	11.70	1.37	34.73	6.18	6.34
MingTok-Audio (Acoustic) [58]	41.22	2.82	39.42	0.00	86.91	30.83	20.34	2.45	38.63	6.97	9.88
MingTok-Audio (Unified) [58]	66.85	98.58	62.92	<b>93.45</b>	97.10	57.08	96.14	20.64	88.59	35.28	77.44
Ours	<b>80.56</b>	83.39	<b>79.98</b>	68.71	98.06	<b>83.06</b>	<u>97.35</u>	23.92	<b>93.15</b>	51.16	<u>93.60</u>

The results in Table 5 reveal a distinct representational gap where acoustic (VQ)-VAEs like EzAudio and DAC excel at reconstruction but lack the high-level features necessary for discriminative tasks. Our proposed DashengTokenizer offers highly competitive performance, securing the best score on four tasks (CREMA-D, LibCnt, RAV, VocS) and ranks second on two others (SPV1, VoxL33). The notable performance gap of DashengTokenizer observed on FSC and LS100h likely stems from our model’s retention of acoustic variance; while this is beneficial for emotion and scene recognition, it can interfere with tasks requiring pure semantic abstraction. Crucially, unlike audio encoders such

as SPEAR-XLarge, our unified framework maintains high-fidelity synthesis capabilities, effectively bridging the divide between audio understanding and generation.

**Sound understanding** We further evaluate the representational capacity of DashengTokenizer across diverse sound understanding tasks, including spoofing detection (ASV), sound-to-text retrieval (Clo), sound/environment classification (ESC, Urb8, FSD50, F18K), and domestic sound event detection (DES). The results in Table 6 mirror the trends observed in the speech domain (Table 5), where neural codecs exhibit a significant performance plateau on semantic tasks.

In contrast, DashengTokenizer demonstrates performance leadership across the majority of the sound understanding benchmark. Our model achieves scores of 96.40 on ESC, 59.98 on FSD50, 86.81 on F18K, and 55.40 on DES. While our framework marginally trails SPEAR-XLarge in spoofing detection (ASV), it achieves substantial gains in sound-to-text retrieval (Clo) with a score of 5.64.

Table 6: Understanding Performance in the Sound Domain on the X-ARES benchmark. Codecs are highlighted in blue, while continuous tokenizers are highlighted in red and encoder models are highlighted in yellow. For all metrics higher is better and best are in **bold** and second best are underlined.

Model	ASV	Clo	DES	ESC	FSD50	F18K	Urb8
DAC	93.46	0.73	20.20	31.20	7.80	16.44	50.26
Encoder	95.14	0.57	3.82	16.80	4.63	6.94	40.34
SemantiCodec	93.69	1.89	48.57	81.75	34.84	26.69	84.54
FlexiCodec	93.00	0.00	17.00	30.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
WavTokenizer	95.00	0.00	16.00	19.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OpenBeats	0.00	<u>4.00</u>	55.20	86.80	38.00	<u>68.90</u>	<b>86.30</b>
Whisper-Large-V3	97.94	3.10	22.64	62.45	32.00	49.56	75.74
HuBERT	96.24	1.74	3.64	37.35	17.40	26.50	57.40
MSM-MAE	93.19	3.86	0.00	<u>88.70</u>	44.15	48.63	84.35
WavLM	95.37	0.79	16.76	31.45	10.06	19.63	53.13
SPEAR-Base	99.20	3.65	48.63	82.25	37.96	48.00	81.46
SPEAR-XLarge	<b>99.81</b>	3.37	53.42	88.45	44.55	64.82	83.78
Dasheng-Base	96.29	3.30	53.20	86.90	40.90	55.70	83.50
Dasheng 0.6B	<u>99.24</u>	<u>4.00</u>	<u>55.01</u>	87.95	<u>44.79</u>	61.63	<u>84.71</u>
EzAudio	91.44	0.40	17.01	18.05	4.86	13.38	34.97
UniFlow-Audio	93.77	0.57	16.90	19.85	4.83	12.19	35.16
MingTok-Audio (Acoustic)	92.41	0.63	15.98	23.15	5.82	13.88	44.85
MingTok-Audio (Unified)	98.70	2.00	39.80	62.25	24.83	40.81	72.87
Ours	96.45	<b>5.64</b>	<b>55.40</b>	<b>96.40</b>	<b>59.98</b>	<b>86.81</b>	83.80

**Music understanding** The results for music understanding, presented in Table 7, continue the trends observed in the speech and general sound domains. Encoder models generally set the strongest baseline, while neural audio codecs perform poorly. Our proposed DashengTokenizer outperforms all others approaches on three of four datasets, with a particularly large lead on the challenging MAESTRO benchmark. This result exemplifies that acoustic information present in pretrained embeddings, can be helpful in the music domain. Additional experimentation in regards to the effectiveness of acoustic injection can be seen in Table 10.

### 5.3 Speech enhancement

We evaluate the utility of DashengTokenizer for speech enhancement (SE) by employing the latent-space denoising framework described in [51]. We generate noisy speech by mixing clean samples with additive noise, then convert both into embeddings using our tokenizer. A lightweight 3-layer Transformer denoiser is trained to map these noisy embeddings back to the clean manifold, optimizing for the mean squared error between the enhanced and original clean embeddings. To ensure a fair comparison, our experimental protocol, our training and evaluation setup is identical to the one in [51].

Table 7: Understanding Performance in the Music Domain on the X-ARES benchmark. Codecs are highlighted in blue, while continuous tokenizers are highlighted in red and encoder models are highlighted in yellow. For all metrics higher is better and best are in **bold** and second best are underlined.

Model	FMA	GTZAN	MAESTRO	NSynth
DAC	35.43	54.06	12.78	38.57
Encodec	28.80	35.14	23.37	32.59
SemantiCodec	57.94	66.67	8.62	68.14
FlexiCodec	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
WavTokenizer	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OpenBeats	62.40	85.90	0.00	58.90
Whisper-Large-V3	58.90	71.77	0.00	63.50
HuBERT	42.81	51.85	0.00	48.22
MSM-MAE	62.74	84.39	0.32	73.02
WavLM	36.11	48.14	0.00	40.14
SPEAR-Base	62.06	81.08	12.65	63.55
SPEAR-XLarge	64.16	84.59	13.63	67.85
Dasheng-Base	64.00	86.90	43.25	69.29
Dasheng 0.6B	<u>64.34</u>	<u>89.49</u>	<u>46.81</u>	<b>78.39</b>
EzAudio	30.74	40.54	17.57	32.18
UniFlow-Audio	30.17	40.74	16.44	31.73
MingTok-Audio (Acoustic)	34.74	39.94	21.38	27.81
MingTok-Audio (Unified)	54.45	71.17	25.00	57.00
Ours	<b>66.29</b>	<b>89.99</b>	<b>57.65</b>	<u>77.91</u>

Table 8: Speech enhancement results on the Valentini and DNS1 datasets. Baselines are taken from [51]. Higher is better and best is in **bold**.

Dataset	Model	PESQ $\uparrow$	STOI $\uparrow$	DNSMOS $\uparrow$				NISQAv2 $\uparrow$	SpkSim $\uparrow$
				P808	SIG	BAK	OVL		
Valentini	Noisy	1.97	0.92	3.09	3.32	3.11	2.68	3.04	0.888
	LMS	1.77	0.85	3.45	3.12	4.07	2.90	4.25	0.718
	Whisper	1.31	0.80	3.39	3.47	4.12	3.22	3.83	0.406
	WavLM	1.27	0.81	3.49	<b>3.49</b>	4.13	<b>3.26</b>	4.12	0.408
	Dasheng Denoiser	2.32	0.90	<b>3.52</b>	3.45	<b>4.14</b>	3.22	4.51	0.783
	Ours	<b>2.62</b>	<b>0.92</b>	3.50	3.33	4.08	3.09	<b>4.58</b>	<b>0.814</b>
DNS1	Noisy	1.58	0.92	3.16	3.39	2.62	2.48	2.58	0.924
	LMS	1.90	0.90	3.87	3.34	4.07	3.10	3.85	0.847
	Whisper	1.20	0.81	3.87	3.60	4.14	3.36	4.09	0.489
	WavLM	1.20	0.83	3.91	<b>3.61</b>	<b>4.18</b>	<b>3.40</b>	4.41	0.486
	Dasheng Denoiser	2.24	0.92	<b>4.03</b>	3.58	4.17	3.37	4.41	0.881
	Ours	<b>2.66</b>	<b>0.95</b>	4.02	3.52	4.16	3.30	<b>4.46</b>	<b>0.902</b>

Results in Table 8 presents a comparative evaluation of our proposed DashengTokenizer against several baselines, including a log-Mel spectrogram (LMS) baseline, common speech encoder models (Whisper, WavLM), and Dasheng Denoiser [51]. Our model consistently outperforms all baselines in objective speech quality and intelligibility metrics. Specifically, on both Valentini and DNS1 datasets, DashengTokenizer significantly exceeds the performance of Dasheng Denoiser in terms of PESQ and STOI. Although certain baselines show marginal leads in specific DNSMOS sub-metrics, our model yields the highest NISQAv2 scores (4.58 and 4.46) and the highest speaker similarity across both datasets, indicating superior overall perceptual quality.

Table 9: Flow-matching generation performance for TTA and TTM, compared with the VAE from UniFlow-Audio. Best results are marked in **bold**.

Task	Model	FAD ↓	FD ↓	KL ↓	CLAP Score ↑
TTA	VAE	4.27	24.72	1.84	0.412
	Ours	<b>3.06</b>	<b>21.23</b>	<b>1.56</b>	<b>0.438</b>
TTM	VAE	4.35	23.68	<b>1.94</b>	0.258
	Ours	<b>3.47</b>	<b>22.74</b>	2.00	<b>0.261</b>

#### 5.4 Flow based audio generation

We evaluate the performance of our proposed framework on text-to-music (TTM) and text-to-audio (TTA) generation tasks. Our architecture adopts the flow-based DiT [42] paradigm established by UniFlow-Audio [57], by replacing the baseline Variational Autoencoder (VAE) with our DashengTokenizer.

Because our unified latent representation resides in a significantly higher-dimensional space ( $d = 1280$ ) compared to the standard VAE ( $d = 128$ ), we follow RAE [66] and scale the DiT width from 1024 to 1532. To keep the model capacity comparable to the baseline, we simultaneously reduce the DiT layers from 24 to 11, resulting in approximately 750M trainable parameters for both models.

For both TTM and TTA tasks, models are trained for 200k iterations using a global batch size of 192 distributed across eight NVIDIA GPUs. We utilize LP-MusicCaps [16] and WavCaps [37] as the primary training corpora for the music and audio domains and evaluate on MusicCaps [1] and AudioCaps [29], respectively. During inference, we use 25 inference steps with a classifier-free guidance (CFG) scale of 5.0.

As presented in table 9, our proposed method demonstrates superior generation capabilities compared to the VAE baseline across both tasks.




Figure 3: Text-to-Audio and Text-to-Music training progress of our proposed framework compared with the VAE from UniFlow-Audio.

Furthermore, the training progress illustrated in Figure 3 demonstrates that our proposed DashengTokenizer converges significantly faster than the baseline VAE. Notably, DashengTokenizer reaches comparable performance to the baseline while saving at least 50k update steps across the majority of metrics. This efficiency is particularly evident for the CLAP Score and KL metrics, which achieve parity up to 190k training steps earlier.

#### 5.5 Ablation

Here we provide ablation studies, in which we evaluate the two individual semantic and acoustic features as well as the proposed unified feature for understanding and reconstruction tasks. For

understanding tasks, we directly evaluate each individual feature  $z_{\text{sem}}, z_{\text{ac}}, z$ . For reconstruction, we utilize the decoder  $G$  to synthesize the waveform directly from the respective features. The results in Table 10 indicate that the semantic features provides the primary signal for most classification tasks, while the acoustic features alone yields poor performance. However, the unified feature significantly can enhance performance in paralinguistic (CREMA-D) and music tasks (FMA, NSynth), demonstrating that injected acoustics can complement semantic features for audio classification.

Table 10: Audio understanding performance on the X-ARES benchmark for the individual acoustic, semantic and proposed unified features. Higher is better and best is in **bold**.

Domain	Task	Semantic	Acoustic	Unified
Speech	CREMA-D	80.10	44.44	<b>80.55</b>
	FSC	<b>98.26</b>	2.71	83.39
	LibCnt	<b>80.79</b>	52.34	79.98
	LS100h	<b>80.32</b>	0.00	68.70
	LSMF	<b>98.47</b>	88.14	98.06
	RAV	<b>87.15</b>	33.54	83.06
	SPV1	<b>97.61</b>	19.62	97.35
	VocI	<b>30.32</b>	2.86	23.92
	VocS	<b>93.15</b>	47.73	<b>93.15</b>
	VoxC	<b>55.51</b>	19.62	51.16
VoxL33	<b>75.40</b>	34.34	74.06	
Sound	ASV2015	<b>98.37</b>	89.73	96.45
	Clo	<b>6.12</b>	0.37	5.63
	DES	52.02	21.49	<b>55.40</b>
	ESC	<b>96.95</b>	34.05	96.40
	FSD50	<b>61.46</b>	8.93	59.98
	F18K	<b>89.19</b>	27.06	86.81
	Urb8	<b>86.23</b>	50.02	83.80
Music	FMA	65.14	41.71	<b>66.29</b>
	GTZAN	<b>91.19</b>	58.85	89.99
	MAESTRO	38.65	<b>58.84</b>	57.65
	NSynth	77.59	43.16	<b>77.91</b>

We further ablate the importance of the injected acoustic features in DashengTokenizer, where we evaluate the reconstruction performance of each feature individually. The results in Table 11 unsurprisingly show that the semantic features alone cannot be used for effective reconstruction. However, our proposed unified feature still achieves similar performance to the acoustic feature, making it suitable for both understanding and generation tasks.

Overall, these results demonstrate that our unified approach successfully bridges the gap between maintaining high-fidelity acoustic information without compromising on general-purpose audio understanding.

Table 11: Reconstruction performance for semantic, acoustic and the proposed unified features. Best are in **bold**.

Feature	Audioset		MUSDB18		SEED-ZH	SEED-EN
	Mel-16k ↓	STFT-16k ↓	Mel-16k ↓	STFT-16k ↓	PESQ ↑	
Acoustic	<b>0.268</b>	<b>1.497</b>	<b>0.267</b>	<b>1.410</b>	<b>4.178</b>	<b>4.131</b>
Semantic	3.310	4.978	3.290	5.540	1.040	1.040
Unified	0.320	1.585	0.293	1.458	4.163	4.125

## 6 Conclusion

This paper proposed DashengTokenizer, a unified audio embedding that can be used for generation and understanding tasks. Our proposed approach trains a simple linear projection to map acoustic details into high-level semantic features. The resulting approach results in a competitive performance in terms of reconstruction quality, while also outperforming audio encoders and codecs on a plethora of understanding tasks. Further, DashengTokenizer is then applied for SE tasks, where it is shown that this tokenizer achieves superior performance compared to baselines in SE. Lastly, we show that using DashengTokenizer, training efficiency for TTA and TTM tasks largely outperform competitive VAE baselines.

## References

- [1] Andrea Agostinelli, Timo I Denk, Zalán Borsos, Jesse Engel, Mauro Verzetti, Antoine Caillon, Qingqing Huang, Aren Jansen, Adam Roberts, Marco Tagliasacchi, et al. Musiclm: Generating music from text. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.11325*, 2023.
- [2] Philip Anastassiou, Jiawei Chen, Jitong Chen, Yuanzhe Chen, Zhuo Chen, Ziyi Chen, Jian Cong, Lelai Deng, Chuang Ding, Lu Gao, et al. Seed-tts: A family of high-quality versatile speech generation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.02430*, 2024.
- [3] R. Ardila, M. Branson, K. Davis, M. Henretty, M. Kohler, J. Meyer, R. Morais, L. Saunders, F. M. Tyers, and G. Weber. Common voice: A massively-multilingual speech corpus. In *Proceedings of the 12th Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC 2020)*, pages 4211–4215, 2020.
- [4] Jimmy Lei Ba, Jamie Ryan Kiros, and Geoffrey E Hinton. Layer normalization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.06450*, 2016.
- [5] Thierry Bertin-Mahieux, Daniel P.W. Ellis, Brian Whitman, and Paul Lamere. The million song dataset. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference (ISMIR 2011)*, 2011.
- [6] Shikhar Bharadwaj, Samuele Cornell, Kwanghee Choi, Satoru Fukayama, Hye-jin Shim, Soham Deshmukh, and Shinji Watanabe. Openbeats: A fully open-source general-purpose audio encoder. In *2025 IEEE Workshop on Applications of Signal Processing to Audio and Acoustics (WASPAA)*, pages 1–5. IEEE, 2025.
- [7] Dmitry Bogdanov, Minz Won, Philip Tovstogan, Alastair Porter, and Xavier Serra. The mtg-jamendo dataset for automatic music tagging. In *Machine Learning for Music Discovery Workshop, ICML*, 2019.
- [8] Hui Bu, Jiayu Du, Shaoji Xing, and Yanmin Gao. Aishell-1: An open-source mandarin speech corpus and a speech recognition baseline. In *2017 20th Conference of the Oriental Chapter of the International Coordinating Committee on Speech Databases and Speech I/O Systems and Assessment (O-COCOSDA)*, 2017.
- [9] Sanyuan Chen, Chengyi Wang, Zhengyang Chen, Yu Wu, Shujie Liu, Zhuo Chen, Jinyu Li, Naoyuki Kanda, Takuya Yoshioka, Xiong Xiao, et al. Wavlm: Large-scale self-supervised pre-training for full stack speech processing. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 16(6):1505–1518, 2022.
- [10] Wenxi Chen, Xincheng Wang, Ruiqi Yan, Yushen Chen, Zhikang Niu, Ziyang Ma, Xiquan Li, Yuzhe Liang, Hanlin Wen, Shunshun Yin, et al. Sac: Neural speech codec with semantic-acoustic dual-stream quantization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.16841*, 2025.
- [11] Yunfei Chu, Jin Xu, Qian Yang, Haojie Wei, Xipin Wei, Zhifang Guo, Yichong Leng, Yuanjun Lv, Jinzheng He, Junyang Lin, et al. Qwen2-audio technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.10759*, 2024.
- [12] Alexandre Défossez, Jade Copet, Gabriel Synnaeve, and Yossi Adi. High fidelity neural audio compression. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.13438*, 2022.
- [13] Alexandre Défossez, Laurent Mazaré, Manu Orsini, Amélie Royer, Patrick Pérez, Hervé Jégou, Edouard Grave, and Neil Zeghidour. Moshi: a speech-text foundation model for real-time dialogue. Technical report, 2024.
- [14] Heinrich Dinkel, Gang Li, Jizhong Liu, Jian Luan, Yadong Niu, Xingwei Sun, Tianzi Wang, Qiyang Xiao, Junbo Zhang, and Jiahao Zhou. Midashenglm: Efficient audio understanding with general audio captions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2508.03983*, 2025.
- [15] Heinrich Dinkel, Zhiyong Yan, Yongqing Wang, Junbo Zhang, Yujun Wang, and Bin Wang. Scaling up masked audio encoder learning for general audio classification. In *Interspeech 2024*, pages 547–551, 2024.

- [16] Seungheon Doh, Keunwoo Choi, Jongpil Lee, and Juhan Nam. Lp-musiccaps: Llm-based pseudo music captioning. In *Ismir 2023 Hybrid Conference*, 2023.
- [17] Jiayu Du, Xingyu Na, Xuehao Liu, and Hui Bu. Aishell-2: Transforming mandarin asr research into industrial scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.10583*, 2018.
- [18] Eduardo Fonseca, Xavier Favory, Jordi Pons, Frederic Font, and Xavier Serra. Fsd50k: An open dataset of human-labeled sound events. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2021.
- [19] Jort F. Gemmeke, Daniel P.W. Ellis, Dylan Freedman, Aren Jansen, Wade Lawrence, R. Channing Moore, Manoj Plakal, and Marvin Ritter. Audio set: An ontology and human-labeled dataset for audio events. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2017.
- [20] Yitian Gong, Luozhijie Jin, Ruifan Deng, Dong Zhang, Xin Zhang, Qinyuan Cheng, Zhaoye Fei, Shimin Li, and Xipeng Qiu. Xy-tokenizer: Mitigating the semantic-acoustic conflict in low-bitrate speech codecs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.23325*, 2025.
- [21] Jiarui Hai, Yong Xu, Hao Zhang, Chenxing Li, Helin Wang, Mounya Elhilali, and Dong Yu. Ezaudio: Enhancing text-to-audio generation with efficient diffusion transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.10819*, 2024.
- [22] Haorui He, Zengqiang Shang, Chaoren Wang, Liwei Li, and Zhizheng Wu. Emilia: A large-scale, extensive, multilingual, and diverse dataset for speech generation. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 33:4044–4054, 2025.
- [23] Wei-Ning Hsu, Benjamin Bolte, Yao-Hung Hubert Tsai, Kushal Lakhotia, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Abdelrahman Mohamed. Hubert: Self-supervised speech representation learning by masked prediction of hidden units. *IEEE/ACM transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, 29:3451–3460, 2021.
- [24] Jiawei Huang, Yi Ren, Rongjie Huang, Dongchao Yang, Zhenhui Ye, Chen Zhang, Jinglin Liu, Xiang Yin, Zejun Ma, and Zhou Zhao. Make-an-audio 2: Temporal-enhanced text-to-audio generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18474*, 2023.
- [25] Il-Young Jeong and Jeongsoon Park. Cochscene: Acquisition of acoustic scene data using crowdsourcing. In *2022 Asia-Pacific Signal and Information Processing Association Annual Summit and Conference (APSIPA ASC)*, 2022.
- [26] Shengpeng Ji, Ziyue Jiang, Wen Wang, Yifu Chen, Minghui Fang, Jialong Zuo, Qian Yang, Xize Cheng, Zehan Wang, Ruiqi Li, et al. Wavtokenizer: an efficient acoustic discrete codec tokenizer for audio language modeling. In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [27] Jacob Kahn, Morgane Rivière, Weiyi Zheng, Evgeny Kharitonov, Qiantong Xu, Pierre-Emmanuel Mazaré, Julien Karadayi, Vitaliy Liptchinsky, Ronan Collobert, and Christian Fuegen. Libri-light: A benchmark for asr with limited or no supervision. In *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2020.
- [28] Kevin Kilgour, Mauricio Zuluaga, Dominik Roblek, and Matthew Sharifi. Fréchet Audio Distance: A Reference-Free Metric for Evaluating Music Enhancement Algorithms. In *Interspeech 2019*, pages 2350–2354, 2019.
- [29] Chris Dongjoo Kim, Byeongchang Kim, Hyunmin Lee, and Gunhee Kim. Audiocaps: Generating captions for audios in the wild. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, 2019.
- [30] Rithesh Kumar, Prem Seetharaman, Alejandro Luebs, Ishaan Kumar, and Kundan Kumar. High-fidelity audio compression with improved rvqgan. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, NIPS '23*, Red Hook, NY, USA, 2023. Curran Associates Inc.

- [31] Jiaqi Li, Xiaolong Lin, Zhekai Li, Shixi Huang, Yuancheng Wang, Chaoren Wang, Zhenpeng Zhan, and Zhizheng Wu. Dualcodec: A low-frame-rate, semantically-enhanced neural audio codec for speech generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.13000*, 2025.
- [32] Jiaqi Li, Yao Qian, Yuxuan Hu, Leying Zhang, Xiaofei Wang, Heng Lu, Manthan Thakker, Jinyu Li, Sheng Zhao, and Zhizheng Wu. Flexicodec: A dynamic neural audio codec for low frame rates. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.00981*, 2025.
- [33] Xinjian Li, Shinnosuke Takamichi, Takaaki Saeki, William Chen, Sayaka Shiota, and Shinji Watanabe. Yodas: Youtube-oriented dataset for audio and speech. In *2023 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*, pages 1–8, 2023.
- [34] Haohe Liu, Zehua Chen, Yi Yuan, Xinhao Mei, Xubo Liu, Danilo Mandic, Wenwu Wang, and Mark D Plumbley. AudioLDM: Text-to-audio generation with latent diffusion models. In Andreas Krause, Emma Brunskill, Kyunghyun Cho, Barbara Engelhardt, Sivan Sabato, and Jonathan Scarlett, editors, *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 202 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 21450–21474. PMLR, 23–29 Jul 2023.
- [35] Haohe Liu, Xuenan Xu, Yi Yuan, Mengyue Wu, Wenwu Wang, and Mark D Plumbley. Semanticcodec: An ultra low bitrate semantic audio codec for general sound. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, 2024.
- [36] Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [37] Xinhao Mei, Chutong Meng, Haohe Liu, Qiuqiang Kong, Tom Ko, Chengqi Zhao, Mark D Plumbley, Yuexian Zou, and Wenwu Wang. Wavcaps: A chatgpt-assisted weakly-labelled audio captioning dataset for audio-language multimodal research. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 32:3339–3354, 2024.
- [38] Gabriel Mittag, Babak Naderi, Assmaa Chehadi, and Sebastian Möller. NISQA: A Deep CNN-Self-Attention Model for Multidimensional Speech Quality Prediction with Crowdsourced Datasets. In *Interspeech 2021*, pages 2127–2131, 2021.
- [39] Daisuke Niizumi, Daiki Takeuchi, Yasunori Ohishi, Noboru Harada, and Kunio Kashino. Masked spectrogram modeling using masked autoencoders for learning general-purpose audio representation. In *HEAR: Holistic Evaluation of Audio Representations*, pages 1–24. PMLR, 2022.
- [40] Yadong Niu, Tianzi Wang, Heinrich Dinkel, Xingwei Sun, Jiahao Zhou, Gang Li, Jizhong Liu, Xunying Liu, Junbo Zhang, and Jian Luan. Mecat: A multi-experts constructed benchmark for fine-grained audio understanding tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.23511*, 2025.
- [41] Yadong Niu, Tianzi Wang, Heinrich Dinkel, Xingwei Sun, Jiahao Zhou, Gang Li, Jizhong Liu, Junbo Zhang, and Jian Luan. Acavcaps: Enabling large-scale training for fine-grained and diverse audio understanding, 2026.
- [42] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 4195–4205, 2023.
- [43] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Tao Xu, Greg Brockman, Christine McLeavey, and Ilya Sutskever. Robust speech recognition via large-scale weak supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 28492–28518. PMLR, 2023.
- [44] Zafar Rafii, Antoine Liutkus, Fabian-Robert Stöter, Stylianos Ioannis Mimilakis, and Rachel Bittner. Musdb18-hq - an uncompressed version of musdb18, August 2019.
- [45] Chandan KA Reddy, Vishak Gopal, and Ross Cutler. Dnsmos p. 835: A non-intrusive perceptual objective speech quality metric to evaluate noise suppressors. In *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP)*, pages 886–890. IEEE, 2022.

- [46] A. W. Rix, J. G. Beerends, M. P. Hollier, and A. P. Hekstra. Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ) - a new method for speech quality assessment of telephone networks and codecs. *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust. Speech Signal Process.*, 2:749–752, 2001.
- [47] Yao Shi, Hui Bu, Xin Xu, Shaoji Zhang, and Ming Li. Aishell-3: A multi-speaker mandarin tts corpus and the baselines. In *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11567*, 2020.
- [48] Hubert Siuzdak. Vocos: Closing the gap between time-domain and fourier-based neural vocoders for high-quality audio synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.00814*, 2023.
- [49] Hubert Siuzdak, Florian Grötschla, and Luca A Lanzendörfer. Snac: Multi-scale neural audio codec. In *Audio Imagination: NeurIPS 2024 Workshop AI-Driven Speech, Music, and Sound Generation*, 2024.
- [50] Maximilian Strake, Bruno Defraene, Kristoff Fluyt, Wouter Tirry, and Tim Fingscheidt. INTER-SPEECH 2020 Deep Noise Suppression Challenge: A Fully Convolutional Recurrent Network (FCRN) for Joint Dereverberation and Denoising. In *Interspeech 2020*, pages 2467–2471, 2020.
- [51] Xingwei Sun, Heinrich Dinkel, Yadong Niu, Linzhang Wang, Junbo Zhang, and Jian Luan. Efficient Speech Enhancement via Embeddings from Pre-trained Generative Audioencoders. In *Interspeech 2025*, pages 4848–4852, 2025.
- [52] C. H. Taal, R. C. Hendriks, R. Heusdens, and J. Jensen. A short-time objective intelligibility measure for time-frequency weighted noisy speech. *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Acoust. Speech Signal Process.*, pages 4214–4217, 2010.
- [53] Cassia Valentini-Botinhao. Noisy speech database for training speech enhancement algorithms and tts models. 2017.
- [54] Aaron Van Den Oord, Oriol Vinyals, et al. Neural discrete representation learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.
- [55] Ziqian Wang, Xianjun Xia, Xinfu Zhu, and Lei Xie. U-SAM: An Audio Language Model for Unified Speech, Audio, and Music Understanding. In *Interspeech 2025*, pages 2720–2724, 2025.
- [56] Yusong Wu, Ke Chen, Tianyu Zhang, Yutong Hui, Taylor Berg-Kirkpatrick, and Shlomo Shier. Large-scale contrastive language-audio pretraining with feature fusion and keyword-to-caption augmentation. In *ICASSP 2023*, 2023. Standard citation for CLAP-based metrics.
- [57] Xuenan Xu, Jiahao Mei, Zihao Zheng, Ye Tao, Zeyu Xie, Yaoyun Zhang, Haohe Liu, Yuning Wu, Ming Yan, Wen Wu, et al. Uniflow-audio: Unified flow matching for audio generation from omni-modalities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.24391*, 2025.
- [58] Canxiang Yan, Chunxiang Jin, Dawei Huang, Haibing Yu, Han Peng, Hui Zhan, Jie Gao, Jing Peng, Jingdong Chen, Jun Zhou, et al. Ming-uniaudio: Speech llm for joint understanding, generation and editing with unified representation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2511.05516*, 2025.
- [59] Dongchao Yang, Songxiang Liu, Haohan Guo, Jiankun Zhao, Yuanyuan Wang, Helin Wang, Zeqian Ju, Xubo Liu, Xueyuan Chen, Xu Tan, et al. Almtokenizer: A low-bitrate and semantic-rich audio codec tokenizer for audio language modeling. In *Forty-second International Conference on Machine Learning*.
- [60] Dongchao Yang, Jinchuan Tian, Xu Tan, Rongjie Huang, Songxiang Liu, Haohan Guo, Xuankai Chang, Jiatong Shi, Sheng Zhao, Jiang Bian, Zhou Zhao, Xixin Wu, and Helen M. Meng. UniAudio: Towards universal audio generation with large language models. In Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Zico Kolter, Katherine Heller, Adrian Weller, Nuria Oliver, Jonathan Scarlett, and Felix Berkenkamp, editors, *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 235 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 56422–56447. PMLR, 21–27 Jul 2024.
- [61] Xiaoyu Yang, Yifan Yang, Zengrui Jin, Ziyun Cui, Wen Wu, Baoxiang Li, Chao Zhang, and Phil Woodland. Spear: A unified ssl framework for learning speech and audio representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.25955*, 2025.

- [62] Zhen Ye, Xinfu Zhu, Chi-Min Chan, Xinsheng Wang, Xu Tan, Jiahe Lei, Yi Peng, Haohe Liu, Yizhu Jin, Zheqi Dai, et al. Llasa: Scaling train-time and inference-time compute for llama-based speech synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.04128*, 2025.
- [63] Neil Zeghidour, Alejandro Luebs, Ahmed Omran, Jan Skoglund, and Marco Tagliasacchi. Soundstream: An end-to-end neural audio codec. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 30:495–507, 2021.
- [64] Junbo Zhang, Heinrich Dinkel, Yadong Niu, Chenyu Liu, Si Cheng, Anbei Zhao, and Jian Luan. X-ares: A comprehensive framework for assessing audio encoder performance. In *Interspeech 2025*, 2025.
- [65] Xin Zhang, Dong Zhang, Shimin Li, Yaqian Zhou, and Xipeng Qiu. Speechookenizer: Unified speech tokenizer for speech language models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.
- [66] Boyang Zheng, Nanye Ma, Shengbang Tong, and Saining Xie. Diffusion transformers with representation autoencoders. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.11690*, 2025.
- [67] Jiaming Zhou, Hongjie Chen, Shiwan Zhao, Jian Kang, Jie Li, Enzhi Wang, Yujie Guo, Haoqin Sun, Hui Wang, Aobo Kong, et al. Diffa: Large language diffusion models can listen and understand. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.18452*, 2025.

## A Ablation experiments for semantic weight

We evaluate the impact of the semantic loss weight ( $\lambda_{\text{sem}}$ ) on the model’s performance. To investigate the trade-off between semantic representation and acoustic fidelity, we perform a parameter sweep by varying the semantic weight  $\lambda_{\text{sem}}$  from 10 to 60 while keeping the mel-reconstruction weight  $\lambda_{\text{mel}}$  fixed at 45. We further include two boundary cases:  $\lambda_{\text{sem}} = 0$  (purely acoustic features) and  $\lambda_{\text{mel}} = 0$  (purely semantic features) to establish performance baselines/toplines for each objective. We assess the model across two dimensions: first, audio reconstruction performance using Mel-16k distance, and second, the quality of the learned representations for downstream classification tasks across diverse audio domains. For all the following experiments we use a 86M parameter encoder, which is pretrained on the same training dataset as MiDashengLM-7B. Training for this ablation is done for 200k iterations solely on the LibriSpeech dataset.

$\lambda_{\text{sem}}$	$\lambda_{\text{mel}}$	LibriTTS-clean	Audioset	MusicCaps
		Mel-16k ↓		
0	45	0.33	0.37	0.41
10	45	0.35	0.44	0.52
30	45	0.35	0.47	0.56
45	45	0.35	0.49	0.59
60	45	0.48	0.61	0.68
45	0	1.47	4.15	4.96

Table 12: Reconstruction Performance metrics for different  $\lambda_{\text{sem}}, \lambda_{\text{mel}}$ . Configuration used in this paper is highlighted.

$\lambda_{\text{sem}}$	$\lambda_{\text{mel}}$	LibriTTS-clean	Audioset	MusicCaps
0	45	-6%	-24%	-31%
10	45	0%	-10%	-12%
30	45	0%	-4%	-5%
45	45	0.35	0.49	0.59
60	45	+37%	+24%	+15%
45	0	+320%	+747%	+741%

Table 13: Relative reconstruction performance change ( $\Delta$  vs.  $\lambda_{\text{sem}} = 45, \lambda_{\text{mel}}=45$ ). Configuration used in this paper is highlighted.

The results in Table 12 indicate a clear trade-off between semantic constraint ( $\lambda_{\text{sem}}$ ) and acoustic fidelity. As the weight of the semantic loss increases from 0 to 60, we observe a consistent rise in the Mel-16k reconstruction error across all three datasets. Most notably, when only reconstructing from semantic features and setting  $\lambda_{\text{mel}} = 0$ , we can observe a significant increase in Mel-16k Distance on the Audioset and MusicCaps datasets.

Interestingly, these results highlight a significant divide in how acoustic and semantic features generalize across domains. When relying strictly on acoustic features ( $\lambda_{\text{sem}} = 0, \lambda_{\text{mel}} = 45$ ), the model maintains strong performance even on out-of-domain data like MusicCaps, implying that low-level acoustic representations are inherently more universal. However, when using purely semantic features for reconstruction ( $\lambda_{\text{sem}} = 45, \lambda_{\text{mel}} = 0$ ) the performance degradation on non-speech datasets is more than double that of the clean speech in LibriTTS. This shows that semantic features are inherently task specific and do not generalize across domains.

As shown in Table 13, lower values of  $\lambda_{\text{sem}}$  facilitate better reconstruction, providing up to a 31% reduction in Mel-distance compared to the  $\lambda_{\text{sem}} = 45$  baseline. In contrast, increasing  $\lambda_{\text{sem}}$  to 60 or removing the Mel-reconstruction loss entirely ( $\lambda_{\text{mel}} = 0$ ) leads to substantial performance drops, with the latter causing error increases of over 700% in non-speech domains.

Results for audio understanding can be seen in 14, where we see that performance scales sharply as  $\lambda_{\text{sem}}$  increases from 0 to 45. However, further increasing the weight to  $\lambda_{\text{sem}} = 60$  yields marginal

$\lambda_{\text{sem}}$	$\lambda_{\text{mel}}$	ESC	FSD50	GTZAN	LS100h	NSynth	SPV1	Urb8	VocS	VoxC	VoxL33
0	45	35.20	8.20	54.16	0.00	38.67	24.77	51.97	50.29	21.62	10.07
10	45	85.25	53.11	81.78	78.43	76.56	97.23	79.11	92.99	30.94	90.30
30	45	90.25	55.26	85.89	80.23	76.90	97.29	81.18	93.13	33.67	90.55
45	45	<b>91.85</b>	55.94	<b>86.89</b>	81.89	77.05	97.29	81.83	<b>93.15</b>	<b>37.20</b>	91.11
60	45	91.60	<b>56.09</b>	86.88	85.39	<b>77.17</b>	<b>97.40</b>	<b>82.12</b>	92.79	35.40	<b>91.30</b>
45	0	95.35	60.43	89.09	83.67	77.86	97.57	85.49	93.71	32.61	91.86

Table 14: Downstream understanding performance for different  $\lambda_{\text{sem}}, \lambda_{\text{mel}}$ .

Table 15: Relative performance change in percent for downstream tasks (Ablation  $\Delta$  vs.  $\lambda_{\text{sem}} = 45, \lambda_{\text{mel}} = 45$ ).

$\lambda_{\text{sem}}$	$\lambda_{\text{mel}}$	ESC	FSD50	GTZAN	LS100h	NSynth	SPV1	Urb8	VocS	VoxC	VoxL33
0	45	-62%	-85%	-38%	-100%	-50%	-75%	-36%	-46%	-42%	-89%
10	45	-7%	-3%	-5%	-3%	-0.5%	-0.1%	-3%	-0.2%	-6%	-0.8%
30	45	-2%	-1%	-1%	-2%	-0.2%	0.0%	-0.8%	0.0%	-9%	-0.6%
45	45	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
60	45	-0.3%	+0.2%	0.0%	+4%	+0.1%	+0.1%	+0.3%	-0.4%	-2%	+0.2%
45	0	+4%	+8%	+3%	+2%	+1%	+0.3%	+4%	+0.6%	-12%	+0.8%

gains (e.g., +4% on LS100h, +0.2% on FSD50). These slight gains for semantic understanding come at the price of significant, up to 37%, performance drops in terms of reconstruction performance (see Table 15).

Consequently, we identify  $\lambda_{\text{sem}} = 45$  as the optimal balance between semantic and reconstruction performance.

## Acknowledgement

This work makes use of the Million Song Dataset, the MTG-Jamendo [7] Dataset, AudioCaps [29], Libri-light [27], AudioSet [19], ACAVCaps [40, 41] and AIShell-1/2/3 [8, 47, 17] datasets. The authors confirm that the use of the MTG-Jamendo, AudioCaps, and AudioSet datasets is strictly limited to academic research purposes and does not involve any commercial activities. Furthermore, in accordance with the license terms of Libri-light, we confirm its application is restricted to model evaluation. All datasets are used in compliance with their respective licensing agreements and original citations.