

ISOLATION CRITICAL GRAPHS UNDER MULTIPLE EDGE SUBDIVISION

KARL BARTOLO, PETER BORG, MAGDA DETTLAFF, MAGDALENA LEMAŃSKA,
AND PAWEŁ ŻYLIŃSKI

ABSTRACT. This paper introduces the notion of an (ι, q) -critical graph. The isolation number of a graph G , denoted by $\iota(G)$ and also known as the vertex-edge domination number of G , is the size of a smallest subset D of the vertex set of G such that the subgraph induced by the set of vertices that are not in the closed neighbourhood of D has no edges. A graph G is (ι, q) -critical if every subdivision of q edges of G gives a graph whose isolation number is greater than $\iota(G)$, and G has $q - 1$ edges such that subdividing them gives a graph whose isolation number is $\iota(G)$. We show that an (ι, q) -critical graph exists for every integer $q \geq 1$. We prove that if G is a connected m -edge non-star graph, then G is (ι, q) -critical for some $q \leq m - 1$. We show that this bound is best possible. We provide a general characterization of $(\iota, 1)$ -critical graphs as well as a constructive characterization of $(\iota, 1)$ -critical trees, demonstrating that $(\iota, 1)$ -criticality can be checked in linear time for trees.

\mathcal{AMS} Subject Classification: 05C05, 05C35, 05C69.

Keywords: isolation number, edge subdivision, critical graphs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a connected graph of order $n = |V(G)| \geq 1$ and size $m = |E(G)| \geq 0$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $N[S]$ denote the *closed neighbourhood* of S (the set of vertices in S and their neighbours). A subset D of $V(G)$ is a *dominating set* of G if $V(G) = N[D]$ [33, 35], and $\gamma(G)$ denotes the size of a smallest dominating set of G . The concept of isolation arises by relaxing the condition $V(G) = N[D]$. It was introduced by Caro and Hansberg in [19] and then extensively studied in [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 21, 29, 32, 39], from different perspectives, to mention just a few. Concretely, let \mathcal{F} be a set of graphs. We say that D is an \mathcal{F} -*isolating* of G if no subgraph of $G - N[D]$ is a copy of a graph in \mathcal{F} . The \mathcal{F} -*isolation number* of G , denoted by $\iota(G, \mathcal{F})$, is the size of a smallest \mathcal{F} -isolating set of G . If $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$, then we may replace \mathcal{F} by F in the defined terms and notation. If $\mathcal{F} = \{K_2\}$, then the terms \mathcal{F} -isolating set and \mathcal{F} -isolation number, and the notation $\iota(G, \mathcal{F})$, are abbreviated to *isolating set*, *isolation number*, and $\iota(G)$, respectively. An isolating set is also called a *vertex-edge dominating set* [13, 18, 37, 38, 40, 41, 42, 44, 49, 50]. An isolating set of G of size $\iota(G)$ will be called a *minimum isolating set* of G or an $\iota(G)$ -*set*. Note that D is a dominating set of G if and only if it is a K_1 -isolating set of G (that is, $G - N[D]$ has no vertices). Thus, $\gamma(G) = \iota(G, K_1)$.

For a graph G , the *subdivision* of an edge $e = uv$ with a new vertex w , called the *subdivision vertex*, is the operation that yields the graph G_e with $V(G_e) =$

$V(G) \cup \{w\}$ and $E(G_e) = (E(G) \setminus \{uw\}) \cup \{uw, vw\}$. For $A \subseteq E(G)$, the (subdivided) graph obtained from G by subdividing the edges in A is denoted by G_A . The *isolation subdivision number* of G , denoted by $\text{sd}_\iota(G)$, is the size of a smallest subset A of $E(G)$ such that $\iota(G_A) > \iota(G)$. If $k \geq 0$ and G is a copy of $K_{1,k}$, then G is called a *k-star* or simply a *star*. A graph that is not a star will be called a *non-star graph*. Since the isolation number of a star does not increase when all of its edges are subdivided, we therefore consider only connected non-star graphs. To the best of our knowledge, the only paper concerning the isolation subdivision number is [25], where the authors establish that $1 \leq \text{sd}_\iota(T) \leq 4$ for any non-star tree T , and provide a complete characterization of the class of trees whose isolation subdivision number is 1. Herein, we continue their study and focus on (ι, q) -critical graphs.

For $q \geq 1$, a graph G is (ι, q) -critical if $\iota(G_A) > \iota(G)$ for each $A \subseteq E(G)$ with $|A| = q$, and $\iota(G_{A'}) = \iota(G)$ for some $A' \subseteq E(G)$ with $|A'| = q - 1$. Thus, if G is (ι, q) -critical, then q is the smallest positive integer such that every subdivision of q edges of G gives a graph whose isolation number is greater than $\iota(G)$. To show that $q \leq m - 1$ for a connected m -edge non-star graph, we prove a stronger statement and establish that this bound is best possible. For this, we construct a graph I_k for $k \geq 1$ in Construction 3.6, and a graph M_k for $k \geq 3$ in Construction 3.7. The following is our first main result, proved in Section 3.

Theorem 1.1. *If G is a connected m -edge non-star graph, $A \subseteq E(G)$ and $|A| \geq m - 1$, then*

$$\iota(G_A) \geq \iota(G) + 1.$$

Moreover, for every integer $k \geq 1$, $\iota(I_k) = k$ and I_k is $(\iota, |E(I_k)| - 1)$ -critical, and if $k \geq 3$, then $|E(M_k)| = k$ and M_k is $(\iota, k - 1)$ -critical.

Theorem 1.1 has the following immediate consequence.

Corollary 1.2. *If G is a connected m -edge non-star graph, then G is (ι, q) -critical for some $q \leq m - 1$.*

In Section 4, we provide a general characterization of $(\iota, 1)$ -critical graphs as well as a constructive characterization of $(\iota, 1)$ -critical trees, demonstrating that $(\iota, 1)$ -criticality can be checked in linear time for a tree.

Background. The concept of critical graphs in domination has a long history with numerous operations that alter a graph in small ways (for standard domination, see for example [1, 16, 17, 27, 45, 46, 47, 48]). The idea of q -criticality for the domination number with respect to subdivision of edges was introduced recently by Dettlaff et al. in [26] (for additional results related to the effect of edge subdivision on the domination number of a graph, see for example [2, 4, 28, 30]). Recall that a graph G is (γ, q) -critical if $\gamma(G_A) > \gamma(G)$ for each $A \subseteq E(G)$ with $|A| = q$, and $\gamma(G_{A'}) = \gamma(G)$ for some $A' \subseteq E(G)$ with $|A'| = q - 1$. The paper [26] discusses some general properties of (γ, q) -critical graphs and characterizes the $(\gamma, |V(T)| - 1)$ -critical trees T as well as some classes of (γ, k) -critical trees for $k \in \{2, 3\}$.

Notation. Let $[k]$ denote $\{1, \dots, k\}$. For a set $S \subseteq V(G)$, let $N_G(S)$ denote $N_G[S] \setminus S$. An n -vertex path P with vertex set $V(P) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(P) = \{v_1v_2, v_2v_3, \dots, v_{n-1}v_n\}$ can be represented as $P = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$. Such a path is called a v_1 - v_n path. A copy of C_3 is called a *triangle*. A vertex $v \in V(G)$ is

a *leaf* of G if v has exactly one neighbour in G ; a vertex $u \in V(G)$ is called a *support vertex* of G if it is adjacent to a leaf of G . For $v \in V(G)$, the *degree* of v , denoted by $d_G(v)$, is $|N_G(v)|$. The *distance* between two vertices x and y of G , denoted by $d_G(x, y)$, is the length of the shortest x - y path in G . The *diameter* of G , denoted by $\text{diam}(G)$, is $\max\{d_G(u, v) : u, v \in V(G)\}$. If a subgraph of G is a path of length $\text{diam}(G)$, then it is called a *diametral path* of G . The distance between a vertex x and a set of vertices Y in G , denoted by $d_G(x, Y)$, is $\min\{d_G(x, y) : y \in Y\}$. Similarly, the distance between two vertex sets X and Y in G , denoted by $d_G(X, Y)$, is $\min\{d_G(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$. A subset A of $V(G)$ is a *k -packing* of G if $d_G(x, y) > k$ for every $x, y \in A$ with $x \neq y$. If G is a k -star and $k \geq 1$, then G is called a *non-trivial star*. If G is a star and $N_G[v] = V(G)$, then v is called the *central vertex* of G . If G has an $\iota(G)$ -set $\{v\}$ for some $v \in V(G)$, then v is called an *isolating vertex* of G .

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

The isolation number of a graph cannot decrease with the subdivision of an edge and can increase by at most one.

Proposition 2.1. [25] *If G is a graph, then $\iota(G) \leq \iota(G_e) \leq \iota(G) + 1$ for each $e \in E(G)$.*

We immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *If G is a graph, then $\iota(G) \leq \iota(G_A) \leq \iota(G) + |A|$ for each $A \subseteq E(G)$.*

Since $\iota(G) \leq n/3$ for any connected n -vertex graph G [19, 50], we observe that the bound $\iota(G_A) \leq \iota(G) + |A|$ is tight up to $|A| = (n-3)/2$ for some graph G . Indeed, consider a *spider* S_t , that is, the graph obtained from the star $K_{1,t}$ for $t \geq 2$ by subdividing each edge of the star (thus, $|V(S_t)| = 2t + 1$). If A is a set of $t-1$ edges incident to a leaf in S_t , then $|A| = t-1 = (|V(S_t)| - 3)/2$ and

$$\iota((S_t)_A) = \frac{|V((S_t)_A)|}{3} = t = 1 + \frac{|V(S_t)| - 3}{2} = \iota(S_t) + |A|$$

as required.

In the literature, when introducing new domination parameters, most preliminary results concern establishing tight bounds for well-known graph classes. This is not our intention. However, we need to recall two basic results that will be exploited in the proofs of the main results. Recall that from [19] we have $\iota(P_n) = \lceil (n-1)/4 \rceil$ for a path P_n , $n \geq 1$, while $\iota(C_n) = \lceil n/4 \rceil$ for a cycle C_n , $n \geq 3$. On the other hand, Dettlaff et al. [25] proved the following observations.

Observation 2.3. [25] *If G is a path of order $n \geq 4$, then*

$$\text{sd}_\iota(G) = \begin{cases} 1, & n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 3, & n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ 4, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Observation 2.4. [25] *If G is a cycle of order $n \geq 3$, then*

$$\text{sd}_\iota(G) = \begin{cases} 1, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ 2, & n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ 3, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ 4, & n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, since the subdivision of any k edges of the cycle C_n (the path P_n) leads to a graph isomorphic to C_{n+k} (P_{n+k}), we immediately obtain the following observations.

Observation 2.5. *If $n \geq 4$, then P_n is (ι, q) -critical with $q = \text{sd}_\iota(P_n)$.*

Observation 2.6. *If $n \geq 3$, then C_n is (ι, q) -critical with $q = \text{sd}_\iota(C_n)$.*

3. GRAPHS WHICH ARE (ι, q) -CRITICAL

We consider two different perspectives on tackling the existence of (ι, q) -critical graphs, the first one stated as follows. Given an integer $q \geq 1$, can we find a connected graph which is (ι, q) -critical? To answer this question, we require the following definition.

A *d -wounded spider* $S_{t,t-d}$ is the graph formed by subdividing $t-d \leq t-1$ edges of a star $K_{1,t}$, $t \geq 2$ (d is a number of edges that we do not subdivide; $d \geq 1$).

Proposition 3.1. *If T is a d -wounded spider $S_{t,t-d}$, then T is $(\iota, d+1)$ -critical.*

Proof. Let S be a copy of $K_{1,t}$, let x be the central vertex of S , and let $v_1, \dots, v_t \in V(S) \setminus \{x\}$. To obtain a copy T of the d -wounded spider $S_{t,t-d}$, simply subdivide xv_i with subdivision vertex u_i for every $i \in [t] \setminus [d]$. Note that $\iota(T) = 1$.

Consider now the set $A = \{xv_i : i \in [d]\}$. The set $\{x\}$ is an isolating set of T and T_A . Therefore, $q \geq d$. Let A' be a set of $d+1$ edges of T . Note that A' contains at least one edge in $E(T) \setminus A$. Thus, $\text{diam}(T_{A'}) = 5$. Hence, $\iota(T_{A'}) > 1 = \iota(T)$. Therefore, T is $(\iota, d+1)$ -critical. \square

By Observation 2.5 and Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *There exists an (ι, q) -critical tree for every $q \geq 1$.*

Now, we consider a different perspective. That is, given a graph G which is (ι, q) -critical, what are the possible values of the parameter q ? Recall that by Corollary 3.2, we ascertain that there exist (ι, q) -critical graphs with parameter $q \geq 1$. Theorem 1.1 seeks to establish an upper bound on this parameter q for connected graphs which are not stars. Prior to proving Theorem 1.1, we state two lemmas that are used frequently in studies on isolation of graphs. We also prove a separate lemma concerning the isolation number of subdivided induced subgraphs, and provide a construction of an infinite family of graphs which attain the upper bound.

Lemma 3.3 ([6]). *If G is a graph, \mathcal{F} is a set of graphs, $X \subseteq V(G)$, and $Y \subseteq N[X]$, then*

$$\iota(G, \mathcal{F}) \leq |X| + \iota(G - Y, \mathcal{F}).$$

Lemma 3.4 ([6, 9]). *If G is a graph with components G_1, G_2, \dots, G_r and \mathcal{F} is a set of connected graphs, then*

$$\iota(G, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^r \iota(G_i, \mathcal{F}).$$

Lemma 3.5. *If G is an m -edge graph, $X \subseteq V(G)$, $A \subseteq E(G)$, and $|A| \geq m - 1$, then $\iota(G_A) \geq \iota(G[X]_A)$.*

Proof. Let D be an $\iota(G_A)$ -set. Let $D_X = D \cap V(G[X]_A)$. If D_X is an isolating set of $G[X]_A$, then $\iota(G[X]_A) \leq |D_X| \leq |D| = \iota(G_A)$. Suppose D_X is not an isolating set of $G[X]_A$. Then there exists a set $S \subseteq D \setminus V(G[X]_A)$ such that $D_X \cup S$ is an isolating set of $G[X]_A$. Let $S_X = N_{G_A}(S) \cap V(G[X]_A)$. Note that $S \subseteq N_{G_A}(V(G[X]_A)) \subseteq N_{G_A}(X)$.

Suppose S consists only of subdivision vertices in $V(G_A) \setminus V(G)$. Every vertex $u \in S$ has exactly one neighbour $u' \in S_X$ such that $N_{G_A}(u) \cap V(G[X]_A) = \{u'\} \subseteq N_{G[X]_A}[u']$. Therefore, $D_X \cup S_X$ is an isolating set of $G[X]_A$.

Now suppose S contains a vertex $v \in V(G)$ (which is not a subdivision vertex). By definition of S , $N_{G_A}(v) \cap V(G[X]_A) = \{v'\} \subseteq S_X$ for some $v' \in V(G[X]_A)$. Note that $vv' \notin A$, so $|A| = m - 1$. Thus, v' is the only neighbour of v in $G[X]_A$, and $N_{G_A}(v) \setminus \{v'\} \subseteq V(G_A) \setminus (V(G) \cup V(G[X]_A))$. Therefore, $D_X \cup S_X$ is an isolating set of $G[X]_A$.

In both cases, $\iota(G[X]_A) \leq |D_X \cup S_X| = |D_X \cup S| \leq |D| = \iota(G_A)$. \square

Construction 3.6. Let I_1 be a 4-vertex path $(v_1^2, v_1^1, v_2^1, v_3^1)$. Let I_2 be a 6-vertex path $(v_3^2, v_2^2, v_1^2, v_1^1, v_2^1, v_3^1)$. For $k \geq 3$, let B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{k-2} be 3-vertex paths such that B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{k-2} and I_2 are pairwise vertex-disjoint, and for $i \in [k-2]$, let $v_1^{i+2}, v_2^{i+2}, v_3^{i+2} \in V(B_i)$ such that $B_i = (v_1^{i+2}, v_2^{i+2}, v_3^{i+2})$. For $k \geq 3$, let I_k be the graph with $V(I_k) = V(I_2) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-2} V(B_i)$ and $E(I_k) = E(I_2) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-2} (E(B_i) \cup \{v_1^1 v_1^{i+2}\})$. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{I_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Construction 3.7. Let M_3 be a 4-vertex path (w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3) . For $k \geq 4$, let w_4, \dots, w_k be distinct vertices that are not in $V(M_3)$, and let M_k be the graph with $V(M_k) = V(M_3) \cup \{w_i : i \in [k] \setminus [3]\}$ and $E(M_k) = E(M_3) \cup \{w_2 w_i : i \in [k] \setminus [3]\}$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_k : k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 3\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If $\Delta(G) \leq 2$, then either $G \simeq C_3$ or G is a $\{P_n, C_n\}$ -graph for some $n \geq 4$. By Observation 2.5 and Observation 2.6, G is (ι, q) -critical where $q \leq E(G) - 1$. Thus, $\iota(G_A) \geq \iota(G) + 1$ for every $A \subseteq E(G)$ of size at least $m - 1$. Suppose $\Delta(G) \geq 3$.

Suppose $\iota(G) = 1$. If G contains a triangle T , then T_A is a $\{C_5, C_6\}$ -graph, so $\iota(G_A) \geq \iota(T_A) = 2 = \iota(G) + 1$ by Lemma 3.5. Suppose that G contains no triangle. Let v be an isolating vertex of G of largest degree. Then, $d_G(v) \geq 2$, because if we assume that v is a leaf of G , then we obtain that its neighbour is an isolating vertex of G whose degree is larger than $d_G(v)$. Since G is a connected non-star graph containing no triangle, $ux \in E(G)$ for some $x \in N_G(v)$ and $u \in V(G) \setminus N[v]$. Since $d_G(v) \geq 2$, G has a vertex y in $N_G(v) \setminus \{x\}$. Since G contains no triangle, $xy \notin E(G)$. If $uy \notin E(G)$, then $G[\{v, x, y, u\}]_A$ is a $\{P_6, P_7\}$ -graph. If $uy \in E(G)$, then $G[\{v, x, y, u\}]_A$ is a $\{C_7, C_8\}$ -graph. In any case, by Lemma 3.5, $\iota(G_A) \geq \iota(G[\{v, x, y, u\}]_A) = 2 = \iota(G) + 1$.

Now suppose $\iota(G) \geq 2$. We proceed by induction on n . If $|A| = m - 1$, then let e be the unique member of $E(G) \setminus A$. If $|A| = m$, then let $e \in E(G)$. Since G is connected, $d_G(v) \geq 2$ for some $v \in e$. Let $G' = G - N_G[v]$ and let S be the set of subdivision vertices of edges xy such that $x \in N_G(v)$ and $y \in V(G')$. Note that every vertex z in S has exactly one neighbour y in $V(G'_A)$. Let D be an $\iota(G_A)$ -set such that $D \cap S$ is of minimum size. Let $S' = N_{G_A}(D \cap S) \cap V(G'_A)$ and let $D' = (D \setminus S) \cup S'$. Since $N_{G_A}(D \cap S) \setminus N_G(v) = S'$, we note that D' is an

isolating set of G'_A . Since $d_G(v) \geq 2$ and $|A| \geq m - 1$, $|D \cap V(G[N_G[v]]_A)| \geq 1$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.2,

$$(1) \quad \iota(G_A) = |D| \geq |D'| + 1 \geq \iota(G'_A) + 1 \geq \iota(G') + 1.$$

If some inequality in (1) is strict, then by Lemma 3.3 (with $X = \{v\}$ and $Y = N_G[v]$), $\iota(G_A) \geq \iota(G') + 2 \geq \iota(G) + 1$. Suppose equality in (1) holds throughout. Let H_1, \dots, H_r be the components of G' such that $\iota(H_1) \geq \iota(H_2) \geq \dots \geq \iota(H_r)$. By Lemma 3.4, $\iota(G'_A) = \sum_{i=1}^r \iota((H_i)_A)$. If for some $j \in [r]$, H_j is not a star, then by the induction hypothesis, $\iota((H_j)_A) \geq \iota(H_j) + 1$. Thus, $\iota(G'_A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^r \iota(H_i) + 1 = \iota(G') + 1$, contradicting $\iota(G'_A) = \iota(G')$. Thus, every component of G' is a star. Let $s = \max\{i \in [r] : \iota(H_i) \neq 0\}$. Recall from equality in (1) that D' is an $\iota(G'_A)$ -set. Since $|D'| = \iota(G'_A) = \sum_{i=1}^r \iota(H_i) = s$, we have $D' = \{u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ where $u_i \in V((H_i)_A)$ for each $i \in [s]$.

We claim $D \cap S = \emptyset$. Suppose for contradiction that $D \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \in D \cap S$. Since D' consists only of vertices from components of G' which are non-trivial stars, $z \in N_{G_A}(V(H_j))$ for some $j \in [s]$. By definition of D' , z is the subdivision vertex of the edge $x_j u_j$ for some $x_j \in N_G(v)$ and some $u_j \in V(H_j)$. Note that $D' \cap V(H_j) = \{u_j\}$. Since H_j is not a trivial star, $u_j y_j \in E(G)$ for some $y_j \in V(H_j) \setminus \{u_j\}$. Let y' be the subdivision vertex of $u_j y_j$. Suppose $u_j \notin D$. Note that $N_{G_A}(y_j) \subseteq V(G_A) \setminus V(G)$. Since $z \in D$, $u_j \notin D$, and $|D' \cap V((H_j)_A)| = 1$, we have $D \cap V((H_j)_A) = \emptyset$. If a vertex of $N_{G_A}(y_j) \cap S$ is in D , then $y_j \in D'$, contradicting $|D' \cap V((H_j)_A)| \leq 1$. Thus, $D \cap N_{G_A}[y_j] = \emptyset$. Note that $N_{H_j}[y_j] \cap N_{G_A}[z] = \emptyset$, so $y_j y' \in E(G_A - N_{G_A}[D])$, contradicting that D is an isolating set of G_A . Thus, $u_j \in D$. Recall that $z \in D$ and $z \in N_{G_A}(u_j)$. Let $D^* = (D \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{x_j\}$. Note that D^* is an $\iota(G_A)$ -set such that $|D^* \cap S| < |D \cap S|$, contradicting the choice of D with $D \cap S$ being of minimum size. Therefore, $D \cap S = \emptyset$.

Suppose $u_j \notin V(H_j)$ for some $j \in [s]$. Thus, u_j is a subdivision vertex in $V((H_j)_A)$. Since $\{u_j\}$ is an isolating set of $(H_j)_A$, we have $H_j \simeq K_2$. Let $V(H_j) = \{y_1, y_2\}$. Observe that $N_{G_A}(u_j) = \{y_1, y_2\}$ and $(G - \{y_1, y_2\})_A = (G - V(H_j))_A$. Suppose $G - V(H_j)$ is not a star. By the induction hypothesis, we have $|D \setminus \{u_j\}| = |D| - 1 \geq \iota((G - V(H_j))_A) \geq \iota(G - V(H_j)) + 1$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.3 (with $X = \{y_1\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2\} = V(H_j)$), $\iota(G_A) = |D| \geq \iota(G - V(H_j)) + 2 \geq \iota(G) + 1$. Now suppose $G - V(H_j)$ is a star. Thus, $V(G) = N[v] \cup \{y_1, y_2\}$ and $\iota(G) = 2$. Since G is connected, $x_1 y_1 \in E(G)$ for some $x_1 \in N_G(v)$. If $N_G(v) \cap N_G(\{y_1, y_2\}) = \{x_1\}$, then $\iota(G) = |\{x_1\}| = 1$, a contradiction. Let $x_2 \in (N_G(v) \cap N_G(\{y_1, y_2\})) \setminus \{x_1\}$. If $N_G(y_i) = \{x_1, x_2\}$ for some $i \in [2]$, then $\iota(G) = 1$, a contradiction. Thus, $x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2 \in E(G)$ and $x_1 y_2, x_2 y_1 \notin E(G)$. Since $G - V(H_j)$ is a star, $x_1 x_2 \notin E(G)$. Hence, $G[\{v, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}] \simeq C_5$ and $G[\{v, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}]_A$ is a $\{C_9, C_{10}\}$ -graph. By Lemma 3.5, $\iota(G_A) \geq \iota(G[\{v, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\}]_A) = 3 \geq \iota(G) + 1$.

Now suppose $u_i \in V(H_i)$ for every $i \in [s]$, i.e. no u_i is a subdivision vertex. Since D' is an $\iota(G'_A)$ -set and $D' \cap V((H_i)_A) = \{u_i\}$ for every $i \in [s]$, we have $N_{H_i}[u_i] = V(H_i)$ for every $i \in [s]$. Let $G^* = G - u_1$ and let G_v^* be the component of G^* such that $v \in V(G_v^*)$. Note that the components of G^* consist of G_v^* and possibly some isolated vertices in $V(H_1) \setminus \{u_1\}$. Since $N_{G_A}(u_1) \cap V((G_v^*)_A) = \emptyset$, $D \setminus \{u_1\}$ is an isolating set of $(G_v^*)_A$. Thus, $|D \setminus \{u_1\}| \geq \iota((G_v^*)_A)$. If G_v^* is not a star, then by the induction hypothesis, $\iota((G_v^*)_A) \geq \iota(G_v^*) + 1$. Thus, by Lemma 3.3 (with $X = Y = \{u_1\}$) and Lemma 3.4, $|D| \geq \iota(G_v^*) + 2 = \iota(G^*) + 2 \geq \iota(G) + 1$. If G_v^* is a star, then $V(G_v^*) = N[v]$ and $G' = H_1$ (so $r = 1$). Since G is connected,

$N_G(v) \cap N_G(V(H_1)) = \{u_1\}$. Let $x \in N_G(v) \cap N_G(u_1)$. Note that x is an isolating vertex of G , contradicting $\iota(G) \geq 2$. Thus, the first part of the theorem is proved.

We now prove the second part of the theorem. Consider the graph family \mathcal{I} defined in Construction 3.6 (an example is illustrated in Figure 1). Observe that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{v_i^i : i \in [k]\}$ is an $\iota(I_k)$ -set, so $\iota(I_k) = k$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_k^i = E(I_k) \setminus \{v_1^1 v_2^1, v_2^1 v_3^1\}$. Note that $\iota(I_k) = \iota((I_k)_{A_k^i})$, so I_k is (ι, q) -critical for some $q > |E(I_k)| - 2$. By the first part of the theorem statement, $q = |E(I_k)| - 1$.

Now consider the graph family \mathcal{M} defined in Construction 3.7. Observe that for every $k \geq 3$, $|E(M_k)| = k$. For every $k \geq 3$, let $A_k = E(M_k) \setminus \{w_0 w_1, w_1 w_2\}$. Note that $\iota(M_k) = \iota((M_k)_{A_k}) = |\{w_2\}|$, so M_k is (ι, q) -critical for some $q > k - 2$. By the first part of the theorem statement, $q = k - 1$. \square




FIGURE 1. The graph I_4 with the edges of A_4^i highlighted in grey. Note that $\iota(I_4) = \iota((I_4)_{A_4^i})$.

4. $(\iota, 1)$ -CRITICAL GRAPHS

In this section, we focus on various characterizations of $(\iota, 1)$ -critical graphs.

4.1. The general case. The first characterization follows a similar pattern for the characterization of $(\gamma, 1)$ -critical graphs in [43], given below.

Theorem 4.1. [43] *A graph G is $(\gamma, 1)$ -critical if and only if every $\gamma(G)$ -set is a 2-packing.*

This approach for $(\iota, 1)$ -critical graphs requires another layer of intricacy to encapsulate a similar characterization due to the added difficulty imposed by the isolated vertices obtained from the deletion of the closed neighbourhood of a minimum isolating set of an $(\iota, 1)$ -critical graph. We begin by providing a definition which is the crux of this approach.

Definition 4.2. *If G is a graph, A , B and C are non-empty sets that partition $V(G)$,*

- (i) $A \cup C$ and B are independent sets of G ,
- (ii) $N(A) = B = N(C)$,
- (iii) A is a 3-packing of G , and
- (iv) no vertex in A is a leaf of G ,

then we say that (A, B, C) is a critical tripartition of G .

Note that for a graph G with a critical tripartition (A, B, C) , $A \cup C$ and B are two independent sets such that $(A \cup C) \cup B = V(G)$ and $(A \cup C) \cap B = \emptyset$. Therefore, G is a bipartite graph with partite sets $A \cup C$ and B . We note the following observation arising out of Definition 4.2 and the property that a graph is bipartite if and only if it has no odd cycle [36].

Observation 4.3. *If G is a graph that has a critical tripartition (A, B, C) , then*

- (i) *no vertex in $A \cup C$ is a support vertex of G ,*
- (ii) *G contains no odd cycle,*
- (iii) *the distance between any two vertices in $A \cup C$ is even, and*
- (iv) *every leaf of G is in C .*

Theorem 4.4. *A connected graph G is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical if and only if $(D, N(D), V(G) \setminus N[D])$ is a critical tripartition of G for each $\iota(G)$ -set D .*

Proof. Let G be a connected $(\iota, 1)$ -critical graph. Let D be an $\iota(G)$ -set. Let $R = V(G) \setminus N[D]$. Then, no vertex in D is adjacent to a vertex in R . By the choice of D , R is an independent set of G .

Claim 4.1. *D is a 3-packing of G .*

Proof. Suppose that D is not a 3-packing of G . Then, D has two distinct vertices x and y with $d(x, y) \leq 3$. Let P be a shortest path from x to y in G . Since $d(x, y) \leq 3$, $|V(P)| \leq 4$ and $V(P) = N_P[\{x, y\}]$. Let $e \in E(P)$ and consider the graph G_e . We have $d_{G_e}(x, y) \leq 4$, $|V(P_e)| \leq 5$ and $|V(P_e) \setminus N_{P_e}[\{x, y\}]| \leq 1$. Thus, D is an $\iota(G_e)$ -set. This contradicts the assumption that G is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. \square

By Claim 4.1 and the definition of R , $D \cup R$ is an independent set of G .

Claim 4.2. *$N_G(D)$ is an independent set of G .*

Proof. Suppose $uv \in E(G)$ for some $u, v \in N_G(D)$. Let x be the subdivision vertex of uv . Note that $N_{G_{uv}}(x) = \{u, v\} \subseteq N_G(D)$. Hence, $N_{G_{uv}}[x] \setminus N_{G_{uv}}[D] = \{x\}$ and $V(G_{uv}) \setminus N_{G_{uv}}[D] = R \cup \{x\}$. Thus, $\iota(G_{uv}) \leq |D| = \iota(G)$, contradicting G being $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. Therefore, $N_G(D)$ is an independent set of G . \square

Claim 4.3. *For every $x \in N_G(D)$, $N_G(x) \cap R \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that there exists some $x \in N_G(D)$ such that $N_G(x) \cap R = \emptyset$. Let $w \in N_G(x) \cap D$. By Claim 4.2, $N_G(x) \subseteq D$. Thus, any edges of G_{wx} not in $E(G)$ have a vertex in $N_{G_{wx}}[D]$. Hence, $\iota(G_{wx}) \leq |D| = \iota(G)$. This contradicts G being $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. \square

By Claim 4.3, $N_G(R) = N_G(D)$.

Claim 4.4. *If $|D| \geq 2$, then for every $x \in D$, there exists some $y \in D \setminus \{x\}$ such that $d_G(x, y) = 4$.*

Proof. Suppose for contradiction that there exists some $x \in D$ such that $d_G(x, y) \geq 5$ for every $y \in D \setminus \{x\}$. Let P be a shortest path from x to some $x' \in D \setminus \{x\}$ in G . Let $P^* = P - N_P[\{x, x'\}]$. Since $d_G(x, x') \geq 5$, $|V(P)| \geq 6$ and $P^* \simeq P_k$ for some $k \geq 2$. Let $v, w \in V(P^*)$ such that $d_G(x, v) = 2$ and $d_G(x, w) = 3$. Thus, $vw \in E(G)$. Since D is an $\iota(G)$ -set, $N_G[D] \cap \{v, w\} \neq \emptyset$. However, by Claim 4.1, D is a 3-packing in G , and hence $D \cap N_G[v] = \emptyset$. Thus, $D \cap (N_G(w) \setminus N_G[v]) \neq \emptyset$. Note that $d_G(x, z) = 4$ for each $z \in D \cap (N_G(w) \setminus N_G[v])$, which contradicts that $d_G(x, y) \geq 5$ for every $y \in D \setminus \{x\}$. \square

Claim 4.5. *For every $x \in D$, $d(x) \geq 2$.*

Proof. Suppose $d_G(x) = 1$ for some $x \in D$. If $|D| = 1$, then $G \simeq K_{1,k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, which contradicts that G is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. Suppose $|D| \geq 2$. By Claim 4.4, there exists some $y \in D \setminus \{x\}$ such that $d_G(x, y) = 4$. Let $w \in N_G(x)$. Since

$N_G[x] \subseteq N_G[w]$, $(D \setminus \{x\}) \cup \{w\}$ is also an $\iota(G)$ -set with $d_G(w, y) = 3$, which contradicts that every $\iota(G)$ -set is a 3-packing in G (Claim 4.1). \square

In conclusion, $D \cup N_G(D) \cup R = V(G)$, $D \cup R$ and $N_G(D)$ are independent sets of G , $N_G(D) = N_G(R)$, D is a 3-packing in G , and no vertex in D is a leaf. Therefore, $(D, N_G(D), R)$ is a critical tripartition of G .

We now prove the converse. Let G be a connected graph such that $(D, N(D), V(G) \setminus N[D])$ is a critical tripartition of G for each $\iota(G)$ -set D . Suppose that G is not $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. Then, $\iota(G_{xy}) = \iota(G)$ for some $xy \in E(G)$. Let D' be an $\iota(G_{xy})$ -set and let $z \in V(G_{xy}) \setminus V(G)$ be the subdivision vertex of xy . Note that $|D'| = \iota(G)$.

Suppose $z \notin D'$. Since $D' \subseteq V(G)$, D' is also an $\iota(G)$ -set. Let $R = V(G) \setminus N_G[D']$. Thus, $(D', N_G(D'), R)$ is a critical tripartition of G . Since D' is an isolating set of G_{xy} , $xz, yz \notin E(G_{xy} - N_{G_{xy}}[D'])$, so $N_G[D'] \cap \{x, y\} \neq \emptyset$.


Suppose $d_G(x) = 1$. By Definition 4.2 (iv) and Observation 4.3 (i), $x \in R$, $y \in N_G(D')$, and $N_G(y) \setminus \{x\} \subseteq D'$. This contradicts D' being an $\iota(G_{xy})$ -set since $xz \in E(G_{xy} - N_{G_{xy}}[D'])$. A similar argument holds for $d_G(y) = 1$.

Therefore, $d_G(x) \geq 2$ and $d_G(y) \geq 2$. If $x, y \notin D'$, then $x', y' \in D'$ for some $x' \in N_G(x) \setminus y$ and some $y' \in N_G(y) \setminus \{x\}$. Thus, $x, y \in N_G(D')$. However $xy \in E(G)$, which contradicts $N_G(D')$ being an independent set of G . Therefore, $D' \cap \{x, y\} \neq \emptyset$. By Definition 4.2 (iii), D' is a 3-packing in G . Thus, either $x \in D'$ or $y \in D'$. Without loss of generality, suppose $x \in D'$. Since D' is a 3-packing in G , $D' \cap N_G[y'] = \emptyset$ for every $y' \in N_G(y) \setminus \{x\}$. By Observation 4.3 (ii), $E(G[N_G(y)]) = \emptyset$. Thus, $(N_{G_{xy}}[y] \setminus \{z\}) \cap N_{G_{xy}}[D'] = \emptyset$. But $E(G_{xy}[N_{G_{xy}}[y] \setminus \{z\}]) \neq \emptyset$, contradicting D' being an isolating set of G_{xy} .


Therefore, $z \in D'$. Let $D_x = (D' \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{x\}$ and let $D_y = (D' \setminus \{z\}) \cup \{y\}$. Since $N_{G_{xy}}(z) = \{x, y\} \subseteq N_G[x] \cap N_G[y]$ and $\iota(G_{xy}) = \iota(G)$, both D_x and D_y are $\iota(G)$ -sets. If $x \in D'$, then $|D_x| = |D' \setminus \{z\}| < |D'|$, contradicting $\iota(G) = \iota(G_{xy})$. A similar argument holds for $y \in D'$. Therefore, $x, y \notin D'$. Let $R_x = V(G) \setminus N_G[D_x]$. Thus, $(D_x, N_G(D_x), R_x)$ is a critical tripartition of G . Note that $D' \setminus \{z\} \subseteq D_x$. By Definition 4.2 (iii), D_x is a 3-packing in G . By Definition 4.2 (iv) and Observation 4.3 (i), neither x nor y is a leaf or a support vertex of G . Let $y' \in N_G(x) \setminus \{y\}$. Note that $y, y' \in N_G(D_x)$ and thus by Definition 4.2 (ii), $N_G(y) \cap R_x \neq \emptyset \neq N_G(y') \cap R_x$. By Definition 4.2 (i), $N_G(D_x)$ is independent, so $yy' \notin E(G)$. Since D_x is a 3-packing in G , $D_x \cap N_G[y] = D_x \cap N_G[y'] = \{x\}$. Thus, $N_G[(D' \setminus \{z\})] \cap N_G[y] = \emptyset = N_G[(D' \setminus \{z\})] \cap N_G[y']$. Since $N_{G_{xy}}[z] = \{x, z, y\}$ and $yy' \notin E(G)$, $V(G_{xy} - N_{G_{xy}}[D']) = R_x \cup \{y'\}$. Furthermore, since $N_{G_{xy}}(y') \cap R_x = N_G(y') \cap R_x \neq \emptyset$, $E(G_{xy} - N_{G_{xy}}[D']) = E(G_{xy}[R_x \cup \{y'\}]) \neq \emptyset$. This contradicts D being an $\iota(G_{xy})$ -set. Therefore, G is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. \square

4.2. The case for trees. Now we provide a constructive characterization of $(\iota, 1)$ -critical trees. Let \mathcal{F}_ι be the family of trees such that $P_5 \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$ and any tree $T \in \mathcal{F}_\iota \setminus \{P_5\}$ can be constructed from P_5 by using operations \mathcal{O}_1 , \mathcal{O}_2 and \mathcal{O}_3 defined and illustrated below. For every $T \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$, we define the status of a vertex $v \in V(T)$, denoted by $\text{sta}(v)$, to be A, B or C in the following manner. Initially, for P_5 , let $\text{sta}(v) = A$ if v is neither a leaf of P_5 nor a support vertex of P_5 , let $\text{sta}(v) = B$ if v is a support vertex of P_5 and let $\text{sta}(v) = C$ if v is a leaf of P_5 . Once a vertex is assigned a status, this status remains unchanged as the tree is recursively constructed.


- Operation \mathcal{O}_1 : The tree T is obtained from some $T' \in \mathcal{F}_l \setminus \{T\}$ of smaller order by adding a vertex z and an edge yz , where y is a vertex of T' with status B . Let $\text{sta}(z) = C$.

FIGURE 2. Operation \mathcal{O}_1 .

- Operation \mathcal{O}_2 : The tree T is obtained from some $T' \in \mathcal{F}_l \setminus \{T\}$ of smaller order by adding a path $P_2 = (y, z)$ and an edge xy , where x is a vertex of T' with status A . Let $\text{sta}(y) = B$ and $\text{sta}(z) = C$.

FIGURE 3. Operation \mathcal{O}_2 .

- Operation \mathcal{O}_3 : The tree T is obtained from some $T' \in \mathcal{F}_l \setminus \{T\}$ of smaller order by adding a path $P_4 = (w, x, y, z)$ and an edge vw , where v is a vertex of T' with status C . Let $\text{sta}(w) = B$, $\text{sta}(x) = A$, $\text{sta}(y) = B$, and $\text{sta}(z) = C$.

FIGURE 4. Operation \mathcal{O}_3 .

The following observations follow directly from the construction of the family \mathcal{F}_l . Denote by $\mathcal{A}_T, \mathcal{B}_T, \mathcal{C}_T$ the sets of vertices of T with status A, B , and C , respectively.

Observation 4.5. *If $T \in \mathcal{F}_l$, then:*

- (i) every leaf is in \mathcal{C}_T ;
- (ii) every support vertex is in \mathcal{B}_T ;
- (iii) the set \mathcal{A}_T is a unique isolating set which is a 3-packing of T ;
- (iv) $\mathcal{A}_T \cup \mathcal{C}_T$ and \mathcal{B}_T are independent sets;
- (v) the distance between any two leaves is even.
- (vi) $N_T(\mathcal{A}_T) = \mathcal{B}_T = N_T(\mathcal{C}_T)$.

Note that \mathcal{F}_ι is a subfamily of the family of trees which have a unique smallest vertex-edge dominating set characterized in [44], with the first graph P_5 and \mathcal{O}_1 , \mathcal{O}_2 , and \mathcal{O}_3 being the same for both families of trees. Thus, Observation 4.5 (iii) is a consequence of Theorem 9 in [44].

Given this condition, we now show that a graph belongs to \mathcal{F}_ι if and only if it is an $(\iota, 1)$ -critical tree. This is motivated by the additional condition regarding the uniqueness of a minimum isolating set, which is not present in the characterization provided by Theorem 4.4. The smallest example of a non-tree graph which demonstrates this is C_4 , which is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical by Observation 2.6, and we note that every vertex of C_4 is an isolating vertex of C_4 . Prior to proving the necessary and sufficient conditions for the equivalence, we give the following observation for use as a base case and a proposition which is a corollary of Theorem 9 in [44].

Observation 4.6. *If T is an $(\iota, 1)$ -critical tree with $|V(T)| \leq 5$, then $T \simeq P_5$.*

Proposition 4.7. [44] *If $T \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$, then T has a unique $\iota(T)$ -set.*

Theorem 4.8. *Let T be a tree. If T is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical, then $T \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$.*

Proof. Let T be an n -vertex $(\iota, 1)$ -critical tree and let D be an $\iota(T)$ -set. By Theorem 4.4, $(D, N_T(D), V(T) \setminus N_T[D])$ is a critical tripartition of T . We show that if T is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical, then it can be constructed from P_5 using a sequence of operations \mathcal{O}_1 , \mathcal{O}_2 , or \mathcal{O}_3 . We prove this using induction on n .

Let $\text{diam}(T) = d$. By Observation 4.6, $n \geq 5$. Since $P_5 \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$, we assume that $n \geq 6$. Let $P = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d)$ be a diametral path of T . Observe that if $d \leq 3$, then T is not $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. Hence, $d \geq 4$. Since T is a tree, $d_T(v_0) = d_T(v_d) = 1$. By Observation 4.3 (i), $v_0, v_d \in V(T) \setminus N_T[D]$.

Suppose $d_T(v_1) \geq 3$. Then there exists $x \in N_T(v_1) \setminus \{v_0, v_2\}$ such that $d_T(x) = 1$. Let $T' = T - \{x\}$. Note that D is an isolating set of T' . Suppose for contradiction that there exists an $\iota(T')$ -set D' with $|D'| < |D|$. Since v_1 is a support vertex of T' and D' is a minimum isolating set of T' whose closed neighbourhood intersects v_0v_1 , $|N_{T'}[v_1] \cap D'| = 1$. Thus, without loss of generality, suppose $v_2 \in D'$. Hence, D' is an isolating set of T . This gives $\iota(T) \leq |D'| < |D|$, a contradiction. Thus, $\iota(T') = \iota(T)$ and $(D, N_{T'}(D), V(T') \setminus N_{T'}[D])$ is a critical tripartition of T' for every $\iota(T)$ -set D . By Theorem 4.4, T' is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, $T' \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$. By Observation 4.5 (ii), v_1 has status B , and T can be obtained by applying \mathcal{O}_1 to T' on v_1 . Therefore, $T \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$.

Now suppose $d_T(v_1) = 2$. Suppose $d_T(v_2) \geq 3$. By Observation 4.3 (iii) and (iv), v_2 is not a support vertex of T , so there exists a leaf $x \in N_T(y)$ for some $y \in N_T(v_2) \setminus \{v_1, v_3\}$. If $d_T(y) \geq 3$, then the case follows a similar argument to the case for $d_T(v_1) \geq 3$, so suppose $d_T(y) = 2$. Let $T' = T - \{x, y\}$. Note that D is an isolating set of T' . Using similar arguments as in the previous case we can deduce that D is an $\iota(T')$ -set. Hence, $\iota(T) = \iota(T')$ and $(D, N_{T'}(D), V(T') \setminus N_{T'}[D])$ is a critical tripartition of T' for every $\iota(T)$ -set D . By Theorem 4.4, T' is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, $T' \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$. Since v_2 is neither a leaf nor a support vertex of T' , then by Observation 4.5 (i) and (ii), v_2 has status A , and T can be obtained by applying \mathcal{O}_2 to T' on v_2 . Therefore, $T \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$.

Hence, $d_T(v_2) = 2$. Since v_2 is not a leaf or a support vertex, then by Observation 4.3 (i) and (iv), $v_2 \in D$ for every $\iota(T)$ -set D . Thus, $v_3 \in N_T(D)$ and $N_T(v_3) \setminus \{v_2\} \subseteq V(T) \setminus N_T[D]$ for every $\iota(T)$ -set D . Suppose $d_T(v_3) \geq 3$. Thus, for some $i \in [3]$ there exists a path on vertices $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i \in V(T) \setminus V(P)$ such

that $x_j x_{j+1} \in E(T)$ for $j \in [i-1]$ and $v_3 x_1 \in E(T)$. If $i = 3$, then by Observation 4.3 (i) and (iv), $x_1 \in D$. Thus, $d_T(x_1, v_2) = 2$, contradicting Definition 4.2 (iii). If $i = 2$, then $d_T(v_0, x_2) = 5$, contradicting Observation 4.3 (iii). Thus, $i = 1$. Let $T' = T - \{x_1\}$. Recall that $v_2 \in D$ and observe that D is an isolating set of T' . Using similar arguments as in the case for $d_T(v_1) \geq 3$ we can deduce that D is an $\iota(T')$ -set. Hence, $\iota(T) = \iota(T')$ and $(D, N_{T'}(D), V(T') \setminus N_{T'}[D])$ is a critical tripartition of T' for every $\iota(T)$ -set D . By Theorem 4.4, T' is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, $T' \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$. By Observation 4.5 (ii), v_3 has status B , and T can be obtained by applying \mathcal{O}_1 to T' on v_3 . Therefore, $T \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$.

Hence, $d_T(v_3) = 2$. Since P is a diametral path with $d_T(v_d) = 1$, then by symmetry we have $d(v_{d-i}) = 2$ for every $i \in [3]$. Since $n \geq 6$ and $d(v_0, v_d)$ is even by Observation 4.3 (iii), $d \geq 8$. Let $T' = T - \{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. We claim that $\iota(T') = \iota(T) - 1$. Observe that $D \setminus \{v_2\}$ is an isolating set of T' . Suppose for contradiction that there exists an $\iota(T')$ -set $|D'|$ with $|D'| < |D \setminus \{v_2\}|$. In this case, $D' \cup \{v_2\}$ would be an isolating set of T such that $|D' \cup \{v_2\}| < \iota(T)$, a contradiction. Hence, $\iota(T) = \iota(T') + 1$ and $(D \setminus \{v_2\}, N_{T'}(D \setminus \{v_2\}), V(T') \setminus N_{T'}[D \setminus \{v_2\}])$ is a critical tripartition of T' for every $\iota(T)$ -set D . By Theorem 4.4, T' is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, $T' \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$. If $d_{T'}(v_4) = 1$, then v_4 has status C . Suppose $d_{T'}(v_4) \geq 2$. Thus, for some $i \in [4]$ there exists a path on vertices $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i \in V(T') \setminus V(P)$ such that $x_j x_{j+1} \in E(T')$ for $j \in [i-1]$ and $v_4 x_1 \in E(T')$. If $i \in \{1, 3\}$, then $d(v_0, x_i)$ is odd, contradicting Observation 4.3 (iii). If $i = 2$, then $v_4 \in D$, contradicting Definition 4.2 (iii). Thus, $i = 4$ and v_4 has status C . Hence, for both the case $d_{T'}(v_4) = 1$ and the case $d_{T'}(v_4) \geq 2$ with $i = 4$, T can be obtained by applying \mathcal{O}_3 to T' on v_4 . Therefore, $T \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$. \square

Corollary 4.9. *If T is an $(\iota, 1)$ -critical tree, then T has exactly one $\iota(T)$ -set.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.8 and Proposition 4.7. \square

Theorem 4.10. *A tree T is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical if and only if $T \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$.*

Proof. The necessary condition holds by Theorem 4.8. We now prove the sufficient condition. Let $T \in \mathcal{F}_\iota$ and let \mathcal{A}_T , \mathcal{B}_T , and \mathcal{C}_T be the subsets of vertices in $V(T)$ with status A , B , and C , respectively. By Observation 4.5, $(\mathcal{A}_T, \mathcal{B}_T, \mathcal{C}_T)$ is a critical tripartition of T . By Proposition 4.7, T has a unique $\iota(T)$ -set D_T . By Observation 4.5 (iii) and (vi) and the uniqueness of D_T , we have that $D_T = \mathcal{A}_T$, $N(D_T) = \mathcal{B}_T$ and $V \setminus N[D_T] = \mathcal{C}_T$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.4, T is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. \square

4.3. Algorithmic aspects. In 2025, Chen et al. [20] gave a linear time algorithm for determining a minimum isolating set of a tree (among other interesting algorithmic results). On the other hand, a set D is a unique isolating set of a tree T if and only if each vertex $v \in D$ has at least two private edges e, f such that $d_T(v, e) = d_T(v, f) = 1$ and $d_T(e, f) = 2$ [44]. Therefore, once we compute any minimum isolating set of a tree T in linear time, we can verify in linear time whether T has a unique $\iota(T)$ -set, which is a necessary condition for T to be $(\iota, 1)$ -critical.

Suppose that D is a unique minimum isolating set of T . Since, for a given graph G and three non-empty sets A, B and C that partition $V(G)$, we can verify in linear time whether (A, B, C) is a critical tripartition of G (keeping in mind Theorem 4.4) we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.11. *Given an n -vertex tree T , it can be verified in linear time whether T is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical or not.*

By the same arguments, for any n -vertex graph G , if we can enumerate all $\iota(G)$ -sets in time $T(n)$, then we can verify in $O(T(n) \cdot n)$ time whether G is $(\iota, 1)$ -critical. Recall that in 2008, Fomin et al. [31] gave an $O(1.7159^n)$ time algorithm for enumerating all minimal dominating sets of an n -vertex graph, thereby showing that the maximum number of minimal dominating sets of such a graph is at most 1.7159^n . On the other hand, for several classes of graphs, there exist better upper bounds, see for example [5, 22, 23]. To the best of our knowledge, there is no such general result for enumerating all minimal isolating sets of a graph.

5. OPEN PROBLEM

Observe that the d -wounded spider $S_{t,t-d}$ discussed in Section 3 has $n = 2t - d + 1 = 2(t - d) + d + 1$ vertices and is (ι, q) -critical for $q = d + 1 = n - 2(t - d)$. In particular, $q \neq n - 3$, $q \neq n - 5$, etc. Following a similar phenomenon in [24, Theorem 2.4], we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.1. *For some values of k , there are no $(\iota, m - 2k)$ -critical graphs.*

Using a computer, we verified this hypothesis for all n -vertex trees with $n \leq 16$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Peter Borg was supported by the SEA-EU Research Seed Fund 2025 grant 2025_UM.SEA-EU Seed.01 of the University of Malta. The research work disclosed in this publication is partially funded by the Tertiary Education Scholarships Scheme (Malta).

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Ananchuen, M.D. Plummer, 3-Factor-criticality in domination critical graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 307 (23), 3006-3015 (2007).
- [2] H. Aram, S.M. Sheikholeslami, O. Favaron, Domination subdivision number of trees, *Discrete Mathematics* 309, 622-628 (2009).
- [3] S. Benecke, C.M. Mynhardt, Trees with domination subdivision number one, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 42, 201-209 (2008).
- [4] A. Bhattacharya, G.R. Vijayakumar, Effect of edge-subdivision on vertex-domination in a graph, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* 22, 335-347 (2002).
- [5] M. Bonamy, O. Defrain, P. Micek, L. Nourine, Enumerating minimal dominating sets in the (in)comparability graphs of bounded dimension posets, *Discrete Mathematics* 349 (4), #114904 (2026).
- [6] P. Borg, Isolation of cycles, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 36, 631-637 (2020).
- [7] P. Borg, Isolation of regular graphs and k -chromatic graphs, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* 21, #148 (2024).
- [8] P. Borg, Proof of a conjecture on isolation of graphs dominated by a vertex, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 371, 247-253 (2025).
- [9] P. Borg, Isolation of regular graphs, stars and k -chromatic graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 349, #114706 (2026).
- [10] P. Borg, K. Fenech and P. Kaemawichanurat, Isolation of k -cliques, *Discrete Mathematics* 343, #111879 (2020).
- [11] P. Borg, K. Fenech and P. Kaemawichanurat, Isolation of k -cliques II, *Discrete Mathematics* 345, #112641 (2022).
- [12] P. Borg, P. Kaemawichanurat, Extensions of the Art Gallery Theorem, *Annals of Combinatorics* 27, 31-50 (2023).

- [13] R. Boutrig, M. Chellali, T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, Vertex-edge domination in graphs, *Aequationes Mathematicae* 90, 355–366 (2016).
- [14] G. Boyer, W. Goddard, Disjoint isolating sets and graphs with maximum isolation number, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 356, 110-116 (2024).
- [15] G. Boyer, W. Goddard, M.A. Henning, On total isolation in graphs, *Aequationes Mathematicae* 99, 623–633, (2025).
- [16] R.C. Brigham, T.W. Haynes, M.A. Henning, D.F. Rall, Bicritical domination, *Discrete Mathematics* 305 (1-3), 18-32 (2005).
- [17] Cs. Bujtás, S. Klavžar, G. Košmrlj, Domination game critical graphs, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* 35, 781-796 (2015).
- [18] Y. Büyükçolak, Linear time algorithm for the vertex-edge domination problem in convex bipartite graphs, *Discrete Optimization* 55, #100877 (2025).
- [19] Y. Caro, A. Hansberg, Partial domination – the isolation number of a graph, *Filomat* 31 (12), 3925-3944 (2017).
- [20] J. Chen, Y.P. Liang, C.X. Wang, S.J. Xu, Algorithmic aspects of $\{P_k\}$ -isolation in graphs and extremal graphs for a $\{P_3\}$ -isolation bound, *Information Processing Letters* 187, #106521 (2025).
- [21] S. Chen, Q. Cui, J. Zhang, A characterization of graphs with maximum cycle isolation number, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 366, 161-175 (2025).
- [22] J.-F. Couturier, P. Heggernes, P. van't Hof, D. Kratsch, Minimal dominating sets in graph classes: Combinatorial bounds and enumeration, *Theoretical Computer Science* 487, 82-94 (2013).
- [23] J.-F. Couturier, R. Letourneur, M. Liedloff, On the number of minimal dominating sets on some graph classes, *Theoretical Computer Science* 562, 634-642 (2015).
- [24] M. Dettlaff, M. Henning, M. Lemańska, A. Roux, J. Topp, 2-Domination edge subdivision in trees, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 93, 150-170 (2025).
- [25] M. Dettlaff, M. Lemańska, M. Mora, R. Ziemann, P. Żyliński, Isolation subdivision in trees, *Manuscript* (2026).
- [26] M. Dettlaff, M. Lemańska, A. Roux, Critical graphs upon multiple edge subdivision, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* 89 (3), 400-412 (2024).
- [27] O. Favaron, On k -factor-critical graphs, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* 16, 41-51 (1996).
- [28] O. Favaron, T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, Domination subdivision numbers in graphs, *Utilitas Mathematica* 66, 195-209 (2004).
- [29] O. Favaron, P. Kaemawichanurat, Inequalities between the K_k -isolation number and the independent K_k -isolation number of a graph, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 289, 93-97 (2021).
- [30] O. Favaron, H. Karami, S.M. Sheikholeslami, Disproof of a conjecture on the subdivision domination number of a graph, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 24, 309-312 (2008).
- [31] F.V. Fomin, F. Grandoni, A.V. Pyatkin, A.A. Stepanov, Combinatorial bounds via measure and conquer: bounding minimal dominating sets and applications, *ACM Transactions on Algorithms* 5 (1), 9:1-9:17 (2008).
- [32] Q. Hao, X. An, B. Wu, The independent isolation number of a tree, *Comptes Rendus Mathématique* 363, 1289-1299 (2025).
- [33] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, M.A. Henning, *Domination in Graphs: Core Concepts*, Springer (2023).
- [34] T.W. Haynes, S.M. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, Domination and independence subdivision numbers of graphs, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* 20 (2), 271-280 (2000).
- [35] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York (1998).
- [36] D. König, *Theorie der endlichen und unendlichen Graphen*, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft (1936, reprinted Chelsea 1950).
- [37] W.F. Klostermeyer, M.E. Messinger, A. Yeo, Dominating vertex covers: the vertex-edge domination problem, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory* 41, 123-132 (2021).
- [38] B. Krishnakumari, Y.B. Venkatakrishnan, M. Krzywkowski, Bounds on the vertex-edge domination number of a tree, *Comptes Rendus Mathématique* 352 (5), 363-366 (2014).
- [39] M. Lemańska, M. Mora, M.J. Souto-Salorio, Graphs with isolation number equal to one third of the order, *Discrete Mathematics* 347, #113903 (2024).

- [40] J.R. Lewis, Vertex-Edge and Edge-Vertex Domination in Graphs, PhD Thesis, Clemson University, Clemson (2007).
- [41] J.R. Lewis, S.T. Hedetniemi, T.W. Haynes, G.H. Fricke, Vertex-edge domination, *Utilitas Mathematica* 81, 193–213 (2010).
- [42] K.W. Peters, Theoretical and Algorithmic Results on Domination and Connectivity. PhD Thesis, Clemson University, Clemson (1986).
- [43] N.J. Rad, Domination critical graphs upon edge subdivision, *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing* 87, 101-113 (2013).
- [44] B. Senthilkumar, M. Chellali, H. Naresh Kumar, Y.B. Venkatakrisnan, Graphs with unique minimum vertex-edge dominating sets, *RAIRO – Operations Research* 57 (4), 1785-1795 (2023).
- [45] D.P. Sumner, Critical concepts in domination, *Annals of Discrete Mathematics* 48, 33-46 1991.
- [46] D.P. Sumner, P. Blitch, Domination critical graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B* 34, 65-76 (1983).
- [47] H.B. Walikar, B.D. Acharya, Domination critical graphs. *National Academy Science Letters* 2, 70-72 (1979).
- [48] S. Zhou, Z. Sun, Y. Zhang, Spectral radius and k -factor-critical graphs, *Journal of Supercomputing* 81, #456 (2025).
- [49] R. Ziemann, P. Żyliński, Vertex-edge domination in cubic graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 343(11), #112075 (2020).
- [50] P. Żyliński, Vertex-edge domination in graphs, *Aequationes Mathematicae* 93(4), 735-742 (2019).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MALTA, MALTA
Email address: karl.bartolo.16@um.edu.mt

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MALTA, MALTA
Email address: peter.borg@um.edu.mt

INSTITUTE OF INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GDAŃSK, POLAND
Email address: magda.dettlaff@ug.edu.pl

INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, GDAŃSK UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, POLAND
Email address: magleman@pg.edu.pl

INSTITUTE OF INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GDAŃSK, POLAND
Email address: pawel.zylinski@ug.edu.pl