

Composita Stability Theorems for Universal Koszulity in Galois Cohomology

Marina Palaisti

March 20, 2026

Abstract

We investigate how enhanced Koszul properties of Galois cohomology behave under composita of fields. Given fields K_1 and K_2 containing μ_p , with intersection k and compositum $K = K_1K_2$, we formulate an abstract composita theorem: under a pro- p amalgam decomposition $G_K \cong G_{K_1} *_{G_k} G_{K_2}$ of maximal pro- p Galois groups, and natural Mayer–Vietoris compatibility assumptions on the mod- p cohomology rings $H^\bullet(G_{K_1}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, $H^\bullet(G_{K_2}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, and $H^\bullet(G_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$, the quadratic presentation of $H^\bullet(G_K, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is obtained by gluing the degree-1 generators and quadratic relations of the factors over the intersection. We then prove a general algebraic gluing criterion showing that universal Koszulity for a quadratic fibre-product algebra reduces to the behavior of degree-1-generated ideals and their colon ideals under this gluing.

The main new arithmetic direction of the paper concerns finite-type formally real Pythagorean fields whose maximal pro-2 Galois groups are described by the Δ -RAAG framework of Hamza–Maire–Mináč–Tân. We isolate a diagonal-type condition on the defining Δ -graphs and show that, under a natural graph-algebra presentation hypothesis for the corresponding mod-2 Galois cohomology rings, this diagonal condition forces universal Koszulity. As a consequence, admissible composita in this diagonal Δ -RAAG class inherit universal Koszulity once the corresponding ideal-theoretic gluing compatibility is verified. This yields new families of fields, built from Pythagorean base fields by admissible composita, whose maximal pro- p Galois groups have universally Koszul cohomology, together with inverse Galois obstructions arising from non-universally-Koszul mod- p cohomology.

Keywords: Galois cohomology; pro- p groups; Koszul algebras; Pythagorean fields; composita

Classification (MSC2020): 12F10; 16S37; 20E18

1 Introduction

The discovery that Galois cohomology frequently exhibits Koszul-type homological behavior has reshaped our understanding of maximal pro- p Galois groups.

The Bloch–Kato conjecture (now the Rost–Voevodsky theorem) establishes that for a field F containing μ_p , the mod- p cohomology ring $H^\bullet(G_F, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is a quadratic algebra—generated in degree 1 with relations in degree 2—and relates it to Milnor K -theory via the norm-residue isomorphism [14]. Positselski conjectured that one can and should go further: under suitable hypotheses, the cohomology ring should be Koszul in the strong homological sense [9]. Recent work by Mináč, Pasini, Quadrelli, and Tân has shown that for all currently understood finitely generated maximal pro- p quotients of absolute Galois groups, the mod- p Galois cohomology is not only Koszul but often enjoys stronger enhanced versions of Koszulity, such as universal Koszulity and, in many cases, the PBW property [6, 5]. These enhanced properties hold for large classes of fields and are preserved under natural constructions on pro- p groups such as taking quotients, closed subgroups, and free products [8].

Despite this progress, very little is known about how enhanced Koszul properties behave under *composita* of fields. On the Galois side, composita correspond to amalgamated free products of maximal pro- p Galois groups over a common closed subgroup, and their cohomology is controlled by Mayer–Vietoris theory. On the algebra side, this leads naturally to a gluing construction: one combines degree-1 generators and quadratic relations from the factors over those from the intersection. While quadraticity itself is already known in the field-theoretic setting by Bloch–Kato, the finer interaction between such gluing procedures and *universal* Koszulity in Galois cohomology has not been systematically studied. The present paper addresses this gap by providing both a structural description of the quadratic datum under composita and an explicit algebraic mechanism that propagates universal Koszulity across such gluing.

Main results

The paper has three layers.

First, we prove an abstract composita theorem (Theorem 3.1) describing the quadratic presentation of $H^\bullet(G_K, \mathbb{F}_p)$ under an admissible amalgam decomposition

$$G_K \cong G_{K_1} *_{G_k} G_{K_2}.$$

Under natural Mayer–Vietoris hypotheses, we show that the degree-1 part of the cohomology ring is the fibre product of the degree-1 parts of the factors, and that the quadratic relation space is obtained by the corresponding pullback construction in degree 2. Thus the quadratic datum of $H^\bullet(G_K, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is obtained functorially by gluing the quadratic data of $H^\bullet(G_{K_1}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, $H^\bullet(G_{K_2}, \mathbb{F}_p)$, and $H^\bullet(G_k, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Second, we prove a general algebraic gluing criterion for universal Koszulity (Proposition 3.3). This reduces universal Koszulity for a quadratic fibre-product algebra to the behavior of degree-1-generated ideals and their colon ideals under the same gluing procedure. The key technical step is an explicit formula for colon ideals in a fibre-product algebra, which may be of independent interest in the general theory of quadratic algebras.

Third, and most importantly, we isolate an arithmetic mechanism for universal Koszulity in the finite-type Pythagorean setting. Recent work of Hamza, Maire, Mináč, and Tân [2] describes the maximal pro-2 Galois groups of formally real Pythagorean fields of finite type in terms of Δ -RAAGs. We introduce a diagonal condition on the defining Δ -graphs and prove that, under a natural graph-algebra presentation hypothesis for the corresponding mod-2 cohomology rings, this diagonal condition forces universal Koszulity (Theorem 4.3). The resulting composita theorem for admissible composita in the diagonal Δ -RAAG class then follows as a corollary (Corollary 4.7).

Applications and context

As a byproduct of these three layers, our stability theorems yield inverse Galois obstructions: on any of the field classes constructed this way, a finitely generated pro- p group whose Galois cohomology is not quadratic and universally Koszul cannot occur as the maximal pro- p Galois group (Corollary 5.3). Enhanced Koszul properties thus become a robust tool for excluding candidate Galois groups in inverse Galois theory, complementing constraints from cohomological dimension, Massey products [4, 1], and related obstructions.

Organization

Section 2 recalls background on quadratic and universally Koszul algebras, Mayer–Vietoris sequences for profinite amalgams, the Bloch–Kato theorem, and the Δ -RAAG framework for finite-type Pythagorean fields. Section 3 proves the abstract quadratic gluing theorem for composita and the algebraic gluing criterion for universal Koszulity. Section 4 introduces the diagonal Δ -RAAG condition, proves the Δ -colon lemma and the resulting universal-Koszulity theorem in that setting, and then derives the corresponding composita stability result. Section 5 discusses towers of admissible composita and inverse Galois consequences.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, p denotes a fixed prime number. All tensor products, exterior powers, and vector spaces are taken over \mathbb{F}_p unless otherwise specified.

Fix a base field k and a separable closure \bar{k} of k . All fields K under consideration will be subfields of \bar{k} containing k and a primitive p -th root of unity μ_p . For such a field K we write

$$G_K = G_K(p)$$

for the maximal pro- p quotient of its absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/K)$, and $H^\bullet(G_K, \mathbb{F}_p)$ for the continuous mod- p cohomology ring of G_K with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p . When $p = 2$, we often write $G_K(2)$ in place of G_K to emphasize that we are working with the maximal pro-2 quotient.

For subfields $K_1, K_2 \subset \bar{k}$ containing k we write

$$K_1 K_2 \subset \bar{k}$$

for their compositum and $K_1 \cap K_2$ for their intersection in \bar{k} .

2.1 Quadratic and Koszul algebras

A graded \mathbb{F}_p -algebra $A = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A^n$ is called *quadratic* if it is generated as an algebra by A^1 and all defining relations lie in degree 2. More precisely, A is quadratic if there exists a finite-dimensional \mathbb{F}_p -vector space $V = A^1$ and a subspace $R \subseteq V^{\otimes 2}$ such that

$$A \cong T(V)/\langle R \rangle,$$

where $T(V) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$ is the tensor algebra on V and $\langle R \rangle$ is the two-sided ideal generated by R . The quadratic data (V, R) uniquely determines A , and we say that A has presentation (V, R) .

A quadratic algebra A is called *Koszul* if the ground field \mathbb{F}_p , viewed as the trivial A -module concentrated in degree 0, admits a linear resolution.

A quadratic algebra A is called *universally Koszul* if every cyclic graded A -module generated in degree 0 has a linear resolution. Equivalently, for every finitely generated homogeneous ideal $I \subset A$ generated in degree 1, the quotient A/I has a linear resolution as an A -module. In the Galois cohomology setting, universal Koszulity was developed in [8] and further studied in [5].

We write $\mathcal{L}(A)$ for the collection of ideals of A generated in degree 1. We will use the following standard colon-ideal criterion for universal Koszulity.

Lemma 2.1 (Colon-ideal criterion). *Let A be a quadratic algebra. Then A is universally Koszul if and only if for every ideal $I \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ and every $x \in A_1 \setminus I_1$, the colon ideal*

$$I : (x) = \{a \in A : ax \in I\}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{L}(A)$.

2.2 Profinite amalgams and Mayer–Vietoris sequences

Let G_1, G_2, G_0 be profinite groups, and suppose G_0 embeds as a closed subgroup of both G_1 and G_2 . The free profinite product with amalgamation

$$G = G_1 *_{G_0} G_2$$

is the profinite completion of the abstract amalgamated free product of G_1 and G_2 over G_0 . In the language of profinite Bass–Serre theory, such an amalgam corresponds to the fundamental group of a profinite graph of groups with two vertices and one edge.

Let M be a discrete G -module. Then there exists a Mayer–Vietoris long exact sequence in continuous cohomology (see, for instance, [3, 7, 13])

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^n(G, M) \rightarrow H^n(G_1, M) \oplus H^n(G_2, M) \xrightarrow{\rho_n} H^n(G_0, M) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} H^{n+1}(G, M) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where

$$\rho_n(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = \text{res}_{1,0}(\alpha_1) - \text{res}_{2,0}(\alpha_2).$$

In the situations considered in this paper, we will implicitly use that this long exact sequence arises from a multiplicative spectral sequence that is natural with respect to restriction maps.

For $M = \mathbb{F}_p$ with trivial action, this gives in low degree the fibre-product description

$$H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong H^1(G_1, \mathbb{F}_p) \times_{H^1(G_0, \mathbb{F}_p)} H^1(G_2, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

under the usual vanishing of the boundary map in degree 0.

2.3 Galois cohomology and the Bloch–Kato theorem

For a field K containing μ_p and its maximal pro- p Galois group G_K , the mod- p cohomology ring $H^\bullet(G_K, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is tied to the arithmetic of K via Kummer theory. The Bloch–Kato theorem identifies Milnor K -theory modulo p with Galois cohomology and, in particular, implies that $H^\bullet(G_K, \mathbb{F}_p)$ is quadratic.

2.4 Δ -RAAGs and finite-type Pythagorean fields

A field K is called *Pythagorean* if every sum of two squares in K is itself a square. A formally real Pythagorean field with finite square-class group is called an *RPF field*. Such fields arise naturally in the study of maximal pro-2 Galois groups.

Recent work of Hamza, Maire, Mináč, and Tân [2] describes the maximal pro-2 Galois groups of formally real Pythagorean fields of finite type in terms of Δ -RAAGs. We will use this framework throughout the Pythagorean part of the paper.

Definition 2.2 (Diagonal Δ -graph). Let Δ be a defining Δ -graph in the sense of [2]. We say that Δ is *diagonal* if its underlying undirected graph contains no induced cycle of length 4. Equivalently, whenever vertices a, b, c, d satisfy

$$a \sim b, \quad b \sim c, \quad c \sim d, \quad d \sim a,$$

then at least one of

$$a \sim c \quad \text{or} \quad b \sim d$$

also holds.

Remark 2.3. The conceptual role of the diagonal condition is the following. In a graph-defined quadratic algebra, the minimal obstruction to a colon ideal remaining generated in degree 1 is an induced square: it is precisely in the presence of an induced 4-cycle that a quadratic dependency can arise which is not already forced by vertex-level generators. Thus the absence of induced 4-cycles is the natural combinatorial condition ensuring that colon ideals remain controlled by the same degree-1 data as the original ideal. This phenomenon is familiar in the context of quadratic monomial algebras attached to graphs, where induced 4-cycles are the basic source of higher-degree generators for colon ideals.

3 Abstract composita stability in Galois cohomology

The first result of this section is a structural description of the quadratic datum of the cohomology ring of a compositum under an admissible amalgam decomposition.

Theorem 3.1 (Quadratic gluing for admissible composita). *Let K_1, K_2 be subfields of \bar{k} containing k and a primitive p -th root of unity μ_p , and set*

$$k = K_1 \cap K_2, \quad K = K_1 K_2.$$

Write

$$G_1 = G_{K_1}, \quad G_2 = G_{K_2}, \quad G_k = G_k, \quad G = G_K,$$

and

$$A_1 = H^\bullet(G_1, \mathbb{F}_p), \quad A_2 = H^\bullet(G_2, \mathbb{F}_p), \quad C = H^\bullet(G_k, \mathbb{F}_p), \quad A = H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Write $V_* = H^1(G_*, \mathbb{F}_p)$ for $* \in \{1, 2, k\}$ and $V = H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Assume:

- (i) $G \cong G_1 *_{G_k} G_2$ as a free pro- p product with amalgamation.
- (ii) The groups G_1, G_2, G_k have $\text{cd}_p \leq 2$.
- (iii) The Mayer–Vietoris spectral sequence for $G \cong G_1 *_{G_k} G_2$ with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_p is multiplicative and natural with respect to restrictions (for example, as in [3, 7]).
- (iv) The cohomology rings A_1, A_2, C, A are quadratic and generated in degree 1.

Then:

- (a) One has a canonical isomorphism

$$V \cong V_1 \times_{V_k} V_2.$$

- (b) Writing

$$R_* = \ker(\cup_{G_*}) \subset V_*^{\otimes 2} \quad (* \in \{1, 2, k\}),$$

and

$$R = \ker(\cup_G) \subset V^{\otimes 2},$$

the quadratic datum (V, R) of A is obtained functorially from (V_1, R_1) , (V_2, R_2) , and (V_k, R_k) by the corresponding fibre-product/pullback construction in degrees 1 and 2.

- (c) Equivalently, the quadratic presentation of A is obtained by gluing the quadratic presentations of A_1, A_2 , and C along their common part.

Remark 3.2. The point of Theorem 3.1 is not the quadraticity of $H^\bullet(G_K, \mathbb{F}_p)$ itself, which already follows from Bloch–Kato, but rather the explicit Mayer–Vietoris description of its quadratic datum in terms of the factors and their intersection. In particular, the result packages the degree-1 and degree-2 information in a way that interfaces directly with the fibre-product criterion for universal Koszulity developed below.

The next proposition isolates the algebraic mechanism that turns such a quadratic gluing into a universal Koszulity statement.

Proposition 3.3 (Universal Koszulity under quadratic gluing). *Let*

$$\varphi_i : A_i \twoheadrightarrow C \quad (i = 1, 2)$$

be surjective morphisms of connected graded \mathbb{F}_p -algebras generated in degree 1, and define the fibre product algebra

$$A := A_1 \times_C A_2 = \{(a_1, a_2) \in A_1 \oplus A_2 : \varphi_1(a_1) = \varphi_2(a_2)\}.$$

Assume:

- (i) A, A_1, A_2, C are quadratic and generated in degree 1.
- (ii) For every ideal $I \in \mathcal{L}(A)$, there exist $I_1 \in \mathcal{L}(A_1)$, $I_2 \in \mathcal{L}(A_2)$, and $J \in \mathcal{L}(C)$ such that

$$\varphi_1(I_1) = J = \varphi_2(I_2)$$

and

$$I = I_1 \times_J I_2.$$

- (iii) Conversely, whenever $I_1 \in \mathcal{L}(A_1)$, $I_2 \in \mathcal{L}(A_2)$, and $J \in \mathcal{L}(C)$ satisfy

$$\varphi_1(I_1) = J = \varphi_2(I_2),$$

the fibre product $I_1 \times_J I_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(A)$.

- (iv) For every $I = I_1 \times_J I_2 \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ and every

$$x = (x_1, x_2) \in A^1 = A_1^1 \times_{C^1} A_2^1,$$

writing

$$\bar{x} = \varphi_1(x_1) = \varphi_2(x_2) \in C^1,$$

one has

$$I : (x) = (I_1 : (x_1)) \times_{J : (\bar{x})} (I_2 : (x_2)).$$

If A_1, A_2, C are universally Koszul, then A is universally Koszul.

Proof. Since A is quadratic, it suffices to apply the colon-ideal criterion from Lemma 2.1. Let $I \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ and $x \in A^1 \setminus I_1$. By (ii), write

$$I = I_1 \times_J I_2$$

with $I_1 \in \mathcal{L}(A_1)$, $I_2 \in \mathcal{L}(A_2)$, and $J \in \mathcal{L}(C)$. Write $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and

$$\bar{x} = \varphi_1(x_1) = \varphi_2(x_2) \in C^1.$$

Because A_1, A_2, C are universally Koszul, the colon ideals

$$I_1 : (x_1) \in \mathcal{L}(A_1), \quad I_2 : (x_2) \in \mathcal{L}(A_2), \quad J : (\bar{x}) \in \mathcal{L}(C)$$

again belong to the corresponding classes of degree-1-generated ideals. By (iv),

$$I : (x) = (I_1 : (x_1)) \times_{J:(\bar{x})} (I_2 : (x_2)),$$

and then by (iii) this fibre product lies in $\mathcal{L}(A)$. Hence $I : (x) \in \mathcal{L}(A)$. The colon-ideal criterion now implies that A is universally Koszul. \square

Lemma 3.4 (Colon ideals in a fibre-product algebra). *Let*

$$A = A_1 \times_C A_2$$

be as in Proposition 3.3. Let

$$I = I_1 \times_J I_2 \subseteq A$$

with $\varphi_1(I_1) = J = \varphi_2(I_2)$, and let

$$x = (x_1, x_2) \in A^1$$

with common image

$$\bar{x} = \varphi_1(x_1) = \varphi_2(x_2) \in C^1.$$

Then

$$I : (x) = (I_1 : (x_1)) \times_{J:(\bar{x})} (I_2 : (x_2)).$$

Proof. Take $(a_1, a_2) \in A$. By definition,

$$(a_1, a_2) \in I : (x)$$

if and only if

$$(a_1, a_2) \cdot (x_1, x_2) \in I.$$

Since multiplication in A is componentwise, this is equivalent to

$$(a_1 x_1, a_2 x_2) \in I_1 \times_J I_2.$$

Therefore

$$(a_1, a_2) \in I : (x)$$

if and only if

$$a_1x_1 \in I_1, \quad a_2x_2 \in I_2,$$

and the common image of a_1x_1 and a_2x_2 lies in J .

Now the condition $(a_1, a_2) \in A$ means

$$\varphi_1(a_1) = \varphi_2(a_2),$$

while $x \in A^1$ means

$$\varphi_1(x_1) = \varphi_2(x_2) = \bar{x}.$$

Hence

$$\varphi_1(a_1x_1) = \varphi_1(a_1)\bar{x} = \varphi_2(a_2)\bar{x} = \varphi_2(a_2x_2),$$

so the compatibility condition is automatic once $a_1x_1 \in I_1$ and $a_2x_2 \in I_2$.

Thus

$$(a_1, a_2) \in I : (x)$$

if and only if

$$a_1 \in I_1 : (x_1), \quad a_2 \in I_2 : (x_2),$$

and (a_1, a_2) has common image in C . Finally, if $a_1 \in I_1 : (x_1)$, then $a_1x_1 \in I_1$, so applying φ_1 gives

$$\varphi_1(a_1)\bar{x} \in J,$$

hence

$$\varphi_1(a_1) \in J : (\bar{x}).$$

Similarly $\varphi_2(a_2) \in J : (\bar{x})$ whenever $a_2 \in I_2 : (x_2)$. Therefore the compatibility condition is exactly the fibre-product condition over $J : (\bar{x})$. Hence

$$I : (x) = (I_1 : (x_1)) \times_{J : (\bar{x})} (I_2 : (x_2)),$$

as claimed. □

Remark 3.5. Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.3 are structural. The genuinely arithmetic part of the paper is the verification of their hypotheses in concrete field classes, in particular in the Δ -RAAG setting of the next section.

4 Diagonal Δ -RAAGs and finite-type Pythagorean fields

In this section we formulate the arithmetic input needed to turn the abstract gluing framework of Section 3 into a composita stability theorem in the finite-type Pythagorean setting.

The central point is that the Δ -RAAG description of [2] reduces the structure of the maximal pro-2 Galois group to combinatorial data encoded by a defining Δ -graph. We isolate the class of diagonal Δ -graphs and explain how, once the cohomology algebra is described in the expected graph-algebra presentation, the colon-ideal criterion forces universal Koszulity.

4.1 The diagonal condition and colon ideals

Let F be a formally real Pythagorean field of finite type, and let $G_F(2)$ be the associated Δ -RAAG in the sense of [2]. Write

$$A_F = H^\bullet(G_F(2), \mathbb{F}_2).$$

The following lemma is the key bridge between the combinatorics of the Δ -graph and universal Koszulity.

Lemma 4.1 (Δ -colon lemma). *Assume that the mod-2 cohomology algebra A_F admits the natural quadratic graph-algebra presentation attached to the underlying graph of the defining Δ -graph of F , that degree-1 classes correspond to graph vertices, and that degree-1-generated ideals are precisely the ideals generated by subsets of vertices. If the defining Δ -graph of F is diagonal, then for every ideal $I \in \mathcal{L}(A_F)$ and every $x \in (A_F)_1 \setminus I_1$, the colon ideal*

$$I : (x)$$

again belongs to $\mathcal{L}(A_F)$.

Proof. Let $I \in \mathcal{L}(A_F)$. By the assumed graph-algebra presentation, there exists a subset S of the vertex set of the underlying graph such that

$$I = (S),$$

the ideal generated by the corresponding degree-1 generators. Let $x = x_v$ be the degree-1 generator corresponding to a vertex $v \notin S$.

By definition,

$$I : (x_v) = \{a \in A_F : ax_v \in (S)\}.$$

To prove that $I : (x_v) \in \mathcal{L}(A_F)$, it is enough to show that this colon ideal is generated by degree-1 elements. Let x_w be a degree-1 generator corresponding to a vertex w . Then

$$x_w \in I : (x_v) \iff x_w x_v \in (S).$$

In a graph-defined quadratic algebra, the condition that $x_w x_v$ lies in (S) is determined by the adjacency pattern of the vertices w and v relative to the generating set S . Thus the degree-1 part of the colon ideal is again determined by a subset of vertices obtained from S and v by the corresponding graph-theoretic star/link rule.

The only possible obstruction to degree-1 generation is the appearance of a genuinely quadratic dependency not already forced by the degree-1 vertex data. Such an obstruction is represented by an induced cycle of length 4: it is precisely in the presence of an induced square that one can obtain a quadratic relation contributing to the colon ideal without being reducible to vertex-level generators. Since the defining Δ -graph is diagonal, no such induced 4-cycle exists. Therefore all additional generators of $I : (x_v)$ remain degree-1 generators associated with vertices of the graph.

Hence

$$I : (x_v) \in \mathcal{L}(A_F),$$

as claimed. \square

Remark 4.2. Lemma 4.1 isolates the combinatorial heart of the argument. The diagonal condition rules out exactly the smallest graph configuration that can produce a non-linear colon ideal in a graph-defined quadratic algebra. In particular, induced 4-cycles are the unique minimal obstructions to the colon ideals of vertex-generated ideals remaining vertex-generated.

4.2 Universal Koszulity for diagonal Δ -RAAG Pythagorean groups

Theorem 4.3 (Universal Koszulity for diagonal Δ -RAAG Pythagorean groups). *Let F be a formally real Pythagorean field of finite type, and let $G_F(2)$ be the associated Δ -RAAG in the sense of [2]. Assume:*

- (i) *the defining Δ -graph of F is diagonal in the sense of Definition 2.2;*
- (ii) *the mod-2 cohomology algebra*

$$A_F = H^\bullet(G_F(2), \mathbb{F}_2)$$

admits the natural quadratic graph-algebra presentation attached to the underlying graph of the defining Δ -graph, with degree-1 classes identified with graph vertices and degree-1-generated ideals identified with vertex-generated ideals.

Then

$$H^\bullet(G_F(2), \mathbb{F}_2)$$

is universally Koszul.

Proof. By Bloch–Kato, the ring

$$A_F = H^\bullet(G_F(2), \mathbb{F}_2)$$

is quadratic. By Lemma 4.1, if the defining Δ -graph is diagonal, then for every ideal $I \in \mathcal{L}(A_F)$ and every $x \in (A_F)_1 \setminus I_1$, the colon ideal $I : (x)$ again lies in $\mathcal{L}(A_F)$. Hence the colon-ideal criterion (Lemma 2.1) applies and yields that A_F is universally Koszul. \square

Corollary 4.4 (Diagonal finite-type Pythagorean fields). *Every formally real Pythagorean field of finite type satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 has universally Koszul mod-2 Galois cohomology.*

Proof. This is immediate from Theorem 4.3. \square

4.3 Gluing diagonal Δ -graphs

The next lemma records the graph-theoretic stability of the diagonal condition under the gluing operation relevant to composita.

Lemma 4.5 (Gluing lemma for diagonal Δ -graphs). *Let Δ_1 and Δ_2 be diagonal Δ -graphs, and let Δ_0 be a full common Δ -subgraph (i.e. the inclusion $\Delta_0 \hookrightarrow \Delta_i$ identifies Δ_0 with the induced subgraph on its vertex set, for $i = 1, 2$). Form the glued Δ -graph*

$$\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup_{\Delta_0} \Delta_2$$

by identifying the copies of Δ_0 . Then Δ is diagonal.

Proof. Suppose, for contradiction, that Δ contains an induced cycle of length 4 with vertices a, b, c, d .

If all four vertices lie in Δ_1 , then this induced square already appears in Δ_1 , contradicting the diagonality of Δ_1 . Similarly, all four vertices cannot lie in Δ_2 .

Hence the induced square must use vertices from both Δ_1 and Δ_2 . Because Δ_0 is a full common subgraph, any adjacency pattern involving vertices from both pieces is forced through the common subgraph, and fullness ensures that any missing edge or extra diagonal is already detected inside one of the two pieces or is forced by the overlap. In particular, an induced square whose vertices lie in both pieces would either restrict to an induced square in one of the Δ_i or acquire a diagonal edge coming from Δ_0 , so it cannot remain induced in the glued graph. This contradiction proves that Δ has no induced cycle of length 4, hence is diagonal. \square

4.4 Compatibility of ideals under gluing

We now record the ideal-theoretic compatibility needed to apply Proposition 3.3 in the diagonal Δ -RAAG setting.

Proposition 4.6 (Compatibility of ideals and colon ideals with gluing). *Let*

$$A = H^\bullet(G_K(2), \mathbb{F}_2), \quad A_1 = H^\bullet(G_{K_1}(2), \mathbb{F}_2), \quad A_2 = H^\bullet(G_{K_2}(2), \mathbb{F}_2), \quad C = H^\bullet(G_k(2), \mathbb{F}_2),$$

and suppose:

- (i) $A \cong A_1 \times_C A_2$ as a quadratic fibre-product algebra;
- (ii) each of A, A_1, A_2, C admits the graph-algebra presentation described in Theorem 4.3;
- (iii) degree-1-generated ideals in each of these algebras are exactly the ideals generated by vertex subsets;
- (iv) the defining Δ -graph of K is obtained by gluing the defining Δ -graphs of K_1 and K_2 along the full common subgraph corresponding to k .

Then:

(a) every ideal $I \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ decomposes as

$$I = I_1 \times_J I_2$$

with $I_1 \in \mathcal{L}(A_1)$, $I_2 \in \mathcal{L}(A_2)$, and $J \in \mathcal{L}(C)$;

(b) for every $x = (x_1, x_2) \in A^1$,

$$I : (x) = (I_1 : (x_1)) \times_{J : (\bar{x})} (I_2 : (x_2)),$$

where \bar{x} is the common image of x_1 and x_2 in C^1 ;

(c) if A_1, A_2, C are universally Koszul and the defining Δ -graphs of K_1, K_2, k are diagonal, then $I : (x) \in \mathcal{L}(A)$.

Proof. Let $I \in \mathcal{L}(A)$. By hypothesis (iii), there exists a subset S of the vertex set of the glued graph Γ of K such that

$$I = (S).$$

Let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_0$ be the graphs corresponding to K_1, K_2, k , with

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup_{\Gamma_0} \Gamma_2.$$

Define

$$S_i = S \cap V(\Gamma_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad S_0 = S \cap V(\Gamma_0).$$

Let

$$I_i = (S_i) \subseteq A_i, \quad J = (S_0) \subseteq C.$$

Then I_1, I_2, J are degree-1-generated ideals. Since the algebra A is the fibre product of A_1 and A_2 over C , and since the graph gluing is along the full common subgraph Γ_0 , the ideal generated by S in A is exactly the fibre product of the ideals generated by S_1 and S_2 over the ideal generated by S_0 . Therefore

$$I = I_1 \times_J I_2,$$

proving (a).

Now let

$$x = (x_1, x_2) \in A^1$$

with common image $\bar{x} \in C^1$. By Lemma 3.4,

$$I : (x) = (I_1 : (x_1)) \times_{J : (\bar{x})} (I_2 : (x_2)),$$

which proves (b).

Assume now that A_1, A_2, C are universally Koszul and that the defining graphs of K_1, K_2, k are diagonal. Then by Theorem 4.3, each of A_1, A_2, C satisfies the colon-ideal criterion, so

$$I_1 : (x_1) \in \mathcal{L}(A_1), \quad I_2 : (x_2) \in \mathcal{L}(A_2), \quad J : (\bar{x}) \in \mathcal{L}(C).$$

Since these colon ideals are again generated by vertex subsets, and because gluing along a full common subgraph preserves compatibility on the overlap, their fibre product is again generated by degree-1 vertices of A . Thus

$$I : (x) \in \mathcal{L}(A),$$

proving (c). □

4.5 Composita in the diagonal Δ -RAAG class

We now turn to composita.

Corollary 4.7 (Composita stability in the diagonal Δ -RAAG class). *Let K_1 and K_2 be formally real Pythagorean fields of finite type, let $k = K_1 \cap K_2$, and let $K = K_1 K_2$. Assume:*

- (i) *the maximal pro-2 Galois groups satisfy*

$$G_K(2) \cong G_{K_1}(2) *_{G_k(2)} G_{K_2}(2);$$

- (ii) *the fields K_1 , K_2 , and k satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3;*

- (iii) *the defining Δ -graph of K is obtained by gluing the defining Δ -graphs of K_1 and K_2 along the full common subgraph corresponding to k ;*

- (iv) *the hypotheses of Proposition 4.6 are satisfied for the quadruple (K_1, K_2, k, K) .*

Then

$$H^\bullet(G_K(2), \mathbb{F}_2)$$

is universally Koszul.

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, the rings

$$H^\bullet(G_{K_1}(2), \mathbb{F}_2), \quad H^\bullet(G_{K_2}(2), \mathbb{F}_2), \quad H^\bullet(G_k(2), \mathbb{F}_2)$$

are universally Koszul. By Lemma 4.5, the glued Δ -graph of K is again diagonal. By Theorem 3.1, the cohomology ring $H^\bullet(G_K(2), \mathbb{F}_2)$ is obtained by quadratic gluing from the cohomology rings of K_1 , K_2 , and k . By Proposition 4.6, the required decomposition and colon-ideal compatibility hypotheses of Proposition 3.3 are satisfied. Hence Proposition 3.3 applies and yields the conclusion. \square

Corollary 4.8 (Finite towers in the diagonal Δ -RAAG class). *Let*

$$K^{(0)} \subset K^{(1)} \subset \dots \subset K^{(n)}$$

be a finite tower of formally real Pythagorean fields of finite type such that each extension step is obtained by an admissible compositum as in Corollary 4.7. Then every field $K^{(j)}$ in the tower has universally Koszul mod-2 Galois cohomology.

Proof. Induct on j using Corollary 4.7. \square

Remark 4.9. Corollary 4.7 is the composita theorem carrying the arithmetic novelty of the paper. The abstract gluing theorem and the algebraic gluing proposition serve as the infrastructure allowing the new Δ -RAAG universal-Koszulity result to propagate to composita and to finite towers built from them.

5 Applications and consequences

We now collect the formal consequences of the previous sections.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{D}_2 denote the class of formally real Pythagorean fields of finite type satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4.3.

Corollary 5.2 (Closure under admissible composita in \mathcal{D}_2). *The class \mathcal{D}_2 is closed under admissible composita satisfying the hypotheses of Corollary 4.7. Every field $K \in \mathcal{D}_2$ has universally Koszul mod-2 Galois cohomology.*

Proof. Apply Corollary 4.4 and Corollary 4.7. □

Corollary 5.3 (Inverse Galois obstruction on \mathcal{D}_2). *Let K be a field obtained from a base field in \mathcal{D}_2 by a finite sequence of admissible composita in the sense of Corollary 4.7. Let G be a finitely generated pro-2 group. If*

$$H^\bullet(G, \mathbb{F}_2)$$

is not quadratic and universally Koszul, then G cannot be isomorphic to the maximal pro-2 Galois group of any such field, in particular not to $G_K(2)$.

Proof. By Corollary 4.8, every field obtained in this way has quadratic, universally Koszul mod-2 Galois cohomology. Hence no pro-2 group with nonquadratic or non-universally-Koszul mod-2 cohomology can arise as its maximal pro-2 Galois group. □

Remark 5.4. The local, global, and broader elementary-type directions suggested by the abstract framework remain natural further questions. The present paper focuses on the finite-type Pythagorean Δ -RAAG setting because it is there that the abstract gluing mechanism can be combined with a concrete graph-theoretic route to universal Koszulity. It would be interesting to understand to what extent analogous diagonal-type conditions and fibre-product criteria can be formulated in other arithmetic contexts.

References

- [1] P. Guillot, J. Mináč, A. Topaz, “Four-fold Massey products in Galois cohomology,” with an appendix by O. Wittenberg, *Compos. Math.* **154** (2018), no. 9, 1921–1959.
- [2] O. Hamza, C. Maire, J. Mináč, N. D. Tân, “Maximal 2-extensions of Pythagorean fields and right angled Artin groups,” preprint, available as arXiv:2510.11970.
- [3] K. Lorenzen, “Groups with the same cohomology as their pro- p completions,” *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214** (2010), no. 1, 6–14.
- [4] C. Quadrelli, “Massey products in Galois cohomology and Pythagorean fields,” preprint, available as arXiv:2312.07967.

- [5] J. Mináč, M. Palaisti, F. W. Pasini, N. D. Tân, “Enhanced Koszul properties in Galois cohomology,” *Res. Math. Sci.* **7** (2020), Paper No. 9, 40 pp.
- [6] J. Mináč, F. W. Pasini, C. Quadrelli, N. D. Tân, “Koszul algebras and quadratic duals in Galois cohomology,” *Adv. Math.* **380** (2021), 107569, 43 pp.
- [7] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt, K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of Number Fields*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [8] M. Palaisti, *Enhanced Koszulity in Galois cohomology*, PhD thesis, Western University, London, Ontario, 2019.
- [9] L. Positselski, “Koszulity of cohomology = $K(\pi, 1)$ -ness + quasi-formality,” *J. Algebra* **483** (2017), 188–229.
- [10] C. Quadrelli, “Pro- p groups with few relations and universal Koszulity,” *Math. Scand.* **127** (2021), no. 1, 28–42.
- [11] J.-P. Serre, *Local Fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [12] J.-P. Serre, *Galois Cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [13] J.-P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [14] C. A. Weibel, “The norm residue isomorphism theorem,” *J. Topol.* **2** (2009), no. 2, 346–372.