

λ -RING STRUCTURE IN DIFFERENTIAL K-THEORY

BO LIU AND XIAONAN MA

ABSTRACT. We establish the splitting principle for differential K-theory, a refinement of topological K-theory that incorporates geometric data via differential forms. Using this principle, we prove that the differential K^0 -ring associated to closed smooth manifolds admits a λ -ring structure. This structure enables a concrete construction of the Adams operations in differential K-theory introduced by Bunke. At last, we extend all these results to an equivariant setting associated with a compact Lie group action.

0. INTRODUCTION

The notion of λ -rings was first introduced by Grothendieck [10] in 1956 and later studied by Atiyah and Tall [3]; see also [4].

For a commutative ring R with identity, a λ -structure on R consists of a countable family of maps $\lambda^n : R \rightarrow R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfying some conditions (see Definition 1.2). If only a subset of these conditions is satisfied, we refer to it as a pre- λ -ring structure (Definition 1.1). The λ -ring structure is closely related to Adams operations: if R is a λ -ring, one can associate naturally Adams operations. Conversely, for a pre- λ -ring R , with compatible Adams operations, if R is torsion free, the pre- λ -ring structure of R is a λ -ring structure (Theorem 1.3).

It is well known that, although the topological K-ring is not torsion free, it carries a natural λ -ring structure [3]. The corresponding Adams operations plays a key role in the proof of Adams' celebrated theorem on the Hopf invariant [1].

In [9], Gillet and Soulé introduced the arithmetic K-theory in Arakelov geometry. This theory extends Grothendieck's K-theory by adding conjugation-invariant Hermitian metrics on homomorphic vector bundles over the complex points and differential forms of type (p, p) modulo $\text{Im}\partial + \text{Im}\bar{\partial}$. A pre- λ -ring structure on the arithmetic K-ring was constructed in [9], which was later shown by Roessler [15] to be a λ -ring structure.

Differential K-theory, introduced by Freed-Hopkins [7] and developed further by Hopkins-Singer [11], Simons-Sullivan [18], Bunke-Schick [6], Freed-Lott [8], etc., provides a smooth analogue of arithmetic K-theory. It extends topological K-theory by adding Hermitian metrics and connections on complex vector bundles and differential forms modulo exact forms. In [5], Bunke defined Adams operations on the differential K-ring directly in a sophisticated topological way and obtained an associated Adams-Riemann-Roch theorem. In [14], the authors explicitly constructed a pre- λ -ring structure on the differential K-ring, which plays important role in their work on the localization formula for η -invariants. In this paper, using the splitting principle for differential K-theory, which is established in Theorem 2.2, we prove in Theorem 2.4 that this pre- λ -ring structure is in fact a λ -ring structure, and we show that the corresponding Adams operations yield a constructive realization of those defined in [5].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we review the basic facts on λ -ring. In Section 2, we prove the splitting principle for differential K-group and state our main result Theorem 2.4 that any differential K-ring has a natural λ -ring structure. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 2.4. In Section 4, we give the explicit construction of the associated Adams operations in differential K-theory. In Section 5, we discuss the λ -ring structure on an equivariant version of differential K-theory.

Acknowledgments. BL is partially supported by NSFC No.12225105, National Key R&D Program of China No.2024YFA1013203 and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality No.22DZ2229014. XM is partially supported by Nankai Zhide Foundation, and funded through the Institutional Strategy of the University of Cologne within the German Excellence Initiative.

1. λ -RING STRUCTURE

Definition 1.1. [4, §V Definition 2.1] For a commutative ring R with identity, a pre- λ -ring structure is defined by a countable set of maps $\lambda^n : R \rightarrow R$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x, y \in R$,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda^0(x) &= 1; \\ \lambda^1(x) &= x; \\ \lambda^n(x+y) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \lambda^j(x)\lambda^{n-j}(y). \end{aligned}$$

If R has a pre- λ -ring structure, we call it a pre- λ -ring.

Remark that in [3, §I.1] the pre- λ -ring here is called the λ -ring.

Let R be a pre- λ -ring. If t is an indeterminate, we define for $x \in R$,

$$(1.2) \quad \lambda_t(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n(x)t^n.$$

Then the relations (1.1) show that λ_t is a homomorphism from the additive group of R into the multiplicative group $1 + R[[t]]^+$, of formal power series in t with constant term 1, i.e.,

$$(1.3) \quad \lambda_t(x+y) = \lambda_t(x)\lambda_t(y) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in R.$$

From (1.1) and (1.2), we have

$$(1.4) \quad \lambda_t(0) = 1.$$

Let $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r$ be indeterminates and let s_i and σ_i be the i -th elementary symmetric functions in ξ_1, \dots, ξ_q and ζ_1, \dots, ζ_r respectively. Let $P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n; \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ be the coefficient of t^n in $\prod_{ij} (1 + \xi_i \zeta_j t)$. Let $P_{n,m}(s_1, \dots, s_m)$ be the coefficient of t^n in

$$\prod_{i_1 < \dots < i_m} (1 + \xi_{i_1} \cdots \xi_{i_m} t).$$

We may assume that $r, q \geq n$ for P_n and $q \geq nm$ for $P_{n,m}$. Easy to see that P_n is a polynomial with weight n in $\{s_i\}$ and also weight n in $\{\sigma_i\}$, $P_{n,m}$ is a polynomial with weight nm in $\{s_i\}$. Both P_n and $P_{n,m}$ have integer coefficients and so may be defined in any commutative ring.

Let A be any commutative ring with identity. By [3, §I Lemma 1.1], $1 + A[[t]]^+$ is a pre- λ -ring with new operations $(\tilde{+}, \tilde{\times}, \tilde{\lambda}^n)$ (with 1 is the “zero” and $1 + t$ is the “identity”) defined as follows: for $a_i, b_j \in A$,

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n t^n\right) \tilde{+} \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n t^n\right) &:= \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n t^n\right) \cdot \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n t^n\right), \\ \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n t^n\right) \tilde{\times} \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n t^n\right) &:= 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} P_n(a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n) t^n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \tilde{\lambda}^m \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n t^n\right) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} P_{n,m}(a_1, \dots, a_{nm}) t^n.$$

In particular, for any $I, J, K \in 1 + A[[t]]^+$, we have

$$(1.7) \quad I \tilde{+} J = J \tilde{+} I, \quad I \tilde{\times} J = J \tilde{\times} I, \quad (I \tilde{+} J) \tilde{\times} K = I \tilde{\times} K \tilde{+} J \tilde{\times} K,$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \tilde{\lambda}^0(I) = 1 + t, \quad \tilde{\lambda}^1(I) = I, \quad \tilde{\lambda}^n(I + J) = \tilde{+}_{j=0}^n \left(\tilde{\lambda}^j(I) \tilde{\times} \tilde{\lambda}^{n-j}(J) \right),$$

where $\tilde{+}_{j=0}^n$ denotes the “sum” for j from 0 to n associated with the new “sum” operation $\tilde{+}$.

Definition 1.2. [3, §I Definition 1.2] We say a pre- λ -ring structure of R is a λ -ring structure if $\lambda_t : R \rightarrow 1 + R[[t]]^+$ is a homomorphism of pre- λ -rings, i.e., for any $x, y \in R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(1.9) \quad \lambda_t(x + y) = \lambda_t(x) \tilde{+} \lambda_t(y), \quad \lambda_t(xy) = \lambda_t(x) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(y), \quad \lambda_t(\lambda^n(x)) = \tilde{\lambda}^n(\lambda_t(x)),$$

which reads that for any $x, y \in R$,

$$(1.10) \quad \lambda^n(xy) = P_n(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^n(x); \lambda^1(y), \dots, \lambda^n(y)), \text{ i.e., } \lambda_t(xy) = \lambda_t(x) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(y),$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad \lambda^m(\lambda^n(x)) = P_{m,n}(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^{mn}(x)), \text{ i.e., } \lambda_t(\lambda^n(x)) = \tilde{\lambda}^n(\lambda_t(x)).$$

If R has a λ -ring structure, we call it a λ -ring.

If R is a λ -ring, from (1.1), (1.8) and (1.11) for $n = 0$, we get

$$(1.12) \quad \lambda_t(1) = 1 + t.$$

Note that in [3] the λ -ring here is called the special λ -ring. The following theorem (see [13, p.49] or [4, §V Appendix]) is a convenient criterion to verify whether a pre- λ -ring is in fact a λ -ring.

Theorem 1.3. *Let R be a pre- λ -ring. We assume that R is torsion free, that is, for any $r \in R$, $r \neq 0$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $nr = \underbrace{r + \dots + r}_n \neq 0$. Let $\Psi^n : R \rightarrow R$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ be the Adams operations defined by*

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \log \lambda_t(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^n \Psi^{n+1}(a) t^n, \quad \text{for all } a \in R.$$

Suppose that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $a, b \in R$, we have

$$(1.14) \quad \Psi^n(1) = 1, \quad \Psi^n(ab) = \Psi^n(a)\Psi^n(b), \quad \Psi^n(\Psi^m(a)) = \Psi^{nm}(a).$$

Then the pre- λ -ring structure of R is a λ -ring structure.

2. DIFFERENTIAL K-THEORY

Let B be a closed manifold. Let $\underline{E} = (E, h^E, \nabla^E)$ be a triple which consists of a complex vector bundle E over B , a Hermitian metric h^E on E and a Hermitian connection ∇^E on (E, h^E) . As in [14], we call such \underline{E} a geometric triple on B .

For a geometric triple \underline{E} on B , denote by $R^E := (\nabla^E)^2 \in \Omega^2(B, \text{End}(E))$ the curvature on E . The Chern character form (cf. e.g., [19, (1.22)])

$$(2.1) \quad \text{ch}(\underline{E}) := \text{Tr} \left[\exp \left(\frac{i}{2\pi} R^E \right) \right] \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R}).$$

For two geometric triples \underline{E}_0 and \underline{E}_1 , which have the same underlying vector bundle E , for the obvious projection $\pi : B \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B$, there exists geometric triple $\underline{\pi^*E}$ on $B \times \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$(2.2) \quad \underline{\pi^*E}|_{B \times \{j\}} = \underline{E}_j, \quad j = 0, 1.$$

For $\alpha = \alpha_0 + ds \wedge \alpha_1 \in \Lambda^\bullet(T^*(B \times \mathbb{R}))$ with $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \Lambda^\bullet(T^*B)$, we denote by $\{\alpha\}^{ds} := \alpha_1$. Thus the Chern-Simons form (cf. e.g., [16, Definition B.5.3 and Theorem B.5.4])

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{\text{ch}}(\underline{E}_0, \underline{E}_1) := \int_0^1 \{\text{ch}(\underline{\pi^*E})\}^{ds} ds \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d.$$

It only depends on ∇^{E_j} , $j = 0, 1$, and satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad d \tilde{\text{ch}}(\underline{E}_0, \underline{E}_1) = \text{ch}(\underline{E}_1) - \text{ch}(\underline{E}_0).$$

Definition 2.1. [8, Definition 2.16] A cycle for the differential K-group of B is a pair (\underline{E}, ϕ) where $\underline{E} = (E, h^E, \nabla^E)$ is a geometric triple on B and ϕ is an element in $\Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$. We say two cycles $(\underline{E}_1, \phi_1)$ and $(\underline{E}_2, \phi_2)$ are equivalent if there exist a geometric triple $\underline{E}_3 = (E_3, h^{E_3}, \nabla^{E_3})$ and a vector bundle isomorphism over B

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi : E_1 \oplus E_3 \rightarrow E_2 \oplus E_3$$

such that

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{\text{ch}}(\underline{E}_1 \oplus \underline{E}_3, \Phi^*(\underline{E}_2 \oplus \underline{E}_3)) = \phi_2 - \phi_1.$$

We define the sum of the cycles in the natural way and denote by $\widehat{K}^0(B)$ the Grothendieck group of equivalence classes of cycles.

Denote by $[\underline{E}, \phi] \in \widehat{K}^0(B)$ the equivalence class of a cycle (\underline{E}, ϕ) . For $[\underline{E}, \phi], [\underline{F}, \psi] \in \widehat{K}^0(B)$,

$$(2.7) \quad (\underline{E}, \phi) \cup (\underline{F}, \psi) := (\underline{E} \otimes \underline{F}, \text{ch}(\underline{E}) \wedge \psi + \phi \wedge \text{ch}(\underline{F}) - d\phi \wedge \psi)$$

induces a well-defined, commutative and associative product on $\widehat{K}^0(B)$ (cf. [12, Proposition 4.79], also [14, Lemma 2.15]). Thus $(\widehat{K}^0(B), +, \cup)$ is a commutative ring with unity $1 := [\underline{\mathbb{C}}, 0]$, where $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ denotes the trivial line bundle over B with trivial metric and connection.

From [8, (2.21)], there exists an exact sequence

$$(2.8) \quad K^1(B) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} \Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d \xrightarrow{a} \widehat{K}^0(B) \xrightarrow{I} K^0(B) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad \text{ch} : K^1(B) \rightarrow H^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R}) \subset \Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$$

is the canonical Chern character map for K^1 -group (cf. also [14, §3.1]) and

$$(2.10) \quad a(\phi) := [\underline{0}, \phi], \quad I([\underline{E}, \phi]) := [E] \in K^0(B).$$

For a complex vector bundle E over B , let $\mathbb{P}(E)$ be the projective bundle over B associated with E , which is the quotient space obtained from $E \setminus B$ by modulo the action of the complex number multiplication. Denote by $\sigma : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow B$ the natural projection. Then it induces a pull-back map

$$(2.11) \quad \sigma^* : \widehat{K}^0(B) \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0(\mathbb{P}(E))$$

in a natural way, which is a pre- λ -ring homomorphism by (2.7) (cf. [14, Lemma 2.7]).

The splitting principle is a very useful tool in usual K-theory. The following result guarantees that the splitting principle can still be applied in differential K-theory.

Theorem 2.2. *The homomorphism σ^* in (2.11) is injective.*

Proof. From (2.8), easy to see that the pull-back map σ^* induces a commutative diagram

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} K^1(B) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & \Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d & \xrightarrow{a} & \widehat{K}^0(B) & \xrightarrow{I} & K^0(B) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow \sigma^* & & \downarrow \sigma^* & & \downarrow \sigma^* & & \downarrow \sigma^* \\ K^1(\mathbb{P}(E)) & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & \Omega^{\text{odd}}(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d & \xrightarrow{a} & \widehat{K}^0(\mathbb{P}(E)) & \xrightarrow{I} & K^0(\mathbb{P}(E)) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

in which the rows are exact sequences. By [2, Corollary 2.7.9], $\sigma^* : K^*(B) \rightarrow K^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$ is injective.

For $\hat{x} \in \widehat{K}^0(B)$, if $\sigma^*(\hat{x}) = 0$, from (2.12), we have

$$(2.13) \quad \sigma^* \circ I(\hat{x}) = I \circ \sigma^*(\hat{x}) = 0.$$

Since $\sigma^*|_{K^0(B)}$ is injective, we have $I(\hat{x}) = 0$. Thus by (2.8), there exists $\phi \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$ such that $\hat{x} = a(\phi)$. Then by (2.12),

$$(2.14) \quad a(\sigma^*(\phi)) = \sigma^*(a(\phi)) = \sigma^*(\hat{x}) = 0.$$

Thus there exists $y \in K^1(\mathbb{P}(E))$ such that

$$(2.15) \quad \text{ch}(y) = \sigma^*\phi.$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{P}(E)$, it represents a one-dimensional subspace in the fiber of E . The union of these lines form a complex line bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E)$. We denote by H the dual bundle of this line bundle. From [2, Corollary 2.7.9], $K^1(\mathbb{P}(E))$ is a free $K^1(B)$ -module with basis $1, [H], [H]^2, \dots, [H]^{r-1}$ with relation $\sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i [H]^i [\sigma^*(\Lambda^i(E))] = 0$, where r is the rank of E . Thus there exists $F_i \in K^1(B)$ such that

$$(2.16) \quad y = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sigma^*(F_i) \cdot [H]^i.$$

This implies that

$$(2.17) \quad \sigma^* \phi = \text{ch}(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sigma^* \text{ch}(F_i) e^{ic_1(H)}.$$

In particular, we get $\sigma^* d\phi = d\sigma^* \phi = 0 \in \Omega^\bullet(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{R})$, thus $d\phi = 0$, i.e., $\phi \in H^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})$.

Note that by the Leray-Hirsch theorem, $H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{R})$ is a free $H^\bullet(B, \mathbb{R})$ -module with basis $1, c_1(H), \dots, c_1(H)^{r-1}$ with the relation

$$(2.18) \quad c_1(H)^r + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} c_1(H)^j c_{r-j}(E) = 0,$$

with $c_j(E)$ the j -th Chern class of E .

We claim that $H^\bullet(\mathbb{P}(E), \mathbb{R})$ is also a free $H^\bullet(B, \mathbb{R})$ -module with basis $1, e^{c_1(H)}, \dots, e^{(r-1)c_1(H)}$. In fact, by (2.18), for any $j \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $e^{jc_1(H)}$ is a linear combination of $1, c_1(H), \dots, c_1(H)^{r-1}$ with coefficients in $H^\bullet(B, \mathbb{R})$, and there exist $b_{kj} \in H^\bullet(B, \mathbb{R})$ with $\deg b_{kj} > 0$ such that $e^{0c_1(H)} = 1$ and for $j \geq 1$,

$$(2.19) \quad e^{jc_1(H)} = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} j^k \frac{c_1(H)^k}{k!} + \sum_{k \geq r} j^k \frac{c_1(H)^k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (j^k + b_{kj}) \frac{c_1(H)^k}{k!}.$$

Let $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{B}$ be the matrix with $\mathbf{V} = (j^k)_{k,j=0}^{r-1}$ and $\mathbf{B} = (b_{kj})_{k,j=0}^{r-1}$. Set

$$(2.20) \quad \mathbf{e} = (1, e^{c_1(H)}, \dots, e^{(r-1)c_1(H)})^T, \quad \mathbf{f} = \left(1, \frac{c_1(H)}{1!}, \dots, \frac{c_1(H)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!}\right)^T.$$

Then from (2.19), we have $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{f}\mathbf{A}$. Since \mathbf{V} is the Vandermonde matrix which is invertible and \mathbf{B} is nilpotent, \mathbf{A} is invertible in $M_n(H^\bullet(B, \mathbb{R}))$ and $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{e}\mathbf{A}^{-1}$. We obtain the claim.

From (2.17) and the claim above, we know that for any $i > 0$, $\sigma^* \text{ch}(F_i) = 0$ and $\sigma^* \phi = \sigma^* \text{ch}(F_0)$. This implies $\phi = \text{ch}(F_0)$. Thus by (2.8),

$$(2.21) \quad \hat{x} = a(\phi) = a \circ \text{ch}(F_0) = 0.$$

So σ^* in (2.11) is injective. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete. \square

We denote by $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ the vector space of even degree closed differential forms on B . Consider the vector space

$$(2.22) \quad \Gamma(B) := Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d).$$

We give degree $l \geq 0$ to $Z^{2l}(B, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\Omega^{2l-1}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d)$ with $\Omega^{-1}(\cdot) = \{0\}$. We define the multiplication on $\Gamma(B)$ by the formula

$$(2.23) \quad (\omega_1, \phi_1) * (\omega_2, \phi_2) := (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, \omega_1 \wedge \phi_2 + \phi_1 \wedge \omega_2 - d\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2),$$

which is commutative and associative. Then $\Gamma(B)$ is a ring with unity $(1, 0)$ which is torsion free. We define the Adams operations $\Psi_\Gamma^k : \Gamma(B) \rightarrow \Gamma(B)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$(2.24) \quad \Psi_\Gamma^k(\alpha, \beta) = (k^l \alpha, k^l \beta) \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \beta) \in Z^{2l}(B, \mathbb{R}) \oplus (\Omega^{2l-1}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d).$$

Then the relation

$$(2.25) \quad \lambda_t(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda_\Gamma^n(x) t^n := \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \Psi_\Gamma^k(x) t^k}{k} \right)$$

gives a pre- λ -ring structure on $\Gamma(B)$ (cf. [14, (2.4)]). From (2.23)-(2.25), for $\omega \in Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$, $\phi \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$, we have

$$(2.26) \quad [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(\omega, 0)]_{\text{odd}} = 0, \quad [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(0, \phi)]_{\text{even}} = 0,$$

where $[\cdot]_{\text{odd}}$ (resp. $[\cdot]_{\text{even}}$) is the component of $\Gamma(B)$ in $\Omega^{\text{odd}}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$ (resp. $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$).

For $\alpha \in Z^{2l}(B, \mathbb{R})$, the map $\Psi_Z^k(\alpha) := k^l \alpha$ also defines Adams operations $\Psi_Z^k : Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It induces a pre- λ -ring structure λ_Z^n on $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ as in (2.25). From [14, Lemma 2.3], the restriction map $p : \Gamma(B) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ is a homomorphism of pre- λ -rings.

Lemma 2.3. *The pre- λ -ring structures on $\Gamma(B)$ and $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ are λ -ring structures. Moreover the restriction map $p : \Gamma(B) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ is a homomorphism of λ -rings.*

Proof. Since $\Gamma(B)$ (resp. $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$) is torsion free and the Adams operations Ψ_{Γ}^k (resp. Ψ_Z^k) satisfy (1.14), from Theorem 1.3, the pre- λ -ring structure on $\Gamma(B)$ (resp. $Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$) is a λ -ring structure. Moreover, since $p \circ \Psi_{\Gamma}^k = \Psi_Z^k \circ p$, by (2.25) and the corresponding definition for λ_Z^n , the restriction map $p : \Gamma(B) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ is a homomorphism of λ -rings. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete. \square

Let (\underline{E}, ϕ) and (\underline{F}, ψ) be cycles of $\widehat{K}^0(B)$. Then by (2.7) and (2.23),

$$(2.27) \quad (\underline{E}, \phi) \cup (\underline{F}, \psi) = \left(\underline{E} \otimes \underline{F}, [(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi) * (\text{ch}(\underline{F}), \psi)]_{\text{odd}} \right).$$

Note that from [14, Lemma 2.3, (2.11), (2.33) and (2.47)], we have

$$(2.28) \quad [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)]_{\text{even}} = \text{ch}(\Lambda^k(\underline{E})),$$

here $\Lambda^k(\underline{E})$ denotes the k -th exterior algebra bundle of E with corresponding metric and connection induced from \underline{E} . Set

$$(2.29) \quad \lambda^k(\underline{E}, \phi) := (\Lambda^k(\underline{E}), [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)]_{\text{odd}}).$$

By (2.26) and (2.29),

$$(2.30) \quad \lambda^k(\underline{0}, \phi) = (\underline{0}, [\lambda_{\Gamma}^k(0, \phi)]_{\text{odd}}), \quad \lambda^k(\underline{E}, 0) := (\Lambda^k(\underline{E}), 0).$$

From the proof of [14, Theorem 2.6], the map λ^k in (2.29) induces a well-defined map

$$(2.31) \quad \lambda^k : \widehat{K}^0(B) \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0(B),$$

that is, if $[\underline{E}, \phi] = [\underline{F}, \psi] \in \widehat{K}^0(B)$, we have

$$(2.32) \quad [\lambda^k(\underline{E}, \phi)] = [\lambda^k(\underline{F}, \psi)] \in \widehat{K}^0(B).$$

Moreover by [14, Theorem 2.6], (2.29) induces a pre- λ -ring structure on $\widehat{K}^0(B)$.

The main result of this paper is as follows.

Theorem 2.4. *The pre- λ -ring structure on $\widehat{K}^0(B)$ defined in (2.29) is a λ -ring structure.*

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

Recall that $1 + \widehat{K}^0(B)[[t]]^+$ is a pre- λ -ring with operations $(\tilde{+}, \tilde{\times}, \tilde{\lambda}^n)$ in (1.5) and (1.6).

Lemma 3.1. *For cycles (\underline{E}, ϕ) and (\underline{F}, ψ) , the following identities hold for cycles:*

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda_t((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) = \lambda_t(\underline{E}, 0) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{0}, \psi),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda_t((\underline{0}, \phi) \cup (\underline{F}, 0)) = \lambda_t(\underline{0}, \phi) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{F}, 0),$$

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_t((\underline{0}, \phi) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) = \lambda_t(\underline{0}, \phi) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t(\underline{0}, \psi),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \lambda_t(\lambda^m(\underline{0}, \phi)) = \tilde{\lambda}^m(\lambda_t(\underline{0}, \phi)).$$

Proof. By (2.7), (2.23) and (2.29),

$$(3.5) \quad \lambda^n((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) \stackrel{(2.7)}{=} \lambda^n(\underline{0}, \text{ch}(\underline{E})\psi) \stackrel{(2.29)}{=} \left(\underline{0}, [\lambda_\Gamma^n(0, \text{ch}(\underline{E})\psi)]_{\text{odd}} \right) \\ \stackrel{(2.23)}{=} \left(\underline{0}, [\lambda_\Gamma^n((\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0) * (0, \psi))]_{\text{odd}} \right).$$

From (2.26) and (2.28), for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda_\Gamma^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0) = (\text{ch}(\Lambda^k(\underline{E})), 0), \quad \lambda_\Gamma^k(0, \psi) = (0, [\lambda_\Gamma^k(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}).$$

Recall that P_n is a polynomial with weight n in $\{\sigma_i\}$. Since $\Gamma(B)$ is a λ -ring, by (1.10), (2.23) and (3.6), for $n \geq 1$, we get

$$(3.7) \quad \lambda_\Gamma^n((\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0) * (0, \psi)) \stackrel{(1.10)}{=} P_n(\lambda_\Gamma^1(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0), \dots, \lambda_\Gamma^n(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0); \lambda_\Gamma^1(0, \psi), \dots, \lambda_\Gamma^n(0, \psi)) \\ \stackrel{(3.6)}{=} P_n((\text{ch}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E})), 0), \dots, (\text{ch}(\Lambda^n(\underline{E})), 0); (0, [\lambda_\Gamma^1(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}), \dots, (0, [\lambda_\Gamma^n(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}})) \\ \stackrel{(2.23)}{=} (0, [P_n((\text{ch}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E})), 0), \dots, (\text{ch}(\Lambda^n(\underline{E})), 0); \\ (0, [\lambda_\Gamma^1(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}), \dots, (0, [\lambda_\Gamma^n(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}})])]_{\text{odd}}).$$

By (2.27), (2.30) and (3.7), for $n \geq 1$,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & P_n(\lambda^1(\underline{E}, 0), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{E}, 0); \lambda^1(\underline{0}, \psi), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{0}, \psi)) \\ & \stackrel{(2.30)}{=} P_n((\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), 0), \dots, (\Lambda^n(\underline{E}), 0); (\underline{0}, [\lambda_\Gamma^1(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}), \dots, (\underline{0}, [\lambda_\Gamma^n(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}})) \\ & \stackrel{(2.27)}{=} (\underline{0}, [P_n((\text{ch}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E})), 0), \dots, (\text{ch}(\Lambda^n(\underline{E})), 0); \\ (0, [\lambda_\Gamma^1(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}}), \dots, (0, [\lambda_\Gamma^n(0, \psi)]_{\text{odd}})])]_{\text{odd}}) \\ & \stackrel{(3.7)}{=} (\underline{0}, [\lambda_\Gamma^n((\text{ch}(\underline{E}), 0) * (0, \psi))]_{\text{odd}}). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.5) and (3.8), we get

$$(3.9) \quad \lambda^n((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) = P_n(\lambda^1(\underline{E}, 0), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{E}, 0); \lambda^1(\underline{0}, \psi), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{0}, \psi)).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \lambda^n((\underline{0}, \phi) \cup (\underline{0}, \psi)) = P_n(\lambda^1(\underline{0}, \phi), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{0}, \phi); \lambda^1(\underline{0}, \psi), \dots, \lambda^n(\underline{0}, \psi)).$$

Thus from (1.2), (1.5), (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain (3.1) and (3.3). Since the operations “ \cup ” and “ $\tilde{\times}$ ” are commutative, from (3.1), we get (3.2).

On the other hand, by (2.27)-(2.29), we have

$$(3.11) \quad \lambda^i(\underline{E}, \phi) \cup \lambda^j(\underline{F}, \psi) = \left(\Lambda^i(\underline{E}) \otimes \Lambda^j(\underline{F}), [\lambda_\Gamma^i(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi) * \lambda_\Gamma^j(\text{ch}(\underline{F}), \psi)]_{\text{odd}} \right).$$

By (2.29),

$$(3.12) \quad \lambda^i(\underline{E}, \phi) + \lambda^j(\underline{F}, \psi) = \left(\Lambda^i(\underline{E}) \oplus \Lambda^j(\underline{F}), [\lambda_\Gamma^i(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi) + \lambda_\Gamma^j(\text{ch}(\underline{F}), \psi)]_{\text{odd}} \right).$$

Since $P_{n,m}$ is a polynomial, from (3.11) and (3.12), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{n,m}(\lambda^1(\underline{E}, \phi), \dots, \lambda^{mn}(\underline{E}, \phi)) \\ = \left(P_{n,m}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^{mn}(\underline{E})), [P_{n,m}(\lambda_\Gamma^1(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi), \dots, \lambda_\Gamma^{mn}(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi))]_{\text{odd}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\Gamma(B)$ is a λ -ring. By (1.11) and (3.13), we see that

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{n,m}(\lambda^1(\underline{E}, \phi), \dots, \lambda^{mn}(\underline{E}, \phi)) \\ = \left(P_{n,m}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^{mn}(\underline{E})), [\lambda_\Gamma^n(\lambda_\Gamma^m(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi))]_{\text{odd}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.2), (1.6), (2.28), (2.29) and (3.14),

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_t(\lambda^m(\underline{0}, \phi)) &\stackrel{(2.29)}{=} \lambda_t(\underline{0}, [\lambda_\Gamma^m(0, \phi)]_{\text{odd}}) \\ &\stackrel{(1.2)(2.29)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} t^n \left(\underline{0}, [\lambda_\Gamma^n(0, [\lambda_\Gamma^m(0, \phi)]_{\text{odd}})]_{\text{odd}} \right) \stackrel{(2.28)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} t^n \left(\underline{0}, [\lambda_\Gamma^n(\lambda_\Gamma^m(0, \phi))]_{\text{odd}} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(3.14)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} t^n P_{n,m}(\lambda^1(\underline{0}, \phi), \dots, \lambda^{mn}(\underline{0}, \phi)) \stackrel{(1.6)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^m(\lambda_t(\underline{0}, \phi)). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (3.4). The proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete. \square

By applying the splitting principle Theorem 2.2 in differential K-theory, we establish the following key part for Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 3.2. *For cycles $(\underline{E}, 0)$ and $(\underline{F}, 0)$, we have*

$$(3.16) \quad \lambda_t([\underline{E}, 0] \cup [\underline{F}, 0]) = [\lambda_t(\underline{E}, 0)] \tilde{\times} [\lambda_t(\underline{F}, 0)] \in \widehat{K}^0(B),$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad \lambda_t([\lambda^m(\underline{E}, 0)]) = \tilde{\lambda}^m[\lambda_t(\underline{E}, 0)] \in \widehat{K}^0(B).$$

Proof. We will prove this lemma by induction on the rank of the vector bundle E , which we denote by $\text{rk}E$.

If $\text{rk}E = 1$, by (1.1) and (2.30), we have

$$(3.18) \quad \lambda^0([\underline{E}, 0]) = 1, \quad \lambda^1([\underline{E}, 0]) = [\underline{E}, 0], \quad \lambda^m([\underline{E}, 0]) = 0 \text{ for } m > 1.$$

From the definition of P_n , we see that

$$(3.19) \quad P_n(s_1, 0, \dots, 0; \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n) = s_1^n \sigma_n.$$

Thus from (2.7), (2.30), (3.18) and (3.19),

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda^m((\underline{E}, 0) \cup (\underline{F}, 0)) &\stackrel{(2.7)}{=} \lambda^m(\underline{E} \otimes \underline{F}, 0) \stackrel{(2.30)}{=} (\Lambda^m(\underline{E} \otimes \underline{F}), 0) = (\underline{E}^m \otimes \Lambda^m(\underline{F}), 0) \\ &\stackrel{(2.7)}{=} (\underline{E}, 0)^m \cup (\Lambda^m(\underline{F}), 0) \stackrel{(3.18)(3.19)}{=} P_m((\underline{E}, 0), 0, \dots, 0; (\Lambda^1(\underline{F}), 0), \dots, (\Lambda^m(\underline{F}), 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Then (3.16) follows from (1.2), (1.5), (3.18) and (3.20) for $\text{rk}E = 1$.

We assume that (3.16) holds for any closed manifold B with $\text{rk}E \leq k$.

For a geometric triple \underline{E} on B with $\text{rk}E = k + 1$, we consider the projective bundle $\sigma : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow B$ of E . Let $\sigma^*\underline{E}$ be the pull-back of the geometric triple \underline{E} on $\mathbb{P}(E)$. Then there exists a complex vector bundle E_1 on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ such that

$$(3.21) \quad \sigma^*E = H^* \oplus E_1,$$

where H^* is the tautological line bundle and the dual bundle of H in the proof of Theorem 2.2. Let \underline{H}^* (resp. \underline{E}_1) be any geometric triple on $\mathbb{P}(E)$ with underlying vector bundle H^* (resp. E_1). From Definition 2.1, we have

$$(3.22) \quad [\sigma^*\underline{E}, 0] = [\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^*\underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1)] \in \widehat{K}^0(\mathbb{P}(E)).$$

Now on $\mathbb{P}(E)$,

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} [\sigma^*\underline{E}, 0] \cup [\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0] &= [\underline{H}^*, 0] \cup [\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0] + [\underline{E}_1, 0] \cup [\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0] \\ &\quad + [\underline{0}, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^*\underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1)] \cup [\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

From the inductive assumption, since $\text{rk}H^* = 1$ and $\text{rk}E_1 = k$, we have

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_t([\underline{H}^*, 0] \cup [\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) &= \lambda_t([\underline{H}^*, 0]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t([\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]), \\ \lambda_t([\underline{E}_1, 0] \cup [\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) &= \lambda_t([\underline{E}_1, 0]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t([\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]). \end{aligned}$$

From (1.3), (1.5), (1.7), (2.11), (3.2), (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24), we have

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma^*\lambda_t([\underline{E}, 0] \cup [\underline{F}, 0]) &\stackrel{(2.11)}{=} \lambda_t([\sigma^*\underline{E}, 0] \cup [\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) \\ &\stackrel{(1.3)(3.23)}{=} \lambda_t([\underline{H}^*, 0] \cup [\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) \cdot \lambda_t([\underline{E}_1, 0] \cup [\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) \cdot \lambda_t([\underline{0}, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^*\underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1)] \cup [\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) \\ &\stackrel{(1.5)(3.2)(3.24)}{=} \lambda_t([\underline{H}^*, 0]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t([\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t([\underline{E}_1, 0]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t([\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) \\ &\quad \tilde{+} \lambda_t([\underline{0}, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^*\underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1)]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t([\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) \\ &\stackrel{(1.7)}{=} \left(\lambda_t([\underline{H}^*, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t([\underline{E}_1, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t([\underline{0}, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^*\underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1)]) \right) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t([\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) \\ &\stackrel{(1.3)(1.5)}{=} \lambda_t([\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^*\underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1)]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t([\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) \\ &\stackrel{(3.22)}{=} \lambda_t([\sigma^*\underline{E}, 0]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t([\sigma^*\underline{F}, 0]) = \sigma^*(\lambda_t([\underline{E}, 0]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t([\underline{F}, 0])) \in \widehat{K}^0(\mathbb{P}(E)). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2.2, $\sigma^* : \widehat{K}^0(B) \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0(\mathbb{P}(E))$ is injective. So (3.16) holds for $\text{rk}E = k + 1$. The inductive process for (3.16) is complete.

The proof of (3.17) is similar. If $\text{rk}E = 1$, by (1.2), (1.8) and (3.18), we have

$$(3.26) \quad \lambda_t([\lambda^0(\underline{E}, 0)]) \stackrel{(3.18)}{=} \lambda_t(1) \stackrel{(3.18)}{=} 1 + t \stackrel{(1.8)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^0(1 + t[\underline{E}, 0]) \stackrel{(3.18)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^0(\lambda_t([\underline{E}, 0]))$$

and

$$(3.27) \quad \lambda_t([\lambda^1(\underline{E}, 0)]) \stackrel{(3.18)}{=} \lambda_t([\underline{E}, 0]) \stackrel{(1.2)(3.18)}{=} 1 + t[\underline{E}, 0] \stackrel{(1.8)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^1(1 + t[\underline{E}, 0]) \stackrel{(1.2)(3.18)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^1(\lambda_t([\underline{E}, 0])).$$

From the definition of $P_{n,m}$, we see that for $m > 1$, $n \geq 1$,

$$(3.28) \quad P_{n,m}(s_1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0.$$

For $m > 1$, from (1.4), (1.6), (3.18) and (3.28),

$$(3.29) \quad \tilde{\lambda}^m(\lambda_t([\underline{E}, 0])) \stackrel{(3.18)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^m(1 + t[\underline{E}, 0]) \stackrel{(1.6)}{=} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} P_{n,m}([\underline{E}, 0], 0, \dots, 0) t^n \stackrel{(3.28)}{=} 1 \stackrel{(1.4)}{=} \lambda_t(0) \stackrel{(3.18)}{=} \lambda_t([\lambda^m([\underline{E}, 0])]).$$

Thus (3.17) holds for $\text{rk}E = 1$.

We assume that (3.17) holds for any closed manifold B with $\text{rk}E \leq k$. For a geometric triple \underline{E} on B with $\text{rk}E = k + 1$, from (1.1) and (3.22),

$$(3.30) \quad \lambda^m([\sigma^* \underline{E}, 0]) = \lambda^m \left(\left[\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^* \underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1) \right] \right) = \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda^i \left(\left[\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \cup \lambda^{m-i} \left(\left[\underline{0}, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^* \underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1) \right] \right).$$

From (1.3), (1.5), (2.11), (2.30), (3.1), (3.4) and (3.30), we get

$$(3.31) \quad \sigma^* \lambda_t(\lambda^m([\underline{E}, 0])) \stackrel{(2.11)}{=} \lambda_t(\lambda^m([\sigma^* \underline{E}, 0])) \stackrel{(1.3)(1.5)(2.30)(3.1)(3.30)}{=} \tilde{+}_{i=0}^m \left(\lambda_t \left(\lambda^i \left(\left[\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t \left(\lambda^{m-i} \left(\left[\underline{0}, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^* \underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1) \right] \right) \right) \right) \stackrel{(3.4)}{=} \tilde{+}_{i=0}^m \left(\lambda_t \left(\lambda^i \left(\left[\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \tilde{\times} \tilde{\lambda}^{m-i} \left(\lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{0}, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^* \underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1) \right] \right) \right) \right).$$

For $i = 0$, from (1.1), (1.8) and (3.18), we have

$$(3.32) \quad \lambda_t \left(\lambda^0 \left(\left[\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} \lambda_t(1) \stackrel{(3.18)}{=} 1 + t \stackrel{(1.8)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^0 \left(\lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right).$$

Since $\text{rk}E_1 = k$, from the inductive assumption, we have

$$(3.33) \quad \lambda_t \left(\lambda^i \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) = \tilde{\lambda}^i \left(\lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right).$$

Since H^* is a line bundle, from (1.1), (1.3), (1.5), (1.8), (2.30), (3.16), (3.18), (3.29) and (3.33), for $i \geq 1$,

$$(3.34) \quad \lambda_t \left(\lambda^i \left(\left[\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \stackrel{(1.1)(3.18)}{=} \lambda_t \left(\lambda^i \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) + \left[\underline{H}^*, 0 \right] \cup \lambda^{i-1} \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \stackrel{(1.3)}{=} \lambda_t \left(\lambda^i \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \cdot \lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{H}^*, 0 \right] \cup \lambda^{i-1} \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \stackrel{(1.5)(2.30)(3.16)}{=} \lambda_t \left(\lambda^i \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \tilde{+} \lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{H}^*, 0 \right] \right) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t \left(\lambda^{i-1} \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \stackrel{(1.8)(3.33)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^i \left(\lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \tilde{+} \tilde{\lambda}^1 \left(\lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{H}^*, 0 \right] \right) \right) \tilde{\times} \tilde{\lambda}^{i-1} \left(\lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right) \stackrel{(1.8)(3.29)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^i \left(\lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{H}^*, 0 \right] \right) \right) \tilde{+} \lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \stackrel{(1.3)(1.5)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^i \left(\lambda_t \left(\left[\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, 0 \right] \right) \right).$$

So from (1.3), (1.5), (1.8), (2.11), (3.22), (3.31) and (3.34), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.35) \quad & \sigma^* (\lambda_t (\lambda^m ([\underline{E}, 0]))) \\
& \stackrel{(3.31)(3.34)}{=} \tilde{+}_{i=0}^m \left(\tilde{\lambda}^i (\lambda_t ([\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, 0])) \tilde{\times} \tilde{\lambda}^{m-i} \left(\lambda_t \left([\underline{0}, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^* \underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1)] \right) \right) \right) \\
& \stackrel{(1.8)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^m \left(\lambda_t ([\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t \left([\underline{0}, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^* \underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1)] \right) \right) \\
& \stackrel{(1.3)(1.5)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^m \left(\lambda_t \left([\underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1, \tilde{\text{ch}}(\sigma^* \underline{E}, \underline{H}^* \oplus \underline{E}_1)] \right) \right) \\
& \stackrel{(3.22)}{=} \tilde{\lambda}^m (\lambda_t ([\sigma^* \underline{E}, 0])) = \tilde{\lambda}^m (\sigma^* \lambda_t ([\underline{E}, 0])) \stackrel{(2.11)}{=} \sigma^* \tilde{\lambda}^m (\lambda_t ([\underline{E}, 0])).
\end{aligned}$$

Since σ^* is injective, (3.17) holds for $\text{rk}E = k + 1$. The inductive process for (3.17) is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, (1.1), (1.3) and (1.5), for cycles (\underline{E}, ϕ) and (\underline{F}, ψ) , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.36) \quad & \lambda_t ([\underline{E}, \phi] \cup [\underline{F}, \psi]) \stackrel{(1.3)(1.5)}{=} \lambda_t ([\underline{E}, 0] \cup [\underline{F}, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t ([\underline{E}, 0] \cup [\underline{0}, \psi]) \\
& \quad \tilde{+} \lambda_t ([\underline{0}, \phi] \cup [\underline{F}, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t ([\underline{0}, \phi] \cup [\underline{0}, \psi]) \\
& = \lambda_t ([\underline{E}, 0]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t ([\underline{F}, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t ([\underline{E}, 0]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t ([\underline{0}, \psi]) \\
& \quad \tilde{+} \lambda_t ([\underline{0}, \phi]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t ([\underline{F}, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t ([\underline{0}, \phi]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t ([\underline{0}, \psi]) \\
& = (\lambda_t ([\underline{E}, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t ([\underline{0}, \phi])) \tilde{\times} (\lambda_t ([\underline{F}, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t ([\underline{0}, \psi])) = \lambda_t ([\underline{E}, \phi]) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t ([\underline{F}, \psi]),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.37) \quad & \lambda_t (\lambda^m ([\underline{E}, \phi])) \stackrel{(1.1)}{=} \lambda_t \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \lambda^i ([\underline{E}, 0]) \cup \lambda^{m-i} ([\underline{0}, \phi]) \right) \\
& \stackrel{(1.3)(1.5)}{=} \tilde{+}_{i=0}^m \left(\lambda_t (\lambda^i ([\underline{E}, 0])) \tilde{\times} \lambda_t (\lambda^{m-i} ([\underline{0}, \phi])) \right) = \tilde{+}_{i=0}^m \left(\tilde{\lambda}^i (\lambda_t ([\underline{E}, 0])) \tilde{\times} \tilde{\lambda}^{m-i} (\lambda_t ([\underline{0}, \phi])) \right) \\
& = \tilde{\lambda}^m (\lambda_t ([\underline{E}, 0]) \tilde{+} \lambda_t ([\underline{0}, \phi])) = \tilde{\lambda}^m (\lambda_t ([\underline{E}, \phi])).
\end{aligned}$$

From Definition 1.2, the pre- λ -ring structure on $\widehat{K}^0(B)$ is a λ -ring structure. The proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete. \square

4. ADAMS OPERATIONS

If R is a λ -ring, by [4, §V Proposition 7.5 i)], for $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the Adams operations $\Psi^k : R \rightarrow R$ defined in (1.13) satisfy (1.14). From [3, §I.5 (2)], for $x \in R$,

$$(4.1) \quad \Psi^k(x) = \nu_k(\lambda^1(x), \dots, \lambda^k(x)),$$

where $\nu_k(s_1, \dots, s_k) = \xi_1^k + \dots + \xi_q^k$ is the k -th Newton polynomial, s_i being the i -th elementary symmetric function in $\{\xi_j\}$. By [3, §I Proposition 5.1], $\Psi^k : R \rightarrow R$ is a homomorphism of λ -rings.

For a geometric triple \underline{E} on B , we denote by

$$(4.2) \quad \Psi^k(\underline{E}) := \nu_k(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^k(\underline{E})).$$

Proposition 4.1. For $[\underline{E}, \phi] \in \widehat{K}^0(B)$, $\phi = \sum_{l \geq 1} \phi_l$, $\phi_l \in \Omega^{2l-1}(B, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$(4.3) \quad \Psi^k([\underline{E}, \phi]) = \left[\Psi^k(\underline{E}), \sum_{l \geq 1} k^l \phi_l \right].$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\Psi^k : \widehat{K}^0(B) \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0(B)$ is a homomorphism of λ -rings.

Proof. Applying (4.1) for the λ -ring $\Gamma(B)$, we get

$$(4.4) \quad \Psi_\Gamma^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi) = \nu_k(\lambda_\Gamma^1(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi), \dots, \lambda_\Gamma^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)).$$

Since $\widehat{K}^0(B)$ is a λ -ring and ν_k is a polynomial with integer coefficients, from (3.11), (3.12), (4.1), (4.2) and (4.4), we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi^k([\underline{E}, \phi]) &\stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \nu_k(\lambda^1([\underline{E}, \phi]), \dots, \lambda^k([\underline{E}, \phi])) \\ &\stackrel{(3.11)(3.12)}{=} [\nu_k(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^k(\underline{E})), [\nu_k(\lambda_\Gamma^1(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi), \dots, \lambda_\Gamma^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi))]_{\text{odd}}] \\ &\stackrel{(4.2)(4.4)}{=} [\Psi^k(\underline{E}), [\Psi_\Gamma^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}), \phi)]_{\text{odd}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus this proposition follows directly from (2.24). The last part is from [3, §I Proposition 5.1] as explained above. \square

Let $f : B \rightarrow B'$ be a smooth map between two closed manifolds. Then from Proposition 4.1, for any $x \in \widehat{K}^0(B')$, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \Psi^k(f^*x) = f^*\Psi^k(x).$$

From (4.2), if $\underline{L} = (L, h^L, \nabla^L)$ is a geometric triple on B with line bundle L , by the definition of ν_k , we have

$$(4.7) \quad \Psi^k(\underline{L}) = \underline{L}^k.$$

If $\underline{E} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \underline{L}_j$, and $\underline{L}_j = (L_j, h^{L_j}, \nabla^{L_j})$ with line bundles L_j , then by Theorem 2.4, Proposition 4.1 and (4.7),

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} [\Psi^k(\underline{E}), 0] &= \Psi^k([\underline{E}, 0]) = \Psi^k\left(\sum_{j=1}^r [\underline{L}_j, 0]\right) = \sum_{j=1}^r \Psi^k\left([\underline{L}_j, 0]\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \left[\Psi^k\left(\underline{L}_j\right), 0 \right] = \sum_{j=1}^r \left[\underline{L}_j^k, 0 \right] = \left[\bigoplus_{j=1}^r \underline{L}_j^k, 0 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.3 and (2.28),

$$(4.9) \quad \lambda_Z^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E})) = \text{ch}(\Lambda^k(\underline{E})).$$

Thus from (4.1), (4.2), (4.9) and ν_k is a polynomial, we have

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \Psi_Z^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E})) &= \nu_k(\lambda_Z^1(\text{ch}(\underline{E})), \dots, \lambda_Z^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E}))) = \nu_k(\text{ch}(\Lambda^1(\underline{E})), \dots, \text{ch}(\Lambda^k(\underline{E}))) \\ &= \text{ch}(\nu_k(\Lambda^1(\underline{E}), \dots, \Lambda^k(\underline{E}))) = \text{ch}(\Psi^k(\underline{E})). \end{aligned}$$

Let $R : \widehat{K}^0(B) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B, \mathbb{R})$ be the curvature map defined by

$$(4.11) \quad R([\underline{E}, \phi]) := \text{ch}(\underline{E}) - d\phi.$$

Then it is well-defined by Definition 2.1 and (2.4). Thus from Proposition 4.1, (4.10) and (4.11), we have

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} R \circ \Psi^k([\underline{E}, \phi]) &= R \left(\left[\Psi^k(\underline{E}), \sum_{l \geq 1} k^l \phi_l \right] \right) = \text{ch}(\Psi^k(\underline{E})) - \sum_{l \geq 1} k^l d\phi_l \\ &= \Psi_Z^k(\text{ch}(\underline{E})) - \Psi_Z^k(d\phi) = \Psi_Z^k \circ R([\underline{E}, \phi]). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover from Proposition 4.1 and (2.10),

$$(4.13) \quad I \circ \Psi^k([\underline{E}, \phi]) = \Psi^k \circ I([\underline{E}, \phi]).$$

From the proof of [5, Theorem 3.1], there is a unique natural transform of $\Psi^k : \widehat{K}^0 \rightarrow \widehat{K}^0$ which satisfies (4.12) and (4.13). So the Adams operations Ψ^k in (4.3) gives a constructive realization of $\widehat{\Psi}^k$ in [5].

5. T_g -EQUIVARIANT DIFFERENTIAL K-THEORY

Let B be a closed smooth manifold and G be a compact Lie group acting smoothly on B . In this subsection, we will discuss the λ -ring structure on some equivariant version of differential K-theory on B related to a fixed element of G .

For $g \in G$ fixed, let $T_g := \overline{\{g\}}$ be the compact abelian subgroup of G generated by g . Comparing with the non-equivariant case, a G -equivariant geometric triple $\underline{E} = (E, h^E, \nabla^E)$ consists of a G -equivariant Hermitian vector bundle (E, h^E) and a G -invariant Hermitian connection ∇^E . We parametrize the complex irreducible representation of T_g by $\{V_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in I}$, where each V_γ is 1-dimensional complex representation. Thus on

$$(5.1) \quad B^g := \{x \in B; gx = x\},$$

the fixed point set of T_g -action, as a T_g -equivariant geometric triple on B^g , we have the decomposition

$$(5.2) \quad (E, h^E, \nabla^E)|_{B^g} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in I} (E_\gamma, h^{E_\gamma}, \nabla^{E_\gamma}) \otimes V_\gamma.$$

Now we define

$$(5.3) \quad \text{ch}_{T_g}(\underline{E}) := \sum_{\gamma \in I} \text{ch}(E_\gamma) \otimes \chi_{V_\gamma} \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(B^g, \mathbb{R}) \otimes R(T_g),$$

with χ_{V_γ} the character of the representation V_γ . Here $R(T_g)$ denotes the representation ring of T_g . If we evaluate $\text{ch}_{T_g}(\underline{E})$ at $g \in T_g$, we obtain the usual $\text{ch}_g(\underline{E})$.

Comparing with (2.2), for two G -equivariant geometric triples \underline{E}_0 and \underline{E}_1 , which have the same underlying G -equivariant vector bundle E , there exist the G -equivariant projection $\pi : B \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B$, and G -equivariant geometric triple $\underline{\pi^* E}$ on $B \times \mathbb{R}$, such that $\underline{\pi^* E}|_{B \times \{j\}} = \underline{E}_j$, $j = 0, 1$. Here the G -action on $B \times \mathbb{R}$ is naturally lifted from the G -action on B and the

trivial action on \mathbb{R} . Then on $B^g \times \mathbb{R}$, we have the decomposition as in (5.2) for π^*E . Thus the equivariant Chern-Simons class $\tilde{\text{ch}}_{T_g}(\underline{E}_0, \underline{E}_1) \in (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(B^g, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d) \otimes R(T_g)$ is defined by

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{\text{ch}}_{T_g}(\underline{E}_0, \underline{E}_1) := \int_0^1 \{\text{ch}_{T_g}(\pi^*E)\}^{ds} ds.$$

Moreover, as in (2.4),

$$(5.5) \quad d\tilde{\text{ch}}_{T_g}(\underline{E}_0, \underline{E}_1) = \text{ch}_{T_g}(\underline{E}_1) - \text{ch}_{T_g}(\underline{E}_0) \in \Omega^{\text{even}}(B^g, \mathbb{R}) \otimes R(T_g)$$

and the Chern-Simons class depend only on ∇^{E_j} for $j = 0, 1$, which follows exactly from the proof of [16, Theorem B.5.4].

Definition 5.1. For $g \in G$, a cycle for the T_g -equivariant differential K-theory of B is a pair (\underline{E}, ϕ) , where \underline{E} is a G -equivariant geometric triple on B and $\phi \in (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(B^g, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d) \otimes R(T_g)$. Two cycles $(\underline{E}_1, \phi_1)$ and $(\underline{E}_2, \phi_2)$ are equivalent if there exist a G -equivariant vector bundle isomorphism $\Phi : E_1 \oplus E_3 \rightarrow E_2 \oplus E_3$ over B such that

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{\text{ch}}_{T_g}(\underline{E}_1 \oplus \underline{E}_3, \Phi^*(\underline{E}_2 \oplus \underline{E}_3)) = \phi_2 - \phi_1.$$

We define the T_g -equivariant differential K-group $\widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B)$ to be the Grothendieck group of equivalence classes of cycles.

For cycles (\underline{E}, ϕ) and (\underline{F}, ψ) , we can define $[\underline{E}, \phi] \cup [\underline{F}, \psi]$ as in (2.7), by replacing ch by ch_{T_g} , and the wedge product for differential forms by the product defined as $(\phi \otimes \chi_V) \wedge (\psi \otimes \chi_W) = (\phi \wedge \psi) \otimes \chi_V \chi_W$ for $\phi \otimes \chi_V, \psi \otimes \chi_W \in \Omega^*(B^g, \mathbb{R}) \otimes R(T_g)$. Note that $\chi_V \chi_W = \chi_{V \otimes W}$. Following the same proof of [14, Lemma 2.15], we see that $\widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B)$ is also a commutative ring with unity $1 = [\underline{\mathbb{C}}, 0]$ where $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ is equivariant trivial. Remark that in [14, Definition 2.14], another equivariant differential K-ring $\widehat{K}_g^0(B)$ is defined. In fact, evaluating on $g \in G$, we can obtain a well-defined ring homomorphism

$$(5.7) \quad \text{ev} : \widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_g^0(B).$$

Since $R(T_g)$ is an $R(G)$ -module, $\widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B)$ is also an $R(G)$ -module and this evaluation map is a homomorphism of $R(G)$ -modules.

The following proposition is the equivariant extension of (2.8) and the analogue of [14, Proposition 3.1]. The proof of it is the same as that in [14, Proposition 3.1].

Proposition 5.2. For $g \in G$, there exists an exact sequence of $R(G)$ -modules

$$(5.8) \quad K_G^1(B) \xrightarrow{\text{ch}_{T_g}} (\Omega^{\text{odd}}(B^g, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d) \otimes R(T_g) \xrightarrow{a} \widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B) \xrightarrow{I} K_G^0(B) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $K_G^*(B)$ denotes the equivariant topological K-group of B , $a(\phi) = [\underline{0}, \phi]$, $I([\underline{E}, \phi]) = [E]$ and ch_{T_g} on $K_G^1(B)$ is defined in the same way as [14, (3.7)] by replacing ch_g by ch_{T_g} in (5.3) on equivariant geometric triples.

Remark that in [14, Proposition 3.1], the exactness was proved for the S^1 -action. But the proof of it clearly applies to any compact Lie group action since by [17, Proposition 2.4], for any compact Lie group G and G -equivalent vector bundle E over B , there exists G -equivalent vector bundle E^\perp such that $E \oplus E^\perp$ is a G -equivariant trivial vector bundle.

For a G -equivariant vector bundle E over B , from [17, Proposition 3.9], $K_G^*(\mathbb{P}(E))$ is generated as $K_G^*(B)$ -algebra by the dual bundle of the tautological bundle over $\mathbb{P}(E)$. Thus

the argument for Theorem 2.2 naturally can be extended to the equivariant case. In other words, for the equivariant projection $\sigma : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow B$, the pull-back map $\sigma^* : \widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(\mathbb{P}(E))$ is injective. So the splitting principle also holds for T_g -equivariant differential K-theory.

In the following, we will construct a λ -ring structure on $\widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B)$. Denote by

$$(5.9) \quad \Gamma_g(B) := \Gamma(B^g) \otimes R(T_g).$$

Then (2.23) for $\Gamma(B^g)$ and the multiplication in $R(T_g)$ induces the multiplication on $\Gamma_g(B)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the Adams operations

$$(5.10) \quad \Psi_{\Gamma_g}^k : \Gamma_g(B) \rightarrow \Gamma_g(B), \quad (\alpha \otimes \chi_{V_{\gamma_1}}, \beta \otimes \chi_{V_{\gamma_2}}) \mapsto (k^l \alpha \otimes \chi_{V_{\gamma_1}}^k, k^l \beta \otimes \chi_{V_{\gamma_2}}^k)$$

for $\alpha \in Z^{2l}(B^g, \mathbb{R})$, $\beta \in \Omega^{2l-1}(B^g, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$ and irreducible representations $V_{\gamma_1}, V_{\gamma_2}$ of T_g . Note that $\chi_{V_{\gamma}}^k = \chi_{V_{\gamma}^{\otimes k}}$ and $V_{\gamma}^{\otimes k}$ is again an irreducible representation of T_g , thus (1.14) holds for $\Psi_{\Gamma_g}^k$. By the same reason for Lemma 2.3, from Theorem 1.3, $\Gamma_g(B)$ has a λ -ring structure $\lambda_{\Gamma_g}^n : \Gamma_g(B) \rightarrow \Gamma_g(B)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, constructed as in (2.25).

For a cycle (\underline{E}, ϕ) of $\widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B)$, comparing with (2.29), for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$(5.11) \quad \lambda^n(\underline{E}, \phi) := \left(\Lambda^n(\underline{E}), \left[\lambda_{\Gamma_g}^n(\text{ch}_{T_g}(\underline{E}), \phi) \right]_{\text{odd}} \right).$$

where $[\cdot]_{\text{odd}}$ is the component of $\Gamma_g(B)$ in $(\Omega^{\text{odd}}(B^g, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d) \otimes R(T_g)$.

The proof of the following theorem is almost the same as that of [14, Theorem 2.6] and Theorem 2.4, just to extend everything to the equivariant version discussed above.

Theorem 5.3. *The map λ^n in (5.11) induces a well-defined map*

$$(5.12) \quad \lambda^n : \widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B)$$

and the maps $\{\lambda^n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a λ -ring structure on $\widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B)$.

Remark that it is difficult to construct the λ -ring structure, even the pre- λ -structure on $\widehat{K}_g^0(B)$ in (5.7) although it is the most suitable model to derive the localization formula for eta invariants in [14].

By Theorem 5.3, for $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the Adams operations $\Psi^k : \widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B) \rightarrow \widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B)$ defined as in (1.13) satisfy (1.14). As in Proposition 4.1, for $[\underline{E}, \phi] \in \widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B)$, $\phi = \sum_{l \geq 1, \gamma} \alpha_l \otimes \chi_{V_{\gamma}}$ with $\alpha_l \in \Omega^{2l-1}(B^g, \mathbb{R})/\text{Im } d$ and $\chi_{V_{\gamma}} \in R(T_g)$ irreducible,

$$(5.13) \quad \Psi^k([\underline{E}, \phi]) = \left[\Psi^k(\underline{E}), \sum_{l \geq 1, \gamma} k^l \alpha_l \otimes \chi_{V_{\gamma}}^k \right].$$

Moreover, the properties (4.12) and (4.13) also hold in this equivariant case with respect to the curvature map $R : \widehat{K}_{T_g}^0(B) \rightarrow Z^{\text{even}}(B^g) \otimes R(T_g)$ defined by $R([\underline{E}, \phi]) = \text{ch}_{T_g}(\underline{E}) - d\phi$ and the map I in (5.8).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams, *Vector fields on spheres*, Ann. of Math. (2) **75** (1962), 603–632.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah, *K-theory*, Lecture notes by D. W. Anderson, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1967, v+166+xlix pp.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and D. O. Tall, *Group representations, λ-rings and the J-homomorphism*, Topology **8** (1969), 253–297.
- [4] P. Berthelot, A. Grothendieck, and L. Illusie, *Théorie des Intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch (SGA6)*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 225, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [5] U. Bunke, *Adams operations in smooth K-theory*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 4, 2349–2381.
- [6] U. Bunke and T. Schick, *Smooth K-theory*, Astérisque **328** (2009), 45–135.
- [7] D. S. Freed and M. Hopkins, *On Ramond-Ramond fields and K-theory*, J. High Energy Phys., (2000) no. 5, Paper 44, 14 pp.
- [8] D. S. Freed and J. Lott, *An index theorem in differential K-theory*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 2, 903–966.
- [9] H. Gillet and C. Soulé, *Characteristic classes for algebraic vector bundles with Hermitian metric. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **131** (1990), no. 1, 205–238.
- [10] A. Grothendieck, *La théorie des classes de Chern* Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, **86** (1958), 137–154.
- [11] M. J. Hopkins and I. M. Singer, *Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M-theory*, J. Differential Geom. **70** (2005), no. 3, 329–452.
- [12] K. R. Klonoff, *An index theorem in differential K-theory*, Ph.D. thesis, The University of Texas at Austin, 2008, 119 pp., ISBN: 978-0549-70973-2, ProQuest LLC.
- [13] D. Knutson, *λ-rings and the representation theory of the symmetric group*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **308**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973. iv+203 pp.
- [14] B. Liu and X. Ma, *Differential K-theory and localization formula for η-invariants*, Invent. Math. **222** (2020), no. 2, 545–613.
- [15] D. Roessler, *Lambda-structure on Grothendieck groups of Hermitian vector bundles*, Israel J. Math. **122** (2001), 279–304.
- [16] X. Ma and G. Marinescu, *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 254, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [17] G. Segal, *Equivariant K-theory*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1968), no. 34, 129–151.
- [18] J. Simons and D. Sullivan, *Structured vector bundles define differential K-theory*, in *Quanta of maths*, Clay Math. Proc. **11**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, 579–599.
- [19] W. Zhang, *Lectures on Chern-Weil theory and Witten deformations*, Nankai Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 4, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KEY LABORATORY OF MEA (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION) & SHANGHAI KEY LABORATORY OF PMMP, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200241, CHINA
Email address: bliu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

CHERN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS & LPMC, NANKAI UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300071, P.R. CHINA
Email address: xiaonan.ma@nankai.edu.cn