

L^2 -DOLBEAULT RESOLUTIONS AND NADEL VANISHING ON WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX COMPLEX SPACES WITH SINGULAR HERMITIAN METRICS

YUTA WATANABE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, in order to develop a more general L^2 -theory for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on complex spaces, we provide L^2 -Dolbeault fine resolutions and isomorphisms, and L^2 -estimates, for holomorphic line bundles on complex spaces equipped with singular Hermitian metrics. As applications, we obtain several generalizations of the Nadel vanishing theorem.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Positivity of curvature currents and bigness on compact space	7
4. Exhaustion singular-positivity and Stein increasing on weakly pseudoconvex complex spaces	10
5. Global L^2 -estimates on the regular locus X_{reg}	12
6. The weak $\bar{\partial}$ -operator and its L^2 -Dolbeault complex	16
7. Nadel vanishing theorem on weakly pseudoconvex complex spaces	19
References	22

1. INTRODUCTION

The L^2 -theory for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator has become an important and indispensable area in complex analysis through Hörmander's foundational work on L^2 -estimates and existence theorems (see [Hör65, Hör90]), as well as the related studies of Andreotti-Vesentini (see [AV65]). Subsequently, L^2 -extension theorems (see [OT87]) were introduced by Ohsawa-Takegoshi, and in recent years, complex geometry has further developed through applications of such theorems together with singular Hermitian metrics on vector bundles and its positivity (see [Dem90, AS95, Tak98, BP08, Ber09, PT18, DNWZ23, Li24, IMW26]), and singular Hermitian metrics and multiplier ideal sheaves have become increasingly important. While this theory is very well developed on complex manifolds, constructing an appropriate L^2 -theory on complex spaces still presents various difficulties, although it has been partially achieved in the case of smooth Hermitian metrics (see [Rup14]). Central to these issues are the L^2 -Dolbeault fine resolution and isomorphism, and L^2 -estimates. In this paper, we study these fundamental problems for holomorphic line bundles equipped with singular Hermitian metrics on complex spaces, using the strong openness property, Demailly's approximation, embedding theorems, and related techniques. As an application, we also establish corresponding vanishing theorems.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32S20, 14F18, 32L10, 32L20, 32J25, 32C15.

Key words and phrases. L^2 -Dolbeault complex, singular Hermitian metrics, complex spaces, L^2 -estimates, cohomology vanishing, weakly pseudoconvex.

Throughout this paper, all complex spaces are assumed to be reduced without further notice. Let X be a complex space of pure dimension n . The *Grauert-Riemenschneider canonical sheaf* ω_X^{GR} on X is defined by $\Gamma(U, \omega_X^{GR}) = \{s \in \Gamma(U \cap X_{reg}, \omega_{X_{reg}}) \mid i^{n^2} s \wedge \bar{s} \in L_{loc}^1(U)\}$ for any open subset $U \subset X$. For each locally integrable function $\varphi \in L_{loc}^1(X)$, we denote by $\omega_X^{GR}(\varphi)$ the \mathcal{O}_X -sheaf on X defined by

$$\Gamma(U, \omega_X^{GR}(\varphi)) = \{s \in \Gamma(U \cap X_{reg}, \omega_{X_{reg}}) \mid i^{n^2} s \wedge \bar{s} \cdot e^{-2\varphi} \in L_{loc}^1(U)\}$$

for any open subset $U \subset X$ (see [Li24, Definition 2.3]). In particular, for a singular Hermitian metric h on a holomorphic line bundle, we define $\omega_X^{GR}(h) := \omega_X^{GR}(\varphi)$ if h can be written locally as $h = e^{-2\varphi}$. Furthermore, for any quasi-plurisubharmonic function $\varphi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$, the \mathcal{O}_X -sheaf $\omega_X^{GR}(\varphi)$ satisfies the functoriality property $\omega_X^{GR}(\varphi) = \pi_*(K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*\varphi))$ (see [Dem12, Proposition 5.8]), where $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is any resolution of singularities. In particular, if X is smooth, then $\omega_X^{GR}(\varphi) = K_X \otimes \mathcal{I}(\varphi)$ by definition and $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ can be taken to be any proper modification.

We first present the following L^2 -Dolbeault fine resolution and isomorphism. Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle on a complex space. For singular Hermitian metrics h on L (see Definition 2.6), we define the subsheaf $\mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{p,q}$ on X to be the sheaf of germs of (p, q) -forms u with values in L and with measurable coefficients such that both $|u|_h^2$ and $|\bar{\partial}u|_h^2$ are locally integrable on the regular locus (see Section 6). Here $\bar{\partial}$ -operator is considered in the sense of distribution on X_{reg} .

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a complex space of pure dimension n and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle equipped with a singular Hermitian metric h . If any local weight function of h on X is quasi-plurisubharmonic, then the L^2 -Dolbeault complex*

$$0 \rightarrow \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,2} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \dots$$

is exact; that is, the complex $(\mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,}, \bar{\partial})$ is L^2 -Dolbeault fine resolution of $\omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)$. Thus, we have the following L^2 -Dolbeault isomorphism*

$$H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \cong H^q(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,*}))$$

for any $q > 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a complex space of pure dimension n , $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . If any local weight function of h on X is quasi-plurisubharmonic, then the L^2 -Dolbeault complex $(\pi_* \mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,*}, \pi_* \bar{\partial})$ is a fine resolution of*

$$\omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L) \cong \pi_*(\omega_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\pi^*L) \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)).$$

Thus, we have the following L^2 -Dolbeault isomorphism

$$H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \cong H^q(\tilde{X}, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h))$$

for any $q \geq 0$. In particular, the isomorphism

$$H^q(U, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \cong H^q(\pi^{-1}(U), K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h))$$

holds for any open subset $U \subset X$.

Various versions of Theorem 1.1 are known when X is smooth (see [Dem12, Wat25a, Wat26]). For spaces with singularities, results corresponding to smooth Hermitian metrics for the line bundles in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are known (see [Rup14, Theorem

2.1 and 3.1]). Related results include the Dolbeault fine resolution for the sheaf of $(0, q)$ -currents on complex spaces (see [AS12]). These theorems are obtained by using the Takegoshi-type higher direct image vanishing below, together with the local L^2 - $\bar{\partial}$ cohomology vanishing on the regular locus derived from L^2 -estimates.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a complex space, $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . If any local weight function of h on X is quasi-plurisubharmonic, then we have the higher direct image vanishing*

$$R^q \pi_* (K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^* h)) = 0$$

for any $q > 0$.

The proof requires the Negativity lemma and the strong openness property to compensate for the degenerate positivity and to preserve the ideal sheaf. Subsequently, to establish the vanishing theorem, we present the following global L^2 -estimates on the regular locus of a weakly pseudoconvex complex space. Here, weakly pseudoconvexity includes both compact and Stein cases and forms the broadest and highly significant class among the complex-geometric objects that can be treated. In fact, every complex Lie group is always weakly pseudoconvex (see [Kaz73]).

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler complex space of pure dimension n and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . We assume that h is singular positive, then $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq 2\varepsilon\omega$ on X in the sense of currents for some positive smooth function $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a Kähler metric ω . For any $q > 0$ and for any $f \in L^2_{n,q}(X, L, h)$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ on X_{reg} and $\int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega < +\infty$, there exists $u \in L^2_{n,q-1}(X, L; \omega, h)$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}u = f$ on X_{reg} and the global L^2 -estimate*

$$\int_X |u|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega \leq \frac{1}{q} \int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega.$$

Furthermore, if X is not necessarily Kähler and ω is taken simply as a Hermitian metric, then the same global L^2 -estimate as above holds only in the case $q = 1$.

Together with Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler complex space of pure dimension n and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . If h is singular positive, then we have the following vanishing*

$$H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$$

for any $q > 0$. Furthermore, if X is not necessarily Kähler, then we have only the 1-st cohomology vanishing $H^1(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$.

The Nadel vanishing theorem 1.5 is already mentioned in [Dem12, Remark 5.17], but there appears to be a nontrivial technical gap in the argument (see Remark 7.5), and here we provide a complete proof. When the complex space is compact, by applying Theorem 1.2, we can obtain the higher cohomology vanishing without assuming the existence of a Kähler metric, which is a generalization of Nadel vanishing.

Theorem 1.6. *Let X be a compact complex space of pure dimension n and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle. If L is big, then X is Moishezon and there exists a singular*

Hermitian metric h on L such that $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \gamma$ on X_{reg} in the sense of currents for some Hermitian metric γ on X , and we have the following cohomology vanishing

$$H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$$

for any $q > 0$, without assuming the existence of a Kähler metric. Here, if X is normal, then we can take the singular Hermitian metric h with $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \gamma$ on X in the sense of currents, i.e., h is singular positive.

Recently, even on complex spaces, the *Nadel-type multiplier ideal sheaf* $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi)$ (see [Li24, Definition 3.2]) has been introduced as an appropriate multiplier ideal sheaf by taking singularities into account via Ohsawa's extension measure, and it is known that $\omega_X^{GR}(\varphi) = \omega_X \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi)$ holds (see [Li24, Remark 3.3]) if the complex space is locally a complete intersection (not necessarily normal). Here, ω_X denotes the *dualizing sheaf*, which is defined by $\Gamma(U, \omega_X) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_D}^{N-n}(\mathcal{O}_U, \Omega_D^N)|_U$ for any open set U admitting a holomorphic closed embedding $\iota_U : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$, and satisfies $\omega_X^{GR} \subset \omega_X$.

As an application, we obtain the following Kawamata-Viehweg type vanishing theorem without assuming conditions on the singularities such as klt, or projectivity, in particular the existence of a Kähler metric.

Corollary 1.7. *Let X be a compact Moishezon space of pure dimension n and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle. If L is nef and big, then we have the following vanishing*

$$H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$$

for any $q > 0$.

For a normal complex space X , if X or the pair (X, Δ) is klt, then X has rational singularities and hence $\omega_X = \omega_X^{GR}$; therefore, Corollary 1.7 is a generalization of the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Canonical desingularization of complex spaces. Even in the non-compact case, a global resolution of singularities can be obtained, which is locally given by a finite sequence of blow-ups with smooth centers. This is achieved by patching together the resolutions of singularities constructed on relatively compact subsets.

Theorem 2.1 ([BM97, Theorem 13.3 and 13.4], cf. [Hir64]). *Let X be a complex space which is not necessarily compact or reduced. There exists a desingularization $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, which is a composite of a locally finite sequence of blow-ups, such that*

- the map $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is proper holomorphic.
- the set \tilde{X} is smooth and the π -exceptional set $E := \pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$ is simple normal crossing, where E denotes the collection of all exceptional divisors.
- for any relatively compact open subset V of X , the restriction $\pi|_V : \tilde{X}|_{\pi^{-1}(V)} \rightarrow X|_V$ is a composite of a finite sequence of blow-ups with smooth centres.
- the restriction $\pi|_{\tilde{X} \setminus E} : \tilde{X} \setminus E \rightarrow X \setminus X_{\text{sing}} = X_{\text{reg}}$ is biholomorphic.
- π is canonical in the sense that for any isomorphism $\varphi : X|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} X|_V$, where U and V are open subsets of X , lifts to an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X}|_{\pi^{-1}(U)} \rightarrow \tilde{X}|_{\pi^{-1}(V)}$.

The desingularization in this theorem is referred to as the *canonical desingularization*.

Lemma 2.2. (Negativity Lemma, cf. [Kaw24, Remark 1.6.2 (2)], the proof of [Wat24, Lemma 3.6]) *Let X be a complex space, $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization and $E = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} E_j := \pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$ be the π -exceptional set which is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor. Then, for any relatively compact open subset V of X , there exist an open neighborhood \tilde{U} of E and an effective divisor $E_V := \sum_{j \in J_V} b_j E_j$ with $b_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_V)$ admits a smooth Hermitian metric on $\tilde{V} := \pi^{-1}(V)$ whose curvature is positive on $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}$, where $J_V := \{j \in \mathcal{J} \mid \pi(E_j) \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$. In particular, the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-E_V)|_{\tilde{V}}$ is π -ample.*

2.2. Singular Hermitian metrics and its positivity on complex spaces. Let X be a complex space.

Definition 2.3 ([GPR94, Chapter V, Definition 1.4], [Fuj75]). A function $\varphi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ is called (resp. *strictly*) *plurisubharmonic* if for any $x \in X$ there exist an open neighborhood U admitting a closed holomorphic embedding $\iota_U : U \hookrightarrow V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, here V is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^N , and a (resp. *strictly*) plurisubharmonic function $\tilde{\varphi} : V \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ such that $\varphi|_U = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \iota_U$.

The same requirement is imposed on smooth functions, differential forms, test forms, Hermitian metrics, and Kähler metrics on X : locally, they are required to be expressible, via a closed holomorphic embedding $\iota_U : U \hookrightarrow V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, as the restrictions of the corresponding smooth functions, differential forms, and related objects on defined on V . Moreover, a function is said to be *quasi-plurisubharmonic* if it can be written locally as the sum of a smooth function and a plurisubharmonic function.

Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle. Then there exist an open covering $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of X and isomorphisms $\iota_\alpha : L|_{U_\alpha} \xrightarrow{\cong} U_\alpha \times \mathbb{C}$. Conversely, the set of holomorphic functions $\{f_{\alpha\beta}\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \Lambda}$, where $f_{\alpha\beta} := \iota_\alpha \circ \iota_\beta^{-1}|_{U_\alpha \cap U_\beta} \in \Gamma(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta, \mathcal{O}_X)$, defines L . Such a $(\{f_{\alpha\beta}\}, \{U_\alpha\})_\Lambda$ is called a *system of transition functions of L* .

Definition 2.4 ([Fuj75, Wat25b]). We say that h is *smooth Hermitian metric* on L if for a system of transition functions $(\{f_{\alpha\beta}\}, \{U_\alpha\})_\Lambda$ of L , there is a collection of positive smooth functions $h = \{h_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ such that $h_\beta/h_\alpha = |f_{\alpha\beta}|^2$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$.

For any trivialization $\tau : L|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} U \times \mathbb{C}$, a (smooth) Hermitian metric h on L can be expressed as

$$||\xi||_h = |\tau(\xi)|e^{-\varphi(x)}, \quad x \in U, \xi \in L_x$$

using a function φ on U . The function $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called the *weight function of h with respect to the trivialization τ* .

Definition 2.5 ([Fuj75, Wat25b]). A smooth Hermitian metric h on L is said to be *positive* if there exists a collection of positive smooth functions $h = \{h_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ on L such that $-\log h_\alpha$ is strictly plurisubharmonic on U_α for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. In other words, the weight function of h with respect to any trivialization τ is smooth strictly plurisubharmonic.

A holomorphic line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ is said to be *positive* if there exists a smooth Hermitian metric h on L which is positive.

Definition 2.6. Let X be a complex space and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle. We say that h is a *singular Hermitian metric* on L if for any smooth Hermitian metric h_0 on L , there exists a locally integrable function φ on X , i.e., $\varphi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(X)$, such that $h = h_0 e^{-2\varphi}$ on X .

For any open subset $U \subset X$, we denote

$$L_{loc}^1(U) := \left\{ u : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable} \left| \int_{K_{reg}} |u| dV < +\infty, \forall K \Subset U \right. \right\}$$

and note that, in general, $L_{loc}^1(U) \subsetneq L_{loc}^1(U_{reg})$.

Definition 2.7. Let X be a complex space and h be a singular Hermitian metric on a holomorphic line bundle $L \longrightarrow X$. We say that a singular Hermitian metric h is

- *singular semi-positive* if the weight function of h with respect to any trivialization coincides with a plurisubharmonic function almost everywhere.
- *singular positive* if the weight function of h with respect to any trivialization coincides with a strictly plurisubharmonic function almost everywhere.

When X is smooth, Definitions 2.6 and 2.7 coincide with the existing definitions (see [Dem12, Chapter 3], [Wat25a, Wat25b]).

2.3. Currents and its positivity on complex space. Let X be a complex space of pure dimension n . As in the smooth case, the sheaf $\mathcal{D}'_X^{p,q}$ of currents of bidegree (p, q) on X is by definition the dual of $\mathcal{D}_X^{p,q}$ which is the space of smooth differential forms of bidegree (p, q) with compact support. Given a local embedding $\iota : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$, thus, the currents $T \in \mathcal{D}'_X^{p,q}$ precisely correspond, via $T \longmapsto \iota_* T$, to the currents of bidegree $(N - n + p, N - n + q)$ in the ambient space that vanish on all test forms ϕ such that $\iota^* \phi = 0$ on X_{reg} (see [Dem85, AS12]). Here, the current $\iota_* T$ is defined by

$$\langle \iota_* T, \tilde{\phi} \rangle := \langle T, \iota^* \tilde{\phi} \rangle = \int_{U_{reg}} T \wedge \iota^* \tilde{\phi},$$

for any test forms $\tilde{\phi}$ on \mathbb{C}^N . Furthermore, the positivity of the current $T \in \mathcal{D}'_X^{p,p}$ is also defined as in the smooth case, and this is equivalent to the positivity of $\iota_* T$. In other words, a current $T \in \mathcal{D}'_X^{p,p}$ is said to be *positive*, i.e., $T \geq 0$ on X in the sense of currents, if $\langle T, \phi \rangle \geq 0$ for any strongly positive test form $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_X^{n-p, n-p}(X)$ on X , which can be written as $\phi = \rho \cdot i^{(n-p)^2} \eta \wedge \bar{\eta}$ suitable forms $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_X^{n-p, 0}(X)$. Here, $\mathcal{E}_X^{p,q}$ denotes the sheaf of smooth differential forms. Note that, if $\varphi \in L_{loc}^1(U)$ then $[\varphi] \in \mathcal{D}'_X(U)$ and $\iota_* [\varphi] \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C}^N)$; however, in general $\iota_* \varphi$ is not locally integrable. Moreover, the form $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ is defined on U as a current by

$$\langle i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi, \phi \rangle := \int_{U_{reg}} \varphi i\partial\bar{\partial}\phi,$$

for any test form $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1, n-1}(U)$, i.e., $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'_X^{1,1}(U)$. In particular, it follows that the curvature $i\Theta_{L,h}$ associated with a singular Hermitian metric h on L is always well-defined as a current, and the current $i\Theta_{L,h}$ always has locally finite mass, i.e.,

$$\|i\Theta_{L,h}\|_{\omega}(K) := \int_K i\Theta_{L,h} \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \int_{U_{\alpha} \cap K} \varphi_{\alpha} i\partial\bar{\partial}\omega^{n-1} < +\infty,$$

for any Hermitian metric ω and any compact subset $K \Subset X$, here $\varphi_{\alpha} \in L_{loc}^1(U_{\alpha})$ is local weight function of h . Therefore, the following holds by the Skoda-El Mir extension theorem (see [Dem-book, Chapter III, Theorem 2.3], [Dem12, Theorem 1.18]).

Proposition 2.8. Let X be a complex space of pure dimension n , $\pi : \tilde{X} \longrightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization and E be the π -exceptional set. Let $L \longrightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . If each local weight function of h

near X_{sing} is quasi-plurisubharmonic, then the pullback $\pi^*i\Theta_{L,h}$, which is defined as a current on $\tilde{X} \setminus E$, has locally bounded mass near E and admits a trivial extension to \tilde{X} . Hence, it coincides with the curvature current $i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}$ on \tilde{X} associated with the pulled-back metric π^*h in the sense of currents, i.e., $\pi^*i\Theta_{L,h} = i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}$ on \tilde{X} .

The following result is a consequence of the proof of Proposition 3.1 below.

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be a complex space of pure dimension n and T be a closed $(1,1)$ -current on X . Then, the current T always admits local potentials on X_{reg} .*

Furthermore, if X is normal and T is bounded from below by a smooth $(1,1)$ -form on X in the sense of currents, then T always admits local potentials on X ; that is, for any sufficiently small open subset U , there exists a locally integrable function $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(U)$ satisfying $dd^c u = T$ on U .

Note that, in general, it is unclear whether $dd^c u$ can be defined on U as a current under the only assumption that $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(U_{\text{reg}})$.

2.4. Multiplier ideal sheaves and the strong openness property. In this subsection, we consider the smooth case, and let X be a complex manifold.

Definition 2.10. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ be a quasi-plurisubharmonic function. The multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}(\varphi)(U) := \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U) \mid |f|^2 e^{-2\varphi} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(U)\}$$

for any open subset $U \subset X$. For a singular Hermitian metric h on L with the local weight φ , i.e., $h = h_0 e^{-2\varphi}$, we define the multiplier ideal sheaf of h by $\mathcal{I}(h) := \mathcal{I}(\varphi)$.

We introduce the strong openness property, which is also important for applications.

Theorem 2.11 (Strong openness property, cf. [GZ15]). *Let φ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on $\Delta^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, and let $\psi \not\equiv -\infty$ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on Δ^n . Then we have $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) = \bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{I}(\varphi + \varepsilon\psi)$.*

In the presence of singularities, it is not clear whether a multiplier ideal sheaf defined as in the smooth case satisfies the desired properties. On a complex space, taking singularities into account via Ohsawa's extension measure, the Nadel-type multiplier ideal sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi)$ (see [Li24, Definition 3.2]), which are known to satisfy the strong openness property (see [Li24, Theorem 1.1]), are introduced. Recall that if the complex space X is locally a complete intersection (not necessarily normal), then $\omega_X^{GR}(\varphi) = \omega_X \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{I}}(\varphi)$ (see [Li24, Remark 3.3 (2)]).

3. POSITIVITY OF CURVATURE CURRENTS AND BIGNESS ON COMPACT SPACE

Singular positivity admits the following reformulation in terms of curvature currents.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a complex space of pure dimension n and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . We obtain the following.*

- *If h is singular semi-positive, then $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq 0$ on X in the sense of currents.*
- *If h is singular positive, then for any Hermitian metric ω on X , there exists a positive smooth function $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \varepsilon\omega$ on X in the sense of currents.*

Furthermore, if X is normal, or if any weight function is locally bounded from above in a neighborhood of X_{sing} , then the converse also holds.

Proof. Since positivity is a local property, it suffices to choose an arbitrary neighborhood U admitting a trivialization $\tau : L|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times \mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic embedding $\iota : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$, and to show that $\langle i\Theta_{L,h}, \phi \rangle \geq 0$ for any strongly positive test form $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_X^{n-1,n-1}(U)$, which can be written as $\phi = \rho \cdot i^{(n-1)^2} \eta \wedge \bar{\eta}$ for suitable forms $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(X, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_X^{n-1,0}(U)$. Let φ be a weight function of h with respect to a trivialization τ and $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization. Since plurisubharmonicity is preserved under holomorphic maps (see [GR56]), the curvature current $i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h} \geq 0$ holds on \tilde{X} . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle i\Theta_{L,h}, \phi \rangle &= \int_{U_{\text{reg}}} i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \phi = \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_{\text{reg}})} \pi^* i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \pi^* \phi = \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_{\text{reg}})} i\partial\bar{\partial}\pi^* \varphi \wedge \pi^* \phi \\ &= \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_{\text{reg}})} i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h} \wedge \pi^* \phi = \langle i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}, \pi^* \phi \rangle \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq 0$ on X . Then, for any strongly positive test form $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{X}}^{n-1,n-1}(\pi^{-1}(U_{\text{reg}}))$ on $\pi^{-1}(U_{\text{reg}}) = \pi^{-1}(U) \setminus E$, here E is π -exceptional set, the pullback $(\pi^{-1})^* \tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{D}_X^{n-1,n-1}(U_{\text{reg}})$ is also strongly positive test form on U_{reg} , and hence the following holds by the above integral formula.

$$0 \leq \langle i\Theta_{L,h}, (\pi^{-1})^* \tilde{\phi} \rangle = \int_{\pi^{-1}(U_{\text{reg}})} i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h} \wedge \tilde{\phi} = \langle i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}, \tilde{\phi} \rangle.$$

Therefore, we have $i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h} \geq 0$ on $\tilde{X} \setminus E$ in the sense of currents; in particular, the local weight function $\pi^* \varphi$, viewed as a local potential, is plurisubharmonic on $\pi^{-1}(U_{\text{reg}})$. Since π^{-1} is holomorphic on U_{reg} , the weight function φ is also plurisubharmonic on U_{reg} . Furthermore, by [GR56, Satz 3 and 4], if X is normal, or if φ is locally bounded from above on U , then φ extends uniquely as a weakly plurisubharmonic function on U . Finally, it follows from [FN80, Theorem 5.3.1] that every weakly plurisubharmonic function is plurisubharmonic.

Second, we assume that h is singular positive. Since X is second countable, it admits an increasing sequence $\{X_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of relatively compact open subsets, i.e., $X_j \Subset X_{j+1}$ for any j and $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_j = X$. By compactness of $\overline{X_j}$, there exists a finite open covering $\{U_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq N}$ such that $\overline{X_j} \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^N U_k$, $L|_{U_k}$ is trivial and each U_k admits a holomorphic embedding $\iota_k : U_k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N_k}$. Here, the weight of h on each U_k coincides with a strictly plurisubharmonic function φ_k a.e., and for each embedding ι_k , there exists a strictly plurisubharmonic function $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ on V_k and a Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}_k$ on V_k such that $\varphi_k|_{U_k} = \iota_k^* \tilde{\varphi}_k$ and $\omega|_{U_k} = \iota_k^* \tilde{\omega}_k$ on U_k , where $V_k \Subset \mathbb{C}^{N_k}$ is open subsets with $\iota_k(U_k) \Subset V_k$. By strictly plurisubharmonicity of $\tilde{\varphi}_k$, there exists a constant $\delta_k > 0$ such that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\tilde{\varphi}_k \geq \delta_k i\partial\bar{\partial} \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |z_j^{(k)}|^2 = \delta_k i\partial\bar{\partial} |z^{(k)}|^2$ in the sense of currents on V_k for a standard coordinates $(z_1^{(k)}, \dots, z_{N_k}^{(k)})$ of \mathbb{C}^{N_k} , then we have $i\Theta_{L,h} = i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_k \geq \delta_k \iota_k^* i\partial\bar{\partial} |z^{(k)}|^2$ on U_k in the sense of currents. Here, there exists a constant $c_k > 0$ such that $i\partial\bar{\partial} |z^{(k)}|^2 \geq c_k \tilde{\omega}_k$ on V_k , hence $\iota_k^* i\partial\bar{\partial} |z^{(k)}|^2 \geq c_k \omega$ on U_k . Combined with the above inequality, setting $\varepsilon_{U_k} := \delta_k c_k > 0$, we obtain $i\Theta_{L,h} = i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_k \geq \varepsilon_{U_k} \omega$ on U_k in the sense of currents. Let $\varepsilon_j := \min_k \varepsilon_{U_k} > 0$, then we can construct a smooth function $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying $\varepsilon_j > \varepsilon > 0$ on $X_j \setminus \overline{X_{j-1}}$. The converse is clear from the above proof. \square

Let X be a complex space and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle. We define L to be *big* if the Kodaira-Iitaka dimension of L is maximal on each irreducible component X_j , i.e., $\kappa(X_j, L|_{X_j}) = \dim X_j$. Here, the Kodaira-Iitaka dimension is defined in the same way without assuming compactness (see [Wat24, Definition 2.4]). A compact complex space X is said to be *Moishezon* if the algebraic dimension of each irreducible component X_j is maximal (cf. [Moi66]), i.e., $a(X_j) = \dim X_j$. A Moishezon space is bimeromorphic to a projective manifold, as follows:

Theorem 3.2 (cf. [Moi66, Hir64, GR70], [GPR94, Chapter VII, Corollary 6.10, Theorem 6.14 and Proposition 6.16]). *Let X be a compact complex space. If X is Moishezon, then there exists a bimeromorphic modification $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, given by a composition of finitely many blow-ups with smooth center, such that \tilde{X} is projective manifold and the restriction map $\pi|_{\tilde{X} \setminus E} : \tilde{X} \setminus E \xrightarrow{\sim} X \setminus Z$ is biholomorphic, where Z is an analytic subset of X with $X_{\text{sing}} \subset Z$ and $E := \pi^{-1}(Z)$ is the π -exceptional divisor with simple normal crossing. Furthermore, X is Moishezon if and only if X carries an almost positive torsion-free coherent sheaf \mathcal{L} with $\text{supp } \mathcal{L} = X$.*

In particular, if X carries a big line bundle, then X is Moishezon.

Thus, since a compact Moishezon space contains sufficiently many curves, we define a line bundle to be *nef* as usual in terms of the non-negativity of its intersection numbers. Furthermore, nefness is preserved under pullbacks by resolution of singularities.

A Moishezon manifold is projective if and only if it admits a Kähler metric (see [Moi66]). Note that, in the case of Moishezon spaces, the existence of a Kähler metric does not in general imply projectivity (see [Moi75]); however, a Kähler Moishezon space with 1-rational singularities is known to be projective (see [Nam02, Theorem 6]). Here, a complex space X with 1-rational singularities is normal and admits a resolution $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_Y = 0$; rational singularities are examples of this.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a compact complex space and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle. We have the following relationship.*

- *If L is singular positive, then L is big.*
- *If L is big, then there exists a singular Hermitian metric h on L such that $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \gamma$ on X_{reg} in the sense of currents for some Hermitian metric γ on X .
Furthermore, if X is normal, then we can take the singular Hermitian metric h with $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \gamma$ on X in the sense of currents for some Hermitian metric γ on X , i.e., h is singular positive.*

Thus, if X is normal, then singular positivity and bigness coincide.

Proof. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities. If L is singular positive, then applying Theorem 4.2 in the compact case, the pulled-back line bundle π^*L is singular positive, thus π^*L is big by Demailly's characterization (see [Dem90] [Dem12, Chapter 6]). Since bigness is a bimeromorphic invariant, L is also big.

If L is big, then π^*L is also big and admits a singular positive Hermitian metric \tilde{h} , i.e., $i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \tilde{h}} \geq \omega$ on \tilde{X} in the sense of currents for some Hermitian metric ω . Here, there exists a Hermitian metric γ on X such that $\omega \geq \pi^*\gamma$ on \tilde{X} . We define a singular Hermitian metric h of L by setting $h = (\pi^{-1})^*\tilde{h}$ on X_{reg} and $h = 0$ on X_{sing} . By the biholomorphicity of $\pi|_{\tilde{X} \setminus E} : \tilde{X} \setminus E \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{\text{reg}}$, we obtain that $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \gamma$ on X_{reg} in the sense of currents, where E is π -exceptional set. For this singular Hermitian metric h ,

we have $i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h} \geq \pi^*\gamma$ on $\tilde{X} \setminus E$ in the sense of currents. Then, if X is normal, as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, by uniquely replacing the weight function uniquely via the extension of plurisubharmonic functions (see [GR56, Satz 4]), we can adjust the values of h on X_{sing} to obtain a singular positive Hermitian metric. \square

This proof yields the following.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a compact complex space and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle. If L is big, then there exists a resolution of singularities $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that \tilde{X} is projective and π^*L is big. Furthermore, π^*L admits a singular Hermitian metric \tilde{h} on \tilde{X} , which is singular positive and can be chosen such that $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}) = \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)$ on \tilde{X} for the singular Hermitian metric h on L appearing in Theorem 3.3.*

4. EXHAUSTION SINGULAR-POSITIVITY AND STEIN INCREASING ON WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX COMPLEX SPACES

In this section, we consider a weakly pseudoconvex complex space carrying a holomorphic line bundle with a singular positive Hermitian metric, and prove that its pullback under a resolution of singularities preserves the associated ideal sheaves and remains singular positive on each sublevel set, and that there exists an exhaustion sequence which is Stein outside a suitable analytic subset. Here, a function $\Psi : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ on a complex space X is *exhaustion* if all sublevel sets $X_c := \{x \in X \mid \Psi(x) < c\}$, $\forall c \in \mathbb{R}$, are relatively compact. A complex space is said to be *weakly pseudoconvex* if there exists a smooth exhaustion plurisubharmonic function.

Definition 4.1 ([Wat25b, Definition 1.3]). Let (X, Ψ) be a weakly pseudoconvex manifold and \mathcal{I} be an ideal sheaf on X . We say that a holomorphic line bundle $L \rightarrow X$ is *exhaustion singular-positive with \mathcal{I}* , if there exist an increasing sequence of real numbers $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverging to $+\infty$ and a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each h_j is defined on $L|_{X_{c_j}}$, is singular positive on X_{c_j} , and satisfies $\mathcal{I}(h_j) = \mathcal{I}$ on X_{c_j} . In particular, if each metric h_j in the sequence $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is smooth, we simply say that L is *exhaustion positive*.

It is clear that when X is compact, these notions simply coincides with being singular positive (resp. positive). Exhaustion (singular)-positivity is a concept that arises very naturally, as observed in [Wat25b, Examples 2.10-2.13; Theorems 3.1 and 3.4].

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a weakly pseudoconvex complex space, $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . If h is singular positive on X then the pulled-back line bundle $\pi^*L \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is exhaustion singular-positive with $\mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)$.*

Here, the pulled-back metric π^*h on π^*L is singular semi-positive on \tilde{X} and singular positive on $\tilde{X} \setminus E$; however, in general it is unclear whether π^*L admits a globally singular positive metric on \tilde{X} . This theorem is a generalization of [Wat25b, Theorem 3.1] to singular Hermitian metrics, and is proved using the effective lemma below, which is obtained from the Negativity Lemma 2.2, and the strong openness property (= Theorem 2.11, see [GZ15]).

Lemma 4.3 ([Wat25b, Lemma 3.2]). *Let X be a complex space, $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization and $E := \pi^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$ be a π -exceptional set which is simple*

normal crossing. Let V be a relatively compact open subsets of X , and set $\tilde{V} := \pi^{-1}(V)$. Then there exist an open neighborhood \tilde{U} of E and a function $\psi : \tilde{V} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ such that ψ is smooth on $\tilde{V} \setminus E$ and strictly plurisubharmonic on $\tilde{U} \cap \tilde{V}$, and tends to $-\infty$ as it approaches E . In particular, we have $\psi \in L^1_{loc}(\tilde{V})$.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. For any constant $c > \inf_X \Psi$, it suffices to construct a singular Hermitian metric \tilde{h}_c of π^*L on \tilde{X}_c which is singular positive on \tilde{X}_c and satisfies $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}_c) = \mathcal{J}(\pi^*h)$ on \tilde{X}_c . By Proposition 3.1, there exists a Hermitian metric ω on X such that $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \omega$ on X in the sense of currents, and the pulled-back singular Hermitian metric π^*h on π^*L satisfies the curvature current inequality $i\Theta_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h} = \pi^*i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \pi^*\omega$ on \tilde{X} in the sense of currents. In particular, the singular Hermitian metric π^*h on π^*L is singular semi-positive on \tilde{X} and singular positive on $\tilde{X} \setminus E$.

For the subset $\tilde{X}_{c+2\tau}$, apply Lemma 4.3 to obtain an open neighborhood \tilde{U} of E and a locally integrable function $\psi : \tilde{X}_{c+2\tau} \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty)$ satisfying the conditions of Lemma 4.3. From the relative compact-ness of $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$, there exists an integer $m_c \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any integer $m \geq m_c$, the singular Hermitian metric $\tilde{h}_m := \pi^*h^m \cdot e^{-\psi}$ on $\pi^*L^{\otimes m}|_{\tilde{X}_{c+2\tau}}$ is singular positive on $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$, i.e.,

$$i\Theta_{\pi^*L^{\otimes m}, \tilde{h}_m} = m \cdot \pi^*i\Theta_{L,h} + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq m_c \cdot \pi^*\omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi \geq \tilde{\gamma},$$

in the sense of currents on $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$ for some Hermitian metric $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$. In other words, for any positive number $0 < 2\varepsilon < 1/m_c$, the singular Hermitian metric $\tilde{h}_\varepsilon := \pi^*h \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon\psi}$ on $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c+2\tau}}$ is singular positive on $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$.

Here, for some smooth Hermitian metric h_0 on L , there exists a locally integrable function φ on X , i.e., $\varphi \in L^1_{loc}(X)$, such that $h = h_0e^{-2\varphi}$ on X , then it follows that $\mathcal{J}(\pi^*h) = \mathcal{J}(\pi^*\varphi)$ on \tilde{X} and $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{J}(\pi^*\varphi + \varepsilon\psi)$ on $\tilde{X}_{c+2\tau}$. By the strong openness property (= Theorem 2.11), we obtain $\mathcal{J}(\pi^*\varphi) = \bigcup_{0 < \delta < 1/2m_c} \mathcal{J}(\pi^*\varphi + \delta\psi)$ on $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$. Therefore, by the relative compact-ness of \tilde{X}_c and the strong Noetherian property of coherent sheaves (see [Dem-book, Chapter II, (3.22)]), there exists $0 < \delta_c < 1/2m_c$ such that $\bigcup_{0 < \delta < 1/2m_c} \mathcal{J}(\pi^*\varphi + \delta\psi) = \mathcal{J}(\pi^*\varphi + \delta_c\psi)$ on \tilde{X}_c . Hence, by choosing $\varepsilon_c > 0$ such that $0 < \varepsilon_c \leq \delta_c$, the desired singular Hermitian metric $\tilde{h}_c := \tilde{h}_{\varepsilon_c} = \pi^*h \cdot e^{-2\varepsilon_c\psi}$ on $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}}$ is obtained, which is singular positive on $\tilde{X}_{c+\tau}$ and satisfies $\mathcal{J}(\pi^*\varphi) = \mathcal{J}(\pi^*\varphi + \varepsilon_c\psi)$, i.e., $\mathcal{J}(\pi^*h) = \mathcal{J}(\tilde{h}_c)$, on \tilde{X}_c . \square

Finally, for any standard sublevel set X_c , by choosing a suitable analytic subset A , we prove that the complement of A in the regular locus $(X_c)_{reg} \setminus A$ is Stein manifold, which is a key ingredient for obtaining global L^2 -estimates (= Theorem 1.4).

Theorem 4.4. *If a weakly pseudoconvex complex space X has a singular positive line bundle, then there exist an increasing sequence of real numbers $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $c_1 > \inf_X \Psi$ and analytic subsets A_j of each X_{c_j} such that each open subset $(X_{c_j})_{reg} \setminus A_j = (X_{c_j} \setminus A_j) \cap X_{reg}$ is Stein manifold.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization and $E := \pi^{-1}(X_{sing})$ be a π -exceptional set with simple normal crossing, then the sublevel set $\tilde{X}_c := \pi^{-1}(X_c) = \{x \in \tilde{X} \mid \pi^*\Psi(x) < c\}$ is also weakly pseudoconvex. By Theorem 4.2, there exist an increasing sequence of real numbers $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverging to $+\infty$ with $c_1 > \inf_X \Psi$ and a sequence of singular Hermitian metrics $\{\tilde{h}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that each \tilde{h}_j is defined on $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c_j+\tau_j}}$

for some small $\tau_j > 0$ and is singular positive on $\tilde{X}_{c_j+\tau_j}$. Fix an index j arbitrarily and set $c := c_j$. For a singular positive Hermitian metric \tilde{h}_j defined on $\pi^*L|_{\tilde{X}_{c_j+\tau_j}}$, by blowing up the analytic singular locus Z of one approximation $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}(j)_{\nu_0}$ obtained via a Demailly-type approximation $\{\mathcal{H}(j)_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \tilde{h}_j preserving the ideal sheaves (see [Wat24, Theorem 3.2]), there exists a proper modification $\mu : \hat{X}_c \rightarrow \tilde{X}_c$, given by a composition of finitely many blow-ups with smooth center, such that the μ -exceptional set $E_Z := \mu^{-1}(Z)$ is simple normal crossing and $\mu|_{\hat{X}_c \setminus E_Z} : \hat{X}_c \setminus E_Z \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X}_c \setminus Z$ is biholomorphic and that \hat{X}_c carries a positive line bundle $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \hat{X}_c$ constructed from (π^*L, \mathcal{H}) (see [Wat24, Theorem 3.5]).

By Takayama's embedding theorem (see [Tak98, Theorem 1.2]), there exists a holomorphically embedding $\Phi_c : \hat{X}_c \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$. We take a general hyperplane H of \mathbb{P}^{2n+1} . Then $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1} \setminus H$ is affine thus Stein, and since H is general, it intersects $\Phi_c(\hat{X}_c)$. Let $\hat{H} := \Phi_c^{-1}(H)$, then the open subset $\hat{X}_c \setminus \hat{H}$ is Stein manifold. Since E_Z is the support of a simple normal crossing divisor, an open subset $(\hat{X}_c \setminus \hat{H}) \setminus E_Z = \hat{X}_c \setminus (\hat{H} \cup E_Z)$ is also Stein. In fact, the complement of a hypersurface in a Stein manifold is also Stein (see [Die96, Theorem 3.12]). By the biholomorphicity of $\mu|_{\hat{X}_c \setminus E_Z}$, the inverse image $\hat{E} := \mu^{-1}(E) = (\pi \circ \mu)^{-1}(X_{\text{sing}})$ is also simple normal crossing on $\hat{X}_c \setminus E_Z$, and hence the open subset $(\hat{X}_c \setminus (\hat{H} \cup E_Z)) \setminus \hat{E} = \hat{X}_c \setminus (\hat{H} \cup E_Z \cup \hat{E})$ is Stein as well.

Since π and μ are proper holomorphic maps, the images $Z_X := \pi(Z) = (\pi \circ \mu)(E_Z)$ and $H_X := (\pi \circ \mu)(\hat{H})$ are analytic subsets of X by Remmert's proper mapping theorem (see [Dem-book, Chapter II, (8.8)]). Finally, due to the biholomorphicity of $\pi \circ \mu|_{\hat{X}_c \setminus (\hat{H} \cup E_Z \cup \hat{E})} : \hat{X}_c \setminus (\hat{H} \cup E_Z \cup \hat{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X_c)_{\text{reg}} \setminus Z_X$, the open subset $(X_c)_{\text{reg}} \setminus (H_Z \cup Z_X) = \pi \circ \mu|_{\hat{X}_c \setminus (\hat{H} \cup E_Z \cup \hat{E})}(\hat{X}_c \setminus (\hat{H} \cup E_Z \cup \hat{E}))$ is also Stein manifold, and by setting $A_j := H_X \cup Z_X$, the proof is complete. \square

5. GLOBAL L^2 -ESTIMATES ON THE REGULAR LOCUS X_{reg}

In this section, we provide a proof of Theorem 1.4. Let X be a complex space of pure dimension n and L be a holomorphic line bundle on X with a singular Hermitian metric h . For any open subset $U \subset X$, we denote by

$$L_{p,q}^{2,\text{loc}}(U, L, h) := \left\{ u \in L_{p,q}^{2,\text{loc}}(U_{\text{reg}}, L, h) \mid u|_{K_{\text{reg}}} \in L_{p,q}^2(K_{\text{reg}}, L, h), \forall K \Subset U \right\}$$

the space of L -valued (p, q) -forms on U which are locally square integrable with respect to h . This space does not depend on the choice of a Hermitian metric on U ; more precisely, for any Hermitian metric ω_U on U , we have $L_{p,q}^2(K_{\text{reg}}, L, h) = L_{p,q}^2(K_{\text{reg}}, L; \omega_U, h)$. We further denote by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p,q}^2(X, L; \omega, h) &= L_{p,q}^2(X_{\text{reg}}, L; \omega, h) \\ &:= \left\{ u \in L_{p,q}^{2,\text{loc}}(X, L, h) \mid \|u\|_{h,\omega}^2 := \int_{X_{\text{reg}}} |u|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega < +\infty \right\} \end{aligned}$$

the space of L -valued (p, q) -forms u with measurable coefficients that are square integrable with respect to h and a fixed smooth Hermitian metric ω .

Theorem 5.1 (= Theorem 1.4). *Let X be a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler complex space of pure dimension n and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . We assume that h is singular positive, then $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq 2\varepsilon\omega$ on X in*

the sense of currents for some positive smooth function $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and a Kähler metric ω . For any $q > 0$ and for any $f \in L_{n,q}^{2,loc}(X, L, h)$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ on X_{reg} and $\int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega < +\infty$, there exists $u \in L_{n,q-1}^2(X, L; \omega, h)$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}u = f$ on X_{reg} and the global L^2 -estimate

$$\int_X |u|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega \leq \frac{1}{q} \int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega.$$

Furthermore, if X is not necessarily Kähler and ω is taken simply as a Hermitian metric, then the same global L^2 -estimate as above holds only in the case $q = 1$.

Lemma 5.2 ([Wat25a, Lemma 3.18]). *Let (X, ω) be a Hermitian manifold and $E \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . Let p and q be non-negative integers with $q \geq 1$ and f be a fixed element of $L_{p,q}^2(X, E; \omega, h)$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}f = 0$ and $\int_X \langle A_{p,q}^{-1}f, f \rangle_{h,\omega} dV_\omega < +\infty$, where $A_{p,q}$ is a semi-positive operator on $\bigwedge^{p,q} T_X^* \otimes E$.*

Let $\{h_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of smooth Hermitian metrics on E , increasing and converging to h a.e. If we can take a solution $u_j \in L_{p,q-1}^2(X, E; \omega, h_j)$ to the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation $\bar{\partial}u_j = f$ satisfying the L^2 -estimate

$$\|u_j\|_{X,h_j,\omega}^2 = \int_X |u_j|_{h_j,\omega}^2 dV_\omega \leq \int_X \langle A_{p,q}^{-1}f, f \rangle_{h,\omega} dV_\omega$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a solution $u \in L_{p,q-1}^2(X, E; \omega, h)$ as the weak limit of a convergent subsequence, satisfying $\bar{\partial}u = f$ and the L^2 -estimate

$$\|u\|_{X,h,\omega}^2 = \int_X |u|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega \leq \int_X \langle A_{p,q}^{-1}f, f \rangle_{h,\omega} dV_\omega.$$

Let $\{X_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of subsets increasing to X , i.e., $X_j \subset X_{j+1}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} X_j = X$. If we can take a solution $u_j \in L_{p,q}^2(X_j, E; \omega, h)$ of $\bar{\partial}u_j = f$ on X_j satisfying the L^2 -estimate $\|u_j\|_{X_j,h,\omega}^2 \leq \int_{X_j} \langle A_{p,q}^{-1}f, f \rangle_{h,\omega} dV_\omega$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a solution $u \in L_{p,q}^2(X, E; \omega, h)$ satisfying the same conditions as above.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Theorem 4.4, there exist an increasing sequence of real numbers $\{c_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $c_1 > \inf_X \Psi$ and analytic subsets A_j of each X_{c_j} such that each open subset $(X_{c_j})_{reg} \setminus A_j$ is Stein manifold. Due to Stein-ness, L becomes trivial on $S_j := ((X_{c_j})_{reg} \setminus A_j) \setminus H_j$, using a certain hypersurface H_j of $(X_{c_j})_{reg} \setminus A_j$ (see [Wat25a, Lemma 3.14]). Since the complement of a hypersurface in a Stein manifold is also Stein, each S_j is also Stein (see [Die96, Theorem 3.12]). By Proposition 3.1, for a Kähler metric ω , there exists a positive smooth function $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq 2\varepsilon\omega$ on X in the sense of currents. Furthermore, by the triviality of L on S_j , we can write $h = e^{-\varphi}$ on S_j using a strictly plurisubharmonic function $\varphi := -\log h$ on S_j with $i\Theta_{L,h} = i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \geq 2\varepsilon\omega$ in the sense of currents on S_j . More precisely, φ coincides a.e. with a strictly plurisubharmonic function given by its definition, and for simplicity we replace it with this strictly plurisubharmonic function.

We may assume that S_j is a closed submanifold of \mathbb{C}^N (see [Hör90]) using the Stein-ness of S_j . By the theorem of Docquier and Grauert, there exists an open neighborhood $W \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ of S_j and a holomorphic retraction $\mu : W \rightarrow S_j$ (see [Hör90, Chapter V]). Let $\rho : \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be a smooth function depending only on $|z|$ such that $\text{supp } \rho \subset \mathbb{B}^N$ and that $\int_{\mathbb{C}^N} \rho(z) dV = 1$, where \mathbb{B}^N is the unit ball. Define the mollifier $\rho_\nu(z) = \nu^{2N} \rho(\nu z)$ for $\nu > 0$, where $\rho_\nu \rightarrow \delta$ delta distribution if $\nu \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let $S_j^\nu := \{z \in S_j \mid d_N(z, \partial S_j) > 1/\nu\}$ be a subset of $S_j \subset \mathbb{C}^N$. For any plurisubharmonic function α on S_j we define the function $\alpha_\nu := (\alpha \circ \mu) * \rho_\nu$, then α_ν is a smooth plurisubharmonic function on S_j^ν .

We first show that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu \geq \varepsilon\omega$ on S_j^ν for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $U \subset S_j$ be a local open subset admitting a Kähler potential g of ω ; that is, g is a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function on U satisfying $i\partial\bar{\partial}g = \omega$. By taking U sufficiently small, there exists a constant $c_U > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < c_U < 2\varepsilon$ holds on U . By the inequality $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \geq 2\varepsilon\omega \geq c_U\omega$, i.e., $i\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi - c_Ug) \geq 0$, the function $\varphi - c_Ug$ is plurisubharmonic, and each smooth function $\varphi_\nu - c_Ug = (\varphi - c_Ug) * \rho_\nu$ is also plurisubharmonic, with the smooth sequence of functions $\{\varphi_\nu\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ decreasing to φ . Thus, for any U , the inequality $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu \geq c_U i\partial\bar{\partial}g \geq \varepsilon\omega$ holds on $U \cap S_j^\nu$, which establishes the claim.

From the Steinness of S_j , there exist a smooth exhaustion plurisubharmonic function Ψ_j on S_j . We define the sublevel sets $S_{j,c} := \{z \in S_j \mid \Psi_j(z) < c\}$ which is also Stein. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k > \inf_{S_j} \Psi_j$. Then there exists $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S_{j,k} \Subset S_j^{\nu_0} \Subset S_j^\nu$ for any integer $\nu \geq \nu_0$. Since the inequality

$$\langle [i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu, \Lambda_\omega]v, v \rangle_\omega \geq \langle [\varepsilon\omega, \Lambda_\omega]v, v \rangle_\omega = q\varepsilon|v|_\omega^2.$$

holds for any $\nu \geq \nu_0$ and any $v \in \bigwedge^{n,q} T_{S_{j,k}}^*$, the following inequality follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_{j,k}} \langle [i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu, \Lambda_\omega]^{-1}f, f \rangle_\omega e^{-\varphi_\nu} dV_\omega &\leq \frac{1}{q} \int_{S_{j,k}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_\omega^2 e^{-\varphi_\nu} dV_\omega \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \int_{S_{j,k}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_\omega^2 e^{-\varphi} dV_\omega = \frac{1}{q} \int_{S_{j,k}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \int_{S_j} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the Stein subset $S_{j,k}$ carries a complete Kähler metric, and we have $f \in L_{n,q}^2(S_{j,k}, \varphi, \omega)$ by the relative compactness of $S_{j,k}$ and the smoothness of $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Therefore, by applying L^2 -estimates for (n, q) -forms possibly non-complete Kähler metric (see [Dem-book, Chapter VIII, Theorem 6.1]), we obtain a solution $u_{j,k,\nu} \in L_{n,q-1}^2(S_{j,k}, \varphi_\nu, \omega)$ of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation $\bar{\partial}u_{j,k,\nu} = f$ on $S_{j,k}$, satisfying the L^2 -estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_{j,k}} |u_{j,k,\nu}|_\omega^2 e^{-\varphi_\nu} dV_\omega &\leq \int_{S_{j,k}} \langle [i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu, \Lambda_\omega]^{-1}f, f \rangle_\omega e^{-\varphi_\nu} dV_\omega \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequence of smooth functions $\{e^{-\varphi_\nu}\}_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}}$ is increasing and converges to $h = e^{-\varphi}$ a.e., Lemma 5.2 implies the existence of a solution $u_{j,k} \in L_{n,q-1}^2(S_{j,k}, \varphi, \omega) = L_{n,q-1}^2(S_{j,k}, L; \omega, h)$ as the weak limit of a convergent subsequence, satisfying the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation $\bar{\partial}u_{j,k} = f$ on $S_{j,k}$ and the L^2 -estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_{j,k}} |u_{j,k}|_\omega^2 e^{-\varphi} dV_\omega &= \int_{S_{j,k}} |u_{j,k}|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega \leq \frac{1}{q} \int_{S_j} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by applying Lemma 5.2 for the index k , there exists a solution $u_j \in L^2_{n,q-1}(S_j, L; \omega, h)$ satisfying the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation $\bar{\partial}u_j = f$ on S_j and the L^2 -estimate

$$\int_{S_j} |u_j|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega \leq \frac{1}{q} \int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega < +\infty.$$

It follows from the $\bar{\partial}$ -extension lemma (see [Dem82, Lemma 6.9]) that $\bar{\partial}u_j = f$ on $(X_{c_j})_{reg}$ and $u_j \in L^2_{n,q-1}(X_{c_j}, L; \omega, h)$. Hence, by similarly applying Lemma 5.2 for the index j , we obtain the desired solution $u \in L^2_{n,q-1}(X, L; \omega, h)$, satisfying the required $\bar{\partial}$ -equation $\bar{\partial}u = f$ on X_{reg} and the L^2 -estimate.

Finally, we prove that the same statement holds for $q = 1$ when X is not necessarily Kähler and ω is simply a Hermitian metric. First, as in the above argument, we obtain $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu \geq \varepsilon\omega$ on S_j^ν for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, locally, for any sufficiently small open neighborhood U , there exist a Kähler potential g and a constant $c_U > 0$ such that $\varepsilon\omega < c_U i\partial\bar{\partial}g < 2\varepsilon\omega$ on U . By the relative compactness and Stein property of $S_{j,k}$, there exists a complete Kähler metric $\hat{\omega}_{j,k}$ on $S_{j,k}$ such that $\omega < \hat{\omega}_{j,k}$ on $S_{j,k}$. Using the same argument as above and the Lemma 5.3 below, we obtain a solution

$$u_{j,k,\nu} \in L^2_{n,0}(S_{j,k}, \varphi_\nu, \hat{\omega}_{j,k}) = L^2_{n,0}(S_{j,k}, \varphi_\nu, \omega)$$

of the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation $\bar{\partial}u_{j,k,\nu} = f$ on $S_{j,k}$, satisfying the L^2 -estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_{j,k}} |u_{j,k,\nu}|_\omega^2 e^{-\varphi_\nu} dV_\omega &= \int_{S_{j,k}} |u_{j,k,\nu}|_{\hat{\omega}_{j,k}}^2 e^{-\varphi_\nu} dV_{\hat{\omega}_{j,k}} \leq \int_{S_{j,k}} \langle [i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu, \Lambda_{\hat{\omega}_{j,k}}]^{-1} f, f \rangle_{\hat{\omega}_{j,k}} e^{-\varphi_\nu} dV_{\hat{\omega}_{j,k}} \\ &\leq \int_{S_{j,k}} \langle [i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\nu, \Lambda_\omega]^{-1} f, f \rangle_\omega e^{-\varphi_\nu} dV_\omega \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} \int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 dV_\omega < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where [Dem-book, Chapter VIII, Lemma 6.3] is used to establish the second inequality. Therefore, the proof is completed by repeating Lemma 5.2 in the same way as in the above argument. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Let γ_1 and γ_2 be Hermitian metrics on X with $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2$. Then we have*

- *the equality $|u|_{\gamma_1}^2 dV_{\gamma_1} = |u|_{\gamma_2}^2 dV_{\gamma_2}$ holds for any $(n, 0)$ -form u ,*
- *the inequality $|u|_{\gamma_1}^2 dV_{\gamma_1} \leq |u|_{\gamma_2}^2 dV_{\gamma_2}$ holds for any (n, q) -form u and any $q \geq 1$.*

The following remark shows that Theorem 4.4 is necessary for obtaining global L^2 -estimates on X_{reg} .

Remark 5.4. *In the case where X is smooth, Demailly's approximation can be obtained on (relatively) compact subsets (see [Mat22, Theorem 2.9]). However, although each sublevel set X_c and its regular locus $(X_c)_{reg}$ are relatively compact, it is unclear whether Demailly's approximation can be obtained in the existence of singularities, i.e., when $X_{sing} \cap X_c \neq \emptyset$. This is because the Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 -extension theorem (see [OT87]) is not known to hold in the existence of singularities, and the Demailly approximation obtained using it must, by its construction, be covered by a finite open covering consisting of balls that do not intersect the singular locus.*

Here, when L is merely positive, if X_{reg} admits a complete Kähler metric, then we can obtain global L^2 -estimates, similar to Theorem 1.4, directly by using L^2 -estimates

for (n, q) -forms (see [Dem-book, Chapter VIII, Theorem 6.1]). However, the existence of such a global complete Kähler metric is not clear in general, as explained below.

Remark 5.5. *If a weakly pseudoconvex complex space X carries a Kähler metric, then for any $c > \inf_X \Psi$, the regular locus $(X_c)_{reg}$ admits a complete Kähler metric [Dem82, Théorème 1.5]. In particular, even after removing an additional analytic subset A , the space $(X_c)_{reg} \setminus A$ still carries a complete Kähler metric. However, in general, without relative compactness it is unclear whether X_{reg} admits a complete Kähler metric.*

On the other hand, when X has no singularities, if the analytic subset Z to be removed is compact, or if Z is the zero locus $Z = \sigma^{-1}(0)$ of a holomorphic section σ of a vector bundle admitting a smooth Hermitian metric whose Griffiths positivity is bounded above, then $X \setminus Z$ admits a complete Kähler metric globally (see [Dem12, Lemma 11.9]).

If X is compact or a 1-convex space, in particular Stein, it is known that X_{reg} and $X_{reg} \setminus A$ admit complete Kähler metrics (see [Dem82]).

Conjecture 5.6. Let X be a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler complex space. Does there exist an example for which X_{reg} does not admit a complete Kähler metric? Furthermore, when X is a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold, does there exist an analytic subset A such that $X \setminus A$ does not admit a complete Kähler metric?

6. THE WEAK $\bar{\partial}$ -OPERATOR AND ITS L^2 -DOLBEAULT COMPLEX

In this section, we provide proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Let X be a complex space of pure dimension n with a Hermitian metric ω , $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h and $U \subset X$ be an open subset. Recall that

$$L_{p,q}^{2,loc}(U, L, h) := \left\{ u \in L_{p,q}^{2,loc}(U_{reg}, L, h) \mid u|_{K_{reg}} \in L_{p,q}^2(K_{reg}, L, h), \forall K \Subset U \right\}.$$

It is easy to check that the presheaves given as $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{2,loc}(L, h)(U) := L_{p,q}^{2,loc}(U, L, h)$ are already sheaves $\mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{2,loc}(L, h) \rightarrow X$. On $L_{p,q}^{2,loc}(U, L, h)$, we denote by

$$\bar{\partial}(U) : L_{p,q}^{2,loc}(U, L, h) \longrightarrow L_{p,q+1}^{2,loc}(U, L, h)$$

the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator in the sense of distributions on $U_{reg} = U \setminus X_{sing}$ which is closed and densely defined. This $\bar{\partial}$ -operator is often denoted by $\bar{\partial}_w$ or $\bar{\partial}_{max}$. Since $\bar{\partial}$ is a local operator, we can define the presheaves of germs of forms in the domain of $\bar{\partial}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{p,q} := \mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{2,loc}(L, h) \cap \bar{\partial}^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{p,q+1}^{2,loc}(L, h),$$

given by $\mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{p,q}(U) = \mathcal{L}_{p,q}^{2,loc}(L, h)(U) \cap \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}(U)$. This sheaf $\mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{p,q}$ is well known when X is smooth (see [Dem12, Wat25a]), and admits various types of L^2 -Dolbeault resolutions (see [Wat25a, Theorem 5.3], [Wat26, Theorem 3.7]). Furthermore, it is easy to see that the sheaves $\mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{p,q}$ admit partitions of unity, then we obtain sequences of fine sheaves

$$\mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{p,0} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{p,1} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{p,2} \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \dots$$

Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization and E be a π -exceptional set. Here, the smooth metric $\pi^*\omega$ is positive on $\tilde{X} \setminus E$ and semi-positive on \tilde{X} . Let γ be a Hermitian metric on \tilde{X} , then $\pi^*\omega \lesssim \gamma$ and $\pi^*\omega \sim \gamma$ on compact subsets of $\tilde{X} \setminus E$. Since γ is positive and $\pi^*\omega$ is semi-positive, there exists a smooth function $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ such

that $dV_{\pi^*\omega} = g dV_\gamma$, where $g > 0$ on $\tilde{X} \setminus E$. It follows immediately that $g|u|_{\pi^*\omega}^2 = |u|_\gamma^2$ for any $(n, 0)$ -form u , and that $|u|_\gamma^2 \lesssim_{\tilde{U}} g|u|_{\pi^*\omega}^2$ on $\tilde{U} \Subset \tilde{X}$ for any (n, q) -form u , hence

$$\int_{\tilde{U}} |u|_\gamma^2 dV_\gamma \lesssim_{\tilde{U}} \int_{\tilde{U}} g|u|_{\pi^*\omega}^2 g^{-1} dV_{\pi^*\omega} = \int_{\tilde{U}} |u|_{\pi^*\omega}^2 dV_{\pi^*\omega},$$

here $1 \leq q \leq n$. Therefore, we obtain

$$L_{n,q}^2(\tilde{U}, \pi^*\omega) \subset L_{n,q}^2(\tilde{U}, \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad L_{n,q}^2(\tilde{U}, \pi^*L; \pi^*\omega, \pi^*h) \subset L_{n,q}^2(\tilde{U}, \pi^*L; \gamma, \pi^*h),$$

for any open subset $\tilde{U} \Subset \tilde{X}$. The pullback of forms under π gives the isometry

$$\pi^* : L_{p,q}^2(U_{reg}, L; \omega, h) \longrightarrow L_{p,q}^2(\pi^{-1}(U) \setminus E, \pi^*L; \pi^*\omega, \pi^*h) = L_{p,q}^2(\pi^{-1}(U), \pi^*L; \pi^*\omega, \pi^*h).$$

Hence, together with the above inclusions of L^2 -spaces, if $U \Subset X$ is a relatively compact open subset, then the following map induced by π^* is continuous:

$$\pi^* : L_{n,q}^2(U_{reg}, L; \omega, h) \longrightarrow L_{n,q}^2(\pi^{-1}(U), \pi^*L; \gamma, \pi^*h). \quad (*)$$

Proposition 6.1. *We have the isomorphism $\omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L) \cong \text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_X \subset \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,0}$.*

Proof. It follows from $(*)$ that π^* defines a morphism of L^2 -Dolbeault complexes

$$\pi^* : (\mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,*}, \bar{\partial}) \longrightarrow (\pi_*(\mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,*}), \pi_*\bar{\partial}),$$

as follows. Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset, and let $u \in \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,q}(U)$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,q+1}(U)$ be chosen such that $\bar{\partial}u = f$. By $(*)$, we have $\pi^*u \in \mathcal{L}_{n,q}^{2,loc}(\pi^{-1}(U), \pi^*L, \pi^*h)$ and $\pi^*f \in \mathcal{L}_{n,q+1}^{2,loc}(\pi^{-1}(U), \pi^*L, \pi^*h)$ with $\bar{\partial}\pi^*u = \pi^*f$ on $\pi^{-1}(U) \setminus E$. It follows from the $\bar{\partial}$ -extension lemma (see [Dem82, Lemma 6.9]) that $\bar{\partial}\pi^*u = \pi^*f$ on $\pi^{-1}(U)$. Hence, we obtain $\pi^*u \in \mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,q}(\pi^{-1}(U))$ and $\pi^*f \in \mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,q+1}(\pi^{-1}(U))$, and the above map π^* is in fact a morphism of L^2 -Dolbeault complexes. Including $\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_X := \text{Ker } (\bar{\partial}_X : \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,1}) \subset \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,0}$ and $\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}} := \text{Ker } (\bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}} : \mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,1}) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,0}$, we obtain the follows commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,0} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_X} & \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_X} & \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,2} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_X} & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}}) & \longrightarrow & \pi_*(\mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,0}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*\bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}}} & \pi_*(\mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,1}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*\bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}}} & \pi_*(\mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,2}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*\bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}}} & \cdots \end{array}$$

Here, it is already known that $\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}} \cong K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)$ (see [Dem12], [Wat25a, Theorem 5.3]), and together with the functoriality property [Dem12, Proposition 5.8] this implies $\pi_*(\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}}) = \pi_*(K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)) = \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)$; in particular, the desired isomorphism

$$\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_X \cong \pi_*(\text{Ker } \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}}) = \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)$$

is obtained. In fact, since the isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{n,0}^{2,loc}(L, h) \cong \pi_*(\mathcal{L}_{n,0}^{2,loc}(\pi^*L, \pi^*h))$ holds and the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation extends over the exceptional set (see [Dem82, Lemma 6.9]), the left vertical arrow in this commutative diagram is an isomorphism. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For any integer $p \geq 0$, the L^2 -Dolbeault complex $(\mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{p,*}, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}})$ on \tilde{X} is a fine resolution of $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\pi^*L) \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)$; that is, the sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\pi^*L) \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{p,*}$$

is exact (see [Wat25a, Theorem 5.3]). In particular, in the case $p = n$, the L^2 -Dolbeault complex $(\mathcal{L}_{\pi^*L, \pi^*h}^{n,*}, \bar{\partial}_{\tilde{X}})$ is also exact; hence, by using the Leray spectral sequence, the following higher direct image vanishing Theorem 6.2 implies that the lower line of the commutative diagram is a fine resolution of $\pi_*(K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)) = \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)$. Note that the direct image of a fine sheaf under π_* is again a fine sheaf. \square

We prove the following Takegoshi-type higher direct image vanishing theorem for singular Hermitian metrics, corresponding to [Tak85, Theorem I and Remark 2] in the case of a smooth Hermitian metric. Analogous results include [FM21, Theorem C] and [Mat22, Corollary 1.5], which are obtained from injectivity theorems; in any case, compactness and singular semi-positivity are required to deduce torsion-freeness via global arguments. Here, following Takegoshi, the proof is carried out by applying the Nadel vanishing theorem locally on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds, which allows compactness and singular semi-positivity to be avoided, and the strong openness property (see [GZ15]) is used to preserve the ideal sheaf.

Theorem 6.2 (= Theorem 1.3). *Let X be a complex space and $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . If any local weight function of h on X with respect to any trivialization is quasi-plurisubharmonicity, then we have the higher direct image vanishing*

$$R^q \pi_*(K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)) = 0$$

for any $q > 0$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $H^q(\pi^{-1}(U), K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)) = 0$ for any $q > 0$ and any sufficiently small Stein open neighborhood $U \subset X$. We may take the Stein open neighborhood U to admit a trivialization $\tau : L|_U \xrightarrow{\cong} U \times \mathbb{C}$ and a holomorphic embedding $\iota : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$. Let φ be a weight function of h with respect to a trivialization τ , and let (z_1, \dots, z_N) be the standard coordinates on \mathbb{C}^N . By the quasi-plurisubharmonicity of φ , the function $\varphi + C\iota^*|z|^2$ is strictly plurisubharmonic on U for some sufficiently large constant $C > 0$. Let V be a relatively compact neighborhood of U , i.e., $U \Subset V \subset X$, and set $\tilde{V} := \pi^{-1}(V)$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.2, by Lemma 4.3 and the strongly openness property (= Theorem 2.11), there exists a locally integrable function ψ on \tilde{V} which is strictly plurisubharmonic near $E \cap \tilde{V}$, and for a sufficiently small constant $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, the function $\pi^*(\varphi + C\iota^*|z|^2) + \varepsilon\psi$ is strictly plurisubharmonic on $\pi^{-1}(U)$, and $\mathcal{I}(\pi^*(\varphi + C\iota^*|z|^2) + \varepsilon\psi) = \mathcal{I}(\pi^*(\varphi + C\iota^*|z|^2)) = \mathcal{I}(\pi^*\varphi) = \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)$ on $\pi^{-1}(U)$. Here, $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is weakly pseudoconvex, and by the Negativity Lemma 2.2, there exists a positive line bundle on $\pi^{-1}(U)$, whose curvature provides a Kähler metric on $\pi^{-1}(U)$. Hence, by the Nadel vanishing theorem (see [Nad90]), the proof is complete. \square

Remark 6.3. *In Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, it suffices for $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ to be a composition of a resolution of singularities and finitely many local blow-ups, and the statements remain valid even if additional blow-ups of certain analytic subsets are composed. In particular, in the case where X is smooth, Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 also hold when $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is taken as the blow-up of some analytic subset.*

Proof. Theorem 1.3 follows in the same way if the Negativity Lemma 2.2, i.e., Lemma 3.5, can be applied; hence it suffices for $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ to be locally a composition of

finitely many blow-ups, with \tilde{X} smooth. Here, the functoriality properties

$$\omega_X^{GR}(h) = \pi_*(K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)) \quad \text{and} \quad K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}) = \mu_*(K_{\tilde{Y}} \otimes \mathcal{I}(\mu^*\tilde{h}))$$

hold for any resolution of singularities $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ and any proper modification $\mu : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ (see [Dem12, Proposition 5.8]), here \tilde{h} is a singular Hermitian metric on a line bundle over \tilde{X} . Consequently, Theorem 1.2 also remains valid if additional blow-ups of certain analytic subsets are composed. \square

Finally, we show that the upper line of the commutative diagram above is also exact; this is precisely the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let (M, ω) be Hermitian complex manifold, $E \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic vector bundle equipped with a singular Hermitian metric h . Let $H_{L^2}^{p,q}(M, E; \omega, h)_{max}$ (resp. $H_{L^2}^{p,q}(M, E; \omega, h)_{min}$) be the L^2 -Dolbeault cohomology on M with respect to the maximal closed extension $\bar{\partial}_{max}$ (resp. the minimal closed extension $\bar{\partial}_{min}$). Here, $\bar{\partial}_{max}$ is the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator in the sense of distributions on M , i.e., $\bar{\partial}$ or $\bar{\partial}_w$, and $\bar{\partial}_{min}$ is the minimal closed Hilbert space extension of the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on smooth forms with compact support, i.e., $\bar{\partial}_s$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Proposition 6.1, the Dolbeault complex $(\mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,*}, \bar{\partial})$ is exact in degree $q = 0$, and it is also known to be exact in degrees $q \geq 1$ when restricted to the regular locus X_{reg} (see [Wat25a, Theorem 5.3]). Hence, it suffices to show that it is exact in degrees $q \geq 1$ along the singular locus X_{sing} .

For any fixed singular point $x_0 \in X_{sing}$, take a relatively compact Stein open neighborhood U of x_0 which admits a holomorphic closed embedding $\iota_U : U \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ and a trivialization $\tau : L|_U \xrightarrow{\sim} U \times \mathbb{C}$. Here (z_1, \dots, z_N) denote the standard coordinates on \mathbb{C}^N , and taking $\psi := \iota_U^*|z|^2 = \iota_U^* \sum_{j=1}^N |z_j|^2$ as a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function on U , the space U carries a Kähler metric $\omega := i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi = \iota_U^* i\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2$. Let φ be a weight function of h with respect to trivialization τ , then φ is quasi-plurisubharmonic by the assumption. Since U is relatively compact and φ is quasi-plurisubharmonic, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $i\Theta_{L, h e^{-C\psi}} = i\Theta_{L,h} + C i\partial\bar{\partial}\psi = i\Theta_{L,h} + C\omega \geq 2\omega$ on U in the sense of currents, and we obtain $L_{p,q}^{2,loc}(U, L, h) = L_{p,q}^{2,loc}(U, L, h e^{-C\psi})$; in particular, $\mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{p,q}(U) = \mathcal{L}_{L, h e^{-C\psi}}^{p,q}(U)$. By L^2 -existence Theorem 1.4, for any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $f \in L_{n,q}^2(U, L; \omega, h e^{-C\psi}) = L_{n,q}^2(U, L; \omega, h)$, there exists a solution $u \in L_{n,q-1}^2(U, L; \omega, h e^{-C\psi})$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}u = f$ on U_{reg} and the L^2 -estimate $q\|u\|_{h e^{-C\psi}, \omega}^2 \leq \|f\|_{h e^{-C\psi}, \omega}^2 < +\infty$; in particular, $u \in L_{n,q-1}^2(U, L; \omega, h)$. Therefore, we obtain the L^2 -cohomology vanishing

$$H_{L^2}^{n,q}(U_{reg}, L; \omega, h)_{max} = 0$$

for any $q \geq 1$. This implies exactness in degrees $q \geq 1$, and the proof is complete. \square

7. NADEL VANISHING THEOREM ON WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX COMPLEX SPACES

In this section, we provide Nadel-type vanishing theorems on weakly pseudoconvex complex spaces, taking care to account for the existence of a Kähler metric.

7.1. Compact case. Note that in general $L_{p,q}^2(X, L; \omega, h) \subsetneq L_{p,q}^{2,loc}(X, L, h)$, and these spaces coincide when X is compact. Hence, the following immediately follows from Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 7.1. *Let X be a compact complex space of pure dimension n , $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be any resolution of singularities and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . If any local weight function of h on X is quasi-plurisubharmonic, then the pullback of forms under π induces a natural isomorphism*

$$\pi^* : H_{L^2}^{n,q}(X_{\text{reg}}, L; \omega, h)_{\max} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^q(\tilde{X}, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^* L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^* h)).$$

for any Hermitian metric ω on X and any $q \geq 0$, where we have

$$H_{L^2}^{n,q}(X_{\text{reg}}, L; \omega, h)_{\max} = H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)).$$

Here, it is known that, for a Hermitian manifold (M, ω) , the equality $\bar{\partial}_{\max} = \bar{\partial}_{\min}$ holds, i.e., the density of $\mathcal{D}_M^{*,*}$ in $\text{Dom } \bar{\partial}_{\max}$ with respect to the graph norm, if ω is complete (see [AV65], [Dem-book, Chapter VIII]), and that, if the complex space X is compact, then its regular locus X_{reg} admits a complete Hermitian metric (see [Dem82]).

Remark 7.2. *The Hermitian metric ω in Theorem 7.1 is required to be defined on X . Even though X_{reg} admits a complete Hermitian metric, it is not clear whether we can choose an appropriate ω on X such that the left-hand side of the isomorphism induced by π^* coincides with $H_{L^2}^{n,q}(X_{\text{reg}}, L; \omega, h)_{\min}$.*

Under the assumption of (relative) compactness, we prove that the vanishing of higher cohomology can be obtained without the existence of a Kähler metric.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a resolution of singularities, then \tilde{X} is projective and $\pi^* L$ is also big. By Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, there exist a singular Hermitian metric h on L and a singular Hermitian metric \tilde{h} on $\pi^* L$ such that $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \gamma$ on X_{reg} in the sense of currents for some Hermitian metric γ , the metric \tilde{h} is singular positive and $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}) = \mathcal{I}(\pi^* h)$ on \tilde{X} . Hence, the proof is completed by applying the Nadel vanishing theorem (see [Nad90]) together with Theorem 1.2 or 7.1.

In the case where X is smooth, instead of a resolution of singularities, we blow up the analytic subset corresponding to the singular locus of a single approximation h_ν obtained via a Demailly-type approximation Theorem (see [Wat24, Theorem 3.2]) for the singular positive Hermitian metric h on L . This yields a proper modification $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ given by a composition of finitely many blow-ups with smooth center, and \tilde{X} carries a positive line bundle (see [Wat24, Theorem 3.5]). Consequently, \tilde{X} is projective and admits a Kähler metric. By Theorem 4.2, there exists a singular Hermitian metric \tilde{h} on $\pi^* L$ which is singular positive and satisfies $\mathcal{I}(\tilde{h}) = \mathcal{I}(\pi^* h)$ on \tilde{X} . Hence, the proof is completed by applying the Nadel vanishing theorem (see [Nad90]) together with Theorem 1.2 and Remark 6.3. \square

Similarly to this proof, the following can be obtained by using Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 7.3. *Let (X, Ψ) be a weakly pseudoconvex complex space of pure dimension n and $c > \inf_X \Psi$ be arbitrary. Let $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . If h is singular positive on $X_{c+\tau}$ for some $\tau > 0$, then we have the following vanishing*

$$H^q(X_c, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$$

for any $q > 0$, without assuming the existence of a Kähler metric.

7.2. Non-compact case.

Theorem 7.4 (= Theorem 1.5). *Let X be a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler complex space of pure dimension n and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a singular Hermitian metric h . If h is singular positive, then we have the following vanishing*

$$H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$$

for any $q > 0$. Furthermore, if X is not necessarily Kähler, then we have only the 1-st cohomology vanishing $H^1(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$.

Proof. From Theorem 1.1, we obtain the L^2 -Dolbeault isomorphism

$$H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) \cong H^q(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,*}))$$

and we prove that the right-hand side vanishes. Let ω be a Kähler metric on X . By Proposition 3.1, there exists a positive smooth function $\varepsilon : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $i\Theta_{L,h} \geq \varepsilon\omega$ on X in the sense of currents. We arbitrarily take a convex increasing function $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. For any $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (n, q) -form $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,q})$, the integral

$$\int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 e^{-\chi \circ \Psi} dV_\omega$$

become convergent if χ grows fast enough. By the global L^2 -estimates Theorem 1.4, there exists $u \in L_{n,q-1}^2(X, L; \omega, h e^{-\chi \circ \Psi})$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}u = f$ on X_{reg} and

$$\int_X |u|_{h,\omega}^2 e^{-\chi \circ \Psi} dV_\omega \leq \int_X \frac{1}{\varepsilon} |f|_{h,\omega}^2 e^{-\chi \circ \Psi} dV_\omega < +\infty.$$

By the smoothness of $\chi \circ \Psi$, it follows that $u \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_{L,h}^{n,q-1})$, and hence the desired cohomology vanishing $H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$ is obtained.

The 1-st cohomology vanishing can similarly be obtained using Theorem 1.4. \square

Remark 7.5. *This theorem is mentioned in [Dem12, Remark 5.17] as being solvable; however, this is clearly nontrivial. In fact, the L^2 -Dolbeault fine resolution on complex spaces for singular Hermitian metrics, i.e., Theorem 1.1, is required, and even the corresponding result for smooth Hermitian metrics was obtained only later in [Rup14, Theorem 3.1]. Moreover, the strong openness property [GZ15] plays an essential role, and a refined Demailly's approximation [Wat24, Theorem 3.2] required for Theorem 4.4 is indispensable for obtaining global L^2 -estimates on X_{reg} , i.e., Theorem 1.4. In addition, [Dem12, Remark 5.17] states that if X is a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler complex space, then X_{reg} carries a complete Kähler metric; nevertheless, this is far from obvious and remains an open problem, i.e., Remark 5.5 and Conjecture 5.6.*

Remark 7.6. *Even if we attempt to reduce the problem to the known Nadel vanishing on a weakly pseudoconvex manifold \tilde{X} via Theorem 1.2, difficulties remain: it is unclear whether the pullback π^*L admits a singular positive Hermitian metric on the whole of \tilde{X} , and it is also unclear whether the required global L^2 -estimates can be obtained with a single fixed singular Hermitian metric.*

We immediately obtain the following Kodaira vanishing theorem on weakly pseudoconvex complex spaces. In the compact case, Kodaira vanishing is known to hold for the dualizing sheaf ω_X on projective semi log canonical varieties (see [Fuj14, Theorem 1.8]), and Corollary 7.7 may be viewed as a generalization to certain non-compact settings.

Corollary 7.7. *Let X be a weakly pseudoconvex complex space of pure dimension n and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle. If L is positive, then we have the following*

$$H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)) = 0$$

for any $q > 0$.

As a related result, in the (resp. relatively) compact case, there is Serre-type vanishing on each sublevel set (see [GPR94, Chapter V, Theorem 4.3], resp. [Fuj75, Theorem N’]).

Remark 7.8. *By Theorems 1.2 and 4.2, Theorem 7.3 yields a situation in which the following Conjecture 7.9 can be resolved.*

Conjecture 7.9 ([Wat25b, Conjecture 6.1]). *Let X be a weakly pseudoconvex manifold and $L \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic line bundle. If L is exhaustion singular-positive with an ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} on X , does the following cohomology vanish?*

$$H^q(X, K_X \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{I}) = 0$$

for any $q > 0$.

Indeed, in the situation of Theorem 7.3, let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a canonical desingularization. Then \tilde{X} is a weakly pseudoconvex manifold, and by Theorem 4.2 the pullback π^*L is exhaustion singular-positive with $\mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)$. Theorem 1.2 yields an isomorphism

$$H^q(\tilde{X}, K_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \pi^*L \otimes \mathcal{I}(\pi^*h)) \cong H^q(X, \omega_X^{GR}(h) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)),$$

and Theorem 7.3 implies that the cohomology group on the right-hand side vanishes.

Acknowledgement. The author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up #24K22837 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

REFERENCES

- [AS12] M. Andersson and H. Samuelsson, *A Dolbeault-Grothendieck lemma on complex spaces via Koppelman formulas*, Invent. Math. **190** (2012), 261–297.
- [AS95] U. Angehrn and Y.-T. Siu, *Effective freeness and point separation for adjoint bundles*, Invent. math. **122**, (1995), 291–308.
- [AV65] A. Andreotti and E. Vesentini, *Carleman estimates for the Laplace-Beltrami equation on complex manifolds*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **25** (1965), 81–130.
- [BP08] B. Berndtsson and M. Păun, *Bergman kernels and the pseudoeffectivity of relative canonical bundles*, Duke Math. J. **145** (2008), no. 2, 341–378.
- [Ber09] B. Berndtsson, *Curvature of vector bundles associated to holomorphic fibrations*, Ann. of Math. **169** (2009), no. 2, 531–560.
- [BM97] E. Bierstone and P.D. Milman, *Canonical desingularization in characteristic zero by blowing up the maximum strata of a local invariant*, Invent. Math. **128** (1997), no. 2, 207–302.
- [Dem82] J.-P. Demailly, *Estimations L^2 pour l’opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d’un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positif au dessus d’une variété Kählérienne complète*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **15**, (1982), 457–511.
- [Dem85] J.-P. Demailly, *Mesures de Monge-Ampère et caractérisation géométrique des variétés algébriques affines*, Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) (1985), no. 19, p. 124.
- [Dem90] J.-P. Demailly, *Singular Hermitian metrics on positive line bundles*, Proc. Conf. Complex algebraic varieties (Bayreuth, April 2–6, 1990), edited by K. Hulek, T. Peternell, M. Schneider, F. Schreyer, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1507, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [Dem12] J.-P. Demailly, *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Surveys of Modern Mathematics, vol. 1, International Press, Somerville, MA; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012.
- [Dem-book] J.-P. Demailly, *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>.

- [DNWZ23] F. Deng, J. Ning, Z. Wang and X. Zhou, *Positivity of holomorphic vector bundles in terms of L^p -estimates for $\bar{\partial}$* , Math. Ann. **385** (2023), 575–607.
- [Die96] K. Diederich, *Some aspects of the Levi problem: recent developments*, in Geometric Complex Analysis, Proc. 3rd IRIMSJ Conf., World Scientific, 1996, 163–181.
- [FN80] J.E. Fornæss and R. Narasimhan, *The Levi problem on complex spaces with singularities*, Math. Ann. **248** (1980), 47–72.
- [Fuj75] A. Fujiki, *On the Blowing Down of Analytic Spaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **10** (1974), no. 2, pp. 473–507.
- [Fuj14] O. Fujino, *Fundamental theorems for semi log canonical pairs*, Algebr. Geom. **1** (2014), no. 2, 194–228.
- [FM21] O. Fujino and S. Matsumura, *Injectivity theorem for pseudo-effective line bundles and its applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Ser. B. **8** (2021), 849–884.
- [GPR94] H. Grauert, T. Peternell and R. Remmert, editors. *Several Complex Variables VII, Sheaf-Theoretical Methods in Complex Analysis*, volume 74 of Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [GR56] H. Grauert and R. Remmert, *Plurisubharmonische Funktionen in komplexen Räumen*, Math. Z. **65** (1956), 175–194.
- [GR70] H. Grauert and O. Riemenschneider, *Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Räumen*, Invent. Math. **11** (1970), 263–292.
- [GZ15] Q. Guan and X. Zhou, *A Proof of Demailly’s Strong Openness Conjecture*, Annals of Mathematics, **182** (2015), pp. 605–616.
- [HPS18] C. Hacon, M. Popa and C. Schnell, *Algebraic fiber spaces over abelian varieties: around a recent theorem by Cao and Păun*, Local and global methods in algebraic geometry, 143–195, Contemp. Math., **712**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [Hir64] H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **79** (1964), 109–203; II, 205–326.
- [Hör65] L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator*, Acta Math. **113** (1965), 89–152.
- [Hör90] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, Third edition, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 7. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, (1990).
- [IMW26] T. Inayama, S. Matsumura and Y. Watanabe, *Singular Nakano positivity of direct image sheaves of adjoint bundles*, accepted for publication in Anal. PDE.
- [Kaw24] Y. Kawamata, *Algebraic Varieties: Minimal Models and Finite Generation*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2024.
- [Kaz73] H. Kazama, *On pseudoconvexity of complex abelian Lie groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **25** (1973), 329–333.
- [Kod54] K. Kodaira, *On Kähler varieties of restricted type (an intrinsic characterization of algebraic varieties)*, Ann. of Math. **60** (1954), 28–48.
- [Li24] Z. Li, *Nadel-type multiplier ideal sheaves on complex spaces with singularities*, Sci China Math. **67** (2024), no. 5, 951–974.
- [Mat22] S. Matsumura, *Injectivity theorems with multiplier ideal sheaves for higher direct images under Kähler morphisms*, Algebr. Geom. **9** (2022), no. 2, 122–158.
- [Moi66] B. Moishezon, *On n -dimensional compact varieties with n algebraically independant meromorphic functions. I, II, III*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **30** (1966), 133–174, 345–386, 621–656.
- [Moi75] B. Moishezon, *Singular Kählerian spaces*, in Manifolds-Tokyo 1973 edited by A. Hattori, (1975), 343–351.
- [Nad90] A. M. Nadel, *Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature*, Ann. Math. (2) **132** (1990), no. 3, p. 549–596.
- [Nam02] Y. Namikawa, *Projectivity criterion of Moishezon spaces and density of projective symplectic varieties*, Internat. J. Math., **13** (2002), no. 2, 125–135.
- [OT87] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, *On the Extension of L^2 Holomorphic Functions*, Math. Z. **195**, (1987), 197–204.
- [PT18] M. Păun and S. Takayama, *Positivity of twisted relative pluricanonical bundles and their direct images*, J. Algebraic Geom. **27**, (2018), 211–272.

- [Rup14] J. Ruppenthal, *L^2 -theory for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator on compact complex spaces*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 15, 2887-2934.
- [Tak98] S. Takayama, *Adjoint linear series on weakly 1-complete Kähler manifolds I: global projective embedding*, Math. Ann. **311** (1998), no. 3, 501-531.
- [Tak85] K. Takegoshi, *Relative vanishing theorems in analytic spaces*, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 273-279.
- [Wat24] Y. Watanabe, *Bigness of adjoint linear subsystem and approximation theorems with ideal sheaves on weakly pseudoconvex manifolds*, arXiv:2412.02007v2.
- [Wat25a] Y. Watanabe, *Nakano-Nadel type, Bogomolov-Sommese type vanishing and singular dual Nakano semi-positivity*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **34**(2), 339-394 (2025).
- [Wat25b] Y. Watanabe, *Global embeddings of weakly pseudoconvex complex spaces and refined approximation theorems*, arXiv:2512.03572v2.
- [Wat26] Y. Watanabe, *L^2 -Dolbeault isomorphisms and vanishing theorems for logarithmic sheaves twisted by multiplier ideal sheaves*, Math. Z. **312** (2026), Paper No. 40, 28pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, CHUO UNIVERSITY.
1-13-27 KASUGA, BUNKYO-KU, TOKYO 112-8551, JAPAN
Email address: wyuta.math@gmail.com, wyuta@math.chuo-u.ac.jp