

Linear perturbations of an exact gravitational wave in the Bianchi IV universe

Konstantin E. Osetrin^{1,2,3}

¹Tomsk State Pedagogical University,
634061, Tomsk, Kievskaya str.60, Russia

²Tomsk State University of Control Systems and Radioelectronics,
634050, Tomsk, Lenin str.40, Russia

³National Research Tomsk State University,
634050, Tomsk, Lenin str.36, Russia

February 4, 2026

Abstract

The proper-time method for constructing perturbative dynamical gravitational fields is presented. Using the proper-time method, a perturbative analytical model of gravitational waves against the backdrop of an exact wave solution of Einstein's equations in a Bianchi IV universe is constructed. To construct the perturbative analytical wave model a privileged wave coordinate system and a synchronous time function associated with the proper time of an observer freely moving in a gravitational wave were used. Reduction of the field equations, taking into account compatibility conditions, reduces the mathematical model of gravitational waves to a system of coupled ordinary differential equations for functions of the wave variable. Analytical solutions for the components of the gravitational-wave metric have been found. The stability of the resulting perturbative solutions is proven. The stability of the exact solution for a gravitational wave in the anisotropic Bianchi IV universe is demonstrated.

Keywords: exact gravitational waves, perturbative gravitational waves, proper time method, geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation, Bianchi universes.

MSC 2020: 83C35, 83C25.

1 Introduction

The emergence of gravitational-wave astronomy ten years ago in 2015 [1–4] opened up a new channel, beyond electromagnetic radiation, for obtaining information about the universe, astrophysical processes, and objects. Obtaining information about nature from this new, additional channel of information dramatically expanded the possibilities of observations and theoretical research in astronomy, astrophysics, and cosmology.

Current observational data from ground-based and satellite observatories and their interpretation have posed a number of complex questions for researchers in these fields: modeling the early stages of the universe's dynamics; adequately describing the accelerated formation of galaxies, stars, and black holes; interpreting observational data of the electromagnetic microwave background (EMCB), including its anisotropy [5]; investigating the stochastic gravitational wave background (GWB) of the universe [6, 7], and investigating the phenomena of "dark energy" and "dark matter", among other topics. Research in these areas has reached the cutting edge of modern physical science. The role of gravitational waves in this research has greatly increased.

Gravitational waves in the early stages of the universe's dynamics [8–10] could have played a significant role in the accelerated formation of inhomogeneities in the primordial plasma, dark matter, and matter, and in the formation of galaxies, stars, and black holes. Gravitational waves could have had a significant impact on the formation of the microwave electromagnetic background [11] and its observed anisotropy. Detection of gravitational waves and theoretical interpretation of observational data from ground-based detectors, observation of the stochastic gravitational wave background [12] by both direct and indirect methods using the Pulsar Timing Array [13–15] can provide information about many astrophysical processes. Projects for satellite gravitational wave detectors and the development of indirect methods for observing low-frequency gravitational waves are underway.

However, the theoretical basis for gravitational wave research is less well developed than that for electromagnetic radiation. The models and significance of gravitational waves in the early stages of the universe's development are poorly understood. Methods for detecting low-frequency gravitational waves, which are important for studying the early stages of the universe and the dynamics of astrophysical processes, are in their infancy.

The complexity and nonlinearity of the field equations of gravity lead to difficulties in constructing both exact and perturbative solutions for complex gravitational-wave models and in interpreting them. Nevertheless, a number of exact gravitational-wave solutions have been constructed and studied [16, 17], including in our papers [18–21]. Perturbative and numerical research methods continue to develop rapidly [22–28].

Detection of a gravitational wave and electromagnetic signal from the merger of two neutron stars in 2017 [2–4] showed with high accuracy that the speed of gravitational waves is equal to the speed of light, which has imposed restrictions on a number of theoretical models and methods used to describe gravitational waves. The use of coordinate systems with wave variables along which the spacetime interval vanishes to describe gravitational waves in theoretical models has thus received experimental confirmation.

Theoretical studies that simultaneously incorporate both electromagnetic and gravitational fields play a significant role [29–34] as the basis for mutually complementary and mutually controlling sources of information on astrophysical processes from different observation channels. Work on modified gravity theories, which claim to adequately describe both the early universe and the phenomena of "dark energy" and "dark matter", is also of particular interest [35–39]. The selection of realistic theories can use gravitational wave models and observational data to evaluate them.

The discovery of anisotropy in the microwave electromagnetic background of the universe [5] has prompted a number of studies to interpret this fact [40–42]. A number of researchers have justifiably criticized the proposed kinematic interpretation of the observed anisotropy of the electromagnetic background [43, 44]. Given the anisotropy of the observed microwave electromagnetic background, anisotropic spacetime models [45–47] in the early stages of the universe's dynamics, including Bianchi universe models, are of interest, as are possible processes of their further isotropization, given the current state of the universe. Deviations of spacetime from isotropy can significantly influence current estimates of observational data and their interpretation [48]. Gravitational waves could also have a significant influence here.

Perturbative secondary gravitational waves, as perturbations of strong gravitational waves in the early universe, can play a significant role in the accelerated formation of inhomogeneities in primordial plasma, dark matter, and matter, in the formation of the stochastic gravitational wave background of the universe, and in the isotropization processes of the universe during its dynamics.

This paper constructs one of the first analytical models of perturbative gravitational waves in the strong gravitational wave background of the Bianchi IV universe. The underlying strong gravitational wave background is an exact solution to Einstein's vacuum equations.

2 The proper-time method

When constructing both exact and perturbative models of dynamic gravitational fields (gravitational wave) against the backdrop of exact solutions of field gravitational equations, privileged wave coordinate systems with null variables, along which the spacetime interval vanishes, are often used. On the other hand, the dynamic nature of the gravitational field in the models under consideration implies the possibility of an explicit dependence of the metric on the time variable. Therefore, in our proposed method for constructing perturbative dynamic models, we assume that the functions of perturbative corrections to the base (background) metric depend on both the wave variable and time. As a function of time, we propose using the proper time of free particles on geodesics of the base (background) spacetime. Therefore, we call this method "the proper time method". In this paper, this approach is successfully tested using the example of an exact wave solution and a perturbative wave solution for a Bianchi IV universe.

The construction of the time function τ as a function of the variables of the used coordinate system can be based on the exact or perturbative solution of the geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation for the test particle action function in the background spacetime with metric $g^{\alpha\beta}$:

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial x^\beta} = 1. \quad (1)$$

Constructing the complete integral of the geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1) allows us to find the time function on the geodesics of the base spacetime and makes it possible to transition (if necessary) to a synchronous reference frame (SRF) associated with a freely moving observer [49]. The observer's proper time τ can be chosen as the uniform time of the new synchronous reference frame, and the spatial variables can be chosen such that the observer in the new SRF is at rest.

One of the known methods for constructing the complete integral of the geodesic Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1) is the method of complete separation of variables in privileged coordinate systems, which has been developed for this equation by many authors, beginning with work by Paul Stäckel [50] and finally developed in the works of Vladimir Shapovalov [51–53].

3 Exact model of gravitational waves in the Bianchi IV universe

In a privileged wave coordinate system with a wave variable x^0 along which the spacetime interval vanishes, the wave metric for a Bianchi space of type IV can be written in the following form [45, 54]:

$$ds^2 = 2 dx^0 dx^1 - \frac{(x^0)^{2\omega}}{\alpha\gamma - \beta^2} \left[(\alpha \log^2(x^0) + 2\beta \log(x^0) + \gamma) (dx^2)^2 - 2(\alpha \log(x^0) + \beta) dx^2 dx^3 + \alpha (dx^3)^2 \right], \quad (2)$$

$$\det g_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{(x^0)^{4\omega}}{\alpha\gamma - \beta^2}, \quad 0 < x^0, \quad \beta^2 < \alpha\gamma, \quad (3)$$

where the constants α , β , γ , and ω are the parameters of the wave gravity model in Bianchi space of type IV.

The spacetime admits a covariantly constant vector K^α , i.e., it is plane-wave spacetime:

$$\nabla_\alpha K_\beta = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad K_\alpha = (K_0, 0, 0, 0), \quad K_0 = \text{const} \quad (4)$$

The homogeneity of this space is determined by the system of Killing vectors $X_{(1)}$, $X_{(2)}$, and $X_{(3)}$, which in the wave coordinate system used can be chosen in the following form:

$$X_{(1)}^\alpha = (0, 0, 1, 0), \quad X_{(2)}^\alpha = (0, 0, 0, 1), \quad X_{(3)}^\alpha = (-x^0, x^1, \omega x^2, \omega x^3 - x^2). \quad (5)$$

The commutation relations for the Killing vectors have the following form, defining a Bianchi space of type IV:

$$[X_{(1)}, X_{(2)}] = 0, \quad [X_{(1)}, X_{(3)}] = \omega X_{(1)} - X_{(2)}, \quad [X_{(2)}, X_{(3)}] = \omega X_{(2)}. \quad (6)$$

The wave spacetime under consideration has three independent non-zero components of the Riemann curvature tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{0202} &= \frac{(x^0)^{2\omega-2}}{4(\beta^2 - \alpha\gamma)^2} \left[-\alpha(\alpha^2 - 4\alpha\gamma(\omega - 1)\omega + 4\beta^2(\omega - 1)\omega) \log^2(x^0) \right. \\ &\quad - 2(\alpha^2(\beta - 4\gamma\omega + 2\gamma) + 2\alpha\beta(\beta(2\omega - 1) - 2\gamma(\omega - 1)\omega) + 4\beta^3(\omega - 1)\omega) \log(x^0) \\ &\quad \left. - 4\alpha(\beta^2 + \beta(\gamma - 2\gamma\omega) - \gamma^2(\omega - 1)\omega) + 4\beta^2(-2\beta\omega + \beta - \gamma(\omega - 1)\omega) + 3\alpha^2\gamma \right], \\ R_{0302} &= \frac{(x^0)^{2\omega-2}}{4(\beta^2 - \alpha\gamma)^2} \left[\alpha(\alpha^2 - 4\alpha\gamma(\omega - 1)\omega + 4\beta^2(\omega - 1)\omega) \log(x^0) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha^2(\beta - 4\gamma\omega + 2\gamma) + 2\alpha\beta(\beta(2\omega - 1) - 2\gamma(\omega - 1)\omega) + 4\beta^3(\omega - 1)\omega \right], \\ R_{0303} &= - \frac{\alpha(\alpha^2 - 4\alpha\gamma(\omega - 1)\omega + 4\beta^2(\omega - 1)\omega) (x^0)^{2\omega-2}}{4(\beta^2 - \alpha\gamma)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Einstein's vacuum equations for the metric (2) provide an additional condition relating the model parameters:

$$\alpha^2 - 4\omega(\omega - 1)(\beta^2 + \alpha\gamma) = 0. \quad (7)$$

The Weyl conformal curvature tensor for the model under consideration, given admissible parameter values, cannot vanish, and the model cannot degenerate into a conformally flat spacetime.

In the paper [54] for this exact wave model, solutions were found for the equations of motion of test particles and, thanks to this, a law of transformation from a privileged wave coordinate system to a synchronous reference system was found, where an observer freely moving in a gravitational wave is at rest, and the time according to the clock of this observer is used as the unified time of the new synchronous reference system [49].

4 Linear perturbations of an exact gravitational wave

The background strong gravitational wave metric in a Bianchi IV universe, which is an exact solution to Einstein's vacuum equations, can be represented in a privileged wave coordinate system as follows [54, 55]:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2 dx^0 dx^1 + (x^0)^{2\omega} \left(\frac{1}{4\omega(\omega - 1)} - (\mu + \log(x^0))^2 \right) (dx^2)^2 \\ &\quad + 2 (x^0)^{2\omega} (\mu + \log(x^0)) dx^2 dx^3 - (x^0)^{2\omega} (dx^3)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$0 < x^0, \quad 0 < \omega < 1. \quad (9)$$

Where μ and ω are independent parameters of the background gravitational wave, and x^0 is the wave variable along which the spacetime interval vanishes.

We will seek the perturbative (secondary) gravitational wave metric in a wave coordinate system with the wave variable x^0 , which determines the background field metric of the strong gravitational wave. The spacetime interval along the wave variable vanishes. In the wave coordinate system, we have $g_{00} = g_{11} = 0$.

In accordance with the perturbative approach and using the proper-time method, we seek the perturbative gravitational wave metric in the form:

$$g^{\alpha\beta} = g_B^{\alpha\beta} + \epsilon \Omega^{\alpha\beta}(x^0, \tau), \quad (10)$$

where $g_B^{\alpha\beta}$ is the background field metric (8), ϵ is the dimensionless smallness parameter ($\epsilon \ll 1$), τ is the synchronous time according to the clock of an observer freely moving against the background of the basic exact wave solution of the gravitational field equations.

The background exact gravitational wave model was constructed in [54]. The synchronous time function $\tau = \tau(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3)$ for the case under consideration can be represented in the used wave coordinate system in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^2 = & \frac{(2\omega - 1)(x^2)^2(x^0)^{2\omega}}{4\omega(\omega - 1)} \left[4(1 - 2\omega)^2(\omega - 1)\omega \log^2(x^0) \right. \\ & - 4(\mu - 1)^2\omega - 1 + 8\omega(2\omega^2 - 3\omega + 1)(\mu(2\omega - 1) + 1)\log(x^0) \\ & + 16\mu^2\omega^4 + 16(1 - 2\mu)\mu\omega^3 + 4(5\mu^2 - 6\mu + 1)\omega^2 \Big] \\ & - 2(2\omega - 1)^2 x^2 x^3 (x^0)^{2\omega} \left(\mu(2\omega - 1) + 1 + (2\omega - 1)\log(x^0) \right) \\ & + (2\omega - 1)^3 (x^3)^2 (x^0)^{2\omega} + 2x^0 x^1. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Linearization of Einstein's vacuum equations for the metric (10) yields very cumbersome equations, which we will not write out. A study of the compatibility of these field equations with respect to the variables x^2 and x^3 , on which the synchronous time function τ depends, after rather cumbersome but obvious calculations, leads to the following structure of the dependence of the components of the perturbative (secondary) gravitational wave metric on the time τ and the wave variable x^0 :

$$\Omega^{00} = \Omega^{11} = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$\Omega^{01} = A_{01}(x^0), \quad \Omega^{02} = A_{02}(x^0), \quad \Omega^{03} = A_{03}(x^0), \quad (13)$$

$$\Omega^{12} = B_{12}(x^0)\tau^2 + A_{12}(x^0), \quad \Omega^{13} = B_{13}(x^0)\tau^2 + A_{13}(x^0), \quad (14)$$

$$\Omega^{22} = A_{22}(x^0), \quad \Omega^{23} = A_{23}(x^0), \quad \Omega^{33} = A_{33}(x^0). \quad (15)$$

Since Ω^{01} is included in g_{01} and depends only on x^0 , then by transforming the wave variable x^0 , we can convert g_{01} to unity and $A_{01}(x^0)$ to zero. The functions $A_{12}(x^0)$ and $A_{13}(x^0)$ are included in the components of the metric g_{02} and g_{03} only additively and only as functions of x^0 and therefore can be set equal to zero by coordinate transformations.

The compatibility conditions for the linearized field equations contain an autonomous subsystem of two coupled first-order differential equations for the functions $B_{12}(x^0)$ and $B_{13}(x^0)$, which can be reduced to the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} B'_{12}(x^0) = & \frac{4\omega}{x^0} \left(B_{12}(x^0) \left((4\omega^3 - 8\omega^2 + 6\omega - 2) \log(x^0) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \mu(4\omega^3 - 8\omega^2 + 6\omega - 2) + \omega(2\omega - 3) \right) \\ & \left. + 2(1 - 2\omega^3 + 4\omega^2 - 3\omega) B_{13}(x^0) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B'_{13}(x^0) = & \frac{4\omega}{x^0} \left(B_{13}(x^0) \left((4\omega^3 - 8\omega^2 + 6\omega - 2) \log(x^0) \right. \right. \\ & \left. + \mu(4\omega^3 - 8\omega^2 + 6\omega - 2) + \omega(2\omega - 3) \right) \\ & \left. + 2(1 - 2\omega^3 + 4\omega^2 - 3\omega) B_{12}(x^0) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$




Figure 1: $\beta_1(\omega)$ (dark blue line) and $\beta_2(\omega)$ (light yellow line).

From here on, the superscript denotes the derivative with respect to the variable on which the function depends.

From the system of equations (16)–(17), independent equations can be obtained by increasing the order of the equations. Thus, from equation (16), we can express the function $B_{13}(x^0)$ in terms of the function B_{12} (and its derivative):

$$B_{13} = \frac{4\omega B_{12} \left(2(\omega - 1)(2\omega(\omega - 1) + 1) (\mu + \log(x^0)) + \omega(2\omega - 3) \right) - x^0 B'_{12}}{8\omega (2\omega^3 - 4\omega^2 + 3\omega - 1)}. \quad (18)$$

Then, from equation (17) using relation (18), we obtain a second-order differential equation for the function $B_{12}(x^0)$:

$$B''_{12} = - \frac{(8\omega + 1)x^0 B'_{12} + 8\omega (4\omega^3 - 8\omega^2 + 7\omega - 1) B_{12}}{(x^0)^2}. \quad (19)$$

The solution to equation (19) is as follows:

$$B_{12} = b_1 (x^0)^{\beta_1} + b_2 (x^0)^{\beta_2}. \quad (20)$$

Here b_1 and b_2 are constants of integration, and the parameters β_1 and β_2 are solutions of a quadratic equation of the following form:

$$\beta^2 + 8\omega\beta + 8\omega (4\omega^3 - 8\omega^2 + 7\omega - 1) = 0. \quad (21)$$

The parameters β_1 and β_2 are determined through the parameter ω of the gravitational wave:

$$\beta_1 = -4 \left(\omega + \sqrt{2\omega(1 - \omega)(\omega - 1/2)^2} \right), \quad (22)$$

$$\beta_2 = -4 \left(\omega - \sqrt{2\omega(1 - \omega)(\omega - 1/2)^2} \right). \quad (23)$$

The values of parameters β_1 and β_2 for all allowed values of the gravitational wave parameter ω are shown in figure 1.

Using the solution for $B_{12}(x^0)$, we obtain the function $B_{13}(x^0)$ from equation (18):

$$B_{13}(x^0) = \frac{b_1 \beta_1 (x^0)^{\beta_1} + b_2 \beta_2 (x^0)^{\beta_2}}{8\omega(1 - \omega) (2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1)} - \omega(3 - 2\omega) (\mu + \log(x^0)) B_{12}(x^0). \quad (24)$$

As shown in paper [54], along geodesics the wave variable x^0 is proportional to the synchronous time τ . Then the correction functions $\Omega^{12} = \tau^2 B_{12}$ and $\Omega^{13} = \tau^2 B_{13}$ will be limited in time when $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < -2$ for the following region of parameter ω :

$$1/2 < \omega < 1. \quad (25)$$

Since in the admissible region of parameter ω we have the relation $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < -2$, then at large times τ we have the following behavior

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \Omega^{12} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tau^2 B_{12} \rightarrow 0, \quad \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \Omega^{13} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \tau^2 B_{13} \rightarrow 0. \quad (26)$$

From the compatibility conditions of the field equations, we additionally obtain a coupled system of two second-order differential equations. For the function $A_{02}(x^0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} A''_{02} = & \frac{2}{x^0} \left(A'_{02} \left(2\mu\omega^2 - 2\mu\omega - 2\omega + 2\omega(\omega - 1) \log(x^0) \right) \right. \\ & + 2\omega(1 - \omega)A'_{03} + (x^0)^2 B'_{12}(x^0) \\ & - 2x^0 B_{12} \left(4\omega(2\omega^3 - 4\omega^2 + 3\omega - 1) \log(x^0) \right. \\ & + 8\mu\omega^4 + (4 - 16\mu)\omega^3 + 6(2\mu - 1)\omega^2 - 4\mu\omega + \omega - 1 \Big) \\ & \left. + 8\omega(2\omega^3 - 4\omega^2 + 3\omega - 1)x^0 B_{13} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and for the function $A_{03}(x^0)$ we have the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} A''_{03} = & \frac{1}{x^0} \left(A'_{02} \left(4\mu^2\omega(\omega - 1) + 1 + 8\mu\omega(\omega - 1) \log(x^0) + 4\omega(\omega - 1) \log^2(x^0) \right) \right. \\ & + A'_{03} \left(4\mu\omega - 4\mu\omega^2 - 4\omega^2 \log(x^0) - 4\omega + 4\omega \log(x^0) \right) \\ & + 2(x^0)^2 B'_{13} + 4x^0 B_{13} \left(4\omega(2\omega^3 - 4\omega^2 + 3\omega - 1) \log(x^0) \right. \\ & + 8\mu\omega^4 + (4 - 16\mu)\omega^3 + 6(2\mu - 1)\omega^2 + (3 - 4\mu)\omega + 1 \Big) \\ & - 4x^0 B_{12} \left(4\omega(2\omega^3 - 4\omega^2 + 3\omega - 1) \log^2(x^0) \right. \\ & + 4\omega(\omega - 1) (\mu(4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 2) + 2\omega - 1) \log(x^0) \\ & \left. \left. + 8\mu^2\omega^4 + 2\omega^2(6\mu^2 - 6\mu + 1) + \omega(-4\mu^2 + 4\mu - 2) + 8\omega^3\mu(1 - 2\mu) + 1 \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Expressing the derivative of A'_{03} from equation (27), taking into account the form of the previously found derivatives for the functions B'_{12} and B'_{13} , we obtain:

$$A'_{03} = \frac{x^0 A''_{02} + 4\omega A'_{02} ((1 - \omega)(\mu + \log(x^0)) + 1)}{4\omega(1 - \omega)} + \frac{x^0 B_{12}(x^0)}{\omega}. \quad (29)$$

This equation determines the first derivative of function A_{03} with respect to functions A_{02} and B_{12} , which are assumed to be given.

Substituting the derivative A'_{03} from eq. (29) to eq. (28) we obtain a third-order differential

equation for determining the function $A_{02}(x^0)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{02}''' = & -\frac{(8\omega + 1)A_{02}''(x^0)}{x^0} - \frac{16\omega^2 A_{02}'(x^0)}{(x^0)^2} \\
& + \frac{4(1 - \omega)B_{12}(x^0)}{x^0} \left(4\omega (4\omega^3 - 8\omega^2 + 7\omega - 3) \log(x^0) \right. \\
& + 16\mu\omega^4 + (8 - 32\mu)\omega^3 + 4(7\mu - 3)\omega^2 + (4 - 12\mu)\omega + 1 \Big) \\
& + \frac{16\omega (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) (\omega - 1)^2 B_{13}(x^0)}{x^0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

We obtain a third-order linear inhomogeneous differential equation with variable coefficients, where the inhomogeneous part is defined by functions B_{12} and B_{13} from eq. (20) and eq. (24).

Integrating equation (30) taking into account the explicit form of the previously found functions $B_{12}(x^0)$ and $B_{13}(x^0)$, we obtain a solution in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{02}(x^0) = & \frac{a_1 (x^0)^{1-4\omega}}{1 - 4\omega} - \frac{4a_2\omega (x^0)^{1-4\omega}}{(1 - 4\omega)^2} + \frac{4a_2\omega (x^0)^{1-4\omega} \log(x^0)}{1 - 4\omega} + a_3 \\
& - \frac{2b_1(\omega - 1) (\beta_1 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_1 + 2) (2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1) (\beta_1 + 4\omega + 1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_1+2} \\
& - \frac{2b_2(\omega - 1) (\beta_2 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_2 + 2) (2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1) (\beta_2 + 4\omega + 1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_2+2},
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 are new constants of integration. It is easy to see that for region $1/2 < \omega < 1$ ($\beta_1 < \beta_2 < -2$), the function A_{02} is a bounded function of time.

The solution for $A_{02}(x^0)$ allows us to obtain the function $A_{03}(x^0)$ from equation (29):

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{03}(x^0) = & a_4 - \frac{1}{2(\omega - 1)\omega} \left[\frac{8a_2\omega^2 (x^0)^{1-4\omega}}{(1 - 4\omega)^2} + \frac{8a_2\omega^2 (x^0)^{1-4\omega} \log(x^0)}{4\omega - 1} \right. \\
& + \frac{2\omega (x^0)^{1-4\omega}}{(4\omega - 1)^3} \left(4a_2\omega(1 - 4\omega)^2(\omega - 1) \log^2(x^0) \right. \\
& + (4\omega - 1) \left(a_1 (4\omega^2 - 5\omega + 1) + 4a_2\omega (4\mu\omega^2 - 5\mu\omega + \mu - 2\omega - 1) \right) \log(x^0) \\
& + a_1(4\omega - 1) \left(4\mu\omega^2 - 5\mu\omega + \mu - 3\omega \right) + 4a_2\omega \left(4\mu\omega^2 - 5\mu\omega + \mu - 2\omega - 1 \right) \Big) \\
& + \frac{2a_1\omega (x^0)^{1-4\omega}}{4\omega - 1} + \frac{2a_2\omega (x^0)^{1-4\omega}}{1 - 4\omega} + \frac{2b_1(\omega - 1) (x^0)^{\beta_1+2}}{\beta_1 + 2} + \frac{2b_2(\omega - 1) (x^0)^{\beta_2+2}}{\beta_2 + 2} \\
& + \frac{4b_1(\omega - 1)\omega (\beta_1 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_1 + 2)^2 (2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1) (\beta_1 + 4\omega + 1)^2} \times \\
& \times \left(\beta_1(\mu(\omega - 1) - 1) + 2\mu\omega - 2\mu - \omega - 1 + (\beta_1 + 2)(\omega - 1) \log(x^0) \right) (x^0)^{\beta_1+2} \\
& + \frac{4b_2(\omega - 1)\omega (\beta_2 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_2 + 2)^2 (2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1) (\beta_2 + 4\omega + 1)^2} \times \\
& \times \left(\beta_2(\mu(\omega - 1) - 1) + 2\mu\omega - 2\mu - \omega - 1 + (\beta_2 + 2)(\omega - 1) \log(x^0) \right) (x^0)^{\beta_2+2} \\
& - \frac{b_1(\beta_1 + 1)(\omega - 1) (\eta_1 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_1 + 2) (2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1) (\beta_1 + 4\omega + 1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_1+2} \\
& \left. - \frac{b_2(\beta_2 + 1)(\omega - 1) (\beta_2 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_2 + 2) (2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1) (\beta_2 + 4\omega + 1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_2+2} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

It is easy to see that for the region of $1/2 < \omega < 1$, where $\beta_1 < \beta_2 < -2$, the function A_{03} is also time-bounded.

From the system of field equations, only one equation remains, connecting the three remaining undefined functions $A_{22}(x^0)$, $A_{23}(x^0)$ and $A_{33}(x^0)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x^0)^{2\omega+2} A_{22}'' \left(4\mu^2(1-\omega)\omega + 1 + 8\mu(1-\omega)\omega \log(x^0) + 4(1-\omega)\omega \log^2(x^0) \right) \\
& + 6\omega (x^0)^{2\omega+1} A_{22}' \left(\mu^2(1-\omega)\omega + 4\mu(1-\omega) + 1 \right. \\
& \left. + 4(1-\omega)(2\mu\omega + 1) \log(x^0) + 4(1-\omega)\omega \log^2(x^0) \right) \\
& - 2\omega (x^0)^{2\omega} A_{22} \left(4\mu^2\omega (2\omega^2 - \omega - 1) + 4\mu (8\omega^2 - 9\omega + 1) + 2\omega - 5 \right. \\
& \left. + 4(\omega - 1) (4\mu\omega^2 + 2(\mu + 4)\omega - 1) \log(x^0) + 4\omega (2\omega^2 - \omega - 1) \log^2(x^0) \right) \\
& + 8(\omega - 1)\omega (x^0)^{2\omega+2} A_{23}'' (\mu + \log(x^0)) \\
& + 24(\omega - 1)\omega (x^0)^{2\omega+1} A_{23}' (2\mu\omega + 1 + 2\omega \log(x^0)) \\
& + 8(\omega - 1)\omega A_{23} \left(4\mu\omega^2 + 2(\mu + 4)\omega - 1 + 2(2\omega + 1)\omega \log(x^0) \right) (x^0)^{2\omega} \\
& + 4(1-\omega)\omega (x^0)^{2\omega+2} A_{33}'' + 24(1-\omega)\omega^2 (x^0)^{2\omega+1} A_{33}' \\
& - 8\omega^2 (2\omega^2 - \omega - 1) (x^0)^{2\omega} A_{33} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Thus, the solution for the gravitational wave metric contains two arbitrary functions from the three functions: $A_{22}(x^0)$, $A_{23}(x^0)$, and $A_{33}(x^0)$. Note that for any parameter ω , we have a trivial particular solution $A_{22} = A_{23} = A_{33} = 0$.

Let us give an example of a nonzero time-limited solution for eq. (33) of the following form:

$$A_{22} = A_{23} = 0, \tag{34}$$

$$A_{33}(x^0) = c_1(x^0)^{\gamma_1} + c_2(x^0)^{\gamma_2}, \quad \gamma_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - 6\omega \pm \sqrt{1 - 20\omega(1-\omega)} \right), \tag{35}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are integration constants. The solution (35) will be time-bounded for the following region of the parameter ω :

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} < \omega < 1. \tag{36}$$

We have thus considered all linearized field equations for all functions included in the metric of the perturbative wave model based on the exact gravitational wave solution for a Bianchi type IV universe. We have shown that time-bounded solutions exist for all functions of the metric.

Let's write out the final form of the components of the perturbative gravitational wave metric in a Bianchi type IV universe for the most general variant of the wave parameter values considered:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 1/2 < \omega < 1. \\
& g_{00} = 0, \quad g_{01} = 1, \quad g_{11} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{02} = \epsilon \tau^2 & \left[\frac{(\mu + \log(x^0)) (b_1 \beta_1 (x^0)^{\beta_1+2\omega} + b_2 \beta_2 (x^0)^{\beta_2+2\omega})}{8\omega(\omega-1)(2\omega^2-2\omega+1)} \right. \\
& + \frac{(4\mu\omega^3 + (2-6\mu)\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1 + 2\omega^2(2\omega-3)\log(x^0))}{4\omega(1-\omega)(2\omega^2-2\omega+1)} \times \\
& \left. \times (b_1(x^0)^{\beta_1+2\omega} + b_2(x^0)^{\beta_2+2\omega}) \right], \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{03} = \epsilon \tau^2 & \left[\frac{\omega(2\omega-3) (b_1 (x^0)^{\beta_1+2\omega} + b_2 (x^0)^{\beta_2+2\omega})}{4\omega^3 - 8\omega^2 + 6\omega - 2} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{b_1 \beta_1 (x^0)^{\beta_1+2\omega} + b_2 \beta_2 (x^0)^{\beta_2+2\omega}}{8\omega(2\omega^3 - 4\omega^2 + 3\omega - 1)} \right], \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{12} = & -\frac{\epsilon (x^0)^{2\omega}}{4(\omega-1)\omega} \left(\left(1 - 4\mu^2(\omega-1)\omega - 8\mu(\omega-1)\omega \log(x^0) - 4(\omega-1)\omega \log^2(x^0) \right) \times \right. \\
& \times \left(\frac{a_1 (x^0)^{1-4\omega}}{1-4\omega} - \frac{4a_2\omega (x^0)^{1-4\omega}}{(1-4\omega)^2} + \frac{4a_2\omega (x^0)^{1-4\omega} \log(x^0)}{1-4\omega} + a_3 \right. \\
& - \frac{2b_1(\omega-1) (\beta_1 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_1 + 2)(2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1)(\beta_1 + 4\omega + 1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_1+2} \\
& - \left. \frac{2b_2(\omega-1) (\beta_2 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_2 + 2)(2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1)(\beta_2 + 4\omega + 1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_2+2} \right) \\
& + 4(\omega-1)\omega (\mu + \log(x^0)) \left[a_4 + \frac{x^0}{2\omega(1-\omega)} \left(\frac{2a_1\omega (x^0)^{-4\omega}}{4\omega-1} + \frac{8a_2\omega^2 (x^0)^{-4\omega}}{(1-4\omega)^2} \right. \right. \\
& + \frac{2\omega (x^0)^{-4\omega}}{(4\omega-1)^3} (a_1(4\omega-1)(4\mu\omega^2 - 5\mu\omega + \mu - 3\omega) \\
& + 4a_2\omega(4\mu\omega^2 - 5\mu\omega + \mu - 2\omega - 1) \\
& + (4\omega-1)(a_1(4\omega^2 - 5\omega + 1) + 4a_2\omega(4\mu\omega^2 - 5\mu\omega + \mu - 2\omega - 1)) \log(x^0) \\
& + 4a_2(1-4\omega)^2(\omega-1)\omega \log^2(x^0) \Big) + \frac{8a_2\omega^2 (x^0)^{-4\omega} \log(x^0)}{4\omega-1} + \frac{2a_2\omega (x^0)^{-4\omega}}{1-4\omega} \\
& + \frac{4b_1(\omega-1)\omega (\beta_1 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_1 + 2)^2(2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1)(\beta_1 + 4\omega + 1)^2} \times \\
& \times \left(\beta_1(\mu(\omega-1) - 1) + 2\mu\omega - 2\mu - \omega - 1 + (\beta_1 + 2)(\omega-1)\log(x^0) \right) (x^0)^{\beta_1+1} \\
& + \frac{4b_2(\omega-1)\omega (\beta_2 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_2 + 2)^2(2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1)(\beta_2 + 4\omega + 1)^2} \times \\
& \times \left(\beta_2(\mu(\omega-1) - 1) + 2\mu\omega - 2\mu - \omega - 1 + (\beta_2 + 2)(\omega-1)\log(x^0) \right) (x^0)^{\beta_2+1} \\
& + \frac{2b_1(\omega-1) (x^0)^{\beta_1+1}}{\beta_1 + 2} + \frac{2b_2(\omega-1) (x^0)^{\beta_2+1}}{\beta_2 + 2} \\
& - \frac{b_1(\beta_1 + 1)(\omega-1) (\beta_1 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_1 + 2)(2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1)(\beta_1 + 4\omega + 1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_1+1} \\
& - \left. \frac{b_2(\beta_2 + 1)(\omega-1) (\beta_2 (4\omega^2 - 4\omega + 3) + 8\omega^3 + 4\omega + 2)}{(\beta_2 + 2)(2\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1)(\beta_2 + 4\omega + 1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_2+1} \right) \Big], \tag{40}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{13} = & \epsilon (x^0)^{2\omega} \left(-(\mu + \log(x^0)) \left[\frac{a_1 (x^0)^{1-4\omega}}{1-4\omega} - \frac{4a_2\omega (x^0)^{1-4\omega}}{(1-4\omega)^2} \right. \right. \\
& + \frac{4a_2\omega (x^0)^{1-4\omega} \log(x^0)}{1-4\omega} + a_3 \\
& - \frac{2b_1(\omega-1)(\beta_1(4\omega^2-4\omega+3)+8\omega^3+4\omega+2)}{(\beta_1+2)(2\omega^2-2\omega+1)(\beta_1+4\omega+1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_1+2} \\
& - \left. \frac{2b_2(\omega-1)(\beta_2(4\omega^2-4\omega+3)+8\omega^3+4\omega+2)}{(\beta_2+2)(2\omega^2-2\omega+1)(\beta_2+4\omega+1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_2+2} \right] \\
& - \frac{x^0}{2(\omega-1)\omega} \left[a_4 + \frac{2a_1\omega (x^0)^{-4\omega}}{4\omega-1} + \frac{8a_2\omega^2 (x^0)^{-4\omega}}{(1-4\omega)^2} + \frac{8a_2\omega^2 (x^0)^{-4\omega} \log(x^0)}{4\omega-1} \right. \\
& + \frac{2a_2\omega (x^0)^{-4\omega}}{1-4\omega} + \frac{2b_1(\omega-1)(x^0)^{\beta_1+1}}{\beta_1+2} + \frac{2b_2(\omega-1)(x^0)^{\beta_2+1}}{\beta_2+2} \\
& + \frac{2\omega (x^0)^{-4\omega}}{(4\omega-1)^3} \left(a_1(4\omega-1)(4\mu\omega^2-5\mu\omega+\mu-3\omega) \right. \\
& + (4\omega-1) \left(a_1(4\omega^2-5\omega+1) + 4a_2\omega(4\mu\omega^2-5\mu\omega+\mu-2\omega-1) \right) \log(x^0) \\
& + 4a_2\omega(4\mu\omega^2-5\mu\omega+\mu-2\omega-1) + 4a_2(1-4\omega)^2(\omega-1)\omega \log^2(x^0) \Big) \\
& + \frac{4b_1(\omega-1)\omega(\beta_1(4\omega^2-4\omega+3)+8\omega^3+4\omega+2)}{(\beta_1+2)^2(2\omega^2-2\omega+1)(\beta_1+4\omega+1)^2} \times \\
& \times \left(\beta_1(\mu(\omega-1)-1) + 2\mu\omega - 2\mu - \omega - 1 + (\beta_1+2)(\omega-1)\log(x^0) \right) (x^0)^{\beta_1+1} \\
& + \frac{4b_2(\omega-1)\omega(\beta_2(4\omega^2-4\omega+3)+8\omega^3+4\omega+2)}{(\beta_2+2)^2(2\omega^2-2\omega+1)(\beta_2+4\omega+1)^2} \times \\
& \times \left(\beta_2(\mu(\omega-1)-1) + 2\mu\omega - 2\mu - \omega - 1 + (\beta_2+2)(\omega-1)\log(x^0) \right) (x^0)^{\beta_2+1} \\
& - \frac{b_1(\beta_1+1)(\omega-1)(\beta_1(4\omega^2-4\omega+3)+8\omega^3+4\omega+2)}{(\beta_1+2)(2\omega^2-2\omega+1)(\beta_1+4\omega+1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_1+1} \\
& - \left. \frac{b_2(\beta_2+1)(\omega-1)(\beta_2(4\omega^2-4\omega+3)+8\omega^3+4\omega+2)}{(\beta_2+2)(2\omega^2-2\omega+1)(\beta_2+4\omega+1)^2} (x^0)^{\beta_2+1} \right] \Big), \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{22} = & - \frac{\epsilon (x^0)^{4\omega}}{16(\omega-1)^2\omega^2} \left(4\omega(\omega-1)A_{33} \right. \\
& - \left(1 - 4\mu^2(\omega-1)\omega - 8\mu(\omega-1)\omega \log(x^0) - 4(\omega-1)\omega \log^2(x^0) \right) \times \\
& \times \left[A_{22} \left(4\mu^2(\omega-1)\omega - 1 + 8\mu(\omega-1)\omega \log(x^0) + 4(\omega-1)\omega \log^2(x^0) \right) \right. \\
& - 4\omega(\omega-1) \left(2A_{23}(\mu + \log(x^0)) - A_{33} \right) \Big] \\
& + \frac{1 - 4\mu^2\omega(\omega-1) - 8\mu\omega(\omega-1)\log(x^0) - 4\omega(\omega-1)\log^2(x^0)}{4\omega(\omega-1)} (x^0)^{2\omega}, \tag{42}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{23} = & (x^0)^{2\omega} (\mu + \log(x^0)) + \\
& + \frac{\epsilon (x^0)^{4\omega}}{4(\omega - 1)\omega} \left[(\mu + \log(x^0)) \left(4\mu^2\omega(\omega - 1) - 1 + 8\mu\omega(\omega - 1)\log(x^0) \right. \right. \\
& + 4\omega(\omega - 1)\log^2(x^0) \Big) A_{22} + 4\omega(\omega - 1)(\mu + \log(x^0)) A_{33} \\
& \left. \left. - \left(8\mu^2(\omega - 1)\omega - 1 + 16\mu(\omega - 1)\omega\log(x^0) + 8(\omega - 1)\omega\log^2(x^0) \right) A_{23} \right] , \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

$$g_{33} = - (x^0)^{2\omega} - \epsilon (x^0)^{4\omega} \left(A_{22} (\mu + \log(x^0))^2 - 2A_{23} (\mu + \log(x^0)) + A_{33} \right). \tag{44}$$

Here ω and μ are the parameters of the exact solution for the background strong gravitational wave, ϵ is the dimensionless smallness parameter ($\epsilon \ll 1$), the parameters β_1 and β_2 are defined by the relations (22)-(23), the parameters a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1 and b_2 are the constants of integration of the field equations and these constants are determined by the initial or boundary conditions. The synchronous time function τ is defined by the relation (11). The three functions of the wave variable $A_{22}(x^0)$, $A_{23}(x^0)$, and $A_{33}(x^0)$ share a single equation (33), which leaves two of these functions arbitrary in the resulting solution.

The determinant of the perturbative gravitational wave metric in first order in the smallness parameter ϵ takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\det g_{\alpha\beta} = & - \frac{(x^0)^{4\omega}}{4\omega(1 - \omega)} + \frac{\epsilon (x^0)^{6\omega}}{16\omega^2(\omega - 1)^2} \left[4\omega(1 - \omega) \left(2A_{23}(\mu + \log(x^0)) - A_{33} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + A_{22} \left(4\mu^2(\omega - 1)\omega - 1 + 8\mu(\omega - 1)\omega\log(x^0) + 4(\omega - 1)\omega\log^2(x^0) \right) \right]. \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

The solution obtained for the perturbative gravitational wave metric in the Bianchi IV universe allows for seven independent components of the Riemann curvature tensor, whereas the background exact gravitational wave had only three independent components of the curvature tensor.

Thus, perturbative secondary gravitational waves create an additional gravitational wave background that violates isotropy in exact strong gravitational wave models in Bianchi universes and can generate complex tidal accelerations. The effects under consideration provide an additional mechanism for the formation of local inhomogeneities in the early universe and a mechanism for local spatial isotropization.

5 Discussion

The paper derives one of the first perturbative analytical models of gravitational waves against the backdrop of an exact solution for a strong gravitational wave. The stability of the resulting perturbative solutions is demonstrated, which also proves the stability of the basic exact solution for the gravitational wave in the Bianchi IV universe. Developing such a model for the Bianchi IV universe allows us to further explore the role of perturbative gravitational waves in the early universe, including the influence of strong and perturbative gravitational waves on the accelerated formation of initial inhomogeneities in dark matter, primordial plasma, and matter. This opens up new possibilities for studying the influence of gravitational waves on the formation of the cosmic microwave background (CMB), taking into account its observed anisotropy, and on the formation of the stochastic gravitational wave background (SGB). This also allows us to estimate the influence of perturbative gravitational waves on the isotropization of the universe. The constructed analytical model of perturbative gravitational waves also enables the verification and debugging of numerical methods and computer programs for describing complex gravitational wave models and their influence on astrophysical processes.

6 Conclusion

The proper-time method for constructing perturbative dynamical gravitational fields is presented. An analytical perturbative model of gravitational waves based on the exact wave solution of Einstein's equations for the Bianchi IV universe is constructed. A privileged wave coordinate system and a synchronous time function based on the clock of an observer freely moving (falling) in a background strong gravitational wave were used to construct the models. Compatibility conditions for the vacuum linearized Einstein equations for all admissible parameter values were obtained and resolved, and the field equations were reduced to systems of ordinary differential equations, whose solutions were found. The stability of the resulting perturbative solutions is proven. This also explicitly demonstrates the stability of the basic exact solution for gravitational waves in the Bianchi IV universe under small perturbations. The resulting gravitational wave models have seven independent components of the Riemann curvature tensor, compared to three components for the background exact gravitational wave.

References

- [1] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, M. R. Abernathy, F. Acernese, and et al. Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116:061102, Feb 2016. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102>.
- [2] B.P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T.D. Abbott, F. Acernese, and et al. GW170817: Observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral. *Physical Review Letters*, 119(16), 2017. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101.
- [3] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, and et al. Gravitational waves and gamma-rays from a binary neutron star merger: Gw170817 and grb 170817a. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 848(2):L13, oct 2017. doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c. URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c>.
- [4] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, and et al. Properties of the binary neutron star merger gw170817. *Phys. Rev. X*, 9:011001, Jan 2019. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevX.9.011001. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.011001>.
- [5] C.L. Bennett, D. Larson, J.L. Weiland, N. Jarosik, and G. Hinshaw et al. Nine-year wilkinson microwave anisotropy probe (wmap) observations: Final maps and results. *Astrophysical Journal, Supplement Series*, 208(2), 2013. doi: 10.1088/0067-0049/208/2/20.
- [6] Kishore N. Ananda, Chris Clarkson, and David Wands. Cosmological gravitational wave background from primordial density perturbations. *Phys. Rev. D*, 75:123518, Jun 2007. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.75.123518. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.75.123518>.
- [7] Chiara Caprini and Daniel G. Figueroa. Cosmological backgrounds of gravitational waves. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, 35, 2018. doi: 10.1088/1361-6382/aac608. URL <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1361-6382/aac608>.
- [8] Michele Maggiore. Gravitational wave experiments and early universe cosmology. *Physics Reports*, 331(6):283–367, 2000. ISSN 0370-1573. doi: 10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00102-7. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157399001027>.
- [9] R. Saito and J. Yokoyama. Gravitational-wave background as a probe of the primordial black-hole abundance. *Physical Review Letters*, 102(16), 2009. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.161101.

- [10] R. Saito and J. Yokoyama. Gravitational-wave constraints on the abundance of primordial black holes. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, 123(5):867–886, 2010. doi: 10.1143/PTP.123.867.
- [11] Uroš Seljak and Matias Zaldarriaga. Signature of gravity waves in the polarization of the microwave background. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 78:2054–2057, Mar 1997. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.2054. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.2054>.
- [12] Nick van Remortel, Kamiel Janssens, and Kevin Turbang. Stochastic gravitational wave background: Methods and implications. *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics*, 128:104003, Jan 2023. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpnp.2022.104003. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpnp.2022.104003>.
- [13] Daniel J. Reardon, Andrew Zic, Ryan M. Shannon, George B. Hobbs, and Matthew Bailes et al. Search for an isotropic gravitational-wave background with the Parkes pulsar timing array. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 951(1):L6, jun 2023. doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/acdd02. URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/acdd02>.
- [14] EPTA Collaboration and InPTA Collaboration:, Antoniadis, J., Arumugam, P., Arumugam, S., Babak, S., and Bagchi, M. et al. The second data release from the European pulsar timing array - III. search for gravitational wave signals. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 678:A50, 2023. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202346844. URL <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346844>.
- [15] Heng Xu, Siyuan Chen, Yanjun Guo, Jinchun Jiang, and Bojun Wang et al. Searching for the nano-hertz stochastic gravitational wave background with the Chinese pulsar timing array data release I. *Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 23(7):075024, jun 2023. doi: 10.1088/1674-4527/acdfa5. URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1674-4527/acdfa5>.
- [16] Hans Stephani, Dietrich Kramer, Malcolm MacCallum, Cornelius Hoenselaers, and Eduard Herlt. *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2 edition, 2003.
- [17] Jerry B. Griffiths and Jiří Podolský. *Exact Space-Times in Einstein's General Relativity*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [18] Konstantin Osetrin, Evgeny Osetrin, and Elena Osetrina. Gravitational wave of the Bianchi VII universe: particle trajectories, geodesic deviation and tidal accelerations. *European Physical Journal C*, 82(10), 2022. doi: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-022-10852-6.
- [19] Konstantin Osetrin, Evgeny Osetrin, and Elena Osetrina. Deviation of geodesics, particle trajectories and the propagation of radiation in gravitational waves in Shapovalov type III wave spacetimes. *Symmetry*, 15(7), 2023. ISSN 2073-8994. doi: 10.3390/sym15071455. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/15/7/1455>.
- [20] K E Osetrin, E K Osetrin, and E I Osetrina. Deviation of geodesics and particle trajectories in a gravitational wave of the Bianchi type VI universe. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 56(32):325205, jul 2023. doi: 10.1088/1751-8121/ace6e3. URL <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ace6e3>.
- [21] Konstantin E. Osetrin, Vladimir Y. Epp, and Sergey V. Chervon. Propagation of light and retarded time of radiation in a strong gravitational wave. *Annals of Physics*, 462:169619, 2024. ISSN 0003-4916. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2024.169619>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0003491624000277>.

- [22] V.F. Mukhanov, H.A. Feldman, and R.H. Brandenberger. Theory of cosmological perturbations. *Physics Reports*, 215(5):203–333, 1992. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573\(92\)90044-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(92)90044-Z). URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/037015739290044Z>.
- [23] C.-P. Ma and E. Bertschinger. Cosmological perturbation theory in the synchronous and conformal Newtonian gauges. *Astrophysical Journal*, 455(1):7–25, 1995. doi: 10.1086/176550.
- [24] Nigel T. Bishop and Luciano Rezzolla. Extraction of gravitational waves in numerical relativity. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 19(1), 2016. doi: 10.1007/s41114-016-0001-9.
- [25] G. Domènech. Scalar induced gravitational waves review. *Universe*, 7(11), 2021. doi: 10.3390/universe7110398.
- [26] L. Blanchet. Post-Newtonian theory for gravitational waves. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 27, 2024. doi: 10.1007/s41114-024-00050-z. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41114-024-00050-z>.
- [27] Shuai Zha, Oliver Eggenberger Andersen, and Evan P. O’Connor. Unveiling the nature of gravitational-wave emission in core-collapse supernovae with perturbative analysis. *Phys. Rev. D*, 109:083023, Apr 2024. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.109.083023. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.109.083023>.
- [28] Katie Rink, Ritesh Bachhar, Tousif Islam, Nur E. M. Rifat, Kevin González-Quesada, Scott E. Field, Gaurav Khanna, Scott A. Hughes, and Vijay Varma. Gravitational wave surrogate model for spinning, intermediate mass ratio binaries based on perturbation theory and numerical relativity. *Phys. Rev. D*, 110:124069, Dec 2024. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.110.124069. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.110.124069>.
- [29] Vladislav G. Bagrov and Valeriy V. Obukhov. Classes of exact solutions of the Einstein–Maxwell equations. *Annalen der Physik*, 495(4-5):181–188, 1983. doi: 10.1002/andp.19834950402.
- [30] V. V. Obukhov, S. V. Chervon, and D. V. Kartashov. Solutions of Maxwell equations for admissible electromagnetic fields, in spaces with simply transitive four-parameter groups of motions. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, 21(05):2450092, 2024. doi: 10.1142/S0219887824500920. URL <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887824500920>.
- [31] Evgeny Osetrin and Konstantin Osetrin. Pure radiation in space-time models that admit integration of the eikonal equation by the separation of variables method. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 58(11), 2017. doi: 10.1063/1.5003854.
- [32] Valeriy V. Obukhov. Classification of the non-null electrovacuum solution of Einstein–Maxwell equations with three-parameter abelian group of motions. *Annals of Physics*, 470:169816, 2024. ISSN 0003-4916. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2024.169816>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0003491624002239>.
- [33] Valery V. Obukhov. Exact solutions of Maxwell vacuum equations in Petrov homogeneous non-null spaces. *Symmetry*, 17(9), 2025. ISSN 2073-8994. doi: 10.3390/sym17091574. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/17/9/1574>.
- [34] Konstantin E. Osetrin, Vladimir Y. Epp, and Ulyana A. Guselnikova. Gravitational wave and pure radiation in the Bianchi IV universe. *Russian Physics Journal*, 68(3):526–531, 2025. doi: 10.1007/s11182-025-03460-w. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11182-025-03460-w>.

- [35] Shin’ichi Nojiri and Sergei D. Odintsov. Introduction to modified gravity and gravitational alternative for dark energy. *International Journal of Geometric Methods in Modern Physics*, 04(01):115–145, 2007. doi: 10.1142/S0219887807001928. URL <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887807001928>.
- [36] Shin’ichi Nojiri and Sergei D. Odintsov. Unified cosmic history in modified gravity: From F(R) theory to Lorentz non-invariant models. *Physics Reports*, 505(2):59–144, 2011. ISSN 0370-1573. doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2011.04.001. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157311001335>.
- [37] Salvatore Capozziello and Mariafelicia De Laurentis. Extended theories of gravity. *Physics Reports*, 509(4):167–321, 2011. ISSN 0370-1573. doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2011.09.003. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2011.09.003>.
- [38] Shin’ichi Nojiri, Sergei D. Odintsov, and Vasilis K. Oikonomou. Modified gravity theories on a nutshell: Inflation, bounce and late-time evolution. *Physics Reports*, 692:1–104, 2017. ISSN 0370-1573. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2017.06.001>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157317301527>.
- [39] Konstantin Osetrin, Ilya Kirnos, Evgeny Osetrin, and Altair Filippov. Wave-like exact models with symmetry of spatial homogeneity in the quadratic theory of gravity with a scalar field. *Symmetry*, 13(7), 2021. ISSN 2073-8994. doi: 10.3390/sym13071173. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/13/7/1173>.
- [40] Hansen, Frode K., Boero, Ezequiel F., Luparello, Heliana E., and Garcia Lambas, Diego. A possible common explanation for several cosmic microwave background (cmb) anomalies: A strong impact of nearby galaxies on observed large-scale cmb fluctuations. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 675:L7, 2023. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202346779. URL <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202346779>.
- [41] Hongbo Cai, Yilun Guan, Toshiya Namikawa, and Arthur Kosowsky. Impact of anisotropic birefringence on measuring cosmic microwave background lensing. *Phys. Rev. D*, 107:043513, Feb 2023. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.107.043513. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.107.043513>.
- [42] Rui Shi, Tobias A. Marriage, John W. Appel, Charles L. Bennett, David T. Chuss, Joseph Cleary, Joseph R. Eimer, Sumit Dahal, Rahul Datta, Francisco Espinoza, Yunyang Li, Nathan J. Miller, Carolina Núñez, Ivan L. Padilla, Matthew A. Petroff, Deniz A. N. Valle, Edward J. Wollack, and Zhilei Xu. Testing cosmic microwave background anomalies in e-mode polarization with current and future data. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 945(1):79, mar 2023. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/acb339. URL <https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acb339>.
- [43] Siewert, Thilo M., Schmidt-Rubart, Matthias, and Schwarz, Dominik J. Cosmic radio dipole: Estimators and frequency dependence. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 653:A9, 2021. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202039840. URL <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039840>.
- [44] Vasudev Mittal, Oliver T Oayda, and Geraint F Lewis. The cosmic dipole in the Quiaia sample of quasars: a Bayesian analysis. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 527(3):8497–8510, 12 2023. ISSN 0035-8711. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stad3706. URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad3706>.
- [45] Konstantin E. Osetrin, Valeriy V. Obukhov, and Altair E. Filippov. Homogeneous space-times and separation of variables in the Hamilton–Jacobi equation. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 39(21):6641–6647, 2006. doi: 10.1088/0305-4470/39/21/S64. DOI:10.1088/0305-4470/39/21/S64.

- [46] V. V. Obukhov. Classification of Petrov homogeneous spaces. *Symmetry*, 16(10), 2024. ISSN 2073-8994. doi: 10.3390/sym16101385. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/16/10/1385>.
- [47] V.V. Obukhov. Maxwell’s equations in homogeneous spaces for admissible electromagnetic fields. *Universe*, 8(4), 2022. doi: 10.3390/universe8040245.
- [48] Kevin J. Ludwick and Peter L. Williams. Inferred Hubble parameter from gravitational waves in a perturbative Bianchi I background. *Physics Letters B*, 868: 139717, 2025. ISSN 0370-2693. doi: 10.1016/j.physletb.2025.139717. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269325004782>.
- [49] Lev Davidovich Landau and Evgeniy Mikhailovich Lifshitz. *The Classical Theory of Fields*, volume 2 of *Course of Theoretical Physics Series*. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford(UK), 4th edition, 1975.
- [50] Paul Stäckel. Ueber die integration der Hamilton’schen differentialgleichung mittelst separation der variabeln. *Mathematische Annalen*, 49(1):145–147, 1897. doi: 10.1007/BF01445366. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01445366>.
- [51] Vladimir N. Shapovalov. Symmetry and separation of variables in Hamilton-Jacobi equation. I. *Soviet Physics Journal*, 21(9):1124–1129, 1978. doi: 10.1007/BF00894559. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00894559>. DOI:10.1007/BF00894559.
- [52] Vladimir N. Shapovalov. Symmetry and separation of variables in Hamilton-Jacobi equation. II. *Soviet Physics Journal*, 21(9):1130–1132, 1978. doi: 10.1007/BF00894560. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00894560>. DOI:10.1007/BF00894560.
- [53] Vladimir N. Shapovalov. The Stäckel spaces. *Sib. Math. Journal (Sov. J. of Math.)*, 20(5): 790–800, 1979. doi: 10.1007/BF00971844. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00971844>. DOI:10.1007/BF00971844.
- [54] Konstantin Osetrin, Evgeny Osetrin, and Elena Osetrina. Geodesic deviation and tidal acceleration in the gravitational wave of the Bianchi type IV universe. *European Physical Journal Plus*, 137(7), 2022. doi: 10.1140/epjp/s13360-022-03061-3.
- [55] Konstantin E. Osetrin, Vladimir Y. Epp, and Altair E. Filippov. Exact model of gravitational waves and pure radiation. *Symmetry*, 16(11), 2024. ISSN 2073-8994. doi: 10.3390/sym16111456. URL <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/16/11/1456>.