

ORDNUNG MUSS SEIN

HENNING KRAUSE



ABSTRACT. For any length category, we establish a set of rules (necessary and sufficient) that ensure a partial order on the isomorphism classes of simple objects such that the category is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional representations of this partially ordered set.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *length category* is an abelian category such that any chain of subobjects for a given object is finite and the isomorphism classes of objects form a set [8]. There are two basic invariants: the *centre*, which is the ring of natural transformations from the identity functor to itself, and the *ext-quiver*, for which the vertices are given by the isomorphism classes of simple objects, and there is an arrow $[S] \rightarrow [T]$ if $\text{Ext}^1(T, S) \neq 0$. The following result describes a class of length categories that are completely determined by these invariants.¹

For an abelian category \mathcal{A} we write $Z(\mathcal{A})$ for its centre and say that an endomorphism of an object X is *central* if it lies in the image of the canonical map $Z(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{End}(X)$. The category \mathcal{A} is *connected* if 0 and 1 are the only idempotents in $Z(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 1. *A connected length category is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional representations of a finite poset over a field if and only if the following hold:*

- (1) *The ext-quiver has no oriented cycle.*
- (2) *For each simple object all its endomorphisms are central.*
- (3) *There exists an object M with a distributive lattice of subobjects such that each object is a subquotient of a finite direct sum of copies of M .*

Date: February 10, 2026.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16G20 (primary); 18E10 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Length category, ext-quiver, centre, partially ordered set, linear representation, incidence algebra, distributive object.

¹Following the title of the paper, we may refer to conditions (1)–(3) as ‘Hausordnung’, cf. https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hausmeister_Krause_-_Ordnung_muss_sein.

In this case the field equals the centre of the category and the poset is given by the isomorphism classes of simple objects, with $[S] \leq [T]$ if there is a path from $[S]$ to $[T]$ in the ext-quiver.

There is a long tradition of studying linear representations of posets, and we refer to the monograph of Simson for a detailed account [11]. Intimately related is the study of incidence algebras and their modules. In fact, the question when a finite dimensional algebra is an incidence algebra has been investigated by several authors [2, 6, 10]. In particular, the relevance of distributive modules has been noticed, but the more general context of length categories seems to be new.

Categories of linear representations of finite posets over a field are nothing but categories of sheaves on finite T_0 -spaces with values in the category of vector spaces; see Remark 14. This yields another perspective on the class of length categories arising in Theorem 1, which is reminiscent of Gabriel's reconstruction of a noetherian scheme from its category of quasi-coherent sheaves [7, VI].

2. THE PROOF

Distributive objects. Fix a length category. For any object M and a simple object S let $[M : S]$ denote the multiplicity of S in a composition series of M . The object M is *multiplicity free* if $[M : S] \leq 1$ for every simple object S .

Recall that an object has a distributive lattice of subobjects if and only if there is no simple object S such that $S \oplus S$ arises as a subquotient; see for instance the first exercise in [3]. Objects with this property are called *distributive*.

Lemma 2. *Every multiplicity free object is distributive. The converse holds when the ext-quiver has no oriented cycles.*

Proof. The first assertion is clear, given the characterisation of distributive objects. Now fix an object M and set $M^i := \text{rad}^i M$ for $i \geq 0$. Then $M^r = 0$ for some $r \geq 0$, and this yields a filtration

$$0 = M^r \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M^1 \subseteq M^0 = M$$

with semisimple factors. For any pair of simple objects $S \subseteq M^i/M^{i+1}$ and $T \subseteq M^{i-1}/M^i$ there exists an arrow $[S] \rightarrow [T]$ in the ext-quiver, which comes from the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow M^i/M^{i+1} \longrightarrow M^{i-1}/M^{i+1} \longrightarrow M^{i-1}/M^i \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $[M : S] > 1$ for a simple object S , then S arises in M^i/M^{i+1} and M^j/M^{j+1} for some pair i, j . When M is distributive, then $i \neq j$. Thus there exists a cycle in the ext-quiver. \square

Module categories. We fix a length category \mathcal{A} and recall from [4] a simple criterion for \mathcal{A} to be a category of finite length modules. Let \mathbb{K} be a commutative ring and suppose that \mathcal{A} is \mathbb{K} -linear, so the morphism sets are \mathbb{K} -modules and the composition maps are bilinear. Then \mathcal{A} is called *hom-finite* if $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ is a \mathbb{K} -module of finite length for each pair of objects X, Y .

For any ring A let $\text{mod } A$ denote the category of *finitely presented right A -modules*.

Proposition 3. *A hom-finite length category is equivalent to $\text{mod } A$ for some Artin algebra A if and only if there exists an object M such that each object is a subquotient of a finite direct sum of copies of M .*

Proof. See Proposition 2.14 in [4]. \square

Example 4. Let \mathcal{A} be a length category and view it as \mathbb{K} -linear category for $\mathbb{K} = Z(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose that for each simple object all its endomorphisms are central. Then \mathcal{A} is hom-finite. More precisely, for each pair of objects X, Y in \mathcal{A} we have

$$\ell_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)) \leq \ell(X) \cdot \ell(Y).$$

Representations of posets. Fix a finite poset P and a field \mathbb{K} . It is convenient to view P as a category: the objects are the elements of P and there is a unique morphism $x \rightarrow y$ if and only if $x \leq y$. We write $\mathrm{rep}(P, \mathbb{K})$ for the category of *contravariant representations*, so

$$\mathrm{rep}(P, \mathbb{K}) := \mathrm{Fun}(P^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{mod} \mathbb{K}).$$

Let $\mathbb{K}P$ denote the *incidence algebra* which is a \mathbb{K} -linear space with basis given by elements a_{xy} for any pair $x \leq y$ in P and multiplication induced by

$$(1) \quad a_{xy}a_{vw} := \delta_{wx}a_{vy}.$$

For M in $\mathrm{rep}(P, \mathbb{K})$ there is a canonical right action of $\mathbb{K}P$ on $\bigoplus_{x \in P} M(x)$.

Lemma 5. *The assignment $M \mapsto \bigoplus_{x \in P} M(x)$ induces an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{rep}(P, \mathbb{K}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{mod} \mathbb{K}P.$$

Proof. Taking a $\mathbb{K}P$ -module N to the representation of P given by

$$x \mapsto N(x) := Na_{xx}$$

and for $x \leq y$ the linear map $N(y) \rightarrow N(x)$ given by right multiplication with a_{xy} yields a quasi-inverse. \square

Representations of algebras. We recall a few basic facts about modules over finite dimensional algebras. Let A be a right Artinian ring and fix a decomposition $1 = \sum_{x \in P} e_x$ into pairwise orthogonal and primitive idempotents. We may assume that A is basic and connected. Thus the indecomposable projective modules are of the form $e_x A$ for some index x , and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(e_x A, M) = M e_x$$

for any A -module M . Let $J = J(A)$ denote the Jacobson radical of A . Then the simple modules are of the form $S_x := e_x(A/J)$ for some index x . Set

$$E_x := \mathrm{End}_A(S_x) \cong e_x(A/J)e_x.$$

The following lemma is the basic tool that relates the ext-quiver of $\mathrm{mod} A$ to the structure of the algebra A .

Lemma 6. *There is an isomorphism of E_y - E_x -bimodules*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_A^1(S_x, S_y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(e_x(J/J^2), S_y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{E_y}(e_x(J/J^2)e_y, E_y).$$

Proof. See for example Proposition 2.4.3 in [3]. \square

Now assume that the ext-quiver of $\mathrm{mod} A$ is acyclic. Then $\mathrm{End}_A(e_x A) \cong E_x$ for each x by the above lemma. For any A -module M of finite length, an induction on its length shows that the multiplicity of S_x in a composition series is given by

$$[M : S_x] = \dim_{E_x} \mathrm{Hom}_A(e_x A, M).$$

Lemma 7. *Let A be a right artinian ring such that the ext-quiver of $\mathrm{mod} A$ is connected and acyclic. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *For each simple A -module all its endomorphisms are central.*
- (2) *The centre $Z(A)$ of A is a field and isomorphic to the endomorphism ring of each simple A -module.*

Proof. One direction is clear. Thus assume that (1) holds. We may identify $Z(A) = Z(\text{mod } A)$. For any index x let I_x denote the kernel of the canonical homomorphism $Z(A) \rightarrow E_x$. Then $Z(A)/I \xrightarrow{\sim} E_x$ since we assume that all elements of E_x are central. For each pair x, y the action of $Z(A)$ on

$$e_y A e_x \cong \text{Hom}_A(e_x A, e_y A)$$

factors through E_x and through E_y , since the ext-quiver is acyclic. Thus $I_x = I_y$ when $e_y A e_x \neq 0$. Because A is connected it follows that $I_x = I_y$ for all x, y . Finally, $I_x = 0$ for all x since I_x annihilates all elements of A . \square

From now on assume that for each simple A -module all its endomorphisms are central and set $\mathbb{K} := Z(A)$.

Lemma 8. *Let M be an A -module such that $[M : S_x] = 1$ and $e_x A$ is a sub-quotient of M^r for some $r \geq 1$. Then every non-zero morphism $e_x A \rightarrow M$ is a monomorphism.*

Proof. The assumption yields a monomorphism $\phi: e_x A \rightarrow M^r$ for some $r \geq 1$. Choose a non-zero morphism $\psi: e_x A \rightarrow M$. Then there are $\lambda_i \in E_x$ such that $\phi_i = \psi \lambda_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. We have

$$\text{Ker } \psi = \bigcap_i \text{Ker } \psi \lambda_i = \text{Ker } \phi = 0$$

where the first equality uses that the λ_i are central. \square

Now fix an A -module M such that $[M : S_x] = 1$ for all x , and we assume that every non-zero morphism $e_x A \rightarrow M$ is a monomorphism. Then $e_x A$ is multiplicity free for all x and there is a partial order on the set P given by

$$x \leq y \iff e_y A e_x \neq 0.$$

Choose a \mathbb{K} -basis of M that consists of elements $m_x \in M e_x$. This choice determines a \mathbb{K} -basis of A consisting of elements $e_{xy} \in e_y A e_x$ such that for all $x \leq y$

$$m_y e_{xy} = m_x.$$

For $x \leq y \leq z$ the equality

$$m_z (e_{yz} e_{xy}) = (m_z e_{yz}) e_{xy}$$

implies

$$(2) \quad e_{yz} e_{xy} = e_{xz}.$$

We are ready to compare A with the incidence algebra $\mathbb{K}P$ that is given by the poset coming from the ext-quiver of $\text{mod } A$. I am grateful to Dieter Vossieck for suggesting a simplification of this argument.

Lemma 9. *The \mathbb{K} -linear map $A \rightarrow \mathbb{K}P$ given by the assignment $e_{xy} \mapsto a_{xy}$ for any $x \leq y$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{K} -algebras.*

Proof. The map is an isomorphism of vector spaces; it is multiplicative because of the identities (1) and (2). \square

Proof of the main theorem. Recall that the *Hasse diagram* of a poset P is the quiver with vertex set P and an arrow $x \rightarrow y$ if $x < y$ and there is no z with $x < z < y$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{A} be a length category. Suppose first that \mathcal{A} is equivalent to $\text{rep}(P, \mathbb{K})$ for some finite poset P and a field \mathbb{K} . The simple representations identify with the elements of P . Specifically, for $x \in P$ the simple representation S_x is given by $S_x(y) = \delta_{xy} \mathbb{K}$ for $y \in P$. In particular, it satisfies $\text{End}(S_x) \cong \mathbb{K}$.

The ext-quiver identifies with the Hasse diagram of P . Thus there are no oriented cycles. Now consider the representation M given by $M(x) = \mathbb{K}$ and $M(y) \rightarrow M(x)$ the identity map for all $x \leq y$. Then $[M : S_x] = 1$ for all x , and therefore the lattice of subobjects is distributive. The subrepresentation $M_x \subseteq M$ satisfying $M_x(y) \neq 0$ if and only if $y \leq x$ yields a projective cover of S_x . Thus every indecomposable projective representation embeds into M , and each object is a subquotient of M^r for some $r \geq 1$.

Now suppose that conditions (1)–(3) hold for \mathcal{A} . It follows from Proposition 3 that \mathcal{A} is equivalent to $\text{mod } A$ for some Artin algebra A . More precisely, condition (2) implies that \mathcal{A} is hom-finite; see Example 4. Then condition (3) implies that \mathcal{A} admits a projective generator Q such that $\text{Hom}(Q, -)$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{mod } A$ for $A := \text{End}(Q)$. Next observe that $\mathbb{K} := Z(\mathcal{A})$ is a field by Lemma 7. Here we need to assume that \mathcal{A} is connected. Using Lemmas 2 and 8, the existence of a distributive object implies that Lemma 9 can be applied. Thus $A \cong \mathbb{K}P$ for the poset P given by the isomorphism classes of simple A -modules, and the equivalence with $\text{rep}(P, \mathbb{K})$ follows from Lemma 5. \square

3. REMARKS

We conclude with several comments on the main theorem.

Remark 10. Consider a length category $\mathcal{A} = \text{rep}(P, \mathbb{K})$ as in Theorem 1. The distributive object M arising in condition (3) is not necessarily unique. In fact, such objects are parameterised by 2-cocycles $\mu = (\mu_{xy})$ in $H^*(\Sigma(P), \mathbb{K}^\times)$, where $\Sigma(P)$ denotes the simplicial complex associated with P and for $x \leq y$ the map $M(y) \rightarrow M(x)$ sends the basis element m_y to $m_x \mu_{xy}$; see [9, 10].

Remark 11. In Theorem 1 condition (1) is needed. Let $\mathcal{A} = \text{mod } \mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$ where $\mathbb{K}[\varepsilon]$ denotes the algebra of dual numbers over a field. This is not a category of poset representations, though conditions (2) and (3) hold. Take for M the indecomposable projective module.

The following example is interesting for several reasons, and I am grateful to Claus Michael Ringel for suggesting it. The example shows that in Theorem 1 condition (2) is needed. Also, it yields infinitely many objects M of length two such that all objects are a subquotient of a finite direct sum of copies of M .

Example 12. Consider the matrix algebra

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}_\sigma \\ 0 & \mathbb{C} \end{bmatrix}$$

where the action of \mathbb{C} on \mathbb{C}_σ from the right is twisted by conjugation; it is a finite dimensional \mathbb{R} -algebra. There are infinitely many isomorphism classes of length two A -modules, and all but two are faithful; see the Addendum of [5].

Remark 13. In Theorem 1 the assumption on the length category \mathcal{A} to be connected can be removed. Then one obtains a finite product $\mathcal{A}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_n$ of connected length categories and possibly different fields $Z(\mathcal{A}_1), \dots, Z(\mathcal{A}_n)$.

Remark 14. Any partially ordered set P carries the structure of a topological space, by taking as open subsets the downward closed subsets of P . This assignment identifies finite posets with finite T_0 -spaces [1, §1]. Moreover, for any abelian category \mathcal{C} the category of sheaves on P with values in \mathcal{C} identifies with the category of representations $P^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, by taking a sheaf \mathcal{F} on P to the representation

$$x \longmapsto \mathcal{F}_x = \mathcal{F}(\downarrow x) \quad (x \in P)$$

where

$$\downarrow x := \{y \in P \mid y \leq x\}.$$

Thus Theorem 1 characterises the categories of sheaves on finite T_0 -spaces with values in the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over a field. One may compare this with Gabriel's reconstruction of a noetherian scheme from its category of quasi-coherent sheaves [7, VI].

Remark 15. It would be interesting to have a version of Theorem 1 characterising categories of the form $\text{rep}(P, \mathbb{K})$ when \mathbb{K} is a skew field.

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for its hospitality and financial support. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB-TRR 358/1 2023 - 491392403).

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Alexandroff, Diskrete Räume, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S. **2(44)** (1937), no. 3, 501–519.
- [2] R. Bautista and R. Martínez-Villa, Representations of partially ordered sets and 1-Gorenstein Artin algebras, in *Ring theory (Proc. Antwerp Conf. (NATO Adv. Study Inst.), Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1978)*, 385–433, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., 51, Dekker, New York, 1979.
- [3] D. J. Benson, *Representations and cohomology. I*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 30, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [4] P. Deligne, Catégories tannakiennes, in *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, 111–195, Progr. Math., 87, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [5] V. B. Dlab and C. M. Ringel, Indecomposable representations of graphs and algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1976), no. 173, v+57 pp.
- [6] R. B. Feinberg, Characterization of incidence algebras, Discrete Math. **17** (1977), no. 1, 47–70.
- [7] P. Gabriel, Des catégories abéliennes, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 323–448.
- [8] P. Gabriel, Indecomposable representations. II, in *Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XI (Convegno di Algebra Commutativa, INDAM, Rome, 1971 & Convegno di Geometria, INDAM, Rome, 1972)*, 81–104, Academic Press, London, 1973.
- [9] M. Gerstenhaber and S. D. Schack, Simplicial cohomology is Hochschild cohomology, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **30** (1983), no. 2, 143–156.
- [10] M. C. Iovanov and G. D. Koffi, On incidence algebras and their representations, Pacific J. Math. **316** (2022), no. 1, 131–167.
- [11] D. Simson, *Linear representations of partially ordered sets and vector space categories*, Algebra, Logic and Applications, 4, Gordon and Breach, Montreux, 1992.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, D-33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY
Email address: hkrause@math.uni-bielefeld.de