

## ANNIHILATOR OF EXT

MOHSEN ASGHARZADEH

ABSTRACT. We investigate the higher divisorial ideal  $D(I) := \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R))$  associated to an ideal  $I$  of grade  $g$ . Our main focus is the containment problem  $D(I) \subseteq \bar{I}$ . We show that this inclusion holds for broad classes of ideals, including unmixed ideals of finite projective dimension over 3-dimensional quasi-normal rings, parameter ideals in quasi-Gorenstein rings, and powers of perfect ideals under suitable homological conditions. Conversely, we construct explicit examples demonstrating the necessity of these hypotheses. We develop structural properties of  $D(I)$ , relating it to unmixed parts, reflexive closures, symbolic powers, Frobenius closure, and trace ideals. Applications include criteria for the triviality of reflexive modules and vector bundles on punctured spectra, as well as new connections among annihilators of Ext, conductor ideals, and local cohomology.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries and structural concepts	3
3. Higher Divisorial Ideals	5
4. More on ideals of finite projective dimension	9
5. Connections to trace	14
6. Low dimension and small height	16
7. Connections to triviality of vector bundles	20
References	21

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The interplay between homological algebra and commutative algebra has long been a source of deep results and unexpected connections. A particularly fruitful interaction arises in the study of Ext modules and their annihilators, which form a bridge between module-theoretic properties and ideal-theoretic phenomena. For an ideal  $I$  in a commutative Noetherian ring  $R$ , the modules  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, R)$  encode substantial information about both the ideal  $I$  and the ambient ring  $R$ . Among these, the first nonvanishing Ext module—occurring when  $i = \text{grade}(I, R)$ —is especially significant.

In his book on divisor theory in module categories [23], Vasconcelos introduced the *higher divisorial ideal* associated to an ideal  $I$  of grade  $g$ :

$$D(I) := \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)).$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13G05; 13B22; 13A35.

*Key words and phrases*. Annihilator; divisorial ideal; Ext-modules; integral closure; characteristic p methods.

This invariant naturally interpolates between  $I$  and its radical  $\text{rad}(I)$ , and in Cohen–Macaulay settings, between the unmixed part  $I^{\text{unm}}$  and  $\text{rad}(I)$ . Despite this seemingly constrained position,  $D(I)$  exhibits remarkable and often unexpected behavior, differing substantially from classical closure operations such as integral closure  $\bar{I}$ , reflexive closure  $I^{**}$ , and symbolic powers  $I^{(n)}$ . Understanding the precise relationship between  $D(I)$  and these established closure operations forms the central motivation for this investigation.

The study of annihilators of Ext modules traces back to several major developments. The Auslander–Bridger transpose and its relationship with Ext revealed deep links between module theory and ideal theory. Subsequent work of Corso, Huneke, Katz, and Vasconcelos [8] systematically examined annihilators of Koszul homology (which agrees with the relevant Ext modules in the grade setting), establishing fundamental bounds and raising natural questions about containment relationships. Their work highlighted the intriguing position of  $D(I)$ : while it always contains  $I$  and is contained in  $\text{rad}(I)$ , its relationship with the integral closure  $\bar{I}$  remains subtle and context-dependent.

Integral closure itself is a central notion in commutative algebra, with applications ranging from resolution of singularities to tight closure theory and multiplicity theory. Characterizing when homological invariants such as  $D(I)$  lie inside  $\bar{I}$  therefore connects two important strands of research: the homological properties of ideals and their geometric and analytic behavior as measured by integral dependence.

The guiding question of this paper, extending investigations initiated in [8], is the following.

**Question 1.1.** *Suppose  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay and  $I$  is unmixed. Is it true that  $D(I) \subseteq \bar{I}$ ?*

We approach this question from multiple perspectives, obtaining both positive results that identify broad classes of ideals satisfying the containment and negative results demonstrating its failure in general. Our main contributions are as follows.

- (i) *Structural analysis of  $D(I)$ .* We establish foundational properties of higher divisorial ideals, including their behavior under flat base change, iteration properties, and monotonicity with respect to inclusion (see Corollary 3.5). We also relate  $D(I)$  to primary decomposition through its containment between  $I^{\text{unm}}$  and  $\text{rad}(I)$  over Cohen–Macaulay rings (see Proposition 3.7).
- (ii) *Positive containment results.* We prove that  $D(I) \subseteq \bar{I}$  holds for:
  - (a) unmixed ideals of finite projective dimension over 3-dimensional quasi-normal rings (see Proposition 4.7);
  - (b) parameter ideals in universally catenary quasi-Gorenstein rings (see Proposition 2.8);
  - (c) powers of perfect ideals satisfying suitable Betti number conditions (see Proposition 4.5);
  - (d) ideals of analytic spread one (see Proposition 6.7 and Corollary 6.8).
- (iii) *Counterexamples and limitations.* We construct explicit examples showing that the containment may fail even in low-dimensional regular rings (see Corollary 3.10), thereby demonstrating the necessity of the unmixedness hypothesis in Question 1.1. Our example is significantly simpler than the one given in [8], and the ring involved is 3-dimensional.
- (iv) *Connections with other ideal operations.* We clarify relationships between  $D(I)$  and:
  - (a) reflexive closure  $I^{**}$ , particularly in dimension one;
  - (b) trace ideals and conductor ideals (see Propositions 5.2 and 5.4);

- (c) Frobenius and tight closure operations in positive characteristic (see, for example, Proposition 6.11).
- (v) *Applications.* We derive consequences for:
- (a) criteria for the triviality of reflexive modules and vector bundles on punctured spectra (see Corollary 7.6);
  - (b) connections between annihilators of Ext and local cohomology (see Proposition 6.4);
  - (c) characterizations of when  $D(I) = I$  (see Proposition 3.8).

We conclude with a brief overview of the techniques used throughout the paper.

- We employ homological methods such as the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula, duality theory, and properties of perfect and Cohen–Macaulay modules to analyze  $\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)$  and its annihilator.
- We use ideal-theoretic tools such as primary decomposition, integral closure theory, and trace ideal techniques to relate  $D(I)$  to other ideal operations.
- In positive characteristic, we exploit Frobenius actions to obtain additional results and examples.
- From a geometric viewpoint, particularly in applications to vector bundles, we use sheaf-theoretic interpretations and local cohomology to connect algebraic properties of  $D(I)$  with geometric properties of reflexive sheaves.

We follow [17] for terminology and notation concerning integral closure and refer to [7] for background on homological algebra and Cohen–Macaulay rings.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND STRUCTURAL CONCEPTS

Throughout the paper,  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  denotes a commutative Noetherian local ring. All modules are assumed to be finitely generated unless otherwise specified.

**Fact 2.1.** *Suppose  $I$  is an ideal of grade  $g$ . Then  $I \subseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)) \subseteq \text{rad}(I)$ .*

*Proof.* This is well known. The module  $\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)$  identifies with the last nonzero Koszul homology of  $I$ , and the annihilator of this Koszul homology is always contained in  $\text{rad}(I)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** *The assumption that  $g = \text{grade}(I, R)$  is essential; see [11, 2].*

Let  $I^{\text{unm}}$  denote the unmixed part of  $I$ . Vasconcelos proved that over Cohen–Macaulay rings with a canonical module one has  $I^{\text{unm}} \subseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, \omega_R))$ . The following result extends this from the Gorenstein case.

**Fact 2.3.** *Suppose  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay and  $I$  is an ideal of height  $g$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^g(R/I^{\text{unm}}, R)$ . In particular,*

$$I^{\text{unm}} \subseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)) \subseteq \text{rad}(I).$$

*Proof.* The second inclusion follows from Fact 2.1. Any prime ideal associated to  $I^{\text{unm}}$  has height equal to  $\text{ht}(I)$ , while every associated prime of  $I^{\text{unm}}/I$  has height strictly greater than  $\text{ht}(I)$ . Hence

$$\text{grade}(\text{Ann}(I^{\text{unm}}/I), R) = \text{ht}(\text{Ann}(I^{\text{unm}}/I)) > \text{ht}(I) = g.$$

It follows that  $\text{Ext}_R^{g-1}(I^{\text{unm}}/I, R) = \text{Ext}_R^g(I^{\text{unm}}/I, R) = 0$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$  to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I^{\text{unm}}/I \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow R/I^{\text{unm}} \longrightarrow 0$$

yields

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^{s-1}(I^{\text{unm}}/I, R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^s(R/I^{\text{unm}}, R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^s(R/I, R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^s(I^{\text{unm}}/I, R) = 0,$$

which proves the claim.  $\square$

The Cohen–Macaulay hypothesis is necessary.

**Example 2.4.** Let  $R := K[[x, x_1, \dots, x_n]]/(x\mathfrak{m})$  and set  $I = 0$ . Then  $I^{\text{unm}} = xR$  has height zero. Since  $\text{Ext}_R^0(R/I, R) = \text{Hom}_R(R, R) = R$ , we have  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^0(R/I, R)) = 0$ , so  $I^{\text{unm}} = xR \not\subseteq 0$ .

By  $(G_1)$  we mean the Gorenstein condition in codimension one, and by  $(S_2)$  we mean Serre’s condition. A *quasi-normal* ring is one satisfying both  $(G_1)$  and  $(S_2)$ . We write  $(-)^* := \text{Hom}_R(-, R)$  and  $\bar{I}$  for the integral closure of  $I$ .

**Fact 2.5** ([12, 4.2]). Suppose  $R$  is quasi-normal and let  $M$  be a lattice. Then  $\text{Hom}_R(M, R)^* = \bigcap_{P \in \text{Spec}^1(R)} M_P$ .

**Conjecture 2.6.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of height and grade one. Then  $I^{**} \subseteq \bar{I}$ .

We write  $\text{pd}_R(-)$  and  $\text{id}_R(-)$  for the projective and injective dimensions, respectively.

**Remark 2.7.** Conjecture 2.6 fails if one assumes only that  $\text{ht}(I) = 1$ . For an example, let  $R := k[[X, Y]]/(X^2, XY)$ .

- Let  $I = \mathfrak{m}$ , which has height one. Then  $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$ . Suppose, for contradiction, that  $I^{**} \subseteq \bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$ . Applying  $(-)^*$  to the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \xrightarrow{i} R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$  yields

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) & \longrightarrow & R^* & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}^* & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \cong \uparrow & & = \uparrow & & = \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & xR & \longrightarrow & R & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{m}^* & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^t R/\mathfrak{m} & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where  $t$  is the type of  $R$ . From the induced maps we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^t xR \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{**} \longrightarrow (R/xR)^* \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^t \text{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, R).$$

Combining this with the previous diagram shows  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{**} \subseteq \bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$ . Hence  $t = 1$ . By a result of Roberts (see [7, 9.6.3]), this implies that  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay, a contradiction.

- Now set  $I := yR$ , which also has height one. Since  $x$  satisfies  $x^2 = 0$ , we have  $x \in \bar{I}$ , and thus  $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$ . Because  $I = R/(0 :_R y) = R/xR$ , we obtain  $I^* = (0 :_R x) = \mathfrak{m}$ , and therefore  $I^{**} = \mathfrak{m}^*$ . From the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$  we deduce

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(k, R) = xR \rightarrow R \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(k, R) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\mu^i(\mathfrak{m}, R) \neq 0$  for all  $i \in [\text{depth}(R), \text{id}(R)]$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(k, R) \neq 0$ . Consequently, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I = R/xR \longrightarrow I^{**} \longrightarrow k^{\oplus s} \rightarrow 0$$

for some  $s > 0$ . If  $I^{**} \subseteq \bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}$ , then

$$k^{\oplus s} \cong I^{**}/I \subseteq \bar{I}/I = \mathfrak{m}/I = (x, y)/y \cong xR \cong k,$$

forcing  $s = 1$ . Thus the type of  $R$  is one, which again implies that  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay, a contradiction.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $R$  be universally catenary and quasi-Gorenstein, and let  $I$  be a parameter ideal of  $g := \text{codim}(I)$ . Then  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)) \subseteq \bar{I}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $g = \dim R - \dim(R/I)$ . First assume  $g = \dim R$ , so  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary and  $I^{\text{unm}} = I$ . Since  $R$  is quasi-Gorenstein,

$$\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R) = \text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, K_R) = K_{R/I}$$

(see [1]). Hence  $\text{Ann}(K_{R/I}) = U_{R/I} = I$ , and the claim follows.

Now assume  $g < \dim R$ . By [16, Ex. 10.11], the universally catenary hypothesis implies  $I^{\text{unm}} \subseteq \bar{I}$ . Therefore,  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)) \subseteq I^{\text{unm}} \subseteq \bar{I}$ .  $\square$

By  $I^*$  we denote the tight closure of  $I$ .

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $R$  be of prime characteristic, essentially of finite type over a field, and quasi-Gorenstein. If  $I$  is a parameter ideal with  $g := \text{codim}(I) < \dim R$ , then  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)) \subseteq I^*$ .*

**Remark 2.10.** *Let  $R$  be  $F$ -regular of dimension  $d \geq 2$ . Then there exists an ideal  $I$  of grade  $g$  such that*

$$\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)) \not\subseteq I^*.$$

*Proof.* Since  $d > 1$ , there exists an ideal  $I$  that is not unmixed. If  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)) \subseteq I^*$ , then by Fact 2.3,

$$I^{\text{unm}} \subseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)) \subseteq I^* = I,$$

because  $F$ -regular rings have all ideals tightly closed. Thus  $I$  would be unmixed, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $I$  be a height-one ideal and suppose  $R$  is normal. Then  $I^{**} \supseteq \bar{I}$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $I^{**} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^1(R)} IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R$ . For each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^1(R)$ , the localization  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a DVR by  $(R_1)$ , hence integrally closed. Let  $\Sigma$  denote the set of DVRs birationally dominating  $R$ . Then

$$I^{**} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^1(R)} IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \cap R \supseteq \bigcap_{V \in \Sigma} IV \cap R = \bar{I},$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. HIGHER DIVISORIAL IDEALS

We begin by introducing the main object of this paper.

**Definition 3.1** (Vasconcelos [23]). *Let  $I$  be an ideal of grade  $g$ . The higher divisorial ideal of  $I$  is defined by*

$$D(I) := D_R(I) := \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)).$$

**Observation 3.2.** *Let  $f : R \rightarrow S$  be a flat homomorphism of local rings and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then  $D_R(I)S = D_S(IS)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\text{grade}(I) = g$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, R) \otimes_R S \cong \text{Ext}_S^i(S/IS, S)$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $\text{Ext}_S^i(S/IS, S) = 0$  for  $i < g$ , while  $\text{Ext}_S^g(S/IS, S) \cong \text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R) \otimes_R S \neq 0$  since  $S$  is faithfully flat. Thus  $\text{grade}(IS) = g$ . Moreover,

$$\text{Ann}_S(\text{Ext}_S^g(S/IS, S)) = \text{Ann}_S(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R) \otimes_R S) = \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R))S,$$

because annihilators commute with flat base change.  $\square$

**Fact 3.3.** (i)  $I \subseteq D(I) \subseteq \text{rad}(I)$ .

(ii) If  $R/I$  is Noetherian, then there exists  $n > 0$  such that  $D^n(I) = D^m(I)$  for all  $m \geq n$ .

(iii) If  $\text{grade}(I) = 1$ , then  $D(D(I)) = D(I)$ .

*Proof.* (i) is given in Fact 2.1.

(iii) If  $\text{grade}(I) = 1$ , then  $D(I) = I^{**}$ . Since reflexive hulls are idempotent,  $I^{****} = I^{**}$ , and the result follows.

(ii) Define  $D^\ell(I) := D(D^{\ell-1}(I))$  for  $\ell > 1$ . By (i) we have an ascending chain

$$I \subseteq D(I) \subseteq D^2(I) \subseteq \dots$$

Since  $R/I$  is Noetherian, this chain stabilizes.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** One has  $D(D(I)) = D(I)$ .

*Proof.* First assume  $\text{depth } R = 0$ . By definition,

$$D(I) = \text{Ann}(\text{Hom}_R(R/I, R)) = \text{Ann}(0 : I) = (0 : (0 : I)).$$

Thus

$$D^2(I) = (0 : (0 : D(I))) = (0 : (0 : (0 : (0 : I)))).$$

Recall that  $\text{Ann}(J) = \text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(J)))$  for any ideal  $J$  (see [18, 2.7]). Hence  $D^2(I) = D(I)$ . Now consider the general case. Let  $\underline{x} = x_1, \dots, x_g$  be a maximal regular sequence contained in  $I$ . Then  $\underline{x}R \subseteq I \subseteq D(I) \subseteq D^2(I)$ . It suffices to show  $D(I)/\underline{x}R = D^2(I)/\underline{x}R$ . But

$$D(I) = (\underline{x} : (\underline{x} : I)), \quad D^2(I) = (\underline{x} : (\underline{x} : (\underline{x} : (\underline{x} : I)))).$$

Thus the claim reduces to proving

$$(0 : (0 : I)) = (0 : (0 : (0 : (0 : I))))$$

inside  $R/\underline{x}R$ , which follows from the depth-zero case.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** If  $I_1 \subseteq I_2$  are ideals of the same grade, then  $D(I_1) \subseteq D(I_2)$ . In particular,

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} D(I^m) \subseteq \dots \subseteq D(I^{n+1}) \subseteq D(I^n) \subseteq \dots \subseteq D(I).$$

*Proof.* We reduce to the case  $\text{grade}(I_i) = 0$ . Then  $(0 : I_1) \supseteq (0 : I_2)$ , so

$$D(I_1) = \text{Ann}(0 : I_1) \subseteq \text{Ann}(0 : I_2) = D(I_2).$$

$\square$

**Example 3.6.** (i) There exist  $I_1 \subseteq I_2$  such that  $D(I_1) \not\subseteq D(I_2)$ .

(ii) If  $x$  is  $R$ -regular, then  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} D((xR)^m) = 0$ .

(iii) If  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay and  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary, then  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} D(I^m) = 0$ .

(iv) If  $R$  is regular of prime characteristic, then  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} D(I^m) = 0$ .

(v) Let  $R = \frac{k[[x, x_1, \dots, x_n]]}{x\mathfrak{m}}$ . Then  $D(-)$  is constant on nonzero ideals.

(vi) In the same ring as in (v),  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} D(I^m) \neq 0$  for every nonzero ideal  $I$ .

(vii) If  $R$  is a one-dimensional domain and  $I \neq 0$ , then  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} D(I^m) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $R = k[[x, y]]$ ,  $I_1 = (x^2, xy)$  and  $I_2 = (x^2, y)$ . Then  $I_1 \subseteq I_2$  and  $I_1 = (x) \cap (x^2, y)$ , so  $D(I_1) = I_1^{**} = I_1^{\text{unm}} = (x)$ . Since  $I_2$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary and integrally closed,  $I_2 = D(I_2)$ . Thus  $D(I_1) \not\subseteq D(I_2)$ .

(ii) Since  $\text{Ext}^1(R/x^m R, R) \cong R/x^m R$ , we get  $D((xR)^m) = (x^m)$ . Krull's intersection theorem gives the result.

(iii) Because  $D(I^m) \subseteq \overline{I^m}$ , it suffices to show  $\bigcap_m \overline{I^m} = 0$ . This follows either from Briançon–Skoda plus Krull intersection, or from the valuative criterion: if  $x$  lies in all  $\overline{I^m}$ , then  $v(x) > m$  for all  $m$ , so  $x = 0$ .

(iv) The intersection annihilates  $\text{Ext}^s(R/I^m, R)$  for all  $m$ , hence annihilates  $H_1^s(R)$ . Since  $\text{Ann}(H_1^s(R)) = 0$ , the claim follows.

(v) If  $0 \neq a \in \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\text{grade}(aR) = 0$  and  $D(aR) = \text{Ann}(0 : a) = \text{Ann}(x) = \mathfrak{m}$ . By Corollary 3.5,  $D(I) = \mathfrak{m}$  for any nonzero  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ .

(vi) If  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $D(I^m) = \mathfrak{m}$  for all  $m$ , so the intersection equals  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

(vii) This follows from (iii). □

**Proposition 3.7.** *Suppose  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay. The following assertions hold:*

(i)  $I \subseteq I^{\text{unm}} \subseteq D(I) \subseteq \text{rad}(I)$ .

(ii) *Suppose  $I$  and  $J$  are primary ideals of the same height with different associated primes. Then*

$$D(I \cap J) \subseteq D(I) \cap D(J).$$

*Proof.* (i) This is well known; see Fact 2.3.

(ii) Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R/(I \cap J) \rightarrow R/I \oplus R/J \rightarrow R/(I + J) \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $\text{Ext}_R^s(-, R)$  gives

$$\text{Ext}_R^s(R/(I + J), R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^s(R/I, R) \oplus \text{Ext}_R^s(R/J, R) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^s(R/(I \cap J), R).$$

Let  $P \in \min(I + J)$ . If  $P \in \min(I)$ , then  $P \notin \min(J)$ , so  $P \supseteq J$  and hence  $\text{ht}(P) > \text{ht}(J)$ . The same conclusion holds if  $P \in \min(J)$ . If  $P \notin \min(I) \cup \min(J)$ , then again  $P \supseteq I$  and  $P \supseteq J$ , so  $\text{ht}(P) > \text{ht}(I) = \text{ht}(J)$ . Thus every minimal prime of  $I + J$  has height strictly larger than  $g = \text{ht}(I) = \text{ht}(J)$ . Since  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay, this implies  $\text{Ext}_R^g(R/(I + J), R) = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R) \oplus \text{Ext}_R^g(R/J, R) \subseteq \text{Ext}_R^g(R/(I \cap J), R),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(I) \cap D(J) &= \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R)) \cap \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/J, R)) \\ &= \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/I, R) \oplus \text{Ext}_R^g(R/J, R)) \\ &\supseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/(I \cap J), R)) \\ &= D(I \cap J). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 3.8.** *The following statements hold:*

(i) *If  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay, then  $D(\mathfrak{m}^n) \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{m}^n}$  for all  $n$ .*

(ii) *If  $R$  is regular, then  $D(\mathfrak{m}^n) = \mathfrak{m}^n$  for all  $n$ .*

(iii) *Suppose  $R$  is zero-dimensional. Then  $D_R(-) = \text{id}(-)$  if and only if  $R$  is Gorenstein.*

*Proof.* (i) and (ii): By Proposition 3.7(i), we have  $D(\mathfrak{m}^n) \supseteq \mathfrak{m}^n$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}^n$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary, it follows from [8, 2.15] that  $D(\mathfrak{m}^n) \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{m}^n}$ . If  $R$  is regular, then powers of the maximal ideal are integrally closed (see [17, Ex. 1.18]). Hence

$$\mathfrak{m}^n \subseteq D(\mathfrak{m}^n) \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{m}^n} = \mathfrak{m}^n,$$

so equality holds.

(iii) Recall that  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, R) = (0 :_R I)$ . Hence  $D(I) = \text{Ann}((0 :_R I))$ . Thus  $D(I) = I$  for all ideals  $I$  if and only if  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(I)) = I$  for all  $I$ , which is equivalent to  $R$  being Gorenstein (see [7, Ex. 3.2.15]).  $\square$

**Example 3.9.** *The regularity assumption in Proposition 3.8(ii) is essential. Let*

$$R = k[x^5, x^6, x^{14}] \subset k[x], \quad I = \mathfrak{m}^2.$$

*Then  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  is not integrally closed and  $\mathfrak{m}^2 \subsetneq D(\mathfrak{m}^2) \subsetneq \overline{\mathfrak{m}^2}$ .*

*Proof.* From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, R) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I, R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(I, R)/R \cong I^{-1}/R.$$

Since  $R$  is integrally closed in  $k[x]$ , we have

$$I^{-1} \subseteq k[x], \quad \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R) \subseteq k[x]/R.$$

Thus  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R)) \supseteq \text{Ann}(k[x]/R)$ . Because  $x^5 \cdot x^4 \notin R$ , we have  $x^5 \notin \text{Ann}(k[x]/R)$ . On the other hand,  $\{x^6, x^{14}\} \subset \text{Ann}(k[x]/R)$ , so

$$(\mathfrak{m}^2, x^6, x^{14}) \subset \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R)).$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R)) \subsetneq \overline{\mathfrak{m}^2}.$$

Finally,  $\mathfrak{m}^2$  is not reflexive (see [9, 7.5]), hence it is not integrally closed, since over a one-dimensional ring integrally closed ideals are reflexive (see [8, 2.14]).  $\square$

In Proposition 3.8(i) and (ii), the powers of the maximal ideal behave in a particularly nice way. The next result shows that this behavior fails for powers of prime ideals in general.

**Corollary 3.10.** *There exists a Cohen–Macaulay ring  $R$  and a power  $I$  of a prime ideal such that  $D(I) \not\subseteq \bar{I}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 2 and set  $R := k[[X, Y, Z]]$ . Let  $P$  be the defining ideal of the monomial curve  $k[[t^3, t^4, t^5]] \subseteq k[[t]]$ . Then  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  and

$$g := \text{grade}(P, R) = \text{ht}(P) = 2.$$

The same equalities hold for  $I := P^2$ . From [15, 3.7] we know:

- (i)  $P^2 \neq P^{(2)}$ ,
- (ii)  $P^2 = \overline{P^2}$ .

Suppose, toward a contradiction, that

$$D(P^2) = \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/P^2, R)) \subseteq \overline{P^2}.$$

Since  $P^n \subseteq P^{(n)}$  for all  $n$ , we have  $P^2 \subseteq P^{(2)}$ . Now we compare these ideals using reflexive hulls. Because  $R$  is regular, it is quasi-normal. Hence by Fact 2.5,

$$(P^2)^{**} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^1(R)} (P^2)R_{\mathfrak{p}} = P^{(2)}.$$

Moreover, since  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay and  $\text{ht}(P^2) = g$ , Fact 2.3 gives

$$(P^2)^{**} \subseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^g(R/P^2, R)) = D(P^2).$$

Combining these containments with the assumption and (ii), we obtain

$$P^{(2)} = (P^2)^{**} \subseteq D(P^2) \subseteq \overline{P^2} = P^2 \subseteq P^{(2)}.$$

Hence  $P^{(2)} = P^2$ , contradicting (i). Therefore  $D(P^2) \not\subseteq \overline{P^2}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.11.** *The above ideal  $P^2$  is not unmixed. In fact, if  $I$  is an unmixed ideal of finite projective dimension in a ring  $R$  with  $\dim(R/I) \leq 1$ , then one has  $D(I) \subseteq \overline{I}$ .*

In Proposition 3.8(i), the Cohen–Macaulay assumption is essential:

**Remark 3.12.** *Let  $R := k[[X, Y]]/(X^2, XY)$  and let  $n > 0$ . Then  $D(\mathfrak{m}^n) \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{m}^n}$  if and only if  $n = 1$ .*

*Proof.* First note that  $\mathfrak{m} = (x, y)R$  and  $x^2 = xy = 0$  in  $R$ . Hence  $x \in (0 :_R \mathfrak{m})$ , so

$$D(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{Ann}(\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, R)) = \text{Ann}(0 :_R \mathfrak{m}) = \text{Ann}(x) = \mathfrak{m}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{m} = \overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ , the statement holds for  $n = 1$ . Now assume  $n > 1$ . Because  $x^2 = xy = 0$ , every product involving  $x$  and at least one additional factor vanishes. Thus, for  $n \geq 2$  we have  $\mathfrak{m}^n = (x, y)^n R = y^n R$ . Moreover,  $\text{depth}(R) = 0$ , so  $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{m}^n, R) = 0$ . Hence

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^n, R) = (0 :_R \mathfrak{m}^n) = (0 :_R y^n).$$

Since  $xy^n = 0$  and  $y^n \neq 0$ , we obtain  $(0 :_R y^n) = xR$ . Therefore

$$D(\mathfrak{m}^n) = \text{Ann}(xR) = (0 :_R x) = \mathfrak{m}.$$

On the other hand,  $\mathfrak{m}^n = y^n R$  is integrally closed (being principal in the reduced ring  $R/xR \cong k[[Y]]$ ), so  $\overline{\mathfrak{m}^n} = \mathfrak{m}^n = y^n R$ . Consequently,

$$D(\mathfrak{m}^n) = \mathfrak{m} \not\subseteq y^n R = \mathfrak{m}^n = \overline{\mathfrak{m}^n}$$

for all  $n > 1$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. MORE ON IDEALS OF FINITE PROJECTIVE DIMENSION

By  $\chi(M)$  we denote the Euler characteristic of a module  $M$  of finite projective dimension, and by  $\text{Tr}(-)$  the Auslander transpose.

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $\text{pd}_R(M) = 1$ , then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, R) \cong \text{Tr}(M)$ . In particular, if  $R$  is generically Gorenstein, then*

$$\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^1(M, R)) = \text{Ann}(M) \iff M \text{ is not faithful} \iff \chi(M) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $0 \rightarrow R^n \xrightarrow{f} R^m \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be a projective presentation of  $M$ . Dualizing, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M^* \longrightarrow R^m \xrightarrow{f^*} R^n \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By definition of the transpose,  $\text{Tr}(M) = \text{coker}(f^*)$ , hence  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, R) \cong \text{Tr}(M)$ . Now assume  $R$  is generically Gorenstein.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^1(M, R)) = \text{Ann}(M)$ . If  $M$  were faithful, then  $\text{Ann}(M) = 0$ , hence  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^1(M, R)) = 0$ . But  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, R)$  is supported in positive codimension (since  $\text{pd}(M) = 1$ ), so over a generically Gorenstein ring it must have a nonzero annihilator — a contradiction. Thus  $M$  is not faithful.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $M$  is not faithful, so  $\text{Ann}(M) \neq 0$ . Since  $\text{pd}(M) < \infty$ , a result of Peskine–Szpiro [22, I.4.14] gives  $\text{grade}(M) > 0$ . Hence  $\text{Hom}_R(M, R) = 0$ , and the presentation above shows that  $M$  is perfect of grade one. In particular, the natural biduality isomorphism yields  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Ext}_R^1(M, R), R) \cong M$ . Taking annihilators and using that  $R$  is generically Gorenstein (so double annihilators behave well), we obtain  $\text{Ann}(M) = \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^1(M, R))$ .

Finally, the equivalence with  $\chi(M) = 0$  follows from [23, Proposition 2.26], which states that for modules of projective dimension one over a generically Gorenstein ring,

$$\chi(M) > 0 \iff M \text{ is faithful.}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Recall that  $F : R \rightarrow R$  is the Frobenius map. Each iteration of  $F_n$  of  $F$  defines a new  $R$ -module structure on the set  $R$ , and this  $R$ -module is denoted by  ${}^{F_n}R$ , where  $a \cdot b = a^{p^n}b$  for  $a, b \in R$ . By  $I^{[p]}$  we mean  $\langle x^p : x \in I \rangle$ .

- Notation 4.2.**
- (i) By  $\beta_t := \beta_t(-)$  we mean the  $t$ -th Betti number of  $(-)$ .
  - (ii) By  $\phi_t := \phi_t(-)$  we mean the  $t$ -th morphism  $\phi_t : R^{\beta_{t+1}} \rightarrow R^{\beta_t}$  in a minimal free resolution of  $(-)$ .
  - (iii) Let  $R^s \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R^r \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be a presentation, and recall that  $\phi_0$  represents with a matrix  $[\phi_0]$ . The  $i$ -th Fitting invariant of  $M$  is  $\text{Fitt}_i(M) := I_{r-i}(\phi_0)$  that is, determinants of sub-matrices of size  $r - i$  of  $[\phi_0]$ .

**Example 4.3.** Let  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  be a height 2 perfect ideal in a ring  $R$  of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ , and let  $q := p^n$ . Then  $D(P^{[q]}) = P^{[q]}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $R$  is a domain since  $P$  is a prime of finite projective dimension. Let

$$\varepsilon := \text{Ext}^2(R/P, R), \quad \varepsilon^{[q]} := \text{Ext}^2(R/P^{[q]}, R).$$

We have

$$0 \longrightarrow R^m \xrightarrow{(a_{ij})} R^\ell \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \frac{R}{P} \longrightarrow 0,$$

which follows by taking  $m = \ell - 1$ . Recall that  $\beta_0(\varepsilon) - \beta_1(\varepsilon) + 1 = \text{grad}(\varepsilon)$ , and note that  $\text{ht}(P) = \text{ht}(P^{[q]}) = 2$ . We tensor with  ${}^{F_n}R$  and obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow R^m \xrightarrow{(a_{ij}^{[q]})} R^\ell \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow \frac{R}{P^{[q]}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $q = p^n$ . Taking dual, this gives

$$0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R^\ell \xrightarrow{(a_{ji}^{[q]})} R^m \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2\left(\frac{R}{P^{[q]}}, R\right) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Also,

$$\beta_0(\varepsilon^{[q]}) - \beta_1(\varepsilon^{[q]}) + 1 = \text{grad}(\varepsilon^{[q]}).$$

Then

$$\text{Ann}(\varepsilon^{[q]}) = \text{Fitt}_0(\varepsilon^{[q]}) = \text{Fitt}_0(a_{ji}^{[q]}) = \text{Ann}(\varepsilon)^{[q]}.$$

Clearly, as  $P$  is radical and annihilates  $\varepsilon$ , we have  $P = \text{Ann}(\varepsilon)$  (see Fact 2.1). Hence,  $D(P^{[q]}) = \text{Ann}(\varepsilon)^{[q]} = P^{[q]}$ .  $\square$

The following fact is well-known to experts:

**Fact 4.4.** *Suppose  $I \subseteq J$  and for any  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)$  we have  $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = J_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , then  $I = J$ .*

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  be a perfect ideal of finite projective dimension  $t$  over a ring  $R$  of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ , let  $q := p^n$ . Suppose one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $\beta_t(R/P) - \beta_{t-1}(R/P) + 1 = \text{grad}(P, R)$ , or
- (ii)  $\beta_t(R/P) = \beta_{t-1}(R/P)$ ,
- (iii)  $\beta_t(R/P) = 1$ .

Then  $D(P^{[q]}) = P^{[q]}$ , where  $g = t$  is the grade of  $P$ .

*Proof.* (i) This is similar to Example 4.3.

(ii) Let  $\varepsilon := \text{Ext}^g(R/P, R)$  and  $\varepsilon^{[q]} := \text{Ext}^g(R/P^{[q]}, R)$ . Thus  $\text{Ann}(\varepsilon^{[q]}) \subseteq \sqrt{P^{[q]}} = P$ . Denote the last matrix in the minimal free resolution of  $R/P$  with  $(a_{ij})$ . By the work of Buchsbaum-Eisenbud [5], we know

$$\text{Ann}(\varepsilon) = (\text{Fitt}_0(a_{ij}) : \text{Fitt}_1(a_{ij})).$$

Since  $P$  is radical and annihilates  $\varepsilon$ , we have  $P = \text{Ann}(\varepsilon)$  (see Fact 2.1). Similarly, since  $\beta_t(R/P^{[q]}) = \beta_{t-1}(R/P^{[q]})$  we have

$$\text{Ann}(\varepsilon^{[q]}) = (\text{Fitt}_0(a_{ji}^{[q]}) : \text{Fitt}_1(a_{ji}^{[q]})).$$

Set  $A := \text{Fitt}_0(a_{ji})$  and  $B := \text{Fitt}_1(a_{ji})$ . Also, we prove

$$P^{[q]} = \text{Ann}(\varepsilon)^{[q]} = (A : B)^{[q]} \subseteq \text{Ann}(\varepsilon^{[q]}) = (A^{[q]} : B^{[q]}) \subseteq \sqrt{P^{[q]}} = P.$$

Recall that  $R_P$  is regular, hence by a classic result of Kunz (see [7, Corollary 8.2.8]), its Frobenius map is flat, and consistently commutes with colon ideals. Also, by Peskine-Szpiro [7, Proposition 8.2.5], one has  $({}^F R)_Q = {}^F(R_Q)$  for all  $Q \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Let  $L := (A^{[q]} : B^{[q]})$  and since  $\min(L) = \{P\}$ , then its  $P$ -primary component is unique, and denoted by  $L(P)$ . This is given by

$$L(P) = LR_P \cap R = (A^{[q]} : B^{[q]})R_P \cap R = (A : B)^{[q]}R_P \cap R = P^{[q]}R_P \cap R = P^{[q]},$$

because  $P^{[q]}$  is  $P$ -primary. But

$$[P^{[q]} \subseteq L \subseteq L(P) = P^{[q]}] \xrightarrow{4.4} L = P^{[q]},$$

as claimed

(iii) This is similar as above item, and we left it to the reader.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $I$  be a radical ideal of height  $h$  so that  $h = \text{pd}(R/I)$ . Suppose  $\beta_h(R/I) = 1$ . The following holds.*

- (i)  $D(I) = I$ . In particular,  $(\phi_{h-1})^* = \phi_0$ .
- (ii) If  $R$  is Gorenstein, then  $R/I$  is Gorenstein.

*Proof.* (i) Consider a minimal free resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow R^{\beta_h} \xrightarrow{\phi_{h-1}} \dots \longrightarrow R^{\beta_1} \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$ , and taking homology at the end spot, gives us

$$\text{Ext}_R^h(R/I, R) = \text{Coker}(\phi_{h-1}^* : R^{\beta_{h-1}} \longrightarrow R^{\beta_h} = R).$$

On the other hand,  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^h(R/I, R)) = I$ , because  $I$  is radical. Combining these, we have  $(\phi_{h-1})^* = \phi_0$ .

(ii) Suppose  $R$  is Gorenstein. Then

$$K_{R/I} = \text{Ext}_R^h(R/I, R) \cong R/I.$$

Hence  $R/I$  is quasi-Gorenstein. Since  $R$  is Gorenstein, it is Cohen–Macaulay and particularly satisfies the chain condition. Thus, by using Auslander–Buchsbaum formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(R) - \text{depth}(R/I) &= \text{pd}_R(R/I) \\ &= h = \text{ht}(I) \\ &= \dim(R) - \dim(R/I) \\ &= \text{depth}(R) - \dim(R/I). \end{aligned}$$

This gives  $\text{depth}(R/I) = \dim(R/I)$ , so  $R/I$  is Cohen–Macaulay. By [7, Page 120], or even directly, this implies that  $R/I$  is Gorenstein.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $R$  be a 3-dimensional quasi-normal ring and let  $I$  be an unmixed ideal of finite projective dimension. Then  $D(I) \subseteq \bar{I}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g = \text{grade}(I, R)$ . Since  $I$  has finite projective dimension,  $g = \text{ht}(I)$  by the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula.

**Case 1:**  $\text{ht}(I) = 0$ . Then  $g = 0$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^0(R/I, R) = \text{Hom}_R(R/I, R) = (0 :_R I)$ . Hence

$$D(I) = \text{Ann}((0 :_R I)) = (0 : (0 : I)).$$

Because  $I$  is unmixed of height 0, every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)$  satisfies  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ . Since  $R$  is quasi-normal,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is zero-dimensional Gorenstein. Therefore,

$$\text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}})) = IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)$$

(see [7, Ex. 3.2.15]). Localizing  $D(I)$  at such primes gives

$$D(I)_{\mathfrak{p}} = (0 : (0 : I))_{\mathfrak{p}} = (0 : (0 : IR_{\mathfrak{p}})) = IR_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Since  $I \subseteq D(I)$  and the two ideals agree after localization at all associated primes of  $R/I$ , Fact 4.4 yields  $D(I) = I$ . In particular,  $D(I) = I \subseteq \bar{I}$ .

**Case 2:**  $\text{ht}(I) = 1$ . Again  $I$  is unmixed, so every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)$  has height one. Because  $R$  is quasi-normal,  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring. Let  $g = 1$ . Then

$$\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong K_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}},$$

the canonical module of the zero-dimensional Cohen–Macaulay ring  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Hence

$$D(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^1(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}})) = IR_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

As in Case 1,  $I \subseteq D(I)$  and equality holds after localizing at each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)$ , so Fact 4.4 gives  $D(I) = I$ . Thus  $D(I) = I \subseteq \bar{I}$ .

**Case 3:**  $\text{ht}(I) = 2$ . Set  $M := R/I$ . Then  $\dim M = 1$  and  $M$  is unmixed. Prime avoidance shows that  $\mathfrak{m} \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M)} \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $\text{depth}(M) > 0$ . Since  $I$  has finite projective dimension,

$$\text{pd}_R(M) = \text{depth}(R) - \text{depth}(M) \leq 3 - 1 = 2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\text{grade}(I, R) = \inf\{i : \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \neq 0\} \leq \text{ht}(I) = 2.$$

Thus  $\text{pd}_R(M) = \text{grade}(I, R) = 2$ , so  $M$  is perfect. Therefore

$$\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, R/I) \cong R/I,$$

and

$$D(I) = \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, R)) = \text{Ann}(R/I) = I.$$

Hence again  $D(I) = I \subseteq \bar{I}$ .

**Case 4:**  $\text{ht}(I) = 3$ . Then  $I$  is  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary. Since  $R$  is quasi-normal (in particular, analytically unramified and normal on the punctured spectrum), Corso–Huneke–Vasconcelos type results imply  $D(I) = \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^3(R/I, R)) \subseteq \bar{I}$  (see [8, 2.15]).

In all possible heights, we obtain  $D(I) \subseteq \bar{I}$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

**Fact 4.8** (Bergman, see [6]). *Suppose  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are graded  $k$ -algebras over a field  $k$ . If  $E_1$  is a faithful  $R_1$ -module and  $E_2$  is a faithful  $R_2$ -module, then  $E_1 \otimes_k E_2$  is a faithful  $R_1 \otimes_k R_2$ -module.*

**Proposition 4.9.** *Suppose  $R_1, R_2$  are standard graded  $k$ -algebras over a field  $k$ , and let  $I_1 \subset R_1, I_2 \subset R_2$  be ideals such that*

$$\text{Ann}_{R_i}(\text{Ext}_{R_i}^{d_i}(R_i/I_i, R_i)) = I_i, \quad \text{where } d_i := \text{pd}_{R_i}(R_i/I_i).$$

Set  $S := R_1 \otimes_k R_2$ . Then

$$\text{Ann}_S(\text{Ext}_S^{d_1+d_2}(S/(I_1S + I_2S), S)) = (I_1S + I_2S).$$

*Proof.* Let  $M_i = R_i/I_i$ . Since  $\text{pd}_{R_i}(M_i) = d_i < \infty$ , each  $\text{Ext}_{R_i}^{d_i}(M_i, R_i)$  is a nonzero finitely generated  $R_i$ -module whose annihilator is  $I_i$  by assumption.

A standard Künneth-type argument for Ext over tensor products (see, e.g., the proof of [13, 4.2]) yields a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_S^{d_1+d_2}(S/(I_1S + I_2S), S) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_1}^{d_1}(M_1, R_1) \otimes_k \text{Ext}_{R_2}^{d_2}(M_2, R_2).$$

Set  $E_i := \text{Ext}_{R_i}^{d_i}(M_i, R_i)$ . Then  $\text{Ann}_{R_i}(E_i) = I_i$ , so  $E_i$  is faithful as an  $R_i/I_i$ -module, and hence also as an  $R_i$ -module modulo  $I_i$ . By Bergman's fact,  $E_1 \otimes_k E_2$  is faithful over

$$(R_1/I_1) \otimes_k (R_2/I_2) \cong S/(I_1S + I_2S).$$

Therefore its annihilator as an  $S$ -module is exactly  $I_1S + I_2S$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ann}_S(E_1 \otimes_k E_2) = I_1S + I_2S$ . Using the displayed isomorphism above, this gives the desired equality.  $\square$

It is well known that in general  $\text{Ann}_R(M_1 \otimes_R M_2) \neq \text{Ann}_R(M_1) + \text{Ann}_R(M_2)$ .

**Question 4.10.** *Under what conditions does*

$$\text{Ann}_R(M_1 \otimes_R M_2) = \text{Ann}_R(M_1) + \text{Ann}_R(M_2) \quad (*)$$

hold?

The equality holds, for instance, when both modules are cyclic. Indeed, if  $M_1 = R/I$  and  $M_2 = R/J$ , then  $M_1 \otimes_R M_2 \cong R/(I+J)$ , and hence  $(*)$  is valid.

**Discussion 4.11.** *Suppose  $\text{pd}_R(M)$  and  $\text{pd}_R(N)$  are finite and  $M, N$  are Tor-independent, i.e.  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . Then*

$$M \otimes_R N \text{ is faithful} \iff M \text{ and } N \text{ are faithful} \quad (\dagger)$$

*This observation provides an alternative proof of Proposition 4.9, avoiding the use of [6], and clarifies the behavior of faithfulness under tensor products.*

*Proof.* Let  $P_\bullet$  and  $Q_\bullet$  be finite projective resolutions of  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively. Since  $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ , the total complex of  $P_\bullet \otimes_R Q_\bullet$  is a projective resolution of  $M \otimes_R N$ . By multiplicativity of Euler characteristics for tensor products of Tor-independent modules of finite projective dimension, we obtain  $\chi(M \otimes_R N) = \chi(M)\chi(N)$ . Hence

$$\chi(M \otimes_R N) > 0 \iff \chi(M) > 0 \text{ and } \chi(N) > 0.$$

By [23, Proposition 2.26], for such modules over a generically Gorenstein ring, positivity of the Euler characteristic is equivalent to faithfulness. Therefore,  $(\dagger)$  satisfied.  $\square$

## 5. CONNECTIONS TO TRACE

By  $\text{tr}(-)$  we mean the trace ideal of a module.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $R$  be a 0-dimensional Gorenstein local ring. Then for any finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ ,*

$$\text{tr}(M \otimes_R N) \subseteq \text{tr}(M) \cap \text{tr}(N).$$

*If equality holds, then Question 4.10 has an affirmative answer.*

*Proof.* We use the following elementary facts for  $R$ -modules and ideals:

- (1)  $\text{Ann}(M \otimes_R N) \supseteq \text{Ann}(M) + \text{Ann}(N)$ ,
- (2) if  $X \subseteq Y$ , then  $\text{Ann}(X) \supseteq \text{Ann}(Y)$ ,
- (3) for ideals  $I, J \subseteq R$ ,  $\text{Ann}(I+J) = \text{Ann}(I) \cap \text{Ann}(J)$ .

Recall that for any finitely generated module  $X$ , one always has  $\text{tr}(X) \subseteq \text{Ann Ann}(X)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(M \otimes_R N) &\subseteq \text{Ann Ann}(M \otimes_R N) \\ &\subseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(M) + \text{Ann}(N)) \\ &= \text{Ann Ann}(M) \cap \text{Ann Ann}(N). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $R$  is 0-dimensional and Gorenstein, every ideal  $I$  satisfies  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(I)) = I$  (see [7, Ex. 3.2.15]). Moreover, in this situation  $\text{tr}(X) = \text{Ann Ann}(X)$  for every finitely generated module  $X$  (see [19]). Therefore

$$\text{Ann Ann}(M) = \text{tr}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ann Ann}(N) = \text{tr}(N),$$

and the desired inclusion follows:  $\text{tr}(M \otimes_R N) \subseteq \text{tr}(M) \cap \text{tr}(N)$ . Now assume equality holds:  $\text{tr}(M \otimes_R N) = \text{tr}(M) \cap \text{tr}(N)$ . Then all the inclusions above must be equalities, in particular

$$\text{Ann Ann}(M \otimes_R N) = \text{Ann}(\text{Ann}(M) + \text{Ann}(N)).$$

Applying  $\text{Ann}(-)$  to both sides and again using the double annihilator property for ideals in a 0-dimensional Gorenstein ring, we obtain

$$\text{Ann}(M \otimes_R N) = \text{Ann Ann Ann}(M \otimes_R N) = \text{Ann Ann}(\text{Ann}(M) + \text{Ann}(N)) = \text{Ann}(M) + \text{Ann}(N).$$

This is precisely the statement required in Question 4.10.  $\square$

Let  $\bar{R}$  denote the integral closure of  $R$  in its total ring of fractions. By  $\mathfrak{C}_R = \text{Ann}_R(\bar{R}/R)$  we mean the conductor of  $\bar{R}$  into  $R$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $I$  be a trace ideal containing a regular element. Then  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R)) \supseteq \mathfrak{C}_R$ . In particular, if  $\mathfrak{C}_R = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\text{ht}(I) = 1$ , then  $D(I) = \mathfrak{m}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $I$  contains a regular element, every homomorphism  $R/I \rightarrow I$  is zero; hence  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, I) = 0$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$  gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow I^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $I^* = \text{Hom}_R(I, R)$ . Because  $I$  is a trace ideal, we have  $I^* = \text{Hom}_R(I, I)$ . Moreover, for any ideal  $I$  containing a regular element,  $\text{Hom}_R(I, I) \subseteq \bar{R}$  inside the total ring of fractions. Therefore

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R) \cong I^*/R = \frac{\text{Hom}_R(I, I)}{R} \subseteq \frac{\bar{R}}{R}.$$

By definition of the conductor,  $\mathfrak{C}_R = \text{Ann}_R(\bar{R}/R)$ , so  $\mathfrak{C}_R$  annihilates every submodule of  $\bar{R}/R$ . Hence  $\text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R)) \supseteq \mathfrak{C}_R$ . For the final statement, assume  $\mathfrak{C}_R = \mathfrak{m}$  and  $\text{ht}(I) = 1$ . Since  $I$  contains a regular element,  $\text{grade}(I, R) = 1$ , and therefore  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R) \neq 0$ . We have

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{C}_R \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R)) \subseteq R.$$

Because  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R) \neq 0$  and  $R$  is local, its annihilator must be a proper ideal; hence it equals  $\mathfrak{m}$ . By definition,  $D(I) = \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R)) = \mathfrak{m}$ .  $\square$

**Observation 5.3.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and suppose  $I$  contains a regular element.*

- (i)  $\text{tr}(I) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, R))$  for all  $i > 1$ .
- (ii) There are examples where  $\text{tr}(I) \not\subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R))$ .

*Proof.* (i) For  $i > 1$ , from the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$  we obtain  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(I, R)$ . Since  $I$  contains a regular element, we may view  $I \subseteq R \subseteq Q(R)$ , where  $Q(R)$  is the total ring of fractions. By [10, Theorem 3.3],

$$\text{tr}(I) \cdot \text{Ext}_R^j(I, R) = 0 \quad \text{for all } j > 0.$$

Taking  $j = i - 1 > 0$  yields  $\text{tr}(I) \cdot \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, R) = 0$ , so  $\text{tr}(I) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, R))$ .

(ii) By [18], there exists a one-dimensional ring  $R$  with a reflexive ideal  $I$  such that  $\text{tr}(I)$  is not reflexive. Suppose, toward a contradiction, that  $\text{tr}(I) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R))$ . As above,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R) \cong I^*/R$ , so

$$\text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, R)) = \text{Ann}_R(I^*/R) = (I^*)^{-1}.$$

Hence  $I \subseteq \text{tr}(I) \subseteq (I^*)^{-1} = I^{**}$ . Since  $I$  is reflexive,  $I^{**} = I$ , so  $\text{tr}(I) = I$ , which is reflexive, a contradiction.  $\square$

By  $\ell(I)$  we mean the analytically spread of  $I$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be an analytically unramified local ring and let  $I$  be an ideal such that*

$$\ell(I_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \setminus \min(I).$$

*Then  $\text{tr}(I) \subseteq \bar{I}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $d := \dim R$ .

**Base case:**  $d = 1$ . In dimension one, it is known that  $\overline{\text{tr}(I)} = \bar{I}$  (see [18, 2.4(3)]). Hence  $\text{tr}(I) \subseteq \bar{I}$ .

**Inductive step:**  $d \geq 2$ . Assume the statement holds for all analytically unramified local rings of dimension  $< d$ .

Analytically unramifiedness localizes (see [17, Prop. 9.1.4]), so  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is analytically unramified for every prime  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Since  $I \subseteq \text{tr}(I)$ , we have  $\bar{I} \subseteq \overline{\text{tr}(I)}$ . To prove the reverse inclusion, it suffices (by Fact 4.4) to show

$$\overline{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \overline{\text{tr}(I)R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \quad \text{for every } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\bar{I}).$$

**(a)**  $\mathfrak{p} \in \min(I)$ .

Localizing at such a prime,  $IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -primary. If  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) > 1$ , then  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 2$  and  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ . In this case

$$\text{grade}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{grade}(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}, R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \geq 2.$$

For ideals of grade at least two in an  $S_2$  ring, the trace is unchanged after localization, so  $\text{tr}(I)_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{tr}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}) = IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and hence their integral closures agree. If  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ , then  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ , and by the one-dimensional case,  $\overline{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \overline{\text{tr}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}})}$ .

**(b)**  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\bar{I}) \setminus \min(I)$ .

If  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} < d$ , and by the inductive hypothesis applied to  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $IR_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , we get  $\overline{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \overline{\text{tr}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}})}$ . Here we use that both trace and integral closure commute with localization. It remains to rule out  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Ass}(\bar{I})$ . Then by a theorem of Burch (see [17, Prop. 5.4.7]),  $\ell(I) = \dim R$ . But this contradicts the hypothesis, since  $\mathfrak{m} \notin \min(I)$  and we assumed

$$\ell(I_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \ell(I) < \dim(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \dim R.$$

Hence  $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass}(\bar{I})$ .

We have shown that  $\overline{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}} = \overline{\text{tr}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}})}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(\bar{I})$ . By Fact 4.4, this implies  $\bar{I} = \overline{\text{tr}(I)}$ . Therefore  $\text{tr}(I) \subseteq \bar{I}$ .  $\square$

## 6. LOW DIMENSION AND SMALL HEIGHT

By  $\mu(I)$  we mean the minimal number of elements that needs to generate an ideal  $I$ .

**Observation 6.1.** *Suppose  $R$  is a domain and that  $\mu(I) = 2$ .*

- (i)  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}^2(R/I, R)) = (I : I^{**})$ .
- (ii)  $(I :_R \bar{I}) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}^2(R/I, R))$  if  $R$  is analytically unramified and  $\dim(R) = 1$ .

*Proof.* (i): There is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I^* \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ . Recall that  $\text{Tr}(-)$  is the Auslander's transpose of  $(-)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^2(R/I, R) &= \text{Ext}^1(I, R) \\ &= \text{Ext}^2(\text{Tr}(I), R) \\ &= \text{coker}(I \rightarrow I^{**}) \\ &= I^{**}/I, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}^2(R/I, R)) = \text{Ann}(I^{**}/I) = (I : I^{**})$ .

(ii) By [8, 2.14] we know  $\bar{I} \supseteq I^{**}$ . This gives

$$r \in (I : \bar{I}) \Rightarrow rI^{**} \subseteq r\bar{I} \subseteq I \Rightarrow r \in (I : I^{**}) \stackrel{(i)}{=} \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}^2(R/I, R)),$$

so  $(I :_R \bar{I}) \subseteq \text{Ann}(\text{Ext}^2(R/I, R))$  as claimed.  $\square$

By  $\text{Syz}_i(M)$  we mean the  $i^{\text{th}}$  syzygy module of  $M$ . The following is easy:

**Fact 6.2.** *Suppose  $p := \text{pd}(M) < \infty$ . Then  $\text{Ext}^p(M, R) = \text{Tr}(\text{Syz}_{p-1}(M))$ .*

For simplicity, we set  $\Omega M := \text{Syz}_1(M)$ .

**Proposition 6.3.** *If  $M$  is perfect module of projective dimension two, then*

$$\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}^2(M, R)) = \text{Ann}(M) = \text{Ann} \frac{(\Omega M)^{**}}{\Omega M} = \{r \in R : r(\Omega M)^{**} \subseteq M\}.$$

*Proof.* The first equality follows from  $\text{Ext}^2(\text{Ext}^2(M, R), R) \cong M$  and the latter is similar to Observation 6.1(i). In fact, in view of Fact 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^2(\text{Ext}^2(M, R), R) &\cong \text{Ext}^2(\text{Tr}(\Omega M), R) \\ &= \text{coker}((\Omega M) \rightarrow (\Omega M)^{**}), \end{aligned}$$

and recall that  $\Omega M$  is a submodule of a free module, so torsionless. This means  $\Omega M \rightarrow (\Omega M)^{**}$  is an embedding, so the desired claim is clear.  $\square$

By  $(-)^{\vee}$  we mean the Matlis duality.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local ring of dimension  $d > 1$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module with  $\dim M = 1$ . Then*

$$\text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(M, R)) = \text{Ann}_R\left(\frac{M}{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)}\right).$$

*In particular,  $\text{Ann}_R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)) = \text{Ann}_R\left(\frac{M}{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)}\right)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying  $\text{Ext}_R^{\bullet}(-, R)$  yields the exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^{d-2}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(M, R) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), R) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(M, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), R).$$

We may assume  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) \neq 0$ . Then  $M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$  has positive depth. Since  $\dim M = 1$ , we get

$$0 < \text{depth}(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) \leq \dim(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = 1,$$

so  $\text{depth}(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = 1$ . By the Auslander–Bridger formula,

$$\sup\{i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), R) \neq 0\} = \text{Gdim}(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = d - \text{depth}(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) = d - 1.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\{i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), R) \neq 0\} &= \text{grade}(\text{Ann}_R(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)), R) \\ &= \text{ht}(\text{Ann}_R(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M))) \\ &= d - \dim(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)) \\ &= d - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$  is quasi-perfect. By [2, 4.11],

$$\text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M), R)) = \text{Ann}_R(M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)).$$

Using the isomorphism above gives the first equality. For the second statement, Matlis duality gives

$$\text{Ann}_R(X) = \text{Ann}_R(X^\vee) \quad \text{for any Artinian module } X.$$

By local duality,  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^1(M)^\vee \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-1}(M, R)$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

**Observation 6.5.** *Let  $M$  be a zero-dimensional  $R$ -module of finite projective dimension  $p$ . Then*

$$\text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^p(M, R)) = \text{Ann}_R(M).$$

*Proof.* The existence of such a module implies that  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay. Let  $d = \dim R$ . Since  $M$  is Artinian,

$$\inf\{i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \neq 0\} = \text{grade}(\text{Ann}_R(M), R) = \text{depth } R = d.$$

Also,

$$p = \sup\{i \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \neq 0\},$$

and by the Auslander–Buchsbaum formula,  $p \leq d$ . Hence  $p = d$ , so  $M$  is perfect. Therefore,  $\text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^p(M, R)) = \text{Ann}_R(M)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\text{Spec}^1(R)$  be the class of all prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  with  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ .

**Corollary 6.6.** *Let  $P \in \text{Spec}^1(R)$  with  $\text{pd}_R(P) < \infty$ , and assume  $\text{char}(R) = p > 0$ . Then for every  $q = p^e$ ,*

$$P^{[q]} = P^q = P^{(q)} = (P^q)^* = \overline{P^q}.$$

*In particular,  $D(P^q) = P^{[q]}$ .*

*Proof.* By [14, Theorem 1.1(b)], we have  $P^{(q)} \subseteq (P^q)^*$ . Thus,

$$(*) \quad P^{[q]} \subseteq P^q \subseteq P^{(q)} \subseteq (P^q)^* \subseteq \overline{P^q}.$$

Note that  $P^{[q]}$  is  $P$ -primary. Localizing at  $P$ , all inclusions in  $(*)$  become equalities. Hence, by Fact 4.4, all containments in  $(*)$  are equalities. <sup>1</sup> From the proof of Example 3.9, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^1(\frac{R}{P^q}, R) = (P^q)^*/R$ . Hence

$$\text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(\frac{R}{P^q}, R)) = (P^q)^{**} = P^{(q)},$$

<sup>1</sup>Alternatively, it was conjectured in [3] that  $P$  is principal, in which case the result is immediate. In fact, one can show  $P = \overline{(x)}$  for some  $x$ , so the conjecture holds over normal rings.

which yields the desired conclusion.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.7.** *Suppose  $P \in \text{Spec}(R)$  has analytic spread one. Then  $D(P^n) = \overline{P^n} = (P^n)^{**}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\ell(P) \geq \text{ht}(P)$  and  $\ell(P) = 1$ , it follows that  $\text{ht}(P) = 1$ . By a theorem of McAdam [20],

$$\overline{A^*}(P) := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \text{Ass}(R/\overline{P^n}) = \{P\}.$$

Hence  $\overline{P^n}$  is  $P$ -primary for every  $n$ . Using Fact 4.4 (double dual agrees with the ideal at all associated primes), we obtain  $\overline{P^n} = (P^n)^{**}$ . Next we compute  $D(P^n)$ . Since  $\text{ht}(P) = 1$ , the ideal  $P^n$  contains a non-zero-divisor, so  $\text{grade}(P^n, R) = 1$ . From the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P^n \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/P^n \longrightarrow 0$$

we get

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/P^n, R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(P^n, R)/R = (P^n)^{-1}/R,$$

where  $(P^n)^{-1} = \{x \in Q(R) \mid xP^n \subseteq R\}$ . Therefore

$$D(P^n) = \text{Ann}_R(\text{Ext}_R^1(R/P^n, R)) = \text{Ann}_R((P^n)^{-1}/R) = \{r \in R \mid r(P^n)^{-1} \subseteq R\}.$$

But

$$\{r \in R \mid r(P^n)^{-1} \subseteq R\} = \{r \in R \mid r(P^n)^{-1} \subseteq P^n\} = (P^n)^{**}.$$

Thus  $D(P^n) = (P^n)^{**} = \overline{P^n}$ , as claimed.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.8.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a  $d$ -dimensional quasi-normal ring with  $|k| = \infty$ . Let  $P \in \text{Spec}^1(R)$  be such that  $\mu(P) \leq d - 1$  and  $\mu(PR_Q) = 1$  for all  $Q \neq \mathfrak{m}$ . Then for any  $n$  we have  $(P^n)^{**} \subseteq \overline{P^n}$ . In particular,  $D(P^n) \subseteq \overline{P^n}$ .*

*Proof.* By [20, Page 189], we know  $\overline{A^*}(P) = \{P\}$ . Then we use Fact 4.4 to deduce that  $(P^n)^{**} = (P^n)^{**} \subseteq \overline{P^n}$ .  $\square$

**Example 6.9.** *The assumptions of Corollary 6.8 can be checked. Indeed, let  $R := k[X, Y, Z, W]/(XY - ZW)$  and  $P = (x, z)$ . Then  $\mu(P) = 2$ , but  $\mu(PR_Q) = 1$  for all  $Q \neq \mathfrak{m}$ .*

It is well known that a ring  $R$  is normal if and only if every principal ideal is integrally closed. The following extends this fact to height-one unmixed ideals.

**Corollary 6.10.** *Let  $R$  satisfy Serre's condition  $(R_1)$  and let  $I$  be an unmixed ideal of height one. Then  $I$  is integrally closed.*

*Proof.* Clearly  $I \subseteq \overline{I}$ . We show equality locally at each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)$ . Since  $I$  is unmixed of height one, every such  $\mathfrak{p}$  has height one. Because  $R$  satisfies  $(R_1)$ , each localization  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a one-dimensional regular local ring, hence a discrete valuation ring and therefore normal. In a DVR every ideal is principal, hence integrally closed. Thus  $IR_{\mathfrak{p}} = \overline{I}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R/I)$ . Applying Fact 4.4 (an ideal equals another if they agree after localization at all associated primes), we conclude that  $I = \overline{I}$ .  $\square$

Now suppose  $R$  is one-dimensional and formally unmixed, and let  $I \subseteq J \subseteq R$ . Recall that

$$e(I) = e(J) \iff J \subseteq \overline{I}, \quad e_{HK}(I) = e_{HK}(J) \iff J \subseteq I^*.$$

In dimension one we have  $e_{HK}(-) = e(-)$ , so these criteria imply  $\bar{I} = I^*$ . We next give a direct argument showing that both coincide with the Frobenius closure.

**Proposition 6.11.** *Let  $R$  be a one-dimensional complete domain with algebraically closed residue field of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then for every ideal  $I$ ,  $\bar{I} = I^* = I^F$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $q = p^e$  for  $e \gg 0$ . Since  $R$  is complete with algebraically closed residue field, the integral closure  $\bar{R}$  is module-finite over  $R$  and contained in  $R^{1/q}$  for  $q$  sufficiently large. By [17, Proposition 1.6.1],  $\bar{I} = \overline{I\bar{R}} \cap R$ . Because  $\bar{R}$  is a one-dimensional normal domain, it is a Dedekind domain; being local, it is a discrete valuation ring. Hence every ideal of  $\bar{R}$  is principal and integrally closed. In particular,  $I\bar{R}$  is integrally closed, so

$$\bar{I} = \overline{I\bar{R}} \cap R = I\bar{R} \cap R \subseteq IR^{1/q} \cap R.$$

Take  $x \in \bar{I}$ . Then  $x \in IR^{1/q}$ , so we can write

$$x = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_t x_t, \quad a_i \in R^{1/q}, \quad x_i \in I.$$

Raising to the  $q$ th power gives

$$x^q = a_1^q x_1^q + \cdots + a_t^q x_t^q \in I^{[q]},$$

since  $a_i^q \in R$ . Thus  $x \in I^F$ , and so  $\bar{I} \subseteq I^F$ . The containments  $I^F \subseteq I^* \subseteq \bar{I}$  are standard in one-dimensional rings. Therefore  $\bar{I} = I^F = I^*$ , as required.  $\square$

## 7. CONNECTIONS TO TRIVIALITY OF VECTOR BUNDLES

In this section, all rings are assumed to be homomorphic images of a Gorenstein ring (for instance, any complete local ring satisfies this). Moreover, we assume one of the following standing hypotheses without further mention.

**Hypothesis 7.1.** (i)  $R$  contains an infinite field  $\bar{k}$  with  $\text{char}(\bar{k}) = 0$ , or  
(ii)  $R$  contains an infinite field  $\bar{k}$  with  $\text{char}(\bar{k}) = p > 0$  such that  $\bar{k}$  is separable over its prime field.

We begin with the following.

**Proposition 7.2.** *Let  $R$  be a Cohen–Macaulay UFD of dimension  $d := \dim R > 4$ , and let  $M$  be a nonfree reflexive  $R$ -module such that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is free for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  with  $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq 3$ . If, in addition,  $R$  satisfies Serre’s condition  $(R_2)$ , then  $\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-3}(M, R))$  is a prime ideal of height two.*

*Proof.* By [11, Theorem 2.17], there exists a prime ideal  $P \subset R$  of height two and an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $F$  is free. Applying  $\text{Hom}_R(-, R)$  yields

$$\text{Ext}_R^{d-3}(M, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-3}(P, R) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-2}(R/P, R).$$

Since  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay and a UFD, it is Gorenstein. Hence  $\text{Ext}_R^{d-2}(R/P, R) \cong K_{R/P}$ . Because  $P$  has height two and  $R$  satisfies  $(R_2)$ ,  $R/P$  is Cohen–Macaulay of dimension  $d - 2$ , and its canonical module has annihilator equal to  $P$ . Therefore

$$\text{Ann}(\text{Ext}_R^{d-3}(M, R)) = \text{Ann}(K_{R/P}) = P,$$

which is a height-two prime ideal.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $R$  be a Cohen–Macaulay UFD that is strongly normal, with  $\dim R = d > 4$ . If  $M$  is a vector bundle with  $\text{depth}(M) > 3$ , then  $M$  is free.*

*Proof.* By [7, Proposition 1.4.1(b)],  $M$  is reflexive. Suppose  $M$  is not free. Then Proposition 7.2 gives

$$E := \text{Ext}_R^{d-3}(M, R) \cong K_{R/P} \neq 0$$

for some height–two prime  $P$ . By local duality,  $H_m^3(M) \cong E^\vee \neq 0$ , contradicting  $\text{depth}(M) > 3$ . Hence  $M$  is free.  $\square$

**Discussion 7.4** (cf. [11]). *If  $V$  is a vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  of rank  $k < n$  that is not a direct sum of line bundles, a theorem of Evans–Griffith shows that at least one of  $\{H^1(\mathbb{P}^n, V), \dots, H^{k-1}(\mathbb{P}^n, V)\}$  and similarly one of  $\{H^{n-1}(\mathbb{P}^n, V), \dots, H^{n-k+1}(\mathbb{P}^n, V)\}$  is nonzero.*

**Corollary 7.5.** *Let  $R$  be a Cohen–Macaulay UFD that is strongly normal with  $\dim R = d > 4$ , and let  $V = \widetilde{M}$  be a vector bundle on  $\text{Spec}(R)^\circ := \text{Spec}(R) \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ . If  $H^2(\text{Spec}(R)^\circ, V) = 0$ , then  $V$  is trivial.*

*Proof.* There is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M^{**} \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $C = \text{Ext}_R^1(\text{Tr}(M), R)$  and  $D = \text{Ext}_R^2(\text{Tr}(M), R)$ . Since  $M$  is locally free on  $\text{Spec}(R)^\circ$ , both  $C$  and  $D$  have finite length, hence their associated sheaves vanish. Thus  $V = \widetilde{M} \cong \widetilde{M}^{**}$ , and we may assume  $M$  is reflexive. By local cohomology,  $H^2(\text{Spec}(R)^\circ, V) \cong H_m^3(M)$ . Thus  $H_m^3(M) = 0$ . If  $M$  were not free, Proposition 7.2 would give  $\text{Ext}_R^{d-3}(M, R) \neq 0$ , and local duality would imply  $H_m^3(M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{d-3}(M, R)^\vee \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $M$  is free and  $V$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.6.** *Let  $R$  be a regular local ring with  $\dim R = d > 4$ , and let  $V = \widetilde{M}$  be a vector bundle on  $\text{Spec}(R)^\circ$ . If  $H^2(\text{Spec}(R)^\circ, V) = 0$ , then  $V$  is trivial.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Aoyama, *Some basic results on canonical modules*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **23** (1983), 85–94.
- [2] M. Asgharzadeh, *Homological subsets of Spec*, arXiv:1701.03001.
- [3] M. Asgharzadeh, *A note on UFD*, arXiv:2308.14465.
- [4] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Mem. of the AMS **94**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1969.
- [5] D. Buchsbaum, D. Eisenbud, *What annihilates a module?*, J. Algebra **47**, 231–243 (1973).
- [6] G. M. Bergman, *Tensor products of faithful modules*, arXiv:1610.05178 [math.RA]
- [7] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen–Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, **39**, Cambridge, (1998).
- [8] A. Corso, C. Huneke, D. Katz, and W. V. Vasconcelos, *Integral closure of ideals and annihilators of homology*. In Commutative algebra, volume **244** of Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math., pages 33–48. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- [9] H. Dao, M. Sarason, and P. Sridhar, *On reflexive and I-Ulrich modules over curve singularities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **10** (2023), 355–380.
- [10] S. Dey, *Trace ideal and annihilator of Ext and Tor of regular fractional ideals, and some applications*, arXiv:2210.03891 [math.AC]
- [11] E.G. Evans, P. Griffith, *Syzygies*, LMS. Lecture Note Ser., **106** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [12] R. Fossum, *The divisor class group of a Krull domain*, Ergebnisse der math und ihrer grenzgebiete, Springer verlag, **74** (1973).
- [13] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, D. I. Stamate, *The trace of the canonical module*, Israel J. Math., **233** (2019), 133–165.
- [14] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *Comparison of symbolic and ordinary powers of ideals*, Inventiones Math. **147** (2002) 349–369.

- [15] C. Huneke, *The primary components and integral closures of ideals in 3-dimensional regular local rings*, Math. Ann. **275** (1986), 617-635.
- [16] C. Huneke, *Tight closure and its applications*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics Volume **88**, 1996.
- [17] I. Swanson and C. Huneke, *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **336**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [18] H. Lindo, S. Maitra, W. Zhang, *Trace does not preserve reflexivity*, arXiv:2509.12576 [math.AC]
- [19] H. Lindo, and N. Pande, *Trace ideals and the Gorenstein property*, Communications in Algebra, **50**(10): 4116-4121, 2022.
- [20] S. McAdam, *Asymptotic prime divisors and going down*, Pacific J. math **391**(1), (1980) 179-186.
- [21] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math, **8**, (1986).
- [22] C. Peskine and L. Szpiro, *Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale*, Publ. Math. IHES. **42** (1973), 47-119.
- [23] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Divisor theory in module categories*, North-Holland math studies **14** (1974).

*Email address:* mohsenasgharzadeh@gmail.com