

# FACTORIORITY OF NORMAL PROJECTIVE VARIETIES

SEUNG-JO JUNG AND MORIHIKO SAITO

ABSTRACT. For a normal projective variety  $X$ , the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factoriality defect  $\sigma(X)$  is defined to be the rank of the quotient of the group of Weil divisors by the subgroup of Cartier ones. We prove a slight improvement of a topological formula of S.G. Park and M. Popa asserting that  $\sigma(X) = h^{2n-2}(X) - h^2(X)$  by assuming only 2-semi-rationality, that is,  $R^k \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = 0$  for  $k = 1, 2$ , instead of rational singularities for  $X$ , where  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a desingularization with  $h^k(X) := \dim H^k(X, \mathbf{Q})$  and  $n := \dim X > 2$ . Our proof generalizes the one by Y. Namikawa and J.H.M. Steenbrink for the case  $n = 3$  with isolated hypersurface singularities. We also give a proof of (a slight generalization of) the assertion that  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factoriality implies factoriality if  $X$  is a local complete intersection whose singular locus has at least codimension three. These imply a slight improvement of Grothendieck's theorem in the projective case asserting that  $X$  is factorial if it is a local complete intersection whose singular locus has at least codimension three and at general points of its components of codimension three,  $X$  has rational singularities and is a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -homology manifold.

## Introduction

Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . We denote by  $\text{Div}(X)$  the free abelian group of Weil divisors on  $X$ , which is freely generated by irreducible reduced divisors. Let  $\text{CDiv}(X)$  be the *subgroup* consisting of Cartier divisors, that is, Zariski-locally principal Weil divisors, on  $X$  (since  $X$  is normal). When we consider the divisors modulo linear (or rational) equivalence, that is, if we divide these abelian groups by the image of the divisor map from the rational function field of  $X$ , they are denoted by  $\text{Div}(X)_{\sim \text{lin}}$ ,  $\text{CDiv}(X)_{\sim \text{lin}}$ , and are identified with the divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(X)$  and the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$  respectively. The rank of  $\text{Div}(X)/\text{CDiv}(X)$  is denoted by  $\sigma(X)$  if it is finite. It is sometimes called the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -factoriality defect.

Set  $\Sigma := \text{Sing } X$ . Take a sufficiently small *contractible* Stein open neighborhood  $U_x$  for each  $x \in \Sigma$  (by intersecting  $X$  with a sufficiently small ball  $B_x$  in an ambient smooth space). Let  $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  be a desingularization such that  $E := \pi^{-1}(\Sigma)$  is a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let  $E_i$  be the irreducible components of  $E$ . Set  $\tilde{U}_x := \pi^{-1}(U_x)$ . We have the following (which generalizes [NaSt 95, (3.1)]).

**Proposition 1.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Div}(X)/\text{CDiv}(X) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Im}(\text{Pic}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \prod_{x \in \Sigma} \text{Pic}(\tilde{U}_x) / (\sum_i \mathbb{Z}[E_i \cap \tilde{U}_x])). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\text{Pic}(\tilde{U}_x) := H^1(\tilde{U}_x, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_x}^*)$ , and  $[E_i \cap \tilde{U}_x]$  denotes the class of the line bundle associated with the divisor  $E_i \cap \tilde{U}_x \subset \tilde{U}_x$  (corresponding to the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_x}(E_i \cap \tilde{U}_x)$ ).

If  $X$  has only *rational singularities*, we have  $\mathbf{R}\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_X$ . This condition is however too strong, and in this paper we assume only

$$(2) \quad R^k \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2,$$

that is, the singularities of  $X$  are 2-semi-rational. Here we say that the singularities of  $X$  are  *$j$ -semi-rational* for a positive integer  $j$  if the above vanishing holds for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ .

---

This work was partially supported by National Research Foundation of Korea NRF-2021R1C1C1004097.

In the case  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay (for instance, a local complete intersection), the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem [GrRi 70] and Grothendieck duality imply that the direct image complex  $\mathbf{R}\pi_*\omega_{\tilde{X}}$  is quasi-isomorphic to an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -submodule of the dualizing sheaf  $\omega_X$ , hence the singularities of  $X$  are  $j$ -semi-rational in the case  $\text{codim}_X \text{NRSing } X \geq j+2$  (using Remark 4.6 below), where  $\text{NRSing } X$  denotes the *non-rational singularity locus of  $X$* , that is, the complement of the largest Zariski-open subset of  $X$  having only rational singularities (or the union of the supports of  $R^k\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$  for  $k > 0$ ). It is well known that in the isolated hypersurface singularity case,  $X$  has an  $(n-2)$ -semi-rational singularity so that the geometric genus is defined by the dimension of  $R^{n-1}\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ , see for instance [Sa 83].

Using the long exact sequence associated with the exponential sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}_{\tilde{U}_x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_x}^* \rightarrow 1,$$

we can then get the isomorphisms

$$(3) \quad \text{Pic}(\tilde{U}_x) = H^2(\tilde{U}_x, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\pi^{-1}(x), \mathbb{Z}),$$

since the  $U_x$  are Stein and contractible (in a compatible way with the stratification by the topological cone theorem). So the isomorphism (1) becomes

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Div}(X)/\text{CDiv}(X) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Im}(H^{1,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\beta} \Gamma(\Sigma, R^2\pi'_*\mathbb{Z}_E / (\sum_i \mathbb{Z}[E_i]_{\pi'}))). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $H^{1,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) := \text{Ker}(H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}))$ ,  $\pi' := \pi|_E$ , and  $[E_i]_{\pi'}$  denotes the section of  $R^2\pi'_*\mathbb{Z}_E$  defined by the restrictions of the Chern class of  $[E_i]$  to  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ . To show that we get a section of the constructible sheaf, we can replace  $U_{x'}$  for  $x' \in U_x$  so that  $U_{x'} \subset U_x$ . (Here one can use a  $t$ -structure as in [Sa 90, Remark 4.6.2].)

Set

$$L^k := \mathcal{H}^k(\text{IC}_X\mathbb{Q}[-n]) \quad \text{for } k \in [1, n-1],$$

and  $L^k = 0$  otherwise.

**Proposition 2.** *Assuming the 2-semi-rationality (2), we have the isomorphisms*

$$(5) \quad L^2 = R^2\pi'_*\mathbb{Q}_E / (\sum_i \mathbb{Q}[E_i]_{\pi'}), \quad L^1 = R^1\pi'_*\mathbb{Q}_E.$$

Recall that the stalks  $L_x^k$  have weights at most  $k$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ , see [Sa 90, (4.5.2) and also Remark 4.6.1]. We can show the following.

**Proposition 3.** *Assuming the 2-semi-rationality (2), the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structure  $L_x^2$  has type  $(1, 1)$  and  $L_x^1 = 0$  for any  $x \in \Sigma$ .*

Using the functorial morphism  $\text{id} \rightarrow (i'_x)_*i'^x_*$  with  $i'_x : \{x\} \hookrightarrow \Sigma$  the inclusion for  $x \in \Sigma$ , we can get a similar assertion for  $\Gamma(\Sigma, L^2)$ . By the *semisimplicity* of polarizable  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Hodge structures, we then get the following.

**Corollary 1.** *Assuming the 2-semi-rationality (2), the rank of the right-hand side of the isomorphism (4) does not change by replacing the source  $H^{1,1}(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$  of the morphism  $\beta$  with  $H^2(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ .*

The above arguments imply the following slight improvement of a *topological* formula of S.G. Park and M. Popa [PaPo 25, Theorem A] for  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality defect (which is proved assuming rational singularity for  $X$ ) by generalizing an argument in [NaSt 95] (where the assertion was shown in the rational isolated hypersurface singularity case with  $n = 3$  and  $H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ ).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety of dimension  $n \geq 2$ . Assuming the 2-semi-rationality (2), we have the equality*

$$(6) \quad \sigma(X) = h^{2n-2}(X) - h^2(X).$$

Recall that  $X$  is factorial (resp.  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial) if and only if  $\text{Div}(X) = \text{CDiv}(X)$  (resp.  $\sigma(X) = 0$ ). We can show that these are equivalent if  $X$  is a local complete intersection and  $\text{codim}_X \text{Sing } X \geq 3$ , see Theorem 6.1 below. Using this together with a remark after (2), Theorem 1 implies the following (which is a slight improvement of Grothendieck's theorem in the projective case, see [Gro 68, XI, Theorem 3.13 (ii)], where one assumes  $\text{codim}_X \text{Sing } X \geq 4$ , see also Remark 6.1 below).

**Corollary 2.** *A normal projective variety  $X$  is factorial if it is a local complete intersection with  $\text{codim}_X \text{Sing } X \geq 3$  and  $\text{codim}_X(\text{NRSing } X \cup \text{HSing } X) \geq 4$ .*

Here  $\text{NRSing } X$  is the *non-rational singularity locus* as explained after (2), and  $\text{HSing } X$  is the  *$\mathbb{Q}$ -homology singular locus*, that is, the complement of the largest open subvariety of  $X$  which is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifold. Note that a variety  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifold if and only if we have the canonical isomorphism  $\mathbb{Q}_X[\dim X] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}$ . (This follows easily from [Sa 90, (4.5.6–9)] using the self-duality of  $\text{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h$ .) The right-hand side of (6) then vanishes under the assumptions of Corollary 2 using Lemma 4.1 below. In the hypersurface singularity case, the last assumption of the corollary means that any spectral number of a transversal slice to the singular locus is greater than 1 (hence less than 3), see [Sa 83], and is not an integer (more precisely, not 2), that is, 1 is not an eigenvalue of the Milnor monodromy, see [Mi 68]. (This is not satisfied in the ordinary double point case.) By a similar argument we can get also the following.

**Corollary 3.** *A normal projective variety  $X$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial if  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay with  $\text{codim}_X(\text{NRSing } X \cup \text{HSing } X) \geq 4$  and  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{Q}_X[\dim X]) = 0$  for any  $k \neq 0$ .*

Here  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{H}^\bullet$  is the cohomology functor in [BBD 82]. Note that  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial cannot be replaced with factorial, considering the case of the cone of a Veronese embedding, see Remark 4.7 below (with  $n = 4$ ).

Using Theorems 1 and 6.1 below, we can show also the following.

**Corollary 4.** *Assume  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  is a projective hypersurface, or more generally, a (global) complete intersection, such that  $\text{codim}_X \text{Sing } X \geq 3$ ,  $\text{codim}_X \text{NRSing } X \geq 4$ ,  $n = \dim X \geq 3$ , and  $X$  is not contained in any hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^N$  (replacing  $\mathbb{P}^N$  if necessary). For a hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ , let  $H_X$  be the restriction of  $H$  to  $X$  as a Cartier divisor. The following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The variety  $X$  is factorial.*
- (b) *The equality  $h^{2n-2}(X) = h^2(X)$  holds.*
- (c) *The divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(X) = \text{Div}(X)_{\sim \text{lin}}$  is freely generated by the class of  $H_X$  for some (or any) hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ .*
- (d) *The affine ring  $A_H$  of the affine variety  $X \setminus H_X$  is a unique factorization domain for some (or any) hyperplane  $H \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ .*

*In the case  $X$  is a projective cone of a (global) complete intersection  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$  with  $\dim Y \geq 3$  (where  $\text{codim}_Y \text{Sing } Y \geq 3$ ,  $\text{codim}_Y \text{NRSing } Y \geq 4$ ), we have the equality*

$$(7) \quad h^{2n-2}(X) - h^2(X) = h^{2n-4}(Y) - h^2(Y),$$

*so that any of the above equivalent three conditions for  $X$  is further equivalent to any of those conditions for  $Y$  with  $n, N$  replaced by  $n-1, N-1$  respectively.*

This generalizes some assertion in [Che 10, Remark 1.2] for hypersurfaces  $Y$  with  $\dim Y = 3$ . Note that “some” may be replaced by “any” in conditions (c), (d), that is, the assertion holds for any  $H$  if it holds for some  $H$ . (This is clear for (c).) The equivalence between (c) and (d) holds for any reduced projective variety with  $H$  fixed. In order that condition (c) is satisfied in the  $X$  smooth case, the Picard number of  $X$  must be one and  $h^1(X)$  must vanish, although these are not sufficient (considering a Veronese embedding of degree  $m$ , where a hyperplane

section is a hypersurface of degree  $m$ , see also Remark 4.7 below) if we do not assume the condition that  $X$  is a (global) complete intersection.

The proof of Corollary 4 in Section 5 below uses Artin's vanishing theorem and semi-perversity with integral coefficients, see also Remark 5.1 below. It is quite interesting that the notion of rectified homological depth, which is very closely related to semi-perversity (see [HaLê91]), was explored in [Gro68, XIII.6] after the study of purity and factoriality in [Gro68, X.3.4 and XI.3.13].

We thank M. Popa, J. Kollár, and A. Petracchi for informing us of Grothendieck's work on factoriality [Gro68, XI.3.13], the one on purity [Gro68, X.3.4], and an absence of some additional assumption in a previous version of Corollary 3 respectively.

In Sections 1–3 we give the proofs of Propositions 1–3. In Sections 4–5, Theorem 1 and Corollary 4 are proved. In Section 6 some relation between factoriality and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality is explained.

**Convention.** In this paper an algebraic variety means a separated reduced scheme of finite type over  $\mathbb{C}$  where only the closed points are considered, that is, a variety in the sense of J.-P. Serre. However we use also the classical topology to employ constructible sheaves and analytic sheaves especially on non-algebraic open subsets. This does not cause a problem by applying GAGA in the projective case.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Proof of Proposition 1	4
2. Proof of Proposition 2	4
3. Proof of Proposition 3	6
4. Proof of Theorem 1	6
5. Proof of Corollary 4	9
6. Factoriality and $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality	10
References	14

### 1. Proof of Proposition 1

We have the pullback  $\mathrm{Div}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Div}(\tilde{X})$  taking the proper transform, and its left inverse is given by the direct image by  $\pi$ , whose kernel is freely generated by the  $E_i$ . If the image of  $\xi \in \mathrm{Div}(X)$  in the target of the morphism  $\alpha$  on the right-hand side of (1) vanishes, then the image in  $\mathrm{Pic}(X^\circ)$  of its restriction to  $X^\circ := X \setminus \Sigma$  can be extended to an element of  $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$  using GAGA and applying Nakayama's lemma to the direct image by  $\pi$  of the invertible sheaf corresponding to the line bundle. Here we replace the invertible sheaf on  $\tilde{X}$  by its tensor product with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(mE)$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  sufficiently large, and then take the direct image by  $\pi$ . Note that on each  $\tilde{U}_x$ , the tensor product with  $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{U}_x}(D_x)$  for some divisor supported on  $\tilde{U}_x \cap E$  is trivial after passing to the corresponding analytic sheaves. We use also the open direct image by the inclusion  $X^\circ \hookrightarrow X$  together with the Hartogs-type lemma for normal schemes, since  $\mathrm{codim}_X \Sigma \geq 2$ . (Note that factoriality is not Zariski-local, since we have to pass to modulo linear equivalence.)

### 2. Proof of Proposition 2

Let  $i: \Sigma \hookrightarrow X$ ,  $j: X^\circ := X \setminus \Sigma \hookrightarrow X$  be natural inclusions. We denote by  $\mathbb{Q}_{h,X}$  the pullback of mixed Hodge modules  $a_X^* \mathbb{Q}_h \in D^b\mathrm{MHM}(X)$  where  $a_X: X \rightarrow \mathrm{pt}$  is the structure morphism and  $\mathbb{Q}_h$  is the mixed Hodge structure of rank 1 and weight 0, which is identified with a mixed

Hodge module on pt, see [Sa 90]. Let  $\mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h$  be the intersection complex Hodge module. Setting

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet := C(\mathbb{Q}_{h,X}[n] \rightarrow \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h),$$

(see [Sa 90, (4.5.6–9)]) and applying the functor  $i^*$  (where the direct image  $i_*$  before  $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$  is omitted), we get

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet = C(\mathbb{Q}_{h,\Sigma}[n] \rightarrow i^* \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h).$$

On the other hand we have the distinguished triangle

$$i^! \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h \rightarrow i^* \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h \rightarrow i^* j_* \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n] \xrightarrow{[1]},$$

with

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} i^! \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h[1] &= \tau^{\geq 0} i^* j_* (\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n]), \\ i^* \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h &= \tau_{< 0} i^* j_* (\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n]). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,  $H^k i^! \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Q}_h = 0$  for  $k \leq 0$  (considering the local cohomology of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules), where  $H^\bullet : D^b \mathrm{MHM}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{MHM}(X)$  denotes the *standard* cohomology functor (which corresponds to  ${}^p \mathcal{H}^\bullet$  in [BBD 82] by the forgetful functor). For  $H^k i^*$ , one can apply  $i^* = \mathbb{D} \circ i^! \circ \mathbb{D}$  with  $\mathbb{D}$  the dual functor. We thus get

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{C}^\bullet = C(\mathbb{Q}_{h,\Sigma}[n] \rightarrow \tau_{< 0} i^* j_* (\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n])).$$

Let  $\tilde{i} : E \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ ,  $\tilde{j} : X^\circ \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$  be natural inclusions. We have the diagram of the octahedral axiom

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \tilde{i}^* \tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n] & & \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow & \searrow & \\ \tilde{i}^* \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] & \longleftarrow & & \longrightarrow & \tilde{i}^! \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] \\ & \downarrow [1] & \nearrow [1] & \downarrow & \uparrow [1] \\ \tilde{j}_! \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n] & \longrightarrow & & \longrightarrow & \tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n] \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ & & \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] & & \end{array}$$

This can be used to get the weight spectral sequence for  $i^* j_* \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n] = \pi'_* \tilde{i}^* \tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n]$ . We have for instance

$$(2.4) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_k^{W \tilde{i}^* \tilde{j}_*} (\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n]) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \mathbb{Q}_{h,E_i \cap E_j}[n-1] & \text{if } k = n-1, \\ \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Q}_{h,E_i}[n] & \text{if } k = n, \\ \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Q}_{h,E_i}(-1)[n-1] & \text{if } k = n+1, \\ \bigoplus_{i \neq j} \mathbb{Q}_{h,E_i \cap E_j}(-2)[n-2] & \text{if } k = n+2, \end{cases}$$

where the factorization  $\tilde{i}^! \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n] \rightarrow \tilde{i}^* \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n]$  is useful to see the  $E_1$ -differential. Note that the support of  $\tau^{\geq 0} i^* j_* (\mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n])$  has codimension at least 3 in  $X$ . This can be verified by taking an iterated hyperplane section of dimension 2 and reducing to the case  $n = 2$ . Note that Mumford's negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of exceptional divisors [Mu 61] imply the vanishing of the right-hand side of the first isomorphism of (5) (and this implies Theorem 1 in the case  $n = 2$ ). The assertion then follows from the top distinguished triangle in (2.3) by comparing the weight spectral sequences for  $\pi'_* \tilde{i}^! \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n]$ ,  $\pi'_* \tilde{i}^* \mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n]$ , and  $\pi'_* \tilde{i}^* \tilde{j}_* \mathbb{Q}_{h,X^\circ}[n]$ .

### 3. Proof of Proposition 3

For  $k = 1, 2, 3$ , we have the commutative diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^k(X, \mathbb{C}_X) & \longrightarrow & H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{k\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^*} & H^k(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ & & \mathrm{Gr}_F^0 H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{k\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^*} & \mathrm{Gr}_F^0 H^k(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

using the ‘‘functoriality’’ of cubic hyperresolutions for the morphism  $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ . Here  $k\pi_{\mathcal{O}}^*$  is bijective for  $k = 1, 2$ , and injective for  $k = 3$ , employing the assumption (2) and the Leray-type spectral sequence

$$(3.2) \quad E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, R^q\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}) \implies H^{p+q}(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}).$$

(Here we do not need the assertion that rational singularities are du Bois, see [Ko 99], [Sa 00, Theorem 5.4].) Moreover we have the canonical isomorphism

$$(3.3) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_F^0 H^k(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Gr}_F^0 \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Indeed, as a consequence of the decomposition theorem for Hodge modules (see [Sa 90, (4.5.4)]), there is non-canonical isomorphisms of mixed Hodge structures

$$H^k(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_1^k \oplus H_2^k \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with  $H_1^k := \mathrm{IH}^k(X, \mathbb{Q})$ . Here the ambiguity of the decomposition isomorphism is expressed by the morphisms  $\phi_{i,j}^k: H_i^k \rightarrow H_j^k$  for  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  with  $\phi_{1,1}^k = \mathrm{id}$ . We have moreover

$$(3.4) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_F^p H_2^k = 0 \quad \text{for } p = 0, n \text{ and any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Indeed, the assertion for  $p = 0$  is reduced to the case  $p = n$  by the self-duality of  $H_2^\bullet$ , and the latter case follows from Kollár’s torsion-freeness in the Hodge module theory (see for instance [Sa 91]), since the direct factors of  $\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n]$  are supported on  $\Sigma \subset X$  except  $\mathrm{IC}_X\mathbb{Q}_h$ . So the canonical isomorphism (3.3) follows.

We first show the assertion for  $L_x^1$ . Let  $Z$  be the set of  $x \in \Sigma$  with  $L_x^1 \neq 0$ . It contains a Zariski-locally closed subset  $Z'$  of  $\Sigma$  whose closure contains  $Z$ . This can be verified by using the decomposition theorem applied to  $\pi'_*\mathrm{Gr}_k^W\mathbb{Q}_{h,E}$ . Taking a sufficiently general hyperplane section, we may assume  $\dim Z' = 0$  if  $Z \neq \emptyset$ . The assertion for  $L_x^1$  then follows from the exact sequence

$$(3.5) \quad H^k(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{IH}^k(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma^k} \Gamma(\Sigma, L^k) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{IH}^{k+1}(X),$$

with  $k = 1$ , since these imply that  $\mathrm{Gr}_F^0 L_x^1 = 0$ . (Note that  $L^k = 0$  for  $k \leq 0$ .)

The argument is similar for  $L^2$  (using  $L^1 = 0$ ). The set of  $x \in \Sigma$  such that the stalk  $L_x^2$  is not type  $(1, 1)$  contains a Zariski-locally closed subset whose closure contains it. (Note that the restrictions of the cohomology sheaves  $\mathcal{H}^k\mathrm{IC}_X\mathbb{Q}$  to each stratum of a Whitney stratification underly variations of mixed Hodge structure using [Sa 90, Remark 4.6.2].)

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1 is shown by using the long exact sequence (3.5) together with the self-duality of the intersection cohomology and Proposition 3, since the latter implies that  $H^k(\Sigma, \mathcal{C}^\bullet) = 0$  for  $k \geq 2n - 4$ . (Note that  $\mathrm{codim}_X \mathrm{supp} L^k \geq k + 1$  for  $k \in [1, n - 1]$ , since  $H^k\mathcal{C}^\bullet = 0$  for  $k \geq 0$ .) Here one technical problem is to show that the morphism  $\gamma^2$  in (3.5) for  $k = 2$  is induced by  $\beta$  in (4) (since the decomposition in the decomposition theorem is non-canonical). This is verified by using (2.2) and (2.3). We consider the functorial morphism  $i_!i^! \rightarrow \mathrm{id}$  to remove the image of the direct factor supported on  $\Sigma$ . The morphism  $\gamma_k$  in (3.5) is essentially induced by  $\mathrm{id} \rightarrow i_*i^*$ , and these are closely related to the corresponding morphisms in the diagram

of octahedral axiom (2.3) by taking the direct image under  $\pi$  and considering a similar diagram with  $\mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n], \tilde{i}, \tilde{j}$  replaced respectively by  $\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n], i, j$  or  $\mathrm{IC}_X\mathbb{Q}_h, i, j$ , where we have the base change isomorphisms  $\pi'_* \circ \tilde{i}^* = i^* \circ \pi_*$ ,  $\pi'_* \circ \tilde{i}^1 = i^1 \circ \pi_*$ , see [Sa 90, (4.4.3)]. There is a morphism from the right triangle to the top triangle, where the needed commutativity is assured by the octahedral axiom. We then get the commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} R^2\pi'_*\mathbf{R}\Gamma_E\mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & R^2\pi_*\mathbf{R}\tilde{j}_*\mathbb{Q}_{X^\circ} \\ \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R^2\pi'_*\mathbf{R}\Gamma_E\mathbb{Q}_{\tilde{X}} & \longrightarrow & R^2\pi'_*\mathbb{Q}_E & \longrightarrow & R^2\pi'_*\tilde{i}^*\mathbf{R}\tilde{j}_*\mathbb{Q}_{X^\circ} \end{array}$$

So the assertion follows using the isomorphism (2.2) together with the functorial morphisms  $\mathrm{id} \rightarrow (i'_x)_*i'^{*}$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ , since it is enough to show the coincidence of the images in  $L_x^2$  for each  $x \in \Sigma$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}_{\Sigma,x}$  contributes only to the vanishing of  $L_x^0$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

We note here the following well-known assertion (which follows by using an argument similar to the proof of (6.5) below).

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $r$  be a non-negative integer with  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{Q}_X[n]) = 0$  for any  $k \notin [-r, 0]$  (for instance,  $X$  is a local complete intersection with  $r = 0$ ). Assume  $c := \mathrm{codim}_X \mathrm{HSing} X \geq r + 4$ . Then  $H^k\mathcal{C}^\bullet = 0$  for any  $k \notin [-r-1, -1]$ , and  $H^k(\Sigma, \mathcal{C}^\bullet) = 0$  for any  $k \notin [-n+c-r-1, n-c-1]$ .*

**Remark 4.1.** Proof of Theorem 1 in [PaPo 25] uses linear independence of the topological cycle classes of exceptional divisors of a desingularization of  $X$  (among others). This can be reduced to negative definiteness of the intersection matrix of exceptional divisors (see [Mu 61, p. 6] where only an outline of proof is indicated; a converse assertion is shown in [Gra 62, p. 367]) by taking a general hyperplane section of  $\tilde{X}$  or the pullback of one for  $X$  depending on the coefficients of a linear dependence. In the first case it seems rather difficult to proceed by induction (finding an appropriate blow-down); it might be simpler to consider an iterated hyperplane section of dimension 2 together with the normalization of its image in  $X$  forgetting the iterated hyperplane sections of exceptional divisors whose images in  $X$  are not 0-dimensional.

**Remark 4.2.** There are many examples with  $L^1 \neq 0$  and  $h^1(X) \neq h^{2n-1}(X)$  (see [PaPo 25, Theorem A]) even in the isolated singularity case. For instance, assume  $X$  is the projective cone of a smooth projective variety  $Y$  with vertex 0 and  $H^1(Y) \neq 0$ . Let  $\tilde{X}$  be the blow-up of  $X$  along 0, which is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $Y$ . We then get

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} H^k(\tilde{X}) &= H^k(Y) \oplus H^{k-2}(Y)(-1), \\ H^k(X) &= \begin{cases} H^{k-2}(Y)(-1) & \text{if } k \neq 0, \\ \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } k = 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

using the long exact sequence  $H_c^k(X \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow H^k(X) \rightarrow H^k(\{0\}) \rightarrow$ . (These isomorphisms hold without assuming the smoothness of  $Y$ .) Here the  $L_0^k$  are given by the primitive part of  $H^k(Y)$ , and the non-primitive part gives the direct factor of the direct image  $\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_{h,\tilde{X}}[n]$  supported on 0. This follows from the Thom-Gysin sequence, see for instance [RSW 21]. We then see that  $h^1(X) \neq h^{2n-1}(X)$  if  $h^1(Y) \neq 0$ , see [PaPo 25, Theorem A].

**Remark 4.3.** With the notation of Remark 4.2, assume  $Y$  is an elliptic curve  $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  defined by  $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 0$  for instance. We see that the divisor class  $[D_e] - [D_{e_0}]$  is not a torsion and has infinite order if  $e \in E$  is not a torsion point (restricting to the zero section of the line bundle  $X \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow E$ ). Here  $e_0 \in E$  is the origin (which intersects a line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  with multiplicity three; for instance  $e_0 = [0 : -1 : 1]$  with line defined by  $y + z = 0$ ) and  $D_e, D_{e_0}$  are the closures of the inverse images of  $e, e_0$  by the projection  $X \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow E$ . Recall that the sum of the three intersection points of  $E$  and a line in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  is zero by the rule of addition of elliptic curves.

Here  $X$  is normal using Serre's condition, see [Har 77, p. 185]. (In the non-hypersurface case it does not seem clear whether the cone  $X$  is normal; it may be necessary to take the normalization which does not change the underlying topological space.) This example can be generalized to the case of curves of higher genus or smooth projective varieties  $Y$  with  $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \neq 0$ .

**Remark 4.4.** In the notation of Remark 4.2, assume  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  is a smooth surface such that  $d := \deg Y \geq 4$ , that is,  $H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \neq 0$ . We have  $\sigma(X) = \rho(Y) - 1$  where  $\rho(Y)$  is the Picard number, that is,  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^2(Y, \mathbb{Q}) \cap F^1 H^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$ , hence  $\sigma(X) \leq h^4(X) - h^2(X) - 2h^{2,0}(Y)$  using the Hodge decomposition (and symmetry) of  $H^2(Y, \mathbb{C})$ , where  $h^{2,0}(Y) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ . (Note that  $\rho(Y) = 1$  if  $Y$  is very general by the Noether-Lefschetz theorem, see for instance [BrNo 14].) Indeed, the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(X \setminus \{0\})$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Pic}(Y)$  via the pullbacks by the projection and the zero-section of the line bundle, see for instance [Fu 84, Proposition 1.9]. Moreover an element of  $\text{Pic}(X \setminus \{0\})$  is extendable to  $X$  if and only if it is a multiple of a hyperplane section class (since the rational function fields of  $X$  and  $X \setminus \{0\}$  are the same) using the surjectivity of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^4,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,0}$  (see also Remark 5.1 below) and the Hartogs-type lemma. By the assumption on  $d$ , condition (2) is not satisfied by the cone  $X$ . This shows that Theorem 1 does not hold without the 2-semi-rationality hypothesis.

**Remark 4.5.** There is an example of a normal projective hypersurface  $X$  with non-1-semi-rational singularities and  $h^1(X) = h^{2n-1}(X)$  (see [PaPo 25, Theorem A]), for instance if  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  is the projective cone of the surface  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  defined by  $x^2yz^2 + xy^4 + w^5 = 0$ , where  $X, Y$  are  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifolds and we have  $h^k(Y) = 1$  if  $k \in [0, 4]$  with  $k/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and 0 otherwise. (The normality of  $X$  follows from Serre's condition as explained in Remark 4.3.) The curve  $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  defined by  $x^2yz^2 + xy^4 = 0$  has two singular points whose spectral numbers are  $j/7$  with  $j \in \{4, \dots, 10\}$  and  $j/8$  with  $j \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 11\}$ , see [Sa 25]. These do not produce an integer by adding  $i/5$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, 4\}$  to one of them, using the Thom-Sebastiani type theorem for spectrum. The latter theorem implies also the non-rationality of  $\text{Sing } Y$  using [Sa 83]. For the vertex of  $X$  we need the argument in Remark 4.2.

**Remark 4.6.** It seems well known that for a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a smooth variety  $Z$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_Z}^j(\mathcal{F}, \omega_Z) = 0$  for any  $j < c := \text{codim}_Z \text{supp } \mathcal{F}$ . This follows for instance from [Har 77, Lemma III.7.3]. (Recall that the dual  $\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}$  is defined by  $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{F}, \omega_Z[\dim Z])$ . This is independent of smooth  $Z$  by Grothendieck duality for closed immersions.) We note here a simple argument which works also in the analytic case for the convenience of the reader.

Since the assertion is local, we may assume  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_Y$  with  $Y$  a closed subvariety (using a filtration associated with a local finite presentation of  $\mathcal{F}$ ). We may assume further that  $Y$  is reduced using some filtration (to ensure the surjectivity of the last morphism of the exact sequence below). There is locally a complete intersection  $Y'$  (not necessarily reduced) of the same dimension as  $Y$  and containing  $Y$  so that we have locally a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y'} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_Z}^j(\mathcal{O}_{Y'}, \omega_Z) = 0$  for any  $j \neq c$ , we get the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_Z}^{j-1}(\mathcal{G}, \omega_Z) = \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_Z}^j(\mathcal{F}, \omega_Z) \quad \text{for any } j < c.$$

We can then prove by decreasing induction on  $c \leq \dim Z$  and increasing induction on  $b < c$  that  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_Z}^j(\mathcal{F}, \omega_Z) = 0$  for any  $j \leq b$  and any coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\text{codim}_Z \text{supp } \mathcal{F} \geq c$  (where  $\mathcal{F}$  is not fixed).

**Remark 4.7.** Let  $v_m : \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$  be the Veronese embedding of degree  $m \geq 2$ , where  $N = \binom{n+m-1}{m}$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$  be the image of  $v_m$ . Let  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  be the projective cone of  $Y$ . Then  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay, and Gorenstein if  $n/m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed the affine cone of  $Y$  is the quotient of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by an action of  $\mu_m := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid \lambda^m = 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  via the scalar multiplication on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (since any monomial of degree divisible by  $m$  is a product of monomials

of degree  $m$ ). Hence  $X$  has only rational singularities. Using the Grauert-Riemenschneider vanishing theorem and Grothendieck duality, this implies that  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay, and Gorenstein in the case  $n/m \in \mathbb{Z}$  (considering the action on  $n$ -forms). Note that the blowup of the affine cone of  $Y$  at the vertex is a line bundle over  $Y$ . This is the quotient by  $\mu_m$  of the blowup of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  at 0 (which is a line bundle corresponding to the invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-1)$ ) and corresponds to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}(-m)$ . Here the quotient group  $\text{Div}(X)/\text{CDiv}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . Indeed, passing to the linear equivalent classes, the divisor class group  $\text{Cl}(X) = \text{Div}(X)_{\sim \text{lin}}$  is generated by the class of the cone  $\tilde{H}$  of a hyperplane  $H$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1} = Y$ , but the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(X) = \text{CDiv}(X)_{\sim \text{lin}}$  by the class of a hyperplane section of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}^N$  or that of the cone of a hyperplane section of  $Y$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ , which is equal to  $m[\tilde{H}]$  (using an argument similar to the one in the end of Remark 4.4). Note also that the fundamental group of the link of the vertex of the projective cone  $X$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -homology manifold (considering the decomposition of the direct image of  $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{C}^n}[n]$  by the covering transformation group  $\mu_m$ ). The cone  $X$  is *not* a local complete intersection in the case  $n \geq 3$  by [KaWa 82, Theorem A] (where [Gro 68, X.3.3–4] is used in the proof). This assertion follows also from Theorem 6.1 below.

**Remark 4.8.** An assumption on the codimension of singular locus in [JKSY 22, Corollary 3] is unnecessary by using [KaWa 82, Theorem A] together with the Shephard-Todd-Chevalley theorem. Indeed, in the notation of that paper, we may assume that  $G$  does not contain a pseudoreflection by dividing the finite group  $G$  by the normal subgroup generated by pseudoreflections and taking a linear action model (and repeating this if necessary). Then there is  $h \in G$  with two eigenvalues  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  which are different from 1 and have the *same order*, where the other eigenvalues of  $h$  are 1 (and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  may coincide). Moreover the subgroup  $\{g \in G \mid L_g = L_h\}$  is a cyclic group, where  $L_g$  denotes the fixed part by the action of  $g \in G$ , and the image of  $L_h$  is a local irreducible component of the *singular* locus of the quotient variety. (This does not work without assuming the nonexistence of pseudoreflections.)

It is also informed from B. Dirks that the hypothesis on the codimension can be removed by applying [KeSc 21, Corollary 1.12] and [MiVa 19, Corollary 3.1], since quotient singularities cannot be 1-du Bois.

## 5. Proof of Corollary 4

Since  $X$  is a complete intersection, it is known that  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z}_X[n])) = 0$  for  $k > 0$ , where  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{H}^\bullet$  is the cohomology functor in [BBD 82] and  $\mathbb{D}$  denotes the dual functor. (This can be shown by using the stability of semi-perversities under nearby and vanishing cycle functors, see Proposition 6.1 below.) It is also known that Artin's vanishing theorem holds with integral coefficients, see for instance [Dim 04], [Sc, Theorem 6.0.4]. (It was proved first in the finite and  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -coefficient case.) For a complete intersection  $X' \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  containing  $X$  as a divisor (that is,  $\dim X' = n+1$ ), we then get

$$(5.1) \quad H^k(U', \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{U'}[n+1])) = 0 \quad \text{for any } k > 0,$$

setting  $U' := X' \setminus X$ . By duality this implies

$$(5.2) \quad H_c^{k+n+1}(U', \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \quad \text{for any } k < 0.$$

(Note that the torsion part of  $H^0(U', \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z}_{U'}[n+1]))$  contributes to that of  $H_c^{k+n+2}(U', \mathbb{Z})$ .)

Using the long exact sequence associated with cohomology with compact supports, we can verify by induction on  $\text{codim}_{\mathbb{P}^N} X$  that

$$(5.3) \quad i_X^* : H^k(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{for any } k \leq 2,$$

with  $i_X : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$  the inclusion; in particular  $h^1(X) = 0$ ,  $h^2(X) = 1$ . The last equality implies the equivalence between condition (b) for  $X$  and that for  $Y$  in the case  $X$  is a projective cone of  $Y$ , using Remark 4.2.

From the commutative diagram (3.1) and the isomorphism (5.3) for  $k = 1, 2$ , we can deduce the vanishing

$$(5.4) \quad H^k(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2.$$

Considering the restriction to  $X$  of the exponential sequence on  $\mathbb{P}^N$  and using the morphism between the associated long exact sequences, we then get the commutative diagram

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^N) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^2(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i_X^* \\ \text{Pic}(X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

So the implication (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) follows, since condition (a) implies that  $\text{Cl}(X) = \text{Pic}(X)$ .

The equivalence between (c) and (d) is valid for any projective variety  $X$  with  $H$  fixed. Indeed, if condition (c) holds, every irreducible reduced divisor  $Z$  is linearly equivalent to a multiple of  $H_X$  by a rational function  $f$  on  $X$  such that  $\text{div}(f) = Z - mH_X$  with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , and this gives a defining function of  $Z$  in the affine ring  $A_H$ . Conversely, if condition (d) holds, every irreducible element of  $A_H$  defines an irreducible divisor of  $X \setminus H_X$  (using Hilbert's Nullstellensatz if necessary), and every irreducible divisor of  $X \setminus H_X$  is contained in the zero-locus of an irreducible element  $f \in A_H$ , and coincides with it using the above irreducibility of  $f^{-1}(0)$ , hence condition (c) follows by viewing  $f$  as a rational function on  $X$ . (One can see that the class of  $H_X$  is not a torsion, since its  $n$ -fold self-intersection number is nonzero.)

Condition (c) is clearly independent of  $H$ , hence so is (d) by the above argument. This gives the implication (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a) using the above argument about the defining function of  $Z$  in the factorial case. Finally the equivalence between conditions (a) and (b) follows using Theorems 1 and 6.1 below. This finishes the proof of Corollary 4.

**Remark 5.1.** It is rather easy to show that condition (a) for  $X$  implies condition (c) for  $Y$  in the case  $X$  is a projective cone of  $Y$  using (5.3) for  $Y$  as follows: (This is related to [Har 77, Exer. II.6.3].) There are isomorphisms of divisor class groups

$$(5.6) \quad \text{Cl}(Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Cl}(X \setminus \{0\}) = \text{Cl}(X),$$

since the rational function fields of  $X \setminus \{0\}$  and  $X$  are the same. Here the first isomorphism is induced by  $\rho^*$  with  $\rho: X \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow Y$  the projection of a line bundle, see for instance [Fu 84, Proposition 1.9]. For an irreducible reduced divisor  $Z$  on  $Y$ , let  $Z' \subset X$  be the projective cone of  $Z$ . By condition (a) for  $X$ , there is  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,0}$  defining  $Z'$  on a neighborhood of the vertex  $0 \in X$ . We have a natural  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action  $\gamma_\lambda: X \rightarrow X$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , and  $Z' \subset X$  is stable by it. This implies that  $f$  can be chosen so that it is a restriction of a homogeneous polynomial of variables  $x_1, \dots, x_N$ , where the  $x_i$  are natural homogeneous coordinates of  $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}$ . Indeed, using complex analytic functions, we have the expansion  $f = \sum_{m \leq k < +\infty} f_k$  with  $f_k$  restrictions to  $X$  of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k$  so that  $\gamma_\lambda^* f_k = \lambda^k f_k$  and  $f_m \neq 0$ . We can consider the limit of

$$\lambda^{-m} \gamma_\lambda^* f = \sum_{m \leq k < +\infty} \lambda^{k-m} f_k \quad \text{for } \lambda \rightarrow 0,$$

which is equal to  $f_m$ . Condition (c) for  $Y$  then holds using (5.6) and viewing  $f_m$  as a rational function on  $X$ .

## 6. Factoriality and Q-factoriality

We can show for instance Theorem 6.1 below, where no rationality condition is supposed, and the first assumption is satisfied in the local complete intersection case by Proposition 6.1 below. Note also that in the latter case Theorem 6.1 follows rather easily from [Gro 68, X.3.4], see Remark 6.2 below.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $X$  be a normal projective variety. Assume  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z}_X[n]) \in \mathbf{pD}^{\leq 0}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\mathbb{D}$  the dual functor in  $D_c^b(X, \mathbb{Z})$  (see (6.9) below), and the singular locus has at least codimension three. Then any effective divisor  $D \in \text{Div}(X)$  which is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier is Cartier (that is, Zariski-locally principal), in particular, factoriality of  $X$  is equivalent to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality.*

*Proof.* Recall that a divisor  $D$  is said to be  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier if  $mD$  is Cartier (that is, Zariski-locally principal) for some positive integer  $m$ . By an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 1 in Section 1 (taking a resolution of singularities whose exceptional divisors are smooth and have normal crossings and using the direct image of an appropriate invertible sheaf together with GAGA, Nakayama's lemma, and the Hartogs-type lemma for normal schemes), the assertion is essentially analytic-local on  $X$ .

Let  $D = \sum_i m_i D_i \in \text{Div}(X)$  with  $D_i$  reduced, irreducible and  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be an effective divisor on  $X$ . Let  $g$  be a function on a Zariski-open subset  $U \subset X$  such that  $\text{div } g = mD$  for a positive integer  $m$ . This gives the ramified cyclic covering

$$V := \{t^m = g\} \subset U \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow U,$$

with  $t$  the coordinate of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\tilde{V}$  be the normalization of  $V$ . Then it is enough to show that  $\tilde{V}$  is étale over  $U$  by considering the pullback of  $t (= g^{1/m})$ . (In the local complete intersection case, the assertion then follows from [Gro 68, X.3.4], see Remark 6.2 below).

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a Whitney stratification of  $X$ . Arguing by induction on strata and using an iterated hyperplane section transversal to a stratum, we may assume that  $\tilde{V}$  is étale on the complement of some point  $x_0 \in X$  which is a stratum of the stratification  $\mathcal{S}$ . The assertion is then reduced to the vanishing

$$(6.1) \quad H^1(X \cap \partial B_{x_0}, \mu_m) = 0,$$

where  $\mu_m := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid \lambda^m = 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  and  $B_{x_0}$  is a sufficiently small ball in a smooth ambient space with center  $x_0$ .

Since  $\mathbf{pD}^{\leq j}, \mathbf{pD}^{\geq j}$  are the duals of  $\mathbf{p}^+D^{\geq -j}, \mathbf{p}^+D^{\leq -j}$  (see Remark 6.3 below), we have

$$(6.2) \quad \mathbb{Z}_X[n] \in \mathbf{p}^+D^{\geq 0}(X, \mathbb{Z}),$$

that is,  $\mathbf{p}^+\mathcal{H}^k(\mathbb{Z}_X[n]) = 0$  for any  $k < 0$ .

Let  $\mathbf{p}^+\text{IC}_X\mathbb{Z}$  be the intersection complex for the dual middle perversity  $\mathbf{p}^+$ . (It is different from the usual one even in the case of surface singularities of type  $A, D, E$ . Indeed, some torsion part appears from the second link cohomology and the determinant of the intersection matrix in [Mu 61] is not  $\pm 1$ .) This complex can be described by iterating open direct images and truncations (see [GoMP 83], [BBD 82, 1.4.23 and 2.1.11]), and we have

$$(6.3) \quad \mathbf{p}^+\text{IC}_X\mathbb{Z} = {}^+\tau_{<0}\mathbf{R}j'_*(\mathbf{p}^+\text{IC}_{X'}\mathbb{Z}),$$

with  $X' := X \setminus \{x_0\}$  and  $j': X' \hookrightarrow X$  the inclusion. Here  ${}^+\tau_{<0}$  is the classical truncation associated with the *dual*  $t$ -structure on the bounded complexes of finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, see [BBD 82, 3.3.2]. Set

$$(6.4) \quad \mathcal{C}^\bullet := C(\mathbb{Z}_X[n] \rightarrow \mathbf{p}^+\text{IC}_X\mathbb{Z}) \in \mathbf{p}^+D^{\geq -1}(X, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where the last inclusion follows from (6.2). Since  $\dim \text{supp } \mathcal{C}^\bullet \leq \dim \Sigma \leq n-3$ , we then get

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{H}^{-n+k}\mathcal{C}^\bullet = 0 \text{ for } k \leq 1, \quad \mathcal{H}^{-n+2}\mathcal{C}^\bullet \text{ is torsion-free,}$$

using the truncation  ${}^+\tau_{\leq -n+1}$  as in [BBD 82, 3.3.2]. Indeed, the above estimate of  $\dim \text{supp } \mathcal{C}^\bullet$  implies that

$${}^+\tau_{\leq -n+1}\mathcal{C}^\bullet \in \mathbf{p}^+D^{\leq -2}(X, \mathbb{Z}),$$

and the canonical morphism

$${}^+\mathcal{H}^{-n+1}\mathcal{C}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^+\mathcal{H}^{-n+1}\mathcal{C}^\bullet$$

induced by  $\iota: {}^+\tau_{\leq -n+1}\mathcal{C}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\bullet$  must vanish, since  $\iota = 0$  by vanishing of negative extensions:

$$\text{Hom}(\mathbf{p}^+D^{\leq -2}(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbf{p}^+D^{\geq -1}(X, \mathbb{Z})) = 0.$$

(A similar argument can be applied to a proof of Lemma 4.1.)

We can verify moreover that the long exact sequence associated with (6.4) implies the isomorphisms

$$(6.6) \quad \mathcal{H}^{-n+k}(\mathbf{P}^+ \mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{H}^{-n+k} \mathcal{C}^\bullet \quad \text{for any } k \geq 1.$$

There is the Leray-type spectral sequence

$$(6.7) \quad E_2^{p,q} = R^{pj'_*} \mathcal{H}^q(\mathbf{P}^+ \mathrm{IC}_{X'} \mathbb{Z}) \implies R^{p+q} j'_*(\mathbf{P}^+ \mathrm{IC}_{X'} \mathbb{Z}),$$

which is associated with the usual classical truncations  $\tau_{\leq \bullet}$  and the usual sheaf cohomology functor  $\mathcal{H}^\bullet$ , where  $R^{pj'_*} := \mathcal{H}^p \mathbf{R}j'_*$ . (Here some renumbering of spectral sequence is used, see [De 71, (1.4.8)].)

In view of (6.3), (6.5), (6.6), (6.7), we conclude that

$$(6.8) \quad E_2^{1,-n} = 0, \quad E_2^{2,-n} \text{ is torsion-free.}$$

Indeed,  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  for any  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q < -n$  or  $q = -n+1$  by (6.5), (6.6), hence  $E_2^{p,-n} = E_r^{p,-n}$  for any  $r \geq 3$  and  $p = 1, 2$ . Here  $\mathcal{H}^{-n}(\mathbf{P}^+ \mathrm{IC}_{X'} \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_{X'}[n]$  by (6.5) (and  $n \geq 3$  by assumption). So the assertion follows using a universal coefficient theorem.

**Proposition 6.1.** *We have the inclusion (6.2) if  $X$  is a local complete intersection.*

*Proof.* It is known that the middle perversity  $\mathbf{p}$  and the dual middle perversity  $\mathbf{p}^+$  in the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficient case (see [BBD 82, 3.3]) are stable by shifted nearby and vanishing cycle functors  $\psi_h[-1]$ ,  $\varphi_h[-1]$  for a locally defined function  $h$  on  $X$ , see for instance [Sc, Theorem 6.0.2 and Example 6.0.2] (and also [Sa 20, 1.1] and the references noted there). By assumption  $X$  is locally an intersection of hypersurfaces  $h_j^{-1}(0)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r$  in a smooth variety  $Y$ . Using the distinguished triangles

$$i_{h_j}^* \rightarrow \psi_{h_j} \rightarrow \varphi_{h_j} \xrightarrow{[1]}$$

with  $i_{h_j}$  the inclusion of  $h_j^{-1}(0)$  in  $X$ , we see that the shifted constant sheaf  $\mathbb{Z}_X[n]$  is locally quasi-isomorphic to the complex obtained by iterating the shifted mapping cone

$$C(\psi_{h_j}[-1] \rightarrow \varphi_{h_j}[-1])[-1] \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, r$$

to  $\mathbb{Z}_Y[\dim Y]$ . So the assertion follows.

**Remark 6.1.** By Grothendieck (see [Gro 68, XI, Theorem 3.13 (ii)]) factoriality holds in the case  $X$  is a local complete intersection and  $\mathrm{codim}_X \mathrm{Sing} X \geq 4$ . (Indeed, parafactoriality of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  implies that any invertible sheaf on  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \setminus \{x\}$  is extendable to an invertible sheaf on  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , where  $x$  is not necessarily a closed point of  $X$ . For the proof of the coincidence of  $\mathrm{Div}(X)$  and  $\mathrm{CDiv}(X)$  in the case all local rings (which are inductive limits of affine rings) are factorial, it may be more intuitive to employ a (trivial) extension of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz to reduced affine varieties in order to show that an irreducible element  $f$  of a local ring defines locally a reduced irreducible divisor, since in the case  $(gh)^m \in (f)$  for some  $m > 1$ , we get  $g \in (f)$  or  $h \in (f)$  using factoriality.)

**Remark 6.2.** In the local complete intersection case, Theorem 6.1 follows from [Gro 68, X.3.4] by using it instead of (6.1) in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

**Remark 6.3.** Let  $X$  be a reduced complex analytic space. We have the semi-perversity conditions for  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D_c^b(X, \mathbb{Z})$  as follows:

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^\bullet \in {}^{\mathbf{p}}D^{\leq j} &\iff \mathcal{H}^k i_S^* \mathcal{F}^\bullet = 0 \quad \text{if } k > j - d_S, \\ \mathcal{F}^\bullet \in {}^{\mathbf{p}}D^{\geq j} &\iff \mathcal{H}^k i_S^! \mathcal{F}^\bullet = 0 \quad \text{if } k < j - d_S, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S$  runs over any strata of a Whitney stratification with  $i_S$  the inclusion of  $S$  into  $X$  and  $d_S = \dim S$ , see [BBD 82]. We say that  $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$  satisfies the perversity condition if these two

semi-perversity conditions hold for  $j = 0$ . Note that these are not dual to each other, and there is the following dual semi-perversity conditions:

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^\bullet \in {}^{\mathbf{p}^+}D^{\leq j} &\iff \mathcal{H}^k i_S^* \mathcal{F}^\bullet = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k > j - d_S + 1, \\ \text{torsion} & \text{if } k = j - d_S + 1, \end{cases} \\ \mathcal{F}^\bullet \in {}^{\mathbf{p}^+}D^{\geq j} &\iff \mathcal{H}^k i_S^! \mathcal{F}^\bullet = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < j - d_S, \\ \text{torsion-free} & \text{if } k = j - d_S. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

These are the dual of  ${}^{\mathbf{p}^+}D^{\geq -j}$  and  ${}^{\mathbf{p}^+}D^{\leq -j}$  respectively, see [BBD 82, 3.3]. The stability of these semi-perversity conditions by shifted nearby and vanishing cycle functors is shown in [Sc]. (Note that the upper semi-perversity is related to rectified homotopical (or homological) depth, see for instance [Gro 68], [HaLê 91], [RSW 23].)

**Remark 6.4.** Let  $(X, 0)$  be a germ of a normal complex analytic space of dimension 2. Set  $E := \mathcal{H}^0({}^{\mathbf{p}^+}\mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Z})_0$ . This is a finite abelian group, and is identified with a sheaf supported at 0 (since the direct image by a closed immersion is usually omitted). We have a self-dual distinguished triangle

$${}^{\mathbf{p}^+}\mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow {}^{\mathbf{p}^+}\mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{[1]},$$

with  $\mathbb{D}({}^{\mathbf{p}^+}\mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Z}) = {}^{\mathbf{p}^+}\mathrm{IC}_X \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{D}(E) = E[-1]$ .

Let  $M$  be the intersection matrix of the exceptional divisors of the minimal resolution of  $(X, 0)$ . The argument in Section 2 seems to imply that

$$(6.11) \quad |E| = |\det(M)|.$$

Assume  $(X, 0)$  is a hypersurface. Then the second link cohomology is given by  $T - \mathrm{id}$  with  $T$  the monodromy on the vanishing cohomology using the Wang sequence and Alexander duality (or local cohomology) as in [Mi 68]. This should imply that

$$(6.12) \quad |E| = |\det(T - \mathrm{id})|.$$

In the rational double point case (that is, of type  $A, D, E$ ), these are compatible employing the Picard-Lefschetz formula (see for instance [La 81]) and Brieskorn's theory of simultaneous resolutions [Bri 68]. In the case of type  $A_k$  (where the eigenvalues of the monodromy are  $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}j/(k+1)}$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ), this argument implies that

$$(6.13) \quad |\det(M)| = (x^{k+1} - 1)/(x - 1)|_{x=1} = k + 1,$$

where  $M_{i,j} = -2$  if  $i = j$ , 1 if  $|i - j| = 1$ , and 0 otherwise as well known, see also [Sa 20, Appendix].

**Remark 6.5.** It seems to be known to specialists that factoriality is equivalent to  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality at least in the case of normal projective varieties of dimension not less than three having only isolated hypersurface singularities. An argument in Ann. Math. 127, p. 116 however seems to be misstated in an incredible way, since the cyclic covering is ramified over the divisor, and one has to take its *normalization*, which is étale over the complement of the isolated singularities. (Here one uses Milnor's Bouquet theorem together with the Wang sequence and Alexander duality or the local cohomology for the closed submanifold  $X \cap \partial B_x$  in the sphere  $\partial B_x$ , see [Mi 68] where the Milnor fibration is defined on  $\partial B_x \setminus X$  by  $f/|f|$ ). Note that this argument cannot be extended to the case  $n = 2$  even if 1 is not an eigenvalue of the Milnor monodromy  $T$ , since the variation  $T - \mathrm{id}$  is not invertible with  $\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients and some torsion appears in the second link cohomology, see Remark 6.4.)

## References

- [BBD 82] Beilinson, A., Bernstein, J., Deligne, P., Faisceaux pervers, *Astérisque* 100 (1982).
- [BrNo 14] Brevik, J., Nollet, S., Developments in Noether-Lefschetz theory, *Contemp. Math.*, 608, A.M.S. 2014, 21–50.
- [Bri 68] Brieskorn, E., Die Auflösung der rationalen Singularitäten holomorpher Abbildungen, *Math. Ann.* 178 (1968), 255–270.
- [Che 10] Cheltsov, I., Factorial threefold hypersurfaces, *J. Alg. Geom.* 19 (2010), 781–791.
- [De 71] Deligne, P., Théorie de Hodge II, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 40 (1971), 5–57.
- [Dim 04] Dimca, A., *Sheaves in Topology*, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [Fu 84] Fulton, W., *Intersection Theory*, Springer, New York, 1984.
- [GoMP 83] Goresky, M., MacPherson, R., Intersection homology II, *Inv. Math.* 72 (1983), 77–129.
- [Gra 62] Grauert, H., Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen, *Math. Ann.* 146 (1962), 331–368.
- [GrRi 70] Grauert, H., Riemenschneider, O., Verschwindungssätze für analytische Kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Räumen, *Inv. Math.* 11 (1970), 263–292.
- [Gro 68] Grothendieck, A., *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [HaLê 91] Hamm, H.A., Lê, D.T., Rectified homotopical depth and Grothendieck conjectures, *Grothendieck Festschrift II*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991, 311–351.
- [Har 77] Hartshorne, R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer, New York, 1977.
- [JKSY 22] Jung, S.-J., Kim, I.-K., Saito, M., Yoon, Y., Higher Du Bois singularities of hypersurfaces, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 125 (2022), 543–567.
- [KaWa 82] Kac, V., Watanabe, K.-i., Finite linear groups whose ring of invariants is a complete intersection, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* 6 (1982), 221–223.
- [KeSc 21] Kebekus, S., Schnell, C., Extending holomorphic forms from the regular locus of a complex space to a resolution of singularities, *J. Am. Math. Soc.* 34 (2021), 315–368.
- [Ko 99] Kovács, S.J., Rational, log canonical, Du Bois singularities: on the conjectures of Kollár and Steenbrink, *Comp. Math.* 118 (1999), 123–133.
- [La 81] Lamotke, K., The topology of complex projective varieties after S. Lefschetz, *Topology* 20 (1981), 15–51.
- [MiVa 19] Miller, C., Vassiliadou, S., (Co)torsion of exterior powers of differentials over complete intersections, *J. Sing.* 19 (2019), 131–162.
- [Mi 68] Milnor, J., *Singular Points of Complex Hypersurfaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1968.
- [Mu 61] Mumford, D., The topology of normal singularities of an algebraic surface and a criterion for simplicity, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 9 (1961), 5–22.
- [NaSt 95] Namikawa, Y., Steenbrink, J.H.M., Global smoothing of Calabi-Yau threefolds, *Inv. Math.* 122 (1995), 403–419.
- [PaPo 25] Park, S.G., Popa, M.,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -factoriality and Hodge-Du Bois theory (arxiv:2508.17748).
- [RSW 21] Reichelt, T., Saito, M., Walther, U., Dependence of Lyubeznik numbers of cones of projective schemes on projective embeddings, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 27 (2021), Paper No. 6, 22 pp.
- [RSW 23] Reichelt, T., Saito, M., Walther, U., Topological calculation of local cohomological dimension, *J. Sing.* 26 (2023), 13–22.
- [Sa 83] Saito, M., On the exponents and the geometric genus of an isolated hypersurface singularity, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* 40, Part 2, A.M.S. (1983), 465–472.
- [Sa 90] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge modules, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 26 (1990), 221–333.
- [Sa 91] Saito, M., On Kollár’s conjecture, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, 52, Part 2, A.M.S. Providence, RI, 1991, pp 509–517.
- [Sa 00] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge complexes on algebraic varieties, *Math. Ann.* 316 (2000), 283–331.
- [Sa 20] Saito, M., Lowest non-zero vanishing cohomology of holomorphic functions (arxiv:2008.10529).
- [Sa 25] Saito, M., Bernstein-Sato polynomials for projective hypersurfaces with weighted homogeneous isolated singularities (arxiv:1609.04801v11).
- [Sc] Schürmann, J., *Topology of Singular Spaces and Constructible Sheaves*, Monografie Matematyczne, vol. 63, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.

S.-J. JUNG : DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, AND INSTITUTE OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, JEONBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, JEONJU, 54896, KOREA

*Email address:* seungjo@jbnu.ac.kr

M. SAITO : RIMS KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502 JAPAN

*Email address:* msaito@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp