

Crossing matrix and a polynomial invariant of braid systems up to Hurwitz equivalence

Ayaka Shimizu* and Yoshiro Yaguchi†

January 6, 2026

Abstract

We study the crossing matrix of a braid and introduce a polynomial invariant for braid systems that is invariant under Hurwitz equivalence. As an application to the study of surface braids and surface links, we also define an invariant that can be used as an indicator of the necessity of Euler fusion or fission between braid systems.

1 Introduction

The Hurwitz action plays an important role in the study of surface braids and surface links. Let G be a group, and let G^n denote the n -fold direct product of G . For $g, h \in G$, we define an operation $*$ on G by $g * h = h^{-1}gh \in G$. The *Hurwitz action* is defined by a natural right action of B_n on G^n as

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \cdot \sigma_i &= (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1}, g_i * g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n), \\ (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \cdot \sigma_i^{-1} &= (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1} * (g_i^{-1}), g_i, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n) \end{aligned}$$

for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, where σ_i is the i^{th} standard generator of B_n . Two elements \vec{g} and \vec{g}' of G^n are said to be *Hurwitz equivalent* and are denoted by $\vec{g} \stackrel{\text{Hur}}{\sim} \vec{g}'$ if they are related by a Hurwitz action.

When G is a braid group B_m , a complete classification of elements of G^n up to Hurwitz equivalence yields a complete classification of *surface braids*, that is the two-dimensional version of the classical braids, of degree m with n branch points up to “equivalence”. From a computational viewpoint, determining Hurwitz equivalence is difficult. In fact, the Hurwitz equivalence problem is undecidable in general (see, e.g., [7]). This motivates the search for effective, computable invariants of Hurwitz equivalence classes.

*Institute for Global Leadership, Ochanomizu University, Ohtsuka, Tokyo, 112-8610, Japan. Email: shimizu.ayaka@ocha.ac.jp, shimizu1984@gmail.com

†Maebashi Institute of Technology, Maebashi, Gunma, 371-0816, Japan. Email: y.yaguchi@maebashi-it.ac.jp

A *crossing matrix*, introduced in [4], is a matrix whose entries represent the crossing information between the strands of a braid. Using the crossing matrix, several conjugacy invariants of braids were proposed in [9]. It is natural to extend these invariants to *braid systems* $\vec{b} \in (B_m)^n$. In Section 6, we construct new invariants of braid systems. In particular, we define the *characteristic polynomial* $P(\vec{b})$ of a braid system $\vec{b} \in (B_m)^n$ and prove that $P(\vec{b})$ is invariant under Hurwitz equivalence. The following theorem is proved in Section 6.

Theorem 1. *Let $\vec{b}, \vec{b}' \in (B_m)^n$. If $\vec{b} \stackrel{\text{Hur}}{\sim} \vec{b}'$, then $P(\vec{b}) = P(\vec{b}')$.*

For example, let $\vec{b} = (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1}, \sigma_3, \sigma_2^{-1}, \sigma_1^{-1})$, $\vec{b}' = (\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3, \sigma_3^{-1}, \sigma_2, \sigma_1^{-1}) \in (B_4)^4$. Their characteristic polynomials are given by $P(\vec{b}) = x^{16} - 5x^{14} + 10x^{12} - 10x^{10} + 5x^8 - x^6$ and $P(\vec{b}') = x^{16} - 9x^{14} + 8x^{13} + 18x^{12} - 24x^{11} - 10x^{10} + 24x^9 - 3x^8 - 8x^7 + 3x^6$. Hence, by the contrapositive of Theorem 1, we conclude that $\vec{b} \not\stackrel{\text{Hur}}{\sim} \vec{b}'$. Note that \vec{b} and \vec{b}' have the same “trace product” and the same “monodromy group” (see Example 9 for more details). This example shows that $P(\vec{b})$ is an effective invariant in practice. Moreover, $P(\vec{b})$ is easy to compute.

The characteristic polynomial $P(\vec{b})$ of a braid system $\vec{b} \in (B_m)^n$ admits a factorization into mn linear expressions as $P(\vec{b}) = (x - a_1)(x - a_2) \dots (x - a_{mn})$ with real constant terms $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{mn} \in \mathbb{R}$ (Corollary 2). Let $E(\vec{b})$ be the multiset of the constant terms a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{mn} except for $0, \pm 1$. We apply this $E(\vec{b})$ to the study of (orientable and oriented) *surface links*, that is a closed oriented surface embedded in \mathbb{R}^4 . Kamada proved that every surface link can be obtained as the closure of some surface braid ([6]). Kamada also proved that if two braid systems \vec{b} and \vec{b}' represent “equivalent” surface links, then they are related by a finite sequence of a Hurwitz action, global conjugation, stabilization/destabilization, and Euler fusion/fission (see Sections 7 and 6 for details). The following theorem is shown in Section 6.

Theorem 2. *Let \vec{b}, \vec{b}' be braid systems. If they are related by a finite sequence of a Hurwitz action, global conjugation, and stabilization/destabilization, then $E(\vec{b}) = E(\vec{b}')$.*

Take two braid systems \vec{b} and \vec{b}' which represent equivalent surface links. As a consequence of Theorem 2, if $E(\vec{b}) \neq E(\vec{b}')$, then any sequence of a Hurwitz action, global conjugation, stabilization/destabilization, and Euler fusion/fission between \vec{b} and \vec{b}' must contain an Euler fusion/fission. Thus, $E(\vec{b})$ can be used as an indicator for the necessity of Euler fusion or fission for a given pair of braid systems, as shown in Example 10 in Section 7.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review braids and the crossing matrix. In Section 3, we recall the conjugacy invariants derived

from the crossing matrix. In Section 4, we explore the characteristic polynomial of braids. In Section 5, we review the Hurwitz action and Hurwitz equivalence. In Section 6, we construct Hurwitz invariants on braid systems and prove Theorems 1 and 2. In Section 7, we recall surface braids and surface links and see an example of Theorem 2.

2 Braids and crossing matrix

In this section, we briefly review braids, braid groups, and the crossing matrix introduced in [4].

Throughout this paper, we work in the PL category. A *geometric m -braid* ($m \geq 2$) consists of m strands attached to two horizontal bars in \mathbb{R}^3 , where each strand runs monotonically from the upper bar to the lower bar with respect to the vertical coordinate. Two geometric m -braids are said to be *equivalent* if they are sent to each other by an ambient isotopy of \mathbb{R}^3 which fixes the two horizontal bars pointwise. For geometric m -braids b and c , we define the *braid product* bc by the geometric m -braid obtained by placing b above c so that the endpoints of b on the lower bar coincide with those of c on the upper bar.

An *m -braid diagram* B of a geometric m -braid b is a projection of b onto \mathbb{R}^2 in general position (so that all crossings are transverse double points), equipped with over/under information at each crossing, as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: A braid diagram.

In this paper, the equivalence class of a geometric m -braid is simply called an *m -braid*. Let B_m be the m -braid group, that is, the set of m -braids with the group operation naturally induced by the braid product. For $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, σ_i is the m -braid which has the diagram depicted in Figure 2 and we call it the i^{th} *standard generator* of B_m . It is well-known that B_m has the following




Figure 2: The generators σ_i and σ_i^{-1} .

presentation ([1], [2]):

$$B_m = \left\langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{m-1} \mid \begin{array}{l} \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \ (|i-j|=1), \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \ (|i-j|>1) \end{array} \right\rangle.$$

For example, the braid which has the diagram in Figure 1 is expressed as $\sigma_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1} \in B_3$, or equivalently $\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^{-1} \in B_3$.

We recall the definition of the crossing matrix introduced in [4]. For a braid or braid diagram, we call the strand that has the upper endpoint at the k^{th} position from the left the k^{th} strand. The *crossing matrix* was defined by Burillo, Gutierrez, Krstić and Nitecki in [4] (see also [5]) as follows. Let B be an m -braid diagram. The crossing matrix $C(B)$ is an $m \times m$ matrix with zero diagonal entries. Its (i, j) -entry is defined as the number of positive crossings minus the number of negative crossings between the i^{th} and j^{th} strands, where the i^{th} strand passes over the j^{th} strand. (See Figure 3.) Since the crossing matrix is unchanged by the relation $\sigma_i \sigma_i^{-1} = \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_i = id_{B_m}$ and two relations in the presentation of B_m in Section 1, the crossing matrix does not depend on the choice of a braid diagram B for each braid b . This enables us to define the crossing matrix $C(b)$ of a braid b as $C(b) = C(B)$ for any diagram B of b .




Figure 3: A braid diagram and its crossing matrix.

For each m -braid b , the *braid permutation of b* is the permutation on $(1, 2, \dots, m)$ that is associated to the correspondence from the upper endpoints to the lower endpoints of the strands of b . For example, the braid permutation of the braid in Figure 3 is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ since the 1^{st} , 2^{nd} , 3^{rd} strands have the endpoints at the 1^{st} , 3^{rd} , 2^{nd} position at the bottom. A *pure braid* is a braid whose braid permutation is trivial. The following proposition was proved in [4] for pure braids.

Proposition 1 ([4]). The crossing matrix of a pure braid is symmetric.

3 Conjugacy invariants of braids

Here we review and explore conjugacy invariants of braids that are proposed in [9].

Definition 1. Two braids $b, b' \in B_m$ are said to be *conjugate* and denoted by $b \stackrel{\text{conj}}{\sim} b'$ if $b' = b * a (= a^{-1}ba)$ for some $a \in B_m$.

Definition 2. Two $m \times m$ matrices M and N are said to be *permutation equivalent*, denoted by $M \stackrel{\text{perm}}{\sim} N$, if N is obtained from M by applying the same permutation to both its rows and columns. Equivalently, $N = P^{-1}MP$ for some $m \times m$ permutation matrix P .

Example 1. The matrices $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 6 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 8 & 7 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ are permutation equivalent since they are related by the permutation switching the 1st and 2nd rows and columns.

It was shown in [9] that $C(b) \stackrel{\text{perm}}{\sim} C(b')$ when b is *pure* and $b \stackrel{\text{conj}}{\sim} b'$. In general, let r be the order of the braid permutation of a braid b . Then b^r is a pure braid and we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2 ([9]). Let b be a braid whose order of the braid permutation is r . If $b \stackrel{\text{conj}}{\sim} b'$, then $C(b^r) \stackrel{\text{perm}}{\sim} C((b')^r)$.

Example 2. For the two braids $b = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1}$ and $b' = \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3 \in B_4$ in Figure 4, the orders of their braid permutations are both 4, and we obtain the matrices

$$C(b^4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C((b')^4) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $C(b^4)$ is not permutation equivalent to $C((b')^4)$, we conclude that b is not conjugate to b' by the contrapositive of Proposition 2.




Figure 4: Braids $b = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1}$ and $b' = \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3$.

In Example 2, it was easy to see that $C(b^4) \not\stackrel{\text{perm}}{\sim} C((b')^4)$ since the entries are not the same. For some cases, it is not easy to determine whether two matrices are permutation equivalent or not. By the well-known fact that the rank, determinant and characteristic polynomial are invariant of the permutation equivalent classes for square matrices, the following proposition follows from Proposition 2.

Proposition 3 ([9]). Let r be the order of the braid permutation of a braid b . The rank, determinant, characteristic polynomial, and eigenvalues of $C(b^r)$ are invariant under conjugation.

Example 3. For the pair of conjugate braids $b = \sigma_3\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_4$ and $b' = \sigma_4\sigma_3\sigma_1^{-1}$ shown in Figure 5, we have $\det(C(b^6)) = \det(C((b')^6)) = -144$. The characteristic polynomials of $C(b^6)$ and $C((b')^6)$ are both $x^5 - 21x^3 - 16x^2 + 108x + 144 = (x - 4)(x - 3)(x + 2)^2(x + 3)$.




Figure 5: Conjugate braids $b = \sigma_3\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_4$ and $b' = \sigma_4\sigma_3\sigma_1^{-1}$.

Example 4. For the braids $b = \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3^{-1}$ and $b' = \sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3$ in Example 2, we obtain $\det(C(b^4)) = 1$, $\det(C((b')^4)) = -3$. The characteristic polynomials of $C(b^4)$, $C((b')^4)$ are $x^4 - 2x^2 + 1 = (x + 1)^2(x - 1)^2$, $x^4 - 6x^2 + 8x - 3 = (x - 1)^3(x + 3)$, respectively.

In the following proposition, further conjugacy invariants are obtained from Proposition 2 in the same way to [12] as permutation-equivalence invariants.

Proposition 4. Let r be the order of the braid permutation of a braid b . The multiset $S^R(b)$ (resp. $S^C(b)$) consisting of the multisets of the entries in each row (resp. column) of $C(b^r)$ is invariant under conjugation. The multiset $S(b)$ of the entries of $C(b^r)$ is also invariant under conjugation.

Example 5. For the braid b shown in Figure 3, we have $S^R(b) = S^C(b) = \{\{0, 1, 1\}, \{1, 0, -1\}, \{1, -1, 0\}\}$ and $S(b) = \{-1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1\}$.

From the next section, we focus on the characteristic polynomial of $C(b^r)$, which is reasonable to deal with and contains the information of the determinant and eigenvalues.

4 Characteristic polynomials of braids

We investigate the characteristic polynomial of the crossing matrix $C(b^r)$ for a braid b with order of the braid permutation r .

Definition 3. The *characteristic polynomial* of $b \in B_m$, denoted by $P(b)$, is the characteristic polynomial of the crossing matrix $C(b^r)$, where r is the order of the braid permutation of b . That is, $P(b) = \det(xI - C(b^r))$, where I is the $m \times m$ identity matrix.

As shown in Proposition 3, $P(b)$ is invariant under the conjugation. The following propositions hold (see Examples 3 and 4).

Proposition 5. For each $b \in B_m$, $P(b)$ is in the following form:

$$P(b) = x^m + c_{m-2}x^{m-2} + c_{m-3}x^{m-3} + \cdots + c_1x + c_0,$$

where $c_{m-2}, c_{m-3}, \dots, c_1, c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The coefficient of x^m in $P(b)$ is one by the property of the characteristic polynomial. Let r be the order of the braid permutation of b . The coefficient of x^{m-1} is equal to the trace of $-C(b^r)$, which is zero because the crossing matrix has zero diagonal. Since $C(b^r)$ is an integer matrix, $c_{m-2}, c_{m-3}, \dots, c_1, c_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Proposition 6. For each $b \in B_m$, $P(b)$ factors as $P(b) = (x-a_1)(x-a_2)\cdots(x-a_m)$ with real numbers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m = 0$.

Proof. Let r be the order of the braid permutation of b . As observed in [9], the $m \times m$ matrix $C(b^r)$ has real eigenvalues, say $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$ since b^r is pure and $C(b^r)$ is symmetric by Proposition 1. Note that $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_m$ is equal to the trace of $C(b^r)$, which is zero. \square

In the rest of this section, we observe some formulae of the characteristic polynomials of braids.

Example 6. For any $\sigma_i \in B_m$, $P(\sigma_i) = P(\sigma_i^{-1}) = x^{m-2}(x+1)(x-1)$.

Proof. The order of the braid permutation of σ_i is two and the crossing matrix $C(\sigma_i^2)$ is permutation equivalent to the $m \times m$ matrix such that the $(m-1, m)$ - and $(m, m-1)$ -entries are 1 and the others are zero, which has the characteristic polynomial $x^{m-2}(x+1)(x-1)$. The same is true for σ_i^{-1} . \square




Figure 6: The braid $\iota(b)$.

Lemma 1. Let $b \in B_m$. Let $\iota(b)$ be the $(m+1)$ -braid that has the same word as b (see Figure 6). Then $P(\iota(b)) = xP(b)$.

Proof. Let r be the order of the braid permutation of b . Then $\iota(b)$ has the same order r of the braid permutation since the $(m+1)^{th}$ strand of $\iota(b)$ has the lower endpoint at the $(m+1)^{th}$ position. We have $(\iota(b))^r = \iota(b^r)$ since the $(m+1)^{th}$ strand has no crossings in a diagram of $(\iota(b))^r$. The $(m+1) \times (m+1)$ crossing matrix $C(\iota(b^r))$ coincides with the $m \times m$ crossing matrix $C(b^r)$ in the first m rows and columns. All the entries in the $(m+1)^{th}$ row and column are 0. Hence, $P(\iota(b)) = \det(xI_{m+1} - C((\iota(b))^r)) = \det(xI_{m+1} - C(\iota(b^r))) = x \det(xI_m - C(b^r)) = xP(b)$, where I_k denotes the $k \times k$ identity matrix. \square

By considering “weaving braids”, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 7. For each positive integer n , there are nontrivial n -braids b whose characteristic polynomial is $P(b) = x^n$.

Proof. Let m be a positive odd number. Let $b = \sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_3 \dots \sigma_{m-1}^{-1}$, namely a weaving braid $W(m, 1)$. Then b has the order of the braid permutation as m . As shown in [10], each pair of strand of $b^m (= W(m, m))$ has exactly two crossings, one positive crossing and one negative crossing, where one strand is over the other strand at both crossings¹. Hence, the crossing matrix of b^m is the $m \times m$ zero matrix O . Therefore, $P(b) = P(b^m) = \det(xI - O) = x^m$. We also have $P(\iota(b)) = P(\iota(b^m)) = xP(b) = x^{m+1}$ by Lemma 1. \square

We give formulae of the characteristic polynomial for some positive pure braids².

Example 7. For $m > 2$, let $b_m = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{m-2} \sigma_{m-1}^2 \sigma_{m-2} \dots \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \in B_m$ (see Figure 7). Then $P(b_m) = x^m - (m-1)x^{m-2}$.

¹When m is a positive even number, one of the strands has one over-crossing and one under-crossing for each pair of strands of $W(m, m)$. See Section 2.1 of [10] for more details.

²The characterization of the crossing matrix of a positive pure braid is an open problem. See [4], [5], [8], [11].




Figure 7: The braid b_5 has the characteristic polynomial $P(b_5) = x^5 - 4x^3$.

Proof. Since b_m is a pure braid, we have $P(b_m) = \det(xI - C(b_m))$. By the Laplace expansion along the m^{th} column and then the $(m-1)^{th}$ row, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} x & -1 & -1 & \dots & -1 \\ -1 & x & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & x & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & x \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^m \begin{vmatrix} -1 & x & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & x & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & x \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix} + x \begin{vmatrix} x & -1 & \dots & -1 \\ -1 & x & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & x \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^m (-1)^{m-1} \begin{vmatrix} x & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & x & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x \end{vmatrix} + xP(b_{m-1}) = -x^{m-2} + xP(b_{m-1}).$$

By induction, the formula $P(b_m) = x^m - (m-1)x^{m-2}$ is proved. \square

Example 8. For the braid $b_m \in B_m$ in Example 7, let $b_{m,k} = b_m \sigma_1^{2k} \in B_m$. Then $P(b_{m,k}) = x^m - (k^2 + 2k + m - 1)x^{m-2}$.

Proof. By replacing the $(1, 2)$ - and $(2, 1)$ -entries with $-k-1$ for the $m \times m$ matrix in the proof of Example 7, we obtain $P(b_{m,k}) = -x^{m-2} + xP(b_{m-1,k})$. \square

For positive pure 3-braids, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 8. Let b be a positive pure 3-braid. The characteristic polynomial is in the form $P(b) = x^3 + a_1x + a_0$, where a_1 is a non-positive integer and a_0 is an even non-positive integer. Moreover, a_0 is non-zero if and only if every pair of strands has a crossing in any diagram of b .

Proof. Let $2k, 2l, 2m$ be the number of crossings between the 1^{st} and 2^{nd} , 1^{st} and 3^{rd} , 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} strands of a diagram of b . Since $C(b)$ is a symmetric matrix,

$$P(b) = \det(xI - C(b)) = \begin{vmatrix} x & -k & -l \\ -k & x & -m \\ -l & -m & x \end{vmatrix} = x^3 - (k^2 + l^2 + m^2)x - 2klm.$$

The constant term is non-zero if and only if all of k, l, m are non-zero. \square

5 Hurwitz action and its properties

In this section, we briefly review the Hurwitz action and the Hurwitz equivalence. Let G be a group and let G^n be the n -fold direct product of G . We restate the definition of the Hurwitz action and the Hurwitz equivalence for convenience.

Definition 4. The Hurwitz action of B_n on G^n is the right action defined by

$$(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \cdot \sigma_i = (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1}, g_i * g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n) \text{ and} \\ (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) \cdot \sigma_i^{-1} = (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1} * (g_i^{-1}), g_i, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n)$$

for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Two elements $\vec{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ and $\vec{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ of G^n are *Hurwitz equivalent* and denoted by $\vec{g} \stackrel{\text{Hur}}{\sim} \vec{h}$ if they are sent to each other by the Hurwitz action of B_n , i.e., there exists an element $\beta \in B_n$ such that $\vec{g} \cdot \beta = \vec{h}$.

Definition 5. For an element $\vec{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$,

- (1) the *trace product* of \vec{g} is the product $g_1 \cdots g_n$ in G and denoted by $tr(\vec{g})$, and
- (2) the *monodromy group* of \vec{g} is the subgroup of G generated by g_1, \dots, g_n and denoted by $\langle \vec{g} \rangle$.

The following properties are obtained from the definition of the Hurwitz action (see, e.g., [3], [7]).

Proposition 9. Let $\vec{g} = (g_1, \dots, g_n), \vec{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_n) \in G^n$. If $\vec{g} \stackrel{\text{Hur}}{\sim} \vec{h}$, then

- (A) $tr(\vec{g}) = tr(\vec{h})$,
- (B) $\langle \vec{g} \rangle = \langle \vec{h} \rangle$,
- (C) for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, g_i and $h_{\pi(i)}$ are conjugate in $\langle \vec{g} \rangle$, where π is the braid permutation of $\beta \in B_n$ such that $\vec{g} \cdot \beta = \vec{h}$, i.e., the number of times each conjugacy class with respect to $\langle \vec{g} \rangle$ appears in \vec{g} is the same as in \vec{h} , and
- (D) for a group G' and for a group homomorphism $f : G \rightarrow G'$, we have $f^n(\vec{g}) \stackrel{\text{Hur}}{\sim} f^n(\vec{h})$, where $f^n : G^n \rightarrow G'^n$ is defined by $f^n(g_1, \dots, g_n) = (f(g_1), \dots, f(g_n))$.

Take a subset X of G which is *closed under conjugation in G* , i.e., for any $x \in X$ and $y \in G$, $x * y \in X$. Then, the Hurwitz action of B_n on G^n can be restricted to that on X^n . Fix an element $g \in G$. Let $P_g^n(X)$ be the set of elements $\vec{g} \in X^n$ such that $tr(\vec{g}) = g$ and $g_i \neq id_G$ for $i \in 1, \dots, n$. By Proposition 9, the Hurwitz action of B_n on G^n can be restricted to that on $P_g^n(X)$.

In this paper, we discuss the Hurwitz equivalence for the case of $G = B_m$, namely, $G^n = (B_m)^n$.

6 Hurwitz invariants on braid systems

We construct invariants of the Hurwitz equivalence classes of braid systems based on the necessary condition (C) in Proposition 9.

A *braid system (of degree m and length n)* is an element $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in (B_m)^n$. Under the Hurwitz action on $(B_m)^n$, the conjugacy classes of the components of each braid system in $(B_m)^n$ are preserved up to permutation. Therefore, the multiset, product, or sum of the conjugacy invariants given in Propositions 3 and 4 is invariant under Hurwitz equivalence. We have the following corollary by Proposition 3.

Corollary 1. Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in (B_m)^n$. Let r_i be the order of the braid permutation of b_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$). Any of the sum, product, or multiset of the ranks, determinants, and characteristic polynomials of $C(b_1^{r_1}), C(b_2^{r_2}), \dots, C(b_n^{r_n})$ is invariant under the Hurwitz action. The multiset of eigenvalues of $C(b_1^{r_1}), C(b_2^{r_2}), \dots, C(b_n^{r_n})$ is also invariant under the Hurwitz action.

The following example demonstrates a case that the multiset of characteristic polynomials of a braid system provides an effective Hurwitz invariant than the classical necessary conditions such as the trace product and the monodromy group.

Example 9. Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$ and $\vec{b}' = (b'_1, b'_2, b'_3, b'_4)$ be braid systems in $(B_4)^4$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{b} &= (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_3^{-1}, \sigma_3, \sigma_2^{-1}, \sigma_1^{-1}), \\ \vec{b}' &= (b'_1, b'_2, b'_3, b'_4) = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_3, \sigma_3^{-1}, \sigma_2, \sigma_1^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

The multisets of characteristic polynomials are $\{x^4 - 2x^2 + 1, x^4 - x^2, x^4 - x^2, x^4 - x^2\}$ and $\{x^4 - 6x^2 + 8x - 3, x^4 - x^2, x^4 - x^2, x^4 - x^2\}$ by Examples 2 and 6. Since these multisets do not coincide, we conclude from Corollary 1 that $\vec{b} \not\sim^{\text{Hur}} \vec{b}'$. It is important to note that the Hurwitz equivalence of \vec{b} and \vec{b}' cannot be distinguished using the necessary conditions (A) and (B) in Proposition 9;

(A): $tr(\vec{b}) = tr(\vec{b}') (= id)$,

(B): $\langle \vec{b} \rangle = \langle \vec{b}' \rangle (= B_4)$.

As for (D), moreover, the Hurwitz equivalence of \vec{b} and \vec{b}' cannot be distinguished for the following typical settings of f .

- (D-1): Set $f = \pi : B_4 \rightarrow S_4$, where π sends each $b \in B_4$ to its braid permutation. Then $f^4(\vec{b}) = ((\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}), (\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{smallmatrix}), (\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 4 \end{smallmatrix}), (\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 4 \end{smallmatrix})) = f^4(\vec{b}')$, and $f^4(\vec{b}) \stackrel{\text{Hur}}{\sim} f^4(\vec{b}')$.
- (D-2): Set $f : B_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ as a map that sends each braid $b = \sigma_{i_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \sigma_{i_2}^{\varepsilon_2} \dots \sigma_{i_k}^{\varepsilon_k}$ ($i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k \in \{\pm 1\}$) to the sum of the exponents $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \dots + \varepsilon_k$. Then $f^4(\vec{b}) = (1, 1, -1, -1)$, $f^4(\vec{b}') = (1, -1, 1, -1)$. Since $f^4(\vec{b}) \cdot \sigma_2 = f^4(\vec{b}')$, we obtain that $f^4(\vec{b}) \stackrel{\text{Hur}}{\sim} f^4(\vec{b}')$.

We are now ready to define the characteristic polynomial for braid systems.

Definition 6. Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in (B_m)^n$ be a braid system. The *characteristic polynomial* of \vec{b} , denoted by $P(\vec{b})$, is a polynomial of degree mn defined as $P(\vec{b}) = P(b_1)P(b_2) \dots P(b_n)$.

We show Theorem 1 stating that if $\vec{b} \stackrel{\text{Hur}}{\sim} \vec{b}'$, then $P(\vec{b}) = P(\vec{b}')$.

Proof of Theorem 1. This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1 by taking the product of characteristic polynomials. \square

The characteristic polynomial of a braid system has the following property.

Corollary 2. For each $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in (B_m)^n$, the characteristic polynomial factors as $P(\vec{b}) = (x - a_1)(x - a_2) \dots (x - a_{mn})$, where $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{mn} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{mn} = 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 6, each characteristic polynomial $P(b_k)$ of b_k admits a factorization of the form $(x - \alpha_{k,1})(x - \alpha_{k,2}) \dots (x - \alpha_{k,m})$, where $\alpha_{k,1}, \alpha_{k,2}, \dots, \alpha_{k,m} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha_{k,1} + \alpha_{k,2} + \dots + \alpha_{k,m} = 0$. Multiplying all these characteristic polynomials gives

$$P(\vec{b}) = (x - \alpha_{1,1}) \dots (x - \alpha_{1,m})(x - \alpha_{2,1}) \dots (x - \alpha_{2,m}) \dots (x - \alpha_{n,1}) \dots (x - \alpha_{n,m}).$$

\square

The following corollary follows from Proposition 4.

Corollary 3. Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in (B_m)^n$. The multiset of the components of $S^R(b_1), S^R(b_2), \dots, S^R(b_n)$ or $S^C(b_1), S^C(b_2), \dots, S^C(b_n)$ is invariant under the Hurwitz action. The multiset of the components of $S(b_1), S(b_2), \dots, S(b_n)$ is also invariant under the Hurwitz action.

As we will see in the next section, these Hurwitz invariants not only distinguish non-Hurwitz equivalent braid systems, but also provide an invariant regarding the necessity of “Euler fusion or fission” between the braid systems representing surface braids and surface links. To describe Markov’s theorem in dimension four in the next section, we prepare the following definitions and lemmas.

Definition 7. The *global conjugation* of $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in (B_m)^n$ by $a \in B_m$ is defined by $\vec{b} * a := (b_1 * a, b_2 * a, \dots, b_n * a) \in (B_m)^n$. Two braid systems \vec{b} and \vec{b}' are *global conjugate* if there exists a braid $a \in B_m$ such that $\vec{b}' = \vec{b} * a$.

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\iota : B_m \rightarrow B_{m+1}$ with $\iota(b) = b$, as defined in Lemma 1.

Definition 8. The *stabilization* of $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in (B_m)^n$ is the braid system defined by $(\iota(b_1), \iota(b_2), \dots, \iota(b_n), \sigma_m, \sigma_m^{-1}) \in (B_{m+1})^{n+2}$. The inverse is called a *destabilization* (if it exists).

Observe that applying a stabilization on a braid system brings new eigenvalues 0 or ± 1 for each component by Lemma 1 and Example 6. Now we define an invariant for braid systems that is unchanged by any of a Hurwitz action, global conjugation, and stabilization.

Definition 9. Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in (B_m)^n$ and let r_i be the order of the braid permutation of b_i for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $E(\vec{b})$ be the multiset of eigenvalues of $C(b_1^{r_1}), C(b_2^{r_2}), \dots, C(b_n^{r_n})$ except for 0, ± 1 . We refer to $E(\vec{b})$ as the *essential eigenvalue set* of \vec{b} .

For each $\vec{b} \in (B_m)^n$, we can calculate $E(\vec{b})$ from the characteristic polynomial $P(\vec{b}) = (x - a_1)(x - a_2) \dots (x - a_{mn})$ by taking the multiset of $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{mn} \in \mathbb{R}$ and eliminating 0, ± 1 .

Lemma 2. For a braid system \vec{b} , the set $E(\vec{b})$ is invariant under the transformations (I): a Hurwitz action, (II): a global conjugation and (III): a stabilization/destabilization.

Proof. (I): This follows from Corollary 1.

(II): This follows from Proposition 3.

(III): For $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in (B_m)^n$ and $(\iota(b_1), \iota(b_2), \dots, \iota(b_n), \sigma_m, \sigma_m^{-1}) \in (B_{m+1})^{n+2}$, we have $P(\iota(b_i)) = xP(b_i)$ when $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ by Lemma 1. We have $P(\sigma_m) = P(\sigma_m^{-1}) = x^{m-2}(x+1)(x-1)$ by Example 6. Hence, the eigenvalues are unchanged except for 0, ± 1 . □

7 Applications to surface braids and surface links

In this section, we observe that the Hurwitz invariants introduced in Section 6 have an application for surface braids and surface links.

We briefly recall the surface braids and surface links. Let D_1 and D_2 be 2-disks and let $p : D_1 \times D_2 \rightarrow D_2$ be the natural projection which sends $(x_1, x_2) \in D_1 \times D_2$ to $x_2 \in D_2$. A *surface braid* S of degree m is an (orientable and) oriented surface embedded properly and locally flatly in $D_1 \times D_2$ such that $p|_S : S \rightarrow D_2$ is a branched covering of degree m , i.e., there is a finite set $\Gamma \subset \text{Int}(D_2)$ satisfying $|p^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap S| = m$ for any $y \in D_2 \setminus \Gamma$ and $|p^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap S| < m$ for each $y \in \Gamma$, and $\partial S = Q_m \times \partial D_2$ for a set Q_m which consists of fixed m points in $\text{Int}(D_1)$. We refer to an element of the set Γ as a *branch point of S* . Two surface braids S and S' are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a fiber-preserving homeomorphism $h : D_1 \times D_2 \rightarrow D_1 \times D_2$, that is $p \circ h = \underline{h} \circ p$ for some homeomorphism $\underline{h} : D_2 \rightarrow D_2$, carrying S to S' fixing $D_1 \times \partial D_2$ pointwise.

For a surface braid S of degree m with n branch points, we can obtain an element of $(B_m)^n$ through the “braid monodromy” for each branch point of S , and call it a *braid system of S* . To review the characterization of a braid system of a surface braid, we describe the definition of a subset A_m of B_m as follows: Let D and S^1 be a 2-disk and a 1-square, respectively. Let $q : D \times S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be the natural projection. A *closed geometric m -braid* ℓ is a closed 1-manifold embedded in the solid torus $D^2 \times S^1$ such that $q|_\ell : \ell \rightarrow S^1$ is a covering map of degree m . A closed geometric m -braid ℓ is said to be *completely split* if there exist c mutually disjoint convex disks N_1, \dots, N_c in D such that every solid torus $N_i \times S^1$ contains a component of ℓ , where c is the number of connected components of ℓ . For a geometric m -braid b , the *closure* \widehat{b} is a closed braid obtained from b by connecting each endpoint on the upper bar to the same position on the lower bar by disjoint arcs outside b so that no new crossings are introduced. An element $b \in B_m$ is said to be *completely splittable* if there is a geometric m -braid b as a representative of it such that the closure \widehat{b} is completely split. Let A_m be the set of non-identity and completely splittable braids $b \in B_m$ such that \widehat{b} is a trivial link in \mathbb{R}^3 . For example, $\sigma_i, \sigma_i^{-1} \in A_m$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. Note that A_m is closed under conjugation in B_m .

Kamada proved the following properties ([6]):

- (i) An element $\vec{b} \in (B_m)^n$ is a braid system of a surface braid (of degree m with n branch points) if and only if $\vec{b} \in P_{id}^n(A_m)$, i.e., $\vec{b} \in (A_m)^n$ and $tr(\vec{b}) = id_{B_m}$.
- (ii) Two surface braids are equivalent if and only if their braid systems are Hurwitz equivalent.

Let S be a surface braid in $D_1 \times D_2$ of degree m . We regard D_1 as a subset of a square S^2 and naturally extend the projection $p : D_1 \times D_2 \rightarrow D_2$ to the projection $p : D_1 \times S^2 \rightarrow S^2$. The *closure* of S is a closed oriented

surface \widehat{S} in $D_1 \times S^2$ such that $\widehat{S} \cap p^{-1}(D_2) = S$ and $\widehat{S} \cap p^{-1}(S^2 \setminus \text{Int}(D_2)) = Q_m \times (S^2 \setminus \text{Int}(D_2))$.

An *oriented surface link* is a closed (orientable and) oriented surface embedded in \mathbb{R}^4 locally flatly. In this section, we simply call it a *surface link*. Two surface links F and F' are *equivalent* if there exists an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $h : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ such that $h(F) = F'$ and $h|_F : F \rightarrow F'$ is also an orientation-preserving homeomorphism.

Kamada proved the following ([6]):

- (iii) (*Alexander's theorem in dimension four*;) Every surface link is equivalent to the closure of some surface braid “Alexander's theorem in dimension four”. (In [6], it is proved an even stronger claim as follows: every surface link is equivalent to the closure of some *simple surface braid* S , that is a surface braid with $|p^{-1}(\{y\}) \cap S| = m - 1$ for each $y \in \Gamma$, where Γ is the set of branch points of S .)
- (iv) (*Markov's theorem in dimension four*;³) The closures of two surface braids S and S' are equivalent as surface links if and only if S and S' are related by the deformations “braid ambient isotopic”, “conjugations”, “stabilizations”, and their inverse operations.

In terms of the braid systems, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3 ([6]). *Let $m, m', n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\vec{b} \in P_{id}^n(A_m)$ and $\vec{b}' \in P_{id}^{n'}(A_{m'})$. Let S and S' be surface braids which have braid systems \vec{b} and \vec{b}' , respectively. If their closures \widehat{S} and \widehat{S}' are equivalent as surface links, then \vec{b} and \vec{b}' are related by a finite sequence of the following transformations (I)–(IV'): For $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,*

- (I) *applying the Hurwitz action by a braid in B_k on $P_{id}^l(A_k)$,*
- (II) *applying the global conjugation by a braid in B_k on $P_{id}^l(A_k)$,*
- (III) *applying a stabilization $P_{id}^l(A_k) \rightarrow P_{id}^{l+2}(A_{k+1})$,*
- (III') *applying a destabilization $P_{id}^{l+2}(A_{k+1}) \rightarrow P_{id}^l(A_k)$,*
- (IV) *applying an Euler fission $P_{id}^l(A_k) \rightarrow P_{id}^{l+q}(A_k)$ for $q > 0$, that transforms $(b_1, \dots, b_l) \in P_{id}^l(A_k)$ to $(b'_1, \dots, b'_{l+q}) \in P_{id}^{l+q}(A_k)$ with $b_i = b'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$, $b_l = b'_l b'_{l+1} \cdots b'_{l+q}$ in B_k and $\tau(b_l) = \tau(b'_l) + \tau(b'_{l+1}) + \cdots + \tau(b'_{l+q})$, where $\tau(b)$ denotes the difference m minus the component number of the closure of b for $b \in B_k$, and*
- (IV') *applying an Euler fusion $P_{id}^{l+q}(A_k) \rightarrow P_{id}^l(A_k)$ for $q > 0$, that is the inverse of an Euler fission.*

³Application of the crossing matrix to the classical Markov's theorem is discussed in [9].

The transformations (I), (II), (III) and (IV) in Theorem 3 correspond to “equivalence”, “conjugations”, “stabilizations” and “braid ambient isotopic” on surface braids, respectively, in the above property (iv).

In the following example, the essential eigenvalue set $E(\vec{b})$ detects the necessity of the Euler fission (IV) or fusion (IV')⁴.

Example 10. For a braid system $\vec{b} = (\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3, \sigma_3^{-1}, \sigma_2, \sigma_1^{-1}) \in P_{id}^4(A_4)$, we obtain the set $E(\vec{b}) = \{-3\}$ from the characteristic polynomial $P(\vec{b}) = x^6(x+1)^3(x-1)^6(x+3)$. For the braid system $\vec{c} = (\sigma_1\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3, \sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_2\sigma_1^{-1}) \in P_{id}^2(A_4)$, we obtain the set $E(\vec{c}) = \{\pm 3\}$ from the characteristic polynomial $P(\vec{c}) = (x+1)^3(x-1)^3(x+3)(x-3)$. Note that \vec{c} is obtained from \vec{b} by applying Euler fusions (IV') twice. Moreover, the difference between $E(\vec{b}) = \{-3\}$ and $E(\vec{c}) = \{\pm 3\}$ implies that any sequence of (I)–(IV') and their inverses between \vec{b} and \vec{c} must contain an Euler fusion or fission by Theorem 2.

Acknowledgment

This work was partially supported by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21K03263.

References

- [1] E. Artin, Theorie der Zöpfe, Abh. Math. Semin. Hamburg Univ. **4** (1926), 47–72.
- [2] E. Artin, Theory of braids, Ann. Math. **48** (1947), 101–126.
- [3] E. Berger, Hurwitz equivalence in dihedral groups, Electron. J. Combin. **18** (2011). P45.
- [4] J. Burillo, M. Gutierrez, S. Krstić and Z. Nitecki, Crossing matrices and Thurston’s normal form for braids, Topol. Appl. **118** (2002), 293–308.
- [5] M. Gutierrez and Z. Nitecki, Crossing matrix of positive braids, arXiv:1805.12189 (2018).
- [6] S. Kamada, Braid and Knot Theory in Dimension Four, Math. Surveys Monogr. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [7] E. Liberman, M. Teicher, The Hurwitz equivalence problem is undecidable, arXiv:math/0511153 (2005).

⁴For the case of Example 10, we can also detect the necessity of the Euler fusion or fission between \vec{b} and \vec{c} by comparing the sum of the degree and the length. The sum of the degree k and the length l of $\vec{b} \in P_{id}^l(A_k)$ is unchanged modulo 3 by the operations (I), (II), (III), and (III').

- [8] Y. Ozawa, A. Shimizu and Y. Yaguchi, The CN matrix of a pure braid projection, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **35** (1) (2026), 2550075.
- [9] A. Shimizu, Determinant of the crossing matrix of a braid, *arXiv:2509.08464* (2025).
- [10] A. Shimizu, A. Gill and S. Joshi, A note on the unknotting number and the region unknotting number of weaving knots, to appear in *Hiroshima Math. J.* (arXiv:2408.14938)
- [11] A. Shimizu and Y. Yaguchi, Characterization of the OU matrix of a braid diagram, *Topol. Appl.* **373** (2025), 109440.
- [12] A. Shimizu and Y. Yaguchi, Determinant of the OU matrix of a braid diagram, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **34** (2) (2025), 2550005.