

# Folding of cluster algebras and quantum toroidal algebras

Lior Silberberg

## Abstract

In this paper, we study the relationship between the representation theory of the quantum affine algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$  of infinite rank, and that of the quantum toroidal algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ . Using monoidal categorifications due to Hernandez-Leclerc and Nakajima, we establish a cluster-theoretic interpretation of the folding map  $\phi_{2n}$  of  $q$ -characters, introduced by Hernandez. To this end, we introduce a notion of foldability for cluster algebras arising from infinite quivers and study a specific case of cluster algebras of type  $A_\infty$ .

Using this interpretation of  $\phi_{2n}$ , we prove a conjecture of Hernandez in new cases. Finally, we study a particular simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ -module whose  $q$ -character is not a cluster variable, and conjecture that it is imaginary.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The quantum group <math>\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})</math></b>	<b>12</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Folding of quivers and cluster algebras</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Folding the <math>A_\infty</math> quiver</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>The category <math>\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))</math></b>	<b>23</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Folding and <math>\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})</math></b>	<b>26</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Further remarks and perspectives</b>	<b>35</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>38</b>

## 1 Introduction

In the 1980s, Drinfeld and Jimbo associated to any complex symmetrizable Kac–Moody algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  a Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  with generic  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , called the quantum Kac–Moody algebra associated

to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In turn, to  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , one can associate an affinization  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ . It is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra which is in general not known to be a Hopf algebra. Nonetheless, the category  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  of integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules admits a fusion product, which equips its Grothendieck group with a commutative ring structure, see [Her05b; Her07].

One important notion in the representation theory of affinized quantum Kac–Moody algebras is that of  $q$ -characters, first introduced by Frenkel and Reshetikhin in [FR99] and extended to the general case in [Her05b]. In brief, the  $q$ -character of a module  $V$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  is (in general) an infinite sum that encodes the dimensions of its  $l$ -weight spaces.

For a complex finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}$ , let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the associated affinized Lie algebra. It turns out that  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{\text{fin}}})$ , and these are the “smallest” examples of affinized Kac–Moody algebras. We call the algebras arising in this way *quantum affine algebras*. They have been studied extensively in the past few decades, see for example [CP91; CP95; FR99; Nak01; FM01].

For an affine Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , however, the “double affine” quantum algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  is not isomorphic to any quantum Kac–Moody algebra. We call these algebras *quantum toroidal algebras*. They were first introduced in type  $A$  in [GKV95]. Their structure and representation theory are considerably more complex than those of the quantum affine algebras. For example, only recently a tensor product for their integrable representations was defined, see [Lau25].

One may ask the following natural question:

**Question 1.1.** Can we use the well studied representation theory of quantum affine algebras in order to understand the representation theory of quantum toroidal algebras?

A first result in this direction was given in [Her11]. In this paper, Hernandez regards the Dynkin diagram of affine type  $A_n^{(1)}$  as a folding of the bi-infinite Dynkin diagram  $A_\infty$  (infinite in both directions). Although there is no direct way to “fold” the quantum affine algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$  onto the quantum toroidal algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1, \text{tor}}) := \widehat{\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})}$ , he proves that there is a homomorphism  $\phi_n$  that “folds”  $q$ -characters of integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -modules onto virtual  $q$ -characters of integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1, \text{tor}})$ -modules. Moreover, he proves that  $\phi_n$  maps  $q$ -characters of Kirillov–Reshetikhin  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -modules to  $q$ -characters of Kirillov–Reshetikhin  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1, \text{tor}})$ -modules. Finally, he gives the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.2** (Conjecture 5.3 in [Her11]). The homomorphism  $\phi_n$  maps  $q$ -characters of integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -modules to  $q$ -characters of actual (non-virtual) integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1, \text{tor}})$ -modules.

The idea of relating the  $q$ -character theory of different quantum groups appears also in [Her10; Wan23], where it was used to obtain new multiplication formulas. Similar ideas not directly involving  $q$ -characters can be found in [KKKO15].

An important notion in the representation theory of quantum affine algebras (and more generally affinized Kac–Moody algebras) is that of *monoidal categorification*. It was introduced by Hernandez and Leclerc in [HL10], and studied further in [Nak11; HL13; Qin17; BC19; KKOP20;

KKOP24], to name a few. In brief, the idea is to study an abelian monoidal category  $\mathcal{C}$  by identifying its Grothendieck ring  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C})$  with a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , in such a way that cluster monomials in  $\mathcal{A}$  correspond to classes of real simple objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . An object is said to be a real simple object if its tensor product with itself is still simple. The tensor (or fusion) product of integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules provides many examples of categories which are monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras.

In this paper, we build on the results of [Her11] and establish that we can understand  $\phi_n$  in a cluster-theoretic way. We first introduce a category  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -modules which gives a monoidal categorification of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ , associated to an ice quiver  $\Gamma_\infty$  with principal part of type  $A_\infty$  with alternating orientation. We then introduce a notion of folding of cluster algebras suitable for (locally finite) infinite quivers with a group action, which we call *strong global foldability*. With this concept in hand, we get special sets of cluster variables which we call *orbit-clusters*, which correspond to the clusters of the folded cluster algebra. Our first main result is the following: let  $Q$  be a quiver whose underlying diagram is of type  $A_n^{(1)}$  (so it is an orientation of an  $(n+1)$ -cycle), and let  $A_Q$  be the orientation of  $A_\infty$  corresponding to  $Q$  (see Section 5 for details). Let also  $G$  be the group of permutations of  $(A_\infty)_0$  generated by shifting the vertices by  $n+1$ , so that  $A_Q^G = Q$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *In the setting above, the quiver  $A_Q$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$  if and only if  $Q$  is not cyclically oriented.*

This first result suggests that there is a strong connection between the cluster algebra of type  $A_\infty$  and the cluster algebras of type  $A_n^{(1)}$ .

In [Nak11], Nakajima constructs a category  $\mathcal{C}_1$  for any simply-laced symmetric affinized quantum Kac–Moody algebra, whose Dynkin diagram has no odd cycles. He shows it provides a monoidal categorification of an associated cluster algebra. For the particular case of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ , the monoidal categorification is of a cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{2n}$  associated to an ice quiver  $\Gamma_{2n}$ , whose principal part is of type  $A_{2n-1}^{(1)}$  with alternating orientation.

We show that there is a group  $G$  acting on the vertices of  $\Gamma_\infty$ , such that  $\Gamma_\infty^G = \Gamma_{2n}$ . We then prove the following result, which is the main theorem of the paper (in what follows, we identify classes of modules in the Grothendieck ring with their  $q$ -characters).

**Theorem 1.4.** *The ice quiver  $\Gamma_\infty$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ .*

*If  $\chi_q(L)$  is a cluster variable belonging to an orbit-cluster of  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ , then  $\phi_{2n}(\chi_q(L))$  is a cluster variable of  $\mathcal{A}_{2n}$ . Each cluster variable of  $\mathcal{A}_{2n}$  can be obtained in this way.*

*In particular,  $\phi_{2n}(\chi_q(L))$  is the  $q$ -character of a simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ -module.*

The theorem has the following consequences:

1. The map  $\phi_{2n}$  is more than just a projection of  $q$ -characters, it relates the cluster structures associated to  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$  and  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ .

2. We can use some known multiplicative formulas for  $q$ -characters of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$  to deduce analogous ones for  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ .
3. If  $L$  is a simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -module whose class belongs to an orbit-cluster in  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ , then  $\phi_{2n}(\chi_q(L))$  is the  $q$ -character of a simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ -module. In particular, we prove Conjecture 1.2 in this case. These are the first examples of modules satisfying Conjecture 1.2 outside the Kirillov–Reshetikhin case.

As an application, we compute some  $q$ -characters for  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ -modules that do not correspond to cluster variables. We obtain a few more examples of non-Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules that satisfy Conjecture 1.2. As a particular case, we study a simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ -module, which we denote by  $L(\overline{m_{1,2n}})$ , and we conjecture the following.

**Conjecture 1.5.** The simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ -module  $L(\overline{m_{1,2n}})$  is an imaginary module, that is, the fusion product  $L(\overline{m_{1,2n}}) * L(\overline{m_{1,2n}})$  is not simple.

For  $n = 1$ , this module has been studied in Example 6.15 of [Nak11], where it was shown to be imaginary. We show that Conjecture 1.2 implies Conjecture 1.5.

## Structure of the paper

The paper is organized as follows.

- Section 2 gives the necessary background on cluster algebras and quantum groups.
- Section 3 recalls useful results on  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ .
- Section 4 studies the folding of infinite quivers and their associated cluster algebras.
- Section 5 contains the proof of Theorem 1.3.
- Section 6 discusses the monoidal categorification coming from a category  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -modules.
- Section 7 uses the results of [Nak11] and those of the previous sections to give a proof for Theorem 1.4.
- Section 8 applies Theorem 1.4 to study the  $q$ -characters of certain  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ -modules that are not cluster variables, discusses Conjecture 1.5, and outlines further questions.

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my advisors David Hernandez and Yann Palu for their guidance and support. This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement n° 101126554.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Infinite quivers and cluster algebras

This section covers the basics on cluster algebras and sets some notation we will need for this paper. A more detailed treatment can be found, for example, in [FZ02; FWZ24] or in the survey [Kel10].

**Definition 2.1.** A quiver  $Q$  is a directed graph with vertex set  $Q_0$  and arrow set  $Q_1$ , equipped with two functions  $s, t : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$ . Given  $\alpha \in Q_1$ , we call  $s(\alpha)$  the source of  $\alpha$ , and  $t(\alpha)$  the target of  $\alpha$ .

For a quiver  $Q$  and two subsets  $X, Y \subseteq Q_0$ , we set

$$\text{Arr}_Q(X, Y) := \#\{\alpha \in Q_1 \mid s(\alpha) \in X, t(\alpha) \in Y\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$$

the number of arrows from  $X$  to  $Y$ , and

$$\text{Ed}_Q(X, Y) = \text{Arr}_Q(X, Y) + \text{Arr}_Q(Y, X)$$

the number of edges between  $X$  and  $Y$ . If  $X = \{x\}$  we will write  $\text{Arr}_Q(x, Y)$  for  $\text{Arr}_Q(X, Y)$  and  $\text{Ed}_Q(x, Y)$  for  $\text{Ed}_Q(X, Y)$  (and similarly for  $Y = \{y\}$ ).

To describe a quiver  $Q$  up to isomorphism, it is thus enough to give its vertex set  $Q_0$  and  $\text{Arr}_Q(x, y)$  for every pair of vertices  $x, y \in Q_0$ .

In this paper, we assume our quivers do not have loops or 2-cycles. This means  $\text{Arr}_Q(x, y) \cdot \text{Arr}_Q(y, x) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in Q_0$ . We allow a quiver  $Q$  to have an infinite countable vertex set. However, we require it to be locally finite, that is,  $\text{Ed}_Q(x, Q_0) < \infty$  for every  $x \in Q_0$ .

An ice quiver  $(Q, F)$  is a quiver  $Q$  together with a subset of its vertices  $F \subseteq Q_0$ . We call the vertices in  $F$  frozen, and those in  $Q_0 \setminus F$  mutable. For simplicity, and given our application in the following sections, we assume there are no arrows between frozen vertices. Any quiver  $Q$  can be regarded as an ice quiver by setting  $F = \emptyset$ .

Given an ice quiver  $(Q, F)$  and a mutable vertex  $z \in Q_0$ , we can define a new ice quiver  $(\mu_z(Q), F)$  as follows. The vertex set of  $\mu_z(Q)$  is  $Q_0$ . The arrows are given by

$$\text{Arr}_{\mu_z(Q)}(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x, y \in F \\ \max\{0, b_{x,y} - b_{y,x}\} & \text{if } x, y \notin F \cup \{z\} \\ \text{Arr}_Q(y, x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $b_{x,y} = \text{Arr}_Q(x,y) + \text{Arr}_Q(x,z) \cdot \text{Arr}_Q(z,y)$ . We call the new ice quiver the mutation of  $(Q, F)$  at the vertex  $z$ . We note that  $\mu_z(\mu_z(Q)) \simeq Q$ .

Having defined the mutation operation, we can associate to an ice quiver  $(Q, F)$  a commutative algebra as follows. We fix  $(Q, F)$ , and consider the field

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathbb{Q}(x_v \mid v \in Q_0),$$

where  $x_v$  for  $v \in Q_0$  are indeterminates. A seed  $((Q', F'), \underline{y})$  is a pair of an ice quiver  $(Q', F')$ , and  $\underline{y} = \{y_v \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \mid v \in Q'_0\}$ , a free generating set of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ .

We extend the mutation operations to seeds. For a mutable  $z \in Q'_0$ , we define the mutation of the seed  $((Q', F'), \underline{y})$  at  $z$  to be the seed  $((\mu_z(Q'), F'), \mu_z(\underline{y}))$ , where  $\mu_z(\underline{y}) = \underline{y} \cup \{y'_z\} \setminus \{y_z\}$  and

$$y'_z = \frac{\prod_{w \in Q'_0} y_w^{\text{Arr}_{Q'}(z,w)} + \prod_{w \in Q'_0} y_w^{\text{Arr}_{Q'}(w,z)}}{y_z}.$$

Notice that  $\mu_z(\mu_z(\underline{y})) = \underline{y}$ .

To the ice quiver  $(Q, F)$ , we associate the set  $\underline{x} = \{x_v \mid v \in Q_0\}$ . We call  $((Q, F), \underline{x})$  the initial seed. We let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all seeds obtained from the initial seed by a finite sequence of mutation. Let  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  be some seed, so  $s = ((Q_s, F_s), \underline{y}_s)$ . An easy observation is that  $(Q_s)_0 = Q_0$  and  $F_s = F$ . Moreover,  $\underline{y}_s$  contains all  $x_v$  such that  $v \in F$ . We call  $\underline{y}_s$  a cluster, and any  $y_v \in \underline{y}_s$  a cluster variable.

**Definition 2.2.** The cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{(Q,F)}$  is the subring of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  generated by all cluster variables. That is  $\mathcal{A}_{(Q,F)} := \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{X}] \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  for  $\mathcal{X} = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \underline{y}_s$ .

The Laurent phenomenon is a very well known result which states that every cluster variable is a Laurent polynomial in the initial cluster with integral coefficient. In our context, we set  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{Z}[x_v \mid v \in F][x_v^{\pm 1} \mid v \in Q_0 \setminus F]$ , so the Laurent phenomenon can be stated as  $\mathcal{A}_{(Q,F)} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ .

## 2.2 Symmetric Kac-Moody quantum groups and their affinizations

We give here some necessary background on quantum groups and their representation theory. For the sake of concreteness, we restrict our attention to symmetric Cartan datum. For proofs and more details, see [CP91; CP95; Nak01; Her05b].

Let  $I$  be a finite index set, and  $|I| = n$ . Let  $C = (C_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$  be a symmetric matrix such that  $C_{i,i} = 2$  and  $C_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$  for  $i \neq j$ . To this information, we associate a realization  $(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$  (see [Kac90]), with  $\mathfrak{h}$  a complex vector space of dimension  $2n - \text{rank}(C)$ , the set of simple roots  $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ , and the set of simple coroots  $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_i^\vee \mid i \in I\} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ , such that  $\alpha_j(\alpha_i^\vee) = C_{i,j}$ . We let  $\Delta$  be the associated root system and we set  $Q^+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{N}\alpha_i$ . We denote

by

$$P^\vee = \{h \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha_i(h) \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in I\},$$

the group of integral coweights, by

$$P = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in I\}$$

the group of integral weights, and by

$$P^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{N}, i \in I\}$$

its submonoid of dominant integral weights.

From now on we fix  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , not a root of unity. Given  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a \leq b$  we set

$$[b]_q = \frac{q^b - q^{-b}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad [b]_q! = [b]_q \cdots [1]_q, \quad \begin{bmatrix} b \\ a \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[b]_q!}{[b-a]_q! [a]_q!}.$$

**Definition 2.3.** The quantum Kac-Moody algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with generators  $k_h$  and  $x_i^\pm$  with  $h \in P^\vee$  and  $i \in I$ , subject to relations

$$\begin{aligned} k_h k_{h'} &= k_{h+h'}, & k_0 &= 1, \\ k_h x_j^\pm k_{-h} &= q^{\pm \alpha_j(h)} x_j^\pm, \\ [x_i^+, x_j^-] &= \delta_{i,j} \frac{k_{\alpha_i^\vee} - k_{-\alpha_i^\vee}}{q - q^{-1}}, \\ \sum_{r=0}^{1-C_{i,j}} (-1)^r \begin{bmatrix} 1-C_{i,j} \\ r \end{bmatrix}_q (x_i^\pm)^{1-C_{i,j}-r} x_j^\pm (x_i^\pm)^r &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})^+$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})^-$  and  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$  be the subalgebras of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by the  $x_i^+$ ,  $x_i^-$  and  $k_h$ , respectively. We have the triangular decomposition  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})^- \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})^+$ .

**Definition 2.4.** The quantum affinization of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  with generators  $k_h$ ,  $h_{i,m}$  and  $x_{i,r}^\pm$  with  $h \in P^\vee$ ,  $i \in I$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ , subject to relations

$$\begin{aligned} k_h k_{h'} &= k_{h+h'}, & k_0 &= 1, & [k_h, h_{i,m}] &= 0, & [h_{i,m}, h_{j,m'}] &= 0, \\ k_h x_{j,r}^\pm k_{-h} &= q^{\pm \alpha_j(h)} x_{j,r}^\pm, \\ [h_{i,m}, x_{j,r}^\pm] &= \pm \frac{1}{m} [m C_{i,j}]_q x_{j,m+r}^\pm, \\ [x_{i,r}^+, x_{j,r'}^-] &= \delta_{i,j} \frac{\phi_{i,r+r'}^+ - \phi_{i,r+r'}^-}{q - q^{-1}}, \\ x_{i,r+1}^\pm x_{j,r'}^\pm - q^{\pm C_{i,j}} x_{j,r'}^\pm x_{i,r+1}^\pm &= q^{\pm C_{i,j}} x_{i,r}^\pm x_{j,r'+1}^\pm - x_{j,r'+1}^\pm x_{i,r}^\pm, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_d} \sum_{k=0}^d (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} d \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q x_{i, r_{\pi(1)}}^{\pm} \cdots x_{i, r_{\pi(k)}}^{\pm} x_{j, r'}^{\pm} x_{i, r_{\pi(k+1)}}^{\pm} \cdots x_{i, r_{\pi(d)}}^{\pm} = 0,$$

where  $d = 1 - C_{i,j}$ ,  $r_1, \dots, r_d$  is any sequence of integers, and  $\phi_{i,m}^{\pm}$  are determined by

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \phi_{i,\pm m}^{\pm} z^{\pm m} = k_{\pm \alpha_i^{\vee}} \exp \left( \pm (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{m'=1}^{\infty} h_{i,\pm m'} z^{\pm m'} \right),$$

and  $\phi_{i,\pm m}^{\pm} = 0$  for  $m < 0$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^+$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^-$  and  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})$  be the subalgebras of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  generated by the  $x_{i,r}^+$ ,  $x_{i,r}^-$  and  $k_h, h_{i,m}$ , respectively. We have a triangular decomposition for  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ , that is, a linear isomorphism  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \simeq \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^- \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^+$ .

The subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  generated by  $x_i^{\pm}$  and  $k_h$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ . Identifying these, we have  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})^{\pm} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^{\pm}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{h}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})$ .

A  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$ -module  $V$  is said to be  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$ -diagonalizable if

$$V = \bigoplus_{\omega \in P} V_{\omega}, \quad V_{\omega} := \{v \in V \mid k_h(v) = q^{\omega(h)}v, \forall h \in P^{\vee}\}.$$

A  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules  $V$  is called integrable if it is  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{h})$ -diagonalizable, its weight spaces  $V_{\omega}$  are all finite-dimensional, and for any  $\omega \in P$  and  $i \in I$ , we have  $V_{\omega \pm r\alpha_i} = 0$  for  $r$  large enough.

**Definition 2.5.** The category  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  is the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -modules whose objects are the modules  $V$  satisfying

1.  $V$  is integrable as a  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module,
2. there are a finite number of weights  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  for which

$$V_{\omega} \neq 0 \implies \omega \in \bigcup_{j=1}^s (\lambda_j - Q^+).$$

A pair  $(\lambda, \gamma)$  with  $\lambda \in P$  and  $\gamma = (\gamma_{i,\pm m}^{\pm})_{i \in I, m \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\gamma_{i,\pm m}^{\pm} \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\gamma_{i,0}^{\pm} = q^{\pm \lambda(\alpha_i^{\vee})}$  is called an  $l$ -weight. We denote by  $P_l$  the set of  $l$ -weights, and we view it as a refinement of the notion of a weight.

A  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module  $V$  is said to be of  $l$ -highest weight  $(\lambda, \gamma) \in P_l$  if there exists  $v \in V$  such that

$$\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^+(v) = 0, \quad \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})(v) = V, \quad \phi_{i,\pm m}^{\pm}(v) = \gamma_{i,\pm m}^{\pm} v, \quad k_h(v) = q^{\lambda(h)}v.$$

For an  $l$ -weight  $(\lambda, \gamma) \in P_l$ , we denote by  $L(\lambda, \gamma)$  the unique (up to isomorphism) simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ -module of  $l$ -highest weight  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ .



We let  $P_l^+$  be the set of dominant  $l$ -weights, which are the  $l$ -weights  $(\lambda, \gamma)$  for which there exist polynomials  $(P_i)_{i \in I}$  with  $P_i \in 1 + u\mathbb{C}[u]$  satisfying

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma_{i, \pm m}^{\pm} z^{\pm m} = q^{\deg(P_i)} \frac{P_i(zq^{-1})}{P_i(zq)} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}[[z^{\pm 1}]].$$

We call the  $(P_i)_{i \in I}$  the Drinfeld polynomials of  $(\lambda, \gamma)$ . We notice that if an  $l$ -weight  $(\lambda, \gamma)$  belongs to  $P_l^+$ , then  $\lambda \in P^+$ .

**Theorem 2.6** (Theorem 4.9 and Proposition 5.2 in [Her05b]). *The simple objects of  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  are the modules  $L(\lambda, \gamma)$  with  $(\lambda, \gamma) \in P_l^+$ .*  $\square$

For a module  $V$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$ , we have a decomposition  $V = \bigoplus_{(\lambda, \gamma) \in P_l} V_{(\lambda, \gamma)}$  with  $V_{(\lambda, \gamma)}$  the subspace of  $V_{\gamma}$  on which  $(\phi_{i, \pm m}^{\pm} - \gamma_{i, \pm m}^{\pm})$  acts nilpotently for all  $i \in I$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 2.7** (Proposition 5.4 in [Her05b]). *Let  $V$  be a module in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  and  $(\lambda, \gamma)$  be an  $l$ -weight for which  $V_{(\lambda, \gamma)} \neq 0$ . Then there are polynomials  $Q_i, R_i \in 1 + u\mathbb{C}[u]$  such that*

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma_{i, \pm m}^{\pm} z^{\pm m} = q^{\deg(Q_i) - \deg(R_i)} \frac{Q_i(zq^{-1})R_i(zq)}{Q_i(zq)R_i(zq^{-1})} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}[[z^{\pm 1}]]$$

for every  $i \in I$ .  $\square$

From this point on, we restrict our attention to types  $A_n$  and  $A_n^{(1)}$ . In type  $A_n$ , we take  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$  as our index set, and in type  $A_n^{(1)}$  we take  $I = \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z}$ .

We consider the formal variables  $Y_{i,a}$  and  $k_{\omega}$  with  $i \in I$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\omega \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . We let  $\tilde{A}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  be the commutative multiplicative group of monomials of the form

$$m = k_{\omega(m)} \prod_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^*} Y_{i,a}^{u_{i,a}(m)}$$

for  $\omega(m) \in P$  and  $u_{i,a}(m) \in \mathbb{Z}$  almost all zero, satisfying  $\omega(m)(\alpha_i^{\vee}) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{C}^*} u_{i,a}(m)$ . The product of two monomials  $m_1, m_2 \in \tilde{A}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  is given by the rule

$$\omega(m_1 \cdot m_2) = \omega(m_1) + \omega(m_2), \quad u_{i,a}(m_1 \cdot m_2) = u_{i,a}(m_1) + u_{i,a}(m_2).$$

**Remark 2.8.** In the  $A_n$  case, the weight  $\omega(m)$  is completely determined by  $(u_{i,a}(m))_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^*}$ . We may thus omit the variables  $k_h$  from our notation without losing information. We will still use the notation  $\omega(m)$  whenever we need to refer to the weight of a monomial  $m$ .

For a module  $V$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  and an  $l$ -weight  $(\lambda, \gamma)$  such that  $V_{(\lambda, \gamma)} \neq 0$ , we write  $Q_i(u) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{C}^*} (1 - ua)^{\mu_{i,a}}$  and  $R_i(u) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{C}^*} (1 - ua)^{\nu_{i,a}}$  for the polynomials of Proposition 2.7, and set

$$m_{(\lambda, \gamma)} = k_{\lambda} \prod_{i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^*} Y_{i,a}^{\mu_{i,a} - \nu_{i,a}} \in \tilde{A}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})).$$

We see that a monomial  $m \in \tilde{A}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  satisfies  $u_{i,a}(m) \geq 0$  if and only if  $m = m_{(\lambda,\gamma)}$  for (a unique)  $(\lambda, \gamma) \in P_l^+$ . In this case we say that  $m$  is a dominant monomial. Moreover, if  $m$  is dominant and  $m = m_{(\lambda,\gamma)}$  with  $(\lambda, \gamma) \in P_l^+$ , we write  $L(m)$  for  $L(\lambda, \gamma)$ .

We let  $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  be the ring defined as follows:

1. its elements are formal infinite sums of monomials in  $\tilde{A}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  with integer coefficients,
2. for every such formal infinite sum  $\chi$ , there are finitely many weights  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  such that

$$m \text{ is a monomial of } \chi \implies \omega(m) \in \bigcup_{j=1}^s (\lambda_j - Q^+).$$

**Definition 2.9.** The  $q$ -character of a module  $V \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  is defined to be

$$\chi_q(V) = \sum_{(\lambda,\gamma) \in P_l} \dim V_{(\lambda,\gamma)} m_{(\lambda,\gamma)} \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})).$$

Let  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  be the Grothendieck group of finite length modules in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$ .

**Theorem 2.10** (Theorem 5.15 and Theorem 6.2 in [Her05b]). *The  $q$ -characters give rise to an injective map  $\chi_q : \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$ , and its image is a subring of  $\mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$ .*

*Moreover, given two modules  $V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$ , there exists a module  $W \in \mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  such that  $\chi_q(V_1) \cdot \chi_q(V_2) = \chi_q(W)$ .*  $\square$

**Remark 2.11.** The algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$  is not, a priori, a Hopf algebra. The theorem above can be viewed as a consequence of the existence of the fusion product introduced in [Her05b]

For  $i \in I$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$  we set

$$A_{i,a} := k_{\alpha_i} Y_{i,aq^{-1}} Y_{i,aq} Y_{i-1,a}^{-1} Y_{i+1,a}^{-1} \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})).$$

In the  $A_n$  case, with  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have  $Y_{0,a} = 1$  and  $Y_{n+1,a} = 1$ , whereas in the  $A_n^{(1)}$  case, with  $I = \mathbb{Z}/(n+1)\mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $Y_{0,a} = Y_{n+1,a}$ . We define a partial order on  $\tilde{A}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  by

$$m' \leq m \iff m'm^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[A_{i,a}^{-1} \mid i \in I, a \in \mathbb{C}^*].$$

We call it the Nakajima partial order.

**Theorem 2.12** (Theorem 3.2 in [Her05a]). *Let  $m \in \tilde{A}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}))$  be a dominant monomial and let  $m'$  be a monomial that appears in  $\chi_q(L(m))$ . Then  $m' \leq m$ .*

**Remark 2.13.** In this paper we work with the algebras  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n, \text{tor}})$ . For  $n > 1$ , they are given by  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n, \text{tor}}) := \mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}})$ . For  $n = 1$ , however, we use a modified definition which can be found

in [Her11]. In short, the algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2,\text{tor}})$  has a presentation by generators and relations similar to Definition 2.4, but the relation

$$x_{i,r+1}^\pm x_{j,r'}^\pm - q^{\pm C_{i,j}} x_{j,r'}^\pm x_{i,r+1}^\pm = q^{\pm C_{i,j}} x_{i,r}^\pm x_{j,r'+1}^\pm - x_{j,r'+1}^\pm x_{i,r}^\pm$$

is not imposed.

As the results of this paper hold uniformly for  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , we will not make any distinction between the case  $n = 1$  and the cases  $n > 1$ .

### 2.3 Recollection on $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}))$

We summarize some facts about the category  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}))$  that will be of use later on in this paper. We follow [HL10].

We let  $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and let  $(I_n)_0$  (respectively  $(I_n)_1$ ) be the subset of  $I_n$  consisting of even (respectively odd) integers. We let  $\xi : I_\infty \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the map defined by

$$\xi(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \in (I_n)_0 \\ 1 & i \in (I_n)_1 \end{cases}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_n \subseteq \tilde{A}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}))$  the subgroup of monomials generated by  $Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}}$  and  $Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}}$  for all  $i \in I_n$ . We let  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}))$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}))$  consisting of modules of finite length, with composition factors isomorphic to  $L(m)$  for some  $m \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ .

**Proposition 2.14** (Proposition 3.2 of [HL10]). *The category  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}))$  is monoidal. The polynomial ring*

$$\mathbb{Z} [[L(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}}), [L(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}})] \mid i \in I_n]$$

*is isomorphic to the Grothendieck group  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}})))$ .* □

We let  $\Gamma_n$  be the following quiver (see Section 4.2 of [HL10]). Its vertex set is  $\{1, \dots, n\} \cup \{1', \dots, n'\}$ . We think of the vertices  $i$  and  $i'$  as elements of  $I_n$ . The arrows of  $\Gamma_n$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arr}_{\Gamma_n}(i, j) &= \begin{cases} \delta_{i,i+1} + \delta_{i,i-1} & i \in (I_n)_0 \\ 0 & i \in (I_n)_1 \end{cases}, & \text{Arr}_{\Gamma_n}(i', j') &= 0, \\ \text{Arr}_{\Gamma_n}(i', j) &= \begin{cases} \delta_{i,j} & i \in (I_n)_0 \\ 0 & i \in (I_n)_1 \end{cases}, & \text{Arr}_{\Gamma_n}(i, j') &= \begin{cases} 0 & i \in (I_n)_0 \\ \delta_{i,j} & i \in (I_n)_1 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

The vertices  $i'$  are considered frozen.

We set  $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathbb{Z}[f_i, x_i^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I_n]$ . We let  $\mathcal{A}_n \subseteq \mathcal{F}_n$  be the cluster algebra defined by  $\Gamma_n$ , with initial cluster  $(f_i, x_i)_{i \in I_n}$ , with the rule that  $x_i$  corresponds to the mutable vertex  $i$  and  $f_i$  to the




Figure 1: The quiver  $\Gamma_n$  with  $n = 5$ . The square vertices are frozen

frozen vertex  $i'$ .

For an almost positive root  $\alpha \in \Delta$  (of the root system of type  $A_n$ ), let  $x[\alpha] \in \mathcal{A}_n$  be the associated (non-frozen) cluster variable. In particular  $x[-\alpha_i] = x_i$ .

**Proposition 2.15** (Lemma 4.4 of [HL10]). *The cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is isomorphic to*

$$\mathbb{Z}[x[-\alpha_i], x[\alpha_i] \mid i \in I_n],$$

*a polynomial algebra in  $2n$  variables.* □

To an almost positive root  $\alpha \in \Delta$  we associate a monomial  $m_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_n$  as follows. We set

$$m_{-\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} Y_{i, q^{\xi(i)+2}} & i \in (I_\infty)_0, \\ Y_{i, q^{\xi(i)}} & i \in (I_\infty)_1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} Y_{i, q^{\xi(i)}} & i \in (I_\infty)_0, \\ Y_{i, q^{\xi(i)+2}} & i \in (I_\infty)_1, \end{cases}$$

for the simple roots. For a non-simple positive root  $\alpha = \sum_{i \in [i_1, i_2]} \alpha_i$  we set  $m_\alpha = \prod_{i \in [i_1, i_2]} m_{\alpha_i}$ .

We let

$$\iota : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}})))$$

be the ring homomorphism defined by  $\iota(x[\pm\alpha_i]) = [L(m_{\pm\alpha_i})]$ .

**Theorem 2.16** (Conjecture 4.6 and Section 10 of [HL10]). *The map  $\iota$  is an isomorphism, and it satisfies*

$$\iota(x[\alpha]) = [L(m_\alpha)] \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(f_i) = [L(Y_{i, q^{\xi(i)}} Y_{i, q^{\xi(i)+2}})]$$

*for any almost positive root  $\alpha$  and  $i \in I_n$ .* □

In this setting, the simple modules in  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}))$  are real, and their classes are in bijection with the cluster monomials.

### 3 The quantum group $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$

In this section we follow [Her11], where full details and proofs can be found.

We let  $A_\infty$  be the Dynkin diagram with vertex set  $I_\infty := \mathbb{Z}$  and an edge between  $i$  and  $j$  for  $|j - i| = 1$ . We view it as a limit of the Dynkin diagrams of type  $A_n$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .




Figure 2: The Dynkin diagram  $A_\infty$

We let  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  be the associated spaces,  $\Delta \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$  be the root system,  $\{\alpha_i \mid i \in I_\infty\} \subseteq \Delta$  the set of simple roots, and set  $Q^+ = \sum_{i \in I_\infty} \mathbb{N}\alpha_i$ . We also let  $P \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$  be the group of integral weights and  $P^+$  be its submonoid of dominant integral weights. We use Definition 2.4 to associate to this Dynkin diagram an algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$  with  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , not a root of unity. We think of it as a double-infinite analogue of the quantum affine algebras  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n})$ . We let  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))$  be defined analogously to Definition 2.5. See also Definition 2.1 and Section 3.2 of [Her11]. We let

$$P_l = \{\gamma = (\gamma_{i,\pm m}^\pm)_{i \in I_\infty, m \in \mathbb{N}} \mid \gamma_{i,\pm m}^\pm \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

be the set of  $l$ -weight.

We also define  $P_l^+$ , the set of dominant  $l$ -weights. These are the  $l$ -weights  $\gamma \in P_l$  for which there exist Drinfeld polynomials  $(P_i)_{i \in I}$  such that  $P_i \in 1 + u\mathbb{C}[u]$  and  $P_i = 1$  for almost all  $i \in I_\infty$ , satisfying

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma_{i,\pm m}^\pm z^{\pm m} = q^{\deg(P_i)} \frac{P_i(zq^{-1})}{P_i(zq)} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}[[z^{\pm 1}]].$$

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$  contains a subalgebra  $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{[-n,n]}$ , isomorphic to  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{2n+2}})$ , such that  $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{[-n,n]} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{[-(n+1),n+1]}$ , and

$$\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{[-n,n]}.$$

**Theorem 3.1** (Theorem 3.8 in [Her11]). *The simple modules in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))$  are the simple  $l$ -highest weight modules  $L(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma \in P_l^+$ . Moreover,*

$$L(\gamma) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} L_n(\gamma),$$

where  $L_n(\gamma) = \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{[-n,n]} v_\gamma$  and  $v_\gamma$  is an  $l$ -highest weight vector of  $L(\gamma)$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{2n+2}})$ -module  $L_n(\gamma)$  is the simple, finite-dimensional module associated to the Drinfeld polynomials  $(P_i)_{i \in [-n,n]}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2** (Proposition 3.11 and Corollary 3.12 in [Her11]). *Let  $V$  be a module in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))$  and let  $\gamma$  be an  $l$ -weight such that  $V_\gamma \neq 0$ . For every  $i \in I_\infty$ , there are poly-*

nomials  $Q_i, R_i \in 1 + u\mathbb{C}[u]$  such that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma_{i, \pm m}^{\pm} z^{\pm m} = q^{\deg(Q_i) - \deg(R_i)} \frac{Q_i(zq^{-1})R_i(zq)}{Q_i(zq)R_i(zq^{-1})} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}[[z^{\pm 1}]]$$

with  $Q_i = 1, R_i = 1$  for almost all  $i \in I_{\infty}$ .  $\square$

We consider the formal variables  $Y_{i,a}$  with  $i \in I_{\infty}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . We let  $\tilde{A}_{\infty}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{\infty}$  be defined as in Section 2.2 (see also Remark 2.8).

For a  $V$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}}))$  and an  $l$ -weight  $\gamma$  such that  $V_{\gamma} \neq 0$ , we write  $Q_i(u) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{C}^*} (1 - ua)^{\mu_{i,a}}$  and  $R_i(u) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{C}^*} (1 - ua)^{\nu_{i,a}}$  for the polynomials of Proposition 3.2. We associate to  $\gamma$  the monomial

$$m_{\gamma} = \prod_{i \in I_{\infty}, a \in \mathbb{C}^*} Y_{i,a}^{\mu_{i,a} - \nu_{i,a}} \in \tilde{A}_{\infty},$$

and define the  $q$ -character of  $V$  to be  $\chi_q(V) = \sum_{\gamma} \dim(V_{\gamma}) m_{\gamma}$ . We identify the  $q$ -characters of  $\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{[-n,n]}$ -modules in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{2n+1}}))$  as elements of  $\mathcal{Y}_{\infty}$  in an obvious way.

For an element  $\chi = \sum_j c_j m_j \in \mathcal{Y}_{\infty}$  with  $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m_j \in \tilde{A}_{\infty}$ , and a weight  $\lambda \in P$ , we set

$$\chi_{\lambda} = \sum_{j, \omega(m_j) = \lambda} c_j m_j.$$

**Proposition 3.3** (Proposition 3.11 in [Her11]). *Let  $L(\gamma)$  be a simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}})$ -module in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}}))$ , and let  $L_n(\gamma)$  be the simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{2n+2}})$ -modules of Theorem 3.1. Then for any weight  $\lambda \in P$ ,*

$$\chi_q(L(\gamma))_{\lambda} = \chi_q(L_n(\gamma))_{\lambda}$$

for  $n$  large enough.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** Let  $L(\gamma_1)$  and  $L(\gamma_2)$  be two simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}})$ -modules in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}}))$ . Then

$$(\chi_q(L(\gamma_1))\chi_q(L(\gamma_2)))_{\lambda} = (\chi_q(L_n(\gamma_1))\chi_q(L_n(\gamma_2)))_{\lambda}$$

for  $n$  large enough. In particular, we can use known multiplication formulas for  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n})$  to deduce analogous formulas for  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}})$ .

For every dominant monomial  $m \in \tilde{A}_{\infty}$  there exists a unique  $\gamma \in P_l^+$  such that  $m = m_{\gamma}$ ; we will write  $L(m) = L(\gamma)$ . For  $i \in I_{\infty}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , we let  $A_{i,a} \in \tilde{A}_{\infty}$  be the monomial  $Y_{i,aq^{-1}}Y_{i,aq}Y_{i-1,a}^{-1}Y_{i+1,a}^{-1}$ . We define a partial order on  $\tilde{A}_{\infty}$  by

$$m \leq m' \iff m'm^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[A_{i,a}^{-1} \mid i \in I_{\infty}, a \in \mathbb{C}^*].$$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $m \in \tilde{A}_\infty$  be a dominant monomial and let  $m'$  be a monomial which appears in  $\chi_q(L(m))$ . Then  $m' \leq m$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 2.12.  $\square$

## 4 Folding of quivers and cluster algebras

In this section, we will discuss a certain notion of folding of locally finite infinite quivers and associated cluster algebras. Because we will work with infinite quivers and their mutations, we need to discuss the folding in a relatively general setting. Most of this section is inspired by [FWZ21].

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $Q$  be a locally finite quiver and let  $G \subseteq \text{Sym}(Q_0)$  be a group acting on the vertex set  $Q_0$ . We say that  $Q$  is *strongly  $G$ -admissible* if the following hold

1.  $\text{Arr}_Q(v_1, v_2) = \text{Arr}_Q(gv_1, gv_2)$  for any  $v_1, v_2 \in Q_0, g \in G$ ,
2. the action of  $G$  on  $Q_0$  is free, that is, the stabilizer of any vertex  $v \in Q_0$  is trivial,
3.  $\text{Arr}_Q(Gv, Gv) = 0$  for any  $v \in Q_0$ ,
4.  $\text{Arr}_Q(Gv_1, v_2) \cdot \text{Arr}_Q(v_2, Gv_1) = 0$  for any  $v_1, v_2 \in Q_0$ .

If, moreover  $Q$  has a frozen part  $F$ , then the ice quiver  $(Q, F)$  is said to be *strongly  $G$ -admissible* if  $Q$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible and  $G(F) = F$ . In that case, each  $G$ -orbit is either mutable or frozen.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $Q$  be a quiver and  $G$  a group acting on  $Q_0$ . Suppose  $Q$  is  $G$ -admissible. We define a new quiver  $Q^G$  with set of vertices  $Q_0/G$  and arrows  $\text{Arr}_{Q^G}(Gv_1, Gv_2) = \text{Arr}_Q(Gv_1, v_2)$  for any  $v_1, v_2 \in Q_0$ . We say  $Q^G$  is *the folding of  $Q$  with respect to  $G$* .

If  $Q$  has a frozen part  $F$ , we let  $F^G$  be the set of frozen vertices  $F/G$  of  $Q^G$ . We say  $(Q^G, F^G)$  is *the folding of  $(Q, F)$  with respect to  $G$* .

**Remark 4.3.** Conditions 1 and 2 imply  $\text{Arr}_Q(Gv_1, v_2) = \text{Arr}_Q(v_1, Gv_2)$  for any  $v_1, v_2 \in Q_0$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arr}_Q(Gv_1, v_2) &= \sum_{v \in Gv_1} \text{Arr}(v, v_2) = \sum_{g \in G} \text{Arr}(gv_1, v_2) \\ &= \sum_{g \in G} \text{Arr}(v_1, g^{-1}v_2) = \sum_{v' \in Gv_2} \text{Arr}(v_1, v') = \text{Arr}_Q(v_1, Gv_2). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 4.4.** Our definition is different than that of [FWZ21]. Here we allow the quiver  $Q$  to have infinitely many vertices, but we require that it folds onto a (non-valued) quiver (condition 2). There is no evident way to fold an infinite quiver onto a (maybe valued) quiver without imposing some symmetry conditions. We use the word “strongly” to distinguish between our notion of admissibility and the one in [FWZ21].

**Remark 4.5.** Conditions 3 and 4 ensure that the quiver  $Q$  has no “virtual” loops or 2-cycles. This means that there are no arrows nor oriented 2-paths between two vertices belonging to the same  $G$ -orbit. In particular, this ensures that the folded quiver has no loops or 2-cycles.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $Q$  be a quiver and  $G \subseteq \text{Sym}(Q_0)$ , such that  $Q$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible. Then the following hold.*

1. *Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be two vertices in the same  $G$ -orbit, then  $\mu_{v_1}\mu_{v_2}Q = \mu_{v_2}\mu_{v_1}Q$ .*
2. *Let  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  be two vertices in  $Q$ , then  $\text{Arr}_Q(w_1, Q_0) \cdot \text{Arr}_Q(Q_0, w_2) < \infty$ .*
3. *Let  $w_1, w_2$  and  $v$  be vertices in  $Q$ , then  $\text{Arr}_{\mu_{gv}Q}(w_1, w_2) = \text{Arr}_Q(w_1, w_2)$  for almost all  $g \in G$ .*

*Proof.* 1. This follows immediately from condition 3 of Definition 4.1. See also [FWZ21].

2. The inequality  $\text{Arr}_Q(w_1, Q_0) \cdot \text{Arr}_Q(Q_0, w_2) < \infty$  is an immediate consequence of the local finiteness of  $Q$ .
3. The inequality  $\text{Arr}_Q(w_1, Q_0) \cdot \text{Arr}_Q(Q_0, w_2) < \infty$  implies  $\text{Arr}_Q(w_1, gv) \cdot \text{Arr}_Q(gv, w_2) = 0$  for almost all  $g \in G$ . The equality  $\text{Arr}_{\mu_{gv}Q}(w_1, w_2) = \text{Arr}_Q(w_1, w_2)$  for almost all  $g \in G$  is a consequence of the mutation rule.  $\square$

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $Q$  be a quiver and  $G \subseteq \text{Sym}(Q_0)$ , such that  $Q$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible. Given a  $G$ -orbit  $K$ , we define  $\hat{\mu}_K Q$ , the orbit-mutation of  $Q$  at  $K$  as the quiver obtained by applying mutation at all vertices of  $K$ . In view of the lemma above, this operation is well defined.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $Q$  be a quiver and  $G \subseteq \text{Sym}(Q_0)$ . Suppose  $Q$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible and let  $K$  be a  $G$ -orbit. Then the following statements hold.*

1. *The quiver  $\hat{\mu}_K Q$  satisfies conditions 1, 2 and 3 of Definition 4.1 with respect to  $G$ .*
2.  *$\text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_1, Gv_2) \leq \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2)$  for every  $v_1, v_2 \in Q_0$ .*
3.  *$\hat{\mu}_K Q$  satisfies condition 4 of Definition 4.1 if and only if*

$$\text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2) = \text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_1, Gv_2)$$

*for every  $v_1, v_2 \in Q_0$ . In this case  $\mu_K(Q^G) = (\hat{\mu}_K Q)^G$ .*

*Proof.* 1. Condition 2 holds for  $\hat{\mu}_K Q$  trivially. We can calculate the arrows in the orbit-mutated quiver using the following formula

$$\text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(v_1, v_2) = \begin{cases} \text{Arr}_Q(v_2, v_1) & \text{if } v_1 \in K \text{ or } v_2 \in K \\ \max\{0, a_{v_1, v_2} - a_{v_2, v_1}\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$



where  $a_{w_1, w_2} = \text{Arr}_Q(w_1, w_2) + \sum_{k \in K} \text{Arr}_Q(w_1, k) \cdot \text{Arr}_Q(k, w_2)$  for  $w_1, w_2 \in Q_0$ . Conditions 1 and 3 then follow immediately.

2. If  $v_1$  or  $v_2$  belong to  $K$ , it is clear that  $\text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2) = \text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_1, Gv_2)$ . So suppose  $v_1, v_2 \notin K$ . If  $\text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_1, Gv_2) = 0$  the statement is trivial. Otherwise, following the definition of mutation, we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_1, Gv_2) &= \text{Arr}_Q(Gv_1, v_2) - \text{Arr}_Q(v_2, Gv_1) \\
&\quad + \text{Arr}_Q(v_1, K) \text{Arr}_Q(K, v_2) - \text{Arr}_Q(v_2, K) \text{Arr}_Q(K, v_1) \\
&= \text{Arr}_Q(Gv_1, v_2) - \text{Arr}_Q(v_2, Gv_1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k' \in K} \sum_{k \in K} (\text{Arr}_Q(v_1, k') \text{Arr}_Q(k, v_2) - \text{Arr}_Q(v_2, k) \text{Arr}_Q(k', v_1)) \\
&= \text{Arr}_Q(Gv_1, v_2) - \text{Arr}_Q(v_2, Gv_1) \\
&\quad + \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{k \in K} (\text{Arr}_Q(gv_1, k) \text{Arr}_Q(k, v_2) - \text{Arr}_Q(v_2, k) \text{Arr}_Q(k, gv_1)) \\
&= \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2) - \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(v_2, Gv_1) \\
&\leq \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired inequality.

3. Following the previous part, we only need to show the if and only if statement for vertices  $v_1, v_2 \notin K$ .

If  $\text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2) \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(v_2, Gv_1) = 0$ , then without loss of generality  $\text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2) = 0$ , which implies  $0 = \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2) \geq \text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_1, Gv_2)$ , in particular

$$\text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2) = \text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_1, Gv_2).$$

From the previous part we then deduce that

$$\text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_2, Gv_1) = \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_2, v_1) - \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2) = \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_2, v_1).$$

In the other direction, we have

$$\text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(Gv_1, v_2) \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K Q}(v_2, Gv_1) = \text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_1, Gv_2) \text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_2, Gv_1) = 0.$$

When the above holds, the equality  $\mu_K(Q^G) = (\hat{\mu}_K Q)^G$  follows immediately.  $\square$

**Definition 4.9.** Let  $Q$  be a quiver and  $G \subseteq \text{Sym}(Q_0)$  such that  $Q$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible. Then  $Q$  is said to be *strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$*  if for any finite sequence of orbits  $K_1, \dots, K_l$ , the quiver  $(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(Q)$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible, for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .

Let  $F \subseteq Q_0$  be a frozen part such that  $(Q, F)$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible. The ice quiver  $(Q, F)$  is said to be *strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$*  if for any finite sequence of mutable orbits  $K_1, \dots, K_l$ , the quiver  $(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(Q)$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible, for all  $1 \leq l \leq l$ .

**Remark 4.10.** According to Lemma 4.8, for a strongly  $G$ -admissible quiver  $Q$ , the quiver  $\hat{\mu}_K Q$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible if and only if it satisfies condition 4 of Definition 4.1. That is, if and only if we have not created “virtual” 2-cycles while mutating at an orbit. Therefore, to verify that a quiver  $Q$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ , it suffices to verify that we cannot create those virtual 2-cycles by process of orbit-mutation.

Moreover, we notice that the equality

$$\text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_2, Gv_1) - \text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_1, Gv_2) = \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K(Q)}(Gv_2, v_1) - \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K(Q)}(Gv_1, v_2)$$

always holds, so

$$\text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_1, Gv_2) = \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K(Q)}(Gv_1, v_2) \iff \text{Arr}_{\mu_K(Q^G)}(Gv_2, Gv_1) = \text{Arr}_{\hat{\mu}_K(Q)}(Gv_2, v_1).$$

This will be our strategy later to prove that the infinite quivers belonging to a certain class are strongly globally foldable.

Let  $(Q, F)$  be an ice quiver and  $G \subseteq \text{Sym}(Q_0)$  such that  $(Q, F)$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ . Let  $(Q^G, F^G)$  be the folded quiver. We consider the algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \mathbb{Z}[x_v | v \in F][x_v^{\pm 1} | v \in Q_0 \setminus F], \\ \mathcal{F}^G &= \mathbb{Z}[x_{Gv} | Gv \in F^G][x_{Gv}^{\pm 1} | Gv \in Q_0^G \setminus F^G]. \end{aligned}$$

We associate to  $(Q, F)$  and  $(Q^G, F^G)$  the cluster algebras  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^G$  with initial clusters  $(x_v)_{v \in Q_0}$  and  $(x_{Gv})_{Gv \in Q_0^G}$ , respectively. We consider these cluster algebras as subalgebras of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^G$ , respectively.

Any set of cluster variables of  $\mathcal{A}$  obtained from the initial cluster by an iterated sequence of orbit-mutations is called an *orbit-cluster*. In particular, every cluster variable of an orbit-cluster is a cluster variable in the usual sense.

We have the obvious homomorphism  $\psi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^G$  defined by  $\psi(x_v) = x_{Gv}$ . Given a cluster variable  $x$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  which belongs to an orbit-cluster, we call  $\psi(x)$  the *folded cluster variable* of  $x$  in  $\mathcal{A}^G$ . This terminology is justified by the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.11.** *We keep the notation as above. Let  $K_1, \dots, K_l$  be a sequence of mutable orbits in  $(Q, F)$ . The map  $\psi$  maps the orbit-cluster of  $\mathcal{A}$  obtained by the sequence of orbit-mutations at  $K_1, \dots, K_l$  to the cluster of  $\mathcal{A}^G$  obtained by the sequence of mutations at  $K_1, \dots, K_l$ .*

*Proof.* By recursion, it suffices to prove the case of a single orbit-mutation. So let  $K$  be a mutable  $G$ -orbit of  $(Q, F)$ . Orbit-mutating at  $K$ , we get the new cluster  $(x'_v)_{v \in Q_0}$  such that  $x'_v = x_v$  if

$v \notin K$ , and

$$x'_v = \frac{\prod_{w \in Q_0} x_w^{\text{Arr}_Q(v,w)} + \prod_{w \in Q_0} x_w^{\text{Arr}_Q(w,v)}}{x_v} \quad (1)$$

if  $v \in K$ . Similarly, the cluster  $(x'_{Gv})_{Gv \in Q_0^G}$  obtained by mutating  $(Q^G, F^G)$  at  $K$  is defined by  $x'_{Gv} = x_{Gv}$  for  $Gv \neq K$  and

$$x'_K = \frac{\prod_{Gw \in Q_0^G} x_{Gw}^{\text{Arr}_{Q^G}(K,Gw)} + \prod_{Gw \in Q_0^G} x_{Gw}^{\text{Arr}_{Q^G}(Gw,K)}}{x_K}. \quad (2)$$

Applying  $\psi$  to (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x'_v) &= \frac{\prod_{w \in Q_0} x_{Gw}^{\text{Arr}_Q(v,w)} + \prod_{w \in Q_0} x_{Gw}^{\text{Arr}_Q(w,v)}}{x_K} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{Gw \in Q_0^G} x_{Gw}^{\sum_{g \in G} \text{Arr}_Q(v,gw)} + \prod_{Gw \in Q_0^G} x_{Gw}^{\sum_{g \in G} \text{Arr}_Q(gw,v)}}{x_K} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{Gw \in Q_0^G} x_{Gw}^{\text{Arr}_{Q^G}(v,Gw)} + \prod_{Gw \in Q_0^G} x_{Gw}^{\text{Arr}_{Q^G}(Gw,v)}}{x_K} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{Gw \in Q_0^G} x_{Gw}^{\text{Arr}_{Q^G}(K,Gw)} + \prod_{Gw \in Q_0^G} x_{Gw}^{\text{Arr}_{Q^G}(Gw,K)}}{x_K}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with (2), the result follows.  $\square$

We have the following immediate corollary.

**Corollary 4.12.** *In the notation above, every cluster variable in  $\mathcal{A}^G$  is the folded cluster variable of some cluster variable in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

## 5 Folding the $A_\infty$ quiver

We let  $Q$  be a quiver (without loops or 2-cycles) whose underlying graph is a cycle with  $n$  vertices,  $n \geq 2$ , indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . We let  $A_Q$  be the quiver whose underlying diagram is  $A_\infty$ , so its vertices are indexed by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . There is an arrow from  $i$  to  $i \pm 1$  in  $A_Q$  if and only if there is an arrow from  $[i]$  to  $[i \pm 1]$  in  $Q$ , see Figure 3. Let  $\Sigma \in \text{Sym}((A_Q)_0)$  be given by  $\Sigma(i) = i + n$ . Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Sym}((A_Q)_0)$  generated by  $\Sigma$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *The quiver  $A_Q$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible, and  $Q \simeq A_Q^G$ .*

*Proof.* The quiver  $A_Q$  and the group  $G$  trivially satisfy the assumptions of Definition 4.1. The isomorphism  $Q \simeq A_Q^G$  follows from the definition of  $A_Q$  above.  $\square$

We wish to understand for what orientations of  $Q$  the quiver  $A_Q$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ . A partial result will follow from the following general proposition.




Figure 3: A cyclic quiver with 6 vertices and the associated orientation of  $A_\infty$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $A$  be a connected quiver and  $G \subseteq \text{Sym}(A_0)$  a group of permutations of the vertices of  $A$  such that  $A$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible. If  $A^G$  is a finite tree then  $G = \{\text{Id}_A\}$  and  $A = A^G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{O_1, \dots, O_n\}$  be the  $G$ -orbits in  $A$ . If  $G$  is not trivial, then  $|O_1| = |G| > 1$ . Let  $v_1, v_2 \in O_1$  be two distinct vertices of  $A$ .

Since  $A$  is connected, we have a (not necessarily directed) path connecting  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . Moreover, we can assume this path does not go through a given vertex more than once. In particular, this means that there is a sequence of distinct vertices  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  of  $A$  with  $a_1 = v_1$ ,  $a_k = v_2$  such that

$$\text{Ed}_A(a_1, a_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{Ed}_A(a_{k-1}, a_k) > 0.$$

But now we can find  $1 < j \leq k$  such that  $Ga_1 = Ga_j$  and  $Ga_1 \neq Ga_s$  for any  $1 < s < j$ . Notice that  $j > 2$ , otherwise we would have  $\text{Ed}_A(a_1, a_2) > 0$  for  $a_1, a_2$  in the same  $G$ -orbit, which contradicts the strong  $G$ -admissibility of  $A_Q$ .

If  $j = 3$ , then  $\text{Ed}_A(a_2, O_1) \geq \text{Ed}_A(a_2, a_1) + \text{Ed}_A(a_2, a_3) \geq 2$ . So  $\text{Ed}_{A^G}(Ga_2, O_1) \geq 2$ , which contradicts  $A^G$  being a tree.

If  $j > 3$ , we have by construction

$$\text{Ed}_A(a_1, a_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{Ed}_A(a_{j-1}, a_j) > 0.$$

As  $\text{Ed}_A(a_i, a_{i+1}) \leq \text{Ed}_{A^G}(Ga_i, Ga_{i+1})$ , we obtain

$$\text{Ed}_{A^G}(O_1, Ga_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{Ed}_{A^G}(Ga_{j-1}, O_1) > 0,$$

which is again a contradiction to  $A^G$  being a tree.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.3.** *If  $Q$  is cyclically oriented, then  $A_Q$  is not strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ .*

*Proof.* It is well known (see for example [FWZ21]) that  $Q$  is of type  $D_n$ , that is,  $Q$  is mutation-equivalent to a quiver  $\tilde{Q}$  whose underlying graph is  $D_n$ .

Assume that  $A_Q$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ , and folds onto  $Q$ . Then a finite sequence of orbit-mutations applied to  $A_Q$  yields a quiver  $\tilde{A}$  such that  $\tilde{A}^G = \tilde{Q}$ . By the above proposition,  $\tilde{A}$  is finite, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

We can now give a precise statement for when  $A_Q$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *The quiver  $A_Q$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$  if and only if  $Q$  is not cyclically oriented.*

*Proof.* From the corollary above, we only need to show that if  $Q$  is not cyclically oriented, then  $A_Q$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ .

From [FST08], if  $Q$  is not cyclically oriented, then it is the quiver of a triangulation  $T$  of an annulus with  $k_1$  marks on one boundary component and  $k_2$  marks on the other boundary component such that  $k_1 + k_2 = n$ . Here  $k_1$  is the number of arrows in  $Q$  pointing clockwise.

Mutations of  $Q$  correspond to flipping the associated arcs in  $T$ . We remark that at least one arc in  $T$  connects one boundary component with the other. We enumerate the marks on the outer boundary by  $v_1, \dots, v_{k_1}$  and the inner boundary by  $u_1, \dots, u_{k_2}$ , both in a clockwise orientation, and such that there is an arc in  $T$  connecting  $v_1$  and  $u_1$ .

Let us lift this triangulation to a periodic triangulation of an infinite ribbon  $\mathcal{S}$ . To do so, we consider an infinite rectangle with two infinite line boundary components, with an infinite countable set of marked points  $\mathcal{M}$  on each boundary component. We index the marked points on the upper boundary by  $\{\Sigma^i v_j \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq k_1\}$  and those on the lower boundary  $\{\Sigma^i u_j \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq j \leq k_2\}$ , in such a way that  $\Sigma^i v_j$  is to the left of  $\Sigma^{i'} v_{j'}$  if and only if  $i < i'$  or  $i = i'$  and  $j < j'$ . We define a shift  $\Sigma : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  in the obvious way, that is, for  $m \in \mathcal{M}$  we have

$$\Sigma(m) = \begin{cases} \Sigma^{i+1} v_j & \text{if } m = \Sigma^i v_j \\ \Sigma^{i+1} u_j & \text{if } m = \Sigma^i u_j \end{cases}.$$

Arcs in  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{M})$  are in bijection with non-neighboring pairs of distinct points in  $\mathcal{M}$ . We can thus extend the shift  $\Sigma$  to arcs as well; if  $\beta$  is the arc with end points  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathcal{M}$  then  $\Sigma(\beta)$  is the arc with end points  $\Sigma(m_1), \Sigma(m_2)$ .

We add an arc  $\gamma_i$  connecting  $\Sigma^i v_1$  with  $\Sigma^i u_1$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We let  $R_i$  be the rectangle bounded by  $\gamma_i$  and  $\gamma_{i+1}$ . We triangulate  $R_i$  in such a way that if we identify  $\Sigma^i v_1$  with  $\Sigma^{i+1} v_1$ ,  $\Sigma^i u_1$  with  $\Sigma^{i+1} u_1$  and  $\gamma_i$  with  $\gamma_{i+1}$  we obtain the annulus with its triangulation  $T$ .

We let  $\tilde{T}$  be the triangulation of  $\mathcal{S}$  which coincides with the triangulation on  $R_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We see that  $\tilde{T}$  is stable under the shift  $\Sigma$  of arcs. It is not difficult to see that the quiver of this triangulation is exactly  $A_Q$ , and that the automorphism  $\Sigma$  of  $(A_Q)_0$  corresponds to the shift  $\Sigma$  of arcs.




Figure 4: Constructing a triangulation of the infinite ribbon from a triangulation of the annulus

As  $A_Q$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible, we can mutate at  $\Sigma$ -orbits. This is equivalent to being able to flip simultaneously  $\Sigma$ -orbits of arcs in  $\tilde{T}$ . It is clear that the new triangulation we obtain remains  $\Sigma$ -stable. In order to prove that  $A_Q$  is strongly globally foldable, following Remark 4.10, it is enough to prove that given a  $\Sigma$ -stable triangulation of  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{S})$ , its quiver will not contain virtual 2-cycles.

A virtual 2-cycle in a  $\Sigma$ -stable triangulation corresponds to a pair of arcs  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  belonging to a common  $\Sigma$ -orbit, sharing an end point, bounding a rectangle. Denote the end points of  $\beta_1$  by  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , with  $m_2$  being an end point of  $\beta_2$  as well. Without loss of generality  $\beta_2 = \Sigma^a \beta_1$  for  $a \geq 1$ , and  $m_1 = v_i$ . In particular, the end points of  $\beta_1$  are  $m_1 = v_i$  and  $m_2 = \Sigma^a m_1 = \Sigma^a v_i$ , and the end points of  $\beta_2 = \Sigma^a \beta_1$  are  $\Sigma^a m_1 = \Sigma^a v_i$  and  $\Sigma^a m_2 = \Sigma^{2a} v_i$ .

Since  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  lie on a rectangle, there is a marked point  $m_3$  and arcs  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  belonging to a common  $\Sigma$ -stable triangulation, connecting  $m_1$  and  $\Sigma^{2a} m_1$  with  $m_3$ , respectively. We consider the possible configurations of  $m_3$ .

Case 1:  $m_3 = \Sigma^j v_k$  and it lies to the left of  $m_1$ . The triangulation is  $\Sigma$ -stable,  $\Sigma^{-a} \delta_2$  is also an arc in the triangulation. But  $\Sigma^{-a} \delta_2$  and  $\delta_2$  intersect. So this configuration is not possible.

Case 2:  $m_3 = \Sigma^j v_k$  and it lies to the right of  $\Sigma^a m_2$ . Similarly to the above case,  $\Sigma^a \delta_1$  and  $\delta_1$  intersect, so this is an impossible configuration.

Case 3:  $m_3 = \Sigma^j u_k$ . In this case  $\Sigma^a \delta_1$  intersects  $\delta_2$ , which is impossible.

See Figure 5 for a visual description of these cases. Overall, we have shown that it is impossible to have a  $\Sigma$ -stable triangulation whose quiver contains a virtual 2-cycle. Therefore  $(A_Q, \Sigma)$  is

strongly globally foldable. □




Figure 5: From top to bottom, cases 1-3 in the proof of Theorem 5.4

**Remark 5.5.** From Proposition 5.2 follows that a result analogous to Theorem 5.4 for affine quivers different from  $A$ -type cannot exist. That is to say, there is no infinite quiver  $\Gamma$  with a group  $G$  acting on its vertices such that  $\Gamma$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible and  $\Gamma^G$  is of affine- $D$  or affine- $E$  type.

**Remark 5.6.** The geometric model for  $A_\infty$  is the one considered in [LP17], where they study the associated additive cluster category. See also [GG14].

**Remark 5.7.** It is not hard to see that any two  $\Sigma$ -stable triangulations of  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{M})$  are connected by a finite sequence of  $\Sigma$ -orbit flips. Also, if  $\gamma$  is an arc which does not intersect  $\Sigma^i\gamma$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then it can be completed to a  $\Sigma$ -stable triangulation.

## 6 The category $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))$

In this section, we define the subcategory  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))$  of the category  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))$  and use the results of [HL10] to identify its Grothendieck ring with a cluster algebra.

We remind the notation  $I_\infty = \mathbb{Z}$ . We also set  $(I_\infty)_0 = 2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $(I_\infty)_1 = 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$ .

We define a quiver  $\Gamma_\infty$  as follows. Its vertex set is  $I_\infty \sqcup I'_\infty$  with  $I'_\infty = \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $(\Gamma_\infty)_0$  consists of two copies of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $i$  the vertices in  $I_\infty$  and by  $i'$  the vertices in  $I'_\infty$ . The arrows of  $\Gamma_\infty$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arr}_{\Gamma_\infty}(i, j) &= \begin{cases} \delta_{i, i+1} + \delta_{i, i-1} & i \in (I_\infty)_0 \\ 0 & i \in (I_\infty)_1 \end{cases}, & \text{Arr}_{\Gamma_\infty}(i', j') &= 0, \\ \text{Arr}_{\Gamma_\infty}(i', j) &= \begin{cases} \delta_{i, j} & i \in (I_\infty)_0 \\ 0 & i \in (I_\infty)_1 \end{cases}, & \text{Arr}_{\Gamma_\infty}(i, j') &= \begin{cases} 0 & i \in (I_\infty)_0 \\ \delta_{i, j} & i \in (I_\infty)_1 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

We declare the vertices  $i'$  to be frozen. We think of  $\Gamma_\infty$  as a bi-infinite version of the quiver  $\Gamma_n$  introduced in Section 2.3.




Figure 6: The quiver  $\Gamma_\infty$ . The square vertices are frozen

We let  $\xi : I_\infty \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be the map defined by  $\xi(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \in (I_\infty)_0 \\ 1 & i \in (I_\infty)_1 \end{cases}$ .

We let  $\mathcal{M}_\infty \subseteq \tilde{A}_\infty$  be the subgroup of monomials generated by  $Y_{i, q^{\xi(i)}}$  and  $Y_{i, q^{\xi(i)+2}}$  for all  $i \in I_\infty$ . We define the category  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))$  to be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))$  consisting of modules with finite composition series, such that every composition factor is isomorphic to  $L(m)$  for some  $m \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ . This is an analogue of the category  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}))$  of Section 2.3.

Following [Her05b; Her07], the category of integrable (not necessarily finite length)  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -modules admits a fusion product.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two modules in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))$ , so they have finite composition series. Then their fusion product  $V * W$  has a finite composition series. In particular, the fusion product on  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty}))$  equips the Grothendieck group  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})))$  with a commutative ring structure.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can assume  $V$  and  $W$  are simple, we write  $V = L(m_{\gamma_1})$  and  $W = L(m_{\gamma_2})$  for  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in P_l^+$ . Then  $\chi_q(V * W) = \chi_q(V) \cdot \chi_q(W)$ , and it will be enough to show that  $\chi_q(V * W)$  contains finitely many dominant monomials. If  $\lambda \in P^+$  is such that  $(V * W)_\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda \in (\omega(m_{\gamma_1}) + \omega(m_{\gamma_2}) - Q^+) \cap P^+$ . But  $|(\omega(m_{\gamma_1}) + \omega(m_{\gamma_2}) - Q^+) \cap P^+| < \infty$ , so there are finitely many dominant monomials appearing in  $\chi_q(V * W)$ .  $\square$



**Lemma 6.2.** *The category  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\infty))$  is closed under the fusion product, and its Grothendieck ring  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\infty)))$  is isomorphic to the polynomial ring in countable infinitely many variables*

$$\mathbb{Z} \left[ [L(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}})], [L(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}})] \mid i \in I_\infty \right].$$

*Proof.* This is completely analogous to Proposition 2.14.  $\square$

We consider the algebra  $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \mathbb{Z}[f_i, x_i^{\pm 1} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}]$ . We let  $\mathcal{A}_\infty \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\infty$  be the cluster algebra associated to  $\Gamma_\infty$ , with initial cluster  $(f_i, x_i)_{i \in I_\infty}$  such that  $x_i$  corresponds to the mutable vertex  $i$  and  $f_i$  to the frozen vertex  $i'$ .

It is clear that every sequence of mutation of  $\Gamma_\infty$  is supported on the vertices of  $[-n, n]$  for  $n$  large enough. In particular, we deduce that the cluster variables are in bijection with the almost positive roots of the root system of  $A_\infty$ , and these can be read from their denominator vectors.

For an almost positive root  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , we let  $x[\alpha] \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$  be the associated (non-frozen) cluster variable. In particular  $x[-\alpha_i] = x_i$ .

**Lemma 6.3.** *The cluster algebra  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra in countably infinitely many variables*

$$\mathbb{Z} [x[-\alpha_i], x[\alpha_i] \mid i \in I_\infty].$$

*Proof.* This follows from the discussion above and Proposition 2.15  $\square$

To an almost positive root  $\alpha \in \Delta$  we associate a monomial  $m_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$  as follows. We set

$$m_{-\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}} & i \in (I_\infty)_0, \\ Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}} & i \in (I_\infty)_1, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}} & i \in (I_\infty)_0, \\ Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}} & i \in (I_\infty)_1, \end{cases}$$

for the simple roots. For a non-simple positive root  $\alpha = \sum_{i \in [i_1, i_2]} \alpha_i$  we set  $m_\alpha = \prod_{i \in [i_1, i_2]} m_{\alpha_i}$ .

We let

$$\iota : \mathcal{A}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\infty)))$$

be the ring homomorphism defined by  $\iota(x[\pm \alpha_i]) = [L(m_{\pm \alpha_i})]$ . By Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3, the map  $\iota$  is a well defined algebra isomorphism.

**Proposition 6.4.** *The isomorphism  $\iota$  satisfies*

$$\iota(x[\alpha]) = [L(m_\alpha)] \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(f_i) = [L(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}})]$$

for any almost positive root  $\alpha$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Proposition 3.3, Remark 3.4 and Theorem 2.16  $\square$

**Remark 6.5.** In the following, we will identify  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\infty)))$  with its image under the  $q$ -character map, and we may see  $\iota$  as a map from  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  into  $\mathcal{Y}_\infty$ .

## 7 Folding and $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$

In this section, we follow the results of [Nak11], restricted to the  $A_n^{(1)}$ -case. Because [Nak11] requires the Dynkin diagram to have no odd cycles, we actually only consider  $A_{2n-1}^{(1)}$ , for  $n > 0$ . In particular, we work with the algebras  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ . We write  $I_{2n} = \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\tilde{A}_{2n} := \tilde{A}(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{2n} := \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$ .

### 7.1 A subcategory of $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$

We would like to introduce a subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$  similar to  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_\infty))$  introduced before, but we need to be a bit more delicate with the definition.

We let  $(I_{2n})_0$  be the subset of even integers and  $(I_{2n})_1$  be the subset of odd integers of  $I_{2n}$ . We define the map  $\xi : I_{2n} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  by

$$\xi(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \in (I_{2n})_0 \\ 1 & i \in (I_{2n})_1 \end{cases}.$$

We let  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \tilde{A}_{2n,\text{tor}}$  be the subgroup generated by the monomials of the following form:

- $k_\omega Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}}$  with  $\omega \in P$  such  $\omega(\alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}$ ,
- $k_\omega Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}}$  with  $\omega \in P$  such  $\omega(\alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}$ ,

for  $i \in I_{2n}$ .

We let  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$  of modules with finite composition series such that every composition factor  $S$  is isomorphic to  $L(m)$  for  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ .

The proof of Proposition 2.14 shows that the category  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$  is closed under fusion product of [Her05b; Her07] and the tensor product of [Lau25]. In particular, the Grothendieck group  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})))$  has a ring structure (the ring structure given by the fusion product and the tensor product is the same, see Section 6 of [Lau25]).

**Remark 7.1.** Contrary to the  $A$ -type case, given a monomial  $m \in \tilde{A}_{2n,\text{tor}}$ , the weight  $\omega(m)$  is not fully determined by  $(u_{i,a}(m))_{i \in I_{2n}, a \in \mathbb{C}^*}$ . In particular, if two monomials  $m, m' \in \tilde{A}_{2n,\text{tor}}$  satisfy that  $(u_{i,a}(m))_{i \in I_{2n}, a \in \mathbb{C}^*} = (u_{i,a}(m'))_{i \in I_{2n}, a \in \mathbb{C}^*}$ , then  $m^{-1}m' = k_{t\delta}$  for some  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\delta = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \alpha_i$ .

We will now show how to restrict our attention to a smaller class of monomials in a way that captures the structure of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$ . This will allow us to better interpret the results of [Her11] and [Nak11].

For  $i \in I_{2n}$ , let  $\omega_{i,\xi(i)}, \omega_{i,\xi(i)+2} \in P$  be such that  $k_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)}} Y_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)}}, k_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)+2}} Y_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)+2}} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}'$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}$  generated by  $k_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)}} Y_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)}}, k_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)+2}} Y_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)+2}}$ . It follows that if  $m \in \mathcal{M}'$ , then  $\omega(m)$  is determined by  $(u_{i,a}(m))_{i \in I_{2n}, a \in \mathbb{C}^*}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}')$  be the subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$  consisting of modules with composition factors isomorphic to  $L(m)$  for some  $m \in \mathcal{M}'$ . There is, a priori, no reason for  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}')$  to be closed under the fusion product, but we can show that  $\mathcal{M}'$  can be chosen such that it does happen.

**Lemma 7.2.** *For  $i \in I_{2n}$ , there is a (non-unique) choice of  $\omega_{i,\xi(i)}, \omega_{i,\xi(i)+2} \in P$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}')$  is closed under the fusion product.*

*Proof.* Following the argument of the proof of Proposition 2.14, it is enough to show that if  $m \in \mathcal{M}'$  and  $A \in \mathbb{Z}[A_{i,a} \mid i \in I_{2n}, a \in \mathbb{C}^*]$  are such that  $mA^{-1}$  is a dominant monomial, then  $mA^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}'$ .

So suppose  $m$  and  $A$  are as above, so that  $mA^{-1}$  is dominant. It follows from Section 7 of [HL10] that  $A$  is actually a product of  $A_{i,q^{\xi(i)+1}}$  for  $i \in I_{2n}$ . But as

$$A_{i,q^{\xi(i)+1}} = k_{\alpha_i} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}} Y_{i-1,q^{\xi(i)+1}}^{-1} Y_{i+1,q^{\xi(i)+1}}^{-1},$$

we see that if

$$k_{\alpha_i} = k_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)}} k_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)+2}} k_{\omega_{i-1,\xi(i)+1}}^{-1} k_{\omega_{i+1,\xi(i)+1}}^{-1} \quad (3)$$

holds, then  $mA^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}'$ . We notice that for  $i \in (I_{2n})_0$ , the term  $k_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)}}$  appears only in  $k_{\alpha_i}$ . Similarly, for  $i \in (I_{2n})_1$ , the term  $k_{\omega_{i,\xi(i)+2}}$  appears only in  $k_{\alpha_i}$ . We can, therefore, choose  $\omega_{i,\xi(i)}$  and  $\omega_{i,\xi(i)+2}$  such that (3) holds, and the claim of the lemma follows.  $\square$

From now on let us fix  $\mathcal{M}'$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}')$  is closed under the fusion product. We obtain a ring embedding

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}')) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))).$$

It should be noted that every simple module  $L(m)$  in  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$  is isomorphic to a product  $L(k_{t\delta}) * L(m')$  for unique  $m' \in \mathcal{M}'$  and  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ . So the structure of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}}))$  is determined by the structure of  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}')$ , and we have an isomorphism of rings

$$\theta : \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{C}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}')) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})))$$

given by

$$\theta(t \otimes [L(m')]) = [L(k_{t\delta}) * L(m')] = [L(k_{t\delta} \cdot m')],$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{C}]$  is the group algebra of the additive group of complex numbers. The multiplication

in  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{C}] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}'))$  is given by

$$(t \otimes [L(m')]) \cdot (t' \otimes [L(m'')]) = (t + t') \otimes [L(m') * L(m'')].$$

This justifies working with the category  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}')$  and its Grothendieck ring.

Because of how we defined  $\mathcal{M}'$ , for simplicity of notation, we can drop the  $k_{\omega}$  variables when describing simple modules and  $q$ -characters of modules in  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}')$ . We thus ignore from now on the variables  $k_{\omega}$  appearing in  $\tilde{A}_{2n}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_{2n}$ . We set  $\mathcal{K}_{2n, \text{tor}} := \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}'))$  and identify it with its image in  $\mathcal{Y}_{2n}$ .

## 7.2 The map $\phi_{2n}$ and folding of $q$ -characters

**Definition 7.3** ([Her11]). Let  $\phi_{2n} : \tilde{A}_{\infty} \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{2n}$  be the group homomorphism given by

$$\phi_{2n}(Y_{i,a}) = Y_{[i],a},$$

where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $[i] \in \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$  is its equivalence class modulo  $2n$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .

**Remark 7.4.** Because we ignore the variables  $k_{\omega}$ , there is ambiguity in how  $\phi_{2n}$  should extend to a morphism  $\mathcal{Y}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{2n}$ . However, we will require that  $\phi_{2n}(A_{i,a}) = A_{[i],a}$ , so that in practice we are able to apply the map  $\phi_{2n}$  to  $q$ -characters of simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}})$ -modules, in the following sense. Let  $V$  be a simple integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}})$ -module, with highest dominant monomial  $m$ . Therefore its  $q$ -character is of the form

$$\chi_q(V) = \sum_{m' \leq m} a_{m'} m'$$

with  $m' \in \tilde{A}_{\infty}$ ,  $a_{m'} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_m = 1$ . We shall understand  $\phi_{2n}(\chi_q(V))$  as

$$\phi_{2n}(\chi_q(V)) = \sum_{m' \leq m} a_{m'} k_{\omega_{m'}} \phi_{2n}(m') \in \mathcal{Y}_{2n},$$

where  $\omega_m \in P$  is such that  $k_{\omega_m} \phi_{2n}(m) \in \tilde{A}_{2n}$  (so  $\omega_m$  is determined by  $m$  up to  $t\delta$ ), and  $\omega_{m'} \in P$  is the unique element satisfying  $k_{\omega_{m'}} \phi_{2n}(m') \leq k_{\omega_m} \phi_{2n}(m)$  in  $\tilde{A}_{2n}$ . If for every dominant monomial  $m$  we fix such an  $\omega_m$ , we can extend  $\phi_{2n}$  linearly to obtain a homomorphism of abelian groups from  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}})))$  to  $\mathcal{Y}_{2n}$ .

In [Her11], Hernandez shows that  $\phi_{2n}$  takes  $q$ -characters of integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}})$ -modules to virtual  $q$ -characters in  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{2n, \text{tor}}}))$ ). More explicitly, this means that if  $V$  is a module in  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{\infty}}))$ , then

$$\phi_{2n}(\chi_q(V)) = \sum_{\substack{m' \in \tilde{A}_{2n}, \\ m' \text{ dominant}}} a_{m'} \chi_q(L(m')),$$

where  $a_{m'} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $a_{m'} = 0$  for almost all  $m'$ .

In particular, by fixing appropriate values of  $\omega_m$ , we can understand  $\phi_{2n}$  as a ring homomorphism from  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})))$  to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{M}'))$ .

In [Her11], Hernandez conjectures the following.

**Conjecture 7.5** (Conjecture 5.3 in [Her11]). The map  $\phi_{2n}$  takes  $q$ -characters of integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -modules to  $q$ -characters of actual  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n, \text{tor}})$ -modules.

He then shows that the conjecture holds for a specific class of integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -modules.

**Theorem 7.6** (Theorem 4.2 in [Her11]). *Let  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $k \geq 0$  and set*

$$m_{i,a,k}^{\text{KR}} = \prod_{j=1}^k Y_{i,aq^{2(j-1)}} \in \tilde{A}_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad m_{[i],a,k}^{\text{KR}} = \prod_{j=1}^k Y_{[i],aq^{2(j-1)}} \in \tilde{A}_{2n}.$$

*Then  $\phi_{2n}(\chi_q(L(m_{i,a,k}^{\text{KR}}))) = \chi_q(L(m_{[i],a,k}^{\text{KR}}))$ . That is, the map  $\phi_{2n}$  takes  $q$ -characters of Kirillov–Reshetikhin  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -modules to  $q$ -characters of Kirillov–Reshetikhin  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n, \text{tor}})$ -modules.  $\square$*

It is worth noting that outside the case of Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules, the conjecture remains open. Later in this paper, we will give examples of non-Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules that satisfy this conjecture (see Corollary 7.12 and Section 8).

### 7.3 Monoidal categorification of $C_1(\mathcal{M}')$

We now return to our main goal for this section. For  $n > 0$ , we let  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}$  be the quiver with  $4n$  vertices, denoted by  $\{1, \dots, 2n\} \cup \{1', \dots, 2n'\}$ . We think of them as elements of  $I_{2n} := \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}$ . The arrows of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arr}_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}}(i, j) &= \begin{cases} \delta_{i,j+1} + \delta_{i,j-1} & i \in (I_{2n})_0 \\ 0 & i \in (I_{2n})_1 \end{cases}, & \text{Arr}_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}}(i', j') &= 0, \\ \text{Arr}_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}}(i', j) &= \begin{cases} \delta_{i,j} & i \in (I_{2n})_0 \\ 0 & i \in (I_{2n})_1 \end{cases}, & \text{Arr}_{\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}}(i, j') &= \begin{cases} 0 & i \in (I_{2n})_0 \\ \delta_{i,j} & i \in (I_{2n})_1 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

We set the vertices  $i'$  to be frozen.

We can identify  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}$  with the folding  $\Gamma_\infty^G$  of  $\Gamma_\infty$  with respect to the group  $G \subseteq \text{Sym}((\Gamma_\infty)_0)$ , generated by the shift  $\Sigma$  by  $2n$  of  $(\Gamma_\infty)_0$ , which is given by

$$\Sigma(i) = i + 2n \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(i') = (i + 2n)'$$

for  $i \in I_\infty$ .




Figure 7: The quiver  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}$  with  $n = 3$ . The square vertices are frozen

We consider the algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{2n,\text{tor}} = \mathbb{Z}[f_i, x_i^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I_{2n}]$ . We let  $\mathcal{A}_{2n,\text{tor}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{2n,\text{tor}}$  be the cluster algebra associated to  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}$ , with initial cluster  $(x_i, f_i)_{i \in I_{2n}}$  such that  $x_i$  corresponds to the vertex  $i$  and  $f_i$  corresponds to the vertex  $i'$ .

**Theorem 7.7** (Section 5, Propositions 7.5, 7.6 in [Nak11]). *There is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$\iota : \mathcal{A}_{2n,\text{tor}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{2n,\text{tor}}$$

such that

$$\iota(x_i) = \begin{cases} \chi_q(L(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}})) & \text{for } i \in (I_{2n})_0 \\ \chi_q(L(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}})) & \text{for } i \in (I_{2n})_1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \iota(f_i) = \chi_q(L(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}})).$$

Every non-initial cluster variable is of the form

$$\chi_q \left( L \left( \prod_{i \in (I_{2n})_0} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}}^{a_i} \prod_{i \in (I_{2n})_1} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}}^{b_i} \right) \right)$$

for some  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Finally, let  $m_1, \dots, m_s$  be dominant monomials such that  $\chi_q(L(m_1)), \dots, \chi_q(L(m_s))$  correspond to cluster variables. Then

$$\prod_{i=1}^s \chi_q(L(m_i)) = \chi_q \left( L \left( \prod_{i=1}^s m_i \right) \right)$$

if and only if  $\chi_q(L(m_1)), \dots, \chi_q(L(m_s))$  belong to the same cluster.  $\square$

**Remark 7.8.** We can rephrase the last part of the theorem in the following way: the simple modules  $L(m_1), \dots, L(m_s)$  correspond to cluster variables which belong to a common cluster if

and only if

$$L(m_1) * \cdots * L(m_s)$$

is a simple module, if and only if  $\prod_{i=1}^s [L(m_i)]$  is a class of a simple module.

In what follows, using the isomorphisms  $\iota$ , we will identify  $q$ -characters with their corresponding classes in the Grothendieck ring, and with the elements in the associated cluster algebra.

#### 7.4 Folding $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{2n,\text{tor}}$

As we remarked earlier, the quiver  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}$  is the folding of  $\Gamma_\infty$  with respect to the group  $G$  generated by the shift  $\Sigma$ . By Theorem 5.4, the mutable part of  $\Gamma_\infty$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ , and folds onto the mutable part of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_{2n}$ . Our goal is to use the results above to show that the whole ice quiver  $\Gamma_\infty$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ .

Before doing so, we need the following technical lemma. For  $L \in \mathcal{Y}_{2n}$ , we write  $D(L) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{2n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$  for the set of monomials appearing in  $L$  with their multiplicity. This means that  $(m', a_{m'}) \in D(L)$  if and only if the monomial  $m'$  appears in  $L$  with coefficient  $a_{m'} \neq 0$ . We will say that  $L$  is  $\mu$ -dominated with  $\mu$ -dominant weight  $m \in \mathcal{M}'$  if

1.  $m$  is a dominant monomial such that  $(m, 1) \in D(L)$ ,
2. if  $(m', a_{m'}) \in D(L)$  then  $m' \leq m$ ,
3.  $m \cdot Y_{i,\xi(i)}^{-1} Y_{i,\xi(i)+2}^{-1}$  is not dominant for any  $i \in I_{2n}$ .

For example, following Theorem 7.7, every simple module corresponding to a cluster variable in  $\mathcal{K}_{2n,\text{tor}}$  is  $\mu$ -dominated, with  $\mu$ -dominant weight one of the following:

- $Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}}$  with  $i \in (I_{2n})_0$ ,
- $Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}}$  with  $i \in (I_{2n})_1$ ,
- $\prod_{i \in (I_{2n})_0} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}}^{a_i} \prod_{i \in (I_{2n})_1} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}}^{b_i}$  with  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 7.9.** *Let  $M, S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{2n,\text{tor}}$  be  $q$ -characters of simple integrable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ -modules, and let  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{2n,\text{tor}}$  be a pair of cluster monomials in frozen variables such that*

$$N \cdot M = S_1 \cdot F_1 + S_2 \cdot F_2 \tag{4}$$

*for some  $\mu$ -dominated  $N \in \mathcal{Y}_{2n}$ . Suppose  $F'_1, F'_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{2n,\text{tor}}$  is another pair of cluster monomials in frozen variables such that*

$$N' \cdot M = S_1 \cdot F'_1 + S_2 \cdot F'_2$$

for some  $\mu$ -dominated  $N' \in \mathcal{Y}_{2n}$ . Then  $F_1 = F'_1$  or  $F_2 = F'_2$ .

*Proof.* Write  $m$ ,  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  for the highest dominant monomials of  $M$ ,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , respectively. Denote by  $k$  the  $\mu$ -dominant monomial of  $N$ . Finally, write  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  for the highest dominant monomials of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , respectively.

We define a group morphism  $d : \mathcal{M}' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$d(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}}) = \begin{cases} -1 & i \in (I_{2n})_0 \\ 1 & i \in (I_{2n})_1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad d(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}}) = \begin{cases} 1 & i \in (I_{2n})_0 \\ -1 & i \in (I_{2n})_1 \end{cases}.$$

We can compute easily

$$d(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}}) = d(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}}) + d(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}}) = 0$$

for every  $i \in I_{2n}$ , so that  $d(l_1) = d(l_2) = 0$ .

Similarly, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} d(A_{i,\xi(i)+1}^{-1}) &= d(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}}^{-1} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}}^{-1} Y_{i-1,q^{\xi(i)+1}} Y_{i+1,q^{\xi(i)+1}}) \\ &= -d(Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}}) + d(Y_{i-1,q^{\xi(i)+1}}) + d(Y_{i+1,q^{\xi(i)+1}}) \\ &= d(Y_{i-1,q^{\xi(i)+1}}) + d(Y_{i+1,q^{\xi(i)+1}}) \\ &= \begin{cases} d(Y_{i-1,q^{\xi(i-1)+2}}) + d(Y_{i+1,q^{\xi(i+1)+2}}) & i \in (I_{2n})_0 \\ d(Y_{i-1,q^{\xi(i-1)}}) + d(Y_{i+1,q^{\xi(i+1)}}) & i \in (I_{2n})_1 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $d(A_{i,\xi(i)+1}^{-1}) = -2$  for any  $i \in I_{2n}$ .

We deduce that if  $m'$  is any dominant monomial of  $N \cdot M$ , then  $d(m') \leq d(k \cdot m)$ . Moreover,  $d(m') = d(k \cdot m)$  if and only  $m' = k \cdot m$ .

By (4), we know  $s_1 \cdot l_1$  and  $s_2 \cdot l_2$  are monomials appearing in  $N \cdot M$ , and exactly one of them equals  $k \cdot m$ . We deduce  $d(s_1) = d(s_1 \cdot l_1) < d(s_2 \cdot l_2) = d(s_2)$  or  $d(s_2) = d(s_2 \cdot l_2) < d(s_1 \cdot l_1) = d(s_1)$ . Without loss of generality, assume the latter holds. Then  $k \cdot m = s_1 \cdot l_1$ , which is to say  $k = m^{-1} \cdot s_1 \cdot l_1$ . As

$$l_1 = \prod_{i \in I_{2n}} (Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}})^{a_i}$$

for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and because  $k$  is a  $\mu$ -dominant monomial, the values  $a_i$  are completely determined by  $m^{-1} \cdot s_1$ . This means  $l_1$  is completely determined by  $m^{-1} \cdot s_1$ .

Now let  $l'_1$  and  $l'_2$  be the highest dominant monomials of  $F'_1$  and  $F'_2$ , respectively. By a completely analogous argument for the equation

$$N' \cdot M = S_1 \cdot F'_1 + S_2 \cdot F'_2,$$



we deduce that  $l_1 = l'_1$ . This shows that  $F_1 = F'_1$ , which concludes the proof.  $\square$

We now prove the main theorem of this section, which is also the principal result of this paper. The main takeaway of the theorem is that simple modules of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$  are related to simple modules of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n, \text{tor}})$ , via the map  $\phi_{2n}$ . Moreover, this map is a folding map of the associated cluster algebras.

In the proof, given a cluster  $X$  of a cluster algebra, we will denote by  $X_v$  the cluster variable in  $X$  corresponding to the vertex  $v$ .

**Theorem 7.10.** *The ice quiver  $\Gamma_\infty$  is strongly globally foldable with respect to  $G$ .*

*Let  $\psi : \mathcal{F}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{2n, \text{tor}}$  be the homomorphism defined by  $\psi(f_i) = f_{[i]}$  and  $\psi(x_i) = x_{[i]}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  (see Proposition 4.11). If  $\chi_q(L(m))$  is a cluster variable belonging to an orbit-cluster of  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ , then the folded cluster variable satisfies*

$$\psi(\chi_q(L(m))) = \phi_{2n}(\chi_q(L(m))).$$

*Proof.* Following Definition 4.9, in order to show that  $\Gamma_\infty$  is strongly globally foldable, we need to prove that given a finite sequence  $K_1, \dots, K_l$  of mutable  $G$ -orbits in  $\Gamma_\infty$ , such that  $(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible for  $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ , then the quiver  $(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)$  is also strongly  $G$ -admissible.

In the situation above, an immediate consequence of the proof of Proposition 4.11 is that if  $X$  is the orbit-cluster in  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  corresponding to the sequence of orbit-mutation at  $K_1, \dots, K_l$  and  $\tilde{X}$  is the cluster in  $\mathcal{A}_{2n, \text{tor}}$  corresponding to the sequence of mutation at  $K_1, \dots, K_l$ , then  $\tilde{X}_{[i]} = \psi(X_i) = \phi_{2n}(X_i)$ . We will use this fact in the course of the proof. Moreover, it shows that in order to prove the theorem, it will suffice to show that  $\Gamma_\infty$  is strongly globally foldable.

So let us show that  $\Gamma_\infty$  is strongly globally foldable.

Let  $K_1, \dots, K_l$  be a finite sequence of mutable  $G$ -orbits in  $\Gamma_\infty$ , such that  $(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible for  $1 \leq i \leq l-1$ . Let  $X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  be the cluster of  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{2n, \text{tor}}$ , respectively, corresponding to sequence of mutations at  $K_1, \dots, K_l$ .

To show that  $(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible, following Lemma 4.8 and Remark 4.10, it suffices to verify

$$\text{Arr}_{(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)}(Gv_1, v_2) = \text{Arr}_{(\mu_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \mu_{K_1})(\Gamma_{2n})}(Gv_1, Gv_2) \quad (5)$$

for any  $v_1, v_2 \in (\Gamma_\infty)_0$ . According to Theorem 5.4, this equality holds for  $v_1, v_2$  mutable. So we only need to show the equality for either  $v_1$  frozen or  $v_2$  frozen.

We denote by  $f_j$  the frozen variable of  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  corresponding to vertex  $j'$  of  $\gamma_\infty$ . Similarly we denote by  $f_{[j]}$  the frozen variables of  $\mathcal{A}_{2n, \text{tor}}$  corresponding to vertex  $[j]'$  of  $\tilde{\gamma}_{2n}$ . Fix some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and mutate  $(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)$  at  $i$  and  $(\mu_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \mu_{K_1})(\Gamma_{2n})$  at  $[i]$ . The corresponding

exchange relations are

$$X'_i \cdot X_i = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} X_j^{a_j} f_j^{b_j} + \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} X_j^{c_j} f_j^{d_j}, \quad (6)$$

$$\tilde{X}'_{[i]} \cdot \tilde{X}_{[i]} = \prod_{[j] \in \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{X}_{[j]}^{a_{[j]}} f_{[j]}^{b_{[j]}} + \prod_{[j] \in \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{X}_{[j]}^{c_{[j]}} f_{[j]}^{d_{[j]}}, \quad (7)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a_j &= \text{Arr}_{(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)}(i, j), & b_j &= \text{Arr}_{(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)}(i, j'), \\ c_j &= \text{Arr}_{(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)}(j, i), & d_j &= \text{Arr}_{(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)}(j', i), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{[j]} &= \text{Arr}_{(\mu_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \mu_{K_1})(\Gamma_{2n, \text{tor}})}([i], [j]), & b_{[j]} &= \text{Arr}_{(\mu_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \mu_{K_1})(\Gamma_{2n, \text{tor}})}([i], [j]'), \\ c_{[j]} &= \text{Arr}_{(\mu_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \mu_{K_1})(\Gamma_{2n, \text{tor}})}([j], [i]), & d_{[j]} &= \text{Arr}_{(\mu_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \mu_{K_1})(\Gamma_{2n, \text{tor}})}([j]', [i]). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.8, Remark 4.10 and Theorem 5.4, we see that

$$\sum_{s \in j\mathbb{Z}} a_s = a_{[j]}, \quad \sum_{s \in j\mathbb{Z}} b_s \geq b_{[j]}, \quad \sum_{s \in j\mathbb{Z}} c_s = c_{[j]}, \quad \sum_{s \in j\mathbb{Z}} d_s \geq d_{[j]},$$

for every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, from Remark 4.10 we deduce for every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  that

$$\sum_{s \in j\mathbb{Z}} b_s = b_{[j]} \iff \sum_{s \in j\mathbb{Z}} d_s = d_{[j]}. \quad (8)$$

Applying the map  $\phi_{2n}$  to (6), we get

$$\phi_{2n}(X'_i) \cdot \tilde{X}_{[i]} = \prod_{[j] \in \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{X}_{[j]}^{a_{[j]}} f_{[j]}^{\sum_{s \in [j]} b_s} + \prod_{[j] \in \mathbb{Z}/2n\mathbb{Z}} \tilde{X}_{[j]}^{c_{[j]}} f_{[j]}^{\sum_{s \in [j]} d_s}. \quad (9)$$

Both  $\phi_{2n}(X'_i)$  and  $\tilde{X}'_i$  are  $\mu$ -dominated in the sense of Lemma 7.9. Applying Lemma 7.9 to the pair of equations (7) and (9), we deduce  $\sum_{s \in j\mathbb{Z}} b_s = b_{[j]}$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  or  $\sum_{s \in j\mathbb{Z}} d_s = d_{[j]}$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

But (8) tells us both hold, hence (5) is satisfied. Therefore  $(\hat{\mu}_{K_l} \circ \dots \circ \hat{\mu}_{K_1})(\Gamma_\infty)$  is strongly  $G$ -admissible. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.11.** *Let  $\chi_q(L(m))$  be a cluster monomial belonging to an orbit-cluster of  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ . The*

*folded cluster variable satisfies*

$$\psi(\chi_q(L(m))) = \phi_{2n}(\chi_q(L(m))) = \chi_q(L(\phi_{2n}(m))).$$

*Proof.* We only need to verify the last equality.

From Theorem 7.7 and Theorem 7.10, we know that

$$\phi_{2n}(\chi_q(L(m))) = \chi_q(L(m'))$$

for some  $m' \in \mathcal{M}'$ . But  $\phi_{2n}(A_{i,a}) = A_{[i],a}$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . In particular,  $\phi_{2n}(m)$  is a maximal monomial of  $L(m')$  with respect to the Nakajima partial order. The equality  $m' = \phi_{2n}(m)$  then follows.  $\square$

An immediate consequence is the following.

**Corollary 7.12.** *The simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -modules which correspond to cluster monomials of  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  satisfy Conjecture 7.5.*  $\square$

## 8 Further remarks and perspectives

We recall the notation of Section 6. To an almost positive root of  $A_\infty$ , we associate a monomial  $m_\alpha \in \tilde{A}_\infty$  as follows. We set

$$m_{-\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}} & i \in (I_\infty)_0 \\ Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}} & i \in (I_\infty)_1 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad m_{\alpha_i} = \begin{cases} Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)}} & i \in (I_\infty)_0 \\ Y_{i,q^{\xi(i)+2}} & i \in (I_\infty)_1 \end{cases}$$

for the negative and positive simple roots, and  $m_\alpha = \prod_{k=i}^j m_{\alpha_k}$  for a positive root  $\alpha = \sum_{k=i}^j \alpha_k$ . To make notation easier, for  $i \leq j$ , we will denote by  $\alpha_{i,j}$  the root  $\sum_{k=i}^j \alpha_k$ . When  $n$  is fixed, we will write  $\bar{\zeta} := \phi_{2n}(\zeta)$  for  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Y}_\infty$ . Also, we will denote by  $\chi_q^\infty(m)$  the  $q$ -character of the simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -module  $L(m)$  and by  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\bar{m})$  the  $q$ -character of the simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ -module  $L(\bar{m})$ .

For a given  $n$ , using the geometric model of Theorem 5.4 and Remark 5.7, it is not hard to see that  $\chi_q^\infty(m_\alpha)$  is a cluster variable of  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$  belonging to an orbit-cluster if and only if one of the following happens:

1. the root  $\alpha$  has odd length,
2. the root  $\alpha$  has even length smaller than  $2n$ .

It follows from Theorem 7.10 and Corollary 7.11 that the cluster variables of  $\mathcal{A}_{2n,\text{tor}}$  are exactly  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\bar{m}_\alpha)$  for  $\alpha$  of this form. But what is  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\bar{m}_{\alpha_{1,2n}})$  is not clear from this description. We expect it behaves differently, because  $\bar{m}_{\alpha_{1,2n}}$  corresponds, under our description, to the imaginary root of  $A_{2n-1}^{(1)}$ .

**Proposition 8.1.** *Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . Then*

$$\overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{1,2n}})} = \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{1,2n}}}) + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-2}}}) \cdot f,$$

where  $f$  is some cluster monomial in frozen variables of  $\mathcal{A}_{2n, \text{tor}}$ .

*Proof.* We write down a few standard exchange relations in  $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ , with  $i + 2 \leq j$ :

$$\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i,j}}) \cdot \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{j+1}}) = \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i,j+1}}) + \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i,j-2}}) \cdot \chi_q^\infty(m_{-\alpha_{j+2}}) \cdot f, \quad (10)$$

$$\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i-1}}) \cdot \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i,j}}) = \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i-1,j}}) + \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i+2,j}}) \cdot \chi_q^\infty(m_{-\alpha_{i-2}}) \cdot f, \quad (11)$$

$$\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i,j}}) \cdot \chi_q^\infty(m_{-\alpha_j}) = \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i,j-1}}) \cdot f + \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i,j-2}}) \cdot \chi_q^\infty(m_{-\alpha_{j+1}}) \cdot f, \quad (12)$$

$$\chi_q^\infty(m_{-\alpha_i}) \cdot \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i,j}}) = \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i+1,j}}) \cdot f + \chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i+2,j}}) \cdot \chi_q^\infty(m_{-\alpha_{i-1}}) \cdot f. \quad (13)$$

Here  $f$  stands for some cluster monomial in frozen variables. Applying  $\phi_{2n}$  to equations (10) with  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2n - 1$  and (13) with  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2n - 3$ , we get

$$\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{1,2n-1}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{2n}}}) = \overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{1,2n}})} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{1,2n-3}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(L(\overline{m_{-\alpha_{2n+1}}})) \cdot \bar{f}$$

and

$$\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{1,2n-3}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{-\alpha_1}}) = \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{2,2n-3}}}) \cdot \bar{f} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-3}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(L(\overline{m_{-\alpha_0}})) \cdot \bar{f}.$$

But as  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(L(\overline{m_{-\alpha_{2n+1}}})) = \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(L(\overline{m_{-\alpha_1}}))$ , we can combine the two equations to have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{1,2n-1}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{2n}}}) &= \\ &= \overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{1,2n}})} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{2,2n-3}}}) \cdot \bar{f} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-3}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(L(\overline{m_{-\alpha_0}})) \cdot \bar{f}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

A similar argument using (11) and (12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_1}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{2,2n}}}) &= \\ &= \overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{1,2n}})} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{4,2n-1}}}) \cdot \bar{f} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{4,2n-2}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(L(\overline{m_{-\alpha_1}})) \cdot \bar{f}. \end{aligned}$$

The  $q$ -characters  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{2,2n-3}}}) \cdot \bar{f}$ ,  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-3}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(L(\overline{m_{-\alpha_0}})) \cdot \bar{f}$ ,  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{4,2n-1}}}) \cdot \bar{f}$  and  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{4,2n-2}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(L(\overline{m_{-\alpha_1}})) \cdot \bar{f}$  are all  $q$ -characters of pairwise non-isomorphic simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n, \text{tor}})$ -modules (Theorem 7.7). We deduce that  $\overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{1,2n}})}$  is the  $q$ -character of an actual  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n, \text{tor}})$ -module. Certainly,  $\overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{1,2n}})}$  contains  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{1,2n}}})$ . Let us find all its composition factors.

By computing (11) with  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2n - 1$ , and (12) with  $i = 3$  and  $j = 2n - 1$ , we can

obtain (similar to the computation before)

$$\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{1,2n-1}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{2n}}}) = \overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{0,2n-1}})} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-2}}}) \cdot \bar{f} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-3}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(L(\overline{m_{-\alpha_0}})) \cdot \bar{f}.$$

Comparing this expression with (14), we can deduce that  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-2}}}) \cdot \bar{f}$  is a summand of  $\overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{1,2n}})}$ . Similar to the previous arguments, we can obtain the equations

$$\overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{1,2n}})} \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{-\alpha_1}}) = \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{2,2n}}}) \cdot \bar{f} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-1}}}) \cdot \bar{f} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-2}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{-\alpha_1}}) \cdot \bar{f}$$

and

$$\overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{1,2n}})} \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{-\alpha_{2n}}}) = \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{1,2n-1}}}) \cdot \bar{f} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{2,2n-2}}}) \cdot \bar{f} + \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-2}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{-\alpha_{2n}}}) \cdot \bar{f}.$$

We can deduce from that that  $\overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{1,2n})}$  has at most three summands. If it had exactly three, this would implies

$$\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{-\alpha_{2n}}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{3,2n-1}}}) \cdot \bar{f} = \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{-\alpha_1}}) \cdot \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{\alpha_{2,2n-2}}}) \cdot \bar{f},$$

which is absurd. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Similar computations give

**Fact 8.2.** Let  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $j - i \leq 4n - 2$ . Then  $\overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{\alpha_{i,j}})}$  is the  $q$ -character of an actual  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n, \text{tor}})$ -module. In particular, the simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$ -module  $L(m_{\alpha_{i,j}})$  satisfy Conjecture 7.5.

However, it is not clear (to the author) if it is possible to deduce the same result for  $\alpha$  of length  $4n$ , that is, for  $j - i = 4n - 1$ .

In Example 6.15 of [Nak11], Nakajima explains that for  $n = 1$ , the module  $L(\overline{m_{1,2n}})$  is not real, which in  $q$ -character theory amounts to

$$\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{1,2n}})^2 \neq \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{1,4n}}).$$

This raises the question whether this is a general phenomenon.

**Conjecture 8.3.** The simple  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n, \text{tor}})$ -module  $L(\overline{m_{1,2n}})$  is an imaginary module, that is,  $L(\overline{m_{1,2n}}) * L(\overline{m_{1,2n}})$  is not simple.

Although some examples of imaginary modules of affinized quantum Kac-Moody algebras are known, for example [Lec03; BC23; BM25], these are hard to find. Proving this conjecture would give a new interesting example for quantum toroidal algebras.

The difficulty of computing  $\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{1,4n}})$  does not allow us to prove or disprove this conjecture directly, in terms of the arguments above. For  $n \geq 3$  we do manage to show

$$\chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{1,2n}})^2 = \chi_q^{\text{tor}}(\overline{m_{1,4n}}) + \lambda f$$

with  $\lambda \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . However,  $\lambda = 0$  if and only if  $\overline{\chi_q^\infty(m_{1,4n})}$  is a virtual  $q$ -character. We can deduce

**Claim 8.4.** *Assume Conjecture 7.5 holds. Then  $L(\overline{m_{1,2n}})$  is an imaginary  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n,\text{tor}})$ -module for  $n \geq 3$ .*

## References

- [BC19] Matheus Brito and Vyjayanthi Chari. “Tensor products and  $q$ -characters of HL-modules and monoidal categorifications”. In: *Journal de l’École polytechnique—Mathématiques* 6 (2019), pp. 581–619.
- [BC23] Matheus Brito and Vyjayanthi Chari. “Higher order Kirillov–Reshetikhin modules for  $\mathbf{U}_q(A_n^{(1)})$ , imaginary modules and monoidal categorification”. In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* 2023.804 (2023), pp. 221–262.
- [BM25] Matheus Brito and Adriano Moura. *Imaginary modules arising from tensor products of snake modules*. 2025. arXiv: 2505.21159.
- [CP91] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley. “Quantum affine algebras”. In: *Communications in mathematical physics* 142.2 (1991), pp. 261–283.
- [CP95] Vyjayanthi Chari and Andrew Pressley. “Quantum affine algebras and their representations”. In: *Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994)* 16 (1995), pp. 59–78.
- [FM01] Edward Frenkel and Evgeny Mukhin. “Combinatorics of  $q$ -characters of finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 216.1 (2001), pp. 23–57.
- [FR99] Edward Frenkel and Nicolai Reshetikhin. “The  $q$ -characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of  $\mathcal{W}$ -algebras”. In: *Contemporary Mathematics* 248 (1999), pp. 163–205.
- [FST08] Sergey Fomin, Michael Shapiro, and Dylan Thurston. “Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. Part I: Cluster complexes”. In: *Acta Mathematica* 201.1 (2008), pp. 83–146.
- [FWZ21] Sergey Fomin, Lauren Williams, and Andrei Zelevinsky. *Introduction to Cluster Algebras. Chapters 4–5*. 2021. arXiv: 1707.07190.

- [FWZ24] Sergey Fomin, Lauren Williams, and Andrei Zelevinsky. *Introduction to Cluster Algebras. Chapters 1-3*. 2024. arXiv: 1608.05735.
- [FZ02] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. “Cluster algebras I: foundations”. In: *Journal of the American mathematical society* 15.2 (2002), pp. 497–529.
- [GG14] Jan E. Grabowski and Sira Gratz. “Cluster algebras of infinite rank”. In: *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 89.2 (2014), pp. 337–363.
- [GKV95] Victor Ginzburg, Mikhail Kapranov, and Eric Vasserot. “Langlands reciprocity for algebraic surfaces”. In: *Mathematical Research Letters* 2.2 (1995), pp. 147–160.
- [Her05a] David Hernandez. “Monomials of  $q$  and  $q, t$ -characters for non simply-laced quantum affinizations”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 250.2 (2005), pp. 443–473.
- [Her05b] David Hernandez. “Representations of quantum affinizations and fusion product”. In: *Transformation groups* 10.2 (2005), pp. 163–200.
- [Her07] David Hernandez. “Drinfeld coproduct, quantum fusion tensor category and applications”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 95.3 (June 2007), pp. 567–608.
- [Her10] David Hernandez. “Kirillov–Reshetikhin conjecture: the general case”. In: *International mathematics research notices* 2010.1 (2010), pp. 149–193.
- [Her11] David Hernandez. “The algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_\infty})$  and applications”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 329.1 (2011). Special Issue Celebrating the 60th Birthday of Corrado De Concini, pp. 147–162. ISSN: 0021-8693.
- [HL10] David Hernandez and Bernard Leclerc. “Cluster algebras and quantum affine algebras”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 154.2 (2010), p. 265.
- [HL13] David Hernandez and Bernard Leclerc. “Monoidal categorifications of cluster algebras of type A and D”. In: *Symmetries, integrable systems and representations*. Springer, 2013, pp. 175–193.
- [Kac90] Victor G. Kac. *Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*. 3rd ed. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [Kel10] Bernhard Keller. “Cluster algebras, quiver representations and triangulated categories”. In: *Triangulated categories*. Vol. 375. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. 76–160.
- [KKKO15] Seok-Jin Kang, Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, and Se-jin Oh. “Symmetric quiver Hecke algebras and R-matrices of quantum affine algebras III”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 111.2 (2015), pp. 420–444.
- [KKOP20] Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, Se-jin Oh, and Euiyong Park. “Monoidal categorification and quantum affine algebras”. In: *Compositio Mathematica* 156.5 (2020), pp. 1039–1077.

- [KKOP24] Masaki Kashiwara, Myungho Kim, Se-jin Oh, and Euiyong Park. “Monoidal categorification and quantum affine algebras II”. In: *Inventiones mathematicae* 236.2 (2024), pp. 837–924.
- [Lau25] Duncan Laurie. *Tensor products,  $q$ -characters and  $R$ -matrices for quantum toroidal algebras*. 2025. arXiv: 2503.08839.
- [Lec03] Bernard Leclerc. “Imaginary vectors in the dual canonical basis of  $U_q(\mathfrak{n})$ ”. In: *Transformation Groups* 8.1 (2003), pp. 95–104.
- [LP17] Shiping Liu and Charles Paquette. “Cluster categories of type  $A_\infty$  and triangulations of the infinite strip”. In: *Mathematische Zeitschrift* 286.1 (2017), pp. 197–222.
- [Nak01] Hiraku Nakajima. “Quiver varieties and finite dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras”. In: *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* 14.1 (2001), pp. 145–238.
- [Nak11] Hiraku Nakajima. “Quiver varieties and cluster algebras”. In: *Journal of mathematics of Kyoto University* 51.1 (2011), pp. 71–126.
- [Qin17] Fan Qin. “Triangular bases in quantum cluster algebras and monoidal categorification conjectures”. In: *Duke Mathematical Journal* 166.12 (2017), pp. 2337–2442.
- [Wan23] Keyu Wang. “ $Q\tilde{Q}$ -systems for twisted quantum affine algebras”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 400.2 (2023), pp. 1137–1179.

Lior Silberberg, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS CITÉ, SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS, IMJ-PRG,  
F-75013 PARIS, FRANCE

*E-mail address:* `lior.silberberg@imj-prg.fr`