

# A series of real networks invariants

Mikhail Tuzhilin\*

## Abstract

In this article we propose a generalization of two known invariants of real networks: degree and ksi-centrality. More precisely, we found a series of centralities based on Laplacian matrix, that have exponential distributions (power-law for the case  $j = 0$ ) for real networks and different distributions for artificial ones.

**Keywords:** Centralities, local and global characteristics of networks, Laplacian

## 1 Introduction

One of the most well-known invariant of real networks or networks based on a real-world data is the degree centrality. Albert, Jeong, and Barabasi [1] found scale-free property of real networks: for real networks the degree centrality has power-low distribution and for random networks this distribution is different. Also they provided an algorithm [2] to construct a network that satisfies scale free property, however the average clustering coefficient of this network was insufficient, i.e. the network was not small-world. Boccaletti, Hwang, and Latora [3] propose an algorithm to construct a scale-free network with a large average clustering coefficient. In [4] author found that small-world and scale-free properties and not sufficient for real networks. More precisely, author provided new centrality called ksi-centrality that is exponentially distributed for real networks and has a different distribution for artificial ones (random, Barabási-Albert, Watts-Strogatz and Boccaletti-Hwang-Latora networks) which in turn shows that the ksi-centrality distinguishes real networks from artificial.

In this paper, we constructed a series of invariants started from the degree and ksi centralities which also have exponential distributions for real networks and different for artificial. These new invariants are based on Laplacian matrix and connected to a Laplacian matrix spectrum.

## 2 Generalization of degree and ksi centralities

### 2.1 Theory

Consider a graph  $G$  as 1-dimensional simplicial complex. Let  $G$  be simple undirected connected graph for simplicity. To define chain complex structure we should add orientation for  $G$ . Since our definition won't depend on orientation, we will choose orientation later. Let  $C_k$  be the  $k$ -dimensional chain group. For this graph we have only two non-trivial dimensions:  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ . The boundary map  $C_0 \xleftarrow{\partial_1} C_1$  generates the map between adjoint spaces (i.e. linear functions on chains)  $C^0 \xrightarrow{\partial^0} C^1$ .

Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  be a scalar product on  $k$ -dimensional chains  $C_k$ . By this product one can determine an adjoint map  $C^0 \xleftarrow{(\partial^0)^*} C^1$  by flowing: for any two  $f, g \in C^k$ ,  $(\partial^k f, g) = (f, (\partial^k)^* g)$ . We have

$$C_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_1,$$

---

\*Affiliation: HSE University, Moscow State University, Electronic address:  
 mikhail.tuzhilin@math.msu.ru;

$$C^0 \xrightleftharpoons[\substack{(\partial^0)^*}]{} \partial^0 C^1.$$

The map  $L = (\partial^0)^* \partial^0 : C^0 \rightarrow C^0$  is called Laplace-Beltrami operator and it corresponds to Laplacian matrix in the orthonormal basis in  $C^0$ .

Let's denote for some vertex  $i$  the set of its  $j$ 's neighbors (i.e. all vertices precisely on the distance  $j$  from  $i$ ) by  $\mathcal{N}^j(i)$ . Let's for fixed  $i$  consider a characteristic function of its  $j$ 's neighbors  $\chi_i^j$ , that is

$$\chi_i^j(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & k \in \mathcal{N}^j(i), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We can consider this function  $\chi_i^j$  as element of  $C^0$ , since it is defined us function on vertices. Note that any centrality can be considered as element of  $C^0$ . Also note that  $\sum_j \chi_i^j \in \ker(L)$ .

**Definition 1.** Let's call  $j$ -neighborhood centrality the following

$$\xi_i^j = \frac{(L\chi_i^j, \chi_i^j)}{(\chi_i^j, \chi_i^j)}, \text{ for } i \in V(G).$$

Let's consider some examples.

1. For the case  $j = 0$  the characteristic function  $\chi_i^0$  is the characteristic function of the vertex  $i$ . Now let's fix  $i$  and determine the orientation of  $G$ . Consider any orientation on induced subgraphs  $\mathcal{N}^j(i)$  and orient edges starting from  $\mathcal{N}^j(i)$  and ending in  $\mathcal{N}^{j+1}(i)$  for any  $j$ . If  $j = 0$  the image  $\partial^0(\chi_i^0)$  is the element of  $C^1$  which equals  $-1$  on edges outer edges from the vertex  $i$  and  $0$  otherwise (see figure 1). The image  $(\partial^0)^* \partial^0(\chi_i^0) \in C^0$  and it is a function which equals  $\deg(i)$  on the vertex  $i$ ,  $-1$  on its neighbors and  $0$  otherwise. Hence,  $(L\chi_i^0, \chi_i^0) = \deg(i)$  and  $\xi_i^0 = \deg(i)$ . The Laplacian map  $L : C^0 \rightarrow C^0$  doesn't depend on orientation of a graph.  $|E(\cdot, \mathcal{N}^1(i) \cup \{i\})|$




Figure 1: Image of Laplacian-Beltrami operator for the function  $\chi_i^0$ .

2. For the case  $j = 1$  the characteristic function  $\chi_i^1$  is the characteristic function of adjacent vertices of  $i$ . The image  $\partial^0(\chi_i^1) \in C^1$  is the function which equals  $1$  on edges outer edges from the vertex  $i$ ,  $-1$  on outer edges from  $\mathcal{N}^1(i)$  and  $0$  otherwise (see figure 2). The image  $(\partial^0)^* \partial^0(\chi_i^1) \in C^0$  and it is a function which equals  $-\deg(i)$  on the vertex  $i$ , the number of outer connections from the first neighborhood  $|E(k, \mathcal{N}^2(i) \cup \{i\})|$  for each vertex  $k \in \mathcal{N}^1(i)$ ,  $-|E(k, \mathcal{N}^1(i))|$  for  $k \in \mathcal{N}^2(i)$  and  $0$  otherwise. Hence,  $(L\chi_i^1, \chi_i^1) = |E(\mathcal{N}^1(i), V(G) \setminus \mathcal{N}^1(i))|$  and  $(\chi_i^1, \chi_i^1)$  is the number of the first neighbors  $\mathcal{N}^1(i)$  that is  $\deg(i)$ . Therefore,  $\xi_i^1 = \xi_i$  defined in the article [4].




Figure 2: Image of Laplacian-Beltrami operator for the function  $\chi_i^1$ .

By these examples we see that this is a generalization of two invariants of real networks: degree and ksi-centrality. Let's note that this centrality can be also rewritten in terms of graph characteristics.

**Theorem 1.** *For any graph  $j$ -neighborhood centrality*

$$\xi_i^j = \frac{|\text{out}(\mathcal{N}^j(i))|}{|\mathcal{N}^j(i)|},$$

where  $\text{out}(H) = E(H, V(G) \setminus H)$  the set of outer connections from the set  $H$  to other vertices.

*Proof.* It is very simple fact from the formula for Laplacian matrix bilinear form:  $(Lx, x) = \sum_{k,l \in V(G), k \sim l} (x^k - x^l)^2$  and thus  $(x_k - x_l)^2 = 1$  only if  $x_k = 1$  (and thus  $k \in \mathcal{N}^j(i)$ ) and  $x_l = 0$  (and thus  $l \notin \mathcal{N}^j(i), l \sim k$ ) and vice versa.  $\square$

For the case if graph has isolated vertex  $i$  we define  $\xi_i^j = 0$ . Also let's note that these centralities are connected to the Cheeger number and maximal eigenvalue of Laplacian matrix (by definitions).

**Theorem 2.** *Consider an undirected graph  $G$ . Let  $h(G)$  be the Cheeger number of  $G$ . If  $|\mathcal{N}^j(i)| \leq \frac{n}{2}$ , then  $\xi_i^j \geq h(G)$ .*

Note that for real networks  $|\mathcal{N}^j(i)| \leq \frac{n}{2}$  is the common case.

**Theorem 3.** *Consider an undirected graph  $G$ . Let  $0 = \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  be the Laplacian matrix spectrum. Then  $\xi_i^j \leq \lambda_n$ .*

## 2.2 Calculations

The theorem 1 can be used for calculation of  $j$ -neighborhood centralities sequentially by  $j$ . Let's store two characteristic matrices  $\chi_i^{j-1}(k)$  and  $\chi_i^{j-2}(k)$  in memory for  $i, k \in V(G)$  from two previous steps. Let  $k$  be the column index. The algorithm is following:

1. Initialization:  $\chi^0 = I$ ,  $\chi^1 = A$ , where  $I$  is the identity matrix and  $A$  is adjacency matrix.
2. Calculate the matrix  $\chi^j = \text{xor}(A\chi^{j-1}, \chi^{j-2})$ ,
3. For each  $i$  calculate  $(L\chi_i^j, \chi_i^j)$  and  $(\chi_i^j, \chi_i^j) = \text{sum}_k(\chi_i^j)$ .

For  $j = 0$  and  $j = 1$   $j$ -neighborhood centralities are degree and ksi centralities and they can be calculated separately. For calculations of ksi-centrality see [4]. Note that calculations in this algorithm can be improved by storing additionally the matrix  $A\chi^{j-1}$  which is calculated when the matrix  $L\chi^j$  calculated on the previous step.

### 3 Comparison of distributions for real networks and artificial

We calculate distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  based on the datasets from [5] (real networks) and for Erdos-Renyi, Barabási-Albert, Watts-Strogatz and Boccaletti-Hwang-Latora (artificial). For real networks (figures 5–19) we saw the behavior like in [5]: these distributions are right-skewed distributions and in log plot they have almost linear distribution with some noise. For networks Erdos-Renyi, Barabási-Albert, Watts-Strogatz and Boccaletti-Hwang-Latora (figures 3, 4) the distributions are centered and more normal-like (not exponential). However, there is two interesting exception that somehow for Watts-Strogatz network the distribution of the forth neighborhood centrality is also looks like exponential and for Boccaletti-Hwang-Latora the same for the third neighborhood centrality.

### 4 Discussion

In this article we constructed a sequence of centralities called  $j$ -neighborhood centralities based on Laplacian matrix or Laplacian-Beltrami operator for a graph. From the mathematical point of view these centralities have connections with the Cheeger number and maximal eigenvalue of Laplacian matrix. From the point of view of applications these centralities are generalization of two invariants of real networks: degree centrality and ksi-centrality (cases  $j = 0, 1$ ). They have right-skewed distributions (exponential) for real networks and different (centered, more normal-like) for artificial. Thus, these invariants differentiate real networks from artificial ones. Nevertheless, Boccaletti-Hwang-Latora network has a little more right-skewed distributions for  $j = 1, 2$  and exponential-like for  $j = 3$ . This can be interpreted as this model is more closer to real networks than others. Also let's note that for only  $j = 4$  Watts-Strogatz network has also exponential-like distribution.

We call these  $j$ -neighborhood centralities by invariants because for each network regardless of the field it appears (biological, social, internet and so on), regardless of relation between number of vertices and edges the distributions of these centralities showed the exponential-like behavior like as for scale-free property. Also, like as for the scale-free property (or power-law distribution) these distributions sometimes have heavy tails. However, for scale-free property there exists strong theory which explains its foundation and model to construct the networks with scale-free property. These centrality measures represent entirely new invariants, and many of them remain to be studied. Nevertheless, it is easy to apply even now. For example, it can be applied for checking whether the current network is real-like or artificial or, since it is invariants of real networks, they are important candidates for features in GML models or graph-based machine learning models.

The support from the Basic Research Program of HSE University is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- [1] Albert, R., Jeong, H., Barabási, A. L. (1999). Diameter of the world-wide web. *nature*, 401(6749), 130-131.
- [2] Barabási, A. L., Albert, R. (1999). Emergence of scaling in random networks. *science*, 286(5439), 509-512.
- [3] Boccaletti Stefano, D-U. Hwang, and Vito Latora. "Growing hierarchical scale-free networks by means of nonhierarchical processes." *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* 17.07 (2007): 2447-2452.
- [4] Tuzhilin M. "New centrality measure: ksi-centrality." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.02488* (2025).
- [5] Tuzhilin, M. "New exponential law for real networks." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.09978* (2025).




Figure 3: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for Erdos-Renyi  $(n, p) = (4000, 0.02)$  and Barabasi-Albert  $(n, m) = (4000, 43)$  networks.




Figure 4: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for Watts-Strogatz  $(n, k, p) = (4000, 21, 0.3)$  and Boccaletti-Hwang-Latora  $(n, m, n_0) = (4000, 20, 100)$  networks.




Figure 5: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 6: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 7: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 8: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 9: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 10: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 11: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 12: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 13: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 14: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 15: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 16: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 17: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 18: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].




Figure 19: Distributions of  $\xi^j$  centralities for  $t = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  for real networks structures data from [5].