

PRESCRIBED CHERN SCALAR CURVATURES ON COMPLETE NONCOMPACT HERMITIAN MANIFOLDS WITH NONPOSITIVE CURVATURES

WEIKE YU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the problem of prescribing Chern scalar curvatures on complete noncompact Hermitian manifolds with nonpositive curvatures, and establish some existence results. In particular, we obtain some sufficient conditions for the existence of a constant negative Chern scalar curvature metric in the conformal class.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose that (M^n, J, h) is a complex manifold of complex dimension n with a Hermitian metric h and a complex structure J . The fundamental form ω is defined as $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = h(J\cdot, \cdot)$. In this paper, we will confuse the Hermitian metric h and its corresponding fundamental form ω . On a Hermitian manifold (M, ω) , there exists a unique linear connection ∇^{Ch} (called the Chern connection) preserving both the Hermitian metric h and the complex structure J , whose torsion T^{Ch} is of vanishing $(1, 1)$ -part everywhere. The scalar curvature with respect to ∇^{Ch} (referred to as Chern scalar curvature) is given by

$$(1.1) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega) = \text{tr}_\omega Ric^{(1)}(\omega) = \text{tr}_\omega \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \omega^n,$$

where $Ric^{(1)}(\omega)$ denotes the first Chern Ricci curvature of (M, ω) and ω^n is the volume form.

A basic problem in differential geometry is that of studying the set of curvature functions that a manifold possesses. The following problem is a Hermitian analogue of prescribing Riemannian scalar curvatures:

Given a smooth real-valued function S on (M, ω) , is
there a Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ conformal to ω such that
its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = S$?

Let $\tilde{\omega} = e^{\frac{2}{n}u} \omega$ for $u \in C^\infty(M)$, then the above problem is equivalent to solving the following partial differential equation:

$$(1.2) \quad -\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u + S^{Ch}(\omega) = S e^{\frac{2}{n}u},$$

where Δ_ω^{Ch} denotes the Chern Laplacian with respect to ω . If S is a constant, the above problem is called the Chern-Yamabe problem, which was first proposed by

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C55, 32Q30.

Key words and phrases. Complete Hermitian manifold; Constant Chern scalar curvature; Monotone iteration scheme.

The author is supported by NSFC (No. 12501075), Basic Research Program of Jiangsu (No. BK20250644), Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions of China (No. 25KJB110008), the start-up research funds from Nanjing Normal University with account No. 184080H201B160, and the Laboratory of Mathematics for Nonlinear Science, Fudan University.

Angella-Calamai-Spotti in [1], and they proved that it is solvable on any compact Hermitian manifolds with nonpositive Gauduchon degree (see (2.13)). If S is a general smooth function, this problem for compact (almost) Hermitian manifolds has been studied for several authors (cf. [5, 9, 13, 16, 20–22, 26, 27, 29], etc.). We remark that if $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = 1$, the above problem is called the prescribed Gaussian curvature problem and has been extensively studied (for examples, [2, 4, 6–8, 10, 11, 17–19, 24], etc.).

Recently, the author [33] investigated the problem of prescribing Chern scalar curvatures on complete Hermitian manifolds, and generalized the Aviles-McOwen's existence results [2] from Poincaré disks to higher dimensional complete Hermitian manifolds with Chern scalar curvatures bounded between two negative constants. In this paper, we will continue to study the problem of prescribing Chern scalar curvatures on complete noncompact Hermitian manifolds with nonpositive curvatures. By using the Monotone iteration scheme and constructing lower solutions, we establish the following existence theorem, which is a Hermitian analogue of the Aviles-McOwen's existence results in [3].

Theorem 1.1 (=Theorem 4.5). *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete noncompact Hermitian manifold with its second Chern Ricci curvature*

$$(1.3) \quad \text{Ric}^{(2)}(X, \bar{X}) \geq -C_1(1 + r(x))^\alpha,$$

and the torsion

$$(1.4) \quad \|T^{Ch}(X, Y)\| \leq C_2(1 + r(x))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

where $X, Y \in T_x^{1,0}M$ satisfy $\|X\| = \|Y\| = 1$, $r(x)$ is the Riemannian distance between a fixed point x_0 and x in (M, ω) , $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$ are three constants. Suppose that the Chern scalar curvature of (M, ω) satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in M,$$

$$(1.6) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq -\frac{b^2}{r^l(x)}, \quad \forall x \in M \setminus D,$$

where D is a compact subset of M , $b > 0$, $l \geq 0$ is a constant. Suppose that S is a smooth function on M with

$$(1.7) \quad -c^2(1 + r^k) \leq S < 0.$$

where $c > 0$, $k \geq 0$ are two constant. If one of the following two conditions holds:

- (1) $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, $0 \leq l < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $0 \leq k \leq 2 - l$;
- (2) $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, $l = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $0 \leq k \leq 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $b^2 > 4n^2\sqrt{C_3}$, where $C_3 = \frac{C_1}{4n} + \frac{nC_2^2}{2}$, then there exists a complete Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ which is conformal to ω such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = S$.

If we choose the candidate curvature function $S \equiv -1$ in the above theorem, then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete Hermitian manifold with its second Chern Ricci curvature*

$$(1.8) \quad \text{Ric}^{(2)}(X, \bar{X}) \geq -C_1(1 + r(x))^\alpha,$$

and the torsion

$$(1.9) \quad \|T^{Ch}(X, Y)\| \leq C_2(1 + r(x))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

where $X, Y \in T_x^{1,0}M$ satisfy $\|X\| = \|Y\| = 1$, $r(x)$ is the Riemannian distance between a fixed point x_0 and x in (M, ω) , $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Suppose that the Chern scalar curvature of (M, ω) satisfies

$$(1.10) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in M,$$

$$(1.11) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq -\frac{b^2}{r^l(x)}, \quad \forall x \in M \setminus D,$$

where D is a compact subset of M , $b > 0$, $l \geq 0$ are two constants. If one of the following two conditions holds:

- (1) $0 \leq \alpha < 2$ and $0 \leq l < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$;
- (2) $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, $l = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $b^2 > 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3}$, where $C_3 = \frac{C_1}{4n} + \frac{nC_2^2}{2}$,

then there exists a complete Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ which is conformal to ω such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) \equiv -1$.

Now we consider the case $l = k = 0$ in Theorem 1.1. However, in this case, we can remove the assumption (1.3) and (1.4) by applying a different method for constructing lower solutions.

Theorem 1.3 (=Theorem 4.7). *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete noncompact Hermitian manifold with its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\omega)$ satisfying*

$$(1.12) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in M$$

$$(1.13) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq -b^2, \quad \forall x \in M \setminus D,$$

where D is a compact subset of M , $b > 0$ is a constant. Suppose that S is a smooth function on M with

$$(1.14) \quad -c^2 \leq S < 0.$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. Then there exists a complete Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ which is conformal to ω and satisfies $\tilde{\omega} \geq C\omega$ for some constant $C > 0$, such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = S$.

Corollary 1.4 ([34], [33]). *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete noncompact Hermitian manifold with its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\omega)$ satisfying*

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{aligned} S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) &\leq 0, \quad \forall x \in M \\ S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) &\leq -b^2, \quad \forall x \in M \setminus D, \end{aligned}$$

where D is a compact subset of M , $b > 0$ is a constant. Then there exists a complete Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ which is conformal to ω and satisfies $\tilde{\omega} \geq C\omega$ for some constant $C > 0$, such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) \equiv -1$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some basic notions and notations related to the prescribed Chern scalar curvature problem. In section 3, we give a sufficient and necessary condition for the existence result of the prescribed Chern scalar curvature problem. In section 4, we will prove the main theorems.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Prof. Yuxin Dong and Prof. Xi Zhang for their continued support and encouragement.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will recall some basic notions and notations of the problem of prescribing Chern scalar curvatures on Hermitian manifolds.

Let (M^n, J, h) be a Hermitian manifold with complex dimension n and its fundamental form $\omega(\cdot, \cdot) = h(J\cdot, \cdot)$, and let $TM^{\mathbb{C}} = TM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ be the complexified tangent space of M . Now we extend J and h from TM to $TM^{\mathbb{C}}$ by \mathbb{C} -linearity, then we have a decomposition:

$$(2.1) \quad TM^{\mathbb{C}} = T^{1,0}M \oplus T^{0,1}M,$$

where $T^{1,0}M$ and $T^{0,1}M$ are the eigenspaces of complex structure J corresponding to the eigenvalues $\sqrt{-1}$ and $-\sqrt{-1}$, respectively. Furthermore, by extending the complex structure J to forms, every m -form can also be decomposed into (p, q) -forms for each $p, q \geq 0$ with $p + q = m$.

On a Hermitian manifold (M^n, J, h) , there exists a unique affine connection ∇^{Ch} preserving both the Hermitian metric h and the complex structure J , that is,

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla^{Ch}h = 0, \quad \nabla^{Ch}J = 0,$$

whose torsion $T^{Ch}(X, Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y]$ satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad T^{Ch}(JX, Y) = T^{Ch}(X, JY)$$

for any $X, Y \in TM$. In this paper, we will confuse the Hermitian metric h and its corresponding fundamental form ω .

Let (M^n, ω) be a Hermitian manifold with Chern connection ∇^{Ch} , and let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a local unitary frame of $T^{1,0}M$ and $\{\theta^i\}_{i=1}^n$ be its dual frame. Then the first Chern Ricci curvature is defined by

$$(2.4) \quad Ric^{(1)} = \sqrt{-1}Ric_{i\bar{j}}^{(1)}\theta^i \wedge \theta^{\bar{j}}$$

with components

$$(2.5) \quad Ric_{i\bar{j}}^{(1)} = \sum_k R_{k\bar{k}i\bar{j}},$$

where $R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = h(R(e_k, e_{\bar{l}})e_i, e_{\bar{j}})$ are the components of curvature tensor R of ∇^{Ch} . It is well-known that $Ric^{(1)}$ can be written as

$$(2.6) \quad Ric^{(1)} = \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\partial \log \omega^n,$$

where ω^n is the volume form of (M^n, ω) . In addition, one can also define the second Chern Ricci curvature as

$$(2.7) \quad Ric^{(2)} = \sqrt{-1}Ric_{i\bar{j}}^{(2)}\theta^i \wedge \theta^{\bar{j}}$$

with components

$$(2.8) \quad Ric_{i\bar{j}}^{(2)} = \sum_k R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{k}}.$$

The Chern scalar curvature of ω is given by

$$(2.9) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega) = \text{tr}_{\omega} Ric^{(1)}(\omega) = \text{tr}_{\omega} Ric^{(2)}(\omega) = \text{tr}_{\omega} \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}\partial \log \omega^n.$$

On a Hermitian manifold, there is a canonical elliptic operator called the Chern Laplace operator: for any smooth function $u : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the Chern Laplacian Δ_{ω}^{Ch} of u is defined by

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta_{\omega}^{Ch} u = -2\sqrt{-1}tr_{\omega}\bar{\partial}\partial u.$$

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [15]). *On a Hermitian manifold (M^n, ω) , we have*

$$(2.11) \quad -\Delta_{\omega}^{Ch} u = -\Delta_d u + (du, \theta)_{\omega},$$

where $\Delta_d u = -d^* du$ is the Hodge-de Rham Laplacian, θ is the Lee form or torsion 1-form given by $d\omega^{n-1} = \theta \wedge \omega^{n-1}$, and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\omega}$ denotes the inner product on 1-form induced by ω . Furthermore, if ω is balanced (i.e., $\theta \equiv 0$), then $\Delta_{\omega}^{Ch} u = \Delta_d u$ for any $u \in C^{\infty}(M)$.

Let

$$(2.12) \quad \{\omega\} = \{e^{\frac{2}{n}u}\omega \mid u \in C^{\infty}(M)\}$$

denote the conformal class of the Hermitian metric ω . In [14], Gauduchon proved that

Theorem 2.2. *Let (M^n, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold with complex dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n \geq 2$, then there exists a unique Gauduchon metric $\eta \in \{\omega\}$ (i.e., $d^* \theta = 0$) with volume 1.*

In terms of the above theorem, one can define an invariant $\Gamma(\{\omega\})$ of the conformal class $\{\omega\}$ which is called the Gauduchon degree:

$$(2.13) \quad \Gamma(\{\omega\}) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_M c_1^{BC}(K_M^{-1}) \wedge \eta^{n-1} = \int_M S^{Ch}(\eta) d\mu_{\eta},$$

where η is the unique Gauduchon metric in $\{\omega\}$ with volume 1, $c_1^{BC}(K_M^{-1})$ is the first Bott-Chern class of anti-canonical line bundle K_M^{-1} , and $d\mu_{\eta}$ denotes the volume form of the Gauduchon metric η . For the sign of (2.13), X. Yang [28] established the following result:

Theorem 2.3. *Let W be the space of Gauduchon metrics on a compact complex manifold M^n . Let $F : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a functional defined by*

$$(2.14) \quad F(\omega) = \int_M S^{Ch}(\omega) \omega^n, \quad \omega \in W.$$

Let K_M be the canonical line bundle of M . Then the image $F(W)$ is one of the following:

- (1) $F(W) = \mathbb{R}$ if and only if neither K_M nor K_M^{-1} is pseudo-effective.
- (2) $F(W) = \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ if and only if K_M^{-1} is pseudo-effective but not unitary flat.
- (3) $F(W) = \mathbb{R}^{<0}$ if and only if K_M is pseudo-effective but not unitary flat.
- (4) $F(W) = \{0\}$ if and only if K_M is unitary flat.

On a Hermitian manifold (M^n, ω) , we consider the conformal change

$$(2.15) \quad \tilde{\omega} = e^{\frac{2}{n}u}\omega.$$

According to [15], the Chern scalar curvatures of $\tilde{\omega}$ and ω have the following relationship:

$$(2.16) \quad -\Delta_{\omega}^{Ch} u + S^{Ch}(\omega) = S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) e^{\frac{2}{n}u}.$$

To resolve the prescribed Chern scalar curvature problem, it is useful to see the equation (2.16) as an Euler-Lagrange equation of some functional. Unfortunately, in general, such a functional dose not always exist. In fact, according to [1, Prop. 5.3] and [13, Prop. 2.12], we know the following

Proposition 2.4. *The equation (2.16) can be seen as an Euler-Lagrange equation for standard L^2 pairing if and only if ω is balanced.*

When (M, ω) is a compact Hermitian manifold, Angella-Calamai-Spotti [1] prove that

Theorem 2.5. *Let (M^n, ω) be a compact Hermitian manifold with Gauduchon degree $\Gamma(\{\omega\}) \leq 0$, then there exists a unique (up to scalings) Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega} \in \{\omega\}$ such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = \Gamma(\{\omega\})$.*

For the case $\Gamma(\{\omega\}) > 0$, the above problem becomes more complicated and remains unsolved for now, because the corresponding equation (2.16) loses its good analytic properties.

3. A SUFFICIENT AND NECESSARY CONDITION FOR THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS

In this section, we will prove that when the candidate curvature function is negative, the prescribed Chern scalar curvature equation has a solution if and only if it has a lower solutions. Firstly, we give a local C^0 -estimates for solutions:

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete noncompact Hermitian manifold. Suppose that $u \in C^0(M) \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}(M)$ satisfies*

$$(3.1) \quad -\Delta_{\omega}^{Ch} u + s = S e^{\frac{2}{n} u}$$

in the weak sense and $u \geq u_0$ for some function $u_0 \in C^0(M)$, where s, S are two smooth functions on M with $S < 0$. For every compact subset $X \subset M$, there exists a constant C independent of u such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|u\|_{C^0(X)} \leq C.$$

Proof. Since X is compact in M , it suffices to prove that

$$(3.3) \quad \|u\|_{C^0(B_{\theta}(x_0))} \leq C,$$

where C is a constant independent of u , $B_{\theta}(x_0) = \{x \in M : \text{dist}_{\omega}(x_0, x) < \theta\}$ and θ is arbitrary positive number such that the closed geodesic ball $\overline{B_{\theta}(x_0)}$ is contained within the cut locus of x_0 .

Firstly, we will prove that there exists a constant C independent of u such that

$$(3.4) \quad \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(B_{\theta}(x_0))} < C.$$

Since $u \geq u_0 \in C^0(M)$, then $u > -\|u_0\|_{C^0(\overline{B_{\tau}(x_0)})} - 1$ in $\overline{B_{\tau}(x_0)}$ for $\tau > \theta$. Thus, let $v = u + A = u + \|u_0\|_{C^0(\overline{B_{\tau}(x_0)})} + 1 > 0$ in $\overline{B_{\tau}(x_0)}$. According to [12, Lemma 3.3], we can choose a cut-off function $\xi \in C_0^{\infty}(M)$ satisfying

$$(3.5) \quad \xi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in B_{\theta}(x_0) \\ 0 & x \in M \setminus \overline{B_{\tau}(x_0)} \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leq \xi \leq 1, \quad |\nabla \xi| \leq C \xi^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant and $\theta < \tau$. Taking the test function $\varphi = \xi^2 v$ in (3.1) yields

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \left(\nabla v \cdot \nabla (\xi^2 v) + (dv, \theta)_\omega \xi^2 v + s \xi^2 v - S e^{-\frac{2}{n}A} e^{\frac{2}{n}v} \xi^2 v \right) d\mu_\omega = 0.$$

Using (3.5), (3.6) and

$$(3.7) \quad \nabla v \cdot \nabla (\xi^2 v) = |\nabla(\xi v)|^2 - |\nabla \xi|^2 v^2, \quad S(x) \leq -\epsilon_0 < 0 \text{ in } \overline{B_\tau(x_0)},$$

where $\epsilon_0 = -\max_{\overline{B_\tau(x_0)}} S > 0$ (because of $S < 0$ in M), we deduce that

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \geq \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \left(|\nabla(\xi v)|^2 - C^2 \xi v^2 + \left(d\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2\right), \theta \right)_\omega \xi^2 + s \xi^2 v + \epsilon_0 e^{-\frac{2}{n}A} e^{\frac{2}{n}v} \xi^2 v \right).$$

In terms of the fundamental inequality $|ab| \leq \delta a^2 + (4\delta)^{-1}b^2$ ($\forall \delta > 0$) and $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$, we have

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi v^2 \leq \delta_1 \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi^2 v^4 + (4\delta_1)^{-1} \text{Vol}_\omega(B_\tau(x_0)),$$

$$(3.10) \quad \left| \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} s \xi^2 v \right| \leq (4\delta_2)^{-1} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} s^2 + \delta_2 \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi^2 v^2.$$

Besides,

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \left(d\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2\right), \theta \right)_\omega \xi^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} (d(\xi v^2), \xi \theta)_\omega - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi v^2 (d\xi, \theta)_\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi v^2 d^*(\xi \theta) - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi v^2 (d\xi, \theta)_\omega \\ &\geq -\delta_3 \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi v^2 \\ &\geq -\delta_3 \delta_1 \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi^2 v^4 - \delta_3 (4\delta_1)^{-1} \text{Vol}_\omega(B_\tau(x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_3 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\max_{\overline{B_\tau(x_0)}} |d^*(\xi \theta)| + \max_{\overline{B_\tau(x_0)}} |(d\xi, \theta)_\omega| \right)$. By the inequality $e^{2t} \geq t^3$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v > 0$, we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \epsilon_0 e^{-\frac{2}{n}A} e^{\frac{2}{n}v} \xi^2 v \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{n^3} e^{-\frac{2}{n}A} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi^2 v^4.$$

From (3.8)-(3.12) and the inequality $t^4 > t^2 - 1$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla(\xi v)|^2 + \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{n^3} e^{-\frac{2}{n}A} - (C^2 + \delta_3)\delta_1 \right) \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi^2 v^4 \\
&\quad - \delta_2 \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi^2 v^2 - (C^2 + \delta_3)(4\delta_1)^{-1} \text{Vol}_\omega(B_\tau(x_0)) - (4\delta_2)^{-1} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} s^2 \\
(3.13) \quad &\geq \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla(\xi v)|^2 + \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{n^3} e^{-\frac{2}{n}A} - (C^2 + \delta_3)\delta_1 - \delta_2 \right) \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi^2 v^2 \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{n^3} e^{-\frac{2}{n}A} - (C^2 + \delta_3)\delta_1 \right) \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi^2 \\
&\quad - (C^2 + \delta_3)(4\delta_1)^{-1} \text{Vol}_\omega(B_\tau(x_0)) - (4\delta_2)^{-1} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} s^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we pick $\delta_1 = \frac{\epsilon_0}{4(C^2 + \delta_3)n^3} e^{-\frac{2}{n}A}$, $\delta_2 = \frac{\epsilon_0}{4n^3} e^{-\frac{2}{n}A}$. Thus, from $\xi \equiv 1$ in $B_\theta(x_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\theta(x_0)} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2n^3} e^{-\frac{2}{n}A} \int_{B_\theta(x_0)} v^2 \\
&\leq \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} |\nabla(\xi v)|^2 + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2n^3} e^{-\frac{2}{n}A} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} \xi^2 v^2 \\
(3.14) \quad &\leq \left(\frac{3\epsilon_0}{4n^3} e^{-\frac{2}{n}A} + (C^2 + \delta_3)^2 n^3 \epsilon_0^{-1} e^{\frac{2}{n}A} \right) \text{Vol}_\omega(B_\tau(x_0)) \\
&\quad + n^3 \epsilon_0^{-1} e^{\frac{2}{n}A} \int_{B_\tau(x_0)} s^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.15) \quad \|u\|_{W^{1,2}(B_\theta(x_0))} \leq C'_1,$$

because $u = v - A$, where $C'_1 > 0$ is a constant independent of u .

Now we will prove the local C^0 -estimate for u . When $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n = 1$, by Trudinger-Moser inequality and (3.4), we have $\int_{B_\theta(x_0)} e^{pu} < C'_2$ for any $p > 0$, where $C'_2 > 0$ is a constant independent of u . Then applying the interior elliptic L^2 -estimates to (3.1) and using (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad &\|u\|_{W^{2,2}(B_{\frac{\theta}{2}}(x_0))} \leq C'_3 \left(\|u\|_{L^2(B_\theta(x_0))} + \|Se^{2u} - s\|_{L^2(B_\theta(x_0))} \right) \\
&\leq C'_3 \left(\|u\|_{L^2(B_\theta(x_0))} + \max_{\overline{B_\theta(x_0)}} |S| \|e^{2u}\|_{L^2(B_\theta(x_0))} + \|s\|_{L^2(B_\theta(x_0))} \right) \\
&\leq C'_4,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C'_3, C'_4 > 0$ is a constant independent of u . Combining with Sobolev's embedding theorem $W^{2,2}(B_{\frac{\theta}{2}}(x_0)) \subset C^1(B_{\frac{\theta}{2}}(x_0))$ ($\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2$) yields $\|u\|_{C^0(B_{\frac{\theta}{2}}(x_0))} < C'_5$ for some constant C'_5 which is independent of u .

When $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = n \geq 2$, we only need to prove

$$(3.17) \quad \|u\|_{C^0(B_\theta(x_0))} \leq C \max\{\|u\|_{L^2(B_\tau(x_0))}, 1\},$$

where $\theta < \tau$, because if (3.17) holds, then combining with the local $W^{1,2}$ -estimates (3.4) for u , the local C^0 -estimates for u follows. Take a decreasing sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$

in \mathbb{R}^+ and choose the following cut-off functions $\xi_i \in C_0^\infty(M)$ at each step:

$$(3.18) \quad \xi_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in B_{r_{i+1}}(x_0) \\ 0 & x \in M \setminus B_{r_i}(x_0) \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leq \xi_i \leq 1, \quad |\nabla \xi_i| \leq \frac{C}{r_i - r_{i+1}},$$

where $r_i = \theta + \frac{\tau - \theta}{2^i}$, $\theta < r_i \leq \tau$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Since the map of real numbers $x \rightarrow x|x|^a$ ($a \geq 0$) is differential with derivative $(a+1)|x|^a$, we can choose a test function $\varphi = \xi_i^2 u|u|^a \in C^0(M) \cap W_0^{1,2}(M)$ ($a \geq 0$) with compact support in M , then from (3.1), we get

$$(3.19) \quad \int_M \left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi + (du, \theta)_\omega \varphi + s\varphi - S e^{\frac{2}{n}u} \varphi \right) d\mu_\omega = 0.$$

By a simple computation, we obtain

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla(\xi_i u|u|^{\frac{a}{2}})|^2 &= \left(\frac{a}{2} + 1 \right) \nabla u \cdot \nabla(\xi_i^2 u|u|^a) + |\nabla \xi_i|^2 |u|^{a+2} \\ &\quad - \frac{a}{2} \left(\frac{a}{2} + 1 \right) \xi_i^2 |u|^a |\nabla u|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\frac{a}{2} + 1 \right) \nabla u \cdot \nabla(\xi_i^2 u|u|^a) + |\nabla \xi_i|^2 |u|^{a+2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.20) and (3.19) that

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla(\xi_i u|u|^{\frac{a}{2}})|^2 &\leq \left(\frac{a}{2} + 1 \right) \int_M \nabla u \cdot \nabla(\xi_i^2 u|u|^a) + \int_M |\nabla \xi_i|^2 |u|^{a+2} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{a}{2} + 1 \right) \left\{ - \int_{B_{r_i}} (du, \theta)_\omega \xi_i^2 u|u|^a - \int_{B_{r_i}} s \xi_i^2 u|u|^a \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{B_{r_i}} S e^{\frac{2}{n}u} \xi_i^2 u|u|^a \right\} + \frac{C^2}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2} \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we estimate each term of the above inequality one by one: By (3.18), we get

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} &- \int_{B_{r_i}} (du, \theta)_\omega \xi_i^2 u|u|^a \\ &= - \frac{1}{a+2} \int_{B_{r_i}} (\xi_i^2 d(u^2|u|^a), \theta)_\omega \\ &= - \frac{1}{a+2} \int_{B_{r_i}} (d(\xi_i^2 u^2|u|^a), \theta)_\omega + \frac{1}{a+2} \int_{B_{r_i}} 2\xi_i u^2|u|^a (d\xi_i, \theta)_\omega \\ &= - \frac{1}{a+2} \int_{B_{r_i}} \xi_i^2 u^2|u|^a d^* \theta + \frac{1}{a+2} \int_{B_{r_i}} 2\xi_i u^2|u|^a (d\xi_i, \theta)_\omega \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a+2} \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} |d^* \theta| \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+2} + \frac{1}{a+2} \int_{B_{r_i}} 2|u|^{a+2} |(d\xi_i, \theta)_\omega| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a+2} \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} |d^* \theta| \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+2} + \frac{1}{a+2} \int_{B_{r_i}} 2|u|^{a+2} |d\xi_i|_\omega \cdot |\theta|_\omega \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a+2} \left(\max_{\overline{B_\tau}} |d^* \theta| + \frac{2C \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} |\theta|_\omega}{r_i - r_{i+1}} \right) \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+2} \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.23) \quad - \int_{B_{r_i}} s \xi_i^2 u|u|^a \leq \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} |s| \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+1},$$

$$(3.24) \quad \int_{B_{r_i}} S e^{\frac{2}{n} u} \xi_i^2 u |u|^a \leq \frac{n}{2} \int_{B_{r_i}} -S |u|^a \leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} (-S) \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^a,$$

where we have use $S < 0$ and the fact $xe^x \geq -1$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ in (3.24). Substituting (3.22)-(3.24) into (3.21), we get

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M |\nabla(\xi_i u |u|^{\frac{a}{2}})|^2 &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2} \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} |d^* \theta| + \frac{C \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} |\theta|_\omega}{r_i - r_{i+1}} + \frac{C^2}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2} \right) \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+2} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{a}{2} + 1 \right) \left\{ \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} |s| \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+1} + \frac{n}{2} \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} (-S) \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^a \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we deduce that

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^a &\leq \frac{a}{a+2} \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+2} + \frac{2}{a+2} \text{Vol}_\omega(B_\tau), \\ \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+1} &\leq \frac{a+1}{a+2} \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+2} + \frac{1}{a+2} \text{Vol}_\omega(B_\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.26) and (3.25) gives

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B_{r_i}} |\nabla(\xi_i u |u|^{\frac{a}{2}})|^2 &\leq C_1 \left(a + 1 + \frac{1}{r_i - r_{i+1}} + \frac{1}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2} \right) \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+2} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2} C_1 \text{Vol}_\omega(B_\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 = \max\{C^2, \sup_{\overline{B_\tau}} |d^* \theta|, \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} |s|, \frac{n}{2} \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} (-S), C \max_{\overline{B_\tau}} |\theta|_\omega\}$. Applying Sobolev's inequality to $f = \xi_i u |u|^{\frac{a}{2}} \in W_0^{1,2}(B_\tau)$, there exists a constant C_2 independent of u such that

$$(3.28) \quad \left(\int_{B_\tau} (\xi_i u |u|^{\frac{a}{2}})^{2\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \leq C_2 \int_{B_\tau} (|\nabla(\xi_i u |u|^{\frac{a}{2}})|^2 + \xi_i^2 |u|^{a+2})$$

where $\beta = \frac{n}{n-1}$. By (3.18), (3.27) and (3.28), we have

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{B_{r_{i+1}}} |u|^{(a+2)\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \\ &\leq C_3 \left(a + 2 + \frac{1}{r_i - r_{i+1}} + \frac{1}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2} \right) \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+2} + \frac{3}{2} C_3 \text{Vol}_\omega(B_\tau) \\ &\leq C_4 \left(a + 2 + \frac{1}{r_i - r_{i+1}} + \frac{1}{(r_i - r_{i+1})^2} \right) \max \left\{ \int_{B_{r_i}} |u|^{a+2}, 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 = \max\{C_1 C_2, C_2\}$, $C_4 = \max\{2C_3, \frac{3}{2}C_3 \text{Vol}_\omega(B_\tau)\}$. Choose a_i in (3.29) such that $\beta^i = \frac{a_i}{2} + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{2\beta^{i+1}}(B_{r_{i+1}})}, 1 \right\} \\
& \leq C_4^{\frac{1}{2\beta^i}} \left(2\beta^i + \frac{2^{i+1}}{\tau - \theta} + \frac{4^{i+1}}{(\tau - \theta)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta^i}} \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{2\beta^i}(B_{r_i})}, 1 \right\} \\
& = C_4^{\frac{1}{2\beta^i}} 4^{\frac{i+1}{2\beta^i}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\beta}{4} \right)^i + \frac{1}{2^{i+1}(\tau - \theta)} + \frac{1}{(\tau - \theta)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta^i}} \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{2\beta^i}(B_{r_i})}, 1 \right\} \\
& \leq C_4^{\frac{1}{2\beta^i}} 4^{\frac{i+1}{2\beta^i}} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\tau - \theta} + \frac{1}{(\tau - \theta)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta^i}} \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{2\beta^i}(B_{r_i})}, 1 \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

for any $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $\frac{\beta}{4} = \frac{n}{4(n-1)} < 1$ for any $n \geq 2$. Set $C_5 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\tau - \theta} + \frac{1}{(\tau - \theta)^2}$, then by iteration, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{2\beta^{i+1}}(B_{r_{i+1}})}, 1 \right\} \\
& \leq C_4^{\frac{1}{2\beta^i}} 4^{\frac{i+1}{2\beta^i}} C_5^{\frac{1}{2\beta^i}} \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^{2\beta^i}(B_{r_i})}, 1 \right\} \\
& \leq \dots \\
& \leq C_4^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^i \frac{1}{\beta^k}} 4^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^i \frac{k+1}{\beta^k}} C_5^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^i \frac{1}{\beta^k}} \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^2(B_\tau)}, 1 \right\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.31}$$

Let $i \rightarrow +\infty$ in the above inequality, we get

$$\|u\|_{C^0(B_\theta)} \leq \max \left\{ \|u\|_{C^0(B_\theta)}, 1 \right\} \leq C_6 \max \left\{ \|u\|_{L^2(B_\tau)}, 1 \right\},
\tag{3.32}$$

where $C_6 > 0$ is a constant independent of u . From (3.32) and (3.4), the local C^0 -estimates (3.3) follows. \square

In terms of the above lemma and the monotone iteration scheme, we can prove the main result of this section as follows.

Proposition 3.2. *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete noncompact Hermitian manifold and let s, S are two smooth functions on M with $S < 0$. Then there is a solution $u \in C^\infty(M)$ of*

$$-\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u + s = S e^{\frac{2}{n}u} \quad \text{in } M
\tag{3.33}$$

if and only if there is a $u_- \in C^0(M) \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}(M)$ such that

$$-\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u_- + s \leq S e^{\frac{2}{n}u_-} \quad \text{in } M
\tag{3.34}$$

holds in the weak sense. Moreover, $u \geq u_-$ in M .

Proof. It is clear that “only if” is trivial. Now we suppose that there exists a desired lower solution $u_- \in C^0(M) \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}(M)$ satisfying (3.34). Let $M = \cup_{k \geq 1} \Omega_k$, where Ω_k is bounded domain in M with smooth boundary satisfying $\Omega_k \subset \subset \Omega_{k+1}$. For any Ω_k , since $s, S \in C^\infty(M)$ with $S < 0$ in M , there exists two positive constants C_k, C'_k dependent of Ω_k such that $s > -C_k$ and $S \leq -C'_k < 0$ in Ω_k , and we have a upper solution $u_+ = b_k$ in Ω_k , where $b_k > \max\{\frac{n}{2} \log \frac{C_k}{C'_k}, \max_{\bar{\Omega}_k} u_-\}$ is a constant, because

$$-\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u_+ + s - S e^{\frac{2}{n}u_+} = s + S e^{\frac{2}{n}b_k} > -C_k + C'_k e^{\frac{2}{n}b_k} > 0 \text{ in } \Omega_k.
\tag{3.35}$$

By the Monotone Iteration Scheme (see the proof of [33, Prop. 4.1] for details), there is a solution $u_k \in C^\infty(\Omega_k)$ of

$$(3.36) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u + s = Se^{\frac{2}{n}u} & \text{in } \Omega_k, \\ u_- \leq u_k \leq u_+ = b_k & \text{in } \Omega_k. \end{cases}$$

Consider the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k \geq 4}$ on $\bar{\Omega}_3$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\|u_k\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega}_3)} \leq C$ for some constant $C > 0$ which is independent of k . In terms of the interior elliptic L^p -estimates, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u_k\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega_2)} &\leq C \left(\|u_k\|_{L^p(\Omega_3)} + \|Se^{\frac{2}{n}u_k} - s\|_{L^p(\Omega_3)} \right) \\ &\leq C \left(\|u_k\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega}_3)} |\Omega_3|^{\frac{1}{p}} + \|S\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega}_3)} e^{\frac{2}{n}\|u_k\|_{C^0(\bar{\Omega}_3)}} |\Omega_3|^{\frac{1}{p}} + \|s\|_{L^p(\Omega_3)} \right) \\ &\leq C' \end{aligned}$$

for any $p > 1$, where C' is a constant independent of k . Taking $p = 2n+1$ and using the Sobolev's embedding theorem $W^{2,2n+1}(\Omega_2) \subset C^1(\Omega_2)$ yield $\|u_k\|_{C^1(\Omega_2)} \leq C$. According to the Schauder estimates, we have $\|u_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_1)} \leq C$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. From the compactly embedding theorem $C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega_1) \subset C^2(\Omega_1)$, it follows that there is a subsequence $\{u_{k1}\}$ of $\{u_k\}$ such that u_{k1} converges to u_1 in $C^2(\Omega_1)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, where u_1 is a solution of

$$(3.38) \quad -\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u + s = Se^{\frac{2}{n}u} \quad \text{in } \Omega_1.$$

Repeat the above procedures with $\{u_{k1}\}_{k \geq 5}$ on $\bar{\Omega}_4$, there exists a subsequence $\{u_{k2}\}$ of $\{u_{k1}\}$ such that u_{k2} converges to u_2 in $C^2(\Omega_2)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and u_2 solves

$$(3.39) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u_2 + s = Se^{\frac{2}{n}u_2} & \text{in } \Omega_2, \\ u_2|_{\Omega_1} = u_1 \end{cases}$$

Inductively, we can obtain a subsequence $\{u_{kj}\}$ which converges to u_j in $C^2(\Omega_j)$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Here u_j is a solution of

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u_j + s = Se^{\frac{2}{n}u_j} & \text{in } \Omega_j, \\ u_j|_{\Omega_{j-1}} = u_{j-1} \end{cases}$$

for any $j \geq 2$. Define

$$(3.41) \quad u(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} u_{kk}(x) \quad x \in M,$$

then $u \in C^2(M)$ and it solves

$$(3.42) \quad -\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u + s = Se^{\frac{2}{n}u} \quad \text{in } M.$$

By the Schauder estimates, we obtain $u \in C^\infty(M)$. \square

4. THE CONSTRUCTION OF LOWER SOLUTIONS AND THE PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

In this section, we will prove the main theorems of this paper by constructing lower solutions. Firstly, we recall the following Laplacian comparison theorem.

Lemma 4.1 ([33]). *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete noncompact Hermitian manifold with*

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Ric}^{(2)}(X, \bar{X}) \geq -C_1(1 + r(x))^\alpha,$$

and the torsion

$$(4.2) \quad \|T^{Ch}(X, Y)\| \leq C_2(1 + r(x))^\beta,$$

where $X, Y \in T_x^{1,0}M$ satisfy $\|X\| = \|Y\| = 1$, $r(x)$ is the Riemannian distance between a fixed point x_0 and x in (M, ω) , and $C_1, C_2 > 0$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ are constants. Let

$$(4.3) \quad F(r) = \frac{C_1}{4n}(1 + r)^\alpha + \frac{nC_2^2}{2}(1 + r)^{2\beta},$$

$$(4.4) \quad h(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F(0)}} \left(e^{\int_0^t F^{\frac{1}{2}}(s) ds} - 1 \right), \quad t \geq 0,$$

then we have

$$(4.5) \quad \Delta_\omega^{Ch} r(x) \leq 4n \frac{h'(r(x))}{h(r(x))}$$

outside the cut locus of x_0 .

Remark 4.2. *In [33], we proved the above Laplacian comparison theorem for $\alpha, \beta > 0$. In fact, our proof also holds for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.*

If we take $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2} \geq 0$ in the above lemma, we can obtain from (4.3) that

$$(4.6) \quad F(r) = C_3(1 + r)^\alpha,$$

where $C_3 = \frac{C_1}{4n} + \frac{nC_2^2}{2}$. Then by a simple computation, we deduce from (4.4) and (4.5) that

Corollary 4.3. *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete Hermitian manifold with*

$$(4.7) \quad \text{Ric}^{(2)}(X, \bar{X}) \geq -C_1(1 + r(x))^\alpha,$$

and the torsion

$$(4.8) \quad \|T^{Ch}(X, Y)\| \leq C_2(1 + r(x))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

where $X, Y \in T_x^{1,0}M$ satisfy $\|X\| = \|Y\| = 1$, $r(x)$ is the Riemannian distance between a fixed point x_0 and x in (M, ω) , and $C_1, C_2 > 0$, $\alpha \geq 0$ are constants, then we have

$$(4.9) \quad \Delta_\omega^{Ch} r(x) \leq \frac{2n(\alpha + 2)}{r(x)} + 4n\sqrt{C_3}(1 + r(x))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

outside the cut locus of x_0 .

Using the above corollary, we may construct a lower solution $u_- \in C^0(M) \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}(M)$ of

$$(4.10) \quad -\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u + s = S e^{\frac{2}{n}u}$$

in the weak sense under some assumptions on curvatures. According to Proposition 3.2, this implies that there exists a $C^\infty(M)$ -solution of (4.10).

Theorem 4.4. *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete noncompact Hermitian manifold with*

$$(4.11) \quad \text{Ric}^{(2)}(X, \bar{X}) \geq -C_1(1 + r(x))^\alpha,$$

and the torsion

$$(4.12) \quad \|T^{Ch}(X, Y)\| \leq C_2(1 + r(x))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

where $X, Y \in T_x^{1,0}M$ satisfy $\|X\| = \|Y\| = 1$, $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 2]$. Suppose that the Chern scalar curvature of (M, ω) satisfies

$$(4.13) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in M,$$

$$(4.14) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq -\frac{b^2}{r^l(x)}, \quad \forall x \in M \setminus D,$$

where D is a compact subset of M , $b > 0$, $l \geq 0$ is a constant. Suppose that S is a smooth function on M with

$$(4.15) \quad -c^2(1 + r^k) \leq S < 0.$$

where $c > 0$, $k \geq 0$ are two constant. If one of the following four conditions holds:

- (1) $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, $0 \leq l < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $0 \leq k < 2 - l$;
- (2) $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, $0 \leq l < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $k = 2 - l$, $-b^2 + c^2 < 0$;
- (3) $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, $l = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $k = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $-b^2 + c^2 + 8n^2\sqrt{C_3} < 0$;
- (4) $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, $l = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $0 \leq k < 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $-b^2 + 8n^2\sqrt{C_3} < 0$,

where $C_3 = \frac{C_1}{4n} + \frac{nC_2^2}{2}$, then there exists a complete Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ which is conformal to ω such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = S$.

Proof. According to Proposition 3.2, it suffices to prove that there exists a lower solution $u_- \in C^0(M) \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}(M)$ such that

$$(4.16) \quad -\Delta_\omega^{Ch}u_- + s \leq Se^{\frac{2}{n}u_-} \quad \text{in } M$$

holds in the weak sense, where $s = S^{Ch}(\omega)$. Firstly, we consider the following function on $M \setminus D$:

$$(4.17) \quad u_1 = -\frac{n}{2} \log(r^2 + a),$$

where $a > 0$ is a constant. A simple computation gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\Delta_\omega^{Ch}u_1 + s - Se^{\frac{2}{n}u_1} \\
 &= \frac{n(a - r^2)|\nabla r|^2 + n(r^2 + a)r\Delta_\omega^{Ch}r}{(r^2 + a)^2} + s - \frac{S}{r^2 + a} \\
 &\leq \frac{n(a - r^2) + n(r^2 + a)(2n(\alpha + 2) + 4n\sqrt{C_3}r(1 + r)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}})}{(r^2 + a)^2} \\
 &\quad - b^2r^{-l} + \frac{c^2(1 + r^k)}{r^2 + a} \\
 &\leq (r^2 + a)^{-2} \left\{ n(a - r^2) + n(r^2 + a) \left(2n(\alpha + 2) + 4n\sqrt{C_3}r(1 + r)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - b^2r^{-l}(r^2 + a)^2 + c^2(1 + r^k)(r^2 + a) \right\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

for any $x \in M \setminus D$ and is outside the cut locus of x_0 . Thus, $-\Delta_{\omega}^{Ch} u_1 + s - S e^{\frac{2}{n} u_1} \leq 0$ provided that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq n(a - r^2) + n(r^2 + a)(2n(\alpha + 2) + 4n\sqrt{C_3}r(1 + r)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}) \\
&\quad - b^2 r^{-l} (r^2 + a)^2 + c^2(1 + r^k)(r^2 + a) \\
(4.19) \quad &= -b^2 r^{4-l} - 2ab^2 r^{2-l} - a^2 b^2 r^{-l} + c^2 r^{2+k} + ac^2 r^k \\
&\quad + 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} (1 + r)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (r^3 + ar) + (2n^2(\alpha + 2) + c^2 - n) r^2 \\
&\quad + (na + 2n^2(\alpha + 2)a + ac^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1: When $4 - l > 2 + k$, $4 - l > 3 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $k \geq 0$, $l \geq 0$ (that is $0 \leq l < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $0 \leq k < 2 - l$), the right side of (4.19) tends to $-\infty$ as $r(x) \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that (4.19) holds for any $x \in M$ with $r(x) > r_0$.

Case 2: When $4 - l = 2 + k > 3 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $-b^2 + c^2 < 0$ (that is, $0 \leq l < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $k = 2 - l$ and $-b^2 + c^2 < 0$), the right side of (4.19) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-b^2 + c^2)r^{4-l} - 2ab^2 r^{2-l} - a^2 b^2 r^{-l} + ac^2 r^k \\
(4.20) \quad &+ 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} (1 + r)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (r^3 + ar) + (2n^2(\alpha + 2) + c^2 - n) r^2 \\
&+ (na + 2n^2(\alpha + 2)a + ac^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which tends to $-\infty$ as $r(x) \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that (4.19) holds for any $x \in M$ with $r(x) > r_0$.

Case 3: When $4 - l = 2 + k = 3 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $-b^2 + c^2 + 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} < 0$ (that is, $l = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $k = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $-b^2 + c^2 + 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} < 0$), dividing the right side of (4.19) by $r^{3+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&-b^2 + c^2 + 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} (r^{-1} + 1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} - 2ab^2 r^{-2} - a^2 b^2 r^{-4} + ac^2 r^{-2} \\
(4.21) \quad &+ 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} (r^{-1} + 1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} ar^{-2} + (2n^2(\alpha + 2) + c^2 - n) r^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
&+ (na + 2n^2(\alpha + 2)a + ac^2) r^{-3-\frac{\alpha}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

which tends to $-b^2 + c^2 + 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} < 0$ as $r(x) \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that (4.19) holds for any $x \in M$ with $r(x) > r_0$.

Case 4: When $4 - l = 3 + \frac{\alpha}{2} > 2 + k$, and $-b^2 + 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} < 0$, $k, l \geq 0$ (that is, $l = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $0 \leq k < 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $-b^2 + 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} < 0$), dividing the right side of (4.19) by $r^{3+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&-b^2 + 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} (r^{-1} + 1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} - 2ab^2 r^{-2} - a^2 b^2 r^{-4} + c^2 r^{k-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
(4.22) \quad &+ ac^2 r^{k-3-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} (r^{-1} + 1)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} ar^{-2} \\
&+ (2n^2(\alpha + 2) + c^2 - n) r^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} + (na + 2n^2(\alpha + 2)a + ac^2) r^{-3-\frac{\alpha}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

which tends to $-b^2 + 4n^2 \sqrt{C_3} < 0$ as $r(x) \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore, there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that (4.19) holds for any $x \in M$ with $r(x) > r_0$.

For the above four cases, we have proved that there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that $D \subset B_{r_0}(x_0) = \{x \in M : r(x) < r_0\}$ and

$$(4.23) \quad -\Delta_{\omega}^{Ch} u_1 + s - S e^{\frac{2}{n} u_1} \leq 0$$

holds for any $x \in M \setminus \text{Cut}(x_0)$ with $r(x) > r_0$. Let $u_2 \in C^0(\overline{B_{r_0}(x_0)}) \cap C^\infty(B_{r_0}(x_0))$ be a solution of

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_\omega^{Ch} u_2 = C & \text{in } B_{r_0}(x_0) \\ u_2|_{\partial B_{r_0}(x_0)} = -\frac{n}{2} \log(r(x_0)^2 + a), \end{cases}$$

where $C = -(\min_{\overline{B_{r_0}(x_0)}} S) \cdot e^{-\log(r(x_0)^2 + a)} > 0$ is a constant, since $S < 0$ in M . By the maximum principle, we have

$$(4.25) \quad \max_{\overline{B_{r_0}(x_0)}} u_2 = \max_{\partial B_{r_0}(x_0)} u_2 = -\frac{n}{2} \log(r(x_0)^2 + a).$$

Therefore,

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u_2 + s - S e^{\frac{2}{n} u_2} &= -C + s - S e^{\frac{2}{n} u_2} \\ &\leq -C - S e^{-\log(r(x_0)^2 + a)} \\ &\leq -C - \left(\min_{\overline{B_{r_0}(x_0)}} S\right) \cdot e^{-\log(r(x_0)^2 + a)} \\ &= 0 \quad \text{in } B_{r_0}(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

because $s \leq 0$ and $S < 0$ in M . Now let

$$(4.27) \quad u_-(x) = \begin{cases} u_1(x), & x \in M \setminus B_{r_0}(x_0), \\ u_2(x), & x \in B_{r_0}(x_0). \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $u_- \in C^0(M) \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}(M)$ such that

$$(4.28) \quad -\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u_- + s \leq S e^{\frac{2}{n} u_-} \quad \text{in } M$$

holds in the weak sense. According to Proposition 3.2, there exists a solution $u \in C^\infty(M)$ of

$$(4.29) \quad -\Delta_\omega^{Ch} u + s = S e^{\frac{2}{n} u} \quad \text{in } M$$

with $u \geq u_-$. Therefore, for any $x \in M$ with $r(x) > \max\{r_0, \sqrt{a}\}$,

$$(4.30) \quad \tilde{\omega} = e^{\frac{2}{n} u} \omega \geq e^{\frac{2}{n} u_-} \omega = e^{\frac{2}{n} u_1} = \frac{1}{(r^2(x) + a)} \omega \geq \frac{1}{2r^2(x)} \omega,$$

then according to [3, Lemma 5.2], $\tilde{\omega}$ is also complete. \square

Making use of the above theorem, we establish the following existence results, which is one of the main theorems of this paper.

Theorem 4.5 (=Theorem 1.1). *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete noncompact Hermitian manifold with*

$$(4.31) \quad \text{Ric}^{(2)}(X, \overline{X}) \geq -C_1(1 + r(x))^\alpha,$$

and the torsion

$$(4.32) \quad \|T^{Ch}(X, Y)\| \leq C_2(1 + r(x))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

where $X, Y \in T_x^{1,0}M$ satisfy $\|X\| = \|Y\| = 1$, $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Suppose that the Chern scalar curvature of (M, ω) satisfies

$$(4.33) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in M,$$

$$(4.34) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq -\frac{b^2}{r^l(x)}, \quad \forall x \in M \setminus D,$$

where D is a compact subset of M , $b > 0$, $l \geq 0$ are two constants. Suppose that S is a smooth function on M with

$$(4.35) \quad -\tilde{c}^2(1+r^k) \leq \tilde{S} < 0.$$

where $\tilde{c} > 0$, $k \geq 0$ are two constant. If one of the following two conditions holds:

- (1) $0 \leq \alpha < 2$, $0 \leq l < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $0 \leq k \leq 2 - l$;
- (2) $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, $l = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $0 \leq k \leq 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $b^2 > 4n^2\sqrt{C_3}$, where $C_3 = \frac{C_1}{4n} + \frac{nC_2^2}{2}$, then there exists a complete Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ which is conformal to ω such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = \tilde{S}$.

Proof. If $0 \leq l < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $0 \leq k < 2 - l$, or $l = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $0 \leq k < 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $b^2 > 4n^2\sqrt{C_3}$, the result of this corollary follows from Theorem 4.4. If $0 \leq l < 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $k = 2 - l$, then set

$$(4.36) \quad S = e^{\frac{2}{n}a}\tilde{S}, \quad c^2 = e^{\frac{2}{n}a}\tilde{c}^2,$$

where $a < \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{b^2}{c^2}$ is a constant. By (4.35) and (4.36), we have

$$(4.37) \quad -c^2(1+r^k) \leq S < 0, \quad c^2 < b^2.$$

Thus, according to Theorem 4.4, there exists a complete Hermitian metric ω_1 which is conformal to ω such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\omega_1) = S$. Let $\tilde{\omega} = e^{\frac{2}{n}a}\omega_1$, then

$$(4.38) \quad S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = e^{-\frac{2}{n}a}(-\Delta_{\omega_1}^{Ch}a + S) = e^{-\frac{2}{n}a}S = \tilde{S}.$$

If $l = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $k = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, $b^2 > 4n^2\sqrt{C_3}$, then set

$$(4.39) \quad S = e^{\frac{2}{n}a}\tilde{S}, \quad c^2 = e^{\frac{2}{n}a}\tilde{c}^2,$$

where $a < \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{b^2 - 4n^2\sqrt{C_3}}{\tilde{c}^2}$ is a constant. By (4.35) and (4.39), we have

$$(4.40) \quad -c^2(1+r^k) \leq S < 0, \quad c^2 < b^2 - 4n^2\sqrt{C_3}.$$

Thus, according to Theorem 4.4, there exists a complete Hermitian metric ω_2 which is conformal to ω such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\omega_2) = S$. Let $\tilde{\omega} = e^{\frac{2}{n}a}\omega_2$, then

$$(4.41) \quad S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = e^{-\frac{2}{n}a}(-\Delta_{\omega_2}^{Ch}a + S) = e^{-\frac{2}{n}a}S = \tilde{S}.$$

□

If we take $l = k = 0$ in the above corollary, we have the following

Corollary 4.6. *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete noncompact Hermitian manifold with*

$$(4.42) \quad Ric^{(2)}(X, \bar{X}) \geq -C_1(1+r(x))^\alpha,$$

and the torsion

$$(4.43) \quad \|T^{Ch}(X, Y)\| \leq C_2(1+r(x))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

where $X, Y \in T_x^{1,0}M$ satisfy $\|X\| = \|Y\| = 1$, $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. Suppose that the Chern scalar curvature of (M, ω) satisfies

$$(4.44) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in M,$$

$$(4.45) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq -b^2, \quad \forall x \in M \setminus D,$$

where D is a compact subset of M , $b > 0$ is a constant. Suppose that S is a smooth function on M with

$$(4.46) \quad -c^2 \leq \tilde{S} < 0.$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. If one of the following two conditions holds:

$$(1) \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 2;$$

$$(2) \quad \alpha = 2, \quad b^2 > 4n^2\sqrt{C_3}, \quad \text{where } C_3 = \frac{C_1}{4n} + \frac{nC_2^2}{2},$$

then there exists a complete Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ which is conformal to ω such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = \tilde{S}$.

Furthermore, in this case, we can remove the assumption (4.42) and (4.43) by applying a different method for constructing a lower solution.

Theorem 4.7 (=Theorem 1.3). *Let (M^n, ω) be a complete noncompact Hermitian manifold with its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\omega)$ satisfying*

$$(4.47) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x \in M$$

$$(4.48) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega)(x) \leq -b^2, \quad \forall x \in M \setminus D,$$

where D is a compact subset of M , $b > 0$ is a constant. Suppose that S is a smooth function on M with

$$(4.49) \quad -c^2 \leq \tilde{S} < 0.$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant. Then there exists a complete Hermitian metric $\tilde{\omega}$ which is conformal to ω and satisfies $\tilde{\omega} \geq C\omega$ for some constant $C > 0$, such that its Chern scalar curvature $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = \tilde{S}$.

Proof. Firstly, we reduce (4.48) to the case where (4.48) holds for all M . Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(M)$ satisfy $\Delta_\omega^{Ch}\phi = \delta$ in D and $\Delta_\omega^{Ch}\phi \geq -\frac{b^2}{2}$, where $\delta > 0$ is a constant. Indeed, let $D \subset D_1 \subset \subset D_2$, where D_1, D_2 are open subsets of M with smooth boundaries. Consider the function $\phi_0 \in C^\infty(\overline{D_2})$ satisfying

$$(4.50) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_\omega^{Ch}\phi_0 = \delta_1 & \text{in } D_2 \\ \phi_0|_{\partial D_2} = \delta_2 \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ are two constants. Smoothly extend $\phi_0|_{D_1}$ to M with compact support, and denote it as ϕ_1 . Then $\phi_1 \in C_0^\infty(M)$ satisfies $\Delta_\omega^{Ch}\phi_1 = \delta_1$ in D and $\Delta_\omega^{Ch}\phi_1 \geq -C$ in M for some constant $C > 0$. Set $\phi = \frac{b^2}{2C}\phi_1 \in C_0^\infty(M)$, then $\Delta_\omega^{Ch}\phi = \delta$ in D and $\Delta_\omega^{Ch}\phi \geq -\frac{b^2}{2}$ in M , where $\delta = \frac{b^2}{2C}\delta_1 > 0$.

Let $\omega_1 = e^{\frac{2}{n}\phi}\omega$, then

$$(4.51) \quad S^{Ch}(\omega_1) = e^{-\frac{2}{n}\phi}(-\Delta_\omega^{Ch}\phi + S^{Ch}(\omega)) \leq -\epsilon \quad \text{in } M,$$

where we have used (4.47) and (4.48), and $\epsilon = e^{-\frac{2}{n}\|\phi\|_{C^0(M)}} \min\{\delta, \frac{b^2}{2}\}$. If $\tilde{\omega} = e^{\frac{2}{n}u}\omega_1$ for some $u \in C^\infty(M)$, then u satisfies

$$(4.52) \quad -\Delta_{\omega_1}^{Ch}u + S^{Ch}(\omega_1) = \tilde{S}e^{\frac{2}{n}u}.$$

Now we choose $u_- = a$ where $a < \frac{n}{2} \log \frac{\epsilon}{c^2}$ is a constant, then

$$(4.53) \quad -\Delta_{\omega_1}^{Ch}u_- + S^{Ch}(\omega_1) - \tilde{S}e^{\frac{2}{n}u_-} = S^{Ch}(\omega_1) - \tilde{S}e^{\frac{2}{n}a} \leq -\epsilon + c^2e^{\frac{2}{n}a} < 0.$$

According to $\tilde{S} < 0$ and Proposition 3.2, there is a solution $u \in C^\infty(M)$ of

$$(4.54) \quad -\Delta_{\omega_1}^{Ch} u + S^{Ch}(\omega_1) = \tilde{S} e^{\frac{2}{n}u} \quad \text{with } u \geq u_- = a.$$

Set $\tilde{\omega} = e^{\frac{2}{n}u}\omega_1$, then $S^{Ch}(\tilde{\omega}) = \tilde{S}$. Since $\omega_1 = e^{\frac{2}{n}\phi}\omega$, we have $\tilde{\omega} = e^{\frac{2}{n}(u+\phi)}\omega \geq e^{\frac{2}{n}(a-\|\phi\|_{C^0(M)})}\omega$ and thus $\tilde{\omega}$ is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Angella, S. Calamai, C. Spotti, On the Chern-Yamabe problem, *Math. Res. Lett.*, 24, 645-677 (2017)
- [2] P. Aviles, R. McOwen, Conformal deformations of complete manifolds with negative curvature, *J. Differential Geom.*, 21, 269-281 (1985).
- [3] P. Aviles, R. McOwen, Conformal deformation to constant negative scalar curvature on non-compact Riemannian manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.*, 27, 225-239 (1988)
- [4] T. Aubin, Nonlinear analysis on manifolds, Monge-Ampere equations, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [5] G. Barbaro, On the curvature of the Bismut connection: Bismut-Yamabe problem and Calabi-Yau with torsion metrics, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 33: 153 (2023).
- [6] M. Berger, Riemannian structures of prescribed Gaussian curvature for compact 2-manifolds, *J. Differential Geom.*, 5: 325-332 (1971).
- [7] F. Borer, P. Elbau, T. Weth, A variant prescribed curvature flow on closed surfaces with negative Euler characteristic, *Calc. Var.* 62: 262 (2023).
- [8] F. Borer, L. Galimberti, M. Struwe, “Large” conformal metrics of prescribed Gauss curvature on surfaces of higher genus. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 90 (2): 407-428 (2015).
- [9] S. Calamai, F. Zou, A note on Chern-Yamabe problem, *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 69, 101612 (2020).
- [10] S.-Y. A. Chang, P. C. Yang, Prescribing Gaussian curvatures on S^2 , *Acta Math.*, 159(3-4): 215-259 (1987).
- [11] W.-Y. Ding, J.-Q. Liu, A note on the problem of prescribing Gaussian curvature on surfaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 347(3), 1059-1066 (1995)
- [12] A. Doan, Adiabatic limits and Kazdan-Warner equations. *Calc. Var.*, 57, 124 (2018).
- [13] E. Fusco, The prescribed Chern scalar curvature problem, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 32, 187 (2022).
- [14] P. Gauduchon, Le théorème de l'excentricité nulle, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér., A-B* 285(5), A387-A390 (1977).
- [15] P. Gauduchon, La 1-forme de torsion d'une variété hermitienne compacte, *Math. Ann.*, 267(4), 495-518 (1984).
- [16] P.-T. Ho, Results related to the Chern-Yamabe flow, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 31, 187-220 (2021).
- [17] J. L. Kazdan, F. W. Warner, Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds, *Ann. of Math.*, 99(1): 14-47 (1974).
- [18] J. L. Kazdan, F. W. Warner, curvature functions for open 2-manifold, *Ann. of Math.*, 99(2): 203-219 (1974).
- [19] J. L. Kazdan, F. W. Warner, Scalar curvature and conformal deformation of Riemannian structure, *J. Differential Geom.*, 10: 113-134 (1975).
- [20] M. Lejmi, A. Maalaoui, On the Chern-Yamabe flow, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 28, 2692-2706 (2018).
- [21] M. Lejmi, M. Upmeier, Integrability theorems and conformally constant Chern scalar curvature metrics in almost Hermitian geometry. *Commun. Anal. Geom.*, 28(7): 1603-1645 (2020).
- [22] Y. Li, W. Zhou, X. Zhou, The prescribed Gauduchon scalar curvature problem in almost Hermitian geometry, *Sci. China Math.*, 67: 2357-2372 (2024).
- [23] L. Ma, Two solutions to Kazdan-Warner's problem on surfaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 150(2): 809-816 (2022).
- [24] J. Moser, On a nonlinear problem in differential geometry, *Dynamical systems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Bahia, Salvador, 1971)*, 273-280. Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- [25] M. del Pino; C. Román, Large conformal metrics with prescribed sign-changing Gauss curvature, *Calc. Var.*, 54(1): 763-789 (2015).
- [26] Y. Wang, X. Zhang, A class of Kazdan-Warner typed equations on non-compact Riemannian manifolds, *Sci. China Ser. A, Math.*, 51 (6): 1111-1118 (2008).

- [27] D. Wu, X. Zhang, Prescribing Chern scalar curvatures on noncompact manifolds, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 387, 448-470 (2024).
- [28] X. Yang, Scalar curvature on compact complex manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 371(3): 2073–2087 (2019).
- [29] W. Yu, Prescribed Chern scalar curvatures on compact Hermitian manifolds with negative Gauduchon degree, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 285(2), 109948 (2023).
- [30] W. Yu, A note on Kazdan-Warner type equations on compact Riemannian manifolds, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, 246, 113596 (2024).
- [31] W. Yu, The Kazdan-Warner problem on compact Kähler surfaces, 2024, arXiv:2403.07698.
- [32] W. Yu, Prescribed Chern scalar curvature flow on compact Hermitian manifolds with negative Gauduchon degree, *Manuscripta Math.*, 176, 74 (2025).
- [33] W. Yu, Prescribed Chern scalar curvatures on complete Hermitian manifolds, 2025, arXiv:2506.14592.
- [34] R. Yuan, Fully nonlinear elliptic equations for some prescribed curvature problems on Hermitian manifolds, 2024, arXiv:2405.10771.

Weike Yu
School of Mathematical Sciences,
Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of NSLSCS,
Nanjing Normal University,
Nanjing, 210023, Jiangsu, P. R. China,
wkyu2018@outlook.com