

# Second-order superintegrable systems from semi-simple and nilpotent Frobenius structures, and a gluing construction

Andreas Vollmer

University of Hamburg, Dept. of Mathematics, Bundesstr. 55, 20146 Hamburg, Germany  
[andreas.vollmer@uni-hamburg.de](mailto:andreas.vollmer@uni-hamburg.de)

5 January 2026

## Abstract

We investigate second-order maximally superintegrable systems that arise from semi-simple and nilpotent Hesse-Frobenius structures. Moreover, we present a gluing construction method for generating second-order maximally superintegrable systems on pseudo-Euclidean spaces.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $g$  be a pseudo-Riemannian flat metric on a simply connected and oriented manifold with coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We consider the natural Hamiltonian of the form

$$H(x, p) = g^{ij}(x)p_i p_j + V(x)$$

(Einstein's summation convention applies), where  $(x, p) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$  are canonical Darboux coordinates and where  $V$  is a potential that depends only on the position  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

An integral of the motion for  $H$  is a function  $F(x, p)$  that Poisson commutes with  $H$ , i.e.

$$\{F, H\} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_j} \right) = 0.$$

Integrals of the motion are constant along solutions of Hamilton's classical equations of motion.

A maximally *superintegrable system* for the Hamiltonian  $H$  is defined by  $2n - 2$  additional integrals of the motion  $F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(2n-2)}$ , such that  $(H, F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(2n-2)})$  are functionally independent. A maximally superintegrable system is *second-order* if these integrals of the motion can be chosen to be of the form

$$F(x, p) = K^{ij}(x)p_i p_j + W(x).$$

It is straightforward to show that the coefficient functions  $K^{ij}$  are associated to a Killing tensor with components  $K_{ij}$ , where indices are lowered using the metric  $g$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $K_{ij} = K_{ji}$ .

Second-order superintegrable Hamiltonian systems have been intensively investigated from many angles, such as via separation of variables [21, 19], geodesic equivalence [11, 13, 24, 25, 23, 18], coalgebras [28, 12], via limiting procedures [16, 14, 10] and warped product geometries [17, 20, 36]. A classification exists to date for second-order superintegrable systems in dimension two, and partially in dimension three, see [5, 3, 4, 7, 6, 2, 26, 29, 30, 33, 34].

In [15, 9, 8, 22], algebraic geometry was proposed as a promising method to classify second-order superintegrable systems in arbitrary dimension. Following this direction, a new geometric approach has recently been developed that remains manageable in arbitrarily high dimension [27, 31, 32, 35, 37]. It naturally incorporates the underpinning conformal geometry and reveals algebraic-geometric obstructions relevant from dimension four. Here, we employ this approach to construct second-order superintegrable systems on pseudo-Euclidean spaces from semi-simple and nilpotent algebraic structures. More generally, we present a gluing construction that allows one to obtain such systems in arbitrarily high dimension from lower-dimensional examples. This construction utilizes the properties of the underlying Hesse-Frobenius structures, i.e. of the underlying Manin-Frobenius structure that is compatible with a natural Hessian structure [35, 37].

A *Hesse-Frobenius structure* for a flat metric  $g$  is a commutative and associative product  $\star$  of vector fields such that

1. the Frobenius property  $g(X \star Y, Z) = g(X, Y \star Z)$  holds,
2. the differential identity  $\nabla_Z \star (X, Y) = X \star Y \star Z$  holds.

Consider the tensor  $C$  defined via  $X \star Y = C_{ijk}g^{kl}\partial_l$ . The conditions of a Hesse-Frobenius manifold are equivalent to

- The tensor defined by  $C_{ijk}$  is symmetric in all three indices.
- The Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde equation  $g^{ab}(C_{ija}C_{klb} - c_{ika}C_{jlb}) = 0$  holds.
- The condition  $\nabla_l C_{ijk} = C_{ija}g^{ab}C_{klb}$  holds.

It is shown in [35, 27] that, given a Hesse-Frobenius structure  $(g, \star)$  of dimension  $n \geq 3$ , a second-order maximally superintegrable system is obtained as follows:

**Step 1: Compute the structure tensor.** From  $C_{ijk}$ , we first compute

$$T_{ijk} = 3 \left( C_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n} g_{ij} g^{ab} C_{abk} \right),$$

and then we introduce

$$\hat{T}_{ij}^k = T_{ija}g^{ak}.$$

**Step 2: Solve for the potential.** We integrate

$$\nabla_{ij}^2 V = \hat{T}_{ij}^k \partial_k V + \frac{1}{n} g_{ij} \Delta V \quad (1)$$

where  $\nabla_{ij}^2 V$  is the Hessian of  $V$ , and where  $\Delta V$  denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator. The general solution depends on  $n + 2$  integration constants  $c_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n + 2$ .

**Step 3: Compute the Killing tensors.** Once the general solution  $V$  is known, we need to solve

$$\left( K_{[i,j]}^a + K_i^b \hat{T}_{bj}^a \right) \partial_a V = 0, \quad (2)$$

for any of the potentials  $V$  obtained in the previous step, where  $K_{ij}$  are the components of a Killing tensor for  $g$ . Note that, whenever we are able to solve the Killing equation explicitly for the metric  $g$ , the Equation (2) becomes a linear equation on the integration constants. More specifically, in the previous step we found the potential in the form ( $M = n + 2$ )

$$V(x) = \sum_{\mu=1}^M c_\mu V^{(\mu)}(x),$$

and the Killing tensor in the form ( $N = \frac{(n+1)!(n+2)!}{12(n-1)!n!}$ , cf. [1])

$$K_{ij}(x) = \sum_{\nu=1}^N \beta_\nu K_{ij}^{(\nu)}(x).$$

Hence, (2) becomes

$$\sum_{\mu,\nu} c_\mu \left( \beta_\nu g^{ab} K_{a[i,j]}^{(\nu)} + \beta_\nu K_{ai}^{(\nu)} \hat{T}_{bj}^k \right) \partial_k V^{(\mu)} = 0.$$

Requiring that it holds for any choice of potentials, we obtain the system

$$\sum_\nu \beta_\nu \left( g^{ab} K_{a[i,j]}^{(\nu)} + K_{ai}^{(\nu)} \hat{T}_{bj}^k \right) \partial_k V^{(\mu)} = 0, \quad \mu = 1, \dots, n + 2,$$

which is a linear system of equations for  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$ .

We remark that the second-order maximally superintegrable systems obtained in this way are precisely the so-called systems of *abundant type*. These abundant systems are precisely the second-order maximally superintegrable systems with  $(n + 2)$ -parameter potential for which the integrability conditions of (1) are generically satisfied and for which (2) then admits  $\frac{1}{2}n(n + 1)$  independent solutions  $K$ .

We also comment that, instead of (2), one could equivalently consider the first-order closed prolongation for the Killing tensors associated to non-degenerate irreducible second-order superintegrable systems obtained in [27].

## 2 Semi-simple Hesse-Frobenius structures

We consider a semi-simple Hesse-Frobenius structure with Riemannian metric. In the semi-simple case, there exists a frame  $(e_i)$  such that  $e_i \star e_j = e_i \delta_{ij}$ . Hence,  $C_{ijk} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{jk}$ . Due to associativity of  $\star$ ,  $[C(e_i), C(e_j)] = 0$  implies  $[e_i, e_j] = 0$  and due to the Frobenius property, we have  $g(e_i, e_j) = 0$ . Hence, there exist canonical coordinates such that

$$C_{ijk} = \lambda_i(x^i) \delta_{ij} \delta_{jk} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}.$$

In order to define a Hesse-Frobenius manifold,  $C_{ijk}$  therefore has to satisfy also the differential condition, which becomes, for each value of  $j$ ,

$$\nabla_k \lambda_j = \lambda_j^2$$

A non-zero solution hence satisfies

$$\nabla_k \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right) = 1.$$

We infer

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_j} = x^j + c_j,$$

with integration constant  $c_j$ . We arrive at the following statement for the semi-simple case.

**Proposition 1.** *For a semi-simple Hesse-Frobenius manifold, we obtain a second-order maximally superintegrable system with Hamiltonian*

$$H = p_i p^i + c_0 \left( 4 \sum_{j=1}^m x_j^2 + \sum_{j=m+1}^n x_j^2 \right) + \sum_{j=1}^m c_j x_j + \sum_{j=m+1}^n \frac{c_j}{x_j^2} + c_{n+1}$$

for some  $m$  with  $1 \leq m \leq n$ , up to coordinate changes.

*Proof.* This is obtained by carrying out the 3-step procedure. The system can also be found in the literature.  $\square$

As an example, we consider the 4D Smorodinski-Winternitz system.

$$H(x, p) = p^2 + c_0 \left( \sum_{j=1}^3 (x^j)^2 + 4x_4 \right) + \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{c_j}{(x^j)^2} + c_4 x_4 + c_5$$

In dimension four, we have, specifically,

$$V(x) = c_1 \left( \frac{x_4^2}{2} + \frac{x_1^2}{8} + \frac{x_2^2}{8} + \frac{x_3^2}{8} \right) + c_2 x_4 - \frac{c_3}{2x_1^2} - \frac{c_4}{2x_2^2} - \frac{c_5}{2x_3^2} + c_6.$$

The compatible Killing tensors are

$$\begin{aligned}
K^{(1)} &= dx_1^2 & K^{(2)} &= dx_2^2 \\
K^{(3)} &= dx_3^2 & K^{(4)} &= dx_4^2 \\
K^{(5)} &= (x_2 dx_1 - x_1 dx_2)^2 & K^{(6)} &= (x_3 dx_2 - x_2 dx_3)^2 \\
K^{(7)} &= (x_3 dx_1 - x_1 dx_3)^2 & K^{(8)} &= (x_4 dx_3 - x_3 dx_4) dx_3 \\
K^{(9)} &= (x_4 dx_1 - x_1 dx_4) dx_1 & K^{(10)} &= (x_4 dx_2 - x_2 dx_4) dx_2
\end{aligned}$$

For systems in other dimensions, the Killing tensors are obtained analogously.

We remark that we symmetric tensor products of 1-forms are denoted as  $dx^i dx^j = \frac{1}{2} (dx^i \otimes dx^j + dx^j \otimes dx^i)$ .

### 3 Nilpotent Hesse-Frobenius structures

We consider a Hesse-Frobenius manifold with a product that is (index-3) nilpotent. To this end, we consider a commutative, associative product with the Frobenius property. Denoting the tensor associated to the product by  $C_{ijk}$ ,

$$X \star Y := C_{ijk} X^i Y^j g^{kl} \partial_l,$$

we have that  $C_{ijk}$  is symmetric, and due to the nilpotency assumption we have

$$g^{ab} C_{ija} C_{klb} = 0.$$

The Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde condition is hence satisfied as either term vanishes independently. We obtain a Hesse-Frobenius manifold if and only if in addition

$$\nabla \star = 0$$

is satisfied, meaning that  $C_{ijk}$  must be constant in  $\nabla$ -affine coordinates.

We give a simple example. Take the 4D, flat metric

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We consider the Frobenius potential

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{6} (x_1^3 + x_2^3),$$

which gives rise to the cubic tensor field

$$C_{ijk} = \delta_{ij} \delta_{ik} (\delta_{i1} + \delta_{i2}),$$

i.e.  $C = \nabla^3\phi = dx_1 \otimes dx_1 \otimes dx_1 + dx_2 \otimes dx_2 \otimes dx_2$ . It is straightforwardly checked that  $C$  satisfies the conditions of a Hesse-Frobenius manifold. By integration, one obtains the superintegrable potential

$$V = \left( \frac{x_1^3}{2} + x_1 x_3 + \frac{x_2(x_2^2 + 2x_4)}{2} \right) c_1 + \left( \frac{3x_1^2}{2} + x_3 \right) c_2 + \left( \frac{3x_2^2}{2} + x_4 \right) c_3 + c_4 x_1 + c_5 x_2 + c_6$$

which is compatible with the following integrals of the motion:

$$\begin{aligned} K^{(1)} &= dx_1^2 \\ K^{(2)} &= dx_2^2 \\ K^{(3)} &= dx_1 dx_3 \\ K^{(4)} &= dx_2 dx_4 \\ K^{(5)} &= x_3 dx_1^2 - x_1 dx_1 dx_3 + \frac{1}{2} dx_3^2 \\ K^{(6)} &= x_4 dx_2^2 - x_2 dx_2 dx_4 + \frac{1}{2} dx_4^2 \\ K^{(7)} &= dx_1 dx_2 \\ K^{(8)} &= x_2 dx_1^2 - x_1 dx_1 dx_2 + dx_2 dx_3 \\ K^{(9)} &= x_1 dx_2^2 - x_2 dx_1 dx_2 + dx_2 dx_4 \\ K^{(10)} &= (x_4 - \frac{1}{2} x_2^2) dx_1^2 + (x_3 - \frac{1}{2} x_1^2) dx_2^2 + (x_1 dx_1 - dx_3)(x_2 dx_2 - dx_4). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the system under consideration arises as a product of two 2D second-order superintegrable systems, via a gluing construction we are going to discuss shortly. Before doing so, it is worth remarking that the Killing tensors  $K^{(1)}, K^{(3)}, K^{(5)}$  and  $K^{(2)}, K^{(4)}, K^{(6)}$ , respectively, are inherited from the constituent systems, while the Killing tensors  $K^{(7)}, K^{(8)}, K^{(9)}$  and  $K^{(10)}$  arise in the product system.

## 4 Product spaces

We assume that  $(U, g, \star)$  and  $(V, h, *)$  are two Hesse-Frobenius manifolds. We then consider the product space

$$M = U \times V$$

with the metric

$$G = g + h$$

and together with the product

$$\star = \star + *.$$

Here, we understand  $g$  and  $h$  as well as  $\star$  and  $*$  to be extended trivially to  $M$ , such that

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \star \circ * = * \circ \star = 0$$

We hence obtain that  $\ast$  is commutative and associative, and that it has the Frobenius property with respect to  $G$ . Moreover, we find that

$$\nabla^G(\star + \ast) = \nabla^g \star + \nabla^h \ast = \star \circ \star + \ast \circ \ast = (\star + \ast) \circ (\star + \ast),$$

where  $\nabla^G$ ,  $\nabla^g$  and  $\nabla^h$  denote the Levi-Civita connections of  $G$ ,  $g$  and  $h$ , respectively. We furthermore obtain that  $(M, G, \ast)$  is also a Hesse-Frobenius structure. It follows that it gives rise to a second-order superintegrable system on  $(M, G)$ .

In a straightforward manner, we next infer that, if  $(U, g, \star)$  and  $(W, h, \ast)$  correspond, respectively, to superintegrable potentials

$$V_U \quad \text{and} \quad V_W,$$

then on  $(M, G)$  we obtain a natural Hamiltonian with additively separated potential

$$V_M(x, y) = \tilde{V}_U(x) + \tilde{V}_W(y),$$

where  $(x, y)$  are adapted coordinates on  $M = U \times W$  and where  $\tilde{V}_U$  and  $\tilde{V}_W$  are potentials in only one set of variables. These potentials are obtained from  $V_U$  and  $V_W$ , respectively, but are subject to the condition (1), which poses a restriction on its parameters of the potential. More specifically, the potential  $V_M$  is subject to the condition

$$\nabla_{ij}^{G,2} V_M = \hat{T}_{ij}^k \nabla_k V_M + \frac{1}{n} g_{ij} \Delta_G V_M,$$

where  $\Delta_G$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator for  $G$ . This condition imposes consistency conditions on the parameters of the individual systems on  $U$  and  $W$ , respectively.

Note that the examples we have discussed earlier are also of the product form  $(M, G, \ast)$ . The product construction allows us to construct further examples from known ones, and particularly we are able to combine the examples already presented in order to obtain new systems on the respective product spaces. As an example, we combine the nilpotent example with the 3D Smorodinski-Winternitz system and the 1D Harmonic oscillator. We obtain the following 8D Hamiltonian system: its underlying metric is

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

defined on a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{4+4} = \mathbb{R}^8$ ; note that the entries of  $G$  that are not shown are supposed to vanish. The momenta  $p_1, \dots, p_4$  correspond to  $x$ , the remaining momenta  $p_5, \dots, p_8$  to  $y$ . The Hamiltonian of the product system thus takes the form

$$H = 2p_0p_2 + 2p_1p_3 + p_4^2 + p_5^2 + p_6^2 + p_7^2 + V(x, y),$$

where the potential is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} V(x, y) = & c_1 \left( \frac{1}{2}x_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}x_2^3 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_4 + \frac{1}{8}x_5^2 + \frac{1}{8}x_6^2 + \frac{1}{8}x_7^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_8^2 \right) \\ & + c_2 \left( \frac{3x_1^2}{2} + x_3 \right) + c_3 \left( \frac{3x_2^2}{2} + x_4 \right) + c_4x_1 + c_5x_2 \\ & + c_6x_8 - \frac{c_7}{2x_5^2} - \frac{c_8}{2x_6^2} - \frac{c_9}{2x_7^2} + c_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

This potential is compatible with  $\frac{1}{2} \cdot 8 \cdot 9 = 36$  Killing tensors. We are now going to list these Killing tensors. First, we have the six pure squares of linear momenta

$$dx_1^2, \quad dx_2^2, \quad \text{as well as} \quad dx_5^2, \quad dx_6^2, \quad dx_7^2, \quad dx_8^2,$$

then the five mixed squares of linear

$$dx_1 dx_2, \quad dx_1 dx_3, \quad dx_2 dx_4, \quad \text{and} \quad dx_1 dx_8, \quad dx_2 dx_8.$$

Next, we have nine symmetric products of the form

$$\begin{array}{lll} (x_8 dx_5 - x_5 dx_8) dx_5 & (x_8 dx_6 - x_6 dx_8) dx_6 & (x_8 dx_7 - x_7 dx_8) dx_7 \\ (x_1 dx_7 - x_7 dx_1) dx_7 & (x_2 dx_7 - dx_2 x_7) dx_7 & (x_2 dx_5 - x_5 dx_2) dx_5 \\ (x_1 dx_6 - x_6 dx_1) dx_6 & (x_2 dx_6 - x_6 dx_2) dx_6 & (x_1 dx_5 - x_5 dx_1) dx_5 \end{array}$$

and four combinations of the form

$$\begin{array}{ll} (x_1 dx_2 - x_2 dx_1) dx_2 + dx_1 dx_4 & (x_8 dx_2 - x_2 dx_8) dx_2 + dx_4 dx_8 \\ (x_2 dx_1 - x_1 dx_2) dx_1 + dx_2 dx_3 & (x_8 dx_1 - x_1 dx_8) dx_1 + dx_3 dx_8. \end{array}$$

We continue with two Killing tensors of the form

$$(x_3 dx_1 - x_1 dx_3) dx_1 + \frac{1}{2} dx_3^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (x_4 dx_2 - x_2 dx_4) dx_2 + \frac{1}{2} dx_4^2,$$

and three further ones, namely the pure squares of angular momenta

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_7 dx_6 - x_6 dx_7)^2 \\ & (x_6 dx_5 - x_5 dx_6)^2 \\ & (x_7 dx_5 - x_5 dx_7)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have the six Killing tensors

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (x_6 dx_1 - x_1 dx_6) dx_1 + (x_6 dx_3 - x_3 dx_6) dx_6 \\ & \frac{1}{2} (x_7 dx_1 - x_1 dx_7) dx_1 + (x_7 dx_3 - x_3 dx_7) dx_7 \\ & \frac{1}{2} (x_5 dx_1 - x_1 dx_5) dx_5 + (x_5 dx_3 - x_3 dx_5) dx_5 \\ & \frac{1}{2} (x_6 dx_2 - x_2 dx_6) dx_2 + (x_6 dx_4 - x_4 dx_6) dx_6 \\ & \frac{1}{2} (x_7 dx_2 - x_2 dx_7) dx_2 + (x_7 dx_4 - x_4 dx_7) dx_7 \\ & \frac{1}{2} (x_5 dx_2 - x_2 dx_5) dx_2 + (x_5 dx_4 - x_4 dx_5) dx_5 \end{aligned}$$

and eventually also the Killing tensor

$$(x_4 - \frac{1}{2}x_2^2)dx_1^2 + (x_3 - \frac{1}{2}x_1^2)dx_2^2 + (x_1 dx_1 - dx_3)(x_2 dx_2 - dx_4).$$

Altogether, we have listed the

$$6 + 5 + 9 + 4 + 2 + 3 + 6 + 1 = 36$$

Killing tensors compatible with the 10-parameter potential. Out of these,  $3 + 3 + 6 + 1 = 13$  are inherited from the two 2D, the 3D and the 1D constituent systems, respectively. From the level of the two 4D constituents, an additional number of 4 and 3 Killing tensors, respectively, are inherited. Finally, in the 8D system, 16 additional Killing tensors arise. Note that their existence is ensured through the gluing construction.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a gluing construction that yields second-order superintegrable systems on pseudo-Euclidean spaces of arbitrarily high dimension in a systematic and geometric manner. It is based on the systems' underpinning Hesse-Frobenius structure. We have shown how the construction can be employed in practical cases to systematically obtain second-order superintegrable systems. This demonstrates that already relatively basic algebraic structures can efficiently be used to construct new systems of superintegrable Hamiltonian systems using this gluing method.

The possibility of lifting such solutions to product spaces provides a means to then produce many systems by a systematic construction.

## Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges support by the SFB/CRC 1624 *Higher structures, moduli spaces and integrability* at the University of Hamburg.

## References

- [1] G. Thompson. “Killing tensors in spaces of constant curvature”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 27 (1986), pp. 2693–2699.
- [2] E. G. Kalnins et al. “Completeness of multiseparable superintegrability in two-dimensional constant curvature spaces”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* 34 (2001), pp. 4705–4720.
- [3] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, and W. Miller Jr. “Second order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. II. The classical two-dimensional Stäckel transform”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 46.5 (2005), pp. 053510, 15.

- [4] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, and W. Miller Jr. “Second order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. III. Three-dimensional classical structure theory”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 46.10 (2005), pp. 103507, 28.
- [5] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, and W. Miller, Jr. “Second-order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. I. Two-dimensional classical structure theory”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 46 (2005), p. 053509.
- [6] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, and W. Miller Jr. “Second-order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. V. Two- and three-dimensional quantum systems”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 47.9 (2006), pp. 093501, 25.
- [7] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, and W. Miller, Jr. “Second order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. IV. The classical 3D Stäckel transform and 3D classification theory”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 47 (2006), p. 043514.
- [8] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, and W. Miller, Jr. “Nondegenerate 2D complex Euclidean superintegrable systems and algebraic varieties”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 40 (2007), pp. 3399–3411.
- [9] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, and W. Miller, Jr. “Nondegenerate three-dimensional complex Euclidean superintegrable systems and algebraic varieties”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 48 (2007), p. 113518.
- [10] E. Kalnins et al. “Nondegenerate superintegrable systems in n-dimensional Euclidean spaces”. In: *Phys. Atom. Nuclei* 70 (2007), pp. 545–553.
- [11] R. Bryant, G. Manno, and V. Matveev. “A solution of a problem of Sophus Lie: normal forms of two-dimensional metrics admitting two projective vector fields”. In: *Math. Ann.* 340 (2008), pp. 437–463.
- [12] A Ballesteros et al. “(Super)integrability from coalgebra symmetry: Formalism and applications”. In: *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 175 (2009), p. 012004.
- [13] V. S. Matveev. “Two-dimensional metrics admitting precisely one projective vector field”. In: *Math. Ann.* 352.4 (2012), pp. 865–909.
- [14] E. G. Kalnins, W. Miller, Jr., and S. Post. “Contractions of 2D 2nd Order Quantum Superintegrable Systems and the Askey Scheme for Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials”. In: *SIGMA* 9 (2013), p. 057.
- [15] W. Miller, Jr., S. Post, and P. Winternitz. “Classical and Quantum Superintegrability with Applications”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 46 (2013), p. 423001.
- [16] J. J. Capel, J. M. Kress, and S. Post. “Invariant Classification and Limits of Maximally Superintegrable Systems in 3D”. In: *SIGMA* 11 (2015), 038, 17 pages.
- [17] C. M. Chanu, L. Degiovanni, and G. Rastelli. “Warped product of Hamiltonians and extensions of Hamiltonian systems”. In: *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 597 (2015). 30th International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics (Group30), p. 012024.

- [18] G. Valent. “Global structure and geodesics for Koenigs superintegrable systems”. In: *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics* 21 (2016), pp. 477–509.
- [19] M. Błaszkak and K. Marciniak. “Classical and Quantum Superintegrability of Stäckel Systems”. In: *SIGMA* 13, 008 (Jan. 2017), p. 008. arXiv: [1608.04546](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.04546) [[nlin.SI](#)].
- [20] C. M. Chanu and G. Rastelli. “Extended Hamiltonians and shift, ladder functions and operators”. In: *Annals of Physics* 386 (2017), pp. 254–274.
- [21] E. G. Kalnins, J. M. Kress, and W. Miller. *Separation of Variables and Superintegrability*. 2053-2563. IOP Publishing, 2018.
- [22] J. Kress and K. Schöbel. “An algebraic geometric classification of superintegrable systems in the Euclidean plane”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* (2018).
- [23] G. Manno and A. Vollmer. “(Super-)integrable systems associated to 2-dimensional projective connections with one projective symmetry”. In: *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 145 (2019), p. 103476.
- [24] G. Manno and A. Vollmer. “Normal forms of two-dimensional metrics admitting exactly one essential projective vector field”. In: *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées* 135 (2020), pp. 26–82.
- [25] A. Vollmer. “Projectively equivalent 2-dimensional superintegrable systems with projective symmetries”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 53.9 (2020).
- [26] A. Nikitin. “Superintegrable and Scale-Invariant Quantum Systems with Position-Dependent Mass”. In: *Ukr Math J* 74 (2022), pp. 405–419.
- [27] J. Kress, K. Schöbel, and A. Vollmer. “An Algebraic Geometric Foundation for a Classification of Second-Order Superintegrable Systems in Arbitrary Dimension”. In: *J. Geom. Analys.* 33.360 (2023). arXiv: [1911.11925](https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.11925) [[math.DG](#)].
- [28] I. Marquette, J. Zhang, and Y.-Z. Zhang. “Algebraic approach and exact solutions of superintegrable systems in 2D Darboux spaces”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 56.35 (2023), p. 355201.
- [29] A. G. Nikitin. “Superintegrable quantum mechanical systems with position dependent masses invariant with respect to three parametric Lie groups”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 64 (2023), p. 113505.
- [30] A. G. Nikitin. “Superintegrable quantum mechanical systems with position dependent masses invariant with respect to two parametric Lie groups”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 56.39 (2023), p. 395203.
- [31] J. Kress, K. Schöbel, and A. Vollmer. “Algebraic Conditions for Conformal Superintegrability in Arbitrary Dimension”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 405.92 (2024). arXiv: [2006.15696](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.15696) [[math.DG](#)].
- [32] J. Kress, K. Schöbel, and A. Vollmer. *Superintegrable systems on conformal surfaces*. 2024. arXiv: [2403.09191](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.09191).

- [33] A. G. Nikitin. “Integrable and superintegrable quantum mechanical systems with position dependent masses invariant with respect to one parametric Lie groups. 1. Systems with cylindric symmetry”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 57.26 (2024).
- [34] A. G. Nikitin. “Integrable and superintegrable quantum mechanical systems with position dependent masses invariant with respect to one parametric Lie groups: 2. Systems with dilatation and shift symmetries”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 58.14 (2025).
- [35] A. Vollmer. “Manifolds with a Commutative and Associative Product Structure that Encodes Superintegrable Hamiltonian Systems”. In: *Ann. Henri Poincaré* (2025).
- [36] C. M. Chanu and G. Rastelli. *Superintegrability and geometry: a review of the extended Hamiltonian approach*. arXiv admin note: text overlap with arXiv: 1705.09519. arXiv: [2411.19815 \[math-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.19815).
- [37] A. Vollmer. *Hessian geometry and Frobenius manifolds with curvature*. arXiv: [2512.01691](https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.01691).