

# Approximation for stochastic time-space fractional cable equations driven by rough noise

Jiawei He<sup>1,2</sup>, Jianhua Huang<sup>1</sup>, Fang Su<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> National University of Defense Technology, Hunan 411100, China

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China

## Abstract

The time-space fractional cable equation arises from extending the generalized fractional Ohm's law to model anomalous diffusion processes. In this paper, we develop and analyze a numerical approximation for stochastic nonlinear time-space fractional cable equation driven by rough noise. The model involves both two nonlocal terms in time and one in space. By an operator theoretic approach, we establish the existence, uniqueness, and regularities of solutions. We also obtain a convergence result for the regularized equation via Wong-Zakai approximation to regularize the rough noise. The numerical scheme approximates the model in space by the standard spectral Galerkin method and in time by the backward Euler convolution quadrature method. After that, error estimates are established.

**Keywords:** Stochastic fractional cable equation, rough noise, Wong-Zakai approximation, error analysis.

**2020 MSC:** 35R11, 65C30, 65M15

## 1 Introduction

As we known, the classical cable equation was derived from the Nernst-Planck equation and serves as the most fundamental equation for modeling neuronal dynamics to simulate the electrodiffusion of ions. However, when ions exhibit anomalous subdiffusion behavior, the fractional cable equation becomes a powerful mathematical model to incorporate these abnormal diffusion phenomena. Santamaria et al. [26] investigated the dynamics of Purkinje cell dendrites influenced by spines, highlighting that this is a dynamic process due to the fact that molecules can both enter and leave spines. To elucidate the anomalous electrodiffusion of ions in spiny dendrites, Henry et al. [6, 7] reconstructed a fractional cable equation from the fractional Nernst-Planck equations. By integrating the current continuity equation with the longitudinal current density, Langlands et al. [13] derived the fractional cable equations from the fractional Nernst-Planck equation as macroscopic models for ion electrodiffusion in nerve cells on infinite and semi-infinite domains. These

models account for the ionic transmembrane current and any external current traversing the membrane.

It is known that fractional derivatives can be associated with the behavior of a group of particles that are engaged in a continuous-time random walk [20, 21, 28]. By substituting a spatial fractional derivative for the Laplacian operator in the diffusion equation, a solution in this equation characterizes the probability density function for particles that are involved in a random walk with heavy tails, a process where infrequent large jumps are more significant than the usual smaller ones. Conversely, a time fractional derivative in time results represent subdiffusion process, a scenario where the intervals between particle jumps follow a probability distribution with a long tail, and the mean square displacement of a group of particles is proportional to  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ . In this way, when examining the processes of anomalous diffusive transport that stem from temporal memory and spatial non-local effects, a common approach is to modify the constitutive equation (Ohm's law) into a generalized fractional form. By applying this modification to the fractional flux for both the total ionic transmembrane current density and the injected current density, Li and Deng [16] derived the following time-space fractional cable equation

$$r_m c_m \partial_t V(x, t) = \frac{r_m d}{4r_L} \partial_t^{1-\alpha} \nabla^{2s} V(x, t) - D(\beta) \partial_t^{1-\beta} (V(x, t) - V_{rest} - r_m i_e(x, t)),$$

where  $r_m$  denotes the specific membrane resistance,  $r_L$  signifies the longitudinal resistivity depending on  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ , and  $c_m$  is the symbol for membrane capacitance per unit area, and  $i_e$  represents the external current injected per unit area.  $D(\beta)$  is a parameter depending on  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ .  $\nabla^{2s}$  is the Riesz fractional operator and  $\partial_t^{1-\eta}$  is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative,  $\eta = \alpha, \beta$  with  $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < 1$ .

Observe that the Riesz fractional operator  $\nabla^{2s} = -(\tilde{\partial}_x^{2s} + \tilde{\partial}_{-x}^{2s})/(2 \cos(\pi s))$ , where  $\tilde{\partial}_x^{2s}$ ,  $\tilde{\partial}_{-x}^{2s}$  are the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives, respectively, for instance, refer to the work of Saichev et al. [28], where the Riesz fractional derivative  $\nabla^{2s}$  is equivalent to the fractional Laplacian operator  $-(-\Delta)^s$  when analyzed within the context of Fourier transforms, as they share identical frequency multipliers. The relationship is reasonable to concern with time-space fractional cable equation with nonlinear source term and external fractional Brownian sheet noise

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \lambda \partial_t^{1-\beta} u + \mu \partial_t^{1-\alpha} A^s u = f(u) + \gamma(t) \xi^{H_1, H_2}, & \text{in } D \times (0, T], \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T], \\ u = g, & \text{in } D \times \{t = 0\}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $D = (0, l)$  is a bounded domain in one dimension  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $l$  being a positive constant,  $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < 1$ . Let  $A = -\Delta$  the Laplacian operator, Function  $\gamma(\cdot) \in C^1([0, T])$  with

$\gamma(0) = 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$  are constants.  $\partial_{0+}^\eta$  represents the Riemann-Liouville fractional partial derivative of order  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  as follows

$$\partial_t^\eta y(x, t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \eta)} \partial_t \int_0^t (t - s)^{-\eta} y(x, s) ds.$$

The stochastic term  $\xi^{H_1, H_2}$  is defined by

$$\xi^{H_1, H_2}(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2 W^{H_1, H_2}(x, t)}{\partial x \partial t}$$

with  $W^{H_1, H_2}(x, t)$  being a fractional Brownian sheet on a stochastic basis  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in I}, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $I = [0, T]$ , such that

$$\mathbb{E}(W^{H_1, H_2}(x, t)W^{H_1, H_2}(y, s)) = R_{H_1}(x, y)R_{H_2}(s, t),$$

for which  $(x, t), (y, s) \in D \times I$ , and

$$R_H(x, y) = \frac{|x|^{2H} + |y|^{2H} - |x - y|^{2H}}{2},$$

where  $H_1, H_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  are spatial Hurst parameters, meaning that the noise is rough in space, and  $\mathbb{E}$  is the expectation acting at  $L^2(\Omega, L^2(D))$  as

$$\mathbb{E}\|u\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} |u(\omega)|^2 d\mathbb{P} < \infty.$$

Various studies have been dedicated to the time fractional cable equation. Notably, the research teams led by Langlands et al. [6, 7, 13, 14] have shown that the mathematical treatment of fractional derivatives featuring singular kernels is consistent with the behavior observed in anomalous diffusion processes. This consistency has sparked a surge of interest among researchers in the field of fractional cable equations, with a particular emphasis on the numerical findings that have emerged in recent times. Liu et al. [19] examined the stability and convergence properties of two implicit numerical methods. Subsequently, Zhuang et al. [34] proposed the use of the Galerkin FEM for the numerical simulation of the fractional cable equation. Zheng and Zhao [32] explored a combination of the discontinuous Galerkin finite element method along the time axis and the Galerkin finite element scheme along the spatial axis. By transforming the time fractional cable equation into an equivalent integral equation, Yang et al. [30] conducted a study on the numerical solution and performed a convergence analysis. More recently, Li et al. [17] applied the Galerkin FEM to verify the numerical analysis driven by fractional integrated additive noise. Nevertheless, there are constraints on the outcomes for the time-space case. Li and Deng [16] obtained analytical solutions characterized by Green's functions and the asymptotic behaviors of the corresponding fractional moments. Meanwhile, Saxena et al.

[27] developed the fundamental solution and its asymptotic behavior, expressed through an infinite series using the Fox-H function.

In recent years, there are many results about the stochastic partial differential equation driven by Brownian sheet noise for Hurst parameter, see e.g. [2, 3, 9–11]. Specifically, Cao et al. [31] explored the numerical outcomes for a class of stochastic evolution equations that incorporate additive white and rough noises. By employing the Wong-Zakai approximation to regularize the noise, they achieved an optimal order of convergence. In this paper, our primary focus lies on the time-space fractional cable equation, which is driven by fractional Brownian sheet noise in both the temporal and spatial directions, with the Hurst parameter being less than or equal to 1/2. By employing an operator theoretic approach, the existence, uniqueness, and regularity of solution are first established requiring  $2sH_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$ . In order to generate noise with enhanced smoothness, the Wong-Zakai approximation stands out as a widely adopted approach. Furthermore, a comprehensive analysis regarding the convergence rate of the solution or the regularized equation under this specific approximation form is also presented. Additionally, we use the spectral Galerkin method and backward Euler convolution quadrature method to build the spatial discretization and the temporal discretization, the theoretical results show that the convergence order is also dependent of the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $s$  and the Hurst indexes.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some essential notations and define the relevant function spaces. Section 3 begins by establishing a fundamental expression for the solution. From this expression, we derive a priori estimates that provide crucial insights into the behavior of the solution. Additionally, we propose an eigenfunction expansion of the solution. Based on Itô isometry, we then establish the existence and uniqueness of the solution, which subsequently enables us to determine its spatial and temporal regularities. Section 4 focuses on obtaining a convergence result for the regularized equation through the application of the Wong-Zakai approximation. In Section 5, we delve into the derivation of spatial and temporal discretization schemes, along with corresponding error estimates.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $H = L^2(D)$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . As is known, the Dirichlet-Laplace operator  $A = -\Delta$  on a bounded domain  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  with smooth boundary  $\partial D$ , we have the following spectral problem

$$Ae_k(x) = \rho_k e_k(x), \quad x \in \Omega; \quad e_k(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\{\rho_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  denotes the set of nondecreasing positive real eigenvalues such that  $\rho_k \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ . The corresponding eigenfunctions are defined by  $e_k \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The eigenfunctions  $e_k$  are normalized so that  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H$ .

For all  $q \geq -1$ , denote  $\dot{H}^q(D) \subset H^{-1}(D)$  the Hilbert space induced by the norm as

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}^q(D)}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \rho_k^q(u, e_k) e_k.$$

As usual,  $\dot{H}^q(D)$  ( $q \geq -1$ ) forms a Hilbert scale of interpolation spaces, moreover an Hilbert space  $H_0^p(D)$  is interpolation scale with the  $K$ -method between  $H_0^1(D)$  and  $H$  for  $p \in [0, 1]$  with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H_0^1(D)}$ . Thus, by interpolation, the  $\dot{H}^p(D)$  and  $H_0^p(D)$  norms are equivalent for  $p \in [0, 1]$ . We shall use  $H$  to denote the space  $\dot{H}^0(D)$ . We define a fractional power space of  $A^q$  by  $\dot{H}^q(D) = D(A^{q/2})$  with norm  $\|u\|_{\dot{H}^q(D)} = \|A^{q/2}u\|$ . Clearly,  $D(A^{1/2}) = H_0^1(D)$ .

We denote the fractional Sobolev space of order  $s \in (0, 1)$  by

$$H^s(D) = \left\{ u \in H; \quad |u|_{H^s(D)}^2 := \int_D \int_D \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} dx dy < \infty \right\},$$

and its norm given by  $\|\cdot\|_{H^s(D)} = \|\cdot\| + |\cdot|_{H^s(D)}$ . Let  $H_0^s(D)$  denote the closure of set  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $H^s(D)$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  denotes the set of  $C^\infty$  functions with compact support in  $D$ , Its norm is given by

$$\|u\|_{H_0^s(D)} = \|u\| + c_d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))^2}{|x - y|^{d+2s}} dx dy,$$

for  $c_{d,s} = 4^s s \Gamma(d/2 + s) \pi^{-d/2} / \Gamma(1 - s)$ . It is known that  $H^s(D) = H_0^s(D)$  for  $s \in [0, 1/2)$ , and  $\dot{H}^s(D) = H_0^s(D)$  for  $s \in [0, 3/2)$ .

### 3 Regularity of the solutions

For  $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$  and  $\kappa > 0$ , let  $\Sigma_\theta$  be defined by

$$\Sigma_\theta = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: \quad |\arg z| \leq \theta, \quad z \neq 0\}, \quad \Sigma_{\kappa, \theta} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: \quad |\arg z| \leq \theta, \quad |z| \geq \kappa\}.$$

The contour  $\Gamma_{\kappa, \theta}$  is defined by

$$\Gamma_{\kappa, \theta} = \{re^{-i\theta}: \quad r \geq \kappa\} \cup \{\kappa e^{i\psi}: \quad |\psi| \leq \theta\} \cup \{re^{i\theta}: \quad r \geq \kappa\},$$

where the circular arc is oriented counterclockwise and the two rays are oriented with an increasing imaginary part and  $i^2 = -1$ .

### 3.1 A basic solution expression

We recast problem (1.1) with  $f \equiv 0$  and  $\gamma \equiv 0$  into a Volterra integral equation by

$$u(x, t) + \int_0^t [\lambda k_\beta(t - \tau)u(x, \tau) + \mu k_\alpha(t - \tau)A^s u(x, \tau)]d\tau = g(x),$$

where  $k_\varsigma(t) = t^{\varsigma-1}/\Gamma(\varsigma)$  for  $\varsigma > 0$  and  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  is the usual Euler gamma function. It is well known that the operator  $-A^s$  generates a bounded analytic semigroup of angle greater than or equal to  $\pi/2 - \alpha\omega := \theta > 0$ , i.e.,

$$\|(z + A^s)^{-1}\| \leq M/|z|, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta},$$

for some constant  $M > 0$ . By applying the Laplace transform yields

$$\hat{u}(z) + \lambda z^{-\beta} \hat{u}(z) + \mu z^{-\alpha} A^s \hat{u}(z) = z^{-1} g,$$

hence  $\hat{u}(z) = H(z)g$ , with the kernel  $H(z)$  given by

$$H(z) = \mu^{-1} z^{\alpha-1} (h(z)I + A^s)^{-1}, \quad h(z) = \mu^{-1} z^\alpha (1 + \lambda z^{-\beta}).$$

By means of the uniqueness of the inverse Laplace transform, one deduces that the solution operator  $S(t)$  is given by

$$S(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}} e^{zt} H(z) dz. \quad (3.1)$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\theta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $h(z) \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta}$  for  $z \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta}$  and*

$$|h(z)|^{-1} \leq c\mu \min\{|z|^{-\alpha}, |z|^{\beta-\alpha}\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta}$ , i.e.,  $z = re^{i\psi}$  for  $|\psi| < \pi - \theta$ ,  $r > 0$ , then

$$h(z) = \mu^{-1} r^\alpha e^{i\alpha\psi} + \mu^{-1} r^{\alpha-\beta} e^{i(\alpha-\beta)\psi} \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta},$$

since  $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < 1$ . We note that

$$|z^\beta + \lambda|^2 = \lambda^2 + 2\lambda r \cos(\beta\pi) + r^{2\beta} > \lambda^2 + 2\lambda r \cos(\beta\psi) + r^{2\beta},$$

and function  $k(x) = \lambda^2 + 2\lambda \cos(\beta\psi)x + x^2$  attains its minimum at  $x = -\lambda \cos(\beta\psi)$ , then

$$|z^\beta + \lambda|^2 > \lambda^2(1 - \cos(\beta\psi))^2 = \lambda^2 \sin(\beta\psi)^2,$$

it follows from  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\sin(\beta\psi) > 0$  that

$$|h(z)|^{-1} = \frac{\mu}{|z^{\alpha-\beta}| |z^\beta + \lambda|} < \frac{\mu}{\lambda r^{\alpha-\beta} \sin(\beta\psi)} = \frac{\mu |z|^{\beta-\alpha}}{\lambda \sin(\beta\psi)}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$|z^\beta + \lambda|^2 > (\lambda + r^\beta \cos(\beta\psi))^2 + (r^\beta \sin(\beta\psi))^2 \geq (r^\beta \sin(\beta\psi))^2,$$

which shows that

$$|h(z)|^{-1} = \frac{\mu}{|z^{\alpha-\beta}| |z^\beta + \lambda|} < \frac{\mu}{r^\alpha \sin(\beta\psi)} = \frac{\mu |z|^{-\alpha}}{\sin(\beta\psi)}.$$

This ends the proof.  $\square$

### 3.2 A priori estimate

We first state the regularity to problem (1.1) with  $f \equiv 0$  and  $\gamma \equiv 0$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any  $g \in H$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ , and  $f \equiv 0$  and  $\gamma \equiv 0$ , then there exists a unique solution  $u$  to problem (1.1) satisfying*

$$u = S(t)g \in C([0, T]; H) \cap C^1((0, T]; H^s(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, the stability estimates hold for  $t \in (0, T]$  and  $\nu = 0, 1, m = 1, 2, \dots$  as

$$\|A^{s\nu} S^{(m)}(t)g\| \leq ct^{-m-\alpha\nu}\|g\|, \quad g \in H.$$

$$\|A^{s\nu} S^{(m)}(t)g\| \leq ct^{-m+(1-\nu)(\alpha-2\beta)}\|A^s g\|, \quad g \in D(A^s).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|(h(z)I + A^s)^{-1}\| \leq M/|h(z)|, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta},$$

and we deduce that

$$\|H(z)\| \leq cM \min\{|z|^{-1}, |z|^{\beta-1}\} \quad z \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta}.$$

In view of [25, Theorem 2.1], there exists a unique solution  $u$  which is given by

$$u(t) = S(t)g, \quad g \in H.$$

Now, let  $\kappa = 1/t$  for  $t > 0$ . For  $\nu = 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , from  $\|H(z)\| \leq c/|z|$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^{(m)}(t)\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}} z^m e^{zt} H(z) dz \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}} |z|^m e^{\operatorname{Re}(z)t} \|H(z)\| |dz| \\ &\leq c \left( \int_{1/t}^{\infty} r^{m-1} e^{-rt \cos(\theta)} dr + \int_{-\pi+\theta}^{\pi-\theta} e^{\cos(\psi)} t^{-m} dt \right) \leq ct^{-m}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\nu = 1$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we note that

$$A^s H(z) = \mu^{-1} z^{\alpha-1} - h(z)H(z),$$

it follows that

$$\|A^s H(z)\| \leq \mu^{-1} |z|^{\alpha-1} + \|h(z)H(z)\| \leq c|z|^{\alpha-1}.$$

We thus obtain that

$$\|A^s S^{(m)}(t)\| = \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}} z^m e^{zt} A^s H(z) dz \right\|$$

$$\leq c \left( \int_{1/t}^{\infty} r^{m+\alpha-1} e^{-rt \cos(\theta)} dr + \int_{-\pi+\theta}^{\pi-\theta} e^{\cos(\psi)} t^{-m-\alpha} dt \right) \leq ct^{-m-\alpha}.$$

For  $\nu = 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(m)}(t)g &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}} z^m e^{zt} H(z) g dz \\ &= \frac{\mu}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}} z^{m+\alpha-1} h(z)^{-1} e^{zt} g dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}} z^m h(z)^{-1} e^{zt} H(z) A^s g dz, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$h(z) A^{-s} (h(z) + A^s)^{-1} = A^{-s} - (h(z) + A^s)^{-1}.$$

Observe that

$$\frac{\mu}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}} z^{m-1} h(z)^{-1} e^{zt} g dz = 0,$$

for  $m \geq 1$ , and then

$$\frac{\mu}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}} z^{m+\alpha-1} h(z)^{-1} e^{zt} g dz = \frac{\mu}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}} z^{m+\beta-1} (z^\beta + \lambda)^{-1} e^{zt} g dz = 0,$$

since  $|z^\beta + \lambda| > c|z|^\beta$  from Lemma 3.1, and there is no singular point at counter  $\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}$ .

It follows from  $|h(z)|^{-1} \|H(z)\| \leq c|z|^{2\beta-\alpha-1}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S^{(m)}(t)g\| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{1/t, \pi-\theta}} |z|^m |h(z)|^{-1} e^{\operatorname{Re}(z)t} \|H(z)\| |dz| \|A^s g\| \\ &\leq c \left( \int_{1/t}^{\infty} r^{m+2\beta-\alpha-1} e^{-rt \cos(\theta)} dr + \int_{-\pi+\theta}^{\pi-\theta} e^{\cos(\psi)} t^{-m+\alpha-2\beta} dt \right) \|A^s g\| \\ &\leq ct^{-m+\alpha-2\beta} \|A^s g\|. \end{aligned}$$

The same way with  $\nu = 1$  replaced  $g$  by  $A^s g$  in the case  $\nu = 0$ .

□

In particular, from the interpolation property, we have

$$\|A^{s\vartheta} H(z)\| \leq c|z|^{\alpha\vartheta-1}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta}, \quad \vartheta \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.2)$$

Hence, we also have the following estimate.

**Remark 3.1.** For any  $g \in H$ , and  $f \equiv 0$  and  $\gamma \equiv 0$ , the solution  $u = S(t)g$  to problem (1.1) satisfies

$$\|S^{(m)}(t)g\|_{\dot{H}^q(D)} \leq ct^{-m-\alpha(q-p)/2} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^p(D)}$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$  whenever  $m = 0$  or  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$  whenever  $m \geq 1$ .

**Remark 3.2.** For  $\nu = 1$  and  $m = 0$ , we know that the bounded in Theorem 3.1 is sharp for  $t > 0$  since bound  $\|A^s H(z)\| \leq c|z|^{\alpha-1}$ , that is

$$\|A^s S(t)g\| \leq ct^{-\alpha}\|g\|, \quad g \in H, \quad t > 0.$$

On the other hand, a similar proof in Theorem 3.1 shows from  $\mathcal{L}(k_\varsigma * S'(t))(z) = z^{1-\varsigma} H(z)$  the Laplace transform of  $k_\varsigma * S'(t)$  that  $\|k_\varsigma * A^{s\nu} S'(t)\| \leq ct^{\varsigma-1-\nu\alpha}$ ,  $t > 0$ , for  $\varsigma = \beta$ ,  $\nu = 0$  and  $\varsigma = \alpha$ ,  $\nu = 1$ , where  $*$  stands for the convolution in  $t$ . This bound together with  $\nu = 0$  and  $m = 1$ , the derivative

$$k_\varsigma * A^{s\nu} S'(t)g = d/dt k_\varsigma * A^{s\nu} S(t)g - k_\varsigma(t)g,$$

imply a priori estimate for the solution under the  $f \equiv 0$  and  $\gamma \equiv 0$  as follows

$$t\|\partial_t u\| + t^{1-\beta}\|\partial_t^{1-\beta} u\| + t^{1-\alpha}\|\partial_t^{1-\alpha} u\|_{\dot{H}^s(D)} \leq c\|g\|, \quad \text{for large } t > 0.$$

### 3.3 Eigenfunction expansion of solution

By using eigenfunction expansion, the solution of problem (1.1) can rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &= \int_D F(t, x, y)g(y)dy + \int_0^t \int_D F(t-\tau, x, y)f(u)d\tau dy \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_D F(t-\tau, x, y)\gamma(\tau)\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, d\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where  $F(t, x, y)$  is defined by

$$F(t, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(t)e_k(x)e_k(y),$$

and

$$u_k(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}} e^{zt} \mu^{-1} z^{\alpha-1} (h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1} dz,$$

is the unique solution to the following equation:

$$u'_k(t) + \lambda \partial_t^{1-\beta} u_k(t) + \mu \rho_k^s \partial_t^{1-\alpha} u_k(t) = 0, \quad u_k(0) = 1.$$

For a continuous function  $u(t)$  for  $t > 0$  with finite  $u(0)$ , from the Laplace transform of fractional derivative

$$\mathcal{L}(\partial_t^{1-\varsigma} u)(z) = z^{1-\varsigma} \hat{u}(z),$$

for  $\varsigma = \beta, \alpha$ , we get

$$\hat{u}_k(z) = \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{z^\alpha + \lambda z^{\alpha-\beta} + \mu \rho_k^s}.$$

In view of the inverse Laplace transform, we let

$$u_k(t) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{Br} e^{zt} \frac{z^{\alpha-1}}{z^\alpha + \lambda z^{\alpha-\beta} + \mu \rho_j^s} dz,$$

where  $Br = \{z : \operatorname{Re} z = \sigma, \sigma > 0\}$  is the Bromwich path.

To obtain the integral representation of  $u_k$ , we can transform the Bromwich path of integration  $Br$  into the equivalent Hankel path  $Ha$ . Note that the integrand function in the integral has a branch point at zero; thus, we cut off the negative part of the real axis. Furthermore, let  $z = re^{i\theta}$ , for  $r > 0, \theta \in (\alpha\omega, \pi)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Im\{z^{1-\alpha}(z^\alpha + \lambda z^{\alpha-\beta} + \mu \rho_j^s)\} \\ &= r \sin(\theta) + \lambda r^{1-\beta} \sin((1-\beta)\theta) + \mu \rho_k r^{1-\alpha} \sin((1-\alpha)\theta) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $\theta \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ , and  $\sin(\alpha\theta)$ ,  $\sin((\alpha-\beta)\theta)$  and  $\sin((1-\alpha)\theta)$  have the same sign, this allows  $z^\alpha + \lambda z^{\alpha-\beta} + \mu \rho_j^s$  admitting no zero in the main sheet of the Riemann surface including its boundaries on the cut. Consequently, the function  $u_k(t)$  can be determined by deforming the Bromwich path into the Hankel path  $Ha(\varepsilon)$ . This path starts from  $-\infty$  along the lower side of the negative real axis, encircles the disk  $|z| = \varepsilon$  counterclockwise, and ends at  $-\infty$  along the upper side of the negative real axis. As  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_k(t) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} r^{\alpha-1} \frac{a(r) \sin(\alpha\pi) - b(r) \cos(\alpha\pi)}{a^2(r) + b^2(r)} dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} r^{\alpha-1} K_k(r) dr, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_k(r) = \frac{\lambda r^{\alpha-\beta} \sin(\beta\pi) + \mu \rho_k^s \sin(\alpha\pi)}{a^2(r) + b^2(r)} > 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a(r) &= r^\alpha \cos(\alpha\pi) + \lambda r^{\alpha-\beta} \cos((\alpha-\beta)\pi) + \mu \rho_k^s, \\ b(r) &= r^\alpha \sin(\alpha\pi) + \lambda r^{\alpha-\beta} \sin((\alpha-\beta)\pi). \end{aligned}$$

By applying the Laplace transform properties to  $\hat{u}_k$ , we obtain

$$u_k(0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} z \hat{u}_k(z) = 1.$$

Recall that a function  $u(t)$  is said to be completely monotone if and only if

$$(-1)^n u^{(n)}(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *For  $t \geq 0$ , the functions  $u_k(t)$  are continuous for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , and they possess the properties:*

- (i) For all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $0 < u_k(t) \leq u_k(0) = 1$ .
- (ii)  $u_k(t)$  is completely monotone for all  $t \geq 0$ .
- (iii) For all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ , there exist constants  $c_2 \geq 1, c_1 > 0$  such that

$$c_1 e^{-t} \leq \rho_k^s u_k(t) \leq \frac{c_2 \rho_k^s}{1 + \lambda t^\beta + \rho_k^s t^\alpha}.$$

- (iv) For all  $0 < \sigma < t < \infty$ ,  $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$  there holds  $|u'_k(t)| \leq c \rho_k^{-s\vartheta} t^{-\alpha\vartheta-1}$ . Moreover, for  $h \in (0, t)$ ,  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ , it holds

$$|u_k(t) - u_k(t-h)| \leq c \rho_k^{-s\vartheta} h^\xi (t-h)^{-\xi-\alpha\vartheta}.$$

*Proof.* From the definition of  $u_k(t)$  with Hankel path,  $u_k(t)$  is completely monotone for  $t > 0$  obviously, and then  $u_k(t)$  is strictly monotonically decreasing for  $t \geq 0$  and for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , which means that  $0 < u_k(t) \leq u_k(0)$ . Hence, the first assertion follows from the property of the Laplace transform.

The integrated  $K_k(r)$  is positive and bounded for all  $r \geq 0$ . Indeed, according to

$$a(r) \sin(\alpha\pi) + b(r) \cos(\alpha\pi) = \lambda r^{\alpha-\beta} \sin(\beta\pi) + \sin(\alpha\pi) \mu \rho_k^s > 0,$$

we can assert that

$$(\lambda r^{\alpha-\beta} \sin(\beta\pi) + \sin(\alpha\pi) \mu \rho_k^s)^2 \leq a^2(r) + b^2(r),$$

by applying to the definition of  $K_k$ . We thus deduce that

$$0 < K_k(r) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda r^{\alpha-\beta} \sin(\beta\pi) + \sin(\alpha\pi) \mu \rho_k^s}.$$

For all  $t > 0$ , still by applying the above estimate we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_k(t) &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} r^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\lambda r^{\alpha-\beta} \sin(\beta\pi) + \sin(\alpha\pi) \mu \rho_k^s} dr \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\lambda \pi \sin(\beta\pi)} t^{-\beta}, \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\sin(\alpha\pi) \pi \mu \rho_k^s} t^{-\alpha} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, together with  $0 < u_k(t) \leq 1$  imply

$$0 < u_k(t) \leq \frac{c_2}{1 + \lambda t^\beta + \rho_k^s t^\alpha}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, we get

$$a^2(r) + b^2(r) \leq 3(r^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 r^{2(\alpha-\beta)} + \mu^2 \rho_k^{2s}).$$

The left hand side estimate of  $u_k$  can reads

$$\begin{aligned} u_k(t) &\geq \frac{1}{3\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} r^{\alpha-1} \frac{\mu \rho_k^s \sin(\alpha\pi)}{r^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 r^{2(\alpha-\beta)} + \mu^2 \rho_j^2} dr \\ &\geq \frac{\sin(\alpha\pi)}{3\mu \rho_k^s \pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} r^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 r^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}{\mu^2 \rho_1^{2s}} + 1} dr \geq \frac{c_1}{\rho_k^s} e^{-t}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-rt} r^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\frac{r^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 r^{2(\alpha-\beta)}}{\mu^2 \rho_1^{2s}} + 1} dr \geq e^{-t} \int_0^1 \frac{\mu^2 \rho_1^2}{r^{2\alpha} + \lambda^2 r^{2(\alpha-\beta)} + \mu^2 \rho_1^{2s}} dr =: \frac{3c_1 \mu \pi \rho_k^s}{\sin(\alpha\pi)} e^{-t}.$$

Then the third assertion follows. By the interpolation from (3.2), we get

$$|(h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \leq c \rho_k^{-s\vartheta} |z|^{-\alpha(1-\vartheta)}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta}, \quad \vartheta \in [0, 1], \quad (3.3)$$

this together with

$$u'_k(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}} e^{zt} \mu^{-1} z^\alpha (h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1} dz,$$

imply that for  $t > 0$

$$|u'_k(t)| \leq c \rho_k^{-s\vartheta} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}} |e^{zt}| |z|^{\alpha\vartheta} |dz| \leq c \rho_k^{-s\vartheta} t^{-\alpha\vartheta-1}.$$

Moreover, by using the fact  $|e^{zh} - 1| \leq ch^\xi |z|^\xi$  for  $z \in \Sigma_{\pi-\theta}$ ,  $\xi \in [0, 1]$ , for  $h \in (0, t)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} |u_k(t) - u_k(t-h)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi\mu} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \theta}} |e^{z(t-h)}| |e^{zh} - 1| |z|^{\alpha-1} (h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1} |dz| \\ &\leq c \rho_k^{-s\vartheta} h^\xi \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}} |e^{z(t-h)}| |z|^{\xi+\alpha\vartheta-1} |dz| \leq c \rho_k^{-s\vartheta} h^\xi (t-h)^{-\xi-\alpha\vartheta}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (iv) follows. The proof is completed.  $\square$

By an abstraction process in  $x$  for

$$S(t)u(x) = \int_D F(t, x, y)u(y)dy,$$

the solution can also be written as

$$u(t) = S(t)g + \int_0^t S(t-\tau) f(u)d\tau + \int_0^t \int_D F(t-\tau, x, y) \gamma(\tau) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, d\tau).$$

### 3.4 Existence and uniqueness

Suppose that nonlinear  $f$  possesses the following assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u)\| &\leq c(1 + \|u\|), \\ \|f(u) - f(v)\| &\leq c\|u - v\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The next lemma is the Itô isometry of fractional Brownian sheet noise on one dimension.

**Lemma 3.3.** [1] Let  $r_1(x, t) = r_{1,1}(x)r_{1,2}(t)$  and  $r_2(x, t) = r_{2,1}(x)r_{2,2}(t)$  satisfying  $r_{i,1}(x) \in H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)$  and  $r_{i,2}(t) \in H_0^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}(0, T)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{i=1}^2 \int_0^T \int_D r_i(x, t) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dx, dt) \right) = (L_{H_1, x} r_{1,1}(x), r_{2,1}(x))_{\mathbb{R}} (L_{H_2, t} r_{1,2}(t), r_{2,2}(t))_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where

$$L_{H_1, x} u(x) = \begin{cases} c_{H_1} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{2-2H_1}} d\tau, & H_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ u(x), & H_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$L_{H_2, t} u(t) = \begin{cases} c_{H_2} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(t) - u(\tau)}{|t - \tau|^{2-2H_2}} d\tau, & H_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{2}), \\ u(t), & H_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \end{cases}$$

for  $c_{H_i} = H_i(1 - 2H_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and  $P.V.$  stands the principal value integral.

**Lemma 3.4.** [23] Let  $r_1(x, t) = r_{1,1}(x)r_{1,2}(t)$  and  $r_2(x, t) = r_{2,1}(x)r_{2,2}(t)$  satisfying  $r_{i,1}(x) \in H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)$  and  $r_{i,2}(t) \in H_0^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}(0, T)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then,

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \prod_{i=1}^2 \int_0^T \int_D r_i(x, t) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dx, dt) \right) \leq c \prod_{i=1}^2 \|\partial_t^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} r_{i,2}(t)\|_{L^2(0, T)} \|r_{i,1}(x)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}.$$

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$  and  $\varsigma \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\varsigma + \alpha\vartheta < 1/2$ , then for every  $t \in (0, T]$

$$\left\| \partial_v^\varsigma \rho_k^{s\vartheta} u_k(t - v) \gamma(v) \right\|_{L^2(0, t)} \leq c.$$

*Proof.* The  $\gamma \in C^1(I)$  with  $\gamma(0) = 0$  implies that

$$\int_0^t |\partial_\tau^\varsigma u_k(t - \tau) \gamma(\tau)|^2 ds = \int_0^t |I_\tau^{1-\varsigma}(-u'_k(t - \tau) \gamma(\tau) + u_k(t - \tau) \gamma'(\tau))|^2 d\tau.$$

By (3.3),  $|u'_k(t)| \leq c \rho_k^{-s\vartheta} (t)^{-\alpha\vartheta-1}$  for all  $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $t > 0$ , let

$$u_{tk}(\tau) = -u'_k(t - \tau) \gamma(\tau) + u_k(t - \tau) \gamma'(\tau),$$

a.e.  $\tau \in [0, t]$ , together with  $|u_k(t)| \leq c \rho_k^{-s\vartheta} (t)^{-\alpha\vartheta}$  by interpolation, and taking  $\gamma_\infty = \max_{t \in I} (|\gamma(t)| + |\gamma'(t)|)$ , imply

$$|u_{tk}| \leq c \rho_k^{-s\vartheta} (t - \tau)^{-\alpha\vartheta-1}, \quad \text{for } t > \tau.$$

It follows that

$$\int_0^t |I_\tau^{1-\varsigma} u_{tk}(\tau)|^2 d\tau \leq c^2 \rho_k^{-2s\vartheta} \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\tau k_{1-\varsigma}(\tau - v) (t - v)^{-\alpha\vartheta-1} dv \right)^2 d\tau,$$

therefore the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Fubini theorem show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \left( \int_0^\tau k_{1-\varsigma}(\tau-v)(t-v)^{-\alpha\vartheta-1} dv \right)^2 d\tau &\leq c \int_0^t \int_v^t k_{1-\varsigma}^2(\tau-v)(t-v)^{-\alpha\vartheta-1}(t-\tau)^{-\alpha\vartheta} d\tau dv \\ &\leq ct^{1-2(\varsigma-\alpha\vartheta)}, \end{aligned}$$

in view of  $\varsigma + \alpha\vartheta < 1/2$  for some  $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$ , where the constant  $c$  is independent of  $k$ . Therefore, the desired estimate holds. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** *From Lemma 3.5, we know that for every  $t \in (0, T]$  and  $\varsigma \in (0, 1/2)$ ,  $I_\tau^{1-\varsigma} u_{tk}(\tau)$  belongs to  $L^2(0, t)$ . According to [15, Lemma 2.5], since  $y_{tk}(\tau) := u_k(t-\tau)\gamma(\tau)$  for  $\tau \in [0, t]$  and  $y_{tk}(\tau) \in L^2(0, t)$ , it follows that  $\partial_\tau^\varsigma y_{tk}(\tau)$  is an element of  $H^\varsigma(0, t)$ . Additionally, the norm in the space  $H^\varsigma(0, t)$  is given by*

$$\|y_{tk}(\tau)\|_{H^\varsigma(0, t)} = \|\partial_\tau^\varsigma y_{tk}(\tau)\|_{L^2(0, t)}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f$  satisfy the assumptions (3.4), and  $2sH_2/\alpha + H_1 - 1 > 0$ , then there is a unique solution  $u$  on  $C(I; L^2(D, H))$ .*

*Proof.* Let us introduce an operator  $P$  on  $C(I; L^2(D, H))$  with norm

$$\|u\|_c = \sup_{t \in I} (\mathbb{E}\|u(t)\|^2)^{1/2},$$

defined by

$$Pu(t) = S(t)g + \int_0^t S(t-s)f(u)ds + \int_0^t \int_D F(t-s, x, y)\gamma(s)\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, ds).$$

Theorem 3.1 shows that  $Pu \in C(I; L^2(D, H))$ . By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|Pu(t)\|^2 &\leq 3\mathbb{E}\|S(t)g\|^2 + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t S(t-\tau)f(u)d\tau \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t \int_D F(t-\tau, x, y)\gamma(\tau)\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, d\tau) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 3c^2\mathbb{E}\|g\|^2 + 3c^2t \int_0^t (1 + \mathbb{E}\|u(\tau)\|^2)d\tau + 3Q. \end{aligned}$$

As for the estimate  $Q$ , by Lemma 3.4, we have

$$Q \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left| \partial_\tau^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} u_k(t-\tau)\gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \|e_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \|e_k(x)\|^2.$$

Together Lemma 3.5 and (3.3) imply

$$\int_0^t \left| \partial_\tau^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} u_k(t-\tau)\gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \leq c\rho_k^{-2s\vartheta},$$

for replacing  $\varsigma = \frac{1-2H_2}{2}$  and requiring  $H_2 > \alpha\vartheta$ . Since the  $k$ -th eigenvalue of the Dirichlet boundary problem for the Laplace operator  $A = -\Delta$  in bounded domain  $D = (0, l)$  is  $\rho_k \simeq k^2$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , it follows that

$$Q \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{-2s\vartheta + \frac{1-2H_1}{2}} < \infty,$$

where we need to require  $2s\vartheta > 1 - H_1$  such that  $2s\vartheta = 1 - H_1 + \epsilon$  with small  $\epsilon \in (0, 2s\vartheta + H_1 - 1)$  for some  $\vartheta \in (\frac{1-H_1}{2s}, \frac{H_2}{\alpha}) \cap [0, 1]$ .

Based on the above estimates, we have verified that  $\sup_{t \in I} \mathbb{E} \|Pu(t)\| < +\infty$  for any  $u \in C(I, L^2(D, H))$ . We now proceed to verify that  $P$  has a fixed point. For any  $u_1, u_2 \in C(I, L^2(D, H))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|Pu_1(t) - Pu_2(t)\|^2 &\leq t \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|S(t-\tau)(f(u_1) - f(u_2))\|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq c^2 t \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq c^2 t^2 \|u_1 - u_2\|_c^2. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, it is easy to get

$$\mathbb{E} \|P^n u_1(t) - P^n u_2(t)\|^2 \leq \frac{c^{2n} t^{2n}}{(2n+1)!!} \|u_1 - u_2\|_c^2.$$

Since  $(2n+1)!!$  is faster growth than  $c^{2n} T^{2n}$  for  $1 \ll n$ , there is a  $\hat{n}$  such that  $\frac{c^{2\hat{n}} T^{2\hat{n}}}{(2\hat{n}+1)!!} := \sigma^2 < 1$ , it holds  $\|P^n u_1 - P^n u_2\|_c \leq \sigma \|u_1 - u_2\|_c$ . The contractility of  $P^{\hat{n}}$  follows, and then  $P^{\hat{n}}$  has a unique fixed point  $u_*$  belonging to  $C(I, L^2(D, H))$ . By virtue of  $P^{\hat{n}} P = P^{\hat{n}+1} = P P^{\hat{n}}$ , one see that  $P^{\hat{n}}(Pu_*) = P(P^{\hat{n}} u_*) = Pu_*$ , which deduces that  $Pu_*$  is a fixed point of  $P^{\hat{n}}$ , thus the uniqueness of fixed point implies that  $Pu_* = u_*$ , this shows that  $u_*$  ia also a fixed point of  $P$ , which is the solution to problem (1.1). The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3.5 Spatial and temporal regularities

We now show the spatial regularity estimate of solution.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $u$  be the solution to problem (1.1),  $g \in \dot{H}^\sigma(D)$  and  $f$  satisfy the assumptions (3.4). Let  $s > \frac{1-H_1}{2}$ ,  $2sH_2/\alpha + H_1 - 1 > 0$  and  $2\sigma \in [0, \min\{2s + H_1 - 1, 2sH_2/\alpha + H_1 - 1\}]$ , then*

$$\mathbb{E} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(D)}^2 \leq c.$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(D)}^2 \leq 3\mathbb{E} \|S(t)g\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(D)}^2 + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t S(t-\tau) f(u) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(D)}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t \int_D F(t-\tau, x, y) \gamma(\tau) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, d\tau) \right\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(D)}^2 \\
& \leq 3Q_1 + 3Q_2 + 3Q_3.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $Q_1$ , we note that  $|u_k(t)| \leq 1$  and then

$$Q_1 = \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{2\sigma} |u_k(t)|^2 |g_k|^2 \right) \leq \mathbb{E} \|g\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(D)}^2.$$

By (3.3) and the assumption of  $f$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2 & \leq \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}} e^{zt} \rho_k^\sigma \hat{u}_k(z) \hat{f}(u) dz \right\|^2 \leq c \left( \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{\alpha\sigma}{s}} \mathbb{E} \|f(u(\tau))\| d\tau \right)^2 \\
& \leq c \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{2\alpha\sigma}{s}+1-\epsilon} (1 + \mathbb{E} \|u(\tau)\|^2) d\tau \\
& \leq c \left( 1 + \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{-\frac{2\alpha\sigma}{s}+1-\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \|u(\tau)\|^2 d\tau \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the Laplace transform of  $f$ , we also require  $-2\alpha\sigma/s + 1 > -1$  for  $\sigma \leq s$ , that is  $\sigma < s/\alpha$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, 2(1 - \alpha\sigma)/s)$ .

To estimate  $Q_3$ , we first have for any  $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_3 & \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left| \partial_\tau^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^\sigma u_k(t-\tau) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \|e_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \|e_k(x)\|^2 \\
& \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left| \partial_\tau^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\sigma + \frac{1-2H_1}{4}} u_k(t-\tau) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \\
& \leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{-2s\vartheta + 2\sigma + \frac{1-2H_1}{2}} \int_0^t \left| \partial_\tau^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{s\vartheta} u_k(t-\tau) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 3.5 by replacing  $\varsigma = \frac{1-2H_2}{2}$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{-2s\vartheta + 2\sigma + \frac{1-2H_1}{2}} < \infty,$$

for  $\vartheta = (\sigma + \frac{1-H_1}{2} + \epsilon)/2s \in (0, 1]$ , where we require  $\alpha(\sigma + \frac{1-H_1}{2})/s < H_2$  and  $\sigma + \frac{1-H_1}{2} < s$ , that is  $2\sigma < \min\{2s + H_1 - 1, \frac{2sH_2}{\alpha} + H_1 - 1\} =: \sigma_M$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, \sigma_M/2 - \sigma)$ . This implies that  $Q_3 \leq c$ . Together the estimates  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3$  and the Gronwall-Henry inequality, the desired result follows from the embedding  $\dot{H}^\sigma(D) \subset H$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.4 and by replacing the condition on  $g$  with  $g \in H$ , the estimate from Lemma 3.2 can imply that  $Q_1 \leq ct^{-2\alpha} \mathbb{E} \|g\|^2$ . This estimate further indicates that there exists a power decay property for nonsmooth data, satisfying  $\|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^\sigma(D)} \leq ct^{-\alpha}$ , for all  $t > 0$ .

We next prove the temporal Hölder regularity estimate of solution.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $u$  be the solution to problem (1.1),  $g \in H$  and  $f$  satisfy the assumptions (3.4). Let  $s > \frac{1-H_1}{2}$ ,  $2sH_2/\alpha + H_1 - 1 > 0$  and  $2\xi \in [0, 2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha/s)$ , then*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{u(t) - u(t-h)}{h^\xi} \right\|^2 \leq c(t-h)^{-2\xi} \mathbb{E} \|g\|^2 + c.$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{u(t) - u(t-h)}{h^\xi} \right\|^2 &\leq 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{h^\xi} (S(t)g - S(t-h)g) \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{h^\xi} \left( \int_0^t S(t-\tau)f(u)d\tau - \int_0^{t-h} S(t-h-\tau)f(u)d\tau \right) \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{h^\xi} \left( \int_0^t \int_D F(t-\tau, x, y) \gamma(\tau) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, d\tau) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_0^{t-h} \int_D F(t-h-\tau, x, y) \gamma(\tau) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, d\tau) \right) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 3J_1 + 3J_2 + 3J_3. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2 (iv) and Theorem 3.1, it follows by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \frac{1}{h^{2\xi}} \mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k(t) - u_k(t-h)|^2 |g_k|^2 \right) \leq c(t-h)^{-2\xi} \mathbb{E} \|g\|^2, \\ J_2 &\leq \frac{1}{h^{2\xi}} \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^{t-h} (S(t-\tau) - S(t-h-\tau))f(u)d\tau + \int_{t-h}^t S(t-\tau)f(u)d\tau \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 2c^2(t-h)^{1-\xi} \int_0^{t-h} (t-h-\tau)^{-\xi} \mathbb{E} \|f(u)\|^2 d\tau + \frac{2c^2h}{h^{2\xi}} \int_{t-h}^t \mathbb{E} \|f(u)\|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq c \int_0^{t-h} (t-h-\tau)^{-\xi} (1 + \mathbb{E} \|u(\tau)\|^2) d\tau + ch^{1-2\xi} \int_{t-h}^t (1 + \mathbb{E} \|u(\tau)\|^2) d\tau \leq c, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{E} \|u(t)\| \leq c$  for all  $t \in I$  from Theorem 3.4. As for  $J_3$ , splitting the proof into two parts

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{h^\xi} \int_0^{t-h} \int_D (F(t-\tau, x, y) - F(t-h-\tau, x, y)) \gamma(\tau) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, d\tau) \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{h^\xi} \int_{t-h}^t \int_D F(t-\tau, x, y) \gamma(\tau) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, d\tau) \right\|^2 \leq 2J_{31} + 2J_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the similar proof in Theorem 3.4 and (3.3) yield

$$\begin{aligned} J_{31} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{1}{h^\xi} \int_0^{t-h} \int_D (F(t-\tau, x, y) - F(t-h-\tau, x, y)) \gamma(\tau) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, d\tau) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c}{h^{2\xi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{t-h} \left| \partial_{\tau}^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (u_k(t-\tau) - u_k(t-h-\tau)) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \|e_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \|e_k(x)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}} \int_0^{t-h} \left| \partial_{\tau}^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (u_k(t-\tau) - u_k(t-h-\tau)) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \\
&\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon} \int_0^{t-h} \left| \partial_{\tau}^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\epsilon} (u_k(t-\tau) - u_k(t-h-\tau)) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 3.2, as the analogous proof in Theorem 3.4, the following estimate holds

$$\int_0^{t-h} \left| \partial_{\tau}^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\epsilon} (u_k(t-\tau) - u_k(t-h-\tau)) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \leq ch^{2\epsilon},$$

for  $\vartheta := (\frac{1-H_1}{2} + \epsilon)/s$  and we require  $2\xi < 2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha/s := \alpha_s$  and  $\frac{1-H_1}{2} < s$  with small  $\epsilon \in (0, s(\alpha_s - 2\xi)/(2s)) \cap [0, 1]$ . Thus, we have  $J_{31} \leq c$  and  $\xi + \alpha\vartheta < H_2$ . Similarly, it follows from the variable substitutions that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{t-h}^t \left| \partial_{\tau}^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{s\vartheta} u_k(t-\tau) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau &\leq c \int_{t-h}^t \int_0^{\tau} k_{H_2+\frac{1}{2}}^2 (\tau-v)(t-v)^{-\alpha\vartheta-1} (t-\tau)^{-\alpha\vartheta} dv d\tau \\
&= c \int_0^h \int_{\tau}^t k_{H_2+\frac{1}{2}}^2 (v-\tau)v^{-\alpha\vartheta-1} \tau^{-\alpha\vartheta} dv d\tau \\
&= c \int_0^h \int_1^{t/\tau} k_{H_2+\frac{1}{2}}^2 (v-1)v^{-\alpha\vartheta-1} \tau^{2(H_2-\alpha\vartheta)-1} dv d\tau \\
&= c \int_0^h \int_0^{t/\tau-1} k_{H_2+\frac{1}{2}}^2 (v)(v+1)^{-\alpha\vartheta-1} \tau^{2(H_2-\alpha\vartheta)-1} dv d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

By using the identity

$$\int_0^{\infty} v^{p-1} (1+v)^{-(p+q)} dv = \frac{\Gamma(p)\Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)}, \quad p, q > 0,$$

with replaced by  $p = 2H_2 + 1$ ,  $q = 1 - \alpha\vartheta$ , we thus get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{32} &\leq \frac{c}{h^{2\xi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{t-h}^t \left| \partial_{\tau}^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1-2H_1}{4}} u_k(t-\tau) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \\
&\leq \frac{c}{h^{2\xi}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{-\frac{1}{2}-2\epsilon} \int_{t-h}^t \left| \partial_{\tau}^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1}{2}+\epsilon} u_k(t-\tau) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \leq ch^{2(H_2-\alpha\vartheta-\xi)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Together above estimates, the desired results are obtained. The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 4 Wong-Zakai approximation

We next consider the case of initial condition  $g \equiv 0$  in problem (1.1). Let  $\tau = T/m$  and  $h = l/m'$ ,  $I_i = (t_i, t_{i+1}]$  and  $D_j = (x_j, x_{j+1}]$  with  $t_i = i\tau$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ,  $x_j = jh$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, m'$ . We denote the Wong-Zakai approximation of  $\xi^{H_1, H_2}(x, t)$  by

$$\xi_W^{H_1, H_2}(x, t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m'-1} \left( \frac{1}{\tau h} \int_{I_i} \int_{D_j} \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv) \right) \chi_{i,j}(x, t),$$

where  $\chi_{i,j}(x, t)$  is the characteristic function on  $I_i \times D_j$ . Let  $u_W(x, t)$  be the solution of the regularized equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_W + \lambda \partial_t^{1-\beta} u_W + \mu \partial_t^{1-\alpha} A^s u_W = f(u_W) + \gamma(t) \xi_W^{H_1, H_2}(x, t), & \text{in } D \times (0, T], \\ u_W = 0, & \text{on } \partial D \times (0, T], \\ u_W = 0, & \text{in } D \times \{t = 0\}, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

By a direct calculation, we have

$$u_W(x, t) = \int_0^t S(t-v) f(u_W) dv + \int_0^t \int_D F_W(t, v, x, y) \xi_W^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv),$$

where

$$F_W(t, v, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_{W,k}(t, v) e_k(x) e_{W,k}(y),$$

and

$$u_{W,k}(t, v) = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \chi_{I_i}(v) \int_{I_i} u_k(t-r) \chi_{(0,t)}(t-r) dr, \quad e_{W,k}(y) = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{j=0}^{m'-1} \chi_{I_i}(y) \int_{D_j} e_k(y) dy.$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $u_W$  be the solution to problem (4.1), and  $f$  satisfies (3.4). Let  $s > \frac{1-H_1}{2}$ ,  $2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$  and  $2\sigma \in [0, \min\{2s + H_1 - 1, 2H_2/\alpha + H_1 - 1\}]$ , then*

$$\mathbb{E} \|u_W(t)\|_{H^\sigma(D)}^2 \leq c.$$

*Proof.* From the similar proof in Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \|u_W(t)\|_{H^\sigma(D)}^2 &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t S(t-v) f(u_W) dv \right\|_{H^\sigma(D)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t \int_D F_W(t, v, x, y) \xi_W^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv) \right\|_{H^\sigma(D)}^2 \\ &\leq c \left( 1 + \int_0^t (t-v)^{-2\alpha\sigma/s+1-\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \|u_W(v)\|^2 dv \right) + Q. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $R$ , we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \partial_r^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} u_{W,k}(t, r) \gamma(r) \right\|^2 &\leq \left\| \partial_r^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (u_{W,k}(t, r) \gamma(r) - u_k(t-r) \gamma(r) \chi_{(0,t)}(r)) \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + c \left\| \partial_r^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} u_k(t-r) \gamma(r) \chi_{(0,t)}(r) \right\|^2 \leq \left\| \partial_r^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} u_k(t-r) \gamma(r) \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\|e_{W,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}}^2 \leq 2\|e_{W,k}(y) - e_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}}^2 + 2\|e_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}}^2 \leq c\|e_k(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}}^2.$$

Consequently, the previous proof in Theorem 3.4 shows that  $Q \leq c$ . And then the Gronwall-Henry inequality implies the desired estimate. The proof is complete.  $\square$

In what follows, an error estimate for the Wong-Zakai approximation of  $\xi_W$  are obtained, and the convergence rate of the solution  $u_W$  of (4.1) to the solution  $u$  of (1.1) also established in terms of  $\tau$  and  $h$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $u$  and  $u_W$  be the solution to problem (1.1) and (4.1), respectively. Let  $f$  satisfies (3.4), and let  $s > \frac{1-H_1}{2}$ ,  $2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$  and  $2\sigma \in [0, \min\{1 - 2H_1, 4sH_2/\alpha - 1, (1 + \epsilon)/2\}]$ , then*

$$\mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_W(t)\|^2 \leq c(h^{2\sigma+2H_1-1} + h^{2H_1-1}\tau^{2H_2-\frac{\alpha}{2s}-\epsilon}).$$

*Proof.* We first have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_W(t)\|^2 &\leq 2\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t S(t-v)(f(u) - f(u_W))dv\right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^t \int_D (F(t, v, x, y) - F_W(t, v, x, y))\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv)\right\|^2 \leq R_1 + R_2. \end{aligned}$$

The assumptions of  $f$  and  $\|S(t)v\| \leq \|v\|$  imply

$$R_1 \leq t \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|f(u) - f(u_W)\|^2 dv \leq c^2 t \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|u(v) - u_W(v)\|^2 dv.$$

To estimate  $R_2$ , we observe the identity

$$\begin{aligned} u_k(t-v)e_k(y) - u_{W,k}(t, v)e_{W,k}(y) &= u_k(t-v)e_k(y) - u_k(t-v)e_{W,k}(y) \\ &\quad + u_k(t-v)e_{W,k}(y) - u_{W,k}(t, v)e_{W,k}(y), \end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 3.1, it yields

$$\begin{aligned} R_2 &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} u_k(t-v)\gamma(v) \right\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 \|e_k(y) - e_{W,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}}^2 \\ &\quad + c \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (u_k(t-v) - u_{W,k}(t, v))\gamma(v) \right\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 \|e_{W,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}}^2 \leq R_{21} + R_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Since from the approximation theory

$$\|e_k(y) - e_{W,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}}^2 \leq ch^{2\sigma+2H_1-1}\rho_k^\sigma,$$

for  $2\sigma + 2H_1 - 1 > 0$ , it yields

$$R_{21} \leq ch^{2\sigma+2H_1-1} \left\| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1+2\epsilon+2\sigma}{4}} u_k(t-v)\gamma(v) \right\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2 \leq ch^{2\sigma+2H_1-1},$$

where we need  $2\sigma < \min\{4sH_2/\alpha - 1 := \alpha_{s2}, (1 + \epsilon)/2\}$  and choosing small  $\epsilon \in (0, \alpha_{s2}/2 - \sigma)$ . To estimate  $R_{22}$ , by using the inverse estimate and the projection theorem, by  $\gamma \in C^1(I)$  and Remark 3.3 we have

$$R_{22} \leq ch^{2H_1-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{-\frac{1-4\epsilon}{2}} \left\| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1+4\epsilon}{4}} (u_k(t-v) - u_{W,k}(t, v))\gamma(v) \right\|_{L^2(0,t)}^2$$

$$\leq ch^{2H_1-1}\tau^{2H_2-\frac{\alpha}{2s}-\epsilon} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{-\frac{1-4\epsilon}{2}} \left\| \partial_v^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2}-\frac{\alpha}{4s}} \rho_k^{\frac{1+4\epsilon}{4}} u_k(v) \right\|^2 \leq ch^{2H_1-1}\tau^{2H_2-\frac{\alpha}{2s}-\epsilon},$$

for some small  $\epsilon > 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5 Spatial/temporal discretizations and error analysis

In this section, we delve into the spatial and temporal discretizations, as well as the associated error analysis.

### 5.1 Spatial discretization

To discretize the fractional Laplacian, we use a spectral Galerkin method, now introducing a finite-dimensional subspace of  $H$  by  $H_N = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N\}$  for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and the projection operator  $P_N$  by

$$(P_N \varphi, v_N) = (\varphi, v_N), \quad \forall v_N \in H_N.$$

By a simple calculation, it deduces that

$$P_N u = \sum_{k=1}^N (u, e_k) e_k, \quad \forall u \in H.$$

Upon introducing the discrete fractional Laplacian  $A_N^s : H_N \rightarrow H_N$  by

$$(A_N^s u_N, v_N) = (A^s u, v_N), \quad \forall u_N, v_N \in H_N,$$

which leads to

$$A_N^s u_N = A_N^s P_N u_N = P_N A_N^s u_N = \sum_{k=1}^N \rho_k^s (u_N, e_k) e_k, \quad \forall u \in H.$$

We rewrite the spatially discrete regularized problem (4.1) as to find  $u \in H_N$  such that

$$\partial_t u_N + \lambda \partial_t^{1-\beta} u_N + \mu \partial_t^{1-\alpha} A_N^s u_N = P_N f(u_N) + \gamma(t) P_N \xi_W^{H_1, H_2}(x, t), \quad (5.1)$$

with  $u_N(0) = 0$ . Accordingly, we introduce an operator  $S_N(t)$  by its Laplace transform

$$\hat{S}_N(z) = \mu^{-1} z^{\alpha-1} (h(z) + A_N^s)^{-1}, \quad h(z) = \mu^{-1} z^\alpha (1 + \lambda z^{-\beta}),$$

with its inverse Laplace transform showing

$$S_N(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \theta}} e^{zt} \mu^{-1} z^{\alpha-1} (h(z) + A_N^s)^{-1} dz. \quad (5.2)$$

Furthermore, the discrete norm  $\|\cdot\|_{*H_0^s}$  on the space  $H_N$  for any  $s \geq 0$

$$\|\varphi\|_{*H_0^s(D)} = \sum_{k=1}^N \rho_k^s (\varphi, e_k)^2, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_N.$$

**Remark 5.1.** Similarly to that of Theorem 3.1, let  $S_N(t)$  be defined in (5.2) and  $v_N \in H_N$ , then the stability of the operator  $S_N(t)$  is given as follows

$$\|S_N^{(m)}(t)v_N\|_{*\dot{H}^q(D)} \leq ct^{-m-\alpha(q-p)/2}\|v_N\|_{*\dot{H}^p(D)},$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$  whenever  $m = 0$  or  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$  whenever  $m \geq 1$ .

By the definitions of  $P_N$  and  $A_N^s$ , the solution  $u_N$  of regularized problem is given by

$$u_N(t) = \int_0^t S_N(t-v)P_N f(u_N)dv + \int_0^t S_N(t-v)\gamma(v)P_N \xi_W^{H_1, H_2}(dv).$$

Let

$$F_{W,N}(t, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^N F_{W,k}(t, x, y),$$

for  $F_{W,k}(t, x, y) = S_N(t)P_N e_k(x) e_{W,k}(y)$ . Then, the solution  $u_N$  can be written as

$$u_N(t) = \int_0^t S_N(t-v)P_N f(u_N)dv + \int_0^t \int_D F_{W,N}(t-v, x, y)\gamma(v)\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv). \quad (5.3)$$

By virtue of the stability of  $P_N$ ,  $\|P_N u\| \leq \|u\|$ , being similar to the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, the following results are easy to obtained.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $u_N$  be the solution to problem (5.1), and  $f$  satisfy the assumptions (3.4). Let  $s > \frac{1-H_1}{2}$ ,  $2sH_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha > 0$  and  $2\sigma \in [0, \min\{2s + H_1 - 1, 2sH_2/\alpha + H_1 - 1\}]$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}\|u_N(t)\|_{*\dot{H}^\sigma(D)}^2 \leq c.$$

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $u_N$  be the solution to problem (5.1), and  $f$  satisfy the assumptions (3.4). Let  $s > \frac{1-H_1}{2}$ ,  $2sH_2/\alpha + H_1 - 1 > 0$  and  $2\xi \in [0, 2H_2 + (H_1 - 1)\alpha/s)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{u_N(t) - u_N(t-h)}{h^\xi} \right\|^2 \leq c.$$

The next lemma shows an error estimate between  $(h(z) + A^s)^{-1}v$  and its discrete form  $(h(z) + A_N^s)^{-1}P_N v$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $v \in H$ , for any  $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $v \in H$ , then

$$\|S(t)v - S_N(t)P_N v\| \leq c(N+1)^{-2s\vartheta} t^{-\alpha\vartheta} \|v\|.$$

*Proof.* By the inverse Laplace transform of  $S(t)$  in (3.1) and  $S_N(t)$  in (5.2), for any given  $v \in H$ , we have

$$\|(S(t) - S_N(t)P_N)v\|^2 \leq c^2 \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |u_k(t)|^2 |v_k|^2.$$

By using the estimate in Lemma 3.2, by interpolation we have

$$\|(S(t) - S_N(t)P_N)v\|^2 \leq c^2 \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{-2s\vartheta} t^{-2\alpha\vartheta} |v_k|^2,$$

this leads that

$$\|S(t) - S_N(t)v\|^2 \leq c \sup_{k \geq N+1} \rho_k^{-2s\vartheta} t^{-2\alpha\vartheta} \|v\|^2$$

Hence the desired estimate is shown.  $\square$

We now state the error estimate.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $u$  and  $u_N$  be the solutions of problem (1.1) and (5.1), respectively. Let  $4sH_2 > \alpha$ , then for  $\vartheta \in (\frac{1}{4s}, \frac{H_2}{\alpha})$ , there holds*

$$\mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u_N(t)\|^2 \leq c(N+1)^{-4s\vartheta} + c(N+1)^{-4\alpha\vartheta+1} h^{2H_1-1}.$$

*Proof.* To estimate the error  $e(t) := u(t) - u_N(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|e(t)\|^2 &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t S(t-v)f(u)dv - \int_0^t S_N(t-v)P_Nf(u_N)dv \right\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t \int_D (F(t-v, x, y) - F_{W,N}(t-v, x, y))\gamma(v)\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv) \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 2Q_1 + 2Q_2. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly Lemma 5.1, to estimate  $Q_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t (S(t-v) - S_N(t-v)P_N)f(u_N)dv \right\|^2 + 2\mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^t S(t-v)(f(u) - f(u_N))dv \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 2c^2(N+1)^{-4s\vartheta} \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t (t-v)^{-2\alpha\vartheta} \|f(u)\| dv \right)^2 + 2c^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|f(u) - f(u_N)\| dv \right)^2 \\ &\leq 2c^2(N+1)^{-4s\vartheta} t \int_0^t (t-v)^{-\alpha\vartheta} (1 + \mathbb{E} \|u(v)\|^2) dv + 2c^2 t \int_0^t \mathbb{E} \|e(v)\|^2 dv \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $Q_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_2 &\leq c \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left| \partial_{\tau}^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} u_k(t-\tau) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \|e_{W,k}(y)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)}^2 \|e_k(x)\|^2 \\ &\leq ch^{2H_1-1} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{-2s\vartheta-\epsilon} \int_0^t \left| \partial_{\tau}^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{s\vartheta+\epsilon/2} u_k(t-\tau) \gamma(\tau) \right|^2 d\tau \\ &\leq c(N+1)^{-4s\vartheta+1} h^{2H_1-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for some small  $\epsilon \in (0, 2s\vartheta - 1/2)$ . We thus get the main result.  $\square$

## 5.2 Temporal discretization

In this subsection, we use the backward Euler (BE) convolution quadrature to discretize the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative.

$$\partial_t^{1-\alpha} v(t_n) \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^{(1-\alpha)} v(t_{n-i}),$$

where

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_i^{(1-\alpha)} \zeta^i = (\delta_{\tau}(\zeta))^{1-\alpha}, \quad \delta_{\tau}(\zeta) = \frac{1-\zeta}{\tau}.$$

The fully discrete scheme of problem (4.1) can be written as

$$\frac{u_N^n - u_N^{n-1}}{\tau} + \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^{(1-\beta)} u^{n-i} + \mu \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^{(1-\beta)} A_N^s u^{n-i} = P_N f(u_N^{n-1}) + P_N \xi_{W,n}^{H_1, H_2}, \quad (5.4)$$

where  $\xi_{W,n}^{H_1, H_2} = \xi_n^{H_1, H_2}(t_n)$ . Let  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(t)$  be defined by  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(t) := f(u_N^{j-1}(t))$  for  $t \in (t_j, t_{j+1}]$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(t) := 0$  for  $t = t_0$ , and  $\mathcal{F}(t) := f(u_N(t))$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_N := P_N \mathcal{F}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_N := P_N \bar{\mathcal{F}}$ , by a transformation,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_N(t_n) e^{-zt_n} = \frac{z}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \widehat{\bar{\mathcal{F}}}_N(z), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \xi_{W,n}^{H_1, H_2} e^{-zt_n} = \frac{z}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \widehat{\xi}_W^{H_1, H_2}(z),$$

where  $\widehat{v}_N$  is also given by

$$\widehat{v}_N(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_N(t_n) z^n.$$

Multiplying  $\zeta^n$  on both sides and summing it from  $n = 1$  to infinity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u_N^n - u_N^{n-1}}{\tau} \zeta^n + \lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^{(1-\beta)} u^{n-i} \zeta^n + \mu \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d_i^{(1-\beta)} A_N^s u^{n-i} \zeta^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_N f(u_N^{n-1}) \zeta^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_N \xi_{W,n}^{H_1, H_2} \zeta^n, \end{aligned}$$

by using the definition of  $d_i^{(1-\varsigma)}$  for  $\varsigma = \beta, \alpha$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\tau}(\zeta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_N^n \zeta^n + \lambda (\delta_{\tau}(\zeta))^{1-\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_N^n \zeta^n + \mu (\delta_{\tau}(\zeta))^{1-\alpha} A_N^s \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_N^n \zeta^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_N f(u_N^{n-1}) \zeta^n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_N \xi_{W,n}^{H_1, H_2} \zeta^n. \end{aligned}$$

By a calculation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_N^n &= \mu^{-1} (\delta_{\tau}(\zeta))^{\alpha-1} (h(\delta_{\tau}(\zeta) + A_N^s))^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_N f(u_N^{n-1}) \zeta^n \\ &+ \mu^{-1} (\delta_{\tau}(\zeta))^{\alpha-1} (h(\delta_{\tau}(\zeta) + A_N^s))^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_N \xi_{W,n}^{H_1, H_2} \zeta^n. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

For any  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ , let

$$\Gamma_{\kappa, \theta}^\tau = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \kappa, |\arg z| \leq \theta\} \cup \left\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \kappa \leq |z| \leq \frac{\pi}{\tau \sin \theta}, |\arg z| = \theta\right\},$$

it is clear that  $\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau \subset \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta} \in \Sigma_{\kappa, \theta}$ , and then

$$u_N^n = \int_0^{t_n} \mathcal{S}_N(t_n - v) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_N(v) dv + \int_0^{t_n} \int_D \mathcal{F}_{W, N}(t_n - v, x, y) \xi_W^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv),$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{W, N}(t, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^N \mathcal{S}_N(t) P_N e_k(x) e_{W, k}(y).$$

Now let  $\theta = \pi/2 - \alpha\omega \in (0, \pi/2)$ , it follows that

$$\mathcal{S}_N(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{zt} \mu^{-1}(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} (h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + A_N^s)^{-1} \frac{z}{e^{z\tau} - 1} dz.$$

In particular, for  $u_N \in H_N$ , we have

$$\mathcal{S}_N(t) u_N = \sum_{k=1}^N u_{k,1}(t) (u_N, e_k) e_k,$$

where

$$u_{k,1}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{zt} \mu^{-1}(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} (h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \lambda_k^s)^{-1} \frac{z}{e^{z\tau} - 1} dz.$$

**Lemma 5.2.** [5] Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\theta \in (\pi/2, \arccot(-2/\pi))$ , where  $\arccot$  means the inverse function of  $\cot$ , and a fixed  $\xi \in (0, 1)$ . Then, when  $z$  lies in the region enclosed by  $\Gamma_\xi^\tau = \{z = -\ln(\xi)/\tau + iy : y \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } |y| \leq \pi/\tau\}$ ,  $\Gamma_{\theta, \kappa}^\tau$ , and the two lines  $\mathbb{R} \pm i\pi/\tau$ , whenever  $0 < \kappa \leq \min(1/T, -\ln(\xi)/\tau)$ ,  $\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})$  and  $(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}) + A)^{-1}$  are both analytic. Additionally, there hold

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}) &\in \Sigma_\theta, \quad c_0|z| \leq |\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})| \leq c_1|z|, \\ |\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}) - z| &\leq c_2 \tau |z|^2, \quad |(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha - z^\alpha| \leq c_3 \tau |z|^{\alpha+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in \Gamma_{\theta, \kappa}^\tau$ , where  $\kappa \in (0, \min(1/T, -\ln(\xi)/\tau))$  and the constants  $c_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, 3$  are independent of  $\tau$ .

Let

$$U_{k,1}(t) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} u_{k,1}(r) dr, \quad t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j),$$

and  $U_{k,1}(t_o) = u_{k,1,o}$ ,  $o = 0, 1, \dots$ . Thus, it follows that

$$U_{k,1,o} = \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t_o}^{t_{o+1}} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{zr} \widehat{u}_{k,1}(z) dz dr = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} \frac{e^{zt_{o+1}} - e^{zt_o}}{z\tau} \widehat{u}_{k,1}(z) dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{zt_o} \mu^{-1}(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} (h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \lambda_k^s)^{-1} dz.$$

By the same manner in [5, Proposition 3.2], we have

$$\sum_{o=1}^{\infty} U_{k,1,o} \zeta^o = \frac{1}{\tau} \mu^{-1}(\delta_\tau(\zeta))^{\alpha-1} (h(\delta_\tau(\zeta)) + \lambda_k^s)^{-1}.$$

Moreover, the Laplace transform shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_{k,1}(z) &= \sum_{o=1}^{\infty} U_{k,1,o} \int_{t_o}^{t_{o+1}} e^{-zt} dt \\ &= \sum_{o=1}^{\infty} U_{k,1,o} e^{-zt_o} \frac{1 - e^{-z\tau}}{z} = (\mu z)^{-1} (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha (h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \lambda_k^s)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let*

$$h_\delta(z, \rho_k^s) := z^{\alpha-1} (h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1} - (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1} (h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1} \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1},$$

then, we have

$$|h_\delta(z, \rho_k^s)| \leq \frac{c\mu|z|^\alpha \tau}{|z|^\alpha + \rho_k^s}, \quad z \in \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau.$$

*Proof.* By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h_\delta(z, \rho_k^s)| &\leq \left| 1 - \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \right| |z^{\alpha-1} (h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \right| |z|^{\alpha-1} |(h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1} - (h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \right| |z^{\alpha-1} - (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1}| |(h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

The Taylor expansion  $\left| 1 - \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \right| \leq c|z\tau|$  and  $|h(z) + \rho_k^s| \geq c\mu^{-1}(|z|^\alpha + \rho_k^s)$ , it follows that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \right| |z^{\alpha-1} (h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \leq \frac{c\mu|z|^\alpha \tau}{|z|^\alpha + \rho_k^s}.$$

In view of Lemma 5.2, form  $\left| \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \right| \leq c$  for  $z \in \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau$ , the second term of above inequality is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \right| |z|^{\alpha-1} |h(z) - (h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})))| |(h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1} (h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \\ &\leq c\tau |z|^\alpha (|h(z)| + |h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))|) |(h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1} (h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \\ &\leq c\tau |z|^\alpha (|(h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| + |(h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}|) \\ &\leq \frac{c\mu|z|^\alpha \tau}{|z|^\alpha + \rho_k^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Sine the angle condition  $\arg(z^\alpha) \leq \alpha(\pi - \theta) < \pi$  and  $\arg(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})^\alpha) \leq \alpha(\pi - \theta) < \pi$  satisfy the requirements in Lemme 5.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{z\tau}{e^{z\tau} - 1} \right| |z^{\alpha-1} - (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{\alpha-1}| |(h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \\ & \leq c(|z^\alpha - (\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha| |z|^{-1} + |z^{-1} - \delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^{-1}| |\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))|^\alpha) |(h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \\ & \leq c\tau |z|^\alpha |(h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \\ & \leq \frac{c\mu |z|^\alpha \tau}{|z|^\alpha + \rho_k^s}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with above arguments, the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let . For any  $\tau < \tau^*$  (the value of  $\tau^*$  depends on  $\rho_k^s$ ), there holds*

$$|\rho_k^{s\vartheta}(\mu z)^{-1}(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha(h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \leq c|z|^{\alpha\vartheta-1}e^{\vartheta\alpha|z|\tau}, \quad z \in \Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta}^\tau,$$

for  $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in \Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta}^\tau$ , the Lemma 4.2 in [22], we have

$$|\rho_k^s z^{-1}(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha((\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \leq c|z|^{\alpha\vartheta-1}e^{\alpha\vartheta|z|\tau}.$$

Moreover, since  $|\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})| \geq \frac{e-1}{\tau}$  and  $|\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})| \leq |z|e^{|z|\tau}$  by [22], let  $\tau$  be small enough to satisfy  $(\frac{e-1}{\tau})^\alpha > 2\rho_k^s$ , from  $|h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))| \geq |\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})|^\alpha$  it follows that

$$|\rho_k^{s\vartheta} \delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})(h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| \leq c|z|^{\alpha\vartheta}e^{\alpha\vartheta|z|\tau}.$$

We thus obtain the desired result.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $u_N(t_n)$  and  $u_N^n$  be the solutions of (5.1) and (5.4), respectively. Let  $s > \frac{1-H_1}{2}$ ,  $2sH_2/\alpha + H_1 - 1 > 0$ , then for small  $\epsilon \in (0, 2H_2 - \alpha(1 - H_1)/s)$  there holds*

$$\mathbb{E}\|u_N(t_n) - u_N^n\|^2 \leq c\tau^{2H_2 + \alpha(H_1 - 1)/s - \epsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $e_N^n = u_N(t_n) - u_N^n$ , from (5.3) and (5.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|e_N^n\|^2 & \leq 3\mathbb{E}\left\| \int_0^{t_n} S_N(t_n - v) \mathcal{F}_N(v) - \mathcal{S}_N(t_n - v) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_N(v) dv \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + 3\mathbb{E}\left\| \int_0^{t_n} \int_D (F_{W,N}(t_n - v, x, y) - \mathcal{F}_{W,N}(t_n - v, x, y)) \gamma(v) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv) \right\|^2 \\ & \quad + 3\mathbb{E}\left\| \int_0^{t_n} \int_D \mathcal{F}_{W,N}(t_n - v, x, y) \gamma(v) (\xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv) - \xi_W^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv)) \right\|^2 \\ & \leq 3F_1 + 3F_2 + 3F_3. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $F_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1 &\leq 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} S_N(t_n - v)(\mathcal{F}_N(v) - \mathcal{F}_N(t_{i-1}))dv \right\|^2 \\
&\quad + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (S_N(t_n - v) - \mathcal{S}_N(t_n - v))\mathcal{F}_N(t_{i-1})dv \right\|^2 \\
&\quad + 3\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \mathcal{S}_N(t_n - v)(\mathcal{F}_N(t_{i-1}) - \bar{\mathcal{F}}_N(t_i))dv \right\|^2 \\
&\leq 3F_{11} + 3F_{12} + 3F_{13}.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.4 shows that

$$F_{11} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \mathbb{E} \|u_N(v) - u_N(t_{i-1})\|^2 dv \leq c\tau^{2H_2+(H_1-1)\alpha/s}.$$

From Lemma 5.3, we note that for any  $v \in H_N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(S_N(v) - \mathcal{S}_N(v))P_N v\|^2 \\
&\leq c \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{zv} \mu^{-1} z^{\alpha-1} (h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1} dz \right|^2 v_k^2 + c \sum_{k=1}^N \left| \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{zv} \mu^{-1} h_\delta(z, \rho_k^s) dz \right|^2 v_k^2 \\
&\leq c \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv} z^{\alpha-1}| (|z|^\alpha + \rho_k^s)^{-1} |dz| \right)^2 v_k^2 \\
&\quad + c\tau^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}| |z|^\alpha (|z|^\alpha + \rho_k^s)^{-1} |dz| \right)^2 v_k^2 \\
&\leq c \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}| |z|^{-1} |dz| \right)^2 v_k^2 + c\tau^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}| |dz| \right)^2 v_k^2 \\
&\leq c \left( \tau^{2-2\epsilon} \left( \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}| |z|^{-\epsilon} |dz| \right)^2 + c\tau^{2-2\epsilon} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}|^2 |z|^{1-2\epsilon} |dz| \right) \|P_N v\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the inequalities  $|z|^\alpha (|z|^\alpha + \rho_k^s)^{-1} \leq 1$ , and

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |z|^{2\epsilon-1} |dz| \leq c \int_{\kappa}^{\frac{\pi}{\sin(\theta)}} r^{2\epsilon-1} dr + \int_{-(\pi-\theta)}^{\pi-\theta} \kappa^{2\epsilon} d\psi \leq c\tau^{-2\epsilon}.$$

Therefore, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, for  $\epsilon < 1/2$ , the estimate of  $F_{12}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{12} &\leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} (t_n - v)^{1-\epsilon} \|S_N(t_n - v) - \mathcal{S}_N(t_n - v)\|^2 \|\mathcal{F}_N(t_{i-1})\|^2 dv \\
&\leq c \int_0^{t_n} (t_n - v)^{1-\epsilon} \|S_N(t_n - v) - \mathcal{S}_N(t_n - v)\|^2 \mathbb{E} \|u(v)\|^2 dv \\
&\leq c\tau^{2-2\epsilon} \int_0^{t_n} v^{1-\epsilon} \left( \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}| |z|^{-\epsilon} |dz| \right)^2 dv
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + c\tau^{2-2\epsilon} \int_0^{t_n} v^{1-\epsilon} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}|^2 |z|^{1-2\epsilon} |dz| \\
& \leq c\tau^{2-2\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate of  $F_{13}$  is given by

$$F_{13} \leq c\tau \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \|u_N(t_i) - u_N^i\|^2 = c\tau \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \|e_N^i\|^2.$$

To estimate  $F_2$ , from Remark 3.3, we first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^{t_n} \left| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{sw}(u_k(t_n-v) - u_{k,1}(t_n-v)) \gamma(v) \right|^2 dv \leq c \|u_{k,2}(t_n-v) \gamma(v)\|_{H^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}(0, t_n)}^2 \\
& \quad + c \|u_{k,2}(t_n-v) - u_{k,1}(t_n-v) \gamma(v)\|_{H^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}(0, t_n)}^2 \\
& \leq c \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}| |z|^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \tilde{u}_{k,2}(z) |dz| \right\|_{L^2(0, t_n)}^2 \\
& \quad + c \left\| \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}| |z|^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} |\tilde{u}_{k,2}(z) - \tilde{u}_{k,1}(z)| |dz| \right\|_{L^2(0, t_n)}^2 \leq F_{21} + F_{22},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{k,2}(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{zt} \rho_k^{sw} \mu^{-1} z^{\alpha-1} (h(z) + \rho_k^s)^{-1} dz, \\
u_{k,2}(t) - u_{k,1}(t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{zt} \rho_k^{sw} \mu^{-1} h_\delta(z, \rho_k^s) dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by  $\rho_k^{sw} |z|^\alpha (|z|^\alpha + \rho_k^s)^{-1} \leq |z|^{\alpha w}$  for  $w \in [0, 1]$ , since  $u_{k,2}(0)$  is finite, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{21} &\leq c \int_0^{t_n} \left( \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}| |z|^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2} + \alpha w - 1} |dz| \right)^2 dv \\
&\leq c \int_0^{t_n} \left( \int_{1/\tau}^\infty e^{r \cos(\pi-\theta)v} r^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2} + \alpha w - 1} dr \right)^2 dv \\
&\leq c \int_0^{t_n} \left( \tau^{H_2 - \alpha w - \frac{\epsilon}{2}} v^{\frac{\epsilon-1}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-2r \cos(\theta)v} r^{-\frac{\epsilon+1}{2}} dr \right)^2 dv \\
&\leq c\tau^{2(H_2 - \alpha w) - \epsilon},
\end{aligned}$$

for requiring  $\alpha w < H_2$  and  $\epsilon/2 \in (0, H_2 - \alpha w)$ . Similarly, by using

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}|^2 |z|^\zeta |dz| &\leq c \int_{\kappa}^{\frac{\pi}{\sin(\theta)}} e^{-2r \cos(\theta)v} r^\zeta dr + c \int_{-(\pi-\theta)}^{\pi-\theta} e^{2\kappa \cos(\psi)v} \kappa^{\zeta+1} d\psi \\
&\leq c\tau^{-\zeta-\epsilon} \left( \int_{\kappa v}^{\frac{\pi v}{\sin(\theta)}} e^{-2r \cos(\theta)v} r^{-\epsilon} dr + c e^{2\kappa v} \kappa^{1-\epsilon} \right) \\
&\leq c\tau^{-\zeta-\epsilon} v^{\epsilon-1},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1 - 2(H_2 - \alpha w))$ ,  $\kappa t_n < \pi / \sin(\theta)$  and  $v \in (0, t_n]$  implying  $v\kappa < \pi / \sin(\theta)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{22} &\leq c \int_0^{t_n} \tau^2 \left( \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}| |z|^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2} + \alpha w} |dz| \right)^2 dv \\ &\leq c \tau^2 \int_0^{t_n} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}|^2 |z|^{1-2H_2 + 2\alpha w} |dz| \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |dz| dv \\ &\leq c \tau \int_0^{t_n} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa, \pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}|^2 |z|^{1-2H_2 + 2\alpha w} |dz| dv \leq c \tau^{2(H_2 - \alpha w) - \epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{t_n} \left| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (u_k(t_n - v) - u_{k,1}(t_n - v)) \gamma(v) \right|^2 dv \|e_k\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_1}{2}}(D)} \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^N \rho_k^{-\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon'} \int_0^{t_n} \left| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon'}{2}} (u_k(t_n - v) - u_{k,1}(t_n - v)) \gamma(v) \right|^2 dv \\ &\leq c \sup_{1 \leq k \leq N} \int_0^{t_n} \left| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon'}{2}} (u_k(t_n - v) - u_{k,1}(t_n - v)) \gamma(v) \right|^2 dv \\ &\leq c \tau^{2H_2 - \alpha(1-H_1)/s - \alpha\epsilon'/s - \epsilon} \leq c \tau^{2H_2 - \alpha(1-H_1)/s - 2\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\epsilon' \in (s\epsilon/\alpha, 1)$ .

Note that by Remark 3.3,

$$\int_0^{t_n} \left| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} l_{t_n}(v) \right|^2 dv = \int_0^{t_n} \|l_{t_n}(v)\|_{H_0^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}}(0, t_n)}^2,$$

where

$$l_{t_n}(v) := u_{k,1}(t_n - v) - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{(t_{j-1}, t_j]}(v) \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} u_{k,1}(t_n - \xi) d\xi \gamma(v), \quad v \in [0, t_n].$$

To estimate  $F_3$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &\leq \mathbb{E} \left\| \int_0^{t_n} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \mathcal{S}_N(t_n - v) - \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{(t_{j-1}, t_j]}(v) \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} \mathcal{S}_N(t_n - \xi) d\xi \right) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \gamma(v) P_N e_k(x) e_{W,k}(y) \xi^{H_1, H_2}(dy, dv) \left. \right\|^2 \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^N \rho_k^{-\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon''} \int_0^{t_n} \left| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} l_{t_n}(v) \right|^2 dv \\ &\leq c \sup_{1 \leq k \leq N} \int_0^{t_n} \left| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} (u_{k,1}(v) - U_{k,1}(v)) \right|^2 dv \\ &\leq c \sup_{1 \leq k \leq N} \left( \int_0^{t_1} \left| \partial_v^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} (u_{k,1}(v) - U_{k,1}(v)) \right|^2 dv \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{t_1}^{t_n} \left| \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{zv} z^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} \widehat{U}_{k,1}(z) dz \right|^2 dv \\
& + \int_{t_1}^{t_n} \left| \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{zv} z^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} (\widehat{u}_{k,1}(z) - \widehat{U}_{k,1}(z)) dz \right|^2 dv \Big) \leq F_{31} + F_{32} + F_{33},
\end{aligned}$$

for some small  $\epsilon'' > 0$ . To estimate  $F_{31}$ , we note that  $t_1 = \tau$  and then for  $H_2 = 1/2$ , the mean value theorem shows

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{31} & \leq \frac{c}{\tau^2} \int_0^{t_1} \left| \int_0^{t_1} \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} u'_{k,1}(v_\xi) \chi_{(\xi,t_1)}(v_\xi) (t_1 - \xi) d\xi \right|^2 dv \\
& \leq c \tau^{1+2\epsilon''-\alpha(1-H_1)/s-\alpha\epsilon''/s},
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$|\rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} u'_{k,1}(t)| \leq c \tau^{-\alpha(1-H_1)/2s - \alpha\epsilon''/2s} t^{\epsilon''-1},$$

from  $|\widetilde{u}'_{k,1}(z)| \leq c |e^{zt}| |z|^\alpha (|z|^\alpha + \rho_k^s)^{-1}$ ,  $z \in \Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta}^\tau$ . Similarly, for  $H_2 \in (0, 1/2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{31} & \leq c \int_0^{t_1} \left| \int_0^r k_{H_2-\frac{1}{2}}(r-v) \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} \frac{d}{dv} (u_{k,1}(v) - u_{k,1}(0)) dv \right|^2 dr \\
& + c \int_0^{t_1} \left| \frac{d}{dr} \int_0^r k_{H_2-\frac{1}{2}}(r-v) \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} (u_{k,1}(0) - U_{k,1}(v)) dv \right|^2 dr \\
& \leq c \tau^{2H_2+2\epsilon''-\alpha(1-H_1)/s-\alpha\epsilon''/s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $z \in \Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta}^\tau$ , Lemma 5.4 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} |(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau}))^\alpha (h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \lambda_k^s)^{-1}| & \leq \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} |\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})|^\alpha (|\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})|^\alpha + \lambda_k^s)^{-1} \\
& \leq |z|^{\alpha(1-H_1+\epsilon'')/2s} e^{\alpha(1-H_1+\epsilon'')|z|\tau/2s}.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $F_{32}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{32} & \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_n} \left| \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{|z|v+\alpha(1-H_1+\epsilon'')|z|\tau/2s} z^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}+\alpha(1-H_1+\epsilon'')/2s-1} dz \right|^2 dv \\
& \leq c \tau^{2H_2-\alpha(1-H_1+\epsilon'')/s-\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_n} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta} \setminus \Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta}^\tau} e^{2|z|v} |z|^{-\epsilon} |dz| dv \\
& \leq c \tau^{2H_2-\alpha(1-H_1+\epsilon'')/s-\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate  $F_{33}$ , Lemma 5.2 and (5.6) show that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{33} & \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_n} \left( \rho_k^{\frac{1-H_1+\epsilon''}{2}} \int_{\Gamma_{\kappa,\pi-\theta}^\tau} |e^{zv}| |z|^{\frac{1-2H_2}{2}} |\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})|^{\alpha-1} |(h(\delta_\tau(e^{-z\tau})) + \rho_k^s)^{-1}| |dz| \right)^2 dv \\
& \leq \tau^{1+2H_2-\frac{\alpha(1-H_1+\epsilon'')}{s}-\epsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

Together above arguments, the discrete Gronwall inequality implies the desired results. The proof is complete.  $\square$

## 6 Conclusion

This paper studies a numerical analysis for the stochastic nonlinear time-space fractional cable equation driven by rough noise with Hurst index  $H \in (0, 1/2)$ . The model is characterized by nonlocal terms in both time and space. Utilizing an operator theoretic approach, we establish the existence, uniqueness, and spatial/temporal regularities of solutions. Convergence results for the regularized equation are obtained through the Wong-Zakai approximation, effectively handling the rough noise. The numerical scheme employs the spectral Galerkin method for spatial approximation and the backward Euler convolution quadrature method for temporal approximation, with error estimates subsequently derived.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NFSC (Nos. 12101142).

## References

- [1] Bardina X., Jolis M.: Multiple fractional integral with Hurst parameter less than 1/2. Stochastic process. Appl., 116, 463-479(2006).
- [2] Blömker D., Neamțu A.: Amplitude equations for SPDEs driven by fractional additive noise with small Hurst parameter, Stochastics and Dynamics, 22(03), 2240013(2022).
- [3] Carelli E., Prohl A.: Rates of convergence for discretizations of the stochastic incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 50(5), 2467–2496(2012).
- [4] Gorenflo, R., Mainardi, F.: Fractional oscillations and Mittag-Leffler functions. Freie Univ., Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik. 193-208(1996).
- [5] Gunzburger M., Li B., Wang J.: Sharp convergence rates of time discretization for stochastic time-fractional PDEs subject to additive space-time white noise, Math. Comp., 88, 1715-1741(2018).
- [6] Henry, B.I., Langlands, T.A.M., Wearne, S.L.: Fractional diffusion-weighted MRI to anomalous diffusion imagine. Magn. Reson. Med. **59**, 447-455(2008).
- [7] Henry, B.I., Langlands, T.A.M., Wearne, S.L.: Fractional cable model for spiny neuronal dendrites. Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 128103(2008).

- [8] Hilfer, R.: Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics. World Scientific, Singapore, (2000).
- [9] Hong J., Liu Z.: Optimal Hölder continuity of SHE and SWE with rough fractional noise, arXiv:1608.00085, (2016).
- [10] Hu Y., Huang J., Lê K., Nualart D., Tinde lS.: Stochastic heat equation with rough dependence in space, Ann. Pro., 45(6B), 4561-4616 (2017).
- [11] Hu Y., Wang X,: Stochastic heat equation with general rough noise, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Probab. Statist., 58(1), 379-423(2022).
- [12] Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J.: Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations. Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, (2006).
- [13] Langlands, T.A.M., Henry, B.I., Wearne, S.L.: Fractional cable equation models for Anomalous electro diffusion innervewcells: Infinite domain solutions. J. Math. Biol., 59, 761-808(2009)
- [14] Langlands, T.A.M., Henry, B.I., Wearne, S.L.: Fractional cable equation models for Anomalous electrodifusion innervewcells: Finite domain solutions. SIAM J. Appl. Math., 71, 1168-1203(2011).
- [15] Li B., Xie X.: Regularity of solutions to time fractional diffusion equations. Discrete and Continuous Dyn. Sys. B, 24(7), 3195-3210(2019).
- [16] Li C., Deng W.: Analytical solutions, moments, and their asymptotic behaviors for the time-space fractional cable equation, Commun. Theor. Phys., 62, 54(2014).
- [17] Li Q., Yan Y., Qiao, L., Zhang L.: Numerical approximation for a stochastic time-fractional cable equation, Appl. Math. Comp., 511, 129709(2026).
- [18] Lizama, C., N'Guérékata, G.M.: Mild solutions for abstract fractional differential equations. Appl. Anal., 92(8), 1731-1754(2013).
- [19] Liu, F., Yang, Q., Turner, I.: Two new implicit numerical methods for the fractional cable equation. J. Comput. Nonlinear Dyn., 6, 011009(2011).
- [20] Mainardi, F.: Fractional Calculus and Waves in Linear Viscoelasticity, An Introduction to Mathematical Models. Imperial College Press, London(2010).
- [21] Metzler, R., Klafter, J.: The random walk's guide to anomalous diffusion: a fractional dynamics approach. Phys. Rep., 339, 1-77(2000).

- [22] Nie D., Deng W.: A unified convergence analysis for the fractional diffusion equation driven by fractional Gaussian noise with Hurst index  $H \in (0, 1)$ , SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 60(3), 1548-1573(2022).
- [23] Nie D., Sun J., Deng W.: Numerical approximation for stochastic nonlinear fractional diffusion equation driven by rough noise, ESAIM:M2AN, 59, 389-418(2025).
- [24] Podlubny, I.: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, San Diego, (1999).
- [25] Prüss, J.: Evolutionary Integral Equations and Applications, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 87. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, (1993).
- [26] Santamaria, F., Wils, S., Schutte, E., Augustine, G.J.: Anomalous diffusion in Purkinje cell dendrites caused by spines. Neuron vol 52, 635-648(2006).
- [27] Saxena, R.K., Tomovski, Z., Sandev, T.: Analytical solution of generalized space-time fractional cable equation. Mathematics, 3, 153-170(2015).
- [28] Saichev A.I., Zaslavsky G.M.: Fractional kinetic equations:solutions and applications, Chaos, 7(4), 753-764(1997).
- [29] Tan, H., Wang,P.: The well-posedness and regularity of mild solutions to the time-fractional Cable equation, AIMS Mathematics, 10(7), 16624-16641(2025).
- [30] Yang, Y., Huang, Y.Q., Zhou, Y.: Numerical simulation of time fractional Cable equations and convergence analysis. Numer. Methods Partial Diff. Equ. 34, 1556-1579(2018).
- [31] Cao Y. Hong J. Liu Z.: Approximating stochastic evolution equations with additive white and rough noises. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 55, 1958-1981, (2017).
- [32] Zheng, Y., Zhao, Z.: The discontinuous Galerkin finite element method for fractional cable equation. Appl. Numer. Math., 115, 32-41(2017).
- [33] Zhou, Y.: Fractional Diffusion and Wave Equations: Well-posedness and Inverse Problems. Springer, Switzerland, (2024).
- [34] Zhuang, P., Liu, F., Turner, I., Anh, V.: Galerkin finite element method and error analysis for the fractional cable equation. Numer. Algor., 72, 447-466(2016).