

# Vogel universality and beyond

A.P.Isaev<sup>a,b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,  
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980, Dubna*

<sup>b</sup> *St.Petersburg Department of Steklov Mathematical Institute of RAS,  
Fontanka 27, 191023, St. Petersburg, Russia*

<sup>c</sup> *Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Russia*

isaevap@theor.jinr.ru

## Abstract

For simple Lie algebras we construct characteristic identities for split (polarized) Casimir operators in representations  $T \otimes Y_n$  and  $T \otimes Y'_n$ , where  $T$  – defining (minimal fundamental for exceptional Lie algebras) representation,  $Y_n$  –  $n$ -Cartan powers of the adjoint representations  $\text{ad} = Y_1$  and  $Y'_n$  – special representations appeared in the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of symmetric part of  $\text{ad}^{\otimes n}$ . By means of these characteristic identities, we derive (for all simple Lie algebras, except  $\mathfrak{e}_8$ ) explicit formulae for invariant projectors onto irreducible subrepresentations arose in the decomposition of  $T \otimes Y_n$ . These projectors and characteristic identities are written in the universal form for all simple Lie algebras (except  $\mathfrak{e}_8$ ) in terms of Vogel parameters. Universal formulas for the dimensions of the Casimir subrepresentations appeared in the decompositions of  $T \otimes Y_n$  where found.

# 1 Introduction

It was observed by P. Vogel [1], in his algebraic investigation of the Vassiliev theory of knot invariants, that for all simple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  the symmetric  $Sym(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2})$  and anti-symmetric  $\wedge(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2})$  parts of the square of the adjoint representation  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$  can be decomposed into subrepresentations in a uniform way. More precisely we have [1]

$$Sym(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}) = 1 + Y_2 + Y_2' + Y_2'' , \quad \wedge(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}) = Y_1 + X_2 , \quad (1.1)$$

where  $Y_2, Y_2', Y_2'', X_2$  and  $Y_1 = \mathfrak{g} = \text{ad}$  are the irreducible representations of a simple Lie group (with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) extended by the automorphism group of Dynkin diagram of  $\mathfrak{g}$  [2],[3]. In what follows, the irreducibility will be always considered with respect to this extended group. It was shown in [1] that the dimensions of all constituents in (1.1) are the rational homogeneous functions of three universal Vogel's parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ . We note that the representation  $Y_2$  in (1.1) is the second Cartan power of adjoint representation  $\text{ad} = Y_1$ , while dimensions of the representations  $Y_2'$  and  $Y_2''$  are respectively obtained from  $\dim Y_2$  by the exchange of the parameters  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$  and  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma$ . P. Vogel then went on in [1] to find Casimir eigenspaces in the higher tensor product  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 3}$ . For the exceptional simple Lie algebras, P.Deligne [2] and A.M.Cohen and R.de Man [3] showed that the decompositions of the tensor powers  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}$  exhibit universal behavior up to degree  $k = 4$ . For all simple Lie algebras, the uniform decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 4}$  into Casimir eigenspaces was recently obtained in [12] by using split Casimir operator technique.

It is clear that, when considering higher degrees of tensor products  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}$ , representations  $Y_k$  will arise (in the decomposition of the symmetric part of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}$ ) that are Cartan powers of  $k$  adjoint representations. The general universal formula for the dimensions of the representations  $Y_k = Y_k(\alpha)$  is known [4] (see also [14])

$$\dim Y_k(\alpha) = \frac{(1 - (2k - 1)\hat{\alpha})}{k! \hat{\alpha}^k (1 - (k - 1)\hat{\alpha})} \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \frac{(\ell \hat{\alpha} - 1)(\hat{\beta} + \ell \hat{\alpha} - 1)(\hat{\gamma} + \ell \hat{\alpha} - 1)}{(\hat{\beta} - \ell \hat{\alpha})(\hat{\gamma} - \ell \hat{\alpha})} , \quad (1.2)$$

and in particular we have

$$\dim Y_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g} = \frac{(\hat{\alpha} - 1)(\hat{\beta} - 1)(\hat{\gamma} - 1)}{\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta} \hat{\gamma}} . \quad (1.3)$$

Our homogeneous parameters  $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}$  are related to the standard Vogel parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  by means of relations

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha}{2t} , \quad \hat{\beta} = \frac{\beta}{2t} , \quad \hat{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma}{2t} , \quad (t := \alpha + \beta + \gamma) , \quad (1.4)$$

so that  $\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} + \hat{\gamma} = 1/2$ . We give their values in Table 4 below (about standard choice of the Vogel variables  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  see e.g. [4],[9],[10]). Dimensions of the representations  $Y_k' \equiv Y_k(\beta)$  and  $Y_k'' \equiv Y_k(\gamma)$  (which are also presented in the decomposition of the symmetric part of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}$ ) are respectively obtained from  $\dim Y_k(\alpha)$  given in (1.2) by permuting the parameters  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$  and  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma$ .

One of the motivations, which also leads to the universal description of the Lie algebras, is related to the calculation of group (colour) factors of Feynman diagrams in non-Abelian gauge theories (see works [6], [7] which preceded the works of Vogel and Deligne). Evaluation of the colour factors in non-Abelian gauge theories is an extremely important task for applications (see e.g. [5] and references therein). The long-standing problem of finding a minimal set of group variables through which all color factors in gauge models can be expressed apparently cannot be solved by a direct search for the minimal set of such group invariants. A promising approach involves the possibility of writing group factors in a universal form using three Vogel parameters. This approach also allows the color factors of Feynman diagrams to be written in a form applicable to any simple gauge group. Apparently, all color factors for Feynman diagrams in pure gluodynamics (a non-Abelian gauge theory without fermions) can be written in a universal form using only three Vogel parameters. For example, in our paper [8] the universal expressions for colour factors of some infinite set of Feynman diagrams in the gluodynamics were found. In [8] we also proposed the universal analog of the  $1/N$  expansion for theories with exceptional gauge groups.

It should be also noted various further applications of the Vogel's universality to knot/Chern-Simons theory [22, 23, 24, 25, 26], in particular to the possibility of an universal description of the Wilson loop averages, in the Chern-Simons theory, which are represented as polynomials of knots and links [27, 28, 29].

The results of the present paper show that the Vogel's universality can be extended to the class of the representations which appear in the decomposition of tensor products of the defining (fundamental) representations and representations of the  $Y_n$  series, which include adjoint representation  $\text{ad} = Y_1$ . These results give hope that universal expressions for color factors (uniform for all simple gauge groups) can also be obtained for gauge theories with matter fields (fermions and bosons) transforming according to the fundamental representations of the gauge groups. This probably could be also applied to construction of more than just the adjoint knot invariants essential for the Vogel's universality of Chern-Simons theory.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, according to our approach developed in [10, 11, 12, 13], we describe the set of representations which appear in the decompositions of the tensor products  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}$  of simple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_N$  and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_N$ . In this Section we also recall the notion of  $k$ -split Casimir operators for simple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  and give explicit formulas needed to calculation of their eigenvalues. In Section 3 we consider possible generalizations of the standard Vogel universality related to the study of tensor products  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k}$ . In particular, for all simple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we investigate the possibility of the universal prescription for the decomposition of tensor products  $\square \otimes Y_n$  and  $\square \otimes Y'_n$ , where  $\square$  denotes defining (minimal fundamental for exceptional Lie algebras) representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In this Section, for all simple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we find the universal characteristic identity (written in terms of the Vogel parameters) for 2-split Casimir operator in the representation  $\square \otimes Y_n$ . In Section 4, by using split Casimir operator technique, we deduce universal form for projectors on irreducible subrepresentations arising in the decomposition of  $\square \otimes Y_n$  and find universal dimensions of these subrepresentations. In Conclusion we outline of some perspective for further investigations.

## 2 Decomposition of $\text{ad}^{\otimes k}$ for Lie algebras of classical series. Split Casimir operators for simple Lie algebras.

### 2.1 Class of subrepresentations in the decomposition of $\text{ad}^{\otimes k}$ for Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ and $\mathfrak{so}_N$

Let "ad" denotes the adjoint representation of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In this section, according to our approach developed in [10, 11, 12, 13], we describe the set of irreducible subrepresentations which appear in the decompositions of representations  $\text{ad}^{\otimes k}$  of the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  and  $\mathfrak{so}_N$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *For the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}(N)$  and sufficiently large  $N$ , all irreps, which appear in the decomposition of  $\text{ad}^{\otimes k}$ , are associated to the Young diagrams  $\Lambda$ , with  $r + s \leq 2k$  columns, for which the transposed Young diagrams have the form<sup>1</sup>*

$$\Lambda^\top = [N - \lambda'_r, \dots, N - \lambda'_2, N - \lambda'_1, \mu'_1, \mu'_2, \dots, \mu'_s], \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\top$  denotes the transposition of Young diagrams  $\Lambda$ , numbers  $\lambda'_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda'_r$  and  $\mu'_1 \geq \dots \geq \mu'_s$  are heights of columns of two partitions  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  ( $\lambda \vdash m$  and  $\mu \vdash m$  of integer  $m \in [0, k]$ ). Thus, the Young diagram  $\Lambda$  is defined by two Young diagrams  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  such that

$$\lambda^\top = [\lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_s], \quad \mu^\top = [\mu'_1, \mu'_2, \dots, \mu'_s], \quad |\lambda| = |\mu| = m.$$

The number of cells in  $\Lambda$  is equal to  $|\Lambda| = r \cdot N$ . The irrep associated to the diagram

$$\Lambda'^\top = [N - \mu'_r, \dots, N - \mu'_2, N - \mu'_1, \lambda'_1, \lambda'_2, \dots, \lambda'_s].$$

is contravariant (dual) to the irrep associated to (2.5).

**Proof** (see e.g. [15]). The adjoint representation (ad) of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  acts in the space of second rank traceless tensors with components  $\psi^a_b$  ( $\psi^a_a = 0$ ), where upper index  $a$  and lower index  $b$  correspond to the defining [1] and co-defining  $[1^{N-1}]$  fundamental representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ . Then the representation  $\text{ad}^{\otimes k}$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  acts in the space of tensors with components  $\psi^{a_1 \dots a_k}_{b_1 \dots b_k}$ , which is decomposed into the invariant subspaces  $V_{\lambda, \mu}$  of traceless tensors  $\psi^{a_1 \dots a_m}_{b_1 \dots b_m}$  ( $m \leq k$ ), where upper  $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$  and lower  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$  indices are symmetrized according to Young diagrams  $\mu \vdash m$  and  $\lambda \vdash m$ :

$$(P^{(\lambda)} \psi P^{(\mu)})_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m} = P^{(\lambda)}_{b_1 \dots b_m}{}^{b'_1 \dots b'_m} \psi_{b'_1 \dots b'_m}^{a'_1 \dots a'_m} P^{(\mu)}_{a'_1 \dots a'_m}{}^{a_1 \dots a_m} \in V_{\lambda, \mu},$$

where  $P^{(\lambda)}$  denotes the Young projector associated to the Young diagram  $\lambda$ . Since any lower index  $b_i$  is related to the antisymmetrization of  $N - 1$  upper indices  $c_\ell|_{\ell=1, \dots, N-1}$  by means of the antisymmetric tensor  $\varepsilon_{b_i c_1 \dots c_{N-1}} \psi^{c_1 \dots c_{N-1}} \dots$ , the invariant subspaces  $V_{\lambda, \mu}$  are associated to the Young diagram  $\Lambda$  presented in (2.5). It is clear that irreducible tensors  $P^{(\mu)} \psi P^{(\lambda)}$  are contravariant to the irreducible tensors  $P^{(\lambda)} \psi P^{(\mu)} \in V_{\lambda, \mu}$  and therefore  $P^{(\mu)} \psi P^{(\lambda)}$  belong to the space  $V_{\mu, \lambda}$  which is dual to the space  $V_{\lambda, \mu}$ . ■

<sup>1</sup>Here, in the square brackets, the heights of the columns of the diagram  $\Lambda$  are indicated.

**Remark 2.1** Proposition 2.1 states that we can numerate irreducible subrepresentations in the decomposition of  $ad^{\otimes k}$  by the pairs  $(\lambda, \mu)$  of Young diagrams  $\lambda \vdash m, \mu \vdash m$  ( $m \leq k$ ). The representations  $(\lambda, \mu)$  and  $(\mu, \lambda)$  are contravariant (dual) to each other and it means that  $\dim(\lambda, \mu) = \dim(\mu, \lambda)$ .

Example of the notation used in Proposition 2.1 and in Remark 2.1 is

$$\Lambda = (N-1) \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & & \\ \hline & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \Lambda^T = [(N-1)^4, 2, 1^2] \leftrightarrow (\lambda, \mu) = ([4], [3, 1]). \quad (2.6)$$

**Remark 2.2** The dimensions of the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  associated to the Young diagram in (2.5) are evaluated by means of the useful formula

$$\dim_{\mathfrak{sl}(N)}(\Lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(N+i-1)!}{(N-a_i+i-1)!(a_i+k-i)!} \prod_{\ell < j} (a_\ell - a_j + (j - \ell)), \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\Lambda^T = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k]$  is the Young diagram transposed to  $\Lambda$ , i.e.  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_k$  are heights of the columns of  $\Lambda$ . The formula (2.7) is derived from the Weyl hook formula. The quantum analog ( $q$ -deformation) of the formula (2.7) is discussed in [20] (Remark 1 in Subsect. 4.3.6). With the help of (2.7) one can prove that

$$\dim(\lambda, \mu)|_{N \rightarrow -N} = \dim(\lambda^T, \mu^T), \quad (2.8)$$

i.e.  $\dim(\lambda^T, \mu^T)$  is obtained from  $\dim(\lambda, \mu)$  by formal exchange  $N \rightarrow -N$ .

**Remark 2.3** To construct the decompositions of  $ad^{\otimes k}$  into irreducible representations we need to find the decomposition of the tensor products  $\Lambda \times ad \equiv (\lambda, \mu) \times ([1], [1])$ , where pair of diagrams  $\Lambda = (\lambda, \mu)$  characterizes an irrep appeared in the decomposition of  $ad^{\otimes(k-1)}$ . Since  $\lambda \vdash m$  and  $\mu \vdash m$  are two Young diagrams associated to the symmetrizations of lower and upper indices in the traceless tensors  $\psi_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m}$  and  $ad = ([1], [1])$  associates with traceless tensors  $\psi_b^a$ , we need to extract invariant irreducible subspaces in the space of tensors  $\psi_{b_1 \dots b_m}^{a_1 \dots a_m} \psi_b^a$ . This can be done by making the special symmetrization of the upper  $(a_1, \dots, a_m, a)$  and lower  $(b_1, \dots, b_m, b)$  indices and by contracting one of the upper index in  $(a_1, \dots, a_m)$  with  $b$  and one of the lower index in  $(b_1, \dots, b_m)$  with  $a$ . Thus, we arrive to the rule

$$(\lambda, \mu) \times ([1], [1]) = (\lambda \times [1], \mu \times [1]) + \left( \sum_i \lambda_i \times [1], \mu \right) + \left( \lambda, \sum_j \mu_j \times [1] \right) + \sum_{i,j} (\lambda_i, \mu_j), \quad (2.9)$$

where in the right hand side we denote by tensor products  $\lambda \times [1], \mu_j \times [1], \dots$  their decompositions in the irreducible components, while  $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_j$  are Young diagrams obtained by removing from diagrams  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  one of their corner cells, numbered respectively by  $i$  and

*j. The special case of formula (2.9), which is needed for calculating  $([1], [1])^{\otimes k}$ , is written as follows:*

$$([1]^{\otimes p}, [1]^{\otimes p}) \times ([1], [1]) = ([1]^{\otimes(p+1)}, [1]^{\otimes(p+1)}) + 2p([1]^{\otimes p}, [1]^{\otimes p}) + p^2([1]^{\otimes(p-1)}, [1]^{\otimes(p-1)}), \quad (2.10)$$

where we use concise notation

$$([1]^{\otimes|\lambda|}, [1]^{\otimes|\mu|}) = \left( \sum_{\lambda \vdash |\lambda|} f_{(\lambda)} \lambda, \sum_{\mu \vdash |\mu|} f_{(\mu)} \mu \right) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} f_{(\lambda)} f_{(\mu)} (\lambda, \mu). \quad (2.11)$$

Here the sums are over all Young diagrams  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  with  $|\lambda|$  and  $|\mu|$  boxes, while multiplicities  $f_{(\lambda)}$  are equal to the number of the standard Young tableaux of the shape  $\lambda$ ; this number is given by the famous hook formula (see e.g. [19], section 4.3.2).

**Examples 1.** According to the rules (2.9) and (2.10), the decomposition of  $\text{ad}^{\otimes 2}$  (the case  $p = 1$  in (2.10)) is

$$\begin{aligned} ([1], [1])^{\otimes 2} &= ([1]^{\otimes 2}, [1]^{\otimes 2}) + 2([1], [1]) + ([\emptyset], [\emptyset]) = \\ &= ([2] + [1^2], [2] + [1^2]) + 2([1], [1]) + ([\emptyset], [\emptyset]) = \\ &= ([2], [2]) + ([2], [1^2]) + ([1^2], [2]) + ([1^2], [1^2]) + 2([1], [1]) + ([\emptyset], [\emptyset]), \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

or in the standard Young diagram notation we have

$$[2, 1^{N-2}]^{\otimes 2} = [4, 2^{N-2}] + ([3^2, 2^{N-3}] + [3, 1^{N-3}])_d + [2^2, 1^{N-4}] + 2[2, 1^{N-2}] + [\emptyset]. \quad (2.13)$$

The brackets  $(\dots)_d$  refer to the sum of the two dual Young diagrams. For more complicated case  $m = 3$  (we take in (2.9) the partitions  $\lambda \vdash 3$  and  $\mu \vdash 3$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ([3], [2, 1]) \times ([1], [1]) &= \\ &= ([3] \times [1], [2, 1] \times [1]) + ([2] \times [1], [2, 1]) + ([3], ([2] + [1^2]) \times [1]) + ([2], [2] + [1^2]) = \\ &= ([4] + [3, 1], [3, 1] + [2^2] + [2, 1^2]) + ([3] + [2, 1], [2, 1]) + \\ &+ ([3], ([3] + 2[2, 1] + [1^3])) + ([2], [2]) + ([2], [1^2]) = \\ &= ([4], [3, 1]) + ([4], [2^2]) + ([4], [2, 1^2]) + ([3, 1], [3, 1] + [2^2] + [2, 1^2]) + \\ &+ 3([3], [2, 1]) + ([2, 1], [2, 1]) + ([3], [3]) + ([3], [1^3]) + ([2], [2]) + ([2], [1^2]), \end{aligned}$$

or in the standard Young diagram notation

$$\begin{aligned} [5, 4, 3^{N-3}] \times [2, 1^{N-2}]_{s.d.} &= [7, 5, 4^{N-3}] + [6^2, 4^{N-3}] + [6, 5^2, 4^{N-4}] + \\ &+ [6, 4, 3^{N-4}, 2]_{s.d.} + [5^2, 3^{N-4}, 2] + [5, 4^2, 3^{N-5}, 2] + \\ &+ 3[5, 4, 3^{N-3}] + [4, 3, 2^{N-4}, 1]_{s.d.} + [6, 3^{N-2}]_{s.d.} + \\ &+ [4^3, 3^{N-4}] + [4, 2^{N-2}]_{s.d.} + [3^2, 2^{N-3}], \end{aligned}$$

where  $[\Lambda]_{s.d.}$  denotes a self-dual Young diagram which in terms of the pair diagram notation  $(\lambda, \mu)$  corresponds to  $\lambda = \mu$ . Thus, we have remarkably effective method of the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of the representations  $\text{ad}^{\otimes k}$ , which is drastically simplifies the applying of the Littlewood-Richardson rule in the case of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Examples 2.** According to Proposition 2.1 and by making use of the rules of Remark 2.3, the decompositions of the representations  $\text{ad}^{\otimes k}$  (for  $k = 2, 3, 4, 5$ ), for  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  are written (in terms of pairs of diagrams  $(\lambda, \mu)$ ) as follows

$$([1], [1])^{\otimes 2} = ([\emptyset], [\emptyset]) + 2([1], [1]) + ([2] + [1^2], [2] + [1^2]) , \quad (2.14)$$

$$([1], [1])^{\otimes 3} = 2([\emptyset], [\emptyset]) + 9([1], [1]) + 6([2] + [1^2], [2] + [1^2]) + ([3] + 2[2, 1] + [1^3], [3] + 2[2, 1] + [1^3]) . \quad (2.15)$$

$$([1], [1])^{\otimes 4} = 9([\emptyset], [\emptyset]) + 44([1], [1]) + 42([2] + [1^2], [2] + [1^2]) + 12([3] + 2[2, 1] + [1^3], [3] + 2[2, 1] + [1^3]) + ([4] + 3[3, 1] + 2[2^2] + 3[2, 1^2] + [1^4], [4] + 3[3, 1] + 2[2^2] + 3[2, 1^2] + [1^4]) = 9([\emptyset], [\emptyset]) + 44([1], [1]) + 42([1]^{\otimes 2}, [1]^{\otimes 2}) + 12([1]^{\otimes 3}, [1]^{\otimes 3}) + ([1]^{\otimes 4}, [1]^{\otimes 4}) . \quad (2.16)$$

$$([1], [1])^{\otimes 5} = 44([\emptyset], [\emptyset]) + 265([1], [1]) + 320([1]^{\otimes 2}, [1]^{\otimes 2}) + 130([1]^{\otimes 3}, [1]^{\otimes 3}) + 20([1]^{\otimes 4}, [1]^{\otimes 4}) + ([1]^{\otimes 5}, [1]^{\otimes 5}) . \quad (2.17)$$

Here we use concise notation  $([1]^{\otimes k}, [1]^{\otimes k})$  which is defined in (2.11).

The general statement is the following.

**Proposition 2.2** *The representation  $\text{ad}^{\otimes m}$  for  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  is decomposed to the sum of the irreps (written in terms of pairs of diagrams  $(\lambda, \mu)$ ) as follows*

$$([1], [1])^{\otimes m} = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k^{(m)} ([1]^{\otimes k}, [1]^{\otimes k}) , \quad (2.18)$$

where  $([1]^{\otimes k}, [1]^{\otimes k})|_{k=0} := ([\emptyset], [\emptyset])$  and coefficients  $a_k^{(m)}$  satisfy the recurrence relation

$$a_k^{(m+1)} = a_{k-1}^{(m)} + 2k a_k^{(m)} + (k+1)^2 a_{k+1}^{(m)} , \quad a_{k>m}^{(m)} = 0 = a_{k<0}^{(m)} . \quad (2.19)$$

In particular we have

$$a_m^{(m)} = 1 , \quad a_0^{(m+1)} = a_1^{(m)} , \quad a_{m-1}^{(m)} = m(m-1) , \quad (2.20)$$

$$a_{m-1}^{(m+1)} = \frac{1}{2}m(m^3 + 1) , \quad a_{m-1}^{(m+2)} = \frac{1}{6}m(m+1)(m+2)(m^3 + 2m - 1) ,$$

and the coefficient  $a_0^{(m)}$  is equal to the number of elements  $\sigma$  of the symmetric group  $S_m$  such that  $\sigma(i) \neq i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ; in other words, the coefficient  $a_0^{(m)}$  is the number of the elements  $\sigma \in S_m$  which do not have cycles of length 1.

**Proof.** Applying the rule (2.10) we deduce relation

$$([1], [1])^{\otimes(m+1)} = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k^{(m)} \left( ([1]^{\otimes(k+1)}, [1]^{\otimes(k+1)}) + 2k ([1]^{\otimes k}, [1]^{\otimes k}) + k^2 ([1]^{\otimes(k-1)}, [1]^{\otimes(k-1)}) \right),$$

from which we immediately obtain (2.19). To obtain first two identities in (2.20) we take  $k = m + 1$  and  $k = 0$  in (2.19) and use initial  $a_1^{(1)} = 1$  and boundary  $a_{k < 0}^{(m)} = 0$  conditions. If we take  $k = m$  in (2.19) and use  $a_m^{(m)} = 1$  with the boundary condition  $a_{k > m}^{(m)} = 0$ , we deduce equation  $a_m^{(m+1)} = a_{m-1}^{(m)} + 2m$  which is solved as third identity in (2.20). Then we take  $k = (m - 1)$  and  $k = (m - 2)$  and by using previous results we deduce recurrence equations

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m-1}^{(m+1)} &= a_{m-2}^{(m)} + 2m(m-1)^2 + m^2, \\ a_{m-1}^{(m+2)} &= a_{m-2}^{(m+1)} + m(m-1)(m^3 + 1) + m^3(m+1), \end{aligned}$$

solutions of which give two last expressions in (2.20). One can continue this procedure to obtain all coefficients  $a_{m-1}^{(m+k)}$  for fixed  $k > 2$ . The representation  $([1], [1])^{\otimes m}$  acts in the space of tensors  $(\psi_1)_{b_1}^{a_1} \cdots (\psi_m)_{b_m}^{a_m}$  where for all  $i$  we have  $\sum_{a_i} (\psi_i)_{b_i}^{a_i} |_{b_i=a_i} = 0$ . Thus, to obtain the space of the singlet representation we need to contract all indices  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  with  $i \neq j$ . The number of all possible contractions of that type is equal to the number of elements  $\sigma \in S_m$  such that  $\sigma(i) \neq i$  (for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) and in the same time gives the multiplicity  $a_0^{(m)}$  of the trivial subrepresentation  $([\emptyset], [\emptyset])$  in  $([1], [1])^{\otimes m}$ . ■

The analog of Proposition 2.1 for the case of the  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$  algebra is formulated as follows.

**Conjecture 2.3** *For the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$  and sufficiently large  $N$ , all irreps, which appear in the expansion of  $ad^{\otimes k}$  are associated to the Young diagrams  $\lambda \vdash 2m$  with  $m \leq k$  and with number of columns not bigger than  $k$ . All these diagrams are obtained from diagram  $[k^2]$  by allowable shifting of boxes to the left, e.g.  $[k^2] \rightarrow [k, k-1, 1] \rightarrow [k, k-2, 2] \rightarrow [k, k-2, 1^2] \rightarrow \dots$  and allowable<sup>2</sup> cancelation of pairs of boxes in one row.*

**Examples 3.** For the decompositions of the representations  $ad^{\otimes 2}$ ,  $ad^{\otimes 3}$  and  $ad^{\otimes 4}$  of  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  we have (see e.g. [11], [12])

$$[1^2] \otimes [1^2] = \emptyset + [1^2] + [2] + [2^2] + [2, 1^2] + [1^4],$$

$$[1^2]^{\otimes 3} = \emptyset + 6 \cdot [1^2] + 3 \cdot [2] + 3 \cdot [2^2] + 6 \cdot [2, 1^2] + 3 \cdot [1^4] + \quad (2.21)$$

$$+ [1^6] + 3 \cdot [3, 1] + 2 [2, 1^4] + [2^3] + [3^2] + 3 [2^2, 1^2] + [3, 1^3] + 2 \cdot [3, 2, 1],$$

$$\begin{aligned} [1^2]^{\otimes 4} &= 6 \cdot \emptyset + 120 \cdot [1^2] + 18 \cdot [2] + 24 \cdot [2^2] + 39 \cdot [2, 1^2] + 21 \cdot [1^4] + 21 \cdot [3, 1] + \\ &+ 6 \cdot [1^6] + 18 \cdot [2, 1^4] + 12 \cdot [2^3] + 6 \cdot [3^2] + 24 \cdot [2^2, 1^2] + 18 \cdot [3, 1^3] + 24 \cdot [3, 2, 1] + \\ &+ [1^8] + 3 \cdot [2, 1^6] + 3 \cdot [4] + 6 \cdot [4, 1^2] + 6 \cdot [4, 2] + \quad (2.22) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ 6 \cdot [2^2, 1^4] + 3 \cdot [2^4] + 3 \cdot [3, 1^5] + 6 \cdot [2^3, 1^2] + [4^2] + [4, 1^4] + 8 \cdot [3, 2, 1^3] + \\ &+ 3 \cdot [3^2, 2] + 6 \cdot [3^2, 1^2] + 7 \cdot [3, 2^2, 1] + 2 \cdot [4, 2^2] + 3 \cdot [4, 2, 1^2] + 3 \cdot [4, 3, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Here the word allowable means that as a result of the cancelations we again obtain Young diagrams, but with a reduced number of boxes.



Note that the decompositions in the right-hand sides of (2.21) and (2.22) are valid only for  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  with sufficiently large  $N$ . Indeed, the decomposition in (2.22) is valid only for  $N > 16$  since, for  $\mathfrak{so}_M$  (where  $M < 16$ ) the representation  $[1^8]$  is equivalent to the representation  $[1^{M-8}]$ , while for  $\mathfrak{so}_{16}$  the representation  $[1^8]$  is split into two subrepresentations (self-dual and anti-self-dual), etc.

**Remark 2.4** *The dimensions of the irreducible tensor representations of  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  (associated to the Young diagrams  $\Lambda$ ) are evaluated by means of the convenient formula (cf. (2.7))*

$$\dim_{\mathfrak{so}_N}(\Lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(N + 2(i - 1))!}{(N - a_i + k - 2 + i)!(a_i + k - i)!} \cdot \prod_{\ell < j} (a_\ell - a_j + j - \ell)(N - (a_\ell + a_j) + (\ell + j - 2)) . \quad (2.23)$$

where  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  – are heights of the columns of the diagram  $\Lambda$ , i.e.  $\Lambda^T = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k]$  is Young diagram transposed to  $\Lambda$ . The quantum analog of the formula (2.23) (its  $q$ -deformation) was found in [20] (see Remark 1 in Subsect. 4.5.5).

**Remark 2.5** *The universal Vogel notation for the representations  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{sl}}$  appeared in the decompositions (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) for the algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  and related representations  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{so}}$  in the decompositions (2.21), (2.22) for the algebra  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  is summarized in [11], [12]. Here we present a part of these notation and relations (only for the cases  $\text{ad}^{\otimes 2}$  and  $\text{ad}^{\otimes 3}$ ) in terms of Young diagrams in Tables 1-3.*

Table 1:

	$X_0$	$X_1 = Y_1$	$X_2$	$Y_2$	$Y'_2$	$Y''_2$
$\mathfrak{sl}_N$	$([\emptyset], [\emptyset])$	$([1], [1])$	$([2], [1^2]) + \text{dual}$	$([2], [2])$	$([1^2], [1^2])$	$([1], [1])$
$\mathfrak{so}_N$	$[\emptyset]$	$[1^2]$	$[2, 1^2]$	$[2^2]$	$[1^4]$	$[2]$

Table 2:

	$X_3$	$X'_3$	$X''_3$	$Y_3$	$Y'_3$	$Y''_3$
$\mathfrak{sl}_N$	$([2, 1], [2, 1])$	$([3], [1^3]) + \text{dual}$	–	$([3], [3])$	$([1^3], [1^3])$	$([\emptyset], [\emptyset])$
$\mathfrak{so}_N$	$[2^3] + [3, 1^3]$	$[3, 1^3]$	–	$[3^2]$	$[1^6]$	–

Table 3:

	$B$	$B'$	$B''$	$C$	$C'$	$C''$
$\mathfrak{sl}_N$	$([1^2], [1^2])$	$([2], [2])$	$([2, 1], [2, 1])$	$([3], [2, 1]) + \text{dual}$	$([2, 1], [1^3]) + \text{dual}$	–
$\mathfrak{so}_N$	–	$[3, 1]$	$[2^2, 1^2]$	$[3, 2, 1]$	$[2, 1^4]$	–

We denote by  $[\emptyset]$  in Tables 1 and 2 the singlet representations and the dash indicates a representation whose dimension is zero.

Note that for the uniform representations given in Tables 1 – 3 there is a special rule of constructing the  $\mathfrak{so}_N$ -diagram  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{so}}$  from the corresponding pair of  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ -diagram  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{sl}}$  which is

almost always fulfilled. Namely the  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  Young diagram  $[\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma(r)}]$  is obtained from the pair of  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  Young diagrams  $([\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p], [\lambda_{p+1}, \dots, \lambda_r])$  according to the following procedure

$$([\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p], [\lambda_{p+1}, \dots, \lambda_r]) \rightarrow [\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{\sigma(r)}] , \quad (2.24)$$

where  $\sigma$  is a  $(p, r-p)$ -shuffle transposition in the symmetric group  $S_r$  such that  $\lambda_{\sigma(1)} \geq \lambda_{\sigma(2)} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{\sigma(r)}$ . Exceptions for this rule are representations in Tables 1.-3. denoted by dashes and  $Y_2'', X_3, B'$ . The map (2.24) defines a graded abelian multiplication in the set of Young diagrams. This operation for Young diagrams is well known (see, e.g., [17], Paragraph 1 in Chapter I, where this operation is denoted as  $\cup$ ). The analog of the rule (2.24) was also discussed in [16].

**Examples 4.** Consider  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  representations  $Y_n = ([n], [n])$ . Recall that  $Y_1 = ([1], [1])$  is ad-representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ . According to the rules (2.9) the tensor product  $Y_n \times Y_1$  is decomposed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n \times Y_1 &= ([n], [n]) \times ([1], [1]) = ([n+1], [n+1]) + \\ &+ ([n, 1], [n+1]) + ([n+1], [n, 1]) + ([n, 1], [n, 1]) + \\ &+ ([n], [n-1, 1]) + ([n-1, 1], [n]) + 2([n], [n]) + ([n-1], [n-1]) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

For the case of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}_N$ , the decomposition of  $Y_n \times Y_1$  is written as

$$\begin{aligned} Y_n \times Y_1 &= [n^2] \times [1^2] = [(n+1)^2] + [n+1, n, 1] + [n^2, 1^2] + \\ &+ [n, n-1, 1] + [n^2] + [n+1, n-1] + [(n-1)^2] . \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

We note that the correspondence (2.24) holds for almost all  $\mathfrak{sl}$  and  $\mathfrak{so}$  diagrams in (2.25) and (2.26), except for the one pair:  $([n], [n]) \not\rightarrow [n+1, n-1]$  (cf. with  $B'$  in Table 3).

## 2.2 $k$ -split Casimir operators and their eigenvalues

Let  $X_a$  ( $a = 1, \dots, \dim(\mathfrak{g})$ ) be generators of enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  of simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with commutation relations  $[X_a, X_b] = X_d X_{ab}^d$ . We define the Cartan-Killing metric by the formula

$$\mathfrak{g}_{ab} = \text{Tr}(\text{ad}X_a \cdot \text{ad}X_b) = X_{ac}^d X_{ad}^c , \quad (2.27)$$

and define the quadratic Casimir operator

$$c_{(2)} = \mathfrak{g}^{ab} X_a X_b \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) , \quad (2.28)$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}^{ab}$  is inverse to the Cartan-Killing metric (2.27). The element (2.28) is central in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  and its image in any irreducible representation  $T$  has the form  $T(c_{(2)}) = c_{(2)}^{(T)} I_T$ , where  $I_T$  is the unit operator and  $c_{(2)}^{(T)}$  is the eigenvalue of  $c_{(2)}$  in the space of the irrep  $T$ . For any irrep  $T$  of the simple Lie algebra we have (cf. (2.27))

$$\text{Tr}(T(X_a)T(X_b)) = d^{(T)} \mathfrak{g}_{ab} , \quad (2.29)$$

where parameter  $d^{(T)}$  characterizes the irrep  $T$  and is related to the eigenvalue  $c_{(2)}^{(T)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(T(c_{(2)})) &= \mathbf{g}^{ab} \mathrm{Tr}(T(X_a)T(X_b)) = \mathbf{g}^{ab} \mathbf{g}_{ab} d^{(T)} = d^{(T)} \dim \mathbf{g}, \\ \mathrm{Tr}(T(c_{(2)})) &= c_{(2)}^{(T)} \mathrm{Tr}(I_T) = c_{(2)}^{(T)} \dim T \Rightarrow d^{(T)} = c_{(2)}^{(T)} \frac{\dim T}{\dim \mathbf{g}}.\end{aligned}\quad (2.30)$$

The definition of the quadratic Casimir operator (2.28) fixes its value in the adjoint representation such that  $c_{(2)}^{(\mathrm{ad})} = 1$ . Indeed, in view of the definition of the metric (2.27) we have  $d^{(\mathrm{ad})} = 1$ , and since  $\dim(\mathrm{ad}) = \dim \mathbf{g}$ , we obtain  $c_{(2)}^{(\mathrm{ad})} = 1$  from (2.30).

To simplify notation we denote

$$C \equiv c_{(2)} = \mathbf{g}^{ab} X_a X_b.$$

Then we introduce the  $k$ -split Casimir operator

$$\hat{C}_{(1\dots k)} := \sum_{i < j}^k \hat{C}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^{k-1}(C) - \sum_{i=1}^k C_i) \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{g})^{\otimes k}, \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$\hat{C}_{ij} := \mathbf{g}^{ab} (I^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes X_a \otimes I^{\otimes(j-i-1)} \otimes X_b \otimes I^{\otimes(k-j)}), \quad C_\ell := I^{\otimes(\ell-1)} \otimes C \otimes I^{\otimes(k-\ell)},$$

and  $\Delta$  is the comultiplication in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{g})$  (see e.g. [18], sect. 3.7.4):

$$\Delta(X_a) = X_a \otimes I + I \otimes X_a, \quad \Delta^{k-1} := (\Delta \otimes I^{\otimes(k-2)}) \dots (\Delta \otimes I) \Delta.$$

For a decomposition of tensor product of  $k$  irreducible representations  $T^{(\Lambda_i)}$  of the algebra  $\mathbf{g}$ :

$$T^{(\Lambda_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes T^{(\Lambda_k)} = \sum_{\Lambda} T^{(\Lambda)} \quad (2.32)$$

( $\Lambda, \Lambda_i$  – are highest weights of the representations), we deduce, taking into account definition of  $k$ -split Casimir operator (2.31) (see e.g. [11]), the formula for the eigenvalues  $\hat{c}_{(1\dots k)}^{(\Lambda)}$  of the  $k$ -split Casimir operator  $\hat{C}_{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k} = (T^{(\Lambda_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes T^{(\Lambda_k)}) \hat{C}_{(1\dots k)}$  which acts on the subspace of the irrep  $\Lambda$ :

$$\hat{c}_{(1\dots k)}^{(\Lambda)} = \frac{1}{2} (c_{(2)}^{(\Lambda)} - \sum_{i=1}^k c_{(2)}^{(\Lambda_i)}). \quad (2.33)$$

Here  $c_{(2)}^{(\Lambda)}$  are eigenvalues of the quadratic Casimir operator (2.28) on the subspace of irrep with highest weight  $\Lambda$ . In the representation of  $\mathbf{g}$  with highest weight  $\Lambda$ , according to well known formula, the quadratic Casimir operator  $C$  has the eigenvalue

$$C_{(2)}(\Lambda) = (\Lambda, \Lambda + 2\delta), \quad (2.34)$$

where  $(.,.)$  is a scalar product in the root space of  $\mathbf{g}$  and  $\delta$  is the Weyl vector of the simple Lie algebra  $\mathbf{g}$ . The choice of the root space metric for calculating the scalar product in the

right-hand side of (2.34) must be consistent with the definitions (2.27) and (2.28) which give  $c_{(2)}^{(\text{ad})} = 1$ . In fact, we can use arbitrary choice of the root space metric, but then we should normalize the values (2.34) in a special way

$$c_{(2)}^{(\Lambda)} := \frac{C_{(2)}(\Lambda)}{C_{(2)}(\Lambda_{(\text{ad})})} , \quad (2.35)$$

( $\Lambda_{(\text{ad})}$  – is the highest weight of the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ) such that we automatically fix the value of the quadratic Casimir operator in the adjoint representation as  $c_{(2)}^{(\text{ad})} = 1$ .

In [10], [11], [12] we considered the case  $\text{ad}^{\otimes k}$  when all representations  $T^{(\Lambda_i)}$  in the left hand side of (2.32) are adjoint representations  $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_{(\text{ad})}$ . In this case the value of the  $k$ -split Casimir operator is

$$\hat{c}_{(1\dots k)}^{(\Lambda)} = \frac{1}{2}(c_{(2)}^{(\Lambda)} - k c_{(2)}^{(\text{ad})}) = \frac{1}{2}c_{(2)}^{(\Lambda)} - \frac{k}{2} , \quad (2.36)$$

where  $c_{(2)}^{(\Lambda)}$  are defined in (2.35) and we substituted  $c_{(2)}^{(\text{ad})} = 1$ .

**Examples 5.** For the representation of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_N, \mathfrak{so}_N$ , which is characterized by the Young diagram  $[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k]$  (tensor representations), the highest weight  $\Lambda_{[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k]}$  is equal to (see e.g. sect. 3.4.2 in [19])

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } \mathfrak{sl}_N : \quad \Lambda_{[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N-1}]} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left( \lambda_i - \frac{|\lambda|}{N} \right) e^{(i)} - \frac{|\lambda|}{N} e^{(N)} , \quad |\lambda| := \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \lambda_i , \\ \text{for } \mathfrak{so}_N : \quad \Lambda_{[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k]} &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i e^{(i)} , \quad (k \leq [\frac{N}{2}]) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

where  $e^{(i)}$  – are basis vectors in the root spaces. In the representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$ , the quadratic Casimir operator  $C$  has the eigenvalue (2.34), where  $\delta$  is the Weyl vector

$$\text{for } \mathfrak{sl}_N : \quad \delta = \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{N+1}{2} - i \right) e^{(i)} , \quad (2.38)$$

$$\text{for } \mathfrak{so}_N : \quad \delta = \sum_{i=1}^{[\frac{N}{2}]} \left( \frac{N}{2} - i \right) e^{(i)} . \quad (2.39)$$

Finally, taking the orthonormal metric  $(e^{(i)}, e^{(j)}) = \delta^{ij}$  in the root spaces, we obtain the eigenvalues for quadratic Casimir operators (2.34) for  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  case:

$$C_{(2)}([\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N-1}]) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} \lambda_{\ell}^2 - 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} \ell \lambda_{\ell} - \frac{|\lambda|^2}{N} + |\lambda|(N+1) , \quad (2.40)$$

and for  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  case:

$$C_{(2)}([\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k]) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k (\lambda_{\ell}^2 + \lambda_{\ell}(N-2\ell)) = \sum_{\ell=1}^k (\lambda_{\ell}^2 - 2\ell\lambda_{\ell}) + N|\lambda| , \quad (2.41)$$

(where  $k \leq [\frac{N}{2}]$ ).

The special form for Casimir eigenvalues (2.40) and (2.41) were considered in [15] and [16], where the universal expressions for Casimir eigenvalues (with normalization different from ours) were found for representations appeared in the decomposition of the fifth power of adjoint  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 5}$ .

Recall that the dimension of a representation  $T^{(\lambda)}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  is given by the Weyl formula

$$\dim T^{(\lambda)} = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \frac{(\lambda + \delta, \alpha)}{(\delta, \alpha)}, \quad (2.42)$$

where the product is performed over all positive roots  $\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

### 2.2.1 Traces of powers of 2-split Casimir and higher Casimir operators

Consider the images of the 2-split Casimir operator  $\hat{C}_{(12)} = \mathfrak{g}^{ab} X_a \otimes X_b$  in a representation  $T_1 \otimes T_2$ :

$$\hat{C}_{T_1 \otimes T_2} = \mathfrak{g}^{ab} T_1(X_a) \otimes T_2(X_b), \quad (2.43)$$

where  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are two irreps of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then, using (2.29) and (2.30), we deduce

$$\text{Tr}_1(\hat{C}_{T_1 \otimes T_2}) = 0 = \text{Tr}_2 \hat{C}_{T_1 \otimes T_2}, \quad (2.44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{12}(\hat{C}_{T_1 \otimes T_2})^2 &= \mathfrak{g}^{a_1 b_1} \mathfrak{g}^{a_2 b_2} \text{Tr}_1(T_1(X_{a_1}) T_1(X_{a_2})) \text{Tr}_2(T_2(X_{b_1}) T_2(X_{b_2})) = \\ &= d^{(T_1)} c_{(2)}^{(T_2)} \dim T_2 = d^{(T_2)} c_{(2)}^{(T_1)} \dim T_1 = d^{(T_1)} d^{(T_2)} \dim \mathfrak{g}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.45)$$

In particular, for any irrep  $T_1 = T$  with highest weight  $\lambda$  and adjoint representation  $T_2 = \text{ad}$ , we obtain

$$\text{Tr}_{12}(\hat{C}_{T \otimes \text{ad}})^2 = c_{(2)}^{(T)} \dim T = d^{(T)} \dim \mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow d^{(T)} = \frac{c_{(2)}^{(T)} \dim T}{\dim \mathfrak{g}}, \quad (2.46)$$

where we take into account  $d^{(\text{ad})} = 1$  and  $c_{(2)}^{(T)} \equiv c_{(2)}^{(\lambda)} = C_{(2)}(\lambda)/C_{(2)}(\text{ad})$  (the definition of  $C_{(2)}(\lambda)$  is given in (2.34)). Substitution of (2.46) into (2.45) yields formula

$$\text{Tr}_{12}(\hat{C}_{T_1 \otimes T_2})^2 = \frac{c_{(2)}^{(T_1)} c_{(2)}^{(T_2)} \dim T_1 \dim T_2}{\dim \mathfrak{g}}, \quad (2.47)$$

which we will use below.

**Proposition 2.4** *Let  $T^{(1)}$  and  $T^{(2)}$  be two representations of simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and representation  $T^{(2)}$  (or  $T^{(1)}$ ) is equivalent to the skew symmetric representation such that*

$$(T^{(2)}(X_a))^T = -A T^{(2)}(X_a) A^{-1}, \quad (2.48)$$

*where  $A$  is some constant matrix. Then, for normalization accepted in (2.27) and (2.43), we have identity*

$$\text{Tr}_{12}(\hat{C}_{T^{(1)} \otimes T^{(2)}})^3 = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}_{12}(\hat{C}_{T^{(1)} \otimes T^{(2)}})^2. \quad (2.49)$$

**Proof.** Introduce the concise notation  $T_a^{(i)} = T^{(i)}(X_a)$ . First of all, in view of (2.48), we find

$$d_{b_1 b_2 b_3}^{(2)} := \text{Tr}(T_{b_1}^{(2)} T_{b_2}^{(2)} T_{b_3}^{(2)}) = \text{Tr}(T_{b_1}^{(2)} T_{b_2}^{(2)} T_{b_3}^{(2)})^\top = -\text{Tr}(T_{b_3}^{(2)} T_{b_2}^{(2)} T_{b_1}^{(2)}) , \quad (2.50)$$

and it means that the tensor  $d_{b_1 b_2 b_3}^{(2)}$  is completely anti-symmetric with respect to all permutations of  $(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ . Then, for the left hand side of (2.49) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{12}(\hat{C}_{T^{(1)} \otimes T^{(2)}})^3 &= \mathbf{g}^{a_1 b_1} \mathbf{g}^{a_2 b_2} \mathbf{g}^{a_3 b_3} \text{Tr}_1(T_{a_1}^{(1)} T_{a_2}^{(1)} T_{a_3}^{(1)}) \text{Tr}_2(T_{b_1}^{(2)} T_{b_2}^{(2)} T_{b_3}^{(2)}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}^{a_1 b_1} \mathbf{g}^{a_2 b_2} \mathbf{g}^{a_3 b_3} \text{Tr}_1\left([T_{a_1}^{(1)} T_{a_2}^{(1)}]_- T_{a_3}^{(1)} + [T_{a_1}^{(1)} T_{a_2}^{(1)}]_+ T_{a_3}^{(1)}\right) \text{Tr}_2(T_{b_1}^{(2)} T_{b_2}^{(2)} T_{b_3}^{(2)}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}^{a_1 b_1} \mathbf{g}^{a_2 b_2} \mathbf{g}^{a_3 b_3} \text{Tr}_1([T_{a_1}^{(1)} T_{a_2}^{(1)}]_- T_{a_3}^{(1)}) \text{Tr}_2([T_{b_1}^{(2)} T_{b_2}^{(2)}]_- T_{b_3}^{(2)}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}^{a_1 b_1} \mathbf{g}^{a_2 b_2} \mathbf{g}^{a_3 b_3} X_{a_1 a_2}^{d_1} X_{b_1 b_2}^{d_2} \text{Tr}_1(T_{d_1}^{(1)} T_{a_3}^{(1)}) \text{Tr}_2(T_{d_2}^{(2)} T_{b_3}^{(2)}) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{g}^{d_1 d_2} \mathbf{g}^{a_3 b_3} \text{Tr}_1(T_{d_1}^{(1)} T_{a_3}^{(1)}) \text{Tr}_2(T_{d_2}^{(2)} T_{b_3}^{(2)}) = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}_{12}(\hat{C}_{T^{(1)} \otimes T^{(2)}})^2 , \end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we used (2.50) and took into account that  $\text{Tr}_1([T_{a_1}^{(1)} T_{a_2}^{(1)}]_+ T_{a_3}^{(1)})$  is completely symmetric tensor such that this term in  $\text{Tr}_1(\dots)$  gives zero.  $\blacksquare$

**Corollary.** The condition (2.48) is fulfilled for all Cartan powers  $Y_n$  of the adjoint representation of simple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Indeed, for adjoint representation  $\text{ad} = Y_1$  the condition (2.48) is valid

$$X_{af}^c = -\mathbf{g}_{fb} X_{ad}^b \mathbf{g}^{dc} . \quad (2.51)$$

The generators  $X_a$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the representation  $Y_n$  are

$$(T^{(Y_n)}(X_a))_{(c_1 \dots c_n)}^{(b_1 \dots b_n)} \equiv X_{a(c_1 \dots c_n)}^{(b_1 \dots b_n)} = X_{a(c_1}^{(b_1} \delta_{c_2}^{b_2} \dots \delta_{c_n)}^{b_n)}$$

where parentheses in  $(b_1 \dots b_n)$  indicate the symmetrization over all indices  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  and in view of (2.51) we obtain for  $T^{(Y_n)}(X_a)$  the condition (2.48) as well

$$X_{a(f_1 \dots f_n)}^{(d_1 \dots d_n)} = -\mathbf{g}_{f_1 b_1} \dots \mathbf{g}_{f_n b_n} X_{a(c_1 \dots c_n)}^{(b_1 \dots b_n)} \mathbf{g}^{c_1 d_1} \dots \mathbf{g}^{c_n d_n} .$$

Thus, for any representation  $T$  of simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  we have the identity (2.49)

$$\text{Tr}_{12}(\hat{C}_{T \otimes Y_n})^3 = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}_{12}(\hat{C}_{T \otimes Y_n})^2 . \quad (2.52)$$

Now we introduce the invariant  $k$ -tensor which generalizes the metric  $\mathbf{g}^{ab}$ :

$$\mathbf{g}^{a_1 \dots a_k} := \mathbf{g}^{a_1 b_1} \dots \mathbf{g}^{a_k b_k} \text{Tr}(\text{ad}(X_{b_1}) \dots \text{ad}(X_{b_k})) , \quad (2.53)$$

and define higher  $k$ -Casimir operator (see e.g. [18])

$$C_{(k)} = \mathbf{g}^{a_1 \dots a_k} X_{a_1} \dots X_{a_k} = \text{Tr}_2((id \otimes \text{ad}) \hat{C}_{(12)}^k) . \quad (2.54)$$

The invariant  $k$ -tensor (2.53) possesses cyclic symmetry  $\mathbf{g}^{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} = \mathbf{g}^{a_2 \dots a_k a_1}$ . Elements (2.54) generate the center of the algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  and the number of independent generators (2.54) are equal to the rank of the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Another frequently used set of center generators is defined as follows

$$\overline{C}_{(k)} = \mathbf{g}^{(a_1 \dots a_k)} X_{a_1} \dots X_{a_k} = \text{Tr}_2((id \otimes \text{ad}) \hat{C}_{(12)}^k) , \quad (2.55)$$

where  $\mathbf{g}^{(a_1 \dots a_k)}$  is the completely symmetrized  $k$ -tensor (2.53). For arbitrary irreducible representation  $T$  of simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}^{b_1 \dots b_k} T_{b_1} \dots T_{b_k} &= c_{(k)}^T I_T, \quad \text{Tr}(T_{b_1} \dots T_{b_k}) = \mathbf{d}_{b_1 \dots b_k}^{(T)} \Rightarrow \\ \mathbf{g}^{b_1 \dots b_k} \mathbf{d}_{b_1 \dots b_k}^{(T)} &= \mathbf{g}^{b_1 \dots b_k} \text{Tr}(T_{b_1} \dots T_{b_k}) = c_{(k)}^T \dim(T), \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

where we denote  $T_b = T(X_b)$ ,  $c_{(k)}^T$  – value of higher  $k$ -Casimir (2.54) in the representation  $T$  and  $I_T$  – unit operator in the space of the representation  $T$ . Equation (2.56) generalizes identity (2.30). In particular for higher  $k$ -Casimir operators in the representation  $T$  we obtain relation

$$\text{Tr}_2 \hat{C}_{T \otimes \text{ad}}^k = T_{a_1} \dots T_{a_k} \mathbf{d}^{a_1 \dots a_k} \equiv c_{(k)}^T I_T,$$

and evaluating  $\text{Tr}_1$  of its both sides for  $k = 2$  we reproduce (2.46).

### 3 Beyond Vogel universality

#### 3.1 Description of irreps $Y_n$ , $Y'_n$ and universal decomposition of $[1] \otimes Y_n$ and $[1] \otimes Y'_n$ for simple Lie algebras of classical series

Let  $Y_n$  be the Cartan  $n$ -power of the adjoint representation  $Y_1 = \text{ad}$  of simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Denote the highest weight of the adjoint representation as  $\lambda_{\text{ad}}$ , then the highest weight of the representation  $Y_n$  is  $n \lambda_{\text{ad}}$ .

##### 3.1.1 Description of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ -irreps $Y_n$ , $Y'_n$ and expansion of $[1] \otimes Y_n$ and $[1] \otimes Y'_n$

The Young diagram of the ad-representation of  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  is  $[2, 1^{N-2}]$ . Therefore, the Young diagram for the  $Y_n$ -representation is  $[2n, n^{N-2}]$  and, according to our notation adopted in section 2.1 (see Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.1), we associate this representation with a pair of diagrams  $([n], [n])$ . The dimensions of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ -irreps  $Y_n = [2n, n^{N-2}] = ([n], [n])$  and  $Y'_n = [2^n, 1^{N-2n}] = ([1^n], [1^n])$  are evaluated with the help of (2.7), (1.2):

$$\dim Y_n = \left( \frac{(N+n-2)!}{n!(N-2)!} \right)^2 \frac{(N+2n-1)}{(N-1)}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\dim Y'_n = \left( \frac{(N+1)!}{n!(N-n+1)!} \right)^2 \frac{(N-2n+1)}{(N+1)}, \quad (3.2)$$

We note (see (2.8)) that  $\dim Y_n$  is obtained from  $\dim Y'_n$  by formal exchange  $N \rightarrow -N$ , which is in the agreement with the exchange of the Vogel parameters  $\hat{\alpha} \leftrightarrow \hat{\beta}$  for the  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  algebra (see Table 4).

In the  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  case the decompositions of the tensor product of the defining representation and representations  $Y_n, Y'_n$  are

$$\begin{aligned} [1] \otimes Y_n &= [2n+1, n^{N-2}] + [2n, n+1, n^{N-3}] + [2n-1, (n-1)^{N-2}] = \\ &= ([n], [n+1]) + ([n], [n, 1]) + ([n-1], [n]), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[1] \otimes Y'_n &= [2^{n+1}, 1^{N-2n-1}] + [3, 2^{n-1}, 1^{N-2n}] + [2^n, 1^{N-2n+1}] = \\
&= ([1^n], [1^{n+1}]) + ([1^n], [2, 1^{n-1}]) + ([1^{n-1}], [1^n]) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The dimensions of the representations which appear in the decompositions (3.3) and (3.4) are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim([2n+1, n^{N-2}]) &= \frac{(N+2n)(N+n-1)}{(N+2n-1)(n+1)} \dim Y_n , \\
\dim(2n, n+1, n^{N-3}) &= \frac{(N+n-1)n(N-2)}{(n+1)(N+n-2)} \dim Y_n , \\
\dim([2n-1, (n-1)^{N-2}]) &= \frac{(N+2n-2)n}{(N+n-2)(N+2n-1)} \dim Y_n ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim([2^{n+1}, 1^{N-2n-1}]) &= \frac{(N-2n)(N-n+1)}{(N-2n+1)(n+1)} \dim Y'_n , \\
\dim(3, 2^{n-1}, 1^{N-2n}) &= \frac{(N-n+1)n(N+2)}{(n+1)(N-n+2)} \dim Y'_n , \\
\dim([2^n, 1^{N-2n+1}]) &= \frac{(N-2n+2)n}{(N-n+2)(N-2n+1)} \dim Y'_n .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Taking into account the decompositions (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain the obvious identities for dimensions (3.1), (3.5) and (3.1), (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
N \cdot \dim(Y_n) &= \dim([2n+1, n^{N-2}]) + \dim(2n, n+1, n^{N-3}) + \dim([2n-1, (n-1)^{N-2}]) , \\
N \cdot \dim(Y'_n) &= \dim([2^{n+1}, 1^{N-2n-1}]) + \dim([3, 2^{n-1}, 1^{N-2n}]) + \dim([2^n, 1^{N-2n+1}]) .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

By means of (2.40), we evaluate eigenvalues of the quadratic Casimir operators for ad representation and for representations which are used in (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
C_2([1]) &= N - 1/N , \quad C_2([2, 1^{N-2}]) = C_2(\text{ad}) = 2N , \\
C_2(Y_n) &= 2n(n-1+N) , \quad C_2([2n+1, n^{N-2}]) = \frac{(2Nn^2 + 2nN^2 - 1 + N^2)}{N} , \\
C_2(2n, n+1, n^{N-3}) &= \frac{(2Nn^2 - 2nN - 2N + 2nN^2 - 1 + N^2)}{N} , \\
C_2([2n-1, (n-1)^{N-2}]) &= \frac{(2Nn^2 - 4nN + 2N + 2nN^2 - N^2 - 1)}{N}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We take into account value for ad-representation  $C_{(2)}(\text{ad})$  and normalize values (3.8) as

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{(2)}^{Y_n} &= \frac{C_{(2)}(Y_n)}{C_{(2)}([2, 1^{N-2}])} = \frac{n(n-1+N)}{N} , \quad c_{(2)}^{[2n+1, n^{N-2}]} = \frac{(2Nn^2 + 2nN^2 - 1 + N^2)}{2N^2} , \\
c_{(2)}^{[2n, n+1, n^{N-3}]} &= \frac{(2Nn^2 - 2nN - 2N + 2nN^2 - 1 + N^2)}{2N^2} , \\
c_{(2)}^{[2n-1, (n-1)^{N-2}]} &= \frac{(2Nn^2 - 4nN + 2N + 2nN^2 - N^2 - 1)}{2N^2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Finally, according to (2.33), we obtain eigenvalues of the 2-split Casimir operator  $\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}$



in the representation  $([1] \otimes Y_n)$  for  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  case:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{c}_2^{[2n+1, n^{N-2}]} &= \frac{1}{2}(c_{(2)}^{[2n+1, n^{N-2}]} - c_{(2)}^{[1]} - c_{(2)}^{Y_n}) = \frac{n}{2N}, \\ \hat{c}_{(2)}^{[2n, n+1, n^{N-3}]} &= \frac{1}{2}(c_{(2)}^{[2n, n+1, n^{N-3}]} - c_{(2)}^{[1]} - c_{(2)}^{Y_n}) = -\frac{1}{2N}, \\ \hat{c}_{(2)}^{[2n-1, (n-1)^{N-2}]} &= \frac{1}{2}(c_{(2)}^{[2n-1, (n-1)^{N-2}]} - c_{(2)}^{[1]} - c_{(2)}^{Y_n}) = -\frac{(n-1+N)}{2N},\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

and characteristic identity is

$$(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{2N})(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} - \frac{n}{2N})(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{(n-1+N)}{2N}) = 0\tag{3.11}$$

**Remark 3.1** By means of (2.40) we obtain the values of the Casimir operators for representations in the expansion (3.4) of  $[1] \times Y'_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned}C_2(Y'_n) &= C_2([2^n, 1^{N-2n}]) = 2n(N-n+1), \\ C_2([3, 2^{n-1}, 1^{N-2n}]) &= 2 + 2n + N - 2n^2 + 2Nn - 1/N, \\ C_2([2^{n+1}, 1^{N-2n-1}]) &= N - 2n^2 + 2Nn - 1/N, \\ C_2([2^n, 1^{N-2n+1}]) &= 4n - N - 2 - 2n^2 + 2Nn - 1/N,\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

Then, we obtain (according to (2.33)) eigenvalues of the 2-split Casimir operator in the representation  $[1] \otimes Y'_n = \square \otimes Y'_n$  for  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  case:

$$\hat{c}_2^{[3, 2^{n-1}, 1^{N-2n}]} = \frac{1}{2N}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{[2^{n+1}, 1^{N-2n-1}]} = -\frac{n}{2N}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{[2^n, 1^{N-2n+1}]} = -\frac{(N-n+1)}{2N},\tag{3.13}$$

and characteristic identity is

$$(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} - \frac{1}{2N})(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} + \frac{n}{2N})(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} + \frac{(N-n+1)}{2N}) = 0.\tag{3.14}$$

### 3.1.2 Description of the $\mathfrak{so}_N$ -irreps $Y_n = [n^2]$ , $Y'_n = [1^{2n}]$ and decomposition of $[1] \otimes Y_n$ and $[1] \otimes Y'_n$

The dimensions of the  $\mathfrak{so}_N$ -irreps  $Y_n = [n^2]$ ,  $Y'_n = [1^{2n}]$  are evaluated by means of (2.23):

$$\dim Y_n = \frac{(N+n-5)!(N+n-4)!(N+2n-2)!}{n!(n+1)!(N-4)!(N-2)!(N+2n-5)!},\tag{3.15}$$

$$\dim Y'_n = \frac{N!}{(2n)!(N-2n)!},\tag{3.16}$$

In the  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  case the decompositions of the tensor product of defining  $[1] = \square$  and  $Y_n, Y'_n$  representations are

$$[1] \otimes Y_n = [n+1, n] + [n^2, 1] + [n, n-1],\tag{3.17}$$

$$[1] \otimes Y'_n = [1^{2n+1}] + [2, 1^{2n-1}] + [1^{2n-1}],\tag{3.18}$$

The dimensions of the  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  representations which appear in the decompositions (3.17) and (3.18) are equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\dim([n+1, n]) &= 2 \frac{(N+2n)(N+n-3)}{(N+2n-3)(n+2)} \dim Y_n \\ \dim([n^2, 1]) &= \frac{n(N-4)(N+n-3)}{(n+2)(N+n-5)} \dim Y_n \\ \dim([n, n-1]) &= \dim([n+1, n])|_{n \rightarrow n-1} = 2 \frac{n(N+2n-6)}{(N+2n-3)(N+n-5)} \dim Y_n.\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\dim([1^{2n+1}]) &= \frac{N!}{(N-2n-1)!(2n+1)!} = \frac{N-2n}{2n+1} \dim Y'_n \\ \dim([2, 1^{2n-1}]) &= \frac{2n(N-2n)(N+2)N!}{(2n+1)!(N-2n+1)!} = \frac{2n(N-2n)(N+2)}{(2n+1)(N-2n+1)} \dim Y'_n \\ \dim([1^{2n-1}]) &= \frac{N!}{(N-2n+1)!(2n-1)!} = \frac{2n}{N-2n+1} \dim Y'_n.\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

By using (2.41) we deduce the values of the quadratic Casimir operators for ad representation and representations which arise in (3.17)

$$\begin{aligned}C_{(2)}([1]) &= N-1, \quad C_{(2)}([1^2]) = C_{(2)}(\text{ad}) = 2(N-2), \\ C_{(2)}(Y_n) &= C_{(2)}([n^2]) = 2n(n-3+N), \\ C_{(2)}([n+1, n]) &= 2n^2 - 4n - 1 + N(2n+1), \\ C_{(2)}([n^2, 1]) &= 2n^2 - 6n - 5 + N(2n+1), \\ C_{(2)}([n, n-1]) &= 2n^2 - 8n + 5 + N(2n-1),\end{aligned}\tag{3.21}$$

and taking into account the value for  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  ad-representation  $C_{(2)}(\text{ad})$ , we normalize values (3.21) as

$$\begin{aligned}c_{(2)}^{[1]} &= \frac{N-1}{2(N-2)}, \quad c_{(2)}^{Y_n} = \frac{n(n-3+N)}{(N-2)}, \quad c_{(2)}^{[n+1, n]} = \frac{2n^2-4n-1+N(2n+1)}{2(N-2)}, \\ c_{(2)}^{[n^2, 1]} &= \frac{2n^2-6n-5+N(2n+1)}{2(N-2)}, \quad c_{(2)}^{[n, n-1]} = \frac{2n^2-8n+5+N(2n-1)}{2(N-2)},\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

Finally, according to (2.33), we obtain eigenvalues of the 2-split Casimir operator in the representation  $([1] \otimes Y_2(\alpha))$  for  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  case:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{c}_{(2)}^{[n^2, 1]} &= \frac{1}{2}(c_{(2)}^{[n^2, 1]} - c_{(2)}^{[1]} - c_{(2)}^{Y_n}) = -\frac{1}{N-2}, \\ \hat{c}_{(2)}^{[n+1, n]} &= \frac{1}{2}(c_{(2)}^{[n+1, n]} - c_{(2)}^{[1]} - c_{(2)}^{Y_n}) = \frac{n}{2(N-2)}, \\ \hat{c}_{(2)}^{[n, n-1]} &= \frac{1}{2}(c_{(2)}^{[n, n-1]} - c_{(2)}^{[1]} - c_{(2)}^{Y_n}) = -\frac{N+n-3}{2(N-2)},\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

and characteristic identity is

$$(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{N-2})(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} - \frac{n}{2(N-2)})(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{N+n-3}{2(N-2)}) = 0\tag{3.24}$$

The characteristic identities (3.11) and (3.24) (for Lie algebras of classical series) are written for the split Casimir operator in the representation  $\square \otimes Y_n$  in the unified form

$$\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}(1-n)\right)\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + n\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}\right)\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{\hat{\beta}}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (3.25)$$

where  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$  are homogeneous Vogel parameters which we define in (1.4) and list in Table 4. In this Table we also add parameter  $\lambda$ , which is equal to the dimension of the defining representation  $\square$ , give dimensions of simple Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  and add the values  $c_{(2)}^{(\square)}$  of quadratic Casimir operator in the defining representation  $\square$ .

Table 4:

	$\mathfrak{sl}(N)$	$\mathfrak{so}(N)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(N)$	$\mathfrak{g}_2$	$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_8$
$\hat{\alpha}$	$-1/N$	$-1/(N-2)$	$-2/(N+2)$	$-1/4$	$-1/9$	$-1/12$	$-1/18$	$-1/30$
$\hat{\beta}$	$1/N$	$2/(N-2)$	$1/(N+2)$	$5/12$	$5/18$	$1/4$	$2/9$	$1/5$
$\hat{\gamma}$	$1/2$	$\frac{N-4}{2(N-2)}$	$\frac{N+4}{2(N+2)}$	$1/3$	$1/3$	$1/3$	$1/3$	$1/3$
$\lambda := \dim \square$	$N$	$N$	$N$	$7$	$26$	$27$	$56$	$248$
$\dim \mathfrak{g}$	$N^2 - 1$	$\frac{N(N-1)}{2}$	$\frac{N(N+1)}{2}$	$14$	$52$	$78$	$133$	$248$
$c_{(2)}^{(\square)}$	$\frac{N^2-1}{2N^2}$	$\frac{(N-1)}{2(N-2)}$	$\frac{(N+1)}{2(N+2)}$	$1/2$	$2/3$	$13/18$	$19/24$	$1$
$c_{(2)}^{(\square)} \cdot \dim \square$	$\frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{2N}$	$\frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{(N-2)}$	$\frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{(N+2)}$	$\frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{4}$	$\frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{3}$	$\frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{4}$	$\frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{3}$	$\dim \mathfrak{g}$

**Remark 3.2** For the  $\mathfrak{so}_N$ -representations appeared in the decomposition of  $[1] \times Y'_n$  (3.18) we respectively obtain the values of the quadratic Casimir operators

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(2)}(Y'_n) &= C_{(2)}([1^{2n}]) = 2n(N-2n), \\ C_{(2)}([1^{2n+1}]) &= (2n+1)(N-2n-1), \\ C_{(2)}([2, 1^{2n-1}]) &= (2n+1)(N-2n+1), \\ C_{(2)}([1^{2n-1}]) &= (2n-1)(N-2n+1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

and according to (2.33) we deduce the eigenvalues of the 2-split Casimir operator in the representation  $[1] \otimes Y'_n = \square \otimes Y'_n$  (for  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  case):

$$\hat{c}_2^{[1^{2n+1}]} = -\frac{n}{N-2}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{[2, 1^{2n-1}]} = \frac{1}{2(N-2)}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{[1^{2n-1}]} = -\frac{(N-2n)}{2(N-2)}. \quad (3.27)$$

Thus, the characteristic identity is

$$\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} + \frac{n}{N-2}\right)\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} - \frac{1}{2(N-2)}\right)\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} + \frac{(N-2n)}{2(N-2)}\right) = 0 \quad (3.28)$$

Characteristic identities (3.14) and (3.28) are written in the universal form (for  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  and  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  algebras) via Vogel parameters as

$$\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\beta}}{2}(1-n)\right)\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} + n\frac{\hat{\beta}}{2}\right)\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (3.29)$$

As it is expected, this characteristic identity is obtained from (3.25) by the exchange of the parameters  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$ .

## 3.2 Universal expansions for $\square \otimes Y_n$ in the case of exceptional algebras

For all exceptional Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} = \{\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{f}_4, \mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{e}_7, \mathfrak{e}_8\}$  we denote by  $\square$  the fundamental representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with minimal dimension and we denote by  $Y_n$  the Cartan powers of  $n$  adjoint representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

### 3.2.1 The case of $\mathfrak{g}_2$ algebra. Description of irreps $Y_n$ and expansion of $\square \otimes Y_n$

It is convenient (see e.g. [19]) to consider the root space of the algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  as two-dimensional plane embedded in a 3-dimensional space, such that 3-dimensional vectors  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  satisfy the relation  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0$ . The fundamental weights  $\lambda_{(1)}, \lambda_{(2)}$  (we use also notation  $\lambda_{[[d]]}$ , where  $d$  denotes the dimension of the representation  $[[d]]$ ), the Weyl vector  $\delta$  (see [21], see also [19] and references therein) and the highest weight vectors for the representations  $Y_n$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{[[7]]} = \lambda_{(1)} &= (0, -1, 1), \quad \lambda_{[[14]]} = \lambda_{\text{ad}} = \lambda_{(2)} = (-1, -1, 2), \\ \lambda_{Y_n} = n \cdot \lambda_{(2)} &= (-n, -n, 2n), \quad \delta = \sum_{i=1}^2 \lambda_{(i)} = (-1, -2, 3). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

We denote as  $T_\lambda$  the representation with highest weight  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \big|_{\lambda_3 = -\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$ , and one can find from (2.42) that

$$\dim T_\lambda = -\frac{1}{5!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (\lambda'_i - \lambda'_j)(\lambda'_i + \lambda'_j), \quad (3.31)$$

where  $\lambda'_1 = \lambda_1 - 1$ ,  $\lambda'_2 = \lambda_2 - 2$  and  $\lambda'_3 = -\lambda'_1 - \lambda'_2 = \lambda_3 + 3$ .

Decomposition of the tensor product of the defining representation  $T_{\lambda_{(1)}} = \square$  and representation  $Y_n = T_{n\lambda_{(2)}}$  is

$$T_{\lambda_{(1)}} \otimes T_{n\lambda_{(2)}} = T_{n\lambda_{(2)} + \lambda_{(1)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(2)} + \lambda_{(1)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(2)} + 2\lambda_{(1)}}. \quad (3.32)$$

With the help of (3.31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim T_{\Lambda_1^{(n)}} &= \frac{4(n+3)(3n+7)}{(2n+3)(3n+4)} \dim Y_n, \quad \dim T_{\Lambda_2^{(n)}} = \frac{4n(3n+2)}{(2n+3)(3n+5)} \dim Y_n, \\ \dim T_{\Lambda_3^{(n)}} &= \frac{27n(n+3)}{(3n+4)(3n+5)} \dim Y_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

where  $\dim Y_n \equiv \dim T_{n\lambda_{(2)}}$  is given by formula (1.2) and we denote

$$\Lambda_1^{(n)} = n\lambda_{(2)} + \lambda_{(1)}, \quad \Lambda_2^{(n)} = (n-1)\lambda_{(2)} + \lambda_{(1)}, \quad \Lambda_3^{(n)} = (n-1)\lambda_{(2)} + 2\lambda_{(1)}. \quad (3.34)$$

According to (2.34) we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(2)}(\lambda_{(1)}) &= 12, \quad C_{(2)}(\lambda_{(2)}) = C_{(2)}(\text{ad}) = 24, \quad C_{(2)}(n\lambda_{(2)}) = 6n(n+3), \\ C_{(2)}(\Lambda_1^{(n)}) &= 6(n^2 + 4n + 2), \quad C_{(2)}(\Lambda_2^{(n)}) = 6(n^2 + 2n - 1), \\ C_{(2)}(\Lambda_3^{(n)}) &= 6n^2 + 18n + 4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Finally, by using (2.35) and (2.33) we obtain (for  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  algebra) eigenvalues of the split Casimir operator in the representation  $\square \otimes Y_n$

$$\hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_1^{(n)}} = \frac{n}{8}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_2^{(n)}} = -\frac{n+3}{8}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_3^{(n)}} = -\frac{1}{6}, \quad (3.36)$$

and characteristic identity in this case is written as

$$\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} - \frac{n}{8}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{n+3}{8}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{6}\right) = 0. \quad (3.37)$$

### 3.2.2 The case of $\mathfrak{f}_4$ algebra. Irreps $Y_n$ and expansion of $\square \otimes Y_n$

The root space of the algebra  $\mathfrak{f}_4$  is the four-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . The fundamental weights  $\lambda_{(1)}, \lambda_{(2)}, \lambda_{(3)}, \lambda_{(4)} \in \mathbb{R}^4$ , the Weyl vector  $\delta$  (see [21], see also [19]) and the highest weight vector for the special representation  $Y_n$ , which we use below, are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{[[52]]} &= \lambda_{\text{ad}} = \lambda_{(1)} = (1, 0, 0, 1), \quad \lambda_{[[1274]]} = \lambda_{(2)} = (1, 1, 0, 2), \\ \lambda_{[[273]]} &= \lambda_{(3)} = \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, 3), \quad \lambda_{[[26]]} = \lambda_{(4)} = (0, 0, 0, 1), \\ \lambda_{Y_n} &= n\lambda_{(1)} = (n, 0, 0, n), \quad \delta = \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_{(i)} = \frac{1}{2}(5, 3, 1, 11). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

Here the number  $d$  in the notation  $\lambda_{[[d]]}$  denotes the dimension of the representation.

The decomposition of the tensor product of the defining representation  $\square = T_{\lambda_{(4)}}$  and representation  $Y_n = T_{n\lambda_{(1)}}$  is

$$T_{\lambda_{(4)}} \otimes T_{n\lambda_{(1)}} = T_{n\lambda_{(1)} + \lambda_{(4)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(1)} + \lambda_{(4)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(1)} + \lambda_{(3)}}. \quad (3.39)$$

With the help of Weyl formula (2.42) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim T_{\Lambda_1^{(n)}} &= \frac{3(n+8)(2n+13)}{(n+3)(n+4)} \dim Y_n, \quad \dim T_{\Lambda_2^{(n)}} = \frac{3n(2n+3)}{(n+4)(n+5)} \dim Y_n, \\ \dim T_{\Lambda_3^{(n)}} &= \frac{14n(n+8)}{(n+3)(n+5)} \dim Y_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

where  $\dim Y_n \equiv \dim T_{n\lambda_{(1)}}$  is given by formula (1.2) and we denote

$$\Lambda_1^{(n)} = n\lambda_{(1)} + \lambda_{(4)}, \quad \Lambda_2^{(n)} = (n-1)\lambda_{(1)} + \lambda_{(4)}, \quad \Lambda_3^{(n)} = (n-1)\lambda_{(1)} + \lambda_{(3)}. \quad (3.41)$$

According to (2.34) we find values of the quadratic Casimirs for special representations of  $\mathfrak{f}_4$ , which are interesting for us:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(2)}(\lambda_{(4)}) &= 12, \quad C_{(2)}(\lambda_{(1)}) = C_{(2)}(\text{ad}) = 18, \quad C_{(2)}(n\lambda_{(1)}) = 2n(n+8), \\ C_{(2)}(\Lambda_1^{(n)}) &= 2(n^2 + 9n + 6), \quad C_{(2)}(\Lambda_2^{(n)}) = 2(n^2 + 7n - 2), \\ C_{(2)}(\Lambda_3^{(n)}) &= 2(n^2 + 8n + 3). \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Finally, by using (2.35) and (2.33), we obtain eigenvalues of the split Casimir operator in the representation  $\square \otimes Y_n$  for  $\mathfrak{f}_4$  algebra

$$\hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_1^{(n)}} = \frac{n}{18}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_2^{(n)}} = -\frac{n+8}{18}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_3^{(n)}} = -\frac{1}{6}, \quad (3.43)$$

and characteristic identity for split Casimir operator  $\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}$  is

$$\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} - \frac{n}{18}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{n+8}{18}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{6}\right) = 0. \quad (3.44)$$

### 3.2.3 The case of $\mathfrak{e}_6$ algebra. Irreps $Y_n$ and expansion of $\square \otimes Y_n$

The 6-dimensional weight space of Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{e}_6$  is embedded into 8-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^8$  as a hyperplane orthogonal to the vectors  $e^{(1)} + e^{(8)}$  and  $e^{(1)} + e^{(2)} + 2e^{(8)}$  (see Problem 3.1.2 in [19]). The fundamental weights  $\lambda_{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ , the Weyl vector  $\delta$  and the highest weight vectors  $\lambda_{Y_n}$  of the representations  $Y_n$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{[[27]]} &= \lambda_{(1)} = \left(-\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3}\right), & \lambda_{(2)} &= \left(-\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, \frac{2}{3}\right), \\ \lambda_{(3)} &= (-1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1), & \lambda_{[[351]]} &= \lambda_{(4)} = \left(-\frac{5}{6}, -\frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{6}\right), \\ \lambda_{[[78]]} &= \lambda_{\text{ad}} = \lambda_{(5)} = \frac{1}{2}(-1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), & \lambda_{(6)} &= \frac{2}{3}(-1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), \\ \lambda_{Y_n} &= n \cdot \lambda_{(5)} = \frac{n}{2}(-1, -1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), \\ \delta &= \sum_{i=1}^6 \lambda_{(i)} = (-4, -4, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0, 4) \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

We use the definitions of fundamental weights and the Weyl vector  $\delta$  for the algebra  $\mathfrak{e}_6$  given in [19] (see also [21], where the numbering of simple roots and fundamental weights differs from that adopted here). The decomposition of the tensor product of the defining representation  $\square = T_{\lambda_{(1)}}$  and representation  $Y_n = T_{n\lambda_{(5)}}$  is

$$T_{\lambda_{(1)}} \otimes T_{n\lambda_{(5)}} = T_{n\lambda_{(5)} + \lambda_{(1)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(5)} + \lambda_{(1)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(5)} + \lambda_{(4)}}. \quad (3.46)$$

With the help of Weyl formula (2.42) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim T_{\Lambda_1^{(n)}} &= \frac{12(n+9)(n+11)}{(n+4)(2n+11)} \dim Y_n, & \dim T_{\Lambda_2^{(n)}} &= \frac{12n(n+2)}{(n+7)(2n+11)} \dim Y_n, \\ \dim T_{\Lambda_3^{(n)}} &= \frac{15n(n+11)}{(n+4)(n+7)} \dim Y_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

where  $\dim Y_n \equiv \dim T_{n\lambda_{(5)}}$  is given by formula (1.2) and we denote

$$\Lambda_1^{(n)} = n\lambda_{(5)} + \lambda_{(1)}, \quad \Lambda_2^{(n)} = (n-1)\lambda_{(5)} + \lambda_{(1)}, \quad \Lambda_3^{(n)} = (n-1)\lambda_{(5)} + \lambda_{(4)}. \quad (3.48)$$

In the same way as before we find (by making use of (2.34)) the values of the quadratic Casimir operators for interesting for us special representations of  $\mathfrak{e}_6$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(2)}(\lambda_{(1)}) &= \frac{52}{3}, \quad C_{(2)}(\lambda_{(5)}) = C_{(2)}(\text{ad}) = 24, \quad C_{(2)}(n\lambda_{(5)}) = 2n(n+11), \\ C_{(2)}(\Lambda_1^{(n)}) &= 2(n^2 + 12n + \frac{26}{3}), \quad C_{(2)}(\Lambda_2^{(n)}) = 2(n^2 + 10n - \frac{7}{3}), \\ C_{(2)}(\Lambda_3^{(n)}) &= 2(n^2 + 11n + \frac{14}{3}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

Finally, we apply (2.35), (2.33) and obtain eigenvalues of the split Casimir operator in the representations appeared in the decomposition of  $\square \otimes Y_n$  for  $\mathfrak{e}_6$  algebra

$$\hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_1^{(n)}} = \frac{n}{24}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_2^{(n)}} = -\frac{n+11}{24}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_3^{(n)}} = -\frac{1}{6}. \quad (3.50)$$

Thus, the characteristic identity for split Casimir operator  $\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}$  (for Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{e}_6$ ) is

$$\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} - \frac{n}{24}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{n+11}{24}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{6}\right) = 0 \quad (3.51)$$

### 3.2.4 The case of $\mathfrak{e}_7$ algebra. Irreps $Y_n$ and expansion of $\square \otimes Y_n$

The 7-dimensional weight space of Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{e}_7$  is embedded into 8-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^8$  as a hyperplane orthogonal to the vectors  $e^{(1)} + e^{(8)}$  (see e.g. section 3.1.2 in [19]). The fundamental weights, highest weight vectors of some special representations, and the Weyl vector  $\delta$ , are defined as (see Problem 3.4.18 in [19]; see also [21], where the numbering of simple roots and fundamental weights differs from that adopted here)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{[[56]]} = \lambda_{(1)} &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}\right), & \lambda_{(2)} &= (-1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1), \\ \lambda_{(3)} &= \left(-\frac{3}{2}, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, \frac{3}{2}\right), & \lambda_{(4)} &= (-2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 2), \\ \lambda_{(5)} &= \frac{1}{2}(-3, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, 3), & \lambda_{[[912]]} = \lambda_{(6)} &= \frac{1}{2}(-2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2), \\ \lambda_{[[133]]} = \lambda_{\text{ad}} = \lambda_{(7)} &= (-1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), & \lambda_{Y_n} = n\lambda_{(7)} &= (-n, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n), \\ \delta &= \sum_{i=1}^7 \lambda_{(i)} = \left(-\frac{17}{2}, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0, \frac{17}{2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

The decomposition of the tensor product of the defining representation  $\square = T_{\lambda_{(1)}}$  and representation  $Y_n = T_{n\lambda_{(7)}}$  is

$$T_{\lambda_{(1)}} \otimes T_{n\lambda_{(7)}} = T_{n\lambda_{(7)} + \lambda_{(1)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(7)} + \lambda_{(1)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(7)} + \lambda_{(6)}}. \quad (3.53)$$

With the help of Weyl formula (2.42) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim T_{\Lambda_1^{(n)}} &= \frac{24(n+14)(n+17)}{(n+6)(2n+17)} \dim Y_n, & \dim T_{\Lambda_2^{(n)}} &= \frac{24n(n+3)}{(n+11)(2n+17)} \dim Y_n, \\ \dim T_{\Lambda_3^{(n)}} &= \frac{32n(n+17)}{(n+6)(n+11)} \dim Y_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

where  $\dim Y_n \equiv \dim T_{n\lambda_{(7)}}$  is defined in (1.2) and we denote

$$\Lambda_1^{(n)} = n\lambda_{(7)} + \lambda_{(1)}, \quad \Lambda_2^{(n)} = (n-1)\lambda_{(7)} + \lambda_{(1)}, \quad \Lambda_3^{(n)} = (n-1)\lambda_{(7)} + \lambda_{(6)}. \quad (3.55)$$

By using (2.34) we deduce the values of the Casimir operators for special representations of  $\mathfrak{e}_7$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(2)}(\lambda_{(1)}) &= \frac{57}{2}, \quad C_{(2)}(\lambda_{(7)}) = C_{(2)}(\text{ad}) = 36, \quad C_{(2)}(n\lambda_{(7)}) = 2n(n+17), \\ C_{(2)}(\Lambda_1^{(n)}) &= 2n^2 + 36n + \frac{57}{2}, \quad C_{(2)}(\Lambda_2^{(n)}) = 2n^2 + 32n - \frac{11}{2}, \\ C_{(2)}(\Lambda_3^{(n)}) &= (2n+33)(n + \frac{1}{2}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

Finally, we use (2.35), (2.33) and obtain the eigenvalues of the split Casimir operator in the representations appeared in the decomposition of  $\square \otimes Y_n$  for  $\mathfrak{e}_7$  algebra

$$\hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_1^{(n)}} = \frac{n}{36}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_2^{(n)}} = -\frac{n+17}{36}, \quad \hat{c}_{(2)}^{\Lambda_3^{(n)}} = -\frac{1}{6}. \quad (3.57)$$

The characteristic identity for split Casimir operator  $\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}$  is

$$\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} - \frac{n}{36}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{n+17}{36}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{6}\right) = 0 \quad (3.58)$$

### 3.2.5 Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_8$ . Irreps $Y_n$ and expansion of $\square \otimes Y_n$

The root space of Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{e}_8$  is 8-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^8$ . The fundamental weights, the Weyl vector  $\delta$  and the highest weight vectors of special representations  $Y_n$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{[[248]]} &= \lambda_{(1)} = \lambda_{\text{ad}} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), & \lambda_{[[30380]]} &= \lambda_{(2)} = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2), \\ \lambda_{(3)} &= (1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 3), & \lambda_{(4)} &= (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 4), \\ \lambda_{(5)} &= \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 5), & \lambda_{(6)} &= \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1, 7), \\ \lambda_{(7)} &= \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5), & \lambda_{[[3875]]} &= \lambda_{(8)} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 2), \\ \lambda_{Y_n} &= n\lambda_{(1)} = (n, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, n), & \delta &= \sum_{i=1}^7 \lambda_{(i)} = (6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 0, 23).\end{aligned}\tag{3.59}$$

We use the definitions of the fundamental weights and the Weyl vector  $\delta$ , which are given in [19] (see Problem 3.4.18); see also [21], where the numbering of simple roots and fundamental weights differs from that adopted here<sup>3</sup>.

The decomposition of the tensor product of the defining representation  $\square = T_{\lambda_{(1)}} = \text{ad} = Y_1$  and the representation  $Y_n = T_{n\lambda_{(1)}}$  (the Cartan power of the adjoint representation) for  $\mathfrak{e}_8$  case is

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\lambda_{(1)}} \otimes T_{n\lambda_{(1)}} &= \\ &= T_{(n+1)\lambda_{(1)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(1)}+\lambda_{(2)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(1)}+\lambda_{(8)}} + T_{n\lambda_{(1)}} + T_{(n-2)\lambda_{(1)}+\lambda_{(2)}} + T_{(n-1)\lambda_{(1)}},\end{aligned}\tag{3.60}$$

Comparing (3.60) with expansions (3.32), (3.39), (3.46), (3.53) for  $\square \times Y_n$  for other exceptional Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{f}_4, \mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{e}_7$  we see that we can not observe universality for  $\mathfrak{e}_8$  case in the context of considering the decomposition of  $\square \otimes Y_n$ . However, due to the fact that for  $\mathfrak{e}_8$  the defining representation  $\square$  coincides with the adjoint representation  $Y_1$ , we have for the decomposition (3.60) (in the  $\mathfrak{e}_8$  case) the standard Vogel universal prescription in terms of Vogel parameters specific for the tensor products  $Y_1 \times Y_n$  (see eqs. (2.25) and (2.26) for the  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  and  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  cases) appeared in the decomposition of the tensor products  $\text{ad}^{\otimes(n+1)}$  of adjoint representations.

### 3.2.6 Irreps $Y'_n$ and decompositions of $\square \otimes Y'_n$ for exceptional Lie algebras. General remarks

It is remarkable that all characteristic identities (3.37), (3.44), (3.51), (3.58), for exceptional Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{f}_4, \mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{e}_7$  can be written in a uniform way by using Vogel parameters

$$\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}(1-n)\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + n\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{\hat{\gamma}}{2}\right) = 0.\tag{3.61}$$

Then we can unify the identity (3.25) for Lie algebras of the classical series with identity (3.61) and write an identity that will be valid for all simple Lie algebras (except the  $\mathfrak{e}_8$

---

<sup>3</sup>The correspondence is  $\lambda_{(1)} = \omega_{(8)}$ ,  $\lambda_{(2)} = \omega_{(7)}$ ,  $\lambda_{(3)} = \omega_{(6)}$ ,  $\lambda_{(4)} = \omega_{(5)}$ ,  $\lambda_{(5)} = \omega_{(4)}$ ,  $\lambda_{(6)} = \omega_{(3)}$ ,  $\lambda_{(7)} = \omega_{(2)}$ ,  $\lambda_{(8)} = \omega_{(1)}$ , where  $\omega_{(i)}$  are fundamental weights defined in [21]. Our numeration coincides with numeration in [19]



algebra)

$$\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha(1 - n))\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + n\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{\hat{\beta}}{2}\right) \left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{\hat{\gamma}}{2}\right) = 0. \quad (3.62)$$

Unfortunately the uniform identity (3.29) for split Casimir operator in the representation  $\square \otimes Y'_n$  for Lie algebras of the classical series can not to be generalized to the identity that will be valid for all simple Lie algebras (including exceptional Lie algebras), like characteristic identities (3.62) for operator  $\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}$  in the representation  $\square \otimes Y_n$ . The natural conjecture for the char identity which could be obtained from (3.62) by the exchange  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$  as we did to obtain (3.29) from (3.25) does not work. Indeed, among the representations of the  $Y'_n$  series for the exceptional Lie algebras, according to the general formula (1.2) (with exchange  $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$ ), both representations with zero dimensions and phantom representations with negative dimensions arise (see Table 5).

Table 5:

	$Y'_2$	$Y''_2$	$Y'_3$	$Y''_3$	$Y'_4$	$Y''_4$	$Y'_5$	$Y''_5$
$\mathfrak{g}_2$	27	0	7	-14	0	-1	0	0
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	324	0	273	-52	26	-1	0	0
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	650	0	650	-78	78	-1	1	0
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	1539	0	1463	-133	0	-1	0	0
$\mathfrak{e}_8$	3875	0	0	-248	-375	-1	-248	0

In addition, we have the following decompositions of the tensor product  $\square \otimes Y'_2$  of defining and  $Y'_2 = Y_2(\beta)$  representations for the case of exceptional Lie algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_2 : \quad & [[7]] \otimes [[27]] = [[77]] + [[64]] + [[14]] + [[7]] + [[27]] , \\ \mathfrak{f}_4 : \quad & [[26]] \otimes [[324]] = [[2652]] + [[4096]] + [[1053]] + [[273]] + [[324]] + [[26]] , \\ \mathfrak{e}_6 : \quad & [[27]] \otimes [[650]] = [[7722]] + [[7371]] + [[1728]] + [[351']] + [[351]] + [[27]] , \\ \mathfrak{e}_7 : \quad & [[56]] \otimes [[1539]] = [[51072]] + [[27664]] + [[6480]] + [[912]] + [[56]] , \\ \mathfrak{e}_8 : \quad & [[248]] \otimes [[3875]] = [[779247]] + [[147250]] + [[30380]] + [[3875]] + [[248]] . \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

Here the case of  $\mathfrak{e}_8$  corresponds to the case of standard Vogel universality observed in the decompositions of  $\text{ad} \otimes Y'_2 \subset \text{ad}^{\otimes 3}$ . As we see, in the decompositions (3.63) of the representations  $\square \otimes Y'_2$ , there is a different number of terms. For example, for the cases  $\mathfrak{f}_4$  and  $\mathfrak{e}_6$  algebras, the decomposition has six terms, while for the cases  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{e}_7$  algebras, the decomposition has only five terms, and the corresponding characteristic identity must have at least six or five factors, which is difficult to reconcile with a universal identity (3.29) for series of the classical Lie algebras, where there is only three factors. Therefore, writing a universal characteristic identity for split Casimir operator  $\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n}$  for all simple Lie algebras is apparently impossible.

**Remark 3.3** We summarize the results obtained in (3.9), (3.12), (3.22), (3.26), (3.35), (3.42), (3.49), (3.56) and give a general universal formulae for the normalized eigenvalues

(2.35) of the quadratic Casimir operators in representations  $Y_n$  and  $Y'_n$  for all simple Lie algebras (cf. with Corollary 6.4 in [4])

$$c_{(2)}^{Y_n} = \frac{C_{(2)}(Y_n)}{C_{(2)}(\text{ad})} = n(1 - \hat{\alpha}n + \hat{\alpha}) , \quad c_{(2)}^{Y'_n} = \frac{C_{(2)}(Y'_n)}{C_{(2)}(\text{ad})} = n(1 - \hat{\beta}n + \hat{\beta}) . \quad (3.64)$$

## 4 Universal dimensions for subrepresentations in the decompositions of $\square \otimes Y_n$ and $\square \otimes Y'_n$

### 4.1 Projectors on Casimir subspaces and traces for $\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^k$ and $\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n}^k$

First we give some general remarks. Let the characteristic identity for 2-split Casimir operator in a representation  $T^{(1)} \otimes T^{(2)}$  be

$$\prod_{j=1}^m (\hat{C}_{T^{(1)} \otimes T^{(2)}} - a_j) = 0 , \quad (4.1)$$

where  $a_j$  are eigenvalues of  $\hat{C}_{T^{(1)} \otimes T^{(2)}}$  (see (2.33)). From identity (4.1) we deduce corresponding projectors

$$P_{a_\ell} = \prod_{j \neq \ell} \frac{(\hat{C}_{T^{(1)} \otimes T^{(2)}} - a_j)}{(a_\ell - a_j)} . \quad (4.2)$$

Then we have

$$\text{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{T^{(1)} \otimes T^{(2)}}^k = \text{Tr}_{12} \sum_{\ell=1}^m a_\ell^k P_{a_\ell} = \sum_{\ell=1}^m a_\ell^k \dim V_{a_\ell} , \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\dim V_{a_\ell}$  – dimensions of the eigenspaces  $V_{a_\ell}$  which are obtained by action of  $P_{a_\ell}$  on the space  $V_{T^{(1)}} \otimes V_{T^{(2)}}$ .

#### 4.1.1 The case of $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ algebra

By using dimensions (3.5), eigenvalues (3.10) and formula (4.3), we deduce the traces of powers of the split Casimir operator in the representation  $\square \otimes Y_n$  for the case  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^k &= \left(\frac{n}{2N}\right)^k \cdot \dim([2n+1, n^{N-2}]) + \left(-\frac{1}{2N}\right)^k \cdot \dim(2n, n+1, n^{N-3}) + \\ &+ \left(-\frac{n-1+N}{2N}\right)^k \cdot \dim([2n-1, (n-1)^{N-2}]) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

In particular for  $k = 1, 2, 3$  we obtain:  $\text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} = 0$ ,

$$\text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^2 = \frac{n(N+n-1)}{2N^2} \dim(Y_n) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(N+2n-1)(N-1)}{n(N+n-1)} \left(C_{N+n-1}^N\right)^2 , \quad (4.5)$$

$$\text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^3 = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^2 . \quad (4.6)$$

As it is expected the identity (4.6) coincides with (2.49).

Analogously, for the case of  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  algebra, by using dimensions (3.6), eigenvalues (3.13) and formula (4.3), we obtain the traces of the split Casimir operator in the representation  $\square \otimes Y'_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n}^k &= \left(-\frac{n}{2N}\right)^k \cdot \dim([2^{n+1}, 1^{N-2n-1}]) + \left(\frac{1}{2N}\right)^k \cdot \dim(3, 2^{n-1}, 1^{N-2n}) + \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{N-n+1}{2N}\right)^k \cdot \dim([2^n, 1^{N-2n+1}]) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

In particular for  $k = 1, 2, 3$  we deduce:  $\text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} = 0$ ,

$$\text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n}^2 = \frac{n(N-n+1)}{2N^2} \dim(Y'_n) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(N-2n+1)(N+1)}{n(N-n+1)} \left(C_{N-1}^{n-1}\right)^2, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n}^3 = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n}^2 . \quad (4.9)$$

#### 4.1.2 The case of $\mathfrak{so}_N$ algebra

In the same way, for the case of  $\mathfrak{so}_N$ , by using dimensions (3.19), (3.20), eigenvalues (3.23), (3.27) and formula (4.3), we obtain the traces for powers of the split Casimir operator in the representation  $\square \otimes Y_n$  and  $\square \otimes Y'_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^k &= \left(\frac{n}{2(N-2)}\right)^k \cdot \dim([n+1, n]) + \left(-\frac{1}{N-2}\right)^k \cdot \dim(n^2, 1) + \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{N+n-3}{2(N-2)}\right)^k \cdot \dim([n, n-1]) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n}^k &= \left(-\frac{n}{(N-2)}\right)^k \cdot \dim([1^{2n+1}]) + \left(\frac{1}{2(N-2)}\right)^k \cdot \dim([2, 1^{2n-1}]) + \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{N-2n}{2(N-2)}\right)^k \cdot \dim([1^{2n-1}]) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

For  $k = 1, 2, 3$  we deduce in the  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  case:  $\text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} = \text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n} = 0$ ,

$$\text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^2 = \frac{(N+n-3)n}{(N-2)^2} \cdot \dim Y_n , \quad \text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^3 = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^2 . \quad (4.12)$$

$$\text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n}^2 = \frac{(N-2n)n}{(N-2)^2} \cdot \dim Y'_n , \quad \text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n}^3 = -\frac{1}{4} \text{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y'_n}^2 . \quad (4.13)$$

The last identities in (4.12) and (4.13) coincide with (2.49).

#### 4.1.3 The case of exceptional Lie algebras

For Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{f}_4$ ,  $\mathfrak{e}_6$ ,  $\mathfrak{e}_7$  we have (see respectively subsections 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3 and 3.2.4,)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_2 : \quad \text{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^k &= \left(\frac{n}{8}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_1^{(n)}}) + \left(-\frac{n+3}{8}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_2^{(n)}}) + \left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_3^{(n)}}) , \\ \mathfrak{f}_4 : \quad \text{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^k &= \left(\frac{n}{18}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_1^{(n)}}) + \left(-\frac{n+8}{18}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_2^{(n)}}) + \left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_3^{(n)}}) , \\ \mathfrak{e}_6 : \quad \text{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^k &= \left(\frac{n}{24}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_1^{(n)}}) + \left(-\frac{n+11}{24}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_2^{(n)}}) + \left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_3^{(n)}}) , \\ \mathfrak{e}_7 : \quad \text{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^k &= \left(\frac{n}{36}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_1^{(n)}}) + \left(-\frac{n+17}{36}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_2^{(n)}}) + \left(-\frac{1}{6}\right)^k \cdot \dim(T_{\Lambda_3^{(n)}}) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

and, for all these cases and normalization accepted in (2.28), we obtain identity (2.49)

$$\mathrm{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^3 = -\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Tr} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^2 . \quad (4.15)$$

## 4.2 Universal dimensions for Casimir representations in the decompositions of $\square \otimes Y_n$

The characteristic identity (3.62) yields four projectors (4.2) which are written as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{a_1} &= \frac{(2\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + n\hat{\alpha})(2\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \hat{\beta})(2\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \hat{\gamma})}{(2n\hat{\alpha} - \hat{\alpha} - 1)(\hat{\alpha}n + \hat{\beta} - \hat{\alpha} - 1)(\hat{\alpha}n + \hat{\gamma} - \hat{\alpha} - 1)} , \\ P_{a_2} &= \frac{\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}(1-n)\right)(2\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \hat{\beta})(2\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \hat{\gamma})}{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2} - n\hat{\alpha}\right)(\hat{\beta} - n\hat{\alpha})(\hat{\gamma} - n\hat{\alpha})} , \\ P_{a_3} &= \frac{\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}(1-n)\right)(2\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + n\hat{\alpha})(2\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \hat{\gamma})}{\left(-\frac{\hat{\beta}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}(1-n)\right)(\hat{\beta} - n\hat{\alpha})(\hat{\beta} - \hat{\gamma})} , \\ P_{a_4} &= \frac{\left(\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}(1-n)\right)(2\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + n\hat{\alpha})(2\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} + \hat{\beta})}{\left(-\frac{\hat{\gamma}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2}(1-n)\right)(\hat{\gamma} - n\hat{\alpha})(\hat{\gamma} - \hat{\beta})} , \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where the eigenvalues are

$$a_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha(1-n)) , \quad a_2 = -n\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{2} , \quad a_3 = -\frac{\hat{\beta}}{2} , \quad a_4 = -\frac{\hat{\gamma}}{2} . \quad (4.17)$$

The standard method for calculating the dimensions of eigenspaces  $V_{a_\ell} \subset V_\square \otimes V_{Y_n}$  (extracted by the projectors  $P_{a_\ell}$ ) is to calculate the traces of these projectors  $\dim V_{a_\ell} = \mathrm{Tr}_{12} P_{a_\ell}$ . This can be done by using the values of the traces of the powers of the split Casimir operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}_{12} \mathbf{1} &= \dim(\square) \dim(Y_n) , \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n} = 0 , \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{12} (\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n})^2 &= \frac{c_{(2)}^{(\square)} c_{(2)}^{Y_n} \dim(\square) \dim(Y_n)}{\dim \mathfrak{g}} , \quad \mathrm{Tr}_{12} (\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n})^3 = -\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{Tr}_{12} \hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}^2 , \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where universal expressions (in terms of Vogel parameters) are known for  $\dim(Y_n)$ ,  $\dim(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $c_{(2)}^{Y_n}$  (see respectively (1.2), (1.3) and (3.64)), while the values of  $\dim(\square)$  and  $c_{(2)}^{(\square)}$  are given in Table 4. Another equivalent method to find  $\dim V_{a_\ell}$  is to solve the linear system of 4 equations which follow from (4.3) and (4.18))

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^4 A_{k\ell} \dim_\ell = \mathrm{Tr}_{12} (\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n})^{k-1} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, 4) , \quad (4.19)$$

where  $\dim_\ell := \dim V_{a_\ell}$ , components  $\mathrm{Tr}_{12} (\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n})^{k-1}$  are defined in (4.18) and  $A_{k\ell} = a_\ell^{k-1}$  is the Vandermonde matrix of the eigenvalues (4.17). Both methods give the same result:

$$\dim V_{a_1} = \frac{\left(4\hat{\alpha}(\hat{\alpha}n - \hat{\alpha} - 1)(n-1)c_{(2)}^{(\square)} - \hat{\alpha}\hat{\beta}\hat{\gamma} \dim \mathfrak{g}\right)n \dim(Y_n) \dim(\square)}{(\hat{\alpha}n - \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\gamma} - 1)(\hat{\alpha}n - \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} - 1)(1 + \hat{\alpha} - 2\hat{\alpha}n) \dim \mathfrak{g}} , \quad (4.20)$$

$$\dim V_{a_2} = \frac{(1 - \hat{\alpha}n + \hat{\alpha}) \left( 4n(1 - \hat{\alpha}n) c_{(2)}^{(\square)} + \hat{\beta} \hat{\gamma} \dim \mathfrak{g} \right) \dim(Y_n) \dim(\square)}{(\hat{\gamma} - \hat{\alpha}n)(\hat{\beta} - \hat{\alpha}n)(1 + \hat{\alpha} - 2\hat{\alpha}n) \dim \mathfrak{g}}, \quad (4.21)$$

$$\dim V_{a_3} = \frac{(1 - \hat{\alpha}n + \hat{\alpha}) \left( 4(1 - \hat{\beta}) c_{(2)}^{(\square)} + \hat{\alpha} \hat{\gamma} \dim \mathfrak{g} \right) n \dim(Y_n) \dim(\square)}{(\hat{\gamma} - \hat{\beta})(\hat{\alpha}n - \hat{\beta})(1 + \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\alpha}n - \hat{\beta}) \dim \mathfrak{g}}, \quad (4.22)$$

$$\dim V_{a_4} = \frac{(1 - \hat{\alpha}n + \hat{\alpha}) \left( 4(1 - \hat{\gamma}) c_{(2)}^{(\square)} + \hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta} \dim \mathfrak{g} \right) n \dim(Y_n) \dim(\square)}{(\hat{\beta} - \hat{\gamma})(\hat{\alpha}n - \hat{\gamma})(1 + \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\alpha}n - \hat{\gamma}) \dim \mathfrak{g}}, \quad (4.23)$$

Expressions for  $\dim V_{a_3}$  and  $\dim V_{a_4}$  are related to each other by the exchange  $\hat{\beta} \leftrightarrow \hat{\gamma}$ . One can directly check that  $\dim V_{a_4} = 0$  and  $\dim V_{a_3} = 0$  respectively for Lie algebras of classical and exceptional series of the simple Lie algebras that obviously follows from the identities (3.25) and (3.61). This defines universal expressions for the Casimir operator values  $c_{(2)}^{(\square)}$  in the case of the Lie algebras of the classical and exceptional series:

$$c_{(2)}^{(\square)} = \frac{\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta} \dim \mathfrak{g}}{4(\hat{\gamma} - 1)} \quad (\text{the case of } \mathfrak{sl}, \mathfrak{so}, \mathfrak{sp}), \quad c_{(2)}^{(\square)} = \frac{\hat{\alpha} \hat{\gamma} \dim \mathfrak{g}}{4(\hat{\beta} - 1)} \quad (\text{the case of } \mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{f}_4, \mathfrak{e}_6, \mathfrak{e}_7).$$

These eigenvalues coincide with the values of  $c_{(2)}^{(\square)}$  listed in Table 4.

Finally, at the end of this Section, we introduce in Table 6 the uniform notation  $\Lambda_i^{(n)}|_{i=1,2,3,4}$  for the irreducible representations arisen in the decomposition of the tensor product  $\square \otimes Y_n$  for all simple Lie algebras (except  $\mathfrak{e}_8$ ).

Table 6:

	$\Lambda_1^{(n)}$	$\Lambda_2^{(n)}$	$\Lambda_3^{(n)}$	$\Lambda_4^{(n)}$	$Y_n$
$\mathfrak{sl}_N$	$([n], [n+1])$	$([n-1], [n])$	0	$([n], [n, 1])$	$([n], [n])$
$\mathfrak{so}_N$	$[n+1, n]$	$[n, n-1]$	0	$[n^2, 1]$	$[n^2]$
$\mathfrak{g}_2$	$n\lambda_{(2)} + \lambda_{(1)}$	$(n-1)\lambda_{(2)} + \lambda_{(1)}$	$(n-1)\lambda_{(2)} + 2\lambda_{(1)}$	0	$n\lambda_{(2)}$
$\mathfrak{f}_4$	$n\lambda_{(1)} + \lambda_{(4)}$	$(n-1)\lambda_{(1)} + \lambda_{(4)}$	$(n-1)\lambda_{(1)} + \lambda_{(3)}$	0	$n\lambda_{(1)}$
$\mathfrak{e}_6$	$n\lambda_{(5)} + \lambda_{(1)}$	$(n-1)\lambda_{(5)} + \lambda_{(1)}$	$(n-1)\lambda_{(5)} + \lambda_{(4)}$	0	$n\lambda_{(5)}$
$\mathfrak{e}_7$	$n\lambda_{(7)} + \lambda_{(1)}$	$(n-1)\lambda_{(7)} + \lambda_{(1)}$	$(n-1)\lambda_{(7)} + \lambda_{(6)}$	0	$n\lambda_{(7)}$
$\hat{C}_{\square \otimes Y_n}$	$a_2 = -n\hat{\alpha}/2$	$a_1 = -(1 + \hat{\alpha}(n-1))/2$	$a_4 = -\hat{\gamma}/2$	$a_3 = -\hat{\beta}/2$	—

The numbers "0" indicate in Table 6 that the corresponding representations have dimensions equal to zero. One can see in Table 6 that the rule (2.24), which connects the Young diagrams in  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$  and  $\mathfrak{so}_N$  cases, is perfectly fulfilled for the representations  $\Lambda_i^{(n)}|_{i=1,2,4}$  and  $Y_n$ .

## 5 Conclusion

Here we summarize some promising directions of investigations which follow from the results obtained in this paper.

First of all, it is clear that there exists uniform (valid for all simple Lie algebras) decomposition for the representations  $Y_1 \otimes Y_n$  (see equations (2.25), (2.26) and (3.60)). Thus, one can find universal formulas for dimensions of the infinite series of the representations arising in the decomposition of  $Y_1 \otimes Y_n$ .

By using formulas (2.54) and (4.3) one can find universal expressions for values of the highest Casimir operators in the defining  $\square$  and in  $\Lambda_i^{(n)}|_{i=1,2,3}$  representations.

Apparently, there is a direct generalization ( $q$  and  $t$  deformations) of all the universal formulas for dimensions and identities of the type (3.7) (following from the decomposition of tensor products  $\square \otimes Y_n$ ). In this connection we should mention recent papers [25, 26] (see also references therein). Indeed, considering the example of the  $\mathfrak{sl}_N$ -algebra, the  $q$ -deformations of dimensions (3.5) are

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_q([2n+1, n^{N-2}]) &= \frac{[N+2n]_q [N+n-1]_q}{[N+2n-1]_q [n+1]_q} \dim_q Y_n , \\ \dim_q([2n, n+1, n^{N-3}]) &= \frac{[N+n-1]_q [n]_q [N-2]_q}{[n+1]_q [N+n-2]_q} \dim_q Y_n , \\ \dim_q([2n-1, (n-1)^{N-2}]) &= \frac{[N+2n-2]_q [n]_q}{[N+n-2]_q [N+2n-1]_q} \dim_q Y_n , \end{aligned} \quad (5.24)$$

where  $[A]_q = \frac{(q^A - q^{-A})}{(q - q^{-1})}$  and the  $q$ -deformation of the first relation in (3.7) is valid in view of the identity

$$[N]_q = \frac{[N+2n]_q [N+n-1]_q}{[N+2n-1]_q [n+1]_q} + \frac{[N+n-1]_q [n]_q [N-2]_q}{[n+1]_q [N+n-2]_q} + \frac{[N+2n-2]_q [n]_q}{[N+n-2]_q [N+2n-1]_q} .$$

Analogously, the  $q$ -deformation of the second relation in (3.7) is valid in view of the remarkably symmetric identity

$$[N+M]_q [M+1]_q [N+1]_q = [N]_q [N+1]_q + [N+M+2]_q [M]_q [N]_q + [M]_q [M+1]_q ,$$

where we have to substitute  $M \rightarrow 2n$  and  $N \rightarrow N-2n$ . Note that there is an arbitrariness in the definition of the  $q$ -dimensions of representations, e.g.,  $\dim_q(\lambda) \rightarrow q^{c|\lambda|} \dim_q(\lambda)$ , where  $c$  is arbitrary constant and  $|\lambda|$  is the number of cells of the Young diagram  $\lambda$  (see in this regard formula (4.3.80) in [20], Subsect. 4.3.6).

**Acknowledgments.** I would like to thank all participants of the Dubna workshop "Universal description of Lie algebras, Vogel theory and applications" in April of 2025 for stimulating discussions. I especially grateful to S.O.Krivosos, A.D.Mironov and R.L.Mkrtchyan for useful comments and help. I also thank to A.Molev for valuable remarks about of the operation (2.24) for Young diagrams. This work was supported by the grant RSF No. 23-11-00311.

## References

- [1] P. Vogel, The Universal Lie Algebra, Preprint (1999),  
<https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~pierre.vogel/grenoble-99b.pdf>.
- [2] P. Deligne, La série exceptionnelle des groupes de Lie, C.R. Acad. Sci., 322, 321, 1996.
- [3] A.M. Cohen and R. de Man, Computational evidence for Deligne’s conjecture regarding exceptional Lie groups, C.R. Acad. Sci., 322, 427, 1996.
- [4] J.M. Landsberg, L. Manivel, *A universal dimension formula for complex simple Lie algebras*, Adv. Math. **201** (2006) 379.
- [5] T. van Ritbergen, A.N. Schellekens and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Group theory factors for Feynman diagrams, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, 14.1, 4196 (1999); arXiv:hep-ph/9802376.
- [6] P. Cvitanović, Group theory for Feynman diagrams in non-Abelian gauge theories, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 1536.
- [7] P. Cvitanović, *Birdtracks, Lie’s, and Exceptional Groups*, Princeton; Oxford: Princeton University Press (2008);  
<http://cns.physics.gatech.edu/grouptheory/chapters/draft.pdf>
- [8] A.P. Isaev and A. Provorov, Calculation of Universal Color Factors in Non-Abelian Gauge Theories, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. **22** (2025) no.3, 556-567
- [9] R.L. Mkrtychyan, A.N. Sergeev and A.P. Veselov, J. Math. Phys. 53, 102106 (2012), arXiv:1105.0115 [math.RT].
- [10] A.P. Isaev, S.O. Krivonos, Split Casimir operator for simple Lie algebras, solutions of Yang–Baxter equations, and Vogel parameters, J. Math. Phys. 62, (2021) 083503; arXiv:2102.08258 [math-ph].
- [11] A.P. Isaev, S.O. Krivonos and A.A. Provorov, Split Casimir operator for simple Lie algebras in the cube of ad-representation and Vogel parameters, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **38** (2023) no.06n07, 2350037 [arXiv:2212.14761 [math-ph]].
- [12] M. Avetisyan, A.P. Isaev, S. Krivonos and R. Mkrtychyan, The Uniform Structure of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 4}$ , Russ. J. Math. Phys. **31** (2024) no.3, 379-388 [arXiv:2311.05358 [math-ph]].
- [13] A.P. Isaev, Universal Lie Algebra, Vogel Parameters and Color Factors in Nonabelian Gauge Theories, Lecture on International School ”Advanced Methods of Modern Theoretical Physics: Integrable and Stochastic Systems”, Dubna, July 23 – 28, 2023; unpublished.
- [14] R.L. Mkrtychyan, On universal quantum dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B921 (2017) 236–249; arXiv:1610.09910 [math.RT].

- [15] R.L.Mkrtchyan, The Casimir eigenvalues on  $ad^{\otimes k}$  of  $SU(N)$  are linear on  $N$ , arXiv:2506.13062v1 [math-ph].
- [16] R. L. Mkrtchyan, On the universal Casimir spectrum, Nucl. Phys. B921(2017) 236–249 [arXiv:2509.13707 [hep-th]].
- [17] I.G.Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, Oxford University Press (1995).
- [18] A.P. Isaev, V.A. Rubakov, *Theory of groups and symmetries I. Finite Groups, Lie Groups, And Lie Algebras*, World Scientific (2018).
- [19] A.P. Isaev, V.A. Rubakov, *Theory of groups and symmetries II. Representations of groups and Lie algebras, applications*, World Scientific (2020).
- [20] A.P.Isaev, Quantum groups and Yang-Baxter equations, Natural Sci. Rev. **2** (2025), 100204; doi:10.54546/NaturalSciRev.100204; [arXiv:2206.08902 [math.QA]].
- [21] Nicolas Bourbaki, Lie groups and Lie algebras: Chapters 4-6, Vol. 3, Springer (2008).
- [22] A. Morozov and A. Sleptsov, Vogel’s universality and the classification problem for Jacobi identities, Eur. Phys. J. C **85** (2025) no.10, 1233 [arXiv:2506.15280 [hep-th]].
- [23] D. Khudoteplov, E. Lanina and A. Sleptsov, Construction of Lie algebra weight system kernel via Vogel algebra, [arXiv:2411.14417 [math.QA]].
- [24] D. Khudoteplov, A. Morozov, A. Sleptsov, Can Yang-Baxter imply Lie algebra? Phys. Lett. B 867, 139586 (2025), arXiv:2503.13437 [hep-th].
- [25] L. Bishler, A. Mironov, A. Morozov, Macdonald deformation of Vogel’s universality and link hyperpolynomials, Phys. Lett. B 868 (2025) 139695, arXiv:2505.16569 [hep-th].
- [26] L. Bishler, A. Mironov, Torus knots in adjoint representation and Vogel’s universality, Eur. Phys. J. C 85 (2025) 911, arXiv:2506.06219 [hep-th].
- [27] A. Mironov, R. Mkrtchyan and A. Morozov, On universal knot polynomials, J. High Energy Phys. 2016, 78 (2016), arXiv:1510.05884 [hep-th].
- [28] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Universal Racah matrices and adjoint knot polynomials: Arborescent knots, Phys. Lett. B 755, 47 (2016), arXiv:1511.09077 [hep-th].
- [29] A. Mironov and V. K. Singh, Torus Knots in Adjoint Representation, arXiv:2512.23095 [hep-th].