

HECKE ALGEBRA OF GL_n OVER A 2-DIMENSIONAL LOCAL FIELD

XUECAI MA

ABSTRACT. Using the $\mathbb{R}((X))$ -measure, we define and study certain $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -valued functions on $GL_n(F)$ for F a two-dimensional local field. In particular, we define a convolution product on such suitable functions, which leads us to define the Hecke algebra of $GL_n(F)$. We then define the measurable $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -representations of $GL_n(F)$, and prove that function space is a candidate for such representations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of an n -dimensional field was first introduced by Ihara and Parshin in 1970s, which aims to generalizing the classical adelic formalism to n -dimensional schemes. It is an important topic to study representations of algebraic groups over 0- and 1-dimensional local fields. Since finite fields and local fields have good properties, for example, the local fields are topological fields, people know many things about their representations. But for algebraic groups over two-dimensional local fields, people know little about their representations.

In his paper [Kap01], Kapranov studied the central extension Γ of a reductive group G over a two-dimensional local field $F = K((t))$, where K is an 1-dimensional local field. He chose an appropriate subgroup $\Delta_1 \subset \Gamma$, such that the fibres of the Hecke correspondences are locally compact spaces which can define invariant measures, so he can define the Hecke operators by integrating these measures. He proved that such Hecke algebra $H(\Gamma, \Delta_1)$ is isomorphic to the double affine Hecke algebra associated to G . After that, Gaitsgory and Kazhdan gave a categorical framework of Kapranov's idea. They study representations in pro-vector spaces, see [GK04, GK05, GK06] for more details and [BK06] for more examples.

In their paper [BK11], Braverman and Kazhdan considered the subgroup G_{aff}^+ of G_{aff} , where G_{aff} is the semidirect product of G_m and the central extension \tilde{G} of $G((t))$. They proved that any double cosets of $G_{\text{aff}}(\mathcal{O})$ inside G_{aff}^+ is well defined and give rise to an algebra structure on a suitable space of $G_{\text{aff}}(\mathcal{O})$ -biinvariant functions on $G_{\text{aff}}^+(K)$. They call it the spherical Hecke algebra of G_{aff} . And in [BKP16], the authors used $W_X = W \ltimes X$, the semidirect product of the Weyl group with the Tits cone, to identify the double cosets $I \backslash G_{\text{aff}}^+ / I$. By studying the combinatorics of the Tits cone X , they define the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of G_{aff} .

On the other hand, Fesenko constructed an $\mathbb{R}((X))$ -measure on two-dimensional local fields [Fes03], such that we can do harmonic analysis on two-dimensional local fields. H. Kim and K. H. Lee use the Cartan decompositions to define the generators and relations of spherical Hecke algebra of SL_2 [KL04]. In particular, they proved the Satake isomorphism by using the $\mathbb{R}((X))$ -measures. One can also see [Lee10]

Key words and phrases. Higher local fields, Hecke algebra.

for the construction of Iwahori-Hecke Algebra for SL_2 over a 2-dimensional local field.

The present paper gives a new construction of Hecke algebra of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ for F a two-dimensional local field by using Fesenko's $\mathbb{R}((x))$ -measure and Morrow's work [Mor08] on integrations on $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Specifically, we define an appropriate space of functions $f : \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((X))$ and prove that there is a well-defined convolution product in this space. We define and study a kind of representations called measurable representations. The typical example is the function space that we defined.

We now give the structure of this paper. In section 2, we first review Fesenko's $\mathbb{R}((X))$ -measure on two-dimensional local fields. We then introduce the integration on $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ for F a two-dimensional local field. We define a space $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ consisting of functions $f : \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((X))$ satisfying certain conditions. We define the convolution product on $\mathcal{L}^H(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, making $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ be an associative algebra, called the Hecke algebra of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. In section 3, we define the measurable representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, and prove the function space $\mathcal{L}^M\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is a measurable representation. This makes us establish a relation between the Hecke modules and measurable representations.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Ivan Fesenko for his support of this research and discussions about related topics of this work. The author also thanks Kye-Huan Lee for helpful discussions. This work was supported by Westlake University grant 207010146022301, 207010145609901 and Hangzhou Postdoctoral Daily Funding.

2. INTEGRATION ON $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$

A 0-dimensional local field is a finite field. For $n \geq 1$, an n -dimensional local field is a complete discrete valuation field whose residue field is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional local field. An one-dimensional local fields is one of $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{F}_q((t))$, finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p . Examples of two-dimensional local fields are $\mathbb{F}_q((t_1))((t_2)), K((t))$ for a local nonarchimedean field $K, E((t))$ over a local archimedean field E , finite extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p\{\{t\}\}$. See [FK00] for more introduction about higher local fields.

Let F be an n -dimensional local field. Then there is a sequence of integral rings

$$F \supset \mathcal{O}_F = \mathcal{O}_F^{(1)} \supset \mathcal{O}_F^{(2)} \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{O}_F^{(n)},$$

where $\mathcal{O}_F^{(i)}$ is called the rank i ring of integers of F . Suppose that we have a sequence of n -local parameters $t_1, \dots, t_n \in F$, then there are isomorphisms

$$F = \mathcal{O}_F^{(n)}[t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1}], \text{ and } F^\times \cong (\mathcal{O}_F^{(n)})^\times \times t_1^\mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times t_n^\mathbb{Z}.$$

Example 2.1. For the two-dimensional local field $\mathbb{Q}_p((t))$, we have $\mathcal{O}_F^{(1)} = \mathbb{Q}_p[[t]]$ and $\mathcal{O}_F^{(2)} = \mathbb{Z}_p + t_2\mathbb{Q}_p[[t_2]]$. For the local parameters, we can take $t_1 = p, t_2 = t$.

One way to study local fields is using their topology. But topological methods in higher dimensional local fields is not so successful as in the one-dimensional cases. Supposed that $F = K((t))$ is an equal characteristic two-dimensional local field, Following [Par84], we can define a topology on F by setting the basic neighbourhoods of 0 are those of the form

$$\sum_i U_i t^i := \left\{ \sum_i a_i t^i \in F \mid a_i \in U_i \text{ for all } i \right\},$$

where $\{U_i\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty$ are open neighbourhoods of 0 such that $U_i = K$ for all $i \gg 0$. The basic open neighbourhoods of any other point $a \in F$ are $a + U$, where U_i are basic neighbourhoods of 0. We know that one-dimensional local fields are topological fields. But $K((t))$ is not a topological field, since the multiplication and inverse are not continuous. Moreover, $K((t))$ is not a locally compact space, so there is no Harr measure on it. For the topology of mixed characteristic two-dimensional local fields, it is defined in [Fes01].

2.1. Measures and integrations of two-dimensional local fields. In the following contents, we let F be a two-dimensional local field with first residue field E and second residue field F_q , rank one ring of integers \mathcal{O} , rank two ring of integers O , local parameters t_1, t_2 .

Let \mathcal{A} be the minimal ring generated by the distinguished sets $\{\alpha + t_2^i t_1^j O\}$. Elements of \mathcal{A} can be written as a finite disjoint union sets A_n , where each A_n is a different between a distinguished set and a finite union of distinguished sets.

Proposition 2.2. ([Fes03]) *There is a unique measure μ on F with values in $\mathbb{R}((X))$, which is a translation invariant and finitely additive such that*

$$\mu(t_2^i t_1^j O) = q^{-j} X^i.$$

Example 2.3. We have $\mu(O) = 1$ and $\mu(t_2^i p^{-1}(S)) = X^i \mu_E(S)$, where μ_E is the normalized Haar measure on E such that $\mu_E(\mathcal{O}_E) = 1$ and S is a compact open subsets of E .

We call a sum

$$\sum_n \sum_i a_{i,n} X^i$$

is absolutely convergent if

- (1) there is an i_0 such that $a_{i,n} = 0$ for all $i \leq i_0$ and all n ;
- (2) for every i the series $\sum_n a_{i,n}$ absolutely convergent in \mathbb{C} .

Remark 2.4. The measure on F is additive in the following sense: suppose that $\{A_n\}$ are countably many disjoint sets of \mathcal{A} such that $\bigcup A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\sum \mu(A_n)$ absolutely converges in $\mathbb{C}((X))$, then we have $\mu(\bigcup A_n) = \sum \mu(A_n)$.

Having a measure of F , we can define the integrations. We consider functions $f : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((X))$.

- (1) For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we consider the function char_A and we define

$$\int_F \text{char}_A = \mu(A).$$

- (2) For functions f can be written as $\sum c_n \text{char}_{A_n} + \sum a_i \text{char}_{\{p_i\}}$, where $\{A_n\}$ are countably disjoint measurable sets in \mathcal{A} , $c_n \in \mathbb{C}((X))$ such that $\sum c_n \mu(A_n)$ is absolutely converges in $\mathbb{C}((X))$, and $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$ is a collection of finitely many points. We define

$$\int_F \left(\sum c_n \text{char}_{A_n} + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \text{char}_{\{p_i\}} \right) d\mu = \sum c_n \mu(A_n).$$

Since \mathcal{A} contains $a + t_2^j p^{-1}(S)$, for S a compact open subsets in E . We have

$$\int_F \text{char}_{a + t_2^j p^{-1}(S)} d\mu = \mu_E(S) X^j.$$

If we suppose the coefficients $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

$$\int_F \sum_k c_k \text{char}_{a+t_2^i t_1^{j_k} \mathcal{O}} d\mu = \left(\sum c_k q^{-j_k} \right) X^i = \left(\int_E \sum c_k \text{char}_{t_1^{j_k} \mathcal{O}_E}^E d\mu_E \right) X^i = \left(\int_E f d\mu_E \right) X^i,$$

for some integrable f on E . The integrations on F defined above can also be defined by the following procedure, see [Mor10] for more details.

- (1) First, we let $\mathcal{L}(E)$ denote the space of locally constant integrable functions on E .
- (2) For $g \in \mathcal{L}(E)$, $a \in F$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define a function on F by

$$g^{a,i}(x) = \begin{cases} g(\overline{(x-a)t_2^{-i}}) & x \in a + t_2^i \mathcal{O} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (3) A simple function on F is a $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -valued function of the form

$$x \mapsto g^{a,i}(x) X^j$$

for some $g \in \mathcal{L}(E)$, $a \in F$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- (4) Let $\mathcal{L}(F)$ denote the space of all $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -valued functions spanned by simple functions.
- (5) For simple functions, we define

$$\int_F g^{a,i}(x) dx = \left(\int_E g(u) du \right) X^i,$$

and extend it to all functions in $\mathcal{L}(F)$.

2.2. Integrations on the product space F^n . We first review the approach of [Mor08]. We first consider integrations on F^n . The important thing of repeated integral is the Fubini property, says that for a function

$$f : F^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((X)),$$

and for each permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, the expression

$$\int^F \cdots \int^F f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_{\sigma(1)} \cdot dx_{\sigma(n)}$$

is well defined and its value doesn't depend on σ .

- (1) Let $\mathcal{L}(E^n)$ denote the space of functions $f : E^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are Fubini.
- (2) For every $g \in \mathcal{L}(E^n)$, and every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in F^n$, $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. The product of translated fraction ideals is given by

$$a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}_F^n = \prod a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} \mathcal{O}_F \in F^n.$$

We define a function on $\text{GL}_n(F)$

$$g^{a,\gamma}(x) = \begin{cases} g(\overline{(x-a)t_2^{-\gamma}}) & x \in a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}_F^n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (3) For a function $g^{a,\gamma}$ defined above, we define a integration

$$\int_{F^n} g^{a,\gamma}(x) dx = \left(\int_{E^n} g d\mu_{E^n} \right) X^{\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i}.$$

(4) A complex function $f : E^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *GL-Fubini* if and only $f \circ \tau$ is Fubini for all $\tau \in GL_n(F)$. Let $\mathcal{L}(F^n, GL_n)$ denote the $\mathbb{C}((X))$ space-valued functions $GL_n(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((X))$ spanned by

$$g^{a,\gamma} \circ \tau, \quad \tau \in GL_n(F), a \in F^n, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Theorem 2.5. ([Mor08, Theorem 3.4]) *Every function in $\mathcal{L}(F^n, GL_n)$ is Fubini on F^n . If $f \in \mathcal{L}(F^n, GL_n)$, $a \in F^n$, $\tau \in GL_n(F)$, then the functions $x \mapsto f(x+a)$ and $x \mapsto f(\tau x)$ also belong to $\mathcal{L}(F^n, GL_n)$, and the repeated integrals are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{F^n} f(x+a) dx &= \int_{F^n} f(x) dx, \\ \int_{F^n} f(\tau x) dx &= |\det \tau|^{-1} \int_{F^n} f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Integrations on $GL_n(F)$. We now consider integrations on $GL_n(F)$. Let $T : F^{n^2} \rightarrow M_n(F)$ be the isomorphism from the vector space F^{n^2} to the vector space of $n \times n$ matrices with items in F . Let $\mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$ be the space of $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -valued functions f such that $f \circ T$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(F^{n^2}, GL_n)$, and we define

$$\int_{M_n(F)} f(x) dx = \int_{F^{n^2}} f \circ T(x) dx.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}(G_n(F))$ denote the space of $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -valued functions ϕ on $GL_n(F)$ such that $\tau \mapsto \phi(\tau)|\det \tau|^{-n}$ extends to a function of $\mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$. The integral of ϕ over $GL_n(F)$ is defined by

$$\int_{GL_n(F)} \phi(\tau) d\tau = \int_{M_n(F)} \phi(x) |\det(x)|^{-n} dx.$$

Proposition 2.6. *The integrals defined above have the following properties:*

- (1) *The integrals on $GL_n(F)$ is well defined, which means that if $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$ are equal when restricted to $GL_n(F)$, then $f_1 = f_2$.*
- (2) *Suppose that $\phi \in \mathcal{L}(GL_n(F))$ and $\sigma \in GL_n(F)$, then $\tau \mapsto \phi(\tau\sigma)$ and $\tau \mapsto \phi(\tau\sigma)$ also belong to $\mathcal{L}(GL_n(F))$, with*

$$\int_{GL_n(F)} \phi(\sigma\tau) d\tau = \int_{GL_n(F)} f(\tau) d\tau = \int_{GL_n(F)} \phi(\tau\sigma) d\tau.$$

- (3) *Suppose that g is a complex valued Schwartz-Bruhat function on $GL_n(E)$ such that*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) |\det x|^{-n} & x \in GL_n(E) \\ 0 & \det x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is GL-Fubini on $M_n(E)$. We define $g^0 : GL_n(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((X))$ by

$$g^0(x) = \begin{cases} g(\bar{x}) & x \in GL_n(\mathcal{O}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then g^0 belongs to $\mathcal{L}(GL_n(F))$, and

$$\int_{GL_n(F)} g^0(\tau) d\tau = \int_{GL_n(E)} g(u) du.$$

Proof. These follows from [Mor08, Remark 4.3, Proposition 4.4, Proposition 4.8]. \square

Remark 2.7. Using these definitions of [Mor08], we find that

$$\sum c_k \prod_{i=1}^n \mu(a_i^k + t_2^{\gamma_i^k} t_1^{\delta_i^k} O^n) = \sum c_k \prod_{i=1}^n t_1^{-\delta_i^k} X^{\gamma_i^k} = \sum c_k \left((\prod \mu_E(t_1^{\delta_i^k} O_E)) X^{\sum \gamma_i^k} \right).$$

We then have

$$\sum c_k \prod_{i=1}^n \mu(a_i^k + t_2^{\gamma_i^k} t_1^{e_i^k} O^n) = \sum c_k \left((\int_{E^n} f_k d\mu_{E^n}) X^{\sum_i \gamma_i^k} \right).$$

Like the one-dimensional case, a distinguished set of F^n is of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} t_1^{\delta_i} O, \quad a_i \in F, \gamma_i, \delta_i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and its measure is $\mu(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} t_1^{\delta_i} O) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mu(a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} t_1^{\delta_i} O) = \prod_{i=1}^n q^{-\delta_i} X^{\gamma_i}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{F^n}^{\text{dist}}$ be the collection of distinguished sets and let \mathcal{A}_{F^n} be the minimal ring generated by the distinguished set. Then for finitely many disjoint subsets $A_k \in \mathcal{A}_{F^n}$, we have $\sum c_k A_k = \sum c_k \mu(A_k)$.

Remark 2.8. It is not an easy thing to define distinguished sets of $\text{GL}_n(F)$, since usually the measure on $\text{GL}_n(F)$ should be $|\det x|^{-n} d_{M_n(F)} x$, but the determinant is not usually a constant. In some special cases, there are some results, for example, see [Wal19] for the description of measurable subsets of $\text{GL}_2(F)$.

Lemma 2.9. *For any $a, b \in F, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$, we consider two subsets $a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}$ and $b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}$. Then the intersection subset of them is either empty or also has the form $c + t_2^\epsilon \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. We may suppose that $a \notin t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}$ and $b \notin t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}$, otherwise let a or b equals 0. We have the following cases:

- (1) $\gamma = \delta$. If $a = b$, then $(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}) \cap (b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}) = a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O} = b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}$; If $a \neq b$, then $a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O} \cap a + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O} = \emptyset$.
- (2) $\gamma > \delta$. If $a = b$, then $(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}) \cap (b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}) = a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}$; If $a \neq b$, then $(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}) \cap (a + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}) = \emptyset$.
- (3) $\gamma < \delta$. If $a = b$, then $(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}) \cap (b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}) = b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}$; If $a \neq b$, then $(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}) \cap (a + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}) = \emptyset$.

Then we get the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let $\tau \in \text{GL}_n(F)$. Then for any set of the form $A + t_2^\Gamma \mathcal{O}^n = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} \mathcal{O})$, $\tau(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} \mathcal{O}))$ also has this form.*

Proof. This lemma can be proved by the following two results:

- (1) For any $g \in F$ and $a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}$, $g(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O})$ also has the form $a' + t_2^{\gamma'} \mathcal{O}$. To prove this, we may suppose that $g = \sum_{i=i_0}^{\infty} a_i t_2^i$, $a_{i_0} \in E^*$, then $g(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}) = ga + t_2^{i_0+\gamma} \mathcal{O}$, this is because $(a_{i_0} + \sum_{i=i_0+1}^{\infty} a_i t_2^{i-i_0})$ is a unit in $\mathcal{O} = E((t))$.
- (2) For any two subsets $a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}$ and $b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}$, their sum $(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}) + (b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O})$ also has the form $c + t_2^\epsilon \mathcal{O}$. We have the following cases:
 - (a) If $\gamma = \delta$, then $(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}) + (b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}) = a + b + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O} = a + b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}$.
 - (b) If $\gamma > \delta$, then $(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}) + (b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}) = (a + b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O})$.

(c) if $\gamma < \delta$, then $(a + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O}) + (b + t_2^\delta \mathcal{O}) = (a + b + t_2^\gamma \mathcal{O})$.

□

Lemma 2.11. *For any two subsets in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ with the form $\tau(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} \mathcal{O}))$, $\tau \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, their intersection is either empty or also has the form $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i + t_2^{\epsilon_i} \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. We first assume $\tau = I_n$. For two subsets of the form $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} \mathcal{O})$ and $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (b_i + t_2^{\delta_i} \mathcal{O})$, their intersection is

- (1) empty, if there is an i such that $a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} \cap b_i + t_2^{\delta_i} = \emptyset$;
- (2) $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} c_i + t_2^{\epsilon_i} \mathcal{O}$, where $(c_i + t_2^{\epsilon_i} \mathcal{O})$ comes from Lemma 2.9.

Thus the lemma is true for τ is I_n . For general $\tau_a(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} \mathcal{O}))$ and $\tau_b(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (b_i + t_2^{\delta_i} \mathcal{O}))$, they also can be written as the form $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (d_i + t_2^{\zeta_i} \mathcal{O})$. By the $\tau = I_n$ case, we get the desired conclusion. □

Lemma 2.12. *For $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}(F^n, \mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, we have $f_1 \cdot f_2 \in \mathcal{L}(F^n, \mathrm{GL}_n(F))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.10 and linear properties, we may suppose that $f_1 = g_1^{A_1, \Gamma_1}, f_2 = g_2^{A_2, \Gamma_2}$. By Lemma 2.11, we have either $\mathrm{supp} f_1 \cap \mathrm{supp} f_2 = \emptyset$ or $\mathrm{supp} f_1 \cap \mathrm{supp} f_2 = A + t_2^\Gamma \mathcal{O}^n = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i + t_2^{\gamma_i} \mathcal{O}$. If $\mathrm{supp} f_1 \cap \mathrm{supp} f_2 = \emptyset$, then $f_1 \cdot f_2 = 0$. If $\mathrm{supp} f_1 \cap \mathrm{supp} f_2 = A + t_2^\Gamma \mathcal{O}^n$, we have

- (1) $g_1^{A_1, \Gamma_1}|_{\mathrm{supp} f_1 \cap \mathrm{supp} f_2} = h_1^{A, \Gamma}$, where $h_1(x) = g_1(x + \overline{(A_1 - A)t_2^{-\Gamma}})$ and
- (2) $g_2^{A_2, \Gamma_2}|_{\mathrm{supp} f_1 \cap \mathrm{supp} f_2} = h_2^{A, \Gamma}$, where $h_2(x) = g_2(x + \overline{(A_2 - A)t_2^{-\Gamma}})$.

We then get $f_1 \cdot f_2 = (h_1 \cdot h_2)^{A, \Gamma}$. □

Corollary 2.13. *For $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$, we have $f_1 \cdot f_2 \in \mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$.*

2.4. Convolution product of integrable functions over $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. For any $g : \mathrm{GL}_n(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, $\Gamma = (\Gamma_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_+)$. Let $t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O})$ denote set of matrices $(t_2^{\Gamma_{i,j}} x_{i,j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, x_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}$, we define a function $g^{A, \Gamma} : \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((X))$ by

$$g^{A, \Gamma}(x) = \begin{cases} g\left(\overline{(A^{-1}x - I_n)t_2^{-\Gamma}}\right) & x \in A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O})), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

And we define

$$g^{0,0}(x) = \begin{cases} g(\overline{x}) & x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.14. Let V be a subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, we will say that V is a measurable subset if char_V belongs to $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, i.e., $\mathrm{char}_V |\det x|^{-n}$ extends to a function in $\mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$.

Lemma 2.15. *Let g be a function on $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ such that*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) |\det x|^{-n} & x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E) \\ 0 & \det x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is GL-Fubini on $M_n(E)$. For any $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, $\Gamma \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ such that $A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O}))$ is a measurable subset, we have $g^{A, \Gamma} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$.

Proof. Since $\int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}$ is translation invariant, it is sufficient to prove the proposition for $g^{0,\Gamma}$. We have

$$g^{0,\Gamma}(x) = \begin{cases} g(\overline{(x - I_n)t_2^{-\Gamma}}) & x \in I_n + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To prove that it belongs to $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, we must have $g^{A,\Gamma}(x)|\det x|^{-n}$ extends to a function in $\mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$. We already have $g^{0,\Gamma}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$, and $\mathrm{char}_{\mathrm{supp}_{g^{0,\Gamma}}}|\det x|^{-n}$ also belongs to $\mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$. Then the lemma follows from Corollary 2.13. \square

Definition 2.16. We let $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ denote the the $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -subspace of $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ consists of functions $f : \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((X))$ generated by $g^{0,0}$ and

$$g^{A,\Gamma}, \quad A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F), \Gamma = (\Gamma_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_+),$$

which satisfies

- (1) g is a complex valued Bruhat-Schwartz function on $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$,
- (2) $f(x) = \begin{cases} g(x)|\det x|^{-n} & x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E) \\ 0 & \det x = 0 \end{cases}$ is GL -Fubini on $M_n(E)$, and
- (3) the support of $g^{A,\Gamma}$ is a measurable subset.

Remark 2.17. Here the superscript M means measurable. Functions of the form $g^{A,\Gamma}, A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F), \Gamma \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ and satisfies the above conditions are called basic functions in $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$. It is clear that $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$. Since Bruhat-schwartz functions are locally constant functions, we get $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is generated by char_V, V are measurable subsets of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Let V_1, V_2 be two measurable subsets of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, we have $\mathrm{char}_{V_1}|\det x|^{-n}, \mathrm{char}_{V_2}|\det x|^{-n} \in \mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$. By the definition of $\mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$, we have $\mathrm{char}_{V_1}|\det x|^{-n}$ have the form $\sum a_i \mathrm{char}_{U_i}$, U_i are disjoint measurable subsets of $M_n(F)$, $\mathrm{char}_{V_2}|\det x|^{-n}$ have the form $\sum b_i \mathrm{char}_{W_i}$, W_i are disjoint measurable subsets of $M_n(F)$. We also notice that $\mathrm{char}_{V_2} = \mathrm{char}_{\cup W_i} \in \mathcal{L}(M_n(F))$. We then have

$$\mathrm{char}_{V_1 \cap V_2}|\det x|^{-n} = \mathrm{char}_{V_1} \mathrm{char}_{V_2}|\det x|^{-n} = (\sum a_i \mathrm{char}_{U_i}) \mathrm{char}_{\cup W_i} \in \mathcal{L}(M_n(F)).$$

By Lemma 2.13, we get the intersection of two measurable subsets in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ is still a measurable subset.

Definition 2.18. For every $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, we define the convolution product of f_1 and f_2 to be

$$f_1 * f_2(y) := \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f_1(yg^{-1}) f_2(g) dg.$$

Lemma 2.19. For any two basic functions $g_1^{A,\Gamma}$ and $g_2^{B,\Delta}$, the product

$$g_1^{A,\Gamma} \cdot g_2^{B,\Delta}$$

is also a basic function.

Proof. We have $\mathrm{supp} g_1^{A,\Gamma} = A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O})) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \subset M_n(F)$ and $\mathrm{supp} g_2^{B,\Delta} = B(I_n + t_2^\Delta M_n(\mathcal{O})) \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \subset M_n(F)$. Applying the isomorphism

$$T^{-1} : M_n(F) \rightarrow F^{n^2},$$

we find that $T^{-1}(A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O})))$ has the form $\tau_A(T^{-1}(I_n) + T^{-1}(t_2^\Gamma) \mathcal{O}^{n^2}) \subset F^{n^2}$, similarly for $B(I_n + t_2^\Delta M_n(\mathcal{O}))$. We can view $g_1^{A,\Gamma}$ and $g_2^{B,\Delta}$ as functions on $M_n(F)$, By the intersection properties of these sets (Lemma 2.11) and applying the functor T , we then get $\mathrm{supp} g_1^{A,\Gamma} \cap \mathrm{supp} g_2^{B,\Delta} = C + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O})$. Moreover by Lemma 2.12, we get $g_1^{A,\Gamma} \cdot g_2^{B,\Delta} = h'^{C,\Upsilon}$, where h' is a complex valued Bruhat-Schwartz function on $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$, such that

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} h'(x) |\det x|^{-n} & x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E) \\ 0 & \det x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is GL -Fubini on $M_n(E)$, and $h'^{C,\Upsilon}$ is defined by

$$h'^{C,\Upsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} g\left(\overline{(x-C)t_2^{-\Gamma}}\right) & x \in C + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We notice that this is different from our general lifting functions $g^{A,\Gamma} : \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}((X))$ whose supports are $A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O}))$. So we only need to prove that $C + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O}) = C(I_n + t_2^\Gamma C^{-1} M_n(\mathcal{O}))$ can be written as $D(I_n + t_2^\Theta M_n(\mathcal{O}))$. This is easy, we just notice that $t_2^\Gamma A, t_2^\Delta B \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$ by our condition, and $C + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O}) \subset A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma M_n(\mathcal{O})) \cap B(I_n + t_2^\Delta M_n(\mathcal{O}))$, hence $t_2^\Gamma C^{-1} \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$.

Clearly, the product is a lift of Bruhat-Schwartz function, and the support of the product is still a measurable subset by Remark 2.17. \square

Lemma 2.20. *For any two basic functions $g_1^{A,\Gamma}, g_2^{B,\Delta}$, and a fixed $y \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, the product*

$$g_1^{A,\Gamma}(yx^{-1}) \cdot g_2^{B,\Delta}(x)$$

is also a basic function with variable x . And the function $g_1^{A,\Gamma}(yx^{-1})$ can be written as $h_1^{A,\Gamma}(y^{-1}x)$.

Proof. Since Bruhat-Schwartz functions are locally constant functions with compact supports. We may suppose that $g_1 = \mathrm{char}_{V_1}, g_2 = \mathrm{char}_{V_2} : \mathrm{GL}_n(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, V_1, V_2 are compact subsets of $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$. Let $p : \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ be the projection map. We then have

$$g_1^{A,\Gamma}(yx^{-1}) = \mathrm{char}_{U_1}, U_1 = \{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F) | x^{-1} \in y^{-1}A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(V_1))\}$$

$$g_2^{B,\Delta}(x) = \mathrm{char}_{U_2}, U_2 = \{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F) | x \in B(I_n + t_2^\Delta p^{-1}(V_2))\}.$$

For $x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ such that $x^{-1} = y^{-1}A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma M)$, where $M \in M_n(\mathcal{O}), p(M) \in V_1$. We get $x = yA^{-1}(I_n - t_2^\Gamma M + (t_2^\Gamma M)^2 + \dots) = yA^{-1}(I_n + t_2^\Gamma(-M + t_2^\Gamma M + \dots))$. We notice that $\Gamma_{i,j} > 0$, then $p(-M + t_2^\Gamma M + (t_2^\Gamma M)^2 + \dots) = p(-M)$. Therefore $x \in yA^{-1}(I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(-V_1))$. Thus $U_1 \subset yA^{-1}(I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(-V_1))$ Moreover, for any $z \in yA^{-1}(I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(-V_1))$, we have $z^{-1} \in y^{-1}A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(-V_1))$ by the same discussion. Hence $yA^{-1}(I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(-V_1)) \subset U_1$ since $z = (z^{-1})^{-1}$. We then have $g_1^{A,\Gamma}(yx^{-1}) = (\mathrm{char}_{V_1})^{A,\Gamma} = (\mathrm{char}_{-V_1})^{A^{-1},\Gamma}(y^{-1}x) = h_1^{A^{-1},\Gamma}(y^{-1}x)$.

Therefore $g_1^{A,\Gamma}(yx^{-1})$ is also a basic function with variable x . Then the lemma follows from Lemma 2.19. \square

Proposition 2.21. *For any two functions $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, $f_1 * f_2 \in \mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$.*

Proof. We may assume $f_1 = g_1^{A_1, \Gamma_1}$, $f_2 = g_2^{A_2, \Gamma_2}$. then we have $g_1^{A_1, \Gamma_1}(yx^{-1})g_2^{A_2, \Gamma_2}(x)$ belong to $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, and $\mathrm{char}_{f_2}|\det x|^{-n}$ also belongs to $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$. We compute the convolution product:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 * f_2(y) : &= \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f_1(yx^{-1})f_2(x)dx = \int_{M_n(F)} f_1(yx^{-1})f_2(x)|\det x|^{-n}dx \\ &= \int_{M_n(F)} g_1^{A_1, \Gamma_1}(yx^{-1})g_2^{A_2, \Gamma}(x) \left(\sum a_w g_w^{A_w, \Gamma_w}(x) \right) dx \\ &= \sum a_w \int_{M_n(F)} g_1^{A_1, \Gamma_1}(yx^{-1})g_w'^{A'_w, \Gamma'_w}(x)dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from the product of two basic functions is still a basic function. To make the integration $\int_{M_n(F)} g_1^{A_1, \Gamma_1}(yx^{-1})g_w'^{A'_w, \Gamma'_w}(x)dx$ not equals 0, we must have $y \in A_1(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma_1})A'_w(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma'_w}) = C_w(I_n + t_2^{\Delta_w}M_n(\mathcal{O}))$.

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 * f_2(y) &= \sum a_w \mathrm{char}_{C_w(I_n + t_2^{\Delta_w}M_n(\mathcal{O}))} \int_{M_n(F)} \left(g_1^{A_1, \Gamma_1}(yx^{-1})(g_w'^{A'_w, \Gamma'_w}(x)) \right) dx. \\ &= \sum a_w \mathrm{char}_{C_w(I_n + t_2^{\Delta_w}M_n(\mathcal{O}))} \int_{M_n(F)} \left(h_1^{A_1^{-1}, \Gamma_1}(y^{-1}x)(g_w'^{A'_w, \Gamma'_w}(x)) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we assume that $y \in C_w(I_n + t_2^{\Delta_w}M_n(\mathcal{O}))$. It is easy to find that for a simple function $g^{A, \Gamma}$ on $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, $g^{A, \Gamma} \circ T(x)$ is a simple function $g^{a, \gamma} : F^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((x))$ on F^{n^2} , where $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{n^2}) \in F^{n^2}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^{n^2}$. We recall that $T : F^{n^2} \rightarrow M_n(F)$ is an isomorphism, so $T^{-1} : M_n(F) \rightarrow F^{n^2}$ is also an isomorphism.

We claim that for any two simple functions $h_1^{T^{-1}A^{-1}, T^{-1}(A_1^{-1}t_2^{\Gamma_1})}, g_w'^{T^{-1}(A'_w), T^{-1}(A'_w t_2^{\Gamma'_w})} : F^{n^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}((X))$, $\tau \in \mathrm{GL}(F^{n^2})$, $\tau \in A_1(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma_1})A'_w(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma'_w})$ if the integral

$$\int_{F^{n^2}} h_1^{T^{-1}(A^{-1}), T^{-1}(A_1^{-1}t_2^{\Gamma_1})}(\tau^{-1}x) \cdot g_w'^{T^{-1}(A'_w), T^{-1}(A'_w t_2^{\Gamma'_w})}(x)dx$$

is nonzero, then it doesn't depends on τ .

To prove the claim, we may assume $h_1 = \mathrm{char}_{K_1}, g_w' = \mathrm{char}_{K_2}$ for some measurable subsets $K_1, K_2 \subset E^{n^2}$. Let $V_1 = (T^{-1}(A_1^{-1}) + T^{-1}(A_1^{-1})t_2^{T^{-1}(\Gamma_1)}p^{-1}(K_1))$, $V_2 = (T^{-1}(A'_w) + T^{-1}(A'_w)t_2^{T^{-1}(\Gamma'_w)}p^{-1}(K_2))$, where $p : \mathcal{O}^{n^2} \rightarrow E^{n^2}$ is the projection map, then

$$\int_{F^{n^2}} \mathrm{char}_{V_g}(\tau^{-1}x) \mathrm{char}_{V_h}(x)dx = \int_{F^{n^2}} \mathrm{char}_{\tau V_g}(x) \mathrm{char}_{V_h}(x)dx.$$

Because we have $\tau = A_1(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma_1}M)A'_w(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma'_w}N)$ for some $M, N \in M_n(\mathcal{O})$. By considering the level of t_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\tau V_1) &= A_1(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma_1}M)A'_w(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma'_w}N)A_1^{-1}(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma_1}T(p^{-1}K_1)) = A'_w(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma_1}T(p^{-1}(K_1))), \\ T(V_2) &= A'_w(I_n + t_2^{\Gamma'_w}T(p^{-1}K_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\int_{F^{n^2}} \mathrm{char}_{\tau V_g}(x) \mathrm{char}_{V_h}(x)dx = \mu(\tau V_g \cap V_h) = (\int_{E^2} \mathrm{char}_{K_g} \mathrm{char}_{K_h})X^{\sum \Upsilon_{ij}}$, where $\Upsilon \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ only depends on A'_w, Γ'_w . \square

Theorem 2.22. *There is an $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -associative algebra structure on the space $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$, we denote the corresponding algebra by \mathcal{H} , and call it the Hecke algebra of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.*

Proof. We have proved that the convolution product is well-defined. The addition is $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -linear by definition. We only need to check that the convolution product is associative. For $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \mathcal{L}_M(G(F))$. We have $f_1 * (f_2 * f_3)(y) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f_1(yg^{-1}) \left(\int_{G(F)} f_2(gh^{-1}) f_3(h) dh \right) dg \\ &= \int_{F^{n^2}} f_1 \circ T_a(yg^{-1}) \left(\int_{F^{n^2}} f_2 \circ T_b(gh^{-1}) f_3 \circ T_b(h) |\det T_b h|^{-n} dh \right) |\det T_a g|^{-n} dg \\ &= \int_{F^{2n^2}} f_1 \circ T_a(yg^{-1}) f_2 \circ T_b(gh^{-1}) f_3 \circ T_b(h) |\det T_b h|^{-n} |\det T_a g|^{-n} dh dg \end{aligned}$$

By the Fubini properties of our integral, we can reverse the order of integration.

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{F^{n^2}} \left(\int_{F^{n^2}} f_1 \circ T_a(yg^{-1}) f_2 \circ T_a(gh^{-1}) |\det T_a g|^{-n} dg \right) f_3 \circ T_b(h) |\det T_b h|^{-n} dh \\ &= \int_{F^{n^2}} \left(\int_{F^{n^2}} f_1 \circ T(yg^{-1}) f_2 \circ T(gh^{-1}) |\det T g|^{-n} dg \right) f_3 \circ T(h) |\det T h|^{-n} dh \\ &= \int_{F^{n^2}} \left(\int_{F^{n^2}} f_1 \circ T(yh^{-1}(gh^{-1})^{-1}) f_2 \circ T(gh^{-1}) |\det T g|^{-n} d(gh^{-1}) \right) f_3 \circ T(h) |\det T h|^{-n} dh \\ &= \int_{F^{n^2}} ((f_1 * f_2) \circ T(yh^{-1})) f_3 \circ T(h) |\det T h|^{-n} dh \\ &= (f_1 * f_2) * f_3(y). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. MEASURABLE REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$

3.1. Measurable representations of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.

Definition 3.1. A measurable $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -representation of $G(F)$ is a pair (V, π) , where V is a $\mathbb{C}((X))$ -vector space, and

$$\pi : \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}((X))}(V).$$

such that Stab_v is a measurable subgroup of G for every $v \in V$.

Given a representation $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$, for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, one obtains a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(f) : \quad &V \rightarrow V \\ &v \mapsto \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f(g) \pi(g) \cdot v dg. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain an action

$$\mathcal{H} \times V \rightarrow V.$$

Lemma 3.2. *The action $\mathcal{H} \times V \rightarrow V$ is an algebra action.*

Proof. we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(f_1 * f_2) \cdot v &= \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \left(\int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f_1(gh^{-1}) f_2(h) dh \right) \pi(g) \cdot v dg \\
&= \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \left(\int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f_1(g') f_2(h) dh \right) \pi(g'h) \cdot v d(g'h) \\
&= \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \left(\int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f_1(g') \pi(g') f_2(h) \pi(h) \cdot v dh \right) dg'h \\
&= \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f_1(g') \pi(g') \left(\int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f_2(h) \pi(h) \cdot v dh \right) dg'h \\
&= \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f_1(gh^{-1}) \pi(gh^{-1}) \left(\int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} f_2(h) \pi(h) \cdot v dh \right) dg \\
&= \pi(f_1)(\pi(f_2) \cdot v),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from the translation property of the integral. \square

3.2. Representations on function spaces. Suppose that we have a $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ -module V , how do we get a measurable representation? We first consider the action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ on $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ by

$$(h \cdot f)(x) = f(h^{-1}x).$$

This defines a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{GL}_n(F) \times \mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)) \\
(h, f) &\mapsto h \cdot f.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.3. $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is a measurable representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.

Proof. By the translation invariant properties of the two-dimensional integral, we have $f(h^{-1}x)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$. To prove it is a measurable representation, we need to prove that Stab_f is a measurable subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Since $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is generated by $g^{A,\Gamma}$, where g are Bruhat-Schwartz functions on $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$. We may suppose that $g = \mathrm{char}_K^{\mathrm{GL}_n(E)}$ for a compact subset K of $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$, and $f = g^{A,\Gamma}$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{A,\Gamma} &= \mathrm{char}_V, \quad V = \{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F) | x \in A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(K))\}, \\
g^{A,\Gamma}(h^{-1}x) &= \mathrm{char}_U, \quad U = \{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F) | h^{-1}x \in A(I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(K))\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of f ,

$$\mathrm{Stab}_f = \{h \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F) | V = U\}.$$

Since we have $\Gamma_{i,j} > 0$, we get

$$\mathrm{Stab}_f = \mathrm{Stab}_A \cap \mathrm{Stab}_{p^{-1}K}.$$

Since K is a compact subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$, for every $y \in K$, we have a compact open neighbourhood $K_y y \subset K$, where K_y is a compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$. Then

$$\{K_y y | y \in K\}$$

is an open cover of K , it has a finite sub-cover $\{K_1 y_1, \dots, K_s y_s\}$. Let

$$H = \cap_{1 \leq i \leq s} K_i.$$

Then K is left H invariant. We claim that $p^{-1}(K)$ is left $p^{-1}(H)$ invariant.

For $w \in p^{-1}H$, and $z \in p^{-1}(K)$, we have

$$p(wz) = p(w)p(z) \subset K,$$

so $wz \subset p^{-1}(K)$. On the other hand, we have $\mathrm{Stab}_A = I_n$. Thus we have

- (1) $\mathrm{Stab}_f = \{I_n\}$ if $A \neq I_n$, and
- (2) $\mathrm{Stab}_f = I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(H)$ if $A = I_n$.

We have I_n is a measure 0 subgroup, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)} \mathrm{char}_{I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(H)} dx &= \int_{M_n(F)} \mathrm{char}_{I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(H)} |\det x|^{-n} dx \\ &= \int_{M_n(F)} (\mathrm{char}_H)^{0,0}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We have $I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}H$ is a measurable subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, clearly it is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, thus it is a measurable subgroup. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Every element $f \in \mathcal{L}^H(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is in $\mathcal{L}^H(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)/\!/M)$ for some measurable subgroup M of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$.*

Proof. We may suppose that $f = g^{0,\Gamma}$, otherwise we can take $M = I_n$. Since g is a Bruhat-Schwartz function on $\mathrm{GL}_n(E)$, we may suppose that $g = \mathrm{char}_K^{\mathrm{GL}_n(E)}$ for a compact open subset $K \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$. Like the previous lemma, for every $y \subset K$, we can choose a compact open subgroup $K_y \subset \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$, such that $yK_y \subset K$. We have

$$\{yK_y \mid y \in K\}$$

is an open cover of K , it has a finite sub-cover $\{y_1 K_1, \dots, y_s K_s\}$. Let

$$H_r = \cap_{1 \leq i \leq s} K_i.$$

Then K is right H_r -invariant. Similarly, we can find H_l such that K is left H_l invariant. Let

$$H = H_r \cap H_l,$$

we see that K is bi- H -invariant. Let $M = I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(H)$, we claim that $f = g^{0,\Gamma}$ is bi- M -invariant. Suppose that $w_1 = I_n + t_2^\Gamma h_1, w_2 = I_n + t_2^\Gamma h_2 \in I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(H), z = I_n + t_2^\Gamma k \in I_0 + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(K)$, we then have

$$w_1 z w_2 = (I_n + t_2^\Gamma h_2)(I_n + t_2^\Gamma h_1)(I_n + t_2^\Gamma h_2) \in I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(K).$$

We have

$$g^{0,\Gamma}(x) = \mathrm{char}_V, \quad V = \{x \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \mid x \in (I_n + t_2^\Gamma p^{-1}(K))\},$$

since V is bi- M -invariant, we get $f \in \mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)/\!/M)$. \square

Remark 3.5. Since $\mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$ is generated by $g^{A,\Gamma}$ for g compactly support functions (hence locally constant functions). We then get for a $f \in \mathcal{L}^M(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)/\!/M)$, we have

$$f = \sum f(g_i) \mathrm{Id}_{Mg_i M}.$$

We notice that usually this sum has infinite items.

REFERENCES

- [BK06] Alexander Braverman and David Kazhdan. Some examples of Hecke algebras for two-dimensional local fields. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, 183:57–84, 2006.
- [BK11] Alexander Braverman and David Kazhdan. The spherical Hecke algebra for affine kac-moody groups i. *Annals of mathematics*, pages 1603–1642, 2011.
- [BKP16] Alexander Braverman, David Kazhdan, and Manish M Patnaik. Iwahori–Hecke algebras for p -adic loop groups. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 204(2):347–442, 2016.
- [Fes01] Ivan Borisovich Fesenko. Sequential topologies and quotients of milnor K-groups of higher local fields. *Algebra i Analiz*, 13(3):198–221, 2001.
- [Fes03] Ivan Fesenko. Analysis on arithmetic schemes. i. *Doc. Math*, pages 261–284, 2003.
- [FK00] Ivan Fesenko and Masato Kurihara. *Invitation to higher local fields*. Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick Coventry, UK, 2000.
- [GK04] Dennis Gaitsgory and David Kazhdan. Representations of algebraic groups over a 2-dimensional local field. *Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA*, 14(3):535–574, 2004.
- [GK05] Dennis Gaitsgory and David Kazhdan. Algebraic groups over a 2-dimensional local field: irreducibility of certain induced representations. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 70(1):113–128, 2005.
- [GK06] Dennis Gaitsgory and David Kazhdan. Algebraic groups over a 2-dimensional local field: some further constructions. In *Studies in Lie Theory: Dedicated to A. Joseph on his Sixtieth Birthday*, pages 97–130. Springer, 2006.
- [Kap01] Mikhail Kapranov. Double affine Hecke algebras and 2-dimensional local fields. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 14(1):239–262, 2001.
- [KL04] Henry H Kim and Kyu-Hwan Lee. Spherical Hecke algebras of SL_2 over 2-dimensional local fields. *American journal of mathematics*, 126(6):1381–1399, 2004.
- [Lee10] Kyu-Hwan Lee. Iwahori–Hecke algebras of SL_2 over 2-dimensional local fields. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 62(6):1310–1324, 2010.
- [Mor08] Matthew Morrow. Integration on product spaces and GL_n of a valuation field over a local field. *Communications in Number Theory and Physics*, 2(3):563–592, 2008.
- [Mor10] Matthew Morrow. Integration on valuation fields over local fields. *Tokyo Journal of Mathematics*, 33(1):235–281, 2010.
- [Par84] Alexey Nikolaevich Parshin. Local class field theory. *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni VA Steklova*, 165:143–170, 1984.
- [Wal19] Raven Waller. Measure and integration on GL_2 over a two-dimensional local field. *New York J. Math*, 25:396–422, 2019.

WESTLAKE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG 310024, P.R. CHINA
 INSTITUTE FOR THEORETICAL SCIENCES, WESTLAKE UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG 310030, P.R. CHINA

Email address: maxuecai@westlake.edu.cn