

# NATURAL PARALLEL TRANSLATION AND CONNECTION ASSOCIATED TO NAVIGATION DATA

A. MEZRAG, Z. MUZSNAY, AND CS. VINCZE

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we consider the geometric setting of navigation data and introduce a natural parallel translation using the Riemannian parallelism. The geometry obtained in this way has some nice and natural features: the natural parallel translation is homogeneous (but in general nonlinear), preserves the Randers type Finslerian norm constituted by the navigation data, and the holonomy group is finite-dimensional.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The parallel translation is one of the most important geometric concept appearing in different geometric settings, such as Riemannian geometry, Finsler geometry, and relativity theory. It can be introduced through different objects, such as covariant derivatives [11, 16], or different types of connections [5, 8]. We also have an associated algebraic structure, called holonomy group, by considering parallel translations along loops. The holonomy group can provide important information about the geometry of the manifold, see for example the de Rham decomposition theorem [4, 7]. In some cases, the holonomy group is a finite-dimensional group, but in the case of homogeneous (nonlinear) parallel translations there are examples, where the holonomy group is infinite-dimensional [1, 13]. It turns out that, in the case of Finsler manifolds, the holonomy group of the natural parallel translation is, in general, an infinite-dimensional group [9]. This result motivates the investigation of homogeneous parallel translations where the holonomy group is finite-dimensional. As we will see, such a geometric setting can be associated with navigation data on a manifold.

The navigation data consists of a pair  $(h, W)$ , where  $h$  is a Riemannian metric, and  $W$  is a smooth vector field on a manifold  $M$ . The Zermelo's navigation problem is to find the paths of shortest travel time in a Riemannian manifold  $(M, h)$ , under the influence of a wind or a current represented by a vector field  $W$ . As D. Bao, C. Robles, and Z. Shen proved in [2] that the Zermelo's navigation problem is equivalent to considering geodesics of Randers-type Finsler metrics. The construction of the metric structure associated to the navigation data is easy to understand (the sets of unit vectors, called indicatrices are blown away by the wind  $W$ ), however, the affine structure (the parallel translation) is not so easy or natural to understand [15]. Moreover, the holonomy group can be very large even in cases when the metric structure is relatively simple [10].

For this reason, we consider the geometric setting of navigation data and introduce a natural parallel translation using the Riemannian parallelism. The geometry obtained in this way has some nice and natural features: the natural parallel translation is homogeneous (but in general nonlinear), preserves the Randers type Finslerian norm constituted by the navigation data, and the holonomy group is finite-dimensional.

---

*Date:* January 6, 2026.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51D15, 53C60, 53C29, 53B40.

*Key words and phrases.* Finsler geometry, navigation data, parallel translation, connexion, curvature.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this article,  $M$  denotes a connected differentiable manifold of class  $C^\infty$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  is the vector space of smooth vector fields on  $M$ . The first and the second tangent bundles of  $M$  are denoted by  $(TM, \pi, M)$  and  $(TTM, \tau, TM)$ , respectively. Local coordinates  $(x^i)$  on  $M$  induce local coordinates  $(x^i, y^i)$  on  $TM$ . The vector 1-form  $J$  on  $TM$ , defined locally as  $J = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes dx^i$ , is known as the natural almost-tangent structure of  $TM$ . Additionally, the vertical vector field  $\mathcal{C} = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$  on  $TM$  is called the Liouville vector field.

**2.1. Connections.** In this section we recall the differential algebraic presentation of the connection theory introduced in [8], which we constantly use in the following.

**Definition 2.1.** A *connection* on  $M$  is a tensor field of type (1-1)  $\Gamma$  on  $TM$  ( i.e.  $\Gamma \in \Psi^1(TM)$  ) such that  $J\Gamma = J$ , and  $\Gamma J = -J$ . The connection is called *homogeneous* if  $[\mathcal{C}, \Gamma] = 0$ , it is  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  on  $TM \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^0$  on  $TM$ . In particular, if  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  on the tangent manifold  $TM$  (including the 0 section), then it is called *linear*.

If  $\Gamma$  is a connection, then  $\Gamma^2 = I$  and the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue  $-1$  is the vertical space. Then, at any nonzero  $z \in TM$ , we have the splitting

$$(2.1) \quad T_z TM = \mathcal{H}_z \oplus \mathcal{V}_z,$$

where the so-called *horizontal space*  $\mathcal{H}_z$  is the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue  $+1$ . The matrix of  $\Gamma$  in the basis  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha}\}$  is

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\alpha^\beta & 0 \\ -2\Gamma_\alpha^\beta & -\delta_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\Gamma_\alpha^\beta = \Gamma_\alpha^\beta(x, y)$  are functions called *coefficients of the connection*. If the connection is homogeneous (resp. linear), then the coefficients  $\Gamma_\alpha^\beta(x, y)$  are homogeneous of degree one (resp. linear) in  $y$ . The *horizontal* and *vertical* projectors are denoted by

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{h} := \frac{1}{2}(Id + \Gamma), \quad \nu := \frac{1}{2}(Id - \Gamma),$$

respectively. In terms of local coordinates we have:

$$\mathfrak{h}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} - \Gamma_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\beta}, \quad \mathfrak{h}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\alpha}\right) = 0.$$

**Definition 2.2.** Given two manifolds  $N$  and  $M$ , let  $w \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$  and  $z \in \mathfrak{X}_N(M)$ , that is  $z: N \rightarrow TM$  be smooth vector fields. Using the natural isomorphism  $\xi_z: T_z^v TM \rightarrow T_{\pi(z)} M$ , the *covariant derivative* of  $z$  with respect to  $w$  is defined by:

$$(2.4) \quad D_{w(x)} z = \xi_{z(x)}(\nu \circ z_* \circ w).$$

We have the following diagram:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & TTM & \xrightarrow{\nu} & T^v TM \\ & z_* \nearrow & \downarrow & & \searrow \xi_z \\ TN & \xrightarrow{\quad} & TM & & \\ w \uparrow \pi & \nearrow z & \downarrow \pi & & \\ N & \xrightarrow{\pi \circ z} & M & & \end{array}$$

In particular, for  $N = M$  and  $w, z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , we have

$$(2.6) \quad D_w z = w^\alpha \left( \frac{\partial z^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha} + \Gamma_\alpha^\beta(x, z(x)) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}.$$

If  $N = [a, b]$  is an interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $w = \frac{d}{dt}$  and  $z : [a, b] \rightarrow TM$ ,  $z(t) = (x(t), y(t))$  is a vector field along a curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  (that is  $\gamma = \pi \circ z$ ), we arrive at:

$$(2.7) \quad D_{\frac{d}{dt}} z = \left( \frac{dy^\beta}{dt} + \Gamma_\alpha^\beta(x(t), y(t)) \frac{dx^\alpha}{dt} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}.$$

**Definition 2.3.** A vector field  $z$  along a curve  $\gamma$  is called *parallel* if  $D_{\frac{d}{dt}} z = 0$ . An *autoparallel curve* is a curve  $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow M$  such that  $D_{\frac{d}{dt}} \gamma' = 0$ .

**Remark 2.4.** From Definitions 2.2 and 2.3 we get that a vector field  $z$  is parallel along a curve  $\gamma$  if and only if  $\nu \circ z' = 0$ , that is  $z'$  is horizontal, and a curve  $\gamma$  is autoparallel if and only if  $\nu \circ \gamma'' = 0$ , that is  $\gamma''$  is horizontal.

**2.2. Spray and autoparallel curves.** A vector field  $S \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$  is called a spray if  $JS = \mathcal{C}$  and  $[\mathcal{C}, S] = S$ . Locally, a spray can be expressed as follows

$$(2.8) \quad S = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i},$$

where the *spray coefficients*  $G^i = G^i(x, y)$  are 2-homogeneous functions in the fiber coordinates.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a homogeneous connection, and consider its horizontal projector  $\mathfrak{h}$ . The spray  $S$  associated to the connection is defined by  $S = \mathfrak{h}\tilde{S}$ , where  $\tilde{S}$  is an arbitrary spray.

Locally, the coefficients of the spray associated to the connection (2.2) are

$$(2.9) \quad G^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}y^\beta \Gamma_\beta^\alpha,$$

where the  $\Gamma_\beta^\alpha(x, y)$  are the coefficients of the connection. Conversely, we have a homogeneous connection  $\Gamma := [J, S]$  associated to a spray  $S$ . If the spray has a local expression (2.8), then the coefficients of the connection are:

$$\Gamma_\beta^\alpha = \frac{\partial G^\alpha}{\partial y^\beta}.$$

The notion of sprays allows us to speak about a system of second order differential equations in a coordinate free way as follows: a parametrized curve  $c$  is called a *path* of the spray  $S$  if its velocity field  $\dot{c}$  is an integral curve of  $S$ , that is:

$$(2.10) \quad S \circ \dot{c} = \ddot{c}.$$

Using local coordinates,  $c$  is a path of the spray (2.8) if and only if it satisfies the system of second order differential equations:

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{dt^2} + 2G^\alpha \left( x, \frac{dx}{dt} \right) = 0.$$

It is easy to verify that the paths of the spray associated to the connection  $\Gamma$  are the autoparallel curves of  $\Gamma$ .

### 2.3. Navigation data and the associated Finsler structure.

**Definition 2.6.** A *Finsler manifold* of dimension  $n$  is a pair  $(M, F)$ , where  $M$  is a differentiable manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $F : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies:

- (a)  $F$  is smooth and strictly positive on  $\mathcal{T}M := TM \setminus \{0\}$ ,
- (b)  $F$  is positively homogeneous of degree 1 in the directional argument  $y$ ,
- (c) the metric tensor  $g_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$  has rank  $n$  on  $\mathcal{T}M$ , where  $E := \frac{1}{2}F^2$  is the energy function.

The pair  $(h, W)$  is called a navigation data on  $M$ , if  $h$  is a Riemannian metric and  $W$  is a smooth vector field with Riemannian length  $|W|^2 < 1$ . We denote by  $\langle X, Y \rangle = h_x(X, Y)$  and  $|X|^2 = h_x(X, X)$  the inner product and the norm defined by the Riemannian metric  $h$ .

The Randers-type Finslerian norm function associated to the navigation data  $(h, W)$  is defined as

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{F}(x, y) = \frac{\sqrt{\langle y, W \rangle^2 + \lambda |y|^2}}{\lambda} - \frac{\langle y, W \rangle}{\lambda}$$

where  $x \in M$ ,  $y \in T_x M$ , and  $\lambda$  is the smooth function

$$(2.13) \quad \lambda = 1 - |W|^2.$$

**Remark 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the Randers norm associated to the navigation data  $(h, W)$ . At any point  $p \in M$  a vector  $V_p^\circ$  has a unit Finsler norm if and only if  $V_p^\circ - W_p$  has a unit Riemannian norm, that is

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{F}(V_p^\circ) = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad |V_p^\circ - W_p| = 1.$$

### 3. NATURAL PARALLEL TRANSLATION ASSOCIATED TO NAVIGATION DATA

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $c$  be a curve from  $p$  to  $q$ , and suppose that  $V_p^\circ$  a unit vector with respect to the Randers norm function (2.12) associated to the navigation data  $(h, W)$ . We define the *natural parallel translation* associated to the navigation data  $(h, W)$  of  $V_p^\circ$  along  $c$  as

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{P}(V_p^\circ) := \mathcal{P}_{Riemann}(V_p^\circ - W_p) + W_q,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{Riemann}$  is the Riemannian parallel translation along  $c$ . We extend the definition to any non-zero vector by using the homogeneity property:

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{P}(V_p) := \mathcal{F}(V_p) \cdot \mathcal{P}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}(V_p)}V_p\right)$$

In order to simplify the notation, we will use  $\mathcal{P}_R$  instead of  $\mathcal{P}_{Riemann}$  in the sequel. From Definition 3.1 we have immediately the following

**Property 3.2.** *The natural parallel translation (3.1) is homogeneous but, in general non-linear, and preserves the Randers norm function  $\mathcal{F}$  associated to the navigation data  $(h, W)$ .*

*Proof.* The homogeneity of the parallel translation  $\mathcal{P}$  is guaranteed from the construction, however it fails to be additive, that is  $\mathcal{P}(U_p + V_p) \neq \mathcal{P}(U_p) + \mathcal{P}(V_p)$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{P}$  is nonlinear in general. On the other hand, let  $c$  be a curve from  $p$  to  $q$ , and  $V_p^\circ$  be a unit vector with respect to the Randers norm function (2.12). Using the relation (2.14) between the Finslerian and Riemannian norms, and the fact that the Riemannian parallel translation preserves the Riemannian norm, we get

$$(3.3) \quad 1 = \mathcal{F}(V_p^\circ) = |V_p^\circ - W_p| = |\mathcal{P}_R(V_p^\circ - W_p)| = \mathcal{F}((\mathcal{P}_R(V_p^\circ - W_p) + W_q) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}(V_p^\circ))$$

showing that  $\mathcal{P}$  preserves the Finslerian norm of unit vectors. Using the homogeneity property of the parallel translation  $\mathcal{P}$  and the norm function  $\mathcal{F}$ , we can get that the Finslerian norm of any tangent vector is preserved under the natural parallel translation.  $\square$

We can observe that for a given curve  $c$  joining the points  $p$  and  $q$ , the value of the parallel translation depends only on the value of  $W$  at  $p$  and  $q$  but does not depend on the value of  $W$  on  $c$  between the endpoints.

**Property 3.3.** *Let  $(h, W)$  be a navigation data on the manifold  $M$ . If  $W$  is parallel along the curve  $c$  with respect to the Riemannian metric, then the natural and the Riemannian parallel transports on  $c$  coincide.*

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $c$  be a curve from  $p$  to  $q$  and suppose that  $W$  to be parallel with respect to the Riemannian metric  $h$  along the curve  $c$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_R(W_p) = W_q$ . Let  $V_p^\circ \in T_p M$  be a Finslerian unit vector at  $p$ . Using the linearity of the Riemannian parallel translation  $\mathcal{P}_R$ :

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{P}(V_p^\circ) = \mathcal{P}_R(V_p^\circ - W_p) + W_q = \mathcal{P}_R(V_p^\circ) - \mathcal{P}_R(W_p) + W_q = \mathcal{P}_R(V_p^\circ),$$

showing that  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_R$  coincide on Finslerian unit vectors. The statement follows from the homogeneity property of the parallel translations  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_R$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $(h, W)$  be navigation data on the manifold  $M$ . The holonomy group  $\text{Hol}(\mathcal{P})$  associated to the natural parallel translation is isomorphic to the Riemannian holonomy group  $\text{Hol}(\mathcal{P}_R)$ . In particular, the holonomy group of  $\text{Hol}(\mathcal{P})$  is finite dimensional.*

*Proof.* Taking  $p = q$ , a straightforward computation shows the one-to-one correspondence  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi_R$  between the elements of the holonomy groups, where

$$\varphi(V_p) = \varphi_R(V_p) - \mathcal{F}(V_p)(\varphi_R(W_p) - W_p).$$

Substituting  $V_p = W_p$ ,

$$\varphi_R(W_p) = \frac{\varphi(W_p) - \mathcal{F}(W_p)W_p}{1 - \mathcal{F}(W_p)}$$

and the inverse formula is

$$\varphi_R(V_p) = \varphi(V_p) + \mathcal{F}(V_p) \left( \frac{\varphi(W_p) - \mathcal{F}(W_p)W_p}{1 - \mathcal{F}(W_p)} - W_p \right) = \varphi(V_p) + \mathcal{F}(V_p) \frac{\varphi(W_p) - W_p}{1 - \mathcal{F}(W_p)}.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \circ \varphi(V_p) &= \psi_R(\varphi(V_p)) - \mathcal{F}(\varphi(V_p))(\psi_R(W_p) - W_p) \\ &= \psi_R \circ \varphi_R(V_p) - \mathcal{F}(V_p)(\psi_R \circ \varphi_R(W_p) - \psi_R(W_p)) - \mathcal{F}(\varphi(V_p))(\psi_R(W_p) - W_p) \\ &= \psi_R \circ \varphi_R(V_p) - \mathcal{F}(V_p)(\psi_R \circ \varphi_R(W_p) - W_p) \end{aligned}$$

because of  $\mathcal{F}(\varphi(V_p)) = \mathcal{F}(V_p)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** As shown in [9], the holonomy groups of homogeneous parallel translations arising from a Finsler metric are generally infinite-dimensional, with finite-dimensional holonomy groups appearing only in special cases. This makes it particularly interesting to identify homogeneous (nonlinear) parallel translations with *finite-dimensional holonomy groups*. As demonstrated by the previous proposition, natural parallel translations possess this distinctive property.

#### 4. GEOMETRIC QUANTITIES ASSOCIATED TO THE NATURAL PARALLELISM

As presented in Section 2, the notion of connection, covariant differentiation and splitting (2.1) are geometric structures related to the parallelism. In this section we derive these geometric objects associated to the natural parallel translation with respect to the navigation data  $(h, W)$ .

**4.1. Horizontal distribution, connection.** As Remark 2.4 shows, parallel translations correspond to traveling along horizontal curves. Therefore the horizontal distribution associated to a parallelism can be obtained by differentiation of parallel vector fields: the possible tangent directions are horizontal. We can use this property to determine the horizontal distribution.

Let  $A_{ij}^k = A_{ij}^k(x)$  be the connection coefficients of the Lévi-Civita connection  $\nabla^R$  of the Riemann metric  $h$ :

$$(4.1) \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}^R \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = A_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.$$

Consider a curve  $c_t$  on  $M$  and let  $\mathcal{P}_t$  be the natural parallel translation along  $c_t$ , and  $(\mathcal{P}_R)_t$  be the Riemann parallel translation with respect to the metric  $h$  along the curve  $c_t$ . Then, from formula (3.1) we get that for any Finslerian unit vector  $V_0 \in T_{c_0}M$  the corresponding parallel vector field along  $c_t$  is

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{P}_t(V_0) = (\mathcal{P}_R)_t(V_0 - W_0) + W(c(t)),$$

and its derivative must be horizontal:

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{P}_t(V_0) \in \mathcal{H}_{(c_t, \mathcal{P}_t(V_0))}.$$

If the coordinate expression of the parallel vector field (4.2) in  $(x^k, y^k)$  is  $(c_t^k, \mathcal{P}_t(V_0))^k$ , then its derivative is

$$(4.4) \quad (c_t^k, \mathcal{P}_t(V_0))^k, \dot{c}_t^k, \frac{d}{dt} [\mathcal{P}_t(V_0)]^k),$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} [\mathcal{P}_t(V_0)]^k = \frac{d}{dt} [(\mathcal{P}_R)_t(V_0 - W_0) + W(c_t)]^k = -A_{ij}^k \dot{c}_t^i (\mathcal{P}_R)_t^j (V_0 - W_0) + \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^i} \dot{c}_t^i.$$

Denoting the connection coefficients of the natural parallelism with  $\Gamma_i^k = \Gamma_i^k(x, y)$ , the horizontal projector associated to the navigation data is

$$(4.6) \quad \mathfrak{h}: TTM \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \quad (\subset TTM),$$

and the horizontal distribution is generated by the vector fields

$$(4.7) \quad \mathfrak{h}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j}.$$

Comparing (4.3), (4.5), and (4.7) we get

$$(4.8) \quad \Gamma_i^k(c_t, \mathcal{P}_t(V_0)) \dot{c}_t^i = A_{ij}^k(c_t) \cdot \dot{c}_t^i \cdot [(\mathcal{P}_R)_t(V_0 - W_0)]^j - \dot{c}_t^i \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{c(t)}.$$

The formula holds for any curve  $c$  and any parameter  $t$ , so for any point  $c_t$ , and direction represented by the unit vector  $\dot{c}_o \in T_x M$ . We also note that for  $t = 0$  we have  $\mathcal{P}_o = (\mathcal{P}_R)_o = id$  the identity transformation. Therefore at  $x = c_o$  we get

$$(4.9) \quad \Gamma_i^k(x, V_0) = A_{ij}^k(x) (V_0^j - W^j(x)) - \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^i} \Big|_x$$

for any Finslerian unit vector  $V_0$ . Moreover, we can extend it by the homogeneity property to any, not necessarily unit vector: for any  $y \in T_x M$  we have a Finslerian unit vector  $V_0 = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}(x, y)} y$ . Therefore

$$(4.10) \quad \Gamma_i^k(x, \frac{y}{\mathcal{F}(x, y)}) = A_{ij}^k(x) \cdot (\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}(x, y)} y^j - W^j(x)) - \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^i} \Big|_x,$$

or equivalently

$$(4.11) \quad \Gamma_i^k(x, y) = A_{ij}^k(x) \cdot (y^j - \mathcal{F}(x, y) W^j(x)) - \mathcal{F}(x, y) \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^i} \Big|_x.$$

Using the simplified notation  $A_{ij}^k = A_{ij}^k(x)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(y) = \mathcal{F}(x, y)$ , we have

$$(4.12) \quad \Gamma_i^k(x, y) = A_{is}^k \cdot y^s - A_{is}^k \cdot \mathcal{F}(y) \cdot W^s - \mathcal{F}(y) \cdot \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^i}.$$

The horizontal distribution can be described as the distribution spanned by the horizontal lifted vector fields: the horizontal lift  $l_{(x,y)} : T_x M \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{(x,y)}$  of  $X \in T_x M$  is

$$(4.13) \quad l_{(x,y)}(X) = l_{(x,y)}^R(X) + \mathcal{F}(x, y) \cdot \left( \nabla_X^R W \right)^v,$$

where  $l_{(x,y)}^R$  is the Riemannian horizontal lift, and  $\nabla_X^R W$  is the vertical lift of the Riemannian covariant derivative of the wind  $W$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $(h, W)$  be a navigation data on the manifold  $M$ , and  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the horizontal projector (4.6) associated to the natural parallelism. The connection

$$(4.14) \quad \Gamma := 2\mathfrak{h} - \text{Id}.$$

is called the *natural connection*. The coefficients of the natural connection are the functions given in (4.12).

**4.2. Covariant derivative associated with natural parallelism.** A vector field  $V_t$  along the curve  $c_t$  is parallel if its derivative is the horizontal lift of  $\dot{c}(t)$  along  $V_t$ :

$$(4.15) \quad \dot{V}_t = l_{(c, V_t)}(\dot{c}),$$

or equivalently, if its covariant derivative is vanishing. From (2.7) one can get the formula for the covariant derivative

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{\nabla V_t}{dt} = \left( \frac{dV_t^k}{dt} + \Gamma_i^k(c, V) \dot{c}^i \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.$$

Formula (4.16) allows us to introduce the (nonlinear) covariant derivative of a vector field  $V$  with respect to  $X$ :

$$(4.17) \quad \nabla_X V = X^i \left( \frac{\partial V^k}{\partial x^i} + \Gamma_i^k(x, V) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}.$$

The map

$$(4.18) \quad \nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M) \quad (X, Y) \mapsto \nabla_X Y$$

is  $C^\infty(M)$  linear in its first variable, but only  $\mathbb{R}$  homogeneous in the second variable. Indeed,  $\nabla$  does not satisfy the additivity property in the second variable. The parallelism can be formulated in terms of the (nonlinear) covariant derivative as follows.

**Property 4.2.** *The vector field  $V(t)$  along the curve  $c$  is parallel if and only if  $\nabla_{\dot{c}} V = 0$ .*

**Property 4.3.** *The coordinate invariant expression of the non-linear covariant differentiation (4.18) associated to the nonlinear parallelism is*

$$(4.19) \quad \nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^R Y - \mathcal{F}(Y) \cdot \nabla_X^R W,$$

where  $\nabla^R$  is the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric  $h$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Formula (4.19) shows that if the wind  $W$  is parallel along a curve, then the Riemannian parallel translation  $\mathcal{P}_R$  and the natural parallel translation  $\mathcal{P}$  along  $c$  coincide. Moreover,  $W$  is parallel with respect to the Riemannian metric if and only if  $\nabla \equiv \nabla^R$ .

Investigating the natural parallelism and the associated covariant differentiation we get the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.5.** *The covariant differentiations along the integral curves of the vector field  $W$  coincide if and only if the integral curves of  $W$  are Riemannian geodesics.*

*Proof.* Substituting  $X = W$  in formula (4.19) we have the same covariant derivatives along the integral curves of the vector field  $W$  if and only if  $\nabla_{\dot{c}}^R W = 0$ , that is the integral curves of  $W$  are Riemannian geodesics.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.** *The integral curves of  $W$  are pre-geodesics (resp. geodesics) of  $\nabla$  if and only if they are pre-geodesics (resp. geodesics) of  $\nabla^R$ .*

*Proof.* Substituting  $X = Y = W$  in formula (4.19) we have that

$$(4.20) \quad \nabla_W W = (1 - \mathcal{F}(W)) \nabla_W^R W.$$

Since  $W$  is one of the navigation data, it follows that

$$(4.21) \quad \mathcal{F}(W) = \frac{|W|}{1 + |W|} < 1,$$

and the acceleration vector fields  $\nabla_{\dot{c}} W$  and  $\nabla_{\dot{c}}^R W$  are proportional to  $\dot{c} = W \circ c$  at the same time as follows:

$$(4.22) \quad \nabla_{\dot{c}} W(t) = \varphi(t) \dot{c}(t) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \nabla_{\dot{c}}^R W(t) = \rho(t) \dot{c}(t),$$

with

$$(4.23) \quad \rho(t) = \frac{\varphi(t)}{1 - \mathcal{F}(\dot{c}(t))}.$$

As (4.22) shows, the integral curves of  $W$  are pre-geodesics of the natural connection  $\nabla$  if and only if they are pre-geodesics of the connection  $\nabla^R$  and, in case of  $\varphi(t) = \rho(t) = 0$ , the integral curves of  $W$  are geodesics of  $\nabla$ , if and only if they are geodesics of  $\nabla^R$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Torsion.** In his paper [8] J. Grifone developed the connection theory in terms of the Frölicher-Nijenhuis calculus. He introduced the notion of weak, and strong torsions of a connection denoted by  $t$  and  $T$ , respectively. If the connection is homogeneous, then  $t = 0$  if and only if  $T = 0$  (see [8, Corollaire 1.56]). Since in the case of natural parallelism the associated connection is homogeneous, the strong torsion is vanishing if and only if the weak torsion is zero, and we call simply by torsion the vector valued 2-form defined by

$$(4.24) \quad t = \frac{1}{2}[J, \Gamma].$$

Its components are given by

$$(4.25) \quad t_{ij}^k = \frac{\partial \Gamma_j^k}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_i^k}{\partial y^j}.$$

The torsion of the natural connection is nonzero in general. Indeed, the derivatives of the connection coefficients with respect to vertical directions are

$$(4.26) \quad \frac{\partial \Gamma_i^k}{\partial y^j}(x, y) = A_{ij}^k - \mathcal{F}_{y^j} A_{is}^k W^s - \mathcal{F}_{y^j} \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^i},$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{y^i} := \partial_{y^i} \mathcal{F}$ . It follows that the torsion

$$(4.27) \quad t_{ij}^k = (\mathcal{F}_{y^i} A_{js}^k - \mathcal{F}_{y^j} A_{is}^k) W^s + \mathcal{F}_{y^i} \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^j} - \mathcal{F}_{y^j} \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^i},$$

or in a coordinate-free way

$$(4.28) \quad t = d_J \mathcal{F} \wedge \nabla^R W,$$

where the right side involves the simplified notation of the vertical lifted vector valued 1-form

$$(4.29) \quad [\nabla^R W](X) := (\nabla_X^R W)^v,$$

and the wedge product gives a vector valued 2-form defined as

$$(4.30) \quad [d_J \mathcal{F} \wedge \nabla^R W](X, Y) = d_J \mathcal{F}(X) \cdot \nabla^R W(Y) - d_J \mathcal{F}(Y) \cdot \nabla^R W(X),$$

or equivalently

$$(4.31) \quad [d_J \mathcal{F} \wedge \nabla^R W](X, Y) = JX(F) \cdot (\nabla_Y^R W)^v - JY(F) \cdot (\nabla_X^R W)^v.$$

From (4.28) it follows that

$$(4.32) \quad t \equiv 0 \iff d_J \mathcal{F} \wedge \nabla^R W = 0.$$

The geometric characterization of (4.32), the vanishing of the torsion, is given by Proposition 4.7 and Theorem 4.9 below.

**Proposition 4.7.** *The torsion of the natural connection is identically zero if and only if  $W$  is parallel with respect to the Riemannian metric, that is  $\nabla^R W = 0$ .*

In order to prove the proposition we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $\rho \in \Psi^1(M)$  be a vector valued 1-form on the base manifold  $M$ . Then*

$$(4.33) \quad \mathcal{F}_{y^i} \rho_j^k \circ \pi = \mathcal{F}_{y^j} \rho_i^k \circ \pi$$

is satisfied if and only if  $\rho_j^k = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us suppose that (4.33) is satisfied. Then, using the homogeneity property of the Finslerian metric function, the contraction by  $y^i$  gives that

$$(4.34) \quad \mathcal{F} \rho_j^k \circ \pi = \mathcal{F}_{y^j} y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi,$$

or equivalently

$$(4.35) \quad \rho_j^k \circ \pi = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_{y^j} y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi.$$

Differentiating by the variable  $y^l$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}^2} \mathcal{F}_{y^l} \mathcal{F}_{y^j} y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi + \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_{y^j y^l} y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi + \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_{y^j} \rho_l^k \circ \pi \\ &= -\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}^2} \mathcal{F}_{y^l} \mathcal{F}_{y^j} y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi + \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_{y^j y^l} y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi + \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_{y^j} \left( \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_{y^l} y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi \right) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{F}_{y^j y^l} y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{F} \cdot \mathcal{F}_{y_j y_l}$  is the component of the angular metric tensor (the restriction of the Riemann-Finsler metric to the indicatrix bundle), it follows that  $y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi = 0$  and, by differentiating with respect to the variable  $y^j$ , we have the vanishing of the components of the one-form. The converse of the statement is trivial.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 4.7.* Using equations (4.25), (4.26), and (4.27), we have the result by Lemma 4.8 under the choice  $\rho(X) = \nabla_X^R W$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** *The torsion of the natural connection is identically zero if and only if the Randers metric  $\mathcal{F}$  is Berwaldian.*

*Proof.* Let us suppose that the torsion of the natural connection is identically zero. Then, by Proposition 4.7, the vanishing of the torsion is equivalent to the parallelism of the vector field  $W$ . If  $W$  is parallel with respect to the Riemannian metric  $h$ , then the natural parallel translation and the Riemannian parallel translation with respect to the Riemannian metric  $h$  coincide. The natural parallel translation preserves the Finslerian norm function  $\mathcal{F}$ . So does the Riemannian parallel translation with respect to the Riemannian metric  $h$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F}$  is Berwaldian and its canonical connection is  $\nabla^R$  as a torsion-free linear connection such that the parallel transport preserves the Finslerian metric function  $\mathcal{F}$ .

To prove the converse, let us suppose that the Randers metric  $\mathcal{F}$  is Berwaldian. We set the following notations:

$$(4.36) \quad \mathcal{F}(x, y) = \sqrt{\alpha_{ij}(x)y^i y^j} + \beta_i(x)y^i,$$

where

$$(4.37) \quad \alpha_{ij} = \frac{1}{\lambda}h_{ij} + \beta_i\beta_j, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = -\frac{1}{\lambda}h_{ik}W^k.$$

Using the abbreviation  $\gamma_{ij} = h_{ij}/\lambda$ , we have

$$(4.38) \quad \alpha_{ij} = \gamma_{ij} + \beta_i\beta_j, \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i = -\gamma_{ik}W^k.$$

In particular,

$$(4.39) \quad \alpha^{ij} = \gamma^{ij} - \frac{1}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}\beta^i\beta^j,$$

where  $\beta^i = \gamma^{ik}\beta_k$ . It is known (see for example [17, Theorem 1]) that  $\mathcal{F}$  is Berwaldian if and only if  $\nabla^\alpha\beta = 0$ , where  $\nabla^\alpha$  is the Lévi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric  $\alpha$ . In terms of local coordinates we have

$$(4.40) \quad \partial_i\beta_j - \Gamma_{ij}^k\beta_k = 0,$$

where the functions  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  are the Christoffel symbols of  $\nabla^\alpha$ . In particular,

$$(4.41) \quad \partial_i\beta_j = \partial_j\beta_i.$$

Since  $\beta$  is a covariant constant one-form, it follows that its dual vector field is of constant length with respect to the Riemannian metric  $\alpha_{ij}$ :

$$(4.42) \quad \text{const} = \alpha^{ij}\beta_i\beta_j = \left(\gamma^{ij} - \frac{1}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}\beta^i\beta^j\right)\beta_i\beta_j = \frac{\beta^s\beta_s}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s} = \frac{|W|_\gamma^2}{1 + |W|_\gamma^2} = |W|^2.$$

Therefore the conformal factor  $\lambda$  between the Riemannian metrics  $\gamma_{ij}$  and  $h_{ij}$  is constant and  $\nabla^R = \nabla^\gamma$ . Using that

$$(4.43) \quad \alpha^{km}\beta_k = \left(\gamma^{km} - \frac{1}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}\beta^k\beta^m\right)\beta_k = \frac{1}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}\beta^m = \frac{1}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}\gamma^{km}\beta_k$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_i\beta_j - \Gamma_{ij}^k\beta_k = \partial_i\beta_j - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{km}(\partial_i\alpha_{jm} + \partial_j\alpha_{im} - \partial_m\alpha_{ij})\beta_k \\ &= \partial_i\beta_j - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}\gamma^{km}(\partial_i\gamma_{jm} + \partial_j\gamma_{im} - \partial_m\gamma_{ij})\beta_k \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}\gamma^{km}(\beta_m(\partial_i\beta_j + \partial_j\beta_i) + \beta_j(\partial_i\beta_m - \partial_m\beta_i) + \beta_i(\partial_j\beta_m - \partial_m\beta_j))\beta_k \stackrel{(4.41)}{=} \\ &= \partial_i\beta_j - \frac{1}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}A_{ij}^k\beta_k - \frac{\beta^s\beta_s}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}\partial_i\beta_j = \frac{1}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}(\partial_i\beta_j - A_{ij}^k\beta_k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the one-form  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $h$ , that is its dual vector field is covariant constant:  $\nabla^R W = 0$  and, according to Proposition 4.7, the torsion is identically zero.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.10.** *The vector field  $W$  is of constant length with respect to the Riemannian metric  $h$  if and only if the Randers metric  $\mathcal{F}$  is Wagnerian.*

*Proof.* Using the notations in the proof of Theorem 4.9, it is known (see for example [17, Theorem 2]) that  $\mathcal{F}$  is Wagnerian if and only if the dual vector field of  $\beta$  is of constant length with respect to the Riemannian metric  $\alpha$ . The statement follows immediately by the computation

$$\alpha^{ij}\beta_i\beta_j = \left(\gamma^{ij} - \frac{1}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s}\beta^i\beta^j\right)\beta_i\beta_j = \frac{\beta^s\beta_s}{1 + \beta^s\beta_s} = \frac{|W|_\gamma^2}{1 + |W|_\gamma^2} = |W|^2.$$

The parallel transport preserving the Finslerian metric is given by the linear connection

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^\alpha Y + \frac{\alpha(\nabla_X^\alpha \beta^\sharp, Y) \beta^\sharp - \alpha(Y, \beta^\sharp) \nabla_X^\alpha \beta^\sharp}{|\beta^\sharp|_\alpha^2},$$

where  $\nabla^\alpha$  is the Lévi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric  $\alpha$  and the sharp operator is taken with respect to  $\alpha$ . It can be easily seen that  $\nabla\alpha = 0$  and  $\nabla\beta^\sharp = 0$  provided that the dual vector field is of constant length. Therefore, we have both  $\nabla\alpha = 0$  and  $\nabla\beta = 0$ , that is, the Randers metric is invariant under the parallel transports with respect to  $\nabla$ .  $\square$

## 5. AUTOPARALLEL CURVES, NATURAL SPRAY

Let  $(h, W)$  be navigation data on the manifold  $M$ . The curve  $c: I \rightarrow M$  is autoparallel with respect to the natural parallelism if the velocity vector field  $\dot{c}$  along  $c$  is parallel with respect to the parallelism introduced in Definition 3.1. Using the covariant derivative  $\nabla$  introduced in section 4.2 we get that  $c$  is an autoparallel curve if and only if

$$(5.1) \quad \nabla_{\dot{c}} \dot{c} = 0.$$

According to the expression (4.19) of  $\nabla$  in terms of the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^R$  of the Riemannian metric  $h$  and the vector field  $W$  we get that  $c$  is autoparallel with respect to the natural parallel structure if and only if it satisfies

$$(5.2) \quad \nabla_{\dot{c}}^R \dot{c} - \mathcal{F}(\dot{c}) \cdot \nabla_{\dot{c}}^R W = 0$$

In a local coordinate system we obtain the second order differential equation

$$(5.3) \quad \ddot{c}^k + \dot{c}^i \left( A_{ij}^k \dot{c}^j - \mathcal{F}(\dot{c}) A_{ij}^k W^j - \mathcal{F}(\dot{c}) \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^i} \right) = 0,$$

where  $A_{ij}^k$  are the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla^R$ . The spray

$$(5.4) \quad S = y^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} - 2G^k(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$$

corresponding to the system (5.3) has coefficients

$$(5.5) \quad G^k(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( A_{ij}^k y^i y^j - \mathcal{F}(x, y) y^i A_{ij}^k W^j - \mathcal{F}(x, y) y^i \frac{\partial W^k}{\partial x^i} \right).$$

**Definition 5.1.** The spray (5.4) with coefficients (5.5) corresponding to the natural parallelism will be called the *natural spray*, and the connection

$$(5.6) \quad \bar{\Gamma} := [J, S]$$

generated by the natural spray will be called the *natural symmetric connection*.

**Remark 5.2.** The natural connection (4.14) and the natural symmetric connection (5.6) are different in general. Indeed, the torsion of the natural symmetric connection is identically zero but, in general, the torsion of the natural connection is not.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Equation*

$$(5.7) \quad y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi = \varphi(x, y) y^k$$

is satisfied for a zero homogeneous function  $\varphi$  on the tangent manifold and a one-form  $\rho$  on the base manifold if and only if  $\varphi(x, y) = \varphi(x)$  and  $\rho_j^k(x) = \varphi(x) \delta_j^k$ .

*Proof.* First of all we prove that the zero homogeneous function  $\varphi$  depends only on the position. Differentiating with respect to the variable  $y^l$  we have

$$\rho_l^k \circ \pi = \varphi_{y^l} y^k + \delta_l^k \varphi.$$

Taking  $k = l$

$$\rho_k^k \circ \pi = \varphi_{y^k} y^k + \delta_k^k \varphi,$$

where  $y^k \varphi_{y^k} = 0$  because  $\varphi$  is zero homogeneous. Therefore

$$\varphi = \frac{\rho_k^k \circ \pi}{n} \Rightarrow \varphi(x, y) = \varphi(x)$$

depends only on the position. Finally,

$$y^i \rho_i^k \circ \pi = \varphi \circ \pi y^k \Rightarrow \rho_j^k(x) = \varphi(x) \delta_j^k$$

by differentiating with respect to the variable  $y^j$ . (The converse of the statement is trivial.)  $\square$

**Definition 5.4.** The vector field  $W$  is called a *concircular vector field* with respect to the Riemannian metric  $h$  if there exist a function  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$  such that

$$(5.8) \quad \nabla_X^R W = \varphi X,$$

for all  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , where  $\nabla^R$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection of  $h$ . The function  $\varphi$  is called the potential function of  $W$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $(h, W)$  be a navigation data. The natural spray  $S$  is projectively related to the quadratic spray  $S^R$  corresponding to the geodesic equations of the Riemannian connection  $\nabla^R$  if and only if  $W$  is a concircular vector field with respect of  $h$ .*

*Proof.* The sprays  $S$  and  $S^R$  are projectively related iff their paths coincide as point sets, that is they coincide up to reparametrizations. It is well-known that the equivalent condition is the existence of a positively one-homogeneous function  $P$  such that  $S = S^R + PC$ . The local expression of the quadratic spray  $S^R$  of the linear connection  $\nabla^R$  is

$$(5.9) \quad S^R = y^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} - A_{ij}^k(x) y^i y^j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}.$$

Therefore, using equations (5.4), (5.5) and (5.9), the projective equivalence gives that there exists a positively 1-homogeneous function  $P(x, y)$  such that

$$(5.10) \quad \mathcal{F}(x, y) y^i \rho_i^k(x) = P(x, y) y^k,$$

where  $\rho(X) = \nabla_X^R W$ . Applying Lemma 5.3 with  $\varphi = P/\mathcal{F}$ , it follows that the sprays are projectively related if and only if  $P(x, y) = \varphi(x) \mathcal{F}(x, y)$ , that is the projective factor is conformally related to the Randers metric  $\mathcal{F}$ , and  $\rho_j^k(x) = \varphi(x) \delta_j^k$ .  $\square$

**Example 5.6.** Let  $M$  be the interior of the Euclidean unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  equipped by the standard Euclidean inner product  $h_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ . If

$$(5.11) \quad W = -x^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$$

then we have that  $\nabla_X^R W = -X$  and the natural spray is

$$(5.12) \quad S = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \mathcal{F}(x, y) C,$$

where  $C$  is the so-called Liouville vector field and the Randers metric  $\mathcal{F}$  coincides the Funk metric [14]. Therefore, the natural spray (5.12) is metrizable as the canonical spray of the Funk metric.

Example 5.6 is particularly interesting, since the geodesic structure obtained from the natural parallelism is metrizable. This is not always the case, as the next example shows.

**Example 5.7.** Let  $h$  be the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , defined by  $h_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ , and let the wind  $W$  be given by the infinitesimal rotation

$$(5.13) \quad W = x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}.$$

One can show that the Lie brackets  $[\delta_1, \delta_2]$ , and  $[[\delta_1, \delta_2], \delta_2]$  of the horizontal vector fields (4.7) give independent vertical directions, therefore the distribution generated by horizontal vector fields:

$$(5.14) \quad \mathcal{D}_H := \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle_{Lie} = \text{Span}\{\delta_i, [\delta_{i_1}, \delta_{i_2}], [\delta_{i_1}, [\delta_{i_2}, \delta_{i_3}]] \dots\},$$

called the holonomy distribution, is 4-dimensional: it contains the whole horizontal and vertical space, that is

$$(5.15) \quad \mathcal{D}_H = TTM.$$

If the associated natural spray were Finsler metrizable, then the corresponding Finsler norm function  $F$  would be a holonomy invariant function [6]. Therefore, for any  $X \in \mathcal{D}_H$  one should have  $\mathcal{L}_X F = 0$ . However, from (5.15), it follows that  $F$  must be a constant function, which leads to a contradiction. This shows that, in this case, the natural spray is not Finsler metrizable.

It is a natural problem to characterize navigation data  $(h, W)$  for which the geometric structures — such as the parallel translations or the autoparallel curves and sprays — associated with the natural parallelism and the Randers-type Finsler metric  $\mathcal{F}$  coincide. The simplest situation occurs when the parallel translations coincide. We have the following

**Property 5.8.** *Let  $(h, W)$  be a navigation data on a manifold  $M$ . The parallel translations with respect to the associated Randers metric  $\mathcal{F}$  and the natural parallel translations (3.1) coincide if and only if  $W$  is parallel with respect to  $h$ .*

*Proof.* Let us suppose that the parallel translations coincide. Using Grifone's terminology, the torsion of the connection associated with  $\mathcal{F}$ , and therefore the torsion of the natural connection (4.25) vanishes. From Proposition 4.7 we get that  $W$  is parallel with respect to the Riemann metric  $h$ , and using Property 3.3 we find that all three parallel translations, that is the natural, the Riemannian, and the Finslerian (which is actually of Berwald type – see Theorem 4.9) coincide.  $\square$

**Remark 5.9.** It is important to note that the autoparallel curves (resp. sprays) of the Randers metric  $\mathcal{F}$  and those arising from the natural parallel translations associated with navigation data may coincide, even when the parallel translations themselves do not. A concrete illustration of this phenomenon is given in 5.6, where the navigation data  $(h, W)$  involves a vector field  $W$  that is not parallel with respect to  $h$ . The two parallel transports are clearly different since the holonomy group of the natural parallel translation is finite-dimensional, whereas the holonomy of  $\mathcal{F}$ , the Funk metric, is known to be infinite-dimensional [10]. In the proposition below, we characterize the specific cases in which the natural parallelism and the Randers metric associated with a navigation problem yield the same geodesic structure.

**Proposition 5.10.** *The natural spray (5.4) and the geodesic spray of the Randers metric  $\mathcal{F} = \alpha + \beta$  associated with the navigation data  $(h, W)$  coincide if and only if  $W$  is a concircular vector field with respect to the Riemannian metric  $h$ .*

*Proof.* First, suppose that  $W$  is a concircular vector field with respect to the Riemannian metric  $h$ , and let  $\tilde{S}$  denote the geodesic spray of the Randers metric  $\mathcal{F} = \alpha + \beta$ . Using [3, Formula 2.1], the spray coefficients of  $\tilde{S}$  are given by

$$\tilde{G}^i = \frac{1}{2}y^j y^k A_{kj}^i + R_{00} y^i + \frac{R_{00}}{2} W^i - \frac{\mathcal{F}^2}{2} (S^i + R^i - RW^i) - \mathcal{F} \left( S_0^i + \frac{R}{2} y^i + R_0 W^i \right) - \frac{R_{00}}{2\mathcal{F}} y^i,$$

where  $R_{ij}$  and  $S_{ij}$  denote the components of the tensors

$$\mathcal{R}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} (h(\nabla_X^R W, Y) + h(X, \nabla_Y^R W)), \quad \mathcal{S}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} (h(\nabla_X^R W, Y) - h(X, \nabla_Y^R W)),$$

respectively. For any  $(0, 2)$  tensor  $T$  with tensor components  $T_{ij}$  we use the notation

$$(5.16a) \quad T_j = W^i T_{ij}, \quad T = W^j T_j, \quad T^i = h^{ij} T_j, \quad T_j^i = h^{il} T_{lj},$$

$$(5.16b) \quad T_0 = y^i T_i, \quad T_0^i = y^j T_j^i, \quad T_{00} = y^i y^j T_{ij}.$$

The coefficients (5.5) of the natural spray can be rewritten as

$$(5.17) \quad G^i = \frac{1}{2}y^j y^k A_{kj}^i - \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2} (R_0^i + S_0^i).$$

From (5.8) we obtain

$$(5.18) \quad R_{ij} = \varphi h_{ij}, \quad R_{00} = \varphi h^2, \quad R_0^i = \varphi y^i, \quad S_{ij} = 0.$$

Therefore, applying [3, Formula 2.4] with  $c = -\varphi/2$ , we obtain

$$(5.19) \quad \tilde{G}^i = \frac{1}{2}y^j y^k A_{kj}^i - \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2}\varphi y^i = \frac{1}{2}y^j y^k A_{kj}^i - \frac{\mathcal{F}}{2}R_0^i \stackrel{(5.17)}{=} G^i.$$

Thus, the spray coefficients of  $S$  and  $\tilde{S}$  coincide.

Conversely, suppose that the natural spray  $S$  and the geodesic spray  $\tilde{S}$  of the Randers metric coincide. Then

$$(5.20) \quad G^i = \tilde{G}^i,$$

and consequently,

$$(5.21) \quad G^i(v) - G^i(-v) = \tilde{G}^i(v) - \tilde{G}^i(-v).$$

Since the quadratic terms in the variable  $v$  clearly cancel, the left side of (5.21) becomes

$$(5.22) \quad G^i(v) - G^i(-v) = -\frac{R_0^i + S_0^i}{2}(v)(\mathcal{F}(v) + \mathcal{F}(-v)) = -\alpha(v)(R_0^i + S_0^i)(v).$$

Similarly, the right side of (5.21) is

$$(5.23) \quad \tilde{G}^i(v) - \tilde{G}^i(-v) = A(\mathcal{F}^2(v) - \mathcal{F}^2(-v)) - B(\mathcal{F}(v) + \mathcal{F}(-v)) - C\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{F}(v)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}(-v)}\right),$$

where

- (i)  $A = \frac{1}{2}(S^i + R^i - RW^i)$  does not depend on  $y$ ,
- (ii)  $B = S_0^i + \frac{1}{2}Ry^i + R_0 W^i$  is linear in the variable  $y$ ,
- (iii)  $C = \frac{1}{2}R_{00}y^i$  is a cubic term in the variable  $y$ .

Since

$$\mathcal{F}^2(v) - \mathcal{F}^2(-v) = 4\alpha(v)\beta(v), \quad \mathcal{F}(v) + \mathcal{F}(-v) = 2\alpha(v), \quad \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}(v)} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}(-v)} = \frac{2\alpha(v)}{\alpha^2(v) - \beta^2(v)},$$

dividing (5.21) by the common factor  $\alpha(v)$ , and comparing the remaining terms by using (5.22) and (5.23) we can obtain that  $\frac{R_{00}}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}y^i$  must be linear in the directional variable.

It follows that the function

$$(5.24) \quad m := \frac{R_{00}}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}$$

does not depend on the directional variable. Hence

$$(5.25) \quad R_{00} = \varphi h^2, \quad R_{ij} = \varphi h_{ij},$$

for some function  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ . Applying [3, Formula 2.4] with  $c = -\varphi/2$ , the coefficients of the Randers spray  $\tilde{S}$  reduce to

$$(5.26) \quad \tilde{G}^i = \frac{1}{2}y^j y^k A_{kj}^i - \mathcal{F} S_0^i - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}^2 S^i - \frac{1}{2}\varphi \mathcal{F} y^i.$$

Using (5.20) together with (5.17) and (5.26), we obtain

$$(5.27) \quad \frac{1}{2}(R_0^i + S_0^i) = S_0^i + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F} S^i + \frac{1}{2}\varphi y^i.$$

Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is the only nonlinear term in this equation, it follows that  $S^i = 0$ , and therefore

$$(5.28) \quad \varphi y^i = R_0^i - S_0^i.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $y^j$  yields

$$(5.29) \quad \varphi \delta_j^i = R_j^i - S_j^i \Rightarrow \varphi h_{ij} = R_{ij} - S_{ij}.$$

This implies (5.8), and thus  $W$  is a concircular vector field with potential function  $\varphi$ .  $\square$

Comparing Proposition 5.5 and Proposition 5.10 we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 5.11.** *The geodesics of the natural spray and those of the Randers metric  $\mathcal{F}$  associated with the navigation data  $(h, W)$  coincide if and only if they are projectively equivalent to the geodesics of the Riemann metric  $h$ .*

**Remark 5.12.** According to [3], a Randers metric has isotropic  $S$ -curvature if and only if  $R_{00} = \varphi h^2$  with some function  $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$ . It follows the following

**Corollary 5.13.** *If the natural spray and the geodesic spray of the Randers metric associated to a navigation data coincide, then the Randers metric has isotropic  $S$ -curvature.*

*Proof.* As shown in the proof of Proposition 5.10, if the natural and the Randers sprays associated to a navigation data coincide, then (5.25) holds. By Remark 5.12, this implies that the Randers metric has isotropic  $S$ -curvature.  $\square$

## 6. A NOTE ON METRIC CORRECTION PROCESSES

The purpose of a metric correction process is to adapt a connection to a metric environment. The problem is closely related to the history of Finsler geometry, the first challenge of which was the development of a suitable concept of connection. Many attempts to solve the problem have been made and are being made in certain special cases up to this day (see, for example, the theory of generalized Berwald spaces). In the words of M. Matsumoto: “*There is a most suitable Finsler connection for every geometrical formulation*”. In case of the parallel transport (3.1) the process is based on the vector field  $W$  as one of the initial data of a navigation problem. Especially, the construction (3.1) can be applied to any metric linear connection of the Riemannian metric  $h$ . Therefore the correction changes metric linear connections given by the initial data of a navigation problem to Finslerian non-linear metric connections, where the Finslerian metric function is of Randers type derived from the navigation problem. Results that are independent of the torsion remain valid. The following example shows a different metric correction process in a more general case. The detailed explanation of such a translate-and-normalize process can be found in [12] using the general context of Finsler vector bundles.

**Example 6.1.** Let  $F$  be a Finsler metric function and consider a linear connection  $\nabla^0$  on the base manifold. The metric correction process

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{P}^c: T_p M \rightarrow T_q M, \quad \mathcal{P}^c(V_p) := \frac{F(V_p)}{F(\mathcal{P}^0(V_p))} \mathcal{P}^0(V_p),$$

where  $\mathcal{P}^0$  is the parallel translation along  $c$  with respect to  $\nabla^0$ , gives a homogeneous (non-linear) parallel translation between the tangent spaces keeping the Finslerian metric function invariant. Let  $V_t := \mathcal{P}_t^c(V_p)$  be a parallel vector field and consider its derivative at  $t = 0$  to give the induced horizontal distribution in terms of the connection coefficients:

$$\frac{dV_t^k}{dt} = F(V_p) \left( -\frac{1}{F^2(\mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p))} \frac{dF(\mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p))}{dt} [\mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p)]^k + \frac{1}{F(\mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p))} \frac{d[\mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p)]^k}{dt} \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF(\mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p))}{dt} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^l} \circ \mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p) \left( x^l \circ \mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p) \right)' + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^l} \circ \mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p) \left( y^l \circ \mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p) \right)' \\ &= \dot{c}^l(t) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^l} \circ \mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p) - \dot{c}^i(t) V_t^j A_{ij}^l(c(t)) \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^l} \circ \mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p) = \dot{c}^i(t) (\delta_i^0 F) \circ \mathcal{P}_t^0(V_p), \end{aligned}$$

where the functions  $A_{ij}^k$  are the Christoffel symbols of  $\nabla^0$  and

$$\delta_i^0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - V_t^j A_{ij}^l(c(t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^l}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{dV_t^k}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = -\dot{c}^i(0) \left( \frac{\delta_i^0 F}{F}(V_p) V^k(p) + V^j(p) A_{ij}^k(c(0)) \right)$$

and, consequently, the horizontal distributions are related as

$$\Gamma_i^k = \frac{\delta_i^0 F}{F} y^k + y^j A_{ij}^k \Rightarrow \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^0 - \frac{d_{\mathfrak{h}^0} F}{F} \otimes \mathcal{C}.$$

The associated sprays

$$S = S^0 - \frac{S^0 F}{F} \mathcal{C}$$

are projectively equivalent.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments, which helped to improve the article.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Asma and Z. Muzsnay. The holonomy of spherically symmetric projective Finsler metrics of constant curvature. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 34(8):Paper No. 257, pp. 15, 2024.
- [2] D. Bao, C. Robles, and Z. Shen. Zermelo navigation on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 66(3):377–435, 2004.
- [3] X. Cheng, Z. Shen. Randers metrics of scalar flag curvature. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 87:359–370, 2009.
- [4] G. de Rham. Sur la reductibilité d'un espace de Riemann. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 26:328–344, 1952.
- [5] C. Ehresmann. Les connexions infinitésimales dans un espace fibré différentiable. In *Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1*, pages Exp. No. 24, 153–168. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995.
- [6] S. G. Elgendi and Z. Muzsnay. Freedom of  $h(2)$ -variationality and metrizability of sprays. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 54(part A):194–207, 2017.
- [7] J.-H. Eschenburg and E. Heintze. Unique decomposition of Riemannian manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 126(10):3075–3078, 1998.
- [8] J. Grifone. Structure presque-tangente et connexions. I. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 22(1):287–334, 1972.
- [9] B. Hubicska, V. S. Matveev, and Z. Muzsnay. Almost all Finsler metrics have infinite dimensional holonomy group. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 31(6):6067–6079, June 2021.
- [10] B. Hubicska and Z. Muzsnay. The holonomy groups of projectively flat Randers two-manifolds of constant curvature. *Differential Geometry and its Applications*, 73:101677, 2020.

- [11] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. I.* Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1996. Reprint of the 1963 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [12] L. Kozma and S. Baran. On metrical homogeneous connections of a Finsler point space. *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, 49(1-2):59–68, 1996.
- [13] Z. Muzsnay and P. T. Nagy. Finsler 2-manifolds with maximal holonomy group of infinite dimension. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 39:1–9, 2015.
- [14] T. Okada. On models of projectively flat Finsler spaces with constant negative curvature *Tensor (NS)*, 40: 117–123, 1983.
- [15] C. Robles. Geodesics in Randers spaces of constant curvatur. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 359(4):1633 – 1651, 2007.
- [16] M. Spivak. *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry. Vol. I.* Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, Del., second edition, 1979.
- [17] C. Vincze. On Randers manifolds with semi-symmetric compatible linear connections. *Indagationes Mathematicae*, 26(2):363–379, 2015.

ASMA MEZRAG, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, DEBRECEN, HUNGARY  
*Email address:* asma1998mezrag@gmail.com

ZOLTÁN MUZSNAY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, DEBRECEN, HUNGARY  
*URL:* <https://math.unideb.hu/en/dr-zoltan-muzsnay>  
*Email address:* muzsnay@science.unideb.hu

Csaba VINCZE, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, DEBRECEN, HUNGARY  
*URL:* <https://math.unideb.hu/dr-vincze-csaba>  
*Email address:* csvincze@science.unideb.hu