

# PRO- $\ell$ -BY-CYCLOTOMIC AND TAMELY RAMIFIED VARIANTS OF THE NEUKIRCH-UCHIDA THEOREM

IDO KARSHON AND MARK SHUSTERMAN

ABSTRACT. We prove a generalization of the Neukirch-Uchida Theorem. In particular, we show that the isomorphism type of a number field  $K$  can be recovered from the maximal pro- $\ell$ -by-cyclotomic quotient of its absolute Galois group  $G_{\overline{K}/K}$ . This should be contrasted with the previous result that the isomorphism type cannot, in general, be recovered from the maximal pronilpotent quotient. We also show that the isomorphism type can be recovered from the maximal tamely ramified quotient.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Neukirch-Uchida Theorem states the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be number fields with algebraic closures  $\overline{K_1}, \overline{K_2}$ . If  $\alpha : G_{\overline{K_1}/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\overline{K_2}/K_2}$  is an isomorphism of profinite groups, then there exists an isomorphism of field extensions  $\sigma : \overline{K_1}/K_1 \rightarrow \overline{K_2}/K_2$  that induces  $\alpha$ , and it is unique.*

Here, an isomorphism of field extensions  $\sigma : L_1/K_1 \rightarrow L_2/K_2$  is an isomorphism  $\sigma : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$  such that  $\sigma(K_1) = K_2$ .

There have been many results generalizing Theorem 1.1 to the case where  $G_{\overline{K_i}/K_i}$  is replaced by some quotient of it. For instance, a Neukirch-Uchida variant was proven for the maximal prosolvable quotient [1], and later for the maximal three-step solvable quotient [2], although the uniqueness requirement on  $\sigma$  needs to be weakened in this case. Variants with restricted ramification have been proven in [3, 4, 5]. A variant for  $p$ -closed extensions (studying a property which we also consider in this paper, but obtaining a result of a very different nature) was proven in [6]. Recently, a Neukirch-Uchida variant was proven for the quotient  $G_{K(\mu_\infty)^{\text{ur}}/K}$  [7]. Further work on Neukirch-Uchida variants for number fields includes [8] and a work in progress by Pop and Topaz. As for negative results, it was shown that the two-step nilpotent quotient of  $G_{\overline{K}/K}$  does not recover  $K$  [9], and later that the pronilpotent quotient does not recover it [10]. It was also shown that the isomorphism types of the  $p$ -Sylow subgroups of  $G_{\overline{K}/K}$  do not recover  $K$  [11].

In this paper we prove another variant of the Neukirch-Uchida Theorem. Our main technical result is stated in Theorem 9.4, and its formulation uses Definition 3.1 and Definition 6.1. Here we state some consequences.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be number fields and let  $\Omega_i/K_i$  be the maximal pro- $\ell$  extension of  $K_i(\mu_\infty)$ . If  $\alpha : G_{\Omega_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\Omega_2/K_2}$  is an isomorphism of profinite groups, then there exists an isomorphism of field extensions  $\sigma : \Omega_1/K_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2/K_2$  that induces  $\alpha$ , and it is unique.*

It also follows from Theorem 9.4 that this holds when  $K(\mu_\infty)$  is replaced by the maximal abelian extension of  $K$ . Thus, the maximal pro- $\ell$ -by-abelian quotient of  $G_{\overline{K}/K}$  determines  $K$ . Since a pronilpotent group is isomorphic to the direct product of its Sylow subgroups, it follows that the maximal pronilpotent-by-abelian quotient also determines  $K$ . In [10], as stated before, it is shown that the maximal pronilpotent quotient of  $G_{\overline{K}/K}$  does not determine  $K$ .

---

*Date:* January 6, 2026.

M.S. is The Dr. A. Edward Friedmann Career Development Chair in Mathematics.

We note that the maximal prosupersolvable quotient lies strictly between the maximal pronilpotent-by-abelian and the maximal pronilpotent quotients. Further, the maximal pro- $\ell$  extension of  $K(\mu_\ell)$  (which is relevant to our proof) is a prosupersolvable extension of  $K$ , being an extension of a pro- $\ell$  group by an abelian group of exponent dividing  $\ell - 1$ . These observations suggest the prosupersolvable Neukirch-Uchida variant as a direction for future research.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be number fields and let  $K_i^{\text{tame}}$  denote the maximal tamely ramified extension of  $K_i$ . If  $\alpha : G_{K_1^{\text{tame}}/K_1} \rightarrow G_{K_2^{\text{tame}}/K_2}$  is an isomorphism of profinite groups, then there exists an isomorphism of field extensions  $\sigma : K_1^{\text{tame}}/K_1 \rightarrow K_2^{\text{tame}}/K_2$  that induces  $\alpha$ , and it is unique.*

This can be seen as another restricted ramification Neukirch-Uchida variant, this time eliminating wild ramification at all primes instead of eliminating ramification at a subset of primes.

The structure of the paper is as follows.

- In Section 2 we define our notation.
- In Section 3 we define the notion of  $\ell$ -sealed fields and study their cohomologies.
- In Section 4 we prove an  $\ell$ -sealed analogue of the Brauer exact sequence.
- In Section 5 we use the Brauer sequence to prove an  $\ell$ -sealed analogue of Neukirch's Theorem. The section begins with an overview of this proof.
- In Section 6 we define abundant fields, which allow us to distinguish between primes of different residue characteristics using only group-theoretic information. We get a strengthening of Neukirch's Theorem in the case of extensions that are both  $\ell$ -sealed and abundant.
- In Section 7 we construct a bijection between the primes of the base fields that preserves residue characteristics. We deduce that the base fields are arithmetically equivalent.
- In Section 8 we adapt Uchida's method from [1] to lift the arithmetic equivalence into an isomorphism of the base fields, under the assumption that the base fields contain  $\mu_\ell$ . This can already be seen as a weak version of Neukirch-Uchida. Originally Uchida used this method to prove the solvable Neukirch-Uchida variant in full generality, but we choose to give a simplified version of his argument that only handles the case with  $\mu_\ell$  and only proves an isomorphism of the base fields.
- In Section 9 we deduce the full Neukirch-Uchida Theorem from the previous weak version, finishing the proof of our main result. The section begins with an overview of the proof. We also prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

We write  $\overline{K}$  for the algebraic closure of  $K$ . If  $K$  is a number field or a local field, we also write  $K^{\text{ur}}$  for its maximal unramified extension and  $K^{\text{tame}}$  for its maximal tamely ramified extension. We write  $G_{L/K}$  for  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  and  $G_K$  for  $G_{\overline{K}/K}$ . When we refer to a number field or a local field, we always assume it has finite degree over its prime field.

Let  $E$  be an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . We denote the set of places of  $E$  by  $\mathcal{P}(E)$ ; if  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  has infinite degree, this is defined as  $\lim_{\longleftarrow K \subseteq E} \mathcal{P}(K)$ , where  $K$  runs over the number fields contained in  $E$ , and the limit is taken with respect to restrictions. We refer to the elements of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$  as rational places. Note that  $\mathcal{P}(E)$  has the structure of a locally profinite topological space. We write  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(E)$  for the subspace of non-Archimedean places. Given  $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(E)$  and an algebraic extension  $E'/E$ , we write  $S(E')$  for the set of places of  $E'$  lying over a place in  $S$ . If  $S = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  is a singleton then we also write  $S(E')$  as  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(E')$ . We say that a place  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(E)$  is decomposable in  $E'/E$  if  $|\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(E')| > 1$ , and indecomposable otherwise. We also define  $E_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigcup_{K \subseteq E} K_{\mathfrak{p}|_K}$ ; note that this field might not be complete. For a Galois extension  $E'/E$  and  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}(E')$ , we write  $G_{E'/E, \mathfrak{P}}$  for the subgroup of  $G_{E'/E}$  that fixes  $\mathfrak{P}$ , which is isomorphic to  $G_{E'_{\mathfrak{P}}/E_{\mathfrak{P}|_E}}$ .

Let  $F$  be a non-Archimedean local field. We write  $\mathcal{O}_F$  for its ring of integers and  $\mathfrak{m}_F$  for its maximal ideal. We denote the inertia and ramification degrees of a finite extension  $E/F$  by  $f_{E/F}$  and  $e_{E/F}$  respectively.

We denote the group of  $n$ th roots of unity in the algebraic closure of a field of characteristic zero by  $\mu_n$ , and the union of  $\mu_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$  by  $\mu_\infty$ . For a prime  $p$ , we denote the union of  $\mu_{p^n}$  for all  $n \geq 1$  by  $\mu_{p^\infty}$ .

We denote the cardinality of a finite set  $A$  by  $|A|$ , and we use the same notation for the supernatural cardinalities of profinite sets. Whenever we refer to a subgroup of a profinite group we implicitly require it to be closed, and we similarly require homomorphisms between profinite groups and modules over profinite groups to be continuous.

For elements  $g, \sigma$  of a group, we denote  ${}^\sigma g = \sigma g \sigma^{-1}$ . We extend this notation to the conjugation of subgroups and conjugation of modules. If  $A$  is an abelian group we write  $A[n]$  for its  $n$ -torsion subgroup.

It is often the case that we have a number field  $K$ , a Galois extension  $\Omega/K$ , and a place  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $\Omega$  lying over a place  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K$ , and we consider a cohomology group of  $G_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  such as  $H^i(G_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times)$ . Suppose  $\mathfrak{P}'$  is another prime of  $\Omega$  lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then there is  $g \in G_{\Omega/K}$  such that  $g\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}'$ , and we get a natural sequence of isomorphisms

$$H^i(G_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times) \cong H^i(G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times) \cong H^i({}^g G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}}, {}^g \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times) \cong H^i(G_{\Omega/K, g\mathfrak{P}}, \Omega_{g\mathfrak{P}}^\times) \cong H^i(G_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}'}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}'}^\times).$$

In fact, the standard theory of group cohomology shows that the composition does not depend on the choice of  $g$ . Denoting it by  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}'}$ , it is also not difficult to show that  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}''} \circ \Phi_{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}'} = \Phi_{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}''}$ . We can therefore define the "cohomology group"  $H^i(G_{\Omega^p/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \Omega^p \times)$  by  $\left( \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_p(\Omega)} H^i(G_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times) \right) / R$  where  $R$  is generated by the relations of the form  $[\alpha]_{\mathfrak{P}} - [\Phi_{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}'}(\alpha)]_{\mathfrak{P}'}$ . This is a canonical construction depending only on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , with natural isomorphisms to each  $H^i(G_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times)$  that are compatible with  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}'}$ . Maps involving this notation are implicitly required to be natural with respect to the isomorphisms  $\Phi_{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}'}$ . For instance, there is a well-defined restriction map  $\text{Res} : H^i(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times) \rightarrow H^i(G_{\Omega^p/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \Omega^p \times)$  because the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times) & & \\ \downarrow \text{Res} & \searrow \text{Res} & \\ H^i(G_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{\mathfrak{P}\mathfrak{P}'}} & H^i(G_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}'}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}'}^\times). \end{array}$$

Other "cohomology groups" of  $G_{\Omega^p/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$  include  $H^i(G_{\Omega^p/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \Omega^p \times / \Omega^p \times^\ell)$ ,  $H^i(G_{\Omega^p/K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mu_\ell)$  when  $\mu_\ell \subseteq \Omega$ , etc.

### 3. COHOMOLOGIES OF $\ell$ -SEALED FIELDS

From now on,  $\ell$  denotes a prime number and  $S$  denotes a finite set of rational places.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\ell$  be a prime number and let  $\Omega$  be an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- (1) We say  $\Omega$  is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to  $S$  if  $\mu_\ell \subseteq \Omega$  and there are no  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ -extensions of  $\Omega$  where every place of  $S(\Omega)$  splits completely.
- (2) We say  $\Omega$  is  $\ell$ -sealed if it is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to some  $S$ .

For a number field  $K$ , consider the map  $\Phi_{K,\ell,S} : K^\times / K^{\times\ell} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \in S(K)} K_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times / K_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times\ell}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**  $\Phi_{K,\ell,S}$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $(\overline{\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}}})_{\mathfrak{P} \in S(K)} \in \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \in S(K)} K_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times / K_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times\ell}$ , with  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}} \in K_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times$  representatives of the classes  $\overline{\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}}}$ . By [13, Chap. II, 5.7], it follows that the subsets  $K_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times\ell} \subseteq K_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times$  are open. Thus, by the Chinese Remainder

Theorem, there is  $\alpha \in K$  such that the quotient  $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}}}$  belongs to  $K_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times\ell}$  for all  $\mathfrak{P} \in S(K)$ . This implies  $\Phi_{K,\ell,S}(\alpha K^{\times\ell}) = (\overline{\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}}})_{\mathfrak{P} \in S(K)}$ .  $\square$

We can extend the previous definition of  $\Phi_{K,\ell,S}$  to general algebraic extensions  $\Omega/\mathbb{Q}$ , defining  $\Phi_{\Omega,\ell,S}$  as the composition

$$\Omega^{\times}/\Omega^{\times\ell} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K \subseteq \Omega} K^{\times}/K^{\times\ell} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{K \subseteq \Omega} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in S(K)} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times\ell}$$

where the direct limits are over number fields  $K$  contained in  $\Omega$ . From Proposition 3.2 it is immediate that  $\Phi_{\Omega,\ell,S}$  is surjective.

**Proposition 3.3.**  *$\Omega$  is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to  $S$  if and only if  $\mu_{\ell} \subseteq \Omega$  and  $\Phi_{\Omega,\ell,S}$  is an injection (and thus an isomorphism).*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mu_{\ell} \subseteq \Omega$  and  $\Phi_{\Omega,\ell,S}$  is an injection, and assume for the sake of contradiction that  $\Omega$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ -extension in which every place of  $S(\Omega)$  splits completely. This extension has the form  $\Omega(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/\Omega$  for some  $\alpha \in \Omega^{\times} \setminus \Omega^{\times\ell}$ . It follows that for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in S(\Omega)$ ,  $\alpha$  is an  $\ell$ th power in  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}$ , meaning that there is a number field  $L \subseteq \Omega$  such that  $\alpha$  is an  $\ell$ th power in  $L_{\mathfrak{P}|_L}$ . Thus the sets  $U_L = \{\mathfrak{P} \in S(\Omega) \mid \alpha \text{ is an } \ell\text{th power in } L_{\mathfrak{P}|_L}\}$  form an open cover of the compact space  $S(\Omega)$ . Since  $U_{L_1} \cup U_{L_2} \subseteq U_{L_1 L_2}$  it follows there is a number field  $L \subseteq \Omega$  such that  $\alpha$  is an  $\ell$ th power in  $L_{\mathfrak{P}|_L}$  for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in S(\Omega)$ . However, this implies  $\alpha \Omega^{\times\ell} \in \ker(\Phi_{\Omega,\ell,S})$ , so that  $\alpha \in \Omega^{\times\ell}$ , a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose  $\Omega$  is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to  $S$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Omega^{\times}$  and assume  $\alpha \Omega^{\times\ell} \in \ker(\Phi_{\Omega,\ell,S})$ . Then there is a number field  $L \subseteq \Omega$  containing  $\mu_{\ell}$  and  $\alpha$ , such that  $\alpha$  is an  $\ell$ th power in  $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in S(L)$ . It follows that every place of  $S(L)$  splits completely in  $L(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/L$ , and thus every place of  $S(\Omega)$  splits completely in  $\Omega(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/\Omega$ . This implies  $\Omega(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}}) = \Omega$  so that  $\alpha \in \Omega^{\times\ell}$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.4.** Let  $\Omega$  be an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\ell$  a prime number. Then:

- (1) If  $\Omega$  contains  $\mu_{\ell}$  and has no  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ -extensions, then  $\Omega$  is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to  $S = \emptyset$ .
- (2) If  $\Omega$  has no nontrivial tamely ramified extensions, then  $\Omega$  is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to  $S = \{\ell\}$ .

In particular, for a number field  $K$ , both  $K^{\text{tame}}$  and the maximal pro- $\ell$  extension of  $K(\mu_{\ell})$  are  $\ell$ -sealed.

*Proof.* The first part is trivial. For the second part, suppose  $\alpha \in \Omega^{\times}$  satisfies  $\alpha \Omega^{\times\ell} \in \ker(\Phi_{\Omega,\ell,\{\ell\}})$ . Then there is a number field  $K \subseteq \Omega$  such that  $\alpha \in K^{\times}$ ,  $\mu_{\ell} \subseteq K$ , and  $\alpha \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times\ell}$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\ell}(K)$ . Let  $L = K(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}})$ , and note that every place of  $\mathcal{P}_{\ell}(K)$  splits completely in  $L$ . Since  $L/K$  is a Galois extension of degree 1 or  $\ell$ , it can have no wild ramification at places not lying over  $\ell$ , so it is tamely ramified. Tame ramification is preserved under base change, so  $\Omega(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/\Omega$  is also tamely ramified, implying that  $\alpha \in \Omega^{\times\ell}$  by the assumption on  $\Omega$ . Thus,  $\ker(\Phi_{\Omega,\ell,\{\ell\}})$  is trivial.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to  $S$ . Then for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \setminus S(\Omega)$  we have  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times} = \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times\ell}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}} \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times}$ . Let  $K \subseteq \Omega$  be a number field containing  $\mu_{\ell}$  such that  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}} \in K_{\mathfrak{P}|_K}$ . By Proposition 3.2 for the set  $S \cup \{\mathfrak{P}|_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$ , there is  $\alpha \in K^{\times}$  whose images in  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \in S(K)$  are  $\ell$ th powers, while its image in  $K_{\mathfrak{P}|_K}$  lies in  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}} \cdot K_{\mathfrak{P}|_K}^{\times\ell}$ . It follows by Proposition 3.3 that  $\alpha \in \Omega^{\times\ell}$  and therefore  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}} \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times\ell}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $F$  be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero, and let  $\Xi$  be an algebraic extension of  $F$  containing  $\mu_{\ell}$  and satisfying  $\Xi^{\times} = \Xi^{\times\ell}$ . Then  $\ell^{\infty} \mid [\Xi : F]$ .*

*Proof.* Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $\ell^{\infty} \nmid [\Xi : F]$ . Then there exists a finite subextension  $F'/F$  of  $\Xi/F$  such that  $\ell \nmid [\Xi : F']$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $\mu_{\ell} \subseteq F'$ . Let  $n$  be an integer such that the map  $\mu_{\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F'}/\mathfrak{m}_{F'}^n \mathcal{O}_{F'}$  is injective.

The  $\ell$ -power map  $(\mathcal{O}_{F'}/\mathfrak{m}_{F'}^n \mathcal{O}_{F'})^{\times} \xrightarrow{\ell} (\mathcal{O}_{F'}/\mathfrak{m}_{F'}^n \mathcal{O}_{F'})^{\times}$  is a homomorphism between finite groups of the same size, and has kernel of size  $\ell$ . In particular it is not surjective, and there is  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{F'}$  whose

image in  $\mathcal{O}_{F'}/\mathfrak{m}_{F'}^n\mathcal{O}_{F'}$  is not an  $\ell$ th power. Therefore  $\alpha$  is not an  $\ell$ th power in  $F'$ , and  $F'(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}})/F'$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ -extension. However, from  $\Xi^\times = \Xi^{\times\ell}$  we find  $\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}} \in \Xi$ . This implies  $\ell \mid [\Xi : F']$ , contradicting the assumption.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $K$  be a number field and let  $\Omega/K$  be a Galois extension which is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to  $S$ . Then for every  $i \geq 0$  the restriction maps induce an isomorphism*

$$H^i\left(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in S(K)} H^i\left(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \Omega^{\mathfrak{p}\times}/\Omega^{\mathfrak{p}\times\ell}\right).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} & H^i(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) \\ & \cong \lim_{\longrightarrow} L \subseteq \Omega, L/K \text{ Galois} H^i\left(G_{L/K}, \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \in S(L)} L_\mathfrak{P}^\times/L_\mathfrak{P}^{\times\ell}\right) \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in S(K)} \lim_{\longrightarrow} L \subseteq \Omega, L/K \text{ Galois} H^i\left(G_{L/K}, \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{p}(L)} L_\mathfrak{P}^\times/L_\mathfrak{P}^{\times\ell}\right) \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in S(K)} \lim_{\longrightarrow} L \subseteq \Omega, L/K \text{ Galois} H^i\left(G_{L^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, L^\mathfrak{p}\times/L^{\mathfrak{p}\times\ell}\right) \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in S(K)} H^i\left(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \Omega^{\mathfrak{p}\times}/\Omega^{\mathfrak{p}\times\ell}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism follows from [12, 1.5.1] with inverse systems  $G_{\Omega/K} \cong \lim_{\longleftarrow} L \subseteq \Omega, L/K \text{ Galois} G_{L/K}$  and  $\Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell} \cong \lim_{\longleftarrow} L \subseteq \Omega, L/K \text{ Galois} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{P} \in S(L)} L_\mathfrak{P}^\times/L_\mathfrak{P}^{\times\ell}$ , the second isomorphism is obvious, the third isomorphism follows from a  $G_{L^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}$ -version of Shapiro's lemma, and the fourth isomorphism follows from a  $G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}$ -version of [12, 1.5.1].  $\square$

#### 4. BRAUER EXACT SEQUENCE FOR $\ell$ -SEALED EXTENSIONS

In this section we prove an analogue of the Brauer exact sequence for the case of  $\ell$ -sealed extensions. Namely, we prove that the sequence  $0 \rightarrow H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K)} H^2(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \mu_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  is exact, where  $\Omega$  is an  $\ell$ -sealed Galois extension of  $K$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic zero, and let  $\Omega/K$  be a Galois extension containing  $\mu_\ell$ . Then there is a five-term exact sequence*

$$K^\times/K^{\times\ell} \rightarrow H^0(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) \rightarrow H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Omega/K)[\ell] \rightarrow H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell})$$

Further, if  $K$  is an algebraic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K)$ , then this sequence is natural with respect to restrictions, in the sense that the restriction maps induce a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K^\times/K^{\times\ell} & \longrightarrow & H^0(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(\Omega/K)[\ell] \longrightarrow H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_\mathfrak{p}^\times/K_\mathfrak{p}^{\times\ell} & \rightarrow & H^0(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \Omega^{\mathfrak{p}\times}/\Omega^{\mathfrak{p}\times\ell}) & \rightarrow & H^2(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \mu_\ell) & \rightarrow & \text{Br}(\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p})[\ell] \rightarrow H^1(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \Omega^{\mathfrak{p}\times}/\Omega^{\mathfrak{p}\times\ell}). \end{array}$$

*Proof.* One way to show this is to consider the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mu_\ell \rightarrow \Omega^\times \xrightarrow{\ell} \Omega^\times \rightarrow \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell} \rightarrow 0$ , which induces a spectral sequence converging to zero whose first page is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots \\ & & & & & & \\ H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(\Omega/K) & \xrightarrow{\ell} & \text{Br}(\Omega/K) & \longrightarrow & H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) \\ & & & & & & \\ H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) \\ & & & & & & \\ H^0(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell) & \longrightarrow & K^\times & \xrightarrow{\ell} & K^\times & \longrightarrow & H^0(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}). \end{array}$$

For simplicity, we provide the elementary version of this proof. The short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mu_\ell \rightarrow \Omega^\times \xrightarrow{\ell} \Omega^{\times\ell} \rightarrow 0$  induces the exact cohomology sequence

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^{\times\ell}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Omega/K) \rightarrow H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^{\times\ell})$$

where we used Hilbert's Theorem 90 for  $H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times) = 0$ . Likewise, the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Omega^{\times\ell} \rightarrow \Omega^\times \rightarrow \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell} \rightarrow 0$  induces the exact sequences

$$(4.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Omega^{\times\ell} \cap K^\times \rightarrow K^\times \rightarrow H^0(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^{\times\ell}) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^{\times\ell}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Omega/K) \rightarrow H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}).$$

We then define a map  $H^0(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) \rightarrow H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell)$  as the composition

$$H^0(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^{\times\ell}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell)$$

where the first map is from (4.2) and the second is from (4.1). We also define a map  $\text{Br}(\Omega/K)[\ell] \rightarrow H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell})$ , by mapping an element  $\alpha \in \text{Br}(\Omega/K)[\ell]$  to its image  $\beta \in H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^{\times\ell})$  via (4.1), noting that a subsequent mapping of  $\beta$  into  $\text{Br}(\Omega/K)$  via (4.3) would equal  $\ell\alpha = 0$  (because the composition  $\Omega^\times \xrightarrow{\ell} \Omega^{\times\ell} \rightarrow \Omega^\times$  is just multiplication by  $\ell$ ), and concluding that  $\beta$  has a unique preimage in  $H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell})$  by exactness of the sequence (4.3). It is then straightforward to verify that these maps fit into the desired exact sequence.

The second part follows from the naturality of the above construction. □

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $F$  be a field of characteristic zero and let  $\Xi/F$  be a Galois extension such that  $\mu_\ell \subseteq \Xi$  and  $\Xi^\times = \Xi^{\times\ell}$ . Then the natural map  $H^2(G_{\Xi/F}, \mu_\ell) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Xi/F)[\ell]$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since  $\Xi^\times/\Xi^{\times\ell} = 0$ , the five-term exact sequence from Lemma 4.1 degenerates into an isomorphism  $H^2(G_{\Xi/F}, \mu_\ell) \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Xi/F)[\ell]$ . □

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $K$  be a number field and let  $\Omega/K$  be an  $\ell$ -sealed Galois extension. Then the restriction maps induce an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K)} H^2(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \mu_\ell) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$$

which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K)} H^2(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \mu_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(\Omega/K)[\ell] & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K)} \text{Br}(\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p})[\ell] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

with exact rows.

*Proof.* Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^\times/K^{\times\ell} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in S(K)} K_\mathfrak{p}^\times/K_\mathfrak{p}^{\times\ell} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ H^0(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in S(K)} H^0(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \Omega^\mathfrak{p}\times/\Omega^{\mathfrak{p}\times\ell}) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ H^2(G_{\Omega/K}, \mu_\ell) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K)} H^2(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \mu_\ell) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{Br}(\Omega/K)[\ell] & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K)} \text{Br}(\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p})[\ell] & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ H^1(G_{\Omega/K}, \Omega^\times/\Omega^{\times\ell}) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in S(K)} H^1(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/K_\mathfrak{p}}, \Omega^\mathfrak{p}\times/\Omega^{\mathfrak{p}\times\ell}). & & \end{array}$$

In the second column, note that the third and fourth terms are summed over  $\mathcal{P}(K)$  while the first, second and fifth terms are summed over  $S(K)$ . Thus, by Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.2, the diagram has exact columns. By Proposition 3.2 the top horizontal map is surjective and by Lemma 3.7 the second and fifth horizontal maps are isomorphisms. We also know, by the classical Brauer exact sequence, that the fourth horizontal map is injective, with cokernel isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  or to 0. The required exact sequence now follows from a diagram chase.  $\square$

## 5. NEUKIRCH'S THEOREM

In this section we prove Neukirch's Theorem for  $\ell$ -sealed extensions (Theorem 5.8). Namely, for an  $\ell$ -sealed Galois extension  $\Omega/K$  and a subgroup  $H \leq G_{\Omega/K}$ , if  $H$  is isomorphic to a local Galois group satisfying certain conditions, then  $H$  is local in  $G_{\Omega/K}$ ; that is,  $H$  is contained in  $G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}}$  for some place  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $\Omega$ .

Let us start with an outline of the proof. We take  $E = \Omega^H$ , and study the analogue of the Brauer exact sequence from Section 4 for the extension  $\Omega/E$ . Since the global cohomology group  $H^2(G_{\Omega/E}, \mu_\ell)$  depends only on the isomorphism type of  $H$  (under the assumption  $\mu_\ell \subseteq K$ ), the exact sequence provides us with information about the local cohomology groups  $H^2(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{p}/E_\mathfrak{p}}, \mu_\ell)$ , from which we deduce there is a unique place  $\mathfrak{P}_E$  of  $E$  producing a nonzero local cohomology group. The assumptions of the theorem hold for any open subgroup  $H' \leq H$  and its corresponding fixed field  $E' = \Omega^{H'}$ , so for any finite subextension  $E'/E$  there is a unique place  $\mathfrak{P}_{E'}$  of  $E'$  producing a nonzero local cohomology group. We can use this system of places to show there is a place of  $E$  which is indecomposable in  $\Omega$ , and thus  $H \leq G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}}$  for some place  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $\Omega$ . This final part is easy in the case  $\mathfrak{P}_E \notin S(E)$ , where  $S$  is a finite set of rational places with respect to which  $\Omega$  is  $\ell$ -sealed, and more complicated in the case  $\mathfrak{P}_E \in S(E)$ . We handle the case  $\mathfrak{P}_E \in S(E)$  with a topological argument on the space  $S(E)$ , utilizing its compactness which follows from the finiteness of  $S$ .

We now prove a sequence of lemmas and propositions needed for the proof of Neukirch's Theorem.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $K$  be a number field with  $\Omega/K$  an  $\ell$ -sealed Galois extension. Then for any two distinct places  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  we have  $\ell \nmid |G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}_1} \cap G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}_2}|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a finite set of rational places such that  $\Omega$  is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to it. Let  $H = G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}_1} \cap G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}_2}$  and  $E = \Omega^H$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{P}_1|_E$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_2|_E$  are indecomposable in  $\Omega$ , and thus have to be distinct.

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $\ell \mid |H|$ . Then there is a finite subextension  $E''/E$  of  $\Omega/E$  with order divisible by  $\ell$ . We may assume  $E''/E$  is Galois and  $\mu_\ell \subseteq E''$ . As  $G_{E''/E}$  is a finite group of order divisible by  $\ell$ , it has an element of order  $\ell$ , and therefore there is a subfield  $E''/E'/E$  such that  $[E'': E'] = \ell$ . It follows that  $E'$  contains  $\mu_\ell$  as well, and by Kummer Theory there is  $\alpha \in E'$  such that  $E'' = E'(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}})$ .

Let  $L \subseteq E'$  be a number field such that  $\mathfrak{P}_1|_L \neq \mathfrak{P}_2|_L$ ,  $\mu_\ell \subseteq L$ , and  $\alpha \in L$ . By Proposition 3.2 for the set  $S \cup \{\mathfrak{P}_1|_{\mathbb{Q}}, \mathfrak{P}_2|_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$ , there is  $\beta \in L^\times$  such that the images of  $\beta$  in  $L_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{p} \in S(L) \cup \{\mathfrak{P}_1|_L\}$  are all  $\ell$ th powers, while its image in  $L_{\mathfrak{P}_2|_L}$  lies in  $\alpha \cdot L_{\mathfrak{P}_2|_L}^{\times \ell}$ . It follows that  $\beta^{\frac{1}{\ell}} \in \Omega$ . Let  $\tilde{L} = L(\beta^{\frac{1}{\ell}}) \subseteq \Omega$ . Since  $\mathfrak{P}_1|_L$  splits completely in  $\tilde{L}$ , we find that  $\mathfrak{P}_1|_{E'}$  splits completely in  $\tilde{L}E'$ . However, there is a unique place of  $\Omega$  lying over  $\mathfrak{P}_1|_{E'}$ , so we must have  $\tilde{L} \subseteq E'$ . Thus  $\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}} \in \tilde{L}_{\mathfrak{P}_2|_{\tilde{L}}} \subseteq E'_{\mathfrak{P}_2|_{E'}}$ , implying that  $\mathfrak{P}_2|_{E'}$  splits completely in  $E'' = E'(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}})$ . Since there is a unique place of  $\Omega$  lying over  $\mathfrak{P}_2|_{E'}$ , this implies  $E' = E''$ , contradicting  $[E'': E'] = \ell$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $G$  be a profinite group and  $M$  be a  $G$ -module. Let  $H \leq G$ . Then*

$$H^n(H, M) \cong \varinjlim_{H \subseteq U \subseteq G} H^n(U, M)$$

where  $U$  runs through the open subgroups in  $G$  containing  $H$ , and the limit is taken with respect to the restriction maps.

*Proof.* Apply [12, 1.5.1] to the inverse system  $H = \varprojlim_{H \subseteq U \subseteq G} U$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $\ell$  be a prime number and let  $F$  be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero. Let  $\Xi/E/F$  be a tower of algebraic extensions with  $\mu_\ell \subseteq \Xi$  and  $\Xi^\times = \Xi^{\times \ell}$ . Then  $H^2(G_{\Xi/E}, \mu_\ell)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  if  $\ell^\infty \nmid [E : F]$  and to 0 otherwise.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.2 we have  $H^2(G_{\Xi/E}, \mu_\ell) \cong \text{Br}(\Xi/E)[\ell]$ . By Lemma 5.2 we have  $\text{Br}(\Xi/E)[\ell] \cong \varinjlim_{F'} \text{Br}(\Xi/F')[\ell]$  where  $F'$  runs through the finite subextensions of  $E/F$  and the direct limit is taken with respect to the restriction maps.

By Lemma 3.6 we find that  $\ell \mid [\Xi : F']$  for every such  $F'$ , so we have  $\text{Br}(\Xi/F')[\ell] \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ . Further, for a tower  $E/F''/F'/F$ , the restriction map  $\text{Br}(\Xi/F')[\ell] \rightarrow \text{Br}(\Xi/F'')[\ell]$  is zero if  $\ell \mid [F'' : F']$ , and is an isomorphism otherwise. The result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *Let  $\ell$  be a prime number and let  $F$  be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero. Let  $\Xi/E'/E/F$  be a tower of algebraic extensions with  $\mu_\ell \subseteq \Xi$ ,  $\Xi^\times = \Xi^{\times \ell}$  and  $E'/E$  finite. Then  $H^2(G_{\Xi/E}, \mu_\ell) \neq 0$  if and only if  $H^2(G_{\Xi/E'}, \mu_\ell) \neq 0$ , and in this case they are both isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.3,  $H^2(G_{\Xi/E}, \mu_\ell) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\ell^\infty \nmid [E : F]$ , in which case it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ . The same holds for  $H^2(G_{\Xi/E'}, \mu_\ell)$  with the condition  $\ell^\infty \nmid [E' : F]$ . Since  $E'/E$  is finite, these conditions are equivalent.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $K$  be a number field with a given place  $\mathfrak{p}$ , let  $\Omega/K$  be an algebraic extension and let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. If  $n \mid [\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}} : K_{\mathfrak{P}}]$  for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega)$  then  $n \mid [\Omega : K]$ . In particular, if  $\ell^\infty \mid [\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}} : K_{\mathfrak{P}}]$  for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega)$  then  $\ell^\infty \mid [\Omega : K]$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the profinite space  $\mathcal{P}_p(\Omega)$ . For every finite subextension  $L/K$  of  $\Omega/K$ , we have an open subset  $U_L \subseteq \mathcal{P}_p(\Omega)$  consisting of the places  $\mathfrak{P}$  satisfying  $n \mid [L_{\mathfrak{P}} : K_p]$ . These form an open cover of  $\mathcal{P}_p(\Omega)$ , so there is a finite subcover among the  $U_L$ . Since  $U_{L_1} \cup U_{L_2} \subseteq U_{L_1 L_2}$ , there is a single finite subextension  $L/K$  of  $\Omega/K$  such that  $n \mid [L_{\mathfrak{P}} : K_p]$  for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_p(L)$ . This implies  $[L : K] = \sum_{\mathfrak{P}|p} [L_{\mathfrak{P}} : K_p]$  is also divisible by  $n$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $E'/E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a tower of algebraic extensions. Then the collection of places of  $E$  that are indecomposable in  $E'$  forms a closed subset of  $\mathcal{P}(E)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}(E)$  be a place that is decomposable in  $E'$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{P}}(E')$  be two distinct places and let  $K' \subseteq E'$  be a number field such that  $\mathfrak{P}_1|_{K'} \neq \mathfrak{P}_2|_{K'}$ . Let  $K = E \cap K'$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & E' & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ E & & K' \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ & K & \end{array}$$

We find that  $\mathfrak{P}_1|_{K'}$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_2|_{K'}$  are distinct places lying over  $\mathfrak{P}|_K$ , so  $\mathfrak{P}|_K$  is decomposable in  $K'$ . Therefore every place of  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{P}|_K}(E)$  is decomposable in  $E'$ . The set  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{P}|_K}(E)$  is an open neighborhood of  $\mathfrak{P}$  in  $\mathcal{P}(E)$ , proving that the set of decomposable places is open.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $\Omega/E/\mathbb{Q}$  be a tower of algebraic extensions and let  $S$  be a finite set of rational places. Suppose that for any finite subextension  $E'/E$  of  $\Omega/E$  there exists  $\mathfrak{P} \in S(E)$  which is indecomposable in  $E'$ . Then there exists  $\mathfrak{P} \in S(E)$  which is indecomposable in  $\Omega$ .*

*Proof.* For any finite  $E'/E$ , the set  $C_{E'} \subseteq S(E)$  of places that are indecomposable in  $E'$  is closed by Lemma 5.6. Considering finite intersections of these sets, we have  $C_{E'_1} \cap \dots \cap C_{E'_n} \supseteq C_{E'_1 \dots E'_n}$  and this set is nonempty by assumption. The result follows by compactness of  $S(E)$ .  $\square$

We can now prove Neukirch's Theorem for  $\ell$ -sealed extensions.

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $K$  be a number field with  $\Omega/K$  an  $\ell$ -sealed Galois extension. Suppose  $H \leq G_{\Omega/K}$  is a subgroup isomorphic to  $G_{\Xi/F}$ , where  $F$  is a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero, and  $\Xi/F$  is a Galois extension satisfying  $\mu_{\ell} \subseteq \Xi$  and  $\Xi^{\times} = \Xi^{\times\ell}$ . Then there exists a unique non-Archimedean place  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $\Omega$  such that  $H \leq G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.6 we have  $\ell^{\infty} \mid |H|$ . Thus, uniqueness follows from Proposition 5.1, and it suffices to prove the existence of a non-Archimedean  $\mathfrak{P}$  with  $H \leq G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}}$ . In fact, this cannot hold for any Archimedean place, since  $G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}}$  has size 1 or 2 for  $\mathfrak{P}$  Archimedean, which is not divisible by  $\ell^{\infty}$ . Thus we ignore the non-Archimedean condition. Let  $E = \Omega^H$ . The property  $H \leq G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}}$  is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{P}|_E$  being indecomposable in  $\Omega$ . Therefore, we need to prove there exists a place of  $E$  that is indecomposable in  $\Omega$ .

Let  $S$  be a finite set of rational places such that  $\Omega$  is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to it. We start by proving the theorem in the case that  $\mu_{\ell} \subseteq K$  and  $\mu_{\ell} \subseteq F$ . Since  $G_{\Omega/E} = H \cong G_{\Xi/F}$ , and since  $\mu_{\ell} \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  both as a  $G_{\Omega/E}$ -module and a  $G_{\Xi/F}$ -module, we have

$$H^2(G_{\Omega/E}, \mu_{\ell}) \cong H^2(H, \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(G_{\Xi/F}, \mu_{\ell}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$$

where the last isomorphism uses Lemma 5.3.

For every subextension  $L/K$  of  $E/K$ , we will denote the group  $H^2(G_{\Omega/L}, \mu_\ell)$  by  $\mathcal{H}_L$  and the group  $H^2(G_{\Omega^\mathfrak{q}/L^\mathfrak{q}}, \mu_\ell)$  by  $\mathcal{H}_{L,\mathfrak{q}}$ . For  $L/K$  finite, Theorem 4.3 gives rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_L & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}(L)} \mathcal{H}_{L,\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(\Omega/L)[\ell] & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}(L)} \text{Br}(\Omega^\mathfrak{q}/L^\mathfrak{q})[\ell] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

and taking the direct limit over  $K \subseteq L \subseteq E$  via restriction maps, we get the commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_E & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}(L)} \mathcal{H}_{L,\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(\Omega/E)[\ell] & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}(L)} \text{Br}(\Omega^\mathfrak{q}/L^\mathfrak{q})[\ell] & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

where the terms on the left are computed by Lemma 5.2. Recall that  $\mathcal{H}_E \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ . Further, the limit  $\lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  in the bottom row is taken with respect to multiplication by the degree, by the classical theory of the Brauer exact sequence. It is therefore isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  if  $\ell^\infty \nmid [E : K]$  and to 0 otherwise. The same, of course, holds for the limit  $\lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  in the top row. It follows that  $\lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}(L)} \mathcal{H}_{L,\mathfrak{q}}$ , which is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ , has dimension 2 if  $\ell^\infty \nmid [E : K]$  and dimension 1 otherwise. Let  $d$  denote its dimension.

For a finite subset  $T \subseteq \mathcal{P}(E)$ , there is a homomorphism

$$\pi_T : \lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}(L)} \mathcal{H}_{L,\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{Q} \in T} \mathcal{H}_{E,\mathfrak{Q}}$$

induced by the restrictions. Since the restriction map  $T \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(L)$  is injective for sufficiently large  $L$ , and since  $\lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \mathcal{H}_{L,\mathfrak{Q}|_L} \cong \mathcal{H}_{E,\mathfrak{Q}}$ , the map  $\pi_T$  is surjective. In particular, we find that  $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{Q} \in T} \mathcal{H}_{E,\mathfrak{Q}}$  has dimension at most  $d$  for every finite  $T \subseteq \mathcal{P}(E)$ , and therefore the same holds for the infinite direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{Q} \in \mathcal{P}(E)} \mathcal{H}_{E,\mathfrak{Q}}$ .

It follows in particular that there is a place  $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}(K) \setminus S(K)$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_{E,\mathfrak{Q}} = 0$  for every  $\mathfrak{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{q}(E)$ . By Lemma 5.3 we see that  $\ell^\infty \mid [E_\mathfrak{Q} : K_\mathfrak{q}]$  for every  $\mathfrak{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{q}(E)$ , and by Lemma 5.5 this implies  $\ell^\infty \mid [E : K]$ . It follows that  $\lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$  vanishes. Therefore,  $d = 1$ .

Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $\mathcal{H}_{E,\mathfrak{P}}$  vanishes for all  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}(E)$ . We will prove that the direct sum  $\lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}(L)} \mathcal{H}_{L,\mathfrak{q}}$  also vanishes. Let  $L/K$  be a finite subextension of  $E/K$ , let  $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}(L)$  be any place, and let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}_{L,\mathfrak{q}}$ . For any finite subextension  $L'/L$  of  $E/L$ , consider the subset  $U_{L'} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{q}(E)$  consisting of places  $\mathfrak{Q}$  such that  $\alpha$  is annihilated by the restriction map to  $\mathcal{H}_{L',\mathfrak{Q}|_{L'}}$ . Those are open subsets, and they form an open cover of  $\mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{q}(E)$  since  $\lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L' \subseteq E} \mathcal{H}_{L',\mathfrak{Q}|_{L'}} \cong \mathcal{H}_{E,\mathfrak{Q}} = 0$ . We have  $U_{L'_1} \cup U_{L'_2} \subseteq U_{L'_1 L'_2}$ , and it follows by compactness of  $\mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{q}(E)$  that there exists a finite subextension  $L'/L$  of  $E/L$  such that  $\alpha$  vanishes under the restriction maps to  $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_\mathfrak{q}(L')} \mathcal{H}_{L',\mathfrak{Q}}$ . This implies that  $\lim_{\longrightarrow K \subseteq L \subseteq E} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{P}(L)} \mathcal{H}_{L,\mathfrak{q}}$  vanishes, in contradiction to  $d = 1$ .

We find that there is a unique  $\mathfrak{P}_E \in \mathcal{P}(E)$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_{E,\mathfrak{P}_E} \neq 0$ . Note that for any finite subextension  $E'/E$  of  $\Omega/E$ , the same argument applied to  $H' = G_{\Omega/E'}$  shows that there is a unique  $\mathfrak{P}_{E'} \in \mathcal{P}(E')$  such that  $\mathcal{H}_{E',\mathfrak{P}_{E'}} \neq 0$ .

If it were the case that  $\mathfrak{P}_E \in \mathcal{P}(E) \setminus S(E)$ , then Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 5.4 would imply that any place  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}_E} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{P}_E}(E')}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{H}_{E',\widetilde{\mathfrak{P}_E}} \neq 0$ . By uniqueness of  $\mathfrak{P}_{E'}$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{P}_E$  is indecomposable

in  $E'$  for every finite  $E \subseteq E' \subseteq \Omega$ , and thus in  $\Omega$ . This finishes the proof for the case  $\mu_\ell \subseteq K$ ,  $\mu_\ell \subseteq F$ , and  $\mathfrak{P}_E \in \mathcal{P}(E) \setminus S(E)$ .

Now, suppose that  $\mathfrak{P}_E \in S(E)$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{P}_{E'} \in S(E')$  for every finite subextension  $E'/E$  of  $\Omega/E$ , for otherwise Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 5.4 imply that  $\mathcal{H}_{E',\mathfrak{P}_{E'}|E} \neq 0$ , contradicting the uniqueness of  $\mathfrak{P}_E$ .

For  $E'/E$  a finite Galois subextension of  $\Omega/E$ , and any two places  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2$  of  $E'$  that lie over  $\mathfrak{P}_{E'}|E$ , we have  $G_{\Omega/\mathfrak{P}_1/E'_{\mathfrak{P}_1}} \cong G_{\Omega/\mathfrak{P}_2/E'_{\mathfrak{P}_2}}$  (as isomorphism types of groups) since these are conjugate in  $G_{\Omega/E}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{H}_{E',\mathfrak{P}_1} \cong \mathcal{H}_{E',\mathfrak{P}_2}$ . However,  $\mathcal{H}_{E',\mathfrak{P}}$  is nonzero for  $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{P}_{E'}$  and zero for any other place lying over  $\mathfrak{P}_{E'}|E$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{P}_{E'}|E$  is indecomposable in  $E'$ .

We have thus shown that for every finite Galois subextension  $E'/E$  of  $\Omega/E$  there is a place in  $S(E)$  that is indecomposable in  $E'$ . This property follows for arbitrary finite subextensions as well, by considering their Galois closures. It follows from Proposition 5.7 that there is a place in  $S(E)$  which is indecomposable in  $\Omega$ , finishing the proof in the case where  $\mu_\ell \subseteq K$  and  $\mu_\ell \subseteq F$ .

Now we reduce the general case to the one just proven. Let  $K' = K(\mu_\ell)$ . Then  $G_{\Omega/K'}$  is an open subgroup of  $G_{\Omega/K}$ . Thus, there is a finite subextension  $\tilde{F}/F$  of  $\Xi/F$  such that  $G_{\Xi/\tilde{F}} \cong H \cap G_{\Omega/K'}$ . Let  $F' = \tilde{F}(\mu_\ell)$ . Then  $G_{\Xi/F'}$  is isomorphic to a subgroup  $H' \leq G_{\Omega/K'}$  which is an open subgroup of  $H$ . By the case just proven, there is a place  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $\Omega$  such that  $H' \leq G_{\Omega/K',\mathfrak{P}}$ .

Let  $h \in H$ . Both  $H'$  and  ${}^h H'$  are open subgroups of  $H$ , so  $H' \cap {}^h H'$  is also an open subgroup of  $H$ . In particular it has finite index in  $H$ , and therefore  $\ell^\infty \mid |H' \cap {}^h H'|$ . Since  $H' \leq G_{\Omega/K',\mathfrak{P}}$  and  ${}^h H' \leq G_{\Omega/K',h(\mathfrak{P})}$ , Proposition 5.1 implies  $h(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P}$ . Since this holds for every  $h \in H$ , we have  $H \leq G_{\Omega/K,\mathfrak{P}}$  as required.  $\square$

## 6. CHARACTERISTIC DETECTION

**Definition 6.1.** We say that an algebraic extension  $\Xi/\mathbb{Q}_p$  is abundant if it contains either  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}}$  or the  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We say that an algebraic extension  $\Omega/\mathbb{Q}$  is abundant if, for all rational primes  $p$  outside a set of density zero, we have that for all  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_p(\Omega)$ , the field  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is abundant.

This definition is motivated by the fact that, for a finite extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  and a Galois extension  $\Xi/F$  which is abundant, the group  $G_{\Xi/F}$  cannot be embedded into any Galois group of the form  $G_{\Xi'/F'}$  for  $F'$  a local field with a different residue characteristic. In particular, the group  $G_{\Xi/F}$  determines  $p$ . This is Proposition 6.7.

**Example 6.2.** The fields  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\infty)$  and  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{tame}}$  are abundant (and so are algebraic extensions of them).

*Proof.* The  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is the compositum of the unramified  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension and the totally wildly ramified  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension arising from  $\mu_{p^\infty}$ . Both of these extensions are contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_\infty)$ , showing that  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\infty)$  is abundant. To show that  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{tame}}$  is abundant, we will show that for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{tame}})$  with residue characteristic  $p$ , we have  $(\mathbb{Q}^{\text{tame}})_{\mathfrak{P}} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}}$ . This follows from the next lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $K$  be a number field, let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}(K)$ , and let  $F/K_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be a finite tamely ramified extension of degree  $d$ . Then there is a finite tamely ramified extension  $L/K$  of degree  $d$ , in which  $\mathfrak{p}$  is indecomposable, such that there is an isomorphism  $F \cong L^{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebras. Here  $L^{\mathfrak{p}}$  denotes the completion of  $L$  at the unique place lying over  $\mathfrak{p}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a complex Archimedean place, we can take  $L = K$ . If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a real Archimedean place, we can take  $L = K(\mu_3)$ . Thus we may assume that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a non-Archimedean place. By the Primitive Element Theorem, there is  $\alpha \in F$  such that  $F = K_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)$ . Let  $f_{\mathfrak{p}} \in K_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ , so that  $\deg(f_{\mathfrak{p}}) = d$  and  $F \cong K_{\mathfrak{p}}[X]/(f_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Let  $S$  be the set of rational primes less than or equal to  $d$ . For every prime  $\mathfrak{q} \in S(K) \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ , let  $f_{\mathfrak{q}} \in K_{\mathfrak{q}}[X]$  be a monic irreducible polynomial of degree  $d$  such that  $K_{\mathfrak{q}}[X]/(f_{\mathfrak{q}})$  is isomorphic to the unramified extension of  $K_{\mathfrak{q}}$  of degree  $d$ . By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there is a monic polynomial  $f \in K[X]$  of degree  $d$  that is arbitrarily close to  $f_{\mathfrak{q}}$  in  $K_{\mathfrak{q}}[X]$

for every  $\mathfrak{q} \in S(K)$ . By Krasner's lemma, we may assume that  $f$  is irreducible in  $K_{\mathfrak{q}}[X]$  and that  $K_{\mathfrak{q}}[X]/(f) \cong K_{\mathfrak{q}}[X]/(f_{\mathfrak{q}})$  for every  $\mathfrak{q} \in S(K)$ . Let  $L = K[X]/(f)$ . Then  $L/K$  is a degree  $d$  extension in which  $\mathfrak{p}$  is indecomposable with  $L^{\mathfrak{p}} \cong F$ , and moreover,  $L/K$  is unramified (and in particular tamely ramified) at every prime of  $S(K) \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ . Consider  $\tilde{L}$ , the Galois closure of  $L/K$ . Since the compositum of two tamely ramified extensions is tamely ramified, it follows that  $\tilde{L}/K$  is tamely ramified at  $S(K)$ . However,  $\tilde{L}/K$  is a Galois extension of degree dividing  $d!$ , so it is tamely ramified at all prime numbers not dividing  $d!$ , which are exactly those outside  $S(K)$ . This shows that  $\tilde{L}/K$  is tamely ramified, and thus  $L/K$  is tamely ramified as well. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 6.4.* The fields  $\Omega$  in Example 6.2 satisfy the property that  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is abundant for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\Omega)$ , even though the definition only requires this for  $\mathfrak{P}$  lying over a set of rational primes with density one.

The next lemma collects standard facts about  $p$ -Sylow subgroups of profinite groups.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $\pi : G' \rightarrow G$  be a surjection of profinite groups. Let  $p$  be a prime number.*

- (1) *If  $P \leq G$  is a pro- $p$  subgroup, then there exists a pro- $p$  subgroup  $P' \leq G'$  such that  $\pi(P') = P$ .*
- (2) *If  $P' \leq G'$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup then  $\pi(P') \leq G$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup.*
- (3) *If  $p \nmid |\ker(\pi)|$  then the  $p$ -Sylow subgroups of  $G'$  are isomorphic to those of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By [14, 2.3.6], any profinite group has a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup, and the  $p$ -Sylow subgroups are all conjugate, so the isomorphism type of a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup is well-defined.

For the first part, let  $P'$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\pi^{-1}(P)$ . Since  $[P : \pi(P')] \mid [\pi^{-1}(P) : P']$  and  $p \nmid [\pi^{-1}(P) : P']$ , we find that  $p \nmid [P : \pi(P')]$ , so  $\pi(P') = P$ . For the second part, similarly, we have  $[G : \pi(P')] \mid [G' : P']$  and  $p \nmid [G' : P']$ , so that  $p \nmid [G : \pi(P')]$  and  $\pi(P') \leq G$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup. For the third part, let  $P' \leq G'$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup. Then  $\pi|_{P'} : P' \rightarrow \pi(P')$  is a surjection from a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G'$  to a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . However,  $\ker(\pi|_{P'}) = \ker(\pi) \cap P'$  is trivial by  $p \nmid |\ker(\pi)|$ , so  $\pi|_{P'}$  is actually an isomorphism.  $\square$

For a finite extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}_p$  with a Galois extension  $\Xi/F$ , we define  $I_{\Xi/F} = G_{\Xi/\Xi \cap F^{\text{ur}}}$  (the inertia subgroup) and  $W_{\Xi/F} = G_{\Xi/\Xi \cap F^{\text{tame}}}$  (the wild ramification subgroup).

Suppose  $r$  is a prime number,  $n$  is a positive integer not divisible by  $r$ , and  $Z$  is a procyclic group with a distinguished topological generator  $1 \in Z$  (for instance  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ), such that the order of  $n$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_r^{\times} \cong \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_r)$  divides  $|Z|$ . Then we write  $\mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_n Z$  for the semidirect product where  $1 \in Z$  acts on  $\mathbb{Z}_r$  by multiplication with  $n$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $F$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with residue field of size  $q$ , let  $\Xi/F$  be a Galois extension and let  $r \neq p$  be a prime number. Then:*

- (1) *The  $r$ -Sylow subgroups of  $I_{\Xi/F}$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_r$  and the  $r$ -Sylow subgroups of  $G_{\Xi/F}$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_{q^{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_r$ .*
- (2) *If  $\Xi$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}}$  then there is an injection  $\mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_q \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow G_{\Xi/F}$ .*
- (3) *If  $n > 1$  is an integer coprime to  $r$  such that there is an injection  $\mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_n \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow G_{\Xi/F}$ , then there is  $u \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $n = q^u$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ .*

*Proof.* For the first part, note that the wild ramification subgroup  $W_{\Xi/F}$ , contained in  $I_{\Xi/F}$  and normal in  $G_{\Xi/F}$ , is a pro- $p$  group. Thus, from Lemma 6.5 it follows that the  $r$ -Sylow subgroups of  $I_{\Xi/F}$  and  $G_{\Xi/F}$  are isomorphic to those of  $I_{F^{\text{tame}}/F}$  and  $G_{F^{\text{tame}}/F}$  respectively.

Since  $I_{F^{\text{tame}}/F} \cong \prod_{r' \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{r'}$ , the  $r$ -Sylow subgroups of  $I_{F^{\text{tame}}/F}$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ . Further, the subgroup  $N \leq G_{F^{\text{tame}}/F^{\text{ur}}}$  corresponding to  $\prod_{r' \neq p, r} \mathbb{Z}_{r'}$  is normal in  $G_{F^{\text{tame}}/F}$  and satisfies  $r \nmid |N|$ . By Lemma 6.5, the  $r$ -Sylow subgroups of  $G_{F^{\text{tame}}/F}$  are isomorphic to those of  $G_{F^{\text{tame}}/F}/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_q \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Let  $\tau, \sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_q \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  be topological generators of the  $\mathbb{Z}_r$  part and the  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  part respectively, with  ${}^{\sigma}\tau = \tau^q$ . Let  $u \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the profinite integer which is equivalent to  $r-1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_r$  and to 0 in  $\mathbb{Z}_{r'}$  for every prime  $r' \neq r$ .

Then  $\sigma^u$  generates the  $r$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and since  $u \equiv r-1 \pmod{(r-1)r^\infty}$  (which is the order of  $\mathbb{Z}_r^\times$ ) we have  ${}^{\sigma^u}\tau = {}^{\sigma^{r-1}}\tau = \tau^{q^{r-1}}$ . It follows that  $\langle \tau, \sigma^u \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_{q^{r-1}} \mathbb{Z}_r$ . Since this is a normal subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_q \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  and the quotient by it is coprime to  $r$ , it is the unique  $r$ -Sylow subgroup. This proves the first part.

For the second part, consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I_{\Xi/F} & \longrightarrow & G_{\Xi/F} & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \phi_I & & \downarrow \phi_G & & \downarrow \cdot f \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}}/\mathbb{Q}_p} & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}}/\mathbb{Q}_p} & \longrightarrow & \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $f = f_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p} = \log_p q$ , and  $\phi_I, \phi_G$  have open images (of indices  $e_{F \cap \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}$  and  $[F \cap \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}} : \mathbb{Q}_p]$  respectively).

Let  $R \leq I_{\Xi/F}$  be an  $r$ -Sylow subgroup. Consider the surjection  $I_{\Xi/F} \rightarrow I_{\Xi/F}$ . The  $r$ -Sylow subgroup of  $I_{\Xi/F}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ , so by Lemma 6.5,  $R$  is isomorphic to a quotient of  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ . However, the  $r$ -Sylow subgroup of  $I_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}}/\mathbb{Q}_p}$  is also isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ , so  $\phi_I(R)$  is isomorphic to an open subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ . This shows that  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_r$ .

Let  $\sigma \in G_{\Xi/F}$  be a preimage of the Frobenius element  $1 \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  and let  $\tau$  be a generator of  $R$ . Note that  $\phi_I(\sigma\tau) = \phi_I(\tau)^q$ . Since  $R, {}^\sigma R$  are two  $r$ -Sylow subgroups of  $I_{\Xi/F}$ , there is  $x \in I_{\Xi/F}$  such that  ${}^x\sigma R = R$ . Denote  $\sigma' = x\sigma$ . Then we have  ${}^{\sigma'}\tau \in R$  and also  $\phi_I({}^{\sigma'}\tau) = \phi_I(\tau)^q$ . Since  $R \cong \mathbb{Z}_r$  we find that  $\phi_I$  is injective on  $R$ , implying that  ${}^{\sigma'}\tau = \tau^q$ . Therefore,  $\langle \tau, \sigma' \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_q \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ , proving the second part.

For the third part, suppose that  $\mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_n \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \langle a, b \mid a^{r^\infty} = e, {}^b a = a^n \rangle$  injects into  $G_{\Xi/F}$ , and denote the images of  $a, b$  in  $G_{\Xi/F}$  by  $\tau, \sigma$  respectively.

Consider the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow I_{\Xi/F} \rightarrow G_{\Xi/F} \xrightarrow{j} G_{\Xi/F}/I_{\Xi/F} \rightarrow 0$ . Since the codomain of  $j$  is abelian, we have  $j(\tau) = j(\sigma\tau) = j(\tau)^n$ , implying that  $j(\tau^{n-1}) = e$ . Note that there is another injection  $\mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_n \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow G_{\Xi/F}$ , sending  $a \mapsto \tau^{n-1}$  and  $b \mapsto \sigma$ . Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\tau \in I_{\Xi/F}$ .

Let  $\sigma_0 \in G_{\Xi/F}$  denote a preimage of the Frobenius element of  $G_{\Xi/F}/I_{\Xi/F}$ . Then there is  $u \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $\sigma \cdot \sigma_0^{-u} \in I_{\Xi/F}$ . Consider the quotient map  $\pi : G_{\Xi/F} \rightarrow G_{\Xi/F}/W_{\Xi/F}$ . Note that  $\pi(\sigma_0 x) = \pi(x)^q$  for every  $x \in I_{\Xi/F}$ , and therefore  $\pi(\tau)^n = \pi(\sigma\tau) = \pi((\sigma\sigma_0^{-u})\sigma_0^u\tau) = \pi(\tau)^{q^u}$ . Since the kernel of  $\pi$  is a pro- $p$  group, its restriction to  $\langle \tau \rangle$  is injective, meaning that  $\tau^n = \tau^{q^u}$ , and thus  $n = q^u$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_r$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $F, F'$  be non-Archimedean local fields of characteristic zero, and let  $\Xi/F, \Xi'/F'$  be Galois extensions, with  $\Xi$  abundant. Suppose there is a profinite group embedding  $\alpha : G_{\Xi/F} \rightarrow G_{\Xi'/F'}$ . Then  $F$  and  $F'$  have the same residue characteristic.*

*Proof.* Denote the residue characteristics of  $F, F'$  by  $p, p'$ , and denote their residue field sizes by  $q, q'$ . Assume, for the sake of contradiction, that  $p \neq p'$ .

First, suppose that  $\Xi$  contains the  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -extension  $E/\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Consider the natural continuous homomorphism  $\phi : G_{EF/F} \rightarrow G_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . Since  $[F : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$ , it follows that  $\phi$  has open image. As open subgroups of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$  are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ , we find that  $G_{EF/F}$ , and therefore  $G_{\Xi/F}$ , has a quotient isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . By Lemma 6.5 it follows that there is a pro- $p$  subgroup  $P_0 \leq G_{\Xi/F}$  with a quotient isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . Applying Lemma 6.5 again to  $\alpha(P_0) \leq G_{\Xi'/F'}$  and to the surjection  $G_{\Xi'/F'} \rightarrow G_{\Xi'/F'}$ , we find that there is a pro- $p$  subgroup  $P \leq G_{\Xi'/F'}$  with a quotient isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . Let  $\tilde{P} \leq G_{\Xi'/F'}$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup containing  $P$ . Since  $p \neq p'$ , we have  $\tilde{P} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes_{(q')^{p-1}} \mathbb{Z}_p$  by the first part of Lemma 6.6.

Let  $\tau, \sigma \in \tilde{P}$  denote the generators corresponding to the semidirect product structure, so that  $\langle \tau \rangle \cong \langle \sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  ${}^\sigma\tau = \tau^{(q')^{p-1}}$ ,  $\langle \tau, \sigma \rangle = \tilde{P}$  and  $\langle \tau \rangle \cap \langle \sigma \rangle = \{e\}$ . Consider the quotient map  $\pi : \tilde{P} \rightarrow$

$\tilde{P}/\langle\tau\rangle$ . Since  $P$  has a quotient isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ , it cannot be contained in the procyclic group  $\langle\tau\rangle$ , so  $\pi(P) \neq \{e\}$ . As  $\tilde{P}/\langle\tau\rangle = \langle\pi(\sigma)\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ , there is an integer  $k \geq 0$  such that  $\pi(P) = \langle\pi(\sigma^{p^k})\rangle$ . Let  $\Sigma \in P$  be a preimage of  $\pi(\sigma^{p^k})$  and let  $T \in P$  be a generator of the procyclic group  $P \cap \langle\tau\rangle$ . Then we have  $P = \langle T, \Sigma \rangle$  and  $\Sigma T = T^{(q')^{(p-1)p^k}}$ . It follows that  $P^{\text{ab}}$  is a quotient of  $\mathbb{Z}_p/((q')^{(p-1)p^k} - 1) \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ . This group does not have a quotient isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ , which is a contradiction. This shows  $p = p'$  in the case where  $\Xi$  contains the  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

Now assume  $\Xi$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}}$ . Let  $\ell$  be a prime number not dividing  $p \cdot \log_p q$ . Consider the number fields  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell, (q')^{\frac{1}{\ell}})$  and  $L = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell, (q')^{\frac{1}{\ell}}, q^{\frac{1}{\ell}})$ . Note that  $L$  is ramified at  $p$  while  $K$  is not, and therefore  $K \subsetneq L$ . Since  $K$  and  $L$  are Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , Chebotarev's Density Theorem implies there are infinitely many rational primes that split completely in  $K$  but not in  $L$ . Take such a prime  $r \neq p, p'$ . The splitness condition is equivalent to  $r \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ , with  $q'$  being an  $\ell$ th power modulo  $r$ , and  $q$  not being an  $\ell$ th power modulo  $r$ . By the second part of Lemma 6.6 we find that  $\mathbb{Z}_r \rtimes_q \mathbb{Z}$  injects into  $G_{\Xi/F}$ , so it also injects into  $G_{\Xi'/F'}$ , and by the third part of Lemma 6.6 we find that  $q$  lies in the procyclic subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_r^\times$  generated by  $q'$ . However, this is impossible since  $q'$  is an  $\ell$ th power modulo  $r$  and  $q$  is not. The contradiction shows  $p = p'$  in the case where  $\Xi$  contains  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{tame}}$ , completing the proof.  $\square$

As a corollary we obtain a strengthened version of Theorem 5.8 for extensions which are  $\ell$ -sealed and abundant.

**Corollary 6.8.** *With the notation of Theorem 5.8, assume that  $\Xi$  is abundant. Then  $F$  and  $\mathfrak{P}$  have the same residue characteristic.*

*Proof.* Since  $G_{\Xi/F} \cong H \leq G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}} \cong G_{\Omega_{\mathfrak{P}}/K_{\mathfrak{P}|_K}}$ , this follows from Proposition 6.7.  $\square$

## 7. PRIME BIJECTION

**Definition 7.1.** Suppose that  $\Omega_1/K_1$  and  $\Omega_2/K_2$  are Galois extensions and that  $\alpha : G_{\Omega_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\Omega_2/K_2}$  is an isomorphism of profinite groups. Then Galois correspondence implies that there is an inclusion-preserving bijection between the lattice of subextensions of  $\Omega_1/K_1$  and the lattice of subextensions of  $\Omega_2/K_2$  which we also denote by  $\alpha$ .

Note that  $\alpha(L \cap L') = \alpha(L) \cap \alpha(L')$ ,  $\alpha(L \cdot L') = \alpha(L) \cdot \alpha(L')$ ,  $\alpha(G_{\Omega_1/L}) = G_{\Omega_2/\alpha(L)}$ ,  $\alpha(g(L)) = \alpha(g)(\alpha(L))$ , and  $[\alpha(L') : \alpha(L)] = [L' : L]$ .

**Proposition 7.2.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be number fields, and let  $\Omega_i/K_i$  be Galois extensions that are  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to  $S$  and abundant, for some finite set  $S$  of rational primes. Suppose that  $\alpha : G_{\Omega_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\Omega_2/K_2}$  is an isomorphism of profinite groups. Then there is a set  $R$  of rational primes with full density, disjoint from  $S$ , and a family of bijections  $R(L) \rightarrow R(\alpha(L))$  over the subextensions  $\Omega_1/L/K_1$ , which we denote by  $\alpha$ , satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) *If  $L/K_1$  is Galois, then for  $\mathfrak{p} \in R(L)$  we have  $\alpha(G_{L/K_1, \mathfrak{p}}) = G_{\alpha(L)/K_2, \alpha(\mathfrak{p})}$ .*
- (2) *The bijections preserve residue characteristics.*
- (3) *For  $g \in G_{\Omega_1/K_1}$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in R(L)$ , we have  $\alpha(g\mathfrak{p}) = \alpha(g)\alpha(\mathfrak{p})$  (as primes of  $\alpha(g(L)) = \alpha(g)(\alpha(L))$ ).*
- (4) *For subextensions  $\Omega_1/L'/L/K_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in R(L')$  we have  $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}|_L) = \alpha(\mathfrak{p})|_{\alpha(L)}$  and  $[\alpha(L')_{\alpha(\mathfrak{p})} : \alpha(L)_{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}|_L)}] = [L'_{\mathfrak{p}} : L_{\mathfrak{p}|_L}]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be the full density set of rational primes  $p$  outside  $S$  such that for every  $\mathfrak{P}_1 \in \mathcal{P}_p(\Omega_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_2 \in \mathcal{P}_p(\Omega_2)$ , the fields  $(\Omega_1)_{\mathfrak{P}_1}$  and  $(\Omega_2)_{\mathfrak{P}_2}$  are abundant. We start by constructing a bijection for  $L = \Omega_1$  satisfying the first three conditions. Let  $\mathfrak{P} \in R(\Omega_1)$ . We have  $\mu_\ell \subseteq (\Omega_1)_{\mathfrak{P}}$  and  $(\Omega_1)_{\mathfrak{P}}^\times = (\Omega_1)_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\times\ell}$  by Lemma 3.5. Thus, Theorem 5.8 applied to the closed subgroup  $\alpha(G_{\Omega_1/K_1, \mathfrak{P}}) \leq G_{\Omega_2/K_2}$  implies that there is a unique non-Archimedean place  $\mathfrak{Q}$  of  $\Omega_2$  such that  $\alpha(G_{\Omega_1/K_1, \mathfrak{P}}) \subseteq G_{\Omega_2/K_2, \mathfrak{Q}}$ . Then, Corollary 6.8 implies  $\mathfrak{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{P}$  have the same residue characteristic, which in particular means that

$\mathfrak{Q} \in R(\Omega_2)$ . This defines a map  $\alpha : R(\Omega_1) \rightarrow R(\Omega_2)$  which preserves residue characteristics and has the property  $\alpha(G_{\Omega_1/K_1, \mathfrak{P}}) \subseteq G_{\Omega_2/K_2, \alpha(\mathfrak{P})}$ .

Similarly we can define a map  $\alpha^{-1} : R(\Omega_2) \rightarrow R(\Omega_1)$  satisfying  $\alpha^{-1}(G_{\Omega_2/K_2, \mathfrak{Q}}) \subseteq G_{\Omega_1/K_1, \alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{Q})}$  for every  $\mathfrak{Q} \in R(\Omega_2)$ . Composing them gives  $G_{\Omega_1/K_1, \mathfrak{P}} \subseteq G_{\Omega_1/K_1, \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P}))}$ . By the uniqueness in Theorem 5.8, this implies  $\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P})) = \mathfrak{P}$ . Similarly we have  $\alpha(\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{Q})) = \mathfrak{Q}$  for every  $\mathfrak{Q} \in R(\Omega_2)$ , and thus  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^{-1}$  are inverse bijections. We also get  $\alpha(G_{\Omega_1/K_1, \mathfrak{P}}) = G_{\Omega_2/K_2, \alpha(\mathfrak{P})}$ . It follows that  $\alpha : R(\Omega_1) \rightarrow R(\Omega_2)$  satisfies the first and second properties. For the third property, note that

$$\alpha(G_{\Omega_1/K_1, g\mathfrak{P}}) = \alpha(^g G_{\Omega_1/K_1, \mathfrak{P}}) = {}^{\alpha(g)} \alpha(G_{\Omega_1/K_1, \mathfrak{P}}) = {}^{\alpha(g)} G_{\Omega_2/K_2, \alpha(\mathfrak{P})} = G_{\Omega_2/K_2, \alpha(g)(\alpha(\mathfrak{P}))}$$

and use the uniqueness in Theorem 5.8.

Now, let  $\Omega_1/L/K_1$  be a subextension and let  $\mathfrak{p} \in R(L)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \mathfrak{P}_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_1)$ . Then there is some  $g \in G_{\Omega_1/L}$  satisfying  $g\mathfrak{P}_1 = \mathfrak{P}_2$ , so  $\alpha(\mathfrak{P}_2) = \alpha(g)\alpha(\mathfrak{P}_1)$ . Since  $\alpha(g)|_{\alpha(L)} = \text{id}_{\alpha(L)}$ , this implies  $\alpha(\mathfrak{P}_2)|_{\alpha(L)} = \alpha(\mathfrak{P}_1)|_{\alpha(L)}$ . Thus, we get a well-defined map  $\alpha : R(L) \rightarrow R(\alpha(L))$  which takes  $\mathfrak{p}$  to  $\alpha(\mathfrak{P})|_{\alpha(L)}$  for any  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_1)$ . For general  $L$ , the first three properties follow by restricting from the  $L = \Omega_1$  case. For the fourth property, it is clear from the definition that  $\alpha(\mathfrak{p}|_L) = \alpha(\mathfrak{p})|_{\alpha(L)}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Omega_1)$ . Then

$$[\alpha(L')_{\alpha(\mathfrak{p})} : \alpha(L)_{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}|_L)}] = [G_{\Omega_2/\alpha(L), \alpha(\mathfrak{P})} : G_{\Omega_2/\alpha(L'), \alpha(\mathfrak{P})}] = [G_{\Omega_1/L, \mathfrak{P}} : G_{\Omega_1/L', \mathfrak{P}}] = [L'_{\mathfrak{p}} : L_{\mathfrak{p}|_L}].$$

□

**Corollary 7.3.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be number fields and let  $\Omega_i/K_i$  be  $\ell$ -sealed and abundant Galois extensions. Suppose  $\alpha : G_{\Omega_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\Omega_2/K_2}$  is an isomorphism of profinite groups. Then  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are arithmetically equivalent.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 7.2, there is a set  $R$  of rational primes with full density and a bijection  $\alpha : R(K_1) \rightarrow R(K_2)$  that preserves residue characteristics. In particular,  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  have the same number of primes lying over  $p$  for every  $p \in R$ . By the main theorem of [16], this implies  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are arithmetically equivalent. □


## 8. WEAK NEUKIRCH-UCHIDA

Let  $G$  be a finite group. We consider  $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}[G]^n$  as a left  $G$ -module, and we denote its elements by  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_{ig} g e_i$  for  $\lambda_{ig} \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ . For a  $G$ -module  $M$  and a subgroup  $H \leq G$  we define  $I_H M$  as the  $H$ -submodule of  $M$  generated by the elements  $hm - m$  for all  $h \in H, m \in M$ .

When  $\tilde{L}/L/\mathbb{Q}$  is a tower of number fields with both  $\tilde{L}/\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  Galois and  $\tilde{L}/L$  abelian, the abelian group  $G_{\tilde{L}/L}$  is naturally a  $G_{L/\mathbb{Q}}$ -module.

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $\ell$  be a prime number and  $S$  a finite set of rational places. Suppose  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is a finite Galois extension containing  $\mu_{\ell}$  and let  $G = G_{L/\mathbb{Q}}$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an abelian extension  $\tilde{L}/L$ , in which every place of  $S(L)$  splits completely, such that  $\tilde{L}/\mathbb{Q}$  is Galois and  $G_{\tilde{L}/L} \cong \mathbb{F}_{\ell}[G]^n$  as a  $G$ -module. Furthermore,  $\tilde{L}$  can be chosen such that for every subfield  $K \subseteq L$  containing  $\mu_{\ell}$  there exists an abelian subextension  $\tilde{K}/K$  of  $\tilde{L}/K$ , of exponent  $\ell$ , in which every place of  $S(K)$  splits*

completely, and which satisfies  $G_{\tilde{L}/L\tilde{K}} = I_H G_{\tilde{L}/L}$  for  $H = G_{L/K}$ .



*Proof.* By Chebotarev's Density Theorem, there are infinitely many prime numbers that split completely in  $L$ . Let  $T$  be a set consisting of  $n$  such prime numbers that do not belong to  $S$ . Then  $T(L)$  is a free  $G$ -set of cardinality  $n \cdot |G|$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_n$  be representatives for the  $G$ -orbits in  $T(L)$ . For each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , Proposition 3.2 for the set  $S \cup T$  implies there exists  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}_k} \in L^\times$  whose images in  $L_{\mathfrak{P}}, \mathfrak{P} \in S(L) \cup T(L) \setminus \{\mathfrak{P}_k\}$  are  $\ell$ th powers, while its image in  $L_{\mathfrak{P}_k}$  is not. Extend the function  $\mathfrak{P}_k \mapsto \alpha_{\mathfrak{P}_k}$  to a  $G$ -set morphism  $\mathfrak{P} \mapsto \alpha_{\mathfrak{P}}$  from  $T(L)$  to  $L^\times$  and denote  $L(\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}}^{\frac{1}{\ell}})$  by  $L_{(\mathfrak{P})}$ . Then every place in  $S(L) \cup T(L) \setminus \{\mathfrak{P}\}$  splits completely in  $L_{(\mathfrak{P})}$  while  $\mathfrak{P}$  is indecomposable. Also, note that  $G$  acts on the set of abelian extensions of  $L$ , and under this action  $g(L_{(\mathfrak{P})}) = L_{(g\mathfrak{P})}$ . This action also defines isomorphisms  $G_{L_{(\mathfrak{P})}/L} \xrightarrow{\phi_g} G_{L_{(g\mathfrak{P})}/L}$  satisfying  $\phi_e = \text{id}, \phi_{gg'} = \phi_g \circ \phi_{g'}$  for all  $g, g' \in G$ .

Consider the compositum  $\tilde{L} = \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \in T(L)} L_{(\mathfrak{P})}$ , which is Galois over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $L_{(\mathfrak{P})}$  is the only extension among the  $L_{(\mathfrak{P}')$  where  $\mathfrak{P}$  is indecomposable, it follows that  $L_{(\mathfrak{P})} \not\subseteq \prod_{\mathfrak{P}' \neq \mathfrak{P}} L_{(\mathfrak{P}')}}$ , so  $[\tilde{L} : L] = \ell^{|T(L)|}$ . Therefore, the injection  $G_{\tilde{L}/L} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{P} \in T(L)} G_{L_{(\mathfrak{P})}/L}$  is an isomorphism. However, this is a  $G$ -equivariant map, where the action of  $G$  on the right-hand side is given by  $g \cdot (\lambda_{\mathfrak{P}})_{\mathfrak{P} \in T(L)} = (\phi_g(\lambda_{g^{-1}\mathfrak{P}}))_{\mathfrak{P} \in T(L)}$ . This action makes the right hand side isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]^n$ , proving the first part of the lemma.


Let  $K \subseteq L$  be a subfield containing  $\mu_\ell$  and let  $H = G_{L/K}$ . For  $\mathfrak{p} \in T(K)$ , define  $\beta_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Nm}_K^L \alpha_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for any  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)$ , and define  $K_{(\mathfrak{p})} = K(\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\frac{1}{\ell}})$ .

For every  $\mathfrak{P} \in T(L), \mathfrak{Q} \in S(L) \cup T(L)$  denote by  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P};\mathfrak{Q}}$  the image of  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P}}$  in the completion  $L_{\mathfrak{Q}}$  and for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in T(K), \mathfrak{q} \in S(K) \cup T(K)$  denote by  $\beta_{\mathfrak{p};\mathfrak{q}}$  the image of  $\beta_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in the completion  $K_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . Then  $\beta_{\mathfrak{p};\mathfrak{q}} = \prod_{\mathfrak{Q} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{q}}(L)} \text{Nm}_{K_{\mathfrak{q}}/L}^{L_{\mathfrak{Q}}} \alpha_{\mathfrak{P};\mathfrak{Q}}$  for any  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)$ . Since  $\text{Nm}_{K_{\mathfrak{q}}/L}^{L_{\mathfrak{Q}}} : L_{\mathfrak{Q}} \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{q}}$  is an isomorphism, and since  $\alpha_{\mathfrak{P};\mathfrak{Q}}$  is an  $\ell$ th power if and only if  $\mathfrak{P} \neq \mathfrak{Q}$ , we find that  $\beta_{\mathfrak{p};\mathfrak{q}}$  is an  $\ell$ th power if and only if  $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{q}$ . It follows that all places of  $S(K) \cup T(K) \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}\}$  split completely in  $K_{(\mathfrak{p})}/K$ , while  $\mathfrak{p}$  is indecomposable. Since all of them split completely in  $L$ , it follows that all the places in  $S(L) \cup T(L) \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)$  split completely in  $K_{(\mathfrak{p})}L$  while the places in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)$  are indecomposable in  $K_{(\mathfrak{p})}L$ . Consider the compositum  $\tilde{K} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T(K)} K_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ . This is an abelian extension of  $K$  of exponent  $\ell$ . From the above, we find that  $[\tilde{K} : K] = [\tilde{K}L : L] = \ell^{|T(K)|}$ , and in particular  $\tilde{K} \cap L = K$ .

Consider the  $H$ -submodule  $I_H G_{\tilde{L}/L} \leq G_{\tilde{L}/L}$ . It is equal to the commutator group  $[G_{\tilde{L}/K}, G_{\tilde{L}/L}]$ . The elements of this group act trivially on  $L$ , and they also act trivially on  $\tilde{K}$  since  $\tilde{K}/K$  is abelian. Thus we have  $I_H G_{\tilde{L}/L} \subseteq G_{\tilde{L}/L\tilde{K}}$ . We also have  $[\tilde{L} : L\tilde{K}] = \frac{[\tilde{L}:L]}{[\tilde{L}\tilde{K}:L]} = \frac{[\tilde{L}:L]}{[\tilde{K}:K]} = |I_H G_{\tilde{L}/L}|$ , showing that  $I_H G_{\tilde{L}/L} = G_{\tilde{L}/L\tilde{K}}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8.2.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be number fields containing  $\mu_\ell$ , let  $\Omega_i/K_i$  be  $\ell$ -sealed and abundant Galois extensions, and suppose there exists an isomorphism  $\alpha : G_{\Omega_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\Omega_2/K_2}$ . Then  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be the Galois closure of  $K_1 K_2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Denote  $G = G_{L/\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $H_i = G_{L/K_i} \leq G$ . Let  $n = |H_i|$ . Applying Lemma 8.1 with  $S$  such that both  $\Omega_i$  are  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to it, we obtain an extension  $\tilde{L}/L$  with Galois group isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]^n$  as a  $G$ -module, in which every place of  $S(L)$  splits completely. We also obtain abelian subextensions  $\tilde{K}_i/K_i$  of  $\tilde{L}/K_i$ , of exponent  $\ell$ , in which every place of  $S(K_i)$  splits completely, and which satisfy  $G_{\tilde{L}/L\tilde{K}_i} = I_{H_i}\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]^n$ . It follows that  $\tilde{K}_i \subseteq \Omega_i$ .



From Corollary 7.3 we deduce that  $\tilde{K}_1$  and  $\alpha(\tilde{K}_1)$  are arithmetically equivalent. By [15, Theorem 1] they have the same Galois closure over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so  $\alpha(\tilde{K}_1) \subseteq \tilde{L}$ . We also have that  $\alpha(\tilde{K}_1)$  is an abelian extension of  $K_2$  of exponent  $\ell$ . Consider the number field  $\tilde{K}'_2 = \tilde{K}_2 \cdot \alpha(\tilde{K}_1)$ , which is an abelian extension of  $K_2$  of exponent  $\ell$ . Since  $I_{H_2}G_{\tilde{L}/L} = [G_{\tilde{L}/K_2}, G_{\tilde{L}/L}]$  acts trivially on both  $L$  and  $\tilde{K}'_2$ , we find that

$$I_{H_2}G_{\tilde{L}/L} \subseteq G_{\tilde{L}/L\tilde{K}'_2} \subseteq G_{\tilde{L}/L\tilde{K}_2} = I_{H_2}G_{\tilde{L}/L}$$

and thus  $L\tilde{K}'_2 = L\tilde{K}_2$ . Similarly, for  $\tilde{K}'_1 = \tilde{K}_1 \cdot \alpha^{-1}(\tilde{K}_2)$ , we find that  $L\tilde{K}'_1 = L\tilde{K}_1$ . Note that  $\alpha(\tilde{K}'_1) = \tilde{K}'_2$  by definition, and thus applying Corollary 7.3 to the extensions  $\Omega_i/\tilde{K}'_i$ , we find that  $\tilde{K}'_1$  and  $\tilde{K}'_2$  are arithmetically equivalent. By [1, Lemma 1], arithmetic equivalence is preserved under compositum with a Galois extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so we find that  $L\tilde{K}'_1 = L\tilde{K}_1$  and  $L\tilde{K}'_2 = L\tilde{K}_2$  are also arithmetically equivalent. Therefore, their corresponding subgroups  $G_{\tilde{L}/L\tilde{K}_i} = I_{H_i}\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]^n$  in  $G_{\tilde{L}/\mathbb{Q}}$  are Gassmann equivalent [15]. In particular, for every element  $\lambda \in I_{H_1}\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]^n$  there must be  $g \in G$  such that  $g\lambda \in I_{H_2}\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]^n$ .

Enumerate  $H_1$  as  $h_1, \dots, h_n$  and consider the element  $\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (h_k - e)e_k \in I_{H_1}\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]^n$ . Let  $g \in G$  be such that  $g\lambda \in I_{H_2}\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]^n$ . It follows that  $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} (gh_k - g)e_k \in I_{H_2}\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]^n$ , so  $gh - g \in I_{H_2}\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]$  for every  $h \in H_1$ . Thus the elements  $gh$  and  $g$  identify in the quotient  $\mathbb{F}_\ell[G]/I_{H_2}\mathbb{F}_\ell[G] \cong \mathbb{F}_\ell[H_2 \setminus G]$ , so  $H_2gh = H_2g$ , implying that  ${}^g h \in H_2$ . Therefore  ${}^g H_1 \subseteq H_2$ . Similarly, there is  $k \in G$  such that  ${}^k H_2 \subseteq H_1$ . Since  $G$  is finite, this implies  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are conjugate in  $G$ . This conjugation induces an isomorphism  $K_1 \cong K_2$ .  $\square$

## 9. FULL NEUKIRCH-UCHIDA

In this section we present a method to prove the full version of Neukirch-Uchida using the weak version from Theorem 8.2.

Let us outline the proof; we begin by proving a lemma stating that in the context of our Neukirch-Uchida variant, with some additional assumption, there exists an isomorphism  $\sigma : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  whose induced bijection on primes coincides with the induced bijection of  $\alpha$  on primes. Then, in the general case, we construct arbitrarily large extensions  $L'_i/K_i$  for which the condition of the lemma is satisfied. By applying the lemma to  $L'_i$  and considering the group actions on the primes of  $L'_i$ , we deduce that  $\alpha$  coincides with a conjugation  $g \mapsto {}^\sigma g$  on arbitrarily large quotients of  $G_{\Omega_i/K_i}$ , implying the desired result via a compactness argument.

**Lemma 9.1.** *Let  $K$  be a number field containing  $\mu_\ell$  and let  $\Omega/K$  be a Galois extension which is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to a finite set  $S$  of rational places. Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  be distinct places of  $\mathcal{P}_{fin}(K) \setminus S(K)$  and let  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  be non-negative integers. Then there exists a finite subextension  $L/K$  of  $\Omega/K$  such that  $[L_{\mathfrak{P}} : K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}] = \ell^{a_i}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and for all  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(L)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  there is an extension  $L_i/K$  corresponding to the sequence

$$0, \dots, 0, a_i, 0, \dots, 0$$

with  $a_i$  at the  $i$ th place. Indeed, if such  $L_i$  exist then  $L = L_1 \cdots L_n$  is an extension corresponding to the sequence  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . Thus we may assume  $a_i$  is the only non-zero value in the sequence.

If  $a_i = 0$ , we can take  $L_i = K$ . Otherwise, by Proposition 3.2 for the set  $S \cup \{\mathfrak{p}_1|_{\mathbb{Q}}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n|_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$  there is  $\alpha \in K$  whose images in  $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} \in S(K) \cup \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{i-1}, \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$  are  $\ell$ th powers, while its image in  $K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  is not. Thus  $K' = K(\alpha^{\frac{1}{\ell}})$  is a subextension of  $\Omega$  in which  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{i-1}, \mathfrak{p}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$  split completely, while  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is indecomposable, and  $[K'_{\mathfrak{p}_i} : K_{\mathfrak{p}_i}] = \ell$  for  $\mathfrak{p}'_i$  the unique lift of  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  to  $K'$ . This reduces the original problem to  $K'$  with the integer sequence

$$0, \dots, 0, a_i - 1, 0, \dots, 0$$

which has length  $\ell(n-1) + 1$  and in which  $a_i - 1$  is in the  $\ell(i-1) + 1$  place. Applying this argument inductively proves the lemma.  $\square$

*Remark 9.2.* Note that the extension  $L/K$  constructed above may not be Galois.

In the following, we use the notation  $\tilde{K}$  for the Galois closure of an algebraic extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Lemma 9.3.** *Let  $K_1, K_2$  be number fields containing  $\mu_\ell$ , and let  $\Omega_i/K_i$  be Galois extensions that are  $\ell$ -sealed and abundant. Suppose  $\alpha : G_{\Omega_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\Omega_2/K_2}$  is an isomorphism of profinite groups. Assume further that  $\tilde{K}_2 \cap \Omega_2 = K_2$ . Let  $R$  be the set of rational primes with full density from Proposition 7.2. Then there exists an isomorphism  $\sigma : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  such that the bijection  $\sigma : R(K_1) \rightarrow R(K_2)$  coincides with the bijection  $\alpha : R(K_1) \rightarrow R(K_2)$  from Proposition 7.2.*

*Proof.* Since there are finitely many possible isomorphisms  $\sigma : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$ , the final requirement can be changed to  $\sigma(\mathfrak{p}) = \alpha(\mathfrak{p})$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in R'(K_1)$ , where  $R'$  is an arbitrary finite subset of  $R$ . Enumerate  $R'(K_1)$  as  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ . By Lemma 9.1, we can find a finite subextension  $L_1/K_1$  of  $\Omega_1/K_1$  such that  $[(L_1)_{\mathfrak{P}} : (K_1)_{\mathfrak{p}_i}] = \ell^i$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and for every  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(L_1)$ .

Let  $L_2 = \alpha(L_1)$ . By Theorem 8.2, there exists an isomorphism  $\sigma : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ . Note that  $\sigma(K_1)$  is a subfield of  $L_2$  isomorphic to  $K_1$ . However,  $K_1$  is isomorphic to  $K_2$  by Theorem 8.2. It follows that  $\sigma(K_1) \subseteq \tilde{K}_2 \cap \Omega_2 = K_2$  and therefore  $\sigma(K_1) = K_2$ .

Consider an arbitrary  $\mathfrak{p}_i \in R'(K_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(L_1)$ . From the fourth part of Proposition 7.2 we have  $[(L_2)_{\alpha(\mathfrak{P})} : (K_2)_{\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_i)}] = [(L_1)_{\mathfrak{P}} : (K_1)_{\mathfrak{p}_i}] = \ell^i$ . Therefore,  $[(L_1)_{\sigma^{-1}(\alpha(\mathfrak{P}))} : (K_1)_{\sigma^{-1}(\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_i))}]$  also equals  $\ell^i$ .

The places  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_i))$  have the same residue characteristic by the second part of Proposition 7.2. In particular  $\sigma^{-1}(\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_i)) \in R'(K_1)$ . By the construction of  $L_1$ , which differentiates the places of  $R'(K_1)$  by the degrees of their lifts, the equality  $[(L_1)_{\sigma^{-1}(\alpha(\mathfrak{p}))} : (K_1)_{\sigma^{-1}(\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_i))}] = \ell^i$  is only possible if  $\sigma^{-1}(\alpha(\mathfrak{p}_i)) = \mathfrak{p}_i$ , i.e.  $\sigma(\mathfrak{p}_i) = \alpha(\mathfrak{p}_i)$ .  $\square$

We can now state and prove our main theorem.

**Theorem 9.4.** *Let  $\ell$  be a prime number, let  $K_1, K_2$  be number fields, and let  $\Omega_i/K_i$  be Galois extensions that are  $\ell$ -sealed and abundant. If  $\alpha : G_{\Omega_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\Omega_2/K_2}$  is an isomorphism of profinite groups, then there exists an isomorphism  $\sigma : \Omega_1/K_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2/K_2$  that induces  $\alpha$ , and it is unique.*

*Proof.* We begin by proving the existence of  $\sigma$ . Let  $L_1/K_1$  be a finite Galois subextension of  $\Omega_1/K_1$ , containing  $K_1(\mu_\ell) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(K_2(\mu_\ell))$ , and let  $L_2 = \alpha(L_1)$ . Let  $L'_2 = \widetilde{L}_2 \cap \Omega_2$  and  $L'_1 = \alpha^{-1}(L'_2)$ . Note that  $\widetilde{L}'_2 \cap \Omega_2 \subseteq \widetilde{L}_2 \cap \widetilde{\Omega}_2 \cap \Omega_2 = L'_2$ . Note also that  $L'_i/K_i$  are Galois and contain  $\mu_\ell$ . By Lemma 9.3, there is an isomorphism  $\sigma : L'_1 \rightarrow L'_2$  such that  $\sigma(\mathfrak{P}) = \alpha(\mathfrak{P})$  for every place  $\mathfrak{P} \in R(L'_1)$  for a set  $R$  of rational primes with full density. By Chebotarev's Density Theorem, there is a prime number  $p \in R$  that splits completely in  $L'_1$ . Then for every  $g \in G_{L'_1/K_1}$  and  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_p(L'_2)$  we have

$$(\sigma g)\mathfrak{P} = \sigma(g(\sigma^{-1}(\mathfrak{P}))) = \alpha(g(\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{P}))) = \alpha(g)\mathfrak{P}$$

as primes in  $L'_2$ . Since  $L'_2/K_2$  and  $L'_2/\sigma(K_1)$  are both Galois, we find that  $L'_2/(K_2 \cap \sigma(K_1))$  is Galois. Since  $G_{L'_2/(K_2 \cap \sigma(K_1))}$  acts freely on  $\mathcal{P}_p(L'_2)$ , the above shows that for every  $g \in G_{L'_1/K_1}$ , the equality  $\sigma g = \alpha(g)$  holds in  $G_{L'_2/(K_2 \cap \sigma(K_1))}$ . In particular, we have

$$G_{L'_2/K_2} = \alpha(G_{L'_1/K_1}) = {}^\sigma G_{L'_1/K_1} = G_{L'_2/\sigma(K_1)}$$

as subgroups of  $G_{L'_2/(K_2 \cap \sigma(K_1))}$ . This implies  $\sigma(K_1) = K_2$ . Likewise, we have

$$G_{L'_2/L_2} = \alpha(G_{L'_1/L_1}) = {}^\sigma G_{L'_1/L_1} = G_{L'_2/\sigma(L_1)}$$

as subgroups of  $G_{L'_2/K_2}$ , implying that  $\sigma(L_1) = L_2$ . Note that the conjugation  $\sigma(-) : G_{L_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{L_2/K_2}$  coincides with  $\alpha : G_{L_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{L_2/K_2}$ .

For every finite Galois subextension  $L_1/K_1$  of  $\Omega_1/K_1$ , we define  $C_{L_1} \subseteq G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  as the set of  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that  $\sigma(K_1) = K_2$ ,  $\sigma(L_1) = \alpha(L_1)$ , and the conjugation  $\sigma(-) : G_{L_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\alpha(L_1)/K_2}$  coincides with  $\alpha : G_{L_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\alpha(L_1)/K_2}$ . Then  $C_{L_1}$  is a closed subset of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and was just shown to be nonempty when  $L_1$  contains  $K_1(\mu_\ell) \cdot \alpha^{-1}(K_2(\mu_\ell))$ . It is clear that  $C_{L_1} \cap C_{L'_1} = C_{L_1 L'_1}$ . Thus, the compactness of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$  ensures that there exists an element  $\sigma$  of  $\bigcap_{L_1} C_{L_1}$ , where the intersection runs over all finite Galois subextensions of  $\Omega_1/K_1$ . It follows that  $\sigma(K_1) = K_2$ ,  $\sigma(\Omega_1) = \Omega_2$ , and also that the conjugation map  $\sigma(-) : G_{\Omega_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\Omega_2/K_2}$  coincides with  $\alpha : G_{\Omega_1/K_1} \rightarrow G_{\Omega_2/K_2}$ . This completes the existence proof.

For uniqueness, we may assume  $K_1 = K_2$  and  $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2$ , denoting them by  $K$  and  $\Omega$ . Let  $S$  be a finite set of rational primes such that  $\Omega/K$  is  $\ell$ -sealed with respect to it. Let  $\sigma \in G_{\Omega/K}$  be an element such that conjugation by it induces the identity automorphism of  $G_{\Omega/K}$ , i.e.  $\sigma \in Z(G_{\Omega/K})$ . Let  $L$  be a finite Galois subextension of  $\Omega/K$  containing  $\mu_\ell$ . Since  $G_{\Omega/\sigma(L)} = {}^\sigma G_{\Omega/L} = G_{\Omega/L}$ , we have  $\sigma(L) = L$ . For every  $\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\Omega) \setminus S(\Omega)$  we have

$$G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}} = {}^\sigma G_{\Omega/K, \mathfrak{P}} = G_{\Omega/K, \sigma(\mathfrak{P})}$$

implying that  $\sigma$  acts as the identity on  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\Omega) \setminus S(\Omega)$  by Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 5.1. Let  $B \subseteq L$  be the fixed field of  $\sigma$  in  $L$ . By Chebotarev's Density Theorem, there is  $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(B) \setminus S(B)$  that splits completely in  $L$ . Since  $G_{L/B} = \langle \sigma|_L \rangle$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(L)$  while  $\sigma$  acts trivially on it, we must have  $B = L$ , i.e.  $\sigma|_L = \text{id}_L$ . Since  $L$  is an arbitrarily large finite subextension of  $\Omega/K$ , it follows that  $\sigma$  is trivial. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 9.5.* The uniqueness part of the above proof did not use the abundance assumption.

From Example 3.4 and Example 6.2, we find that the extensions  $\Omega_i/K_i$  described in both Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 are  $\ell$ -sealed and abundant. Thus both theorems follow from Theorem 9.4.

## 10. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors' research is co-funded by the European Union (ERC, Function Fields, 101161909). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Uchida, K. (1979). Isomorphisms of Galois groups of solvably closed Galois extensions. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, Second Series, 31(3), 359-362.
- [2] Saidi, M., & Tamagawa, A. (2022). The m-step solvable anabelian geometry of number fields. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2022(789), 153-186.
- [3] Shimizu, R. (2020). The Neukirch-Uchida theorem with restricted ramification. arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.10431.
- [4] Shimizu, R. (2023). Isomorphisms of Galois groups of number fields with restricted ramification. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 296(7), 3026-3033.
- [5] Ivanov, A. (2013). On a generalization of the Neukirch-Uchida theorem. arXiv preprint arXiv:1309.3046.
- [6] Sueyoshi, Y. (1988). A characterization of number fields by p-closed extensions. *Journal of Number Theory*, 28, 145-151.
- [7] Ozaki, M. (2025). A number field analogue of the Grothendieck conjecture for curves over finite fields. arXiv preprint arXiv:2507.20159.
- [8] Shimizu, R. (2023). The pro-C anabelian geometry of number fields.
- [9] Koymans, P., & Pagano, C. (2024). Two-step nilpotent extensions are not anabelian. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 306(2), 31.
- [10] Golich, M., & McReynolds, D. B. (2023). Diamonds: Homology and the Central Series of Groups. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08283.
- [11] Lubotzky, A., & Neftin, D. (2023). Sylow-conjugate number fields. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 257(2), 465-480.
- [12] Neukirch, J., Schmidt, A., & Wingberg, K. (2013). Cohomology of number fields (Vol. 323). Springer Science & Business Media.
- [13] Neukirch, J. (2013). Algebraic number theory (Vol. 322). Springer Science & Business Media.
- [14] Ribes, L., & Zalesskii, P. (2010). Profinite groups. In *Profinite Groups* (pp. 19-74). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [15] Perlis, R. (1977). On the equation  $\zeta_k(s) = \zeta_{k'}(s)$ . *Journal of number theory*, 9(3), 342-360.
- [16] Stuart, D., & Perlis, R. (1995). A new characterization of arithmetic equivalence. *Journal of Number theory*, 53(2), 300-308.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, 234 HERZL STREET, REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL.

*Email address:* `ido.karshon@weizmann.ac.il`

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, 234 HERZL STREET, REHOVOT 76100, ISRAEL.

*Email address:* `mark.shusterman@weizmann.ac.il`