

Adjacency-diametrical matrix of a graph

S. P. Leka Amruthavarshini* and R. Rajkumar†

*Department of Mathematics, The Gandhigram Rural Institute (Deemed to be University),
Gandhigram-624 302, Tamil Nadu, India.*

Abstract

The adjacency-diametrical matrix (AD matrix) of a connected graph G with diameter d , denoted by $AD(G)$, is the matrix indexed by the vertices of G in which the (i, j) -entry of $AD(G)$ is 1 if $d_G(v_i, v_j) = 1$, is d if $d_G(v_i, v_j) = d$, and 0 otherwise, where $d_G(v_i, v_j)$ denotes the distance between the vertices v_i and v_j in G . We determine the spectrum of the AD matrix for paths, cycles, and double star graphs and obtain its determinant for a connected graph. We characterize a class of bipartite graphs using the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial and the eigenvalues of the AD matrix. We establish bounds relating the eigenvalues of the AD matrix to various graph invariants, and we determine the spectrum of the AD matrix for graphs formed by the join, lexicographic product, and Cartesian product operations under certain conditions on the constituent graphs.

Keywords: Graphs, Adjacency-diametrical matrix, Spectrum, Graph products

MSC: 05C12, 05C50, 05C69, 05C15, 05C76

1 Introduction

We consider only undirected, simple and finite graphs. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. If v_i and v_j are adjacent in G , we write $v_i \sim v_j$. The adjacency matrix $A(G)$ is the 0–1 matrix whose rows and columns correspond to the vertices of G . For $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the (i, j) -entry is 1 exactly when v_i and v_j are adjacent. This definition extends to a weighted graph with an edge–weight function $w : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by setting the (i, j) -entry of $A(G)$ to be $w(e)$ whenever $e = \{v_i, v_j\} \in E(G)$. Suppose G is a connected graph with diameter d . For each integer k with $1 \leq k \leq d$, the k -th adjacency

*Email: lekaamrutha@gmail.com

†Email: rrajmaths@yahoo.co.in (Corresponding author)

matrix $A_k(G)$ is the $0 - 1$ matrix whose (i, j) -entry entry is 1 if and only if $d_G(v_i, v_j) = k$, where $d_G(v_i, v_j)$ denotes the distance between the vertices v_i and v_j , i.e., the length of a shortest path between v_i and v_j . Note that $A_1(G) = A(G)$. The distance matrix $D(G)$ of G is the matrix indexed by the vertices of G , where for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the (i, j) -entry of $D(G)$ is $d_G(v_i, v_j)$. It is evident that $D(G) = \sum_{k=1}^d kA_k(G)$.

A large literature is devoted to the study of adjacency matrix of a graphs and its spectrum. We refer the reader to [3, 5] for more details. The distance matrix is another significant matrix, providing valuable insights into the metric and spectral characteristics of a graph. It has a wide range of applications, including in chemistry, molecular biology, psychology, and various other scientific fields. For more details of this matrix and its variants, we refer the reader to [1, 2, 8, 13]. Recently, De Ville introduced the notion of a generalized distance matrix in [6]. The generalized distance matrix of a connected G with diameter d is defined as the matrix $\mathcal{M}(f; G)$, whose entries are given by $\mathcal{M}(f; G)_{v_i, v_j} = f(d_G(v_i, v_j))$, where f is a real-valued function on $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$. It is shown that several familiar matrices, including the adjacency matrix, Laplacian matrix, and distance matrix, appear as special instances of this construction. Moreover, the paper presents applications of the spectral properties of this matrix to competition models in ecology and to rapidly mixing Markov chains.

Now, we define the *adjacency-diametrical matrix* (or *AD matrix*) of a connected graph G with diameter d . It is denoted by $AD(G)$, and is given by

$$AD(G) = A(G) + dA_d(G).$$

Equivalently, for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the (i, j) -entry of $AD(G)$ is 1 if $d_G(v_i, v_j) = 1$; is d if $d_G(v_i, v_j) = d$, and 0 otherwise. Note that $AD(G)$ is a special case of the generalized distance matrix obtained by taking the function f satisfying $f(1) = 1$, $f(d) = d$, and $f(i) = 0$ for every $i = 2, 3, \dots, d - 1$. The AD matrix is introduced to explore how a graph's diameter relates to its other invariants and to examine how the matrix's eigenvalues, eigenvectors, and characteristic polynomial interact with the diameter and related structural properties of the graph.

Note that $d = 1$ if and only if $AD(G) = A(G) = D(G)$ and $G = K_n$. If $d = 2$, then $AD(G) = D(G)$, and conversely $AD(G) = D(G)$ if and only if $d \in \{1, 2\}$.

We associate to G a weighted graph \mathcal{G}_G defined as follows: its vertex set is the same as that of G , and two vertices v_i and v_j are joined by an edge e_{ij} of weight 1 or d precisely when $d_G(v_i, v_j) = 1$ or $d_G(v_i, v_j) = d$ respectively. Clearly, $A(\mathcal{G}_G) = AD(G)$.

We now recall some definitions and notations. In a graph G , the degree $d(v)$ of its vertex v is the number of edges incident with it. If all vertex in G have the degree r , then G is called an r -regular graph. In a weighted graph G , the weighted degree of its vertex v is defined as the sum of the weights of the edges incident with v . The weighted graph G is said to be

weighted regular of degree r or weighted r -regular, if every vertex of G has weighted degree r . As usual P_n , C_n , and K_n denote the path, cycle and complete graph each on n vertices, respectively. The star graph on n vertices is denoted by S_n . The double star graph S_{n_1, n_2} constructed by taking two disjoint star graphs S_{n_1} and S_{n_2} and then joining their centers by a single edge. A graph is called bipartite if its vertex set V can be partitioned into two subsets V_1 and V_2 such that every edge of G connects a vertex from V_1 to a vertex from V_2 . A graph G is said to be planar if it can be drawn in a plane without intersecting edges. Two vertices in a connected graph G are said to be antipodal, if the distance between these vertices is equal to the diameter of G . The chromatic number $\chi(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum number of colors needed for coloring the vertices of G such that no two adjacent vertices receives the same color. The clique number $\omega(G)$ of a graph G is the number of vertices in the maximal complete subgraph in G . A distance-regular graph is a regular graph in which, for any vertices u and v , the number of vertices at distance j from u and distance k from v depends only on j , k , and the distance between u and v .

Let M be an $n \times n$ matrix. The trace of M is written as $\text{tr}(M)$, and its characteristic polynomial is denoted by $\Phi_M(x)$. The eigenvalues of M are denoted by $\lambda_i(M)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and the multiset of these eigenvalues, called the spectrum of M , is written as $\text{Spec}(M)$. For two real $n \times n$ matrices A_1 and A_2 , we say that λ_1 and λ_2 are co-eigenvalues of A_1 and A_2 if there exists a vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $A_i X = \lambda_i X$ for $i = 1, 2$. We denote by I_n the $n \times n$ identity matrix, by $\mathbf{1}$ the $n \times 1$ all-ones vector, and by $\mathbf{0}$ the zero matrix.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we compute the spectrum of the AD matrix of paths, cycles and double star graphs. In Section 3, we obtain the determinant of the AD matrix of a connected graph. In addition, a special class of bipartite graphs, called diametrical bipartite graphs, and characterize this class using the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial and the eigenvalues of the AD matrix. In Section 4, we establish bounds relating the eigenvalues of the AD matrix to various graph invariants. In Section 5, we determine the spectrum of the AD matrix formed by the join, lexicographic product, and Cartesian product operations.

2 Spectrum of the AD matrix of some graphs

We refer to the spectrum of the AD matrix of a graph G as the *adjacency-diametrical spectrum* (or *AD-spectrum*) of G . We begin by computing the characteristic polynomial of the AD matrix of the path graph P_n .

Theorem 2.1. (i) *The characteristic polynomial of $AD(P_2)$ is $x^2 - 1$.*

(ii) For $n \geq 3$, the characteristic polynomial of $AD(P_n)$ is

$$\Phi_n(x) - (n-1)^2 \Phi_{n-2}(x) + 2(1-n),$$

where $\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(x - 2 \cos \frac{\pi k}{n+1} \right)$.

Proof. (i). Since $P_2 = K_2$, the result is straight forward.

(ii). For $n \geq 3$, the diameter of P_n is $n-1$. By a suitable relabeling of the vertices of P_n , we get

$$AD(P_n) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & n-1 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ n-1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the characteristic polynomial of $AD(P_n)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{AD(P_n)}(x) &= \det(xI_n - AD(P_n)) \\ &= \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^T & xI_{n-2} - A(P_{n-2}) \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \det(xI_{n-2} - A(P_{n-2})) \times \det(B - C(xI_{n-2} - A(P_{n-2}))^{-1}C^T), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\text{where } B = \begin{bmatrix} x & 1-n \\ 1-n & x \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } C = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For convenience, we write $\Phi_n(x) := \Phi_{A(P_n)}(x)$ for all n and it is known (cf. [3, Theorem 3.7]) that

$$\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n \left(x - 2 \cos \frac{\pi k}{n+1} \right). \quad (2.2)$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(B - C(xI_{n-2} - A(P_{n-2}))^{-1}C^T) \\ &= \det \left(B - \left(C \frac{1}{\Phi_{n-2}(x)} \text{adj}(xI_{n-2} - A(P_{n-2}))C^T \right) \right) \\ &= \det \left(B - \frac{1}{\Phi_{n-2}(x)} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{n-3}(x) & 1 \\ 1 & \Phi_{n-3}(x) \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} x - \frac{\Phi_{n-3}(x)}{\Phi_{n-2}(x)} & (1-n) - \frac{1}{\Phi_{n-2}(x)} \\ (1-n) - \frac{1}{\Phi_{n-2}(x)} & x - \frac{\Phi_{n-3}(x)}{\Phi_{n-2}(x)} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \left(x^2 - n^2 + 2n - 1 \right) - \frac{2x(\Phi_{n-3}(x))}{\Phi_{n-2}(x)} + \frac{(\Phi_{n-3}(x))^2 - 1}{(\Phi_{n-2}(x))^2} + \frac{2(1-n)}{\Phi_{n-2}(x)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Applying (2.2) and (2.3) in (2.1) we get

$$\Phi_{AD(P_n)}(x) = (x^2 - n^2 + 2n - 1) \Phi_{n-2}(x) - 2x\Phi_{n-3}(x) + \frac{(\Phi_{n-3}(x))^2 - 1}{\Phi_{n-2}(x)} + 2(1 - n). \quad (2.4)$$

It is known that the characteristic polynomial of the adjacency matrix of the path P_n and the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind are related by $\Phi_n(x) = U_n(\frac{x}{2})$, see e.g., [5]. If $t = \frac{x}{2}$, then $\Phi_{n-2}(x) = U_{n-2}(t)$, $\Phi_{n-3}(x) = U_{n-3}(t)$.

Since $U_n(t)$ satisfies the identity $U_k^2(t) - U_{k-1}(t)U_{k+1}(t) = 1$ [14, Eqn. 3.21], for $k = n - 3$ we obtain $\Phi_{n-3}^2(x) - \Phi_{n-4}(x)\Phi_{n-2}(x) = 1$. This implies that the term $\frac{(\Phi_{n-3}(x))^2 - 1}{(\Phi_{n-2}(x))^2}$ equals $\Phi_{n-4}(x)$. Then (2.4) becomes,

$$\Phi_{AD(P_n)}(x) = (x^2 - n^2 + 2n - 1) \Phi_{n-2}(x) - 2x\Phi_{n-3}(x) + \Phi_{n-4}(x) + 2(1 - n) \quad (2.5)$$

Also $U_n(t)$ satisfying the recurrence relation $U_k(t) = 2tU_{k-1}(t) - U_{k-2}(t)$ [9, Eqns. 1.6a, 1.6b], with $U_0(t) = 1$, $U_1(t) = 2t$. Then for $k = n - 2$, $k = n - 1$ and $k = n$ we get $\Phi_{n-4}(x) = x\Phi_{n-3}(x) - \Phi_{n-2}(x)$, $\Phi_{n-3}(x) = x\Phi_{n-2}(x) - \Phi_{n-1}(x)$ and $\Phi_n(x) = x\Phi_{n-1}(x) - \Phi_{n-2}(x)$ respectively. Substituting these in (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{AD(P_n)}(x) &= (x^2 - n^2 + 2n - 1) \Phi_{n-2}(x) - 2x\Phi_{n-3}(x) + x\Phi_{n-3}(x) - \Phi_{n-2}(x) + 2(1 - n) \\ &= (x^2 - n^2 + 2n - 2) \Phi_{n-2}(x) - x\Phi_{n-3}(x) + 2(1 - n) \\ &= (x^2 - n^2 + 2n - 2) \Phi_{n-2}(x) - x^2\Phi_{n-2}(x) + x\Phi_{n-1}(x) + 2(1 - n) \\ &= -\Phi_{n-2}(x) - (n - 1)^2\Phi_{n-2}(x) + x\Phi_{n-1}(x) + 2(1 - n) \\ &= \Phi_n(x) - (n - 1)^2\Phi_{n-2}(x) + 2(1 - n). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

The AD-spectrum of the cycle graph is determined in the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *For $n \geq 3$, we have*

$$Spec(AD(C_n)) = \begin{cases} \left\{ 2 \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} + \frac{n}{2} \cos \pi k : k = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ \left\{ 2 \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} + (n - 1) \cos \pi k \cos \frac{\pi k}{n} : k = 1, 2, \dots, n \right\}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that the diameter of C_n is $\frac{n}{2}$ when n is even and $\frac{n-1}{2}$ when n is odd. Moreover, the matrix $AD(C_n)$ is circulant: if n is odd, the nonzero entries in its first row are $c_1 = c_{n-1} = 1$ and $c_{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{n}{2}$; if n is even, the nonzero entries in its first row are $c_1 = c_{n-1} = 1$ and $c_{\frac{n-1}{2}} = c_{\frac{n+1}{2}} = \frac{n-1}{2}$. It is known that for an $n \times n$ circulant matrix C with first row $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$, the eigenvalue λ_k of C is given by

$$\lambda_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j \omega_n^{jk}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

where $\omega_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ is a primitive n -th root of unity.

Suppose n is even. The eigenvalues λ_k of $AD(C_n)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are given by

$$\lambda_k = \omega^k + (\omega^k)^{n-1} + \frac{n}{2}(\omega^k)^{\frac{n}{2}} = e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} + e^{-\frac{2\pi i k}{n}} + \frac{n}{2}(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{n}})^{\frac{n}{2}} = 2 \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} + \frac{n}{2} \cos \pi k.$$

Suppose n is odd. The eigenvalues λ_k of $AD(C_n)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k &= \omega^k + (\omega^k)^{n-1} + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) ((\omega^k)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} + (\omega^k)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}) \\ &= \omega^k + \omega^{-k} + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \left\{ (\omega^k)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left((\omega^k)^{\frac{-1}{2}} + (\omega^k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2 \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right) \left\{ e^{\pi i k} \left(e^{-\frac{\pi i k}{n}} + e^{\frac{\pi i k}{n}} \right) \right\} \\ &= 2 \cos \frac{2\pi k}{n} + (n-1) \left\{ \cos \pi k \cos \frac{\pi k}{n} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

The characteristic polynomial of the AD matrix of the double star graph S_{n_1, n_2} is described in the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *The characteristic polynomial of $AD(S_{n_1, n_2})$ is*

$$x^{n_1+n_2-4} (x^4 - (9n_1n_2 - 8n_1 - 8n_2 + 8)x^2 + 4(n_1n_2 - n_1 - n_2 + 1)).$$

Proof. By suitably arranging the vertices of S_{n_1, n_2} , it can be seen that

$$AD(S_{n_1, n_2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & J_{1 \times (n_1-1)} & \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_2-1)} \\ 1 & 0 & \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_1-1)} & J_{1 \times (n_2-1)} \\ J_{(n_1-1) \times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{(n_1-1) \times 1} & \mathbf{0}_{(n_1-1) \times (n_1-1)} & 3J_{(n_1-1) \times (n_2-1)} \\ \mathbf{0}_{(n_2-1) \times 1} & J_{(n_2-1) \times 1} & 3J_{(n_2-1) \times (n_1-1)} & \mathbf{0}_{(n_2-1) \times (n_2-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since each row of $\mathbf{0}_{(n_1-1) \times (n_1-1)}$ (resp. $\mathbf{0}_{(n_2-1) \times (n_2-1)}$) has equal row sum 0, the vector $\mathbf{1}_{(n_1-1) \times 1}$ (resp. $\mathbf{1}_{(n_2-1) \times 1}$) is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 0. Assume that the remaining eigenvectors X_i for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n_1 - 1$ (resp. Y_j for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n_2 - 1$) are chosen orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}_{(n_1-1) \times 1}$ (resp. $\mathbf{1}_{(n_2-1) \times 1}$). Then $[0 \ 0 \ X_i^T \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_2-1)}]^T$ (resp. $[0 \ 0 \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_1-1)} \ Y_j^T]^T$) is an eigenvector of $AD(S_{n_1, n_2})$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 0.

This implies that for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n_1 - 1$, and for $j = 2, 3, \dots, n_2 - 1$, the vectors $[0 \ 0 \ X_i \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_2-1)}]^T$ and $[0 \ 0 \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_1-1)} \ Y_j]^T$ are eigenvectors of $AD(S_{n_1, n_2})$ corresponding to the eigenvalues 0 with multiplicity $n_1 - 2$ and 0 with multiplicity $n_2 - 2$ respectively. Therefore 0 is an eigenvalue of $AD(S_{n_1, n_2})$ with multiplicity $n_1 + n_2 - 4$. In this way we obtained $n_1 + n_2 - 4$ eigenvalues. All these eigenvectors are orthogonal to $[1 \ 0 \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_1-1)} \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_2-1)}]^T$, $[0 \ 1 \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_1-1)} \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_2-1)}]^T$, $[0 \ 0 \ J_{1 \times (n_1-1)} \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_2-1)}]^T$ and $[0 \ 0 \ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times (n_1-1)} \ J_{1 \times (n_2-1)}]^T$.

Hence, the space spanned by these four vectors and the space spanned by the remaining four eigenvectors of $AD(S_{n_1, n_2})$ are same. Therefore, the remaining eigenvectors of $AD(S_{n_1, n_2})$ are of the form

$$X = [\alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_3 J_{1 \times (n_1-1)} \quad \alpha_4 J_{1 \times (n_2-1)}]^T$$

for some $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \neq (0, 0, 0, 0)$. If β is an eigenvalue of $AD(S_{n_1, n_2})$ corresponding to the eigenvector X , then

$$AD(S_{n_1, n_2})X = \beta X,$$

which is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\beta & 1 & n_1 - 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -\beta & 0 & n_2 - 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -\beta & 3(n_2 - 1) \\ 0 & 1 & 3(n_1 - 1) & -\beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The above system admits a nontrivial solution if and only if the square matrix on the left-hand side is non-singular. Hence, the remaining four eigenvalues of $AD(S_{n_1, n_2})$ are the eigenvalues of this matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & n_1 - 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & n_2 - 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 3(n_2 - 1) \\ 0 & 1 & 3(n_1 - 1) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

whose characteristic polynomial is $x^4 - (9n_1n_2 - 8n_1 - 8n_2 + 8)x^2 + 4(n_1n_2 - n_1 - n_2 + 1)$. This concludes the proof. \square

3 Determinant

Definition 3.1. Let G be a connected graph. A set P of vertices in G , with $|P| \geq 3$ is said to be an *adjacency-diametrical cycle* of G if the vertices in P admit a cyclic ordering in which each pair of consecutive vertices are either adjacent or antipodal.

A partition $\mathcal{S} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$ of a subset of $V(G)$ is said to be a *adjacency-diametrical partition* of G if its each part P_i satisfies one of the following: (i) P_i is of size ≥ 3 and is an adjacency-diametrical cycle of G , (ii) P_i is of size 2 and its vertices are either adjacent or antipodal.

In addition, if \mathcal{S} is a partition of $V(G)$, then it is said to be a *spanning adjacency-diametrical partition* of G .

Let $p(\mathcal{S})$ and $p_1(\mathcal{S})$ denote the number of parts in an adjacency-diametrical partition \mathcal{S} of G which have size 2 and ≥ 3 , respectively.

Example 3.1. For the graph G shown in Figure 1, $\{\{1, 7\}, \{2, 3, 5, 6\}\}$ is an adjacency-diametrical partition of G , and $\{\{1, 3, 5\}, \{2, 6\}, \{7, 4\}\}$ is a spanning adjacency-diametrical partition of G .




Figure 1: The graph G

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a connected graph with at least three vertices. There is a one-to-one correspondence between cycles of length k in the associated weighted graph \mathcal{G} and adjacency-diametrical cycles of length k in G .*

Proof. Let the diameter of G be d . Given a cycle $C_{\mathcal{G}}$ in \mathcal{G} , traverse it in cyclic order. Every edge of $C_{\mathcal{G}}$ is either a unit-weight edge that corresponds to an adjacency (an edge) of G , or a weight- d edge that corresponds to an antipodal pair of vertices in G . Replacing each weight- d edge of $C_{\mathcal{G}}$ by the corresponding pair of antipodal vertices in G , and interpreting unit edges as usual adjacency edges gives a cycle in G that alternates between adjacencies and antipodal jumps, which is precisely an adjacency-diametrical cycle in G . Conversely, any adjacency-diametrical cycle of G contains adjacencies of G and antipodal relations; collapsing each antipodal relation to a single weight- d edge yields a unique cycle of \mathcal{G} . These two constructions are inverse to each other, establishing the bijection. With the bijection established, the parity of cycle lengths is preserved by this correspondence. \square

By an *elementary subgraph* of \mathcal{G}_G we mean a subgraph of \mathcal{G}_G (whose edge weights are 1 or d), and whose components are either a cycle or an edge.

The following result is a direct consequence of the facts discussed so far in this section.

Corollary 3.1. *Let G be a connected graph with diameter d . There is a one-to-one correspondence between an adjacency-diametrical partition $\mathcal{S} = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$ of G and an elementary subgraph of \mathcal{G}_G with k components (each of whose edge weights are 1 or d), and whose component is a cycle when $|P_i| \geq 3$, and an edge when $|P_i| = 2$.*

Now we proceed to determine the determinant of the AD matrix of a connected graph.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a connected graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and having diameter d . Then*

$$\det(AD(G)) = \sum (-1)^{n-p(\mathcal{S})-p_1(\mathcal{S})} 2^{p_1(\mathcal{S})} d^{2a(\mathcal{S})+a_1(\mathcal{S})}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the summation is over all the spanning adjacency-diametrical partitions \mathcal{S} of G . Here $a(\mathcal{S})$ and $a_1(\mathcal{S})$ represents the number of pairs of antipodal vertices in all the parts P_i of size 2 and ≥ 3 in \mathcal{S} , respectively.

Proof. We consider the associated weighted graph \mathcal{G}_G of G . Let $A(\mathcal{G}_G) = (d_{ij})$. We have

$$\det(A(\mathcal{G}_G)) = \sum_{\pi} sgn(\pi) d_{1\pi(1)} \dots d_{n\pi(n)}, \quad (3.2)$$

where the summation is overall the permutations π on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Consider a nonzero term

$$d_{1\pi(1)} \dots d_{n\pi(n)}.$$

Since π admits a cycle decomposition, such a term will correspond to some 2 cycle (ij) of π which designate either an edge joining i and j with weight 1 or d , as well as some cycles of higher order, which correspond to cycles of \mathcal{G}_G . (Note that $\pi(i) \neq i$ for any i .) Thus, each nonzero term in the summation arises from an elementary weighted subgraph of \mathcal{G}_G . Suppose the term $d_{1\pi(1)} \dots d_{n\pi(n)}$ corresponds to the elementary subgraph \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G}_G . Then the sign of π is given by,

$$sgn(\pi) = (-1)^{n - \text{number of cycles in } \pi},$$

which equals $(-1)^{n-c(\mathcal{H})-c_1(\mathcal{H})}$, where $c(\mathcal{H})$ and $c_1(\mathcal{H})$ denote the number of edge components and number of cycle components in \mathcal{H} , respectively. Each spanning elementary subgraph \mathcal{H} contributes $2^{c_1(\mathcal{H})}$ terms in the summation, since each cycle of \mathcal{G}_G can be associated to a cyclic permutation in two distinct ways. In \mathcal{H} , some edge component may have weight d , which contributes $d^{2t(\mathcal{H})}$ to the summation in (3.2), where $t(\mathcal{H})$ denote the number of edge components of \mathcal{H} having weight d . Also, some cycle components of \mathcal{H} may contain edges with weight d , which contributes $d^{t_1(\mathcal{H})}$ to the summation in (3.2), where $t_1(\mathcal{H})$ denote the number of edges having weight d in all the cycle components of \mathcal{H} . Hence, combining these observations, (3.2) becomes

$$\det(A(\mathcal{G}_G)) = \sum (-1)^{n-c(\mathcal{H})-c_1(\mathcal{H})} 2^{c_1(\mathcal{H})} d^{2t(\mathcal{H})+t_1(\mathcal{H})}, \quad (3.3)$$

where the summation is over all the spanning elementary subgraphs \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G}_G . In view of Corollary 3.1, each spanning elementary subgraph \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G}_G corresponds to a spanning adjacency-diametrical partitions \mathcal{S} of G . Moreover, it is evident that $c(\mathcal{H}) = p(\mathcal{S})$, $c_1(\mathcal{H}) = p_1(\mathcal{S})$, $t(\mathcal{H}) = a(\mathcal{S})$, and $t_1(\mathcal{H}) = a_1(\mathcal{S})$. Since $AD(G) = A(\mathcal{G}_G)$, applying these information in (3.3), we get the desired result. \square

Example 3.2. For the star graph S_4 with central vertex 1 and pendent vertices 2, 3, 4, the spanning adjacency-diametrical partitions of are $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{\{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{\{1, 2, 4, 3\}\}$, $\mathcal{S}_3 = \{\{1, 3, 2, 4\}\}$, $\mathcal{S}_4 = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}\}$, $\mathcal{S}_5 = \{\{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}\}$, and $\mathcal{S}_6 = \{\{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}\}$. Note that $p(\mathcal{S}_i) = a(\mathcal{S}_i) = p_1(\mathcal{S}_j) = a_1(\mathcal{S}_j) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 4, 5, 6$; $p(\mathcal{S}_i) = p_1(\mathcal{S}_j) = a(\mathcal{S}_j) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 4, 5, 6$; $a_1(\mathcal{S}_i) = 2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Applying these values in (3.1), we get

$$\det(AD(S_4)) = 3(-1)^3 2^1 2^2 + 3(-1)^2 2^0 2^{2(1)} = -12.$$

This coincide with the direct computation of the determinant of $AD(G)$.

Example 3.3. Consider the path graph P_n on n (≥ 2) vertices. We show that

$$\det(AD(P_n)) = \begin{cases} 2(n-1), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \\ -1, & \text{if } n = 2; \\ (n-2)^2, & \text{if } n = 4k \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots; \\ -n^2, & \text{if } n = 4k+2 \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Clearly the diameter of P_n is $n-1$. We assume that $V(P_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $E(P_n) = \{\{i, i+1\} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. We proceed by considering three cases.

Case 1. Suppose n is odd.

In this case P_n has $\mathcal{S} = \{\{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ as the unique spanning adjacency-diametrical partition, and $p(\mathcal{S}) = a(\mathcal{S}) = 0$ and $p_1(\mathcal{S}) = a_1(\mathcal{S}) = 1$. Applying these in (3.1), we get

$$\det(AD(P_n)) = (-1)^{n-1} 2^1 (n-1)^1 = 2(n-1).$$

Case 2. Suppose n is even.

Let $n = 2$. The only spanning adjacency-diametrical partition of P_2 is $\mathcal{S} = \{\{1, 2\}\}$, and $p(\mathcal{S}) = a(\mathcal{S}) = 1$ and $p_1(\mathcal{S}) = a_1(\mathcal{S}) = 0$. Then from (3.1), we get

$$\det(AD(P_2)) = (-1)^{2-1} 2^0 1^2 = -1.$$

Let $n = 4k$ or $n = 4k+2$, where $k = 1, 2, \dots$. In either case, the spanning adjacency-diametrical partitions for P_n are the following: $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{\{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \dots, \{n-1, n\}\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_3 = \{\{1, n\}, \{2, 3\}, \dots, \{n-2, n-1\}\}$. Here $p(\mathcal{S}_1) = a(\mathcal{S}_1) = p_1(\mathcal{S}_2) = a(\mathcal{S}_2) = a_1(\mathcal{S}_2) = p_1(\mathcal{S}_3) = a(\mathcal{S}_3) = 0$, $p_1(\mathcal{S}_1) = a_1(\mathcal{S}_1) = a_1(\mathcal{S}_3) = 1$ and $p(\mathcal{S}_2) = p(\mathcal{S}_3) = \frac{n}{2}$.

When $n = 4k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, applying these in (3.1), we obtain

$$\det(AD(P_n)) = (-1)^{n-1} 2^1 (n-1)^1 + (-1)^{n-\frac{n}{2}} + (-1)^{n-\frac{n}{2}} (n-1)^2 = (n-2)^2.$$

Similarly, when $n = 4k+2$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, from (3.1), we get

$$\det(AD(P_n)) = (-1)^{n-1} 2^1 (n-1)^1 + (-1)^{n-\frac{n}{2}} + (-1)^{n-\frac{n}{2}} (n-1)^2 = -n^2.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and diameter d . Let*

$$\Phi_{AD(G)}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - AD(G)) = \lambda^n + c_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + c_n$$

be the characteristic polynomial of $AD(G)$. Then

$$c_k = \sum (-1)^{p(\mathcal{S})+p_1(\mathcal{S})} 2^{p_1(\mathcal{S})} d^{2a(\mathcal{S})+a_1(\mathcal{S})}, \quad (3.4)$$

where the summation is over all the adjacency-diametrical partitions \mathcal{S} of G with k vertices, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Note that the number $(-1)^k c_k$ is the sum of all $k \times k$ principal minors of $AD(G)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. By Theorem 3.1,

$$c_k = (-1)^k \sum (-1)^{k-p(\mathcal{S})-p_1(\mathcal{S})} 2^{p_1(\mathcal{S})} d^{2a(\mathcal{S})+a_1(\mathcal{S})},$$

where the summation is over all the adjacency-diametrical partitions \mathcal{S} of G with k vertices, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence, $c_k = \sum (-1)^{p(\mathcal{S})+p_1(\mathcal{S})} 2^{p_1(\mathcal{S})} d^{2a(\mathcal{S})+a_1(\mathcal{S})}$. Note that $c_1 = 0$. \square

Note 3.1. We now obtain the explicit expressions of c_2 and c_3 by applying Theorem 3.2.

(i) Adjacency-diametrical partitions of G with two vertices is of the form either $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{\{v_i, v_j\}\}$, where $v_i \sim v_j$ or $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{\{v_i, v_j\}\}$, where $d_G(v_i, v_j) = d$.

For both types we have $p(\mathcal{S}_1) = p(\mathcal{S}_2) = a(\mathcal{S}_2) = 1$, $p_1(\mathcal{S}_1) = p_1(\mathcal{S}_2) = a(\mathcal{S}_1) = a_1(\mathcal{S}_1) = a_1(\mathcal{S}_2) = 0$. Substituting these values in (3.4), we get

$$c_2 = \sum (-1)^1 2^0 d^0 + \sum (-1)^1 2^0 d^{2(1)},$$

where the first and second sums range over all adjacency-diametrical partitions of the forms \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 , respectively. Hence,

$$c_2 = -(|E(G)| + kd^2),$$

where k denotes the number of unordered antipodal pairs of vertices in G .

(ii) Adjacency-diametrical partitions of G with three vertices fall into the following four types: $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{\{v_i, v_j, v_k\}\}$, where $v_i \sim v_j$, $v_j \sim v_k$ and $v_i \sim v_k$; $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{\{v_i, v_j, v_k\}\}$, where $v_i \sim v_j$, $v_j \sim v_k$ and $d_G(v_i, v_k) = d$; $\mathcal{S}_3 = \{\{v_i, v_j, v_k\}\}$, where $v_i \sim v_j$, $d_G(v_j, v_k) = d$ and $d_G(v_i, v_k) = d$; $\mathcal{S}_4 = \{\{v_i, v_j, v_k\}\}$, where $d_G(v_i, v_j) = d$, $d_G(v_j, v_k) = d$ and $d_G(v_i, v_k) = d$.

For $i = 1, 2, 3$, we have $p(\mathcal{S}_i) = a(\mathcal{S}_i) = a_1(\mathcal{S}_1) = 0$, $p_1(\mathcal{S}_i) = a_1(\mathcal{S}_2) = 1$ with $a_1(\mathcal{S}_3) = 2$ and $a_1(\mathcal{S}_4) = 3$. Substituting these in (3.4), gives

$$c_3 = \sum (-1)^1 2^1 d^0 + \sum (-1)^1 2^1 d^1 + \sum (-1)^1 2^1 d^2 + \sum (-1)^1 2^1 d^3$$

where the four sums correspond to adjacency-diametrical partitions of G of the form \mathcal{S}_1 , \mathcal{S}_2 , \mathcal{S}_3 , and \mathcal{S}_4 , respectively. Therefore,

$$c_3 = -2(k_0 + k_1d + k_2d^2 + k_3d^3),$$

where for $s \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, k_s is the number of number of unordered triples $\{v_i, v_j, v_k\}$ that have exactly s antipodal pairs and the other $3 - s$ pairs at distance 1. Note that k_0 is the number of triangles in G .

Note that many results on the spectra of adjacency matrices of unweighted graphs in spectral graph theory extend directly to weighted graphs without any modification. In the forthcoming results, we will use these facts without explicitly stating this extension each time.

We obtain the next result as a direct consequence of [3, Corollary 3.11], using the fact that $AD(G) = A(\mathcal{G}_G)$, and that adjacency-diametrical cycles of G can be viewed as cycles in \mathcal{G}_G (cf. Lemma 3.1).

Corollary 3.2. *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices. Let*

$$\Phi_{AD(G)}(\lambda) = \det(\lambda I - AD(G)) = \lambda^n + c_1\lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + c_n$$

be the characteristic polynomial of $AD(G)$. Suppose $c_3 = c_5 = \cdots = c_{2k-1} = 0$. Then G has no odd adjacency-diametrical cycle of length i , $3 \leq i \leq 2k - 1$. Furthermore, the number of adjacency-diametrical cycles of length $2k + 1$ in G is $-\frac{1}{2}c_{2k+1}$.

3.1 Diametrical bipartite graphs

Now we introduce a special class of bipartite graphs.

Definition 3.2. A connected graph G is said to be *diametrical bipartite* if it is bipartite with bipartition (V_1, V_2) and no two vertices in the same part are antipodal.

Lemma 3.2. *A connected bipartite graph is diametrical bipartite if and only if its diameter d is odd.*

Proof. Let G be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition (V_1, V_2) and diameter d . Since G is bipartite, every pair of vertices lying in the same part V_i has even distance, because any path in a bipartite graph alternates between the two parts.

If d is odd, then no two vertices in the same part can be at distance d , and therefore G is diametrical bipartite.

If d is even, then any pair of vertices x, y with $d_G(x, y) = d$ must lie in the same bipartition class (as they are at even distance). Hence some two vertices in the same part are antipodal, so G is not diametrical bipartite. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a connected graph with at least three vertices. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) G is diametrical bipartite
- (ii) \mathcal{G}_G is bipartite
- (iii) All the adjacency-diametrical cycles in G are of even length

Proof. Let the diameter of G be d . The weighted graph \mathcal{G}_G is obtained from G by taking a copy of G and assigning unit weight to their edges, and adding joining each pairs of antipodal vertices in G by a new edge having weight d .

(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): Suppose G is diametrical bipartite. By Lemma 3.2, d is odd. Consequently, any pair of antipodal vertices cannot both occur in the same part of the bipartition of G . So end vertices of the newly added edge with weight d must lie in two different parts. Thus \mathcal{G}_G is bipartite. By retracing the above argument, we get the proof of converse part.

(ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii): In view of Lemma 3.1, \mathcal{G} is bipartite (every cycle in \mathcal{G} has even length) if and only if every adjacency-diametrical cycle in G has even length. \square

Since $AD(G) = A(\mathcal{G}_G)$, the next result follows directly from Theorem 3.3 together with [3, Theorem 3.14].

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) G is diametrical bipartite;
- (ii) If $\Phi_{AD(G)}(\lambda) = \det(AD(G) - \lambda I) = \lambda^n + c_1\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + c_n$ is the characteristic polynomial of $AD(G)$, then $c_{2k+1} = 0, k = 0, 1, \dots$;
- (iii) The eigenvalues of $AD(G)$ are symmetric with respect to the origin.

4 Some graph invariants and bounds

Definition 4.1. Let G be a connected graph with diameter d . The *diametrical degree* of a vertex u in G , denoted by $\hat{d}_G(u)$ or simply by $\hat{d}(u)$, is the number of vertices v in G such that $d_G(u, v) = d$, i.e,

$$\hat{d}(u) = |\{v \in V(G) : d_G(u, v) = d\}|.$$

We denote by $\hat{\Delta}(G)$ and $\hat{\delta}(G)$, the maximum and the minimum of the diametrical degrees of the vertices of G , respectively. The *diametrical degree sum* of G , denoted by $\hat{d}(G)$, is the sum of all the diametrical degrees of the vertices of G , i.e.,

$$\hat{d}(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \hat{d}(v).$$

Example 4.1. The diametrical degrees of the vertices of the graph G shown in Figure 2 are $\hat{d}(v_1) = 0, \hat{d}(v_2) = 0, \hat{d}(v_3) = 1, \hat{d}(v_4) = 0, \hat{d}(v_5) = 0$, and $\hat{d}(v_6) = 1$. And the diametrical degree of G is $\hat{d}(G) = 0 + 0 + 1 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 2$. Moreover, $\hat{\delta}(G) = 0$ and $\hat{\Delta}(G) = 1$.




Figure 2: The graph G

Lemma 4.1. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, m edges and diameter d . Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $AD(G)$. Then

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0$;
- (ii) $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = 2m + d^2 \hat{d}(G)$.

Proof. (i) Since the trace of $AD(G)$ is 0, statement (i) follows.

(ii) Let $AD(G) = (d_{ij})$. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = \text{tr}(AD(G))^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} d_{ji} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(d(v_i) + d^2 \hat{d}(v_i) \right) = 2m + d^2 \hat{d}(G)$$

and the proof is complete. \square

The largest eigenvalue of $AD(G)$ is said to be the *adjacency-diametrical spectral radius* (or *AD spectral radius*) of G .

Theorem 4.1. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, m edges and diameter d . Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $AD(G)$. Then

$$\lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{n-1}{n} \right) (2m + d^2 \hat{d}(G))}.$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.1(i), we have $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 0$. Therefore, $\lambda_1 = -\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i$ and hence

$$\lambda_1 \leq \sum_{i=2}^n |\lambda_i|. \tag{4.1}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\left(\sum_{i=2}^n |\lambda_i| \right)^2 \leq (n-1) \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2. \quad (4.2)$$

By Lemma 4.1(ii) and using (4.1), (4.2), we get

$$2m + d^2 \hat{d}(G) - \lambda_1^2 = \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2 \geq \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=2}^n |\lambda_i| \right)^2 \geq \frac{\lambda_1^2}{n-1}.$$

Hence

$$2m + d^2 \hat{d}(G) \geq \lambda_1^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \right) = \lambda_1^2 \left(\frac{n}{n-1} \right),$$

which leads to the required result. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a adjacency-diametrical bipartite graph with n vertices, m edges and diameter d . Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $AD(G)$. Then*

$$\lambda_n \leq \sqrt{m + \frac{1}{2} d^2 \hat{d}(G)}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 3.4(iii), we know that $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_n$ and Lemma 4.1 gives $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = 2m + d^2 \hat{d}(G)$. Hence $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_n^2 \leq 2m + d^2 \hat{d}(G)$, which yields $2\lambda_n^2 \leq 2m + d^2 \hat{d}(G)$. This completes the proof. \square

An independent set S of G is said to be an *adjacency-diametrical independent set* (or *AD independent set*) of G , if no two vertices of S are antipodal. The maximum cardinality of a adjacency-diametrical independent set in G , denoted by $\alpha_{AD}(G)$, is said to be the *adjacency-diametrical independence number* (or *AD independence number*) of G [10]. Clearly $\alpha_{AD}(G) \leq \alpha(G)$.

For the graph G shown in Figure 3, the subset $\{v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ is a AD independent set of G and it is the AD independent set of G of maximum cardinality. So the AD independence number $\alpha_{AD}(G) = 3$.




Figure 3: The graph G

Not every independent set is an AD independent set. For instance, in the graph shown in Figure 3, the subset $\{v_1, v_5\}$ is an independent set, but not an AD independent set, since v_1 and v_5 are antipodal vertices.

It is evident that the AD independence number of G equals the independence number of \mathcal{G}_G . Since $AD(G) = A(\mathcal{G}_G)$, applying [5, Theorem 3.10.1] yields the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a connected graph on n vertices. Let n^+ and n^- denote the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of $AD(G)$ respectively. Then*

$$\alpha_{AD}(G) \leq \min\{n - n^+, n - n^-\}.$$

A proper coloring of a connected graph G is said to be an *adjacency-diametrical coloring* (or *AD-coloring*) of G , if no two antipodal vertices have same color. The *adjacency-diametrical chromatic number* (or *AD chromatic number*) of G , denoted by $\chi_{AD}(G)$, is the minimum number of colors needed for an adjacency-diametrical coloring of G . For each color, the set of all vertices which get that color is an AD independent and is called an *adjacency-diametrical color class*. Equivalently, $\chi_{AD}(G)$ is the cardinality of minimum partition of $V(G)$ into AD independent subsets [11].

Note that for any connected graph G , $\chi(G) \leq \chi_{AD}(G)$. For example, the path graph P_5 has $\chi(P_5) = 2$ and $\chi_{AD}(P_5) = 3$.

It is clear that the AD chromatic number of G equals the chromatic number of \mathcal{G}_G . Since $AD(G) = A(\mathcal{G}_G)$, applying [3, Theorem 3.23] directly implies the following result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and with at least one edge. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $AD(G)$. Then*

$$\chi_{AD}(G) \geq 1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_n}.$$

Corollary 4.1. *Let G be a connected, planar graph on n vertices. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $AD(G)$. Suppose $\chi(G) = \chi_{AD}(G)$. Then $\lambda_1 \leq -3\lambda_n$.*

Proof. It is known that every planar graph is 4–colorable [4]. It follows that $\chi_{AD}(G) \leq 4$. Consequently, Theorem 4.4 yields $\lambda_1 \leq -3\lambda_n$. \square

There are several graphs for which the condition $\chi(G) = \chi_{AD}(G)$ of Corollary 4.1 holds. Examples include the path P_{2n} , the cycle C_n for $n = 4k + 2$ with $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and double star.

Definition 4.2. Let G be a connected graph with diameter d . The *adjacency-diametrical degree* (or *AD degree*) of a vertex v in G , denoted by $\hat{d}_G(v)$ (or simply $\hat{d}(v)$), is defined as

$$\hat{d}_G(v) = d(v) + d\hat{d}(v).$$

The maximum and the minimum of the AD degrees of vertices in G are denoted by $\hat{\Delta}(G)$ and $\hat{\delta}(G)$, respectively. If every vertex of G has the same AD degree r , then G is called the *adjacency-diametrical regular* (or *AD regular*) of degree r or simply r -*AD regular*.

The *mean adjacency-diametrical degree* of G , denoted by $\bar{d}_{AD}(G)$, is defined as

$$\bar{d}_{AD}(G) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \hat{d}_G(v).$$

Observe that the AD degree of a vertex in G coincide with the weighted degree of the corresponding vertex in \mathcal{G}_G . Consequently, the maximum and minimum AD degrees of G agree with the maximum and minimum weighted degrees of \mathcal{G}_G respectively. Hence, G is AD regular if and only if \mathcal{G}_G is weighted regular. Moreover, the mean adjacency-diametrical degree of G is equal to the mean weighted degree of \mathcal{G}_G .

Example 4.2. Consider the cycle graph C_6 . Each vertex in C_6 has degree 2 and diametrical degree 1, and the diameter of C_6 is 3. Hence the AD degree of every vertex in C_6 is 5. Thus C_6 is 5-AD regular.

Remark 4.1. Every distance-regular graph is AD-regular. However, not every AD regular graph is distance-regular. For instance, the hexagonal prism graph shown in Figure 4a is AD regular but not distance regular. Also, not every regular graph is AD-regular. For instance, the Frucht graph shown in Figure 4b is regular but not AD regular. Moreover, we have not discussed whether every AD regular graph must also be regular, nor have we provided an example showing that AD regular does not imply regular.



Figure 4: (a) The hexagonal prism graph, (b) The Frucht graph.

Notice that the AD degree of each vertex in G equals the weighted degree of the corresponding vertex in \mathcal{G}_G . Then from [5, Proposition 1.1.2] and [5, Theorem 3.2.1], we obtain the following two results.

Proposition 4.1. *A connected graph G is r -AD regular if and only if all one vector is an eigenvector of G with corresponding eigenvalue r .*

Theorem 4.5. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and diameter d . Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $AD(G)$. Then*

$$\hat{\delta} \leq \bar{d}_{AD}(G) \leq \lambda_1 \leq \hat{\Delta}.$$

Equality holds if and only if G is AD regular.

Notice that the mean adjacency-diametrical degree of G equals the mean weighted degree of \mathcal{G}_G . Since $AD(G) = A(\mathcal{G}_G)$, by [5, Theorem 3.5.7] we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.6. *Let G be a AD regular graph and $(r =) \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $AD(G)$. Let H be an induced subgraph of G with n_1 vertices and mean adjacency-diametrical degree $\bar{d}_{AD}(H)$. Then*

$$\frac{n_1(r - \lambda_n)}{n} + \lambda_n \leq \bar{d}_{AD}(H). \quad (4.3)$$

Clearly G is AD regular if and only if \mathcal{G}_G is regular. Since $AD(G) = A(\mathcal{G}_G)$, from [5, Theorem 3.10.2], the following result can be deduced.

Theorem 4.7. *If G is a AD regular graph and $(r =) \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $AD(G)$. Then*

$$\alpha_{AD}(G) \leq n \frac{-\lambda_n}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_n}.$$

Since $AD(G) = A(\mathcal{G}_G)$, applying [5, Theorem 3.10.3], we get the following result.

Theorem 4.8. *Let G be a connected graph. Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $AD(G)$. Let t^-, t^0, t^+ denote the number of eigenvalues λ_i less than, equal to, or greater than -1 respectively. Let $m = \min\{t^0 + t^- + 1, t^0 + t^+, 1 + \lambda_1\}$. Then $\omega(G) \leq m$. If $m = t^0 + t^- + 1$ and the eigenvalues greater than -1 is exceed $t^0 + t^-$, then $\omega(G) \leq m - 1$.*

5 Graph products and AD-spectra

The join of two vertex-disjoint graphs G and H , denoted $G \vee H$, is the graph obtained from the union $G \cup H$ by adding all possible edges between every vertex of G and every vertex of H . Since the diameter of $G \vee H$ is 2, so the AD-spectrum of $G \vee H$ coincides the distance spectrum of $G \vee H$, which was described in [12, Theorem 2] for the case when G and H are regular.

The lexicographic product of two graphs $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$, denoted $G_1[G_2]$, is the graph with vertex set $V_1 \times V_2$. Two vertices (u_i, v_j) and (u_k, v_ℓ) are adjacent in $G_1[G_2]$ if $u_i u_k \in E_1$ or $(u_i = u_k \text{ and } v_j v_\ell \in E_2)$.

We now compute the AD-spectrum of the lexicographic product of two graphs.

Theorem 5.1. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and let H be an r -regular graph with m vertices. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ be the AD-spectrum of G and $(r =) \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ be the spectrum of $A(H)$.

- (i) If the diameter of $G[H]$ is 2, then the AD-spectrum of $G[H]$ contains the eigenvalue $m\mu_i + 2m - r - 2$ with multiplicity 1 and $-(\lambda_j + 2)$ with multiplicity n , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $j = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1$.
- (ii) If the diameter of $G[H]$ is greater than 2, then the AD-spectrum of $G[H]$ contains the eigenvalue $m\mu_i + r$ with multiplicity 1 and λ_j with multiplicity n , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$; $j = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1$.

Proof. (i) Since the diameter of $G[H]$ is 2, we have $AD(G[H]) = D(G[H])$. The distance spectrum of $D(G[H])$ is given in [7, Theorem 3.3], and therefore the claim follows.

(ii) Suppose the diameter of $G[H]$ is greater than 2. Let $AD(G) = [d_{ij}]$. With a suitable labeling of the vertices of $G[H]$, the AD matrix of $G[H]$ can be expressed as follows:

$$AD(G[H]) = \begin{bmatrix} A(H) & d_{12}J_m & d_{13}J_m & \dots & d_{1n}J_m \\ d_{21}J_m & A(H) & d_{23}J_m & \dots & d_{2n}J_m \\ d_{31}J_m & d_{32}J_m & A(H) & \dots & d_{3n}J_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{(n-1)1}J_m & d_{(n-1)2}J_m & d_{(n-1)3}J_m & \dots & d_{(n-1)n}J_m \\ d_{n1}J_m & d_{n2}J_m & d_{n3}J_m & \dots & A(H) \end{bmatrix} \\ = (AD(G) \otimes J_m) + (I_n \otimes A(H)).$$

Since H is r -regular, the all one vector $\mathbf{1}_{m \times 1}$ is an eigenvector of $A(H)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue r . The remaining eigenvalues λ_j of $A(H)$, with eigenvectors X_j , are orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$. Let Y_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, denote the n linearly independent eigenvectors of I_n associated with the eigenvalue 1. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} AD(G[H])(Y_k \otimes X_j) &= ((AD(G) \otimes J_m) + (I_n \otimes A(H))) (Y_k \otimes X_j) \\ &= (AD(G)Y_k) \otimes (J_m X_j) + (I_n Y_k) \otimes (A(H)X_j) \\ &= 0 + (Y_k \otimes \lambda_j X_j) \\ &= \lambda_j (Y_k \otimes X_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for each $j = 2, 3, \dots, m$, the vectors $Y_k \otimes X_j$ (for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are eigenvectors of $AD(G[H])$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_j . Let Z_i be an eigenvector corresponding to

the eigenvalue μ_i of $AD(G)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
AD(G[H])(Z_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_m) &= ((AD(G) \otimes J_m) + (I_n \otimes A(H))) (Z_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_m) \\
&= (AD(G)Z_i) \otimes (J_m \mathbf{1}_m) + (I_n Z_i) \otimes (A(H)\mathbf{1}_m) \\
&= (\mu_i Z_i \otimes m\mathbf{1}_m) + (Z_i \otimes r\mathbf{1}_m) \\
&= (m\mu_i + r)(Z_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_m).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $m\mu_i + r$ is an eigenvalue of $AD(G[H])$ with eigenvector $Z_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_m$. Furthermore, the nm vectors of the form $Z_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_m$ and $Y_k \otimes X_j$ are linearly independent. Since eigenvectors associated with distinct eigenvalues are linearly independent, and $AD(G[H])$ has a basis consisting entirely of eigenvectors, the theorem is established. \square

Let $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$ be two graphs with vertex sets $V_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and $V_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, respectively. The Cartesian product of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $G_1 \square G_2$, is the graph with vertex set $V_1 \times V_2$, and two vertices (u_i, v_j) and (u_k, v_ℓ) are adjacent if $u_i = u_k$ and $v_j v_\ell \in E_2$, or $u_i u_k \in E_1$ and $v_j = v_\ell$.

Next, we determine the AD-spectrum of the Cartesian product of two graphs.

Theorem 5.2. *Let G and H be two distance regular graphs with n and m vertices respectively and let the diameters of G and H be denoted by d_G and d_H respectively. Let the spectrum of $A(G)$ and $A(H)$ be $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m$, respectively. Let the spectrum of $A_{d_G}(G)$ and $A_{d_H}(H)$ be $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ and $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_m$ respectively, such that λ_i and γ_i (resp. μ_j and δ_j) are the co-eigenvalues of $A(G)$ and $A_{d_G}(G)$ (resp. $A(H)$ and $A_{d_H}(H)$) for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ (resp. $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$). Then the AD-spectrum of $G \square H$ is given by*

$$\lambda_i + \mu_j + (d_G + d_H)(\gamma_l \delta_k),$$

for $i, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $j, k = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Proof. Let $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ and $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$. Let $u = (u_1, v_1)$, $v = (u_2, v_2) \in V(G) \times V(H)$. Then the (u, v) -th entry of $AD(G \square H)$ is given by

$$AD(G \square H)_{u,v} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if either } d_G(u_1, u_2) = 1 \text{ and } d_H(v_1, v_2) = 0 \\ & \quad \text{or } d_G(u_1, u_2) = 0, \text{ and } d_H(v_1, v_2) = 1; \\ d_G + d_H, & \text{if } d_G(u_1, u_2) = d_G \text{ and } d_H(v_1, v_2) = d_H; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By a suitable arrangement of the vertices of $G \square H$, we obtain

$$AD(G \square H) = (A(G) \otimes I_m) + (I_n \otimes A(H)) + (d_G + d_H)(A_{d_G}(G) \otimes A_{d_H}(H)). \quad (5.1)$$

Since G and H are distance regular, $A_{d_G}(G)$ and $A_{d_H}(H)$ can be written as $A_{d_G}(G) = p_{d_G}(A(G))$ and $A_{d_H}(H) = q_{d_H}(A(H))$, where $p_{d_G}(x)$ and $q_{d_H}(x)$ are polynomials of degrees d_G and d_H , respectively. Therefore, (5.1) becomes

$$AD(G \square H) = A(G \square H) + (d_G + d_H) (p_{d_G}(A(G)) \otimes q_{d_H}(A(H))).$$

Let X_i and Y_j be the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_i of $A(G)$ and μ_j of $A(H)$, respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} AD(G \square H)(X_i \otimes Y_j) &= \left(A(G \square H) + (d_G + d_H) (p_{d_G}(A(G)) \otimes q_{d_H}(A(H))) \right) (X_i \otimes Y_j) \\ &= A(G \square H)(X_i \otimes Y_j) + (d_G + d_H) \left(p_{d_G}(A(G)) \otimes q_{d_H}(A(H)) \right) (X_i \otimes Y_j) \\ &= (\lambda_i + \mu_j)(X_i \otimes Y_j) + (d_G + d_H) (p_{d_G}(\lambda_i) q_{d_H}(\mu_j))(X_i \otimes Y_j) \\ &= (\lambda_i + \mu_j + (d_G + d_H)(\gamma_i \delta_j))(X_i \otimes Y_j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $X_i \otimes Y_j$ is an eigenvector of $AD(G \square H)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_i + \mu_j + (d_G + d_H)(\gamma_i \delta_j)$ as desired. \square

Acknowledgment

First author is supported by The Gandhigram Rural Institute under GRI Research Fellowship.

References

- [1] M. Aouchiche, P. Hansen, Distance spectra of graphs: a survey, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 458 (2014), 301–386.
- [2] M. Aouchiche, P. Hansen, Two Laplacians for the distance matrix of a graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439 (2013), 21–33.
- [3] R. B. Bapat, *Graphs and Matrices*, Vol. 27, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [4] J.A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan, London, 1976.
- [5] D. M. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. Simić, *An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [6] L. DeVille, The generalized distance spectrum of a graph and applications, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 70 (2022), 2425–2458.

- [7] G. Indulal, Distance spectrum of graph compositions, *Ars Math. Contemp.*, 2 (2009), 93–100
- [8] L. Hogben, C. Reinhart, Spectra of variants of distance matrices of graphs and digraphs: a survey, *La Matematica*, 1 (2022), 186–224.
- [9] J. C. Mason, D. C. Handscomb, *Chebyshev Polynomials*, Charpman and Hall/CRC, 2002.
- [10] R. Rajkumar, S. P. Leka Amruthavarshini, Adjacency-diametrical independence in graphs, 2025 (Communicated)
- [11] R. Rajkumar, S. P. Leka Amruthavarshini, Adjacency-diametrical coloring of graphs, 2025 (Communicated)
- [12] D. Stevanović, G. Indulal, The distance spectrum and energy of the compositions of regular graphs, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 22 (2009), 1136–1140.
- [13] D. Stevanovic, A. Ilic, Spectral properties of distance matrix of graphs, in: *Distance in Molecular Graphs–Theory*, Univ. Kragujevac, Kragujevac, 2012, pp. 139–176.
- [14] N. G. Voll, The Cassini identity and its relatives, *Fibonacci Q.*, 48 (2010), 197–201.