

Scalable Method for Mean Field Control with Kernel Interactions via Random Fourier Features

Zhongyuan Cao* Kaustav Das† Nicolas Langrené‡ Mathieu Laurière§

Abstract

We develop a scalable algorithm for mean field control problems with kernel interactions by combining particle system simulations with random Fourier feature approximations. The method replaces the quadratic-cost kernel evaluations by linear-time estimates, enabling efficient stochastic gradient descent for training feedback controls in large populations. We provide theoretical complexity bounds and demonstrate through crowd motion and flocking examples that the approach preserves control performance while substantially reducing computational cost. The results indicate that random feature approximations offer an effective and practical tool for high dimensional and large scale mean field control.

Keywords: Stochastic Systems; Optimal Control; Mean Field; Kernels; Neural Networks

1 Introduction

Many systems involving large populations of interacting agents can be described by stochastic dynamics coupled through nonlocal terms. Examples include models of pedestrian motion, coordinated motion in animal groups, and more broadly multi-agent systems in which the behavior of each individual depends on an aggregate of the surrounding population. These interactions are often spatial or similarity based and can be naturally represented through kernel functions. When such systems must be controlled, one is led to mean field control problems with nonlocal interactions.

Mean field control (MFC) provides a mathematical framework for optimizing the collective behavior of a continuum of agents; it differs from the mean field game setting

where the agents are non-cooperative and play a Nash equilibrium. We refer e.g. to the monographs [Bensoussan et al., 2013] and [Carmona and Delarue, 2018a] for more details. It can be interpreted both as a stand-alone stochastic control problem in which the law of the state enters the dynamics and cost, and as the limit of large N -agent optimal control problems. In this limit, the optimal control becomes decentralized: each agent uses the same feedback rule depending only on its own state. As far as non-local interactions are concerned, most works have focused on interactions through the first moment in the form of linear-quadratic models, see e.g. [Caines and Kizilkale, 2014, Ni et al., 2014, Bensoussan et al., 2016, Graber, 2016, Yong, 2017]. When interactions occur through kernels, the controlled drift and cost depend on the convolution of the population distribution with a prescribed kernel that encodes the influence of nearby agents.

Numerical methods for MFC often rely on particle approximations of the population distribution [Fouque and Zhang, 2020, Carmona and Laurière, 2022, Dayanikh et al., 2024]. In this setting, evaluating a nonlocal interaction term such as $(K \star \mu)(x)$ requires computing all pairwise kernel evaluations $K(x_i - x_j)$ for i, j in $[N]$. This leads to a computational cost of order N^2 per time step, which becomes prohibitive when N is large or when long time horizons are considered. Grid-based or PDE-based approaches face other difficulties, including dimensionality and the cost of discretizing nonlocal operators. Reducing the computational effort associated with kernel interactions is therefore an important step toward scalable algorithms for MFC.

Random Fourier Features (RFF), introduced by Rahimi and Recht 2007, provide an efficient way to approximate translation-invariant positive definite kernels. By sampling from the spectral density of the kernel, one constructs a finite-dimensional random feature map Φ for which $K(x-y)$ is approximated by $\Phi(x)^\top \Phi(y)$. Replacing the kernel by this approximation transforms the convolution into an inner product between $\Phi(x_i)$ and an aggregated feature vector. This reduces the computational complexity of evaluating the interaction term from order N^2 to order NM , where M is the number of random features and can be taken much smaller than N . The resulting approximation error decreases as $M^{-1/2}$ and the method applies to many kernels used in mean field models, including Gaussian, Matérn, and Cauchy kernels, see [Langrené et al., 2025].

*NYU-ECNU Institute of Mathematical Sciences, NYU Shanghai, Shanghai, 200126, People's Republic of China. Email: zc3151@nyu.edu

†School of Mathematics, Monash University, Clayton, 3800, Australia. Email: kaustav.das@monash.edu

‡Guangdong Provincial/Zhuhai Key Laboratory of Interdisciplinary Research and Application for Data Science, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal-Hong Kong Baptist University, Zhuhai, 519087, People's Republic of China. Email: nicolaslangrene@bnu.edu.cn

§Shanghai Center for Data Science; NYU-ECNU Institute of Mathematical Sciences, NYU Shanghai, Shanghai, 200126, People's Republic of China. Email: ml5197@nyu.edu

The main purpose of this paper is to leverage RFF to obtain a scalable numerical method for MFC problems with nonlocal interactions. We show how to incorporate the RFF approximation directly into particle-based simulations of MFC dynamics. This provides efficient approximations of kernel convolutions and related quantities that appear in controlled systems. We combine this idea with a deep learning method for MFC proposed in [Carmona and Laurière, 2022, Algorithm 1] which is able to handle high-dimensional problems. We illustrate the method on two representative models: a pedestrian model with Gaussian or Matérn interactions and a flocking model with Cauchy interactions. In both cases, the RFF-based approximation leads to significant computational gains while retaining accuracy sufficient for control and simulation.

Previously, only a few works used kernel methods combined with MFC. Liu et al. [2021] studied an approach relying on kernel-based representations of mean field interactions and feature-space expansions in the spirit of kernel methods in machine learning but only for Gaussian kernels. Mou et al. [2022] approximates the solution of a mean-field games using a Gaussian process, the inference of which is accelerated by the random Fourier features approximation of the covariance kernel. Agrawal et al. [2022] proposed an efficient solution approach for high-dimensional nonlocal mean-field game systems by approximating their Gaussian kernel interaction function by random features. Vidal et al. [2025] studied a primal-dual algorithm with kernel expansion for mean field control and applied it to an example with Gaussian interactions.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces MFC problems with kernel interactions, presents motivating examples, and describes the N -particle approximation. Section 3 explains the RFF methodology and its application to the convolution terms arising in MFC. Section 4 describes how to apply our method to two examples. Section 5 reports numerical experiments for the two models. Concluding remarks are given in Section 6.

2 Mean Field Control Problems with Kernel Interactions

In this section, we introduce a generic MFC problem and specialize it to kernel interactions.

2.1 Mean Field Control Problem

Let $T \in [0, \infty)$ be a fixed time horizon. Let \mathcal{A} be an appropriate set of controls that we will consider for the mean field control problem. The action set is denoted by \mathbb{A} , which usually is a subset of \mathbb{R}^k . A control is a \mathbb{A} -valued stochastic process $\alpha = (\alpha_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ on $[0, T]$. In the mean field control problem, we seek an optimal control for a population of agents, where each agent follows the same control rule. For

a given control $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, the individual state of a representative agent has the following dynamics evolving in continuous time:

$$dX_t^\alpha = b(t, X_t^\alpha, \alpha_t, \mu_t^\alpha) dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{W} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a standard m -dimensional Brownian motion, $X_t^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the state at time t , under control α , $\mu_t^\alpha = \mathcal{L}(X_t^\alpha)$ is the law of X_t^α , which represents the state distribution of the whole population at time t , under control α , σ is a constant $d \times m$ volatility matrix, and $b : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{A} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is the drift, where $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the set of probability measures with finite second moment on \mathbb{R}^d .

Hence a control α induces a probability measure flow $\mu^\alpha = (\mu_t^\alpha)_{t \in [0, T]}$, where X_t^α is the solution of the controlled stochastic differential equation (SDE) (1).

The objective functional that we want to minimize is

$$J(\alpha) = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T f(t, X_t^\alpha, \alpha_t, \mu_t^\alpha) dt + g(X_T^\alpha, \mu_T^\alpha) \right],$$

where $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{A} \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the running cost, and $g : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{P}_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the terminal cost.

The **Mean Field Control (MFC) problem** is to seek $\alpha^* \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$J(\alpha^*) = \inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} J(\alpha),$$

that is, we seek an optimal control $\alpha^* \in \mathcal{A}$, which can be a feedback control or open-loop control, depending on the admissible control set \mathcal{A} , such that under control α^* , the total expected cost for the population attains the minimum. Note that, in contrast with Mean Field Games (MFG), the mean field μ^α is directly determined by α (there is no fixed-point consistency condition).

2.2 Interactions Through a Kernel

In MFC problems, the interactions between agents are encoded in the population distribution, which can capture the interaction preference when agents' states locate differently. This is often characterized by kernel functions, which we therefore call **kernel interactions**.

Among agents, the interactions are mediated by a kernel $K : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The drift, running cost, and terminal cost depend on the mean field only through its convolution with K , namely: $b(t, x, a, \mu) = \tilde{b}(t, x, a, (K \star \mu)(x))$, $f(t, x, a, \mu) = \tilde{f}(t, x, a, (K \star \mu)(x))$, $g(x, \mu) = \tilde{g}(x, (K \star \mu)(x))$, for some functions $\tilde{b}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$, where the convolution is

$$(K \star \mu)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(x - y) \mu(dy),$$

which represents the local aggregate influence of the population around position x .

2.3 Example 1: Pedestrian Model

We consider a model describing the movements of a crowd of pedestrians. We have $d = 2$ and $m = 2$. The state $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ represents the pedestrian's position. The dynamics are governed by the stochastic differential equation $dX_t = b(t, X_t, \alpha_t, \mu_t) dt + \sigma dW_t$, where $b(t, x, a, \mu) = a$. The running cost is given by $f(t, x, a, \mu) = \frac{1}{2}\|a\|^2 + \phi((K \star \mu)(x))$, where ϕ is an increasing function penalizing crowded areas. The terminal cost is $g(x, \mu) = \|x - x_{\text{target}}\|^2$, favoring arrival near a preferred destination. The interaction kernel is defined as the generalized Matérn kernel

$$K(x) = \frac{\left(\sqrt{2\beta} \left(\frac{\|x\|}{\sigma_K}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta)2^{\beta-1}} \mathcal{K}_\beta \left(\sqrt{2\beta} \left(\frac{\|x\|}{\sigma_K}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\right)$$

with $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ and $\beta > 0$ [Langrené et al., 2025], where \mathcal{K}_β is the modified Bessel function [DLMF, 10.25]. This family of kernels include the Gaussian kernel $K(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x\|^2}{2\sigma_K^2}\right)$ as the limit case $\alpha = 2, \beta \rightarrow \infty$, in which case each pedestrian interacts mostly with nearby agents within range σ_K . Similar models have been considered, e.g., in [Achdou and Laurière, 2015].

2.4 Example 2: Flocking Model

We then consider a model describing the movements of a flock of birds. We take $d = 6$ and $m = 3$. The state is $x = (p, v) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$, representing position p and velocity v . The dynamics are defined by the coupled stochastic differential equations $dp_t = v_t dt$ and $dv_t = \alpha_t dt + \sigma dW_t$. The running cost, promoting velocity alignment, is:

$$f(t, a, p, v, \mu) = \frac{1}{2}\|a\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{v - v'}{1 + \|p - p'\|^2} \mu(dp', dv') \right\|^2$$

The interaction kernel, which depends on relative distance, is the Cauchy kernel $K(p - p') = \frac{1}{1 + \|p - p'\|^2}$. More generally, one may employ the generalized Cauchy kernel, of the form $K(p - p') = \frac{1}{(1 + \|p - p'\|^\alpha)^\beta}$, where $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ and $\beta > 0$ [Gneiting and Schlather, 2004].

2.5 N -Agents Control Problem

MFC problems can naturally be interpreted as limit counterparts of large N -agents control problems. Here, we also introduce the corresponding N -agents control problems with kernel interactions. Unlike MFC problems, each agent $i \in [N]$ in a N -agents problem has their own control α^i , and together form a control profile $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N)$ in an appropriate set \mathcal{A}^N . Under a given $\underline{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^N$, the dynamics of the controlled N -agents is a coupled SDE system:

$$dX_t^{\alpha^i} = \tilde{b}(t, X_t^{\alpha^i}, \alpha_t^i, (K \star \mu_t^{\alpha})(X_t^{\alpha^i})) dt + \sigma dW_t^i,$$

for $i \in [N]$, where $\mathbf{W}^i = (W_t^i)_{t \in [0, T]}, i \in [N]$, are independent m -dimensional Brownian motions and

$$\mu_t^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_t^{\alpha^i}}$$

is the empirical measure of the state at time t . Hence the kernel interaction for agent i at time t reads

$$(K \star \mu_t^{\alpha})(X_t^{\alpha^i}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N K(X_t^{\alpha^i} - X_t^{\alpha^j}).$$

The corresponding objective functional is the average over the total N agents:

$$J^N(\underline{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \tilde{f}(t, X_t^{\alpha^i}, \alpha_t^i, (K \star \mu_t^{\alpha})(X_t^{\alpha^i})) dt + \tilde{g}(X_T^{\alpha^i}, (K \star \mu_T^{\alpha})(X_T^{\alpha^i})) \right].$$

Hence, the N -agents control problem is to seek $\underline{\alpha}^* \in \mathcal{A}^N$ such that $J^N(\underline{\alpha}^*) = \inf_{\underline{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^N} J^N(\underline{\alpha})$. Under suitable assumptions (see, e.g., Lacker 2017, Djete et al. 2022 and [Carmona and Delarue, 2018b, Section 6.1.3] for precise assumptions and rigorous proofs), the optimal control rule for the MFC problem can be shown to serve as an approximate optimal control rule (all agents use the same control rule) for the N -agents problem, with N large enough. Furthermore, the control of the MFC problem has the advantage of being decentralized in the sense that it depends only on the individual state and not on the states of all agents. Mathematically, the optimal control of the MFC problem is a function $\alpha^* : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, which can be used as a decentralized control which is approximately optimal for N -agents problem in the sense that

$$J^N(\alpha^1, \dots, \alpha^N) \leq \inf_{\underline{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^N} J^N(\underline{\alpha}) + \epsilon(N),$$

where for each $i \in [N], \alpha_t^i = \alpha^*(t, X_t^i), t \in [0, T]$, and $\epsilon(N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Note that in general, a feedback control for each agent is a function $\alpha : [0, T] \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, which depends on the states of all agents.

3 Efficient Computation via Random Fourier Features (RFF)

We focus on the interaction term. When simulating the MFC system using a particle approximation $\mu_t \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_t^j}$, computing the convolution term

$$(K \star \mu_t)(X_t^i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N K(X_t^i - X_t^j) \quad (2)$$

for every agent $i \in [N]$ requires $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ operations, which is prohibitive when the number of agents is large. If K is isotropic, i.e. $K(x - y) = \kappa(\|x - y\|)$ for some function $\kappa : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and positive definite, Bochner's theorem ensures the existence of a spectral density $p_K(\omega)$ such that

$$K(x - y) = K(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \cos(\omega \cdot (x - y)) p_K(\omega) d\omega.$$

The idea of **Random Fourier Features (RFF)** (Rahimi and Recht 2007) is to approximate this integral by Monte Carlo sampling: draw $\omega_m \sim p_K$, $m \in [M]$, and define

$$\phi_m(x) = \sqrt{\frac{K(0)}{M}} \cos(\omega_m \cdot x), \quad \phi_{m+M}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{K(0)}{M}} \sin(\omega_m \cdot x).$$

Then the random Fourier feature mapping

$$\Phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_{2M}(x))^\top \quad (3)$$

approximates the kernel K :

$$K(x - y) \approx \Phi(x)^\top \Phi(y),$$

so that

$$(K \star \mu_t)(X_t^i) \approx \Phi(X_t^i)^\top \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi(X_t^j) \right).$$

Hence the convolution (2) can be computed for all agents $i \in [N]$ in $\mathcal{O}(NM)$ operations rather than $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$, with approximation error decaying as $\mathcal{O}(M^{-1/2})$.

4 Applications

Gaussian Interactions for Pedestrians. For the pedestrian model of Example 1 (Section 2.3), we use the Gaussian kernel, scaled such that $K(0) = 1$,

$$K(x - y) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - y\|^2}{2\sigma_K^2}\right).$$

It is well known that its spectral density is also Gaussian:

$$p_K(\omega) = \left(\frac{\sigma_K^2}{2\pi}\right)^{d/2} \exp\left(-\sigma_K^2 \frac{\|\omega\|^2}{2}\right).$$

Therefore, we can sample $\omega_m \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (\sigma_K)^{-2} I_d)$ for $m \in [M]$, and compute

$$(K \star \mu_t)(X_t^i) \approx \Phi(X_t^i)^\top \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi(X_t^j) \right),$$

where Φ is the feature mapping defined in equation (3). This yields an efficient and scalable approximation of the congestion term $\phi((K \star \mu_t)(x))$ in the running cost, enabling

simulation or optimization of large-scale pedestrian dynamics in the MFC framework. We omit the computations for the Matérn kernel due to space constraints.

Flocking. Here we revisit the flocking model of Example 2 (Section 2.4). For a single agent with position $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$, consider the alignment vector

$$A(p, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{v - v'}{1 + \|p - p'\|^2} \mu(dp', dv') \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

The corresponding running cost is the squared norm $\|A(p, v)\|^2$. Let us define the isotropic Cauchy kernel

$$K(p - p') = \frac{1}{1 + \|p - p'\|^2}. \quad (4)$$

Let \otimes denote the Hadamard element-wise product. Then

$$A(p, v) = v \otimes \mathcal{C}_0(p) - \mathcal{C}_1(p),$$

with $\mathcal{C}_\ell(p) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} (v')^\ell K(p - p') \mu(dp', dv') \in \mathbb{R}^3$, where the power ℓ is applied element-wise. Thus

$$\|A(p, v)\|^2 = \|v \otimes \mathcal{C}_0(p) - \mathcal{C}_1(p)\|^2.$$

Let μ be approximated by an empirical measure with N particles $(p_i, v_i)_{i=1}^N$. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_\ell(p) \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (v_j)^\ell K(p - p_j) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

At the particle position $p = p_i$ we have

$$A_i := A(p_i, v_i) \approx v_i \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N K(p_i - p_j) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N v_j K(p_i - p_j).$$

Define

$$\mathcal{C}_{N,\ell,i} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (v_j)^\ell K(p_i - p_j) \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

so that $A_i \approx v_i \otimes \mathcal{C}_{N,0,i} - \mathcal{C}_{N,1,i}$. The empirical running cost is then $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|A_i\|^2$.

Since the Cauchy kernel (4) is isotropic and positive definite, it admits the random Fourier features approximation $K(p - p') \approx \Phi(p)^\top \Phi(p')$, defined in equation (3). Its spectral density is an isotropic Laplace density, which can easily be simulated as a Gaussian scale mixture [Langrené et al., 2025]. Then

$$\mathcal{C}_{N,\ell,i} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (v_j)^\ell \Phi(p_j)^\top \Phi(p_i) = S_\ell \Phi(p_i),$$

where the feature-domain aggregate S_ℓ is defined by

$$S_\ell := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (v_j)^\ell \Phi(p_j)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2M}.$$

Algorithm 1 SGD for MFC with Kernel Interactions

```

1: Initialize  $\theta$  for controller  $\alpha_\theta$ 
2: for  $k = 0$  to  $K$  do                                      $\triangleright$  SGD iterations
3:   Sample  $(\Delta W_n^i)_{i=1}^N$  and  $(X_0^i)_{i=1}^N$ 
4:   for  $n = 0$  to  $T/\Delta t - 1$  do                              $\triangleright$  simulate trajectory
5:      $t \leftarrow n\Delta t$ 
6:      $\alpha_n^i \leftarrow \alpha_\theta(t, X_n^i), i \in [N]$ 
7:     if naive then
8:        $\kappa_n^j \leftarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N K(X_n^j - X_n^i), j \in [N]$ 
9:     else                                                      $\triangleright$  RFF
10:       $\phi_n^i \leftarrow \phi(X_n^i) i \in [M], \bar{\phi} \leftarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \phi_n^i$ 
11:       $\kappa_n^j \leftarrow \langle \phi_n^j, \bar{\phi} \rangle, j \in [N]$ 
12:    end if
13:     $X_{n+1}^i \leftarrow X_n^i + \tilde{b}(t, X_n^i, \alpha_n^i, \kappa_n^i) \Delta t + \sigma \Delta W_n^i, i \in [N]$ 
14:     $c_n^i \leftarrow \tilde{f}(t, X_n^i, \alpha_n^i, \kappa_n^i), i \in [N]$ 
15:  end for
16:   $C(\theta) \leftarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (\sum_n c_n^i \Delta t + \tilde{g}(X_T^i))$ 
17:  Update  $\theta$  via gradient step on  $C(\theta)$ 
18: end for

```

The empirical alignment vector can be approximated by

$$A_i \approx v_i \otimes S_0 \Phi(p_i) - S_1 \Phi(p_i) = ((v_i \mathbf{1}_{2M}^\top) \otimes S_0 - S_1) \Phi(p_i),$$

and the empirical running cost can be approximated by:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|A_i\|^2 \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|((v_i \mathbf{1}_{2M}^\top) \otimes S_0 - S_1) \Phi(p_i)\|^2,$$

where we stress that S_0 and S_1 are independent of i .

5 Numerical results

5.1 Pseudo-code

We use a direct policy search method: We take a feedback control which is a neural network and train it to minimize the total cost; this idea was proposed in [Carmona and Laurière, 2022, Algorithm 1] using an empirical distribution. Algo. 1 summarizes the approach. For simplicity, \tilde{g} is independent of μ_T but kernel-based interactions could be included as well. Next, we solve numerical examples and compare the performance of the “naive” method and our proposed method based on **RFF**.

5.2 Crowd motion

We solve numerically Example 1 (Section 2.3) with two types of kernels: Gaussian and generalized Matérn. In both cases, the other model parameters are the same and are chosen as: $T = 2.0, \sigma = 0.1, \lambda = 5.0$. For the time discretization, we use $\Delta t = 0.05$. When evaluating the control’s performance after training, we use the exact computation with $N = 1000$ particles.

Gaussian kernel. We use a Gaussian kernel with standard derivation $\sigma_k = 0.3$. Fig. 1 (left) shows how the cost

(which is also the loss function) decays during training. Here, for both controls, the cost is evaluated by Monte Carlo samples using the control learned and the exact computation of the kernel. Fig. 1 (right) shows the computational time (averaged over 3 runs for each N) as a function of the number of particles N used in the population. The computational time is roughly consistent with our theoretical estimate. The discrepancy between the numerical value and the theoretical ones can be due to numerical errors. We observe that they give similar performance. Fig. 2 shows the evolution of the density under the learned control; the red line shows the target.




Figure 1: Ex. 1, Gaussian kernel: cost and compute time.




Figure 2: Ex. 1, Gaussian kernel: density evolution.

Generalized Matérn kernel. We use a generalized Matérn kernel with $\alpha = 1.9$ and $\beta = 1.5$. Fig. 3 (left) shows how the cost (which is also the loss function) decays during training. Here, for both controls, the cost is evaluated by MC samples using the control learned and the exact computation of the kernel. Fig. 3 (right) shows the computational time (averaged over 3 runs for each N) as a function of the number of particles N used in the population. The computational time is roughly consistent with our theoretical estimate. The discrepancy between the numerical value and the theoretical ones can be due to numerical errors. Fig. 4

shows the evolution of the density under the learned control; the red line shows the target. Fig. 5 shows the heatmap of the densities at terminal time with Gaussian and Matérn kernels.




Figure 3: Ex. 1, Matérn kernel: cost and compute time.




Figure 4: Ex. 1, Matérn kernel: density evolution.




Figure 5: Ex. 1: density at time T with Gaussian (left) vs Matérn (right) kernel interactions.

5.3 Flocking

We now turn to Example 2 (Section 2.4) about flocking. We use a Cauchy kernel. We solve the problem in dimension $2+2=4$ to be able to visualize the density. The agents start around $(0, 0)$ and are attracted to two targets, one at $(-2, 0)$

and one at $(2, 0)$. We use a relatively large value $\beta = 10$, which means that the agents focus on their close neighbors. Fig. 6 shows the computational time (averaged over 3 runs for each N) as a function of the number of particles N used in the population. Here again, as N grows, our approach is much more efficient than the naive one. Fig. 7 shows the evolution of the position density under the learned control (we omit the density of velocities due to space constraint). When using $\beta = 1$, the agents align velocity across the whole population and all the agents move towards a single target: Fig. 8 shows the terminal density of positions when $\beta = 10$ (left) and $\beta = 1$.




Figure 6: Ex. 2: compute time.




Figure 7: Ex. 2: position's density evolution.

6 Conclusion

We introduced a scalable method for solving MFC problems with kernel interactions by combining stochastic gradient descent with random Fourier features. The main contribution is the replacement of the empirical kernel computation, which scales quadratically in the number of agents, by an approximate representation with linear complexity, including for non-Gaussian kernels. This yields a practical




Figure 8: Ex. 2: μ_T^α with $\beta = 10$ (left) and $\beta = 1$ (right).

approach for training feedback controls in settings where large interacting populations must be simulated repeatedly. The numerical experiments illustrate the main computational advantage of the method. Across all examples, including crowd motion and flocking, the RFF-based approximation preserves the quality of the learned control while significantly reducing runtime as the population size increases. Several directions merit further investigation, such as a more detailed analysis of approximation errors, and extending the method to problems with heterogeneous agents, constrained dynamics.

References

- Yves Achdou and Mathieu Laurière. On the system of partial differential equations arising in mean field type control. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 35(9): 3879–3900, 2015.
- Sudhanshu Agrawal, Wonjun Lee, Samy Wu Fung, and Levon Nurbekyan. Random features for high-dimensional nonlocal mean-field games. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 459:111136, 2022.
- Alain Bensoussan, Jens Frehse, and Phillip Yam. *Mean field games and mean field type control theory*, volume 101. Springer, 2013.
- Alain Bensoussan, KC Joseph Sung, Sheung Chi Phillip Yam, and Siu-Pang Yung. Linear-quadratic mean field games. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 169(2):496–529, 2016.
- Peter E Caines and Arman C Kizilkale. Mean field estimation for partially observed LQG systems with major and minor agents. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 47(3):8705–8709, 2014.
- René Carmona and François Delarue. *Probabilistic Theory of Mean Field Games with Applications I*. Springer, 2018a.
- René Carmona and François Delarue. *Probabilistic Theory of Mean Field Games with Applications II*. Springer, 2018b.
- René Carmona and Mathieu Laurière. Convergence analysis of machine learning algorithms for the numerical solution of mean field control and games: II—the finite horizon case. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 32(6):4065–4105, 2022.
- Gökçe Dayanıklı, Mathieu Laurière, and Jiacheng Zhang. Deep learning for population-dependent controls in mean field control problems with common noise. In *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, AAMAS*, volume 2024, pages 2231–2233. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 2024.
- Mao Fabrice Djete, Dylan Possamaï, and Xiaolu Tan. McKean–Vlasov optimal control: limit theory and equivalence between different formulations. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 47(4):2891–2930, 2022.
- DLMF. NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, 2025. URL <https://dlmf.nist.gov>. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.
- Jean-Pierre Fouque and Zhaoyu Zhang. Deep learning methods for mean field control problems with delay. *Frontiers in Applied Mathematics and Statistics*, 6:11, 2020.
- Tilmann Gneiting and Martin Schlather. Stochastic models that separate fractal dimension and the Hurst effect. *SIAM Review*, 46(2):269–282, 2004.
- P Jameson Graber. Linear quadratic mean field type control and mean field games with common noise, with application to production of an exhaustible resource. *Applied Mathematics & Optimization*, 74(3):459–486, 2016.
- Daniel Lacker. Limit theory for controlled McKean–Vlasov dynamics. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, 55(3):1641–1672, 2017.
- Nicolas Langrené, Xavier Warin, and Pierre Gruet. A spectral mixture representation of isotropic kernels to generalize random Fourier features. arXiv:2411.02770, 2025.
- Siting Liu, Matthew Jacobs, Wuchen Li, Levon Nurbekyan, and Stanley J Osher. Computational methods for first-order nonlocal mean field games with applications. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 59(5):2639–2668, 2021.
- Chenchen Mou, Xianjin Yang, and Chao Zhou. Numerical methods for mean field games based on Gaussian processes and Fourier features. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 460:111188, 2022.
- Yuan-Hua Ni, Ji-Feng Zhang, and Xun Li. Indefinite mean-field stochastic linear-quadratic optimal control.

IEEE Transactions on automatic control, 60(7):1786–1800, 2014.

Ali Rahimi and Benjamin Recht. Random features for large-scale kernel machines. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 20, pages 1177–1184, 2007.

Alexander Vidal, Samy Wu Fung, Stanley Osher, Luis Tenorio, and Levon Nurbekyan. Kernel expansions for high-dimensional mean-field control with non-local interactions. In *2025 American Control Conference (ACC)*, pages 4164–4171. IEEE, 2025.

Jiongmin Yong. Linear-quadratic optimal control problems for mean-field stochastic differential equations—time-consistent solutions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369(8):5467–5523, 2017.