

The Maximum of the Volume of a Part of a Cevian Simplex

Zamina Gulyeva, Yagub Aliyev

January 2026

Abstract

The cevians passing through a point in a simplex create a cevian simplex, which is divided by these cevians into smaller simplices. We consider the problem about the maximum of the ratio of the sum of the volumes of some of these smaller simplices by the volume of the reference simplex. The special case of tetrahedron is given as an example.

MSC: 26D07, 51M16, 51M25.

Given an n -simplex with vertices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n+1} ($n \geq 2$) and any point M in its interior, let N_i be the points on the hyperplane opposite A_i such that A_i, M , and N_i are collinear. Let $V = [A_1 A_2 \dots A_{n+1}]$ and

$$V_i = [MN_1 N_2 \dots N_{i-1} N_{i+1} \dots N_{n+1}] \quad (1 \leq i \leq n+1),$$

where the square brackets indicate the volume of the n -simplex between them. We want to find the point M for which $\frac{V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_k}{V}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n+1$) is maximal and find this maximum. The case $k = n+1$ was considered in [7], [3], [4], p. 502. The cases $k = 1$ and $k = n$ were considered in [1]. In the current paper we will consider the remaining cases $1 < k < n$.

Let $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})$ be the barycentric coordinates of M with respect to the simplex $A_1 A_2 \dots A_{n+1}$. Then $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_{n+1} = 1$. Suppose that $R_i = |MA_i|$, and $s_i = |MN_i|$. Since $\lambda_i = \frac{s_i}{R_i + s_i}$, $\frac{s_i}{R_i} = \frac{\lambda_i}{1 - \lambda_i}$ (see [6]; [8]; [5]; [2], p. 124-126). Therefore,

$$V_i = [MA_1 A_2 \dots A_{i-1} A_{i+1} \dots A_{n+1}] \cdot \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{s_j}{R_j} = V \cdot (1 - \lambda_i) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{\lambda_j}{1 - \lambda_j}.$$

The same result, up to a sign, can be obtained by calculating V_i using the coordinates of the vertices of $MN_1 N_2 \dots N_{i-1} N_{i+1} \dots N_{n+1}$

$$\frac{V_i}{V} = \pm \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \dots & \lambda_{n+1} \\ 0 & \frac{\lambda_2}{1-\lambda_1} & \dots & \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1-\lambda_1} \\ \frac{\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_2} & 0 & \dots & \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1-\lambda_2} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_{n+1}} & \frac{\lambda_2}{1-\lambda_{n+1}} & \dots & 0 \end{vmatrix},$$

where the row corresponding to the point N_i with barycentric coordinates

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{1-\lambda_i}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_{i-1}}{1-\lambda_i}, 0, \frac{\lambda_{i+1}}{1-\lambda_i}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{1-\lambda_i} \right),$$

is omitted. Consequently,

$$V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_k = V \cdot (k - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_k) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{\lambda_j}{1-\lambda_j}.$$

In particular, if $k = n + 1$, then we obtain $V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_{n+1} = V \cdot F(\bar{\lambda})$, where

$$F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) = n \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} \frac{\lambda_j}{1-\lambda_j}.$$

The function $F_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})$ is continuous whenever $\lambda_i \neq 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$. In order to make it continuous at the vertices A_i ($i = 1, \dots, n + 1$) of the simplex, where $\lambda_i = 1$, we need to define $F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) = 0$. After redefining the function $F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})$, the continuity at the vertex A_i follows from the fact that if $\lambda_i \rightarrow 1$, then $\lambda_j \rightarrow 0$ for all $j \neq i$, and therefore $\prod_{j \neq i} \lambda_j = o(1 - \lambda_i)$. Indeed,

$$\prod_{j \neq i} \lambda_j = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \lambda_j = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{j \neq i} o(\lambda_j) = o\left(\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j\right) = o(1 - \lambda_i).$$

For $1 < k < n$, we can write $\frac{V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_k}{V} = F_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})$, where

$$F_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) = G_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k) \cdot H_k(\lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+2}, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}),$$

$$G_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k) = (k - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \dots - \lambda_k) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\lambda_j}{1-\lambda_j},$$

$$H_k(\lambda_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+2}, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) = \prod_{j=k+1}^{n+1} \frac{\lambda_j}{1-\lambda_j}.$$

Since $F_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) \leq F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})$, we can redefine $F_k(\bar{\lambda}) = 0$ at the vertices A_i ($i = 1, \dots, n + 1$) of the simplex to make it continuous at these vertices, too. Now the function $F_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1})$ is continuous in the simplex $A_1 A_2 \dots A_{n+1}$, including its boundary, and therefore by the classical result in analysis (see e.g. [9], Theorem 4.16) $F_k(\bar{\lambda})$ attains both maximum and minimum values in this simplex, which is a compact set. At the boundary (vertices, edges, faces, etc.) $F_k(\bar{\lambda}) = 0$, which is the minimum. So, the maximum occurs in the interior of the simplex. For fixed $\lambda_i + \lambda_j$ the product $\frac{\lambda_i}{1-\lambda_i} \cdot \frac{\lambda_j}{1-\lambda_j}$ reaches the maximum when $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$. Therefore, for fixed $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = kx$ the function G_k reaches the maximum when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_k = x$. Similarly,

for fixed $\sum_{i=k+1}^{n+1} \lambda_i = my$, where $m = n + 1 - k > 0$, the function H_k reaches the maximum when $\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_{k+2} = \dots = \lambda_{n+1} = y$. Then $y = \frac{1-kx}{m}$, $1-y = \frac{m-1+kx}{m}$, and

$$F_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n+1}) = k \cdot (1-x) \cdot \left(\frac{x}{1-x} \right)^k \cdot \left(\frac{1-kx}{m-1+kx} \right)^m.$$

By studying the function $f(x) = k \cdot \frac{x^k}{(1-x)^{k-1}} \cdot \left(\frac{1-kx}{m-1+kx} \right)^m$ for the maximum in interval $[0, \frac{1}{k}]$ we find that

$$f'(x) = k \cdot \frac{x^{k-1}}{(1-x)^k} \cdot \frac{(1-kx)^{m-1}}{(m-1+kx)^{m+1}} \cdot q(x),$$

where

$$q(x) = (k-x)(1-kx)(m-1+kx) - km^2x(1-x).$$

Note that $q(-\infty) = -\infty$, $q(0) = k(m-1) > 0$, $q\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) = -\frac{m^2(k-1)}{k} < 0$, and $q(+\infty) = +\infty$. Therefore, the cubic $q(x)$ has exactly one zero in each of the intervals $(-\infty, 0)$, $(0, \frac{1}{k})$, and $(\frac{1}{k}, +\infty)$. Let us denote by θ the zero of $q(x)$ in $(0, \frac{1}{k})$. Consequently,

$$f(x) \leq f(\theta) = k \cdot \frac{\theta^k}{(1-\theta)^{k-1}} \cdot \left(\frac{1-k\theta}{m-1+k\theta} \right)^{n+1-k}.$$

Thus we proved the following result.

Theorem 1 *Let $A_1A_2\dots A_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 2$) be an n -simplex and M be any point in its interior. Let N_i be the points on the hyperplane opposite A_i such that A_i , M , and N_i are collinear. Let V be the volume of $A_1A_2\dots A_{n+1}$ and let V_i be the volume of $MN_1N_2\dots N_{i-1}N_{i+1}\dots N_{n+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n+1$). Then for $1 < k < n$*

$$\frac{V_1 + V_2 + \dots + V_k}{V} \leq \frac{k\theta^k}{(1-\theta)^{k-1}} \cdot \left(\frac{1-k\theta}{m-1+k\theta} \right)^m,$$

where $m = n + 1 - k$, and θ is the zero of the cubic

$$q(x) = k^2x^3 - k(k^2 - m^2 - m + 2)x^2 + (2k^2 - k^2m - km^2 - m + 1)x + k(m-1)$$

in $(0, \frac{1}{k})$. Equality happens at the point with barycentric coordinates $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_k = \theta$, $\lambda_{k+1} = \lambda_{k+2} = \dots = \lambda_{n+1} = \frac{1-k\theta}{m}$.

Remark 1. If $n = 3$, $k = 2$, and $m = 2$, then we obtain the following result in tetrahedron geometry (see Fig. 1). The calculations are done in Maple 2024.

Corollary 2 *Let $A_1A_2A_3A_4$ be a tetrahedron and M be any point in its interior. Let N_i be the points on the plane opposite A_i such that A_i , M , and*

N_i are collinear. Let V , V_1 , and V_2 be the volumes of tetrahedra $A_1A_2A_3A_4$, $MN_2N_3N_4$, and $MN_1N_3N_4$, respectively. Then

$$\frac{V_1 + V_2}{V} \leq \frac{2\theta_0^2(1 - 2\theta_0)}{3} \approx 0.018800151866697,$$

where $\theta_0 = \sqrt{3} \cos\left(\frac{\arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{23}}{2}\right)}{3} + \frac{\pi}{3}\right) \approx 0.22745204561175$ is the zero of the cubic $q(x) = 4x^3 - 9x + 2$ in $(0, \frac{1}{2})$.




Figure 1: <https://www.geogebra.org/3d/htesqury>

Remark 2. If $k = 0$, $k = 1$, $m = 0$, or $m = 1$, then the cubic $q(x)$ has a rational zero. It would be interesting to find $k > 1$ and $m > 1$ such that the cubic $q(x)$ has a rational zero or prove that such k and m do not exist.

References

- [1] Yagub N. Aliyev, The maximum of the volume of a cevian simplex and its parts, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, accepted.
- [2] M. B. Balk and V. G. Boltyansky, Geometry of masses (in Russian). *Kvant* 61, Nauka, Moscow, 1987. Zbl 0661.70001 MR 931290
- [3] Klamkin, M. S. (1971). A volume inequality for simplexes. *Publikacije Elektrotehničkog Fakulteta. Serija Matematika i Fizika*, 357/380, 3–4. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43667532>

- [4] Dragoslav S. Mitrinovic, J. Pecaric, V. Volenec, Recent Advances in Geometric Inequalities, Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [5] Marko, F., Litvinov, S. (2017). On the Steiner–Routh Theorem for Simplices. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 124(5), 422–435.
- [6] A. F. Möbius, Der barycentrische Calcul. Georg Olms Verlag, Hildesheim–New York, 1976 MR 462890
- [7] O. Reutter and F. Leuenberger, 'Aufgabe 454', *Elem. Math.* 19 (1964), 63–64. <https://www.e-periodica.ch/digbib/view?pid=edm-001%3A1964%3A19%3A%3A55#69>
- [8] Samet, D. (2021). An Extension of Ceva's Theorem to n -Simplices. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 128(5), 435–445. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00029890.2021.1896292>
- [9] Walter Rudin. Principles of Mathematical Analysis. 2nd edition. New York: McGraw Hill (1964).