

ON THE DIOPHANTINE PROBLEM RELATED TO POWER CIRCUITS

ALEXANDER RYBALOV

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Pevtsova 13, Omsk 644099, Russia.
e-mail address: alexander.rybalov@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. Myasnikov, Ushakov and Won introduced power circuits in 2012 to construct a polynomial algorithm for the word problem in the Baumslag group, which has a non-elementary Dehn function. Power circuits are circuits supporting addition and operation $(x, y) = x \cdot 2^y$ for integer numbers. Also they posed a question about decidability of the Diophantine problem over the structure $\langle \mathbb{N}_{>0}; +, x \cdot 2^y, \leq, 1 \rangle$, which is closely related to power circuits. In this paper we prove undecidability of the Diophantine problem over this structure.

INTRODUCTION

Power circuits have been introduced by Myasnikov, Ushakov and Won [7] as circuits supporting addition and operation $(x, y) = x \cdot 2^y$ for integer numbers. Using power circuits they constructed [6] a polynomial algorithm for the word problem in the Baumslag group, which has a non-elementary Dehn function.

Myasnikov, Ushakov and Won [7] posed a question (Problem 10.3) about decidability of the Diophantine problem of the structure

$$\tilde{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}_{>0}; +, x \cdot 2^y, \leq, 1 \rangle,$$

which is closely related to power circuits. The Diophantine problem asks about an algorithm which for every finite system of atomic formulas (equations and inequalities) over \tilde{N} decides whether it has solution in natural numbers. The classical Diophantine problem over structure $\langle \mathbb{N}; +, \times, 1 \rangle$ known as Hilbert's tenth problem is undecidable, as was proved by Matiyasevich [5] after the work of Davis, Putnam and Robinson [2]. Note that Semenov [9] proved decidability of the first-order theory of natural numbers with addition and exponentiation $\langle \mathbb{N}; +, 2^x, 1 \rangle$. It implies that the Diophantine problem over this structure is also decidable.

In this paper we prove undecidability of the Diophantine problem over structure \tilde{N} . As a consequence it solves another problem from [7] (Problem 10.5): "Is \tilde{N} automatic?" The answer is "No" because an automatic structure has decidable first-order theory [3], and therefore decidable Diophantine problem.

Key words and phrases: Diophantine problem, power circuit.

Supported by Russian Science Foundation, grant 25-11-20023.

1. MAIN RESULT

Denote by \mathbb{N} the set of natural numbers with zero and by $\mathbb{N}_{>k}$ the set of natural numbers greater than k . Classical Diophantine problem $\mathcal{DP}(\mathbb{N})$ ask about an algorithm recognizing solutions of Diophantine equations from \mathbb{N} . Consider a restricted Diophantine problem $\mathcal{DP}(\mathbb{N}_{>k})$ asking about solutions from $\mathbb{N}_{>k}$. Note that coefficients and constants in polynomials of problem $\mathcal{DP}(\mathbb{N}_{>k})$ can be less than k .

Lemma 1.1. *For every natural number k $\mathcal{DP}(\mathbb{N}_{>k})$ is undecidable.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{DP}(\mathbb{N}_{>k})$ is decidable by an algorithm \mathcal{A} . Then we can algorithmically decide $\mathcal{DP}(\mathbb{N})$ in the following way. For every system of Diophantine equations $S(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ we for every subset $X \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ assign for every variable from X all values from $\{0, \dots, k\}$. For every such system S' we ask algorithm \mathcal{A} about its solvability in $\mathbb{N}_{>k}$. The number of such queries is finite. System $S(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ has solution in \mathbb{N} if and only if at least one system S' has solution in $\mathbb{N}_{>k}$. \square

Consider the structure $\tilde{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}_{>0}; +, x \cdot 2^y, \leq, 1 \rangle$. To prove undecidability of Diophantine problem over \tilde{N} we will reduce $\mathcal{DP}(\mathbb{N}_{>1})$ to it. For this we only need to define in \tilde{N} multiplication over $\mathbb{N}_{>1}$. Remind that $a \mid b$ for natural a, b denotes that a divides b .

Lemma 1.2. *For every natural numbers n, m it holds*

$$m \mid n \Leftrightarrow 2^m - 1 \mid 2^n - 1.$$

Proof. Suppose m divides n and $n = km$ with some natural k . Then

$$2^n - 1 = 2^{km} - 1 = (2^m - 1)(2^{m(k-1)} + \dots + 2^k + 1).$$

Suppose m does not divide n and $n = km + r$ with natural k and $0 < r < m$. Then

$$2^n - 1 = 2^{km+r} - 2^r + 2^r - 1 = 2^r(2^{km} - 1) + 2^r - 1$$

is not divisible by $2^m - 1$ since $2^m - 1$ divides $2^{km} - 1$ and $2^m - 1 > 2^r - 1 > 0$. \square

Lemma 1.3. *The divisibility relation $x \mid y$ is Diophantine definable in \tilde{N} .*

Proof. By Lemma 1.2

$$x \mid y \Leftrightarrow \exists z \ 2^y - 1 = z(2^x - 1) \Leftrightarrow \exists z \ 2^y + z = z \cdot 2^x + 1.$$

\square

J. Robinson proved [8] that the first-order theory of natural numbers with addition and divisibility relation is undecidable. But Beltjukov [1] and Lipshitz [4] proved that the Diophantine problem over this structure is decidable. Due to this we need further research.

Lemma 1.4. *The relation of strict order $x < y$ is Diophantine definable in \tilde{N} .*

Proof. Note that

$$x < y \Leftrightarrow \exists z \ x + z = y.$$

\square

Remind that by $[a]$ we denote the integer part of real number a .

Lemma 1.5. *The operation of integer binary logarithm $[\log x]$ for $x > 1$ is Diophantine definable in \tilde{N} .*

Proof. Note that

$$y = \lceil \log x \rceil \Leftrightarrow (2^y \leq x) \wedge (x < 2^{y+1}).$$

□

Lemma 1.6. *The operation of squaring $sq(x) = x^2$ for $x > 1$ is Diophantine definable in \tilde{N} .*

Proof. The set

$$S(x) = \{kx(x+1) : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is Diophantine definable in \tilde{N} as

$$y \in S(x) \Leftrightarrow (x \mid y) \wedge (x+1 \mid y).$$

Now consider the Diophantine definable in \tilde{N} set

$$S'(x) = \{y : y+x \in S(x), [\log y] \leq 2[\log x] + 1\}.$$

If $k \geq 4$ then

$$\begin{aligned} [\log kx(x+1) - x] &= [\log kx^2 + (k-1)x] \geq [\log kx^2] = \\ &= [\log k + 2\log x] \geq [2 + 2\log x] \geq 2 + [2\log x] \geq 2 + 2[\log x]. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$S'(x) \subseteq \{x^2, 2x^2 + x, 3x^2 + 2x\}.$$

Note that $x^2 \in S'(x)$ since

$$[\log x^2] = [2\log x] \leq 2[\log x] + 1.$$

Now to delete two possible unwanted elements from the set $S'(x)$ consider the following Diophantine over \tilde{N} condition:

$$P(y) = (x+2 \mid y+2x) \wedge (x+3 \mid y+3x).$$

Element x^2 satisfies this condition because $x+2$ divides x^2+2x and $x+3$ divides x^2+3x . But $2x^2+x+2x = (2x-1)(x+2)+2$ is not divisible by $x+2$ for all natural x . Also $3x^2+2x+2x = (3x-2)(x+2)+4$ is divisible by $x+2$ only for $x=2$. But $3x^2+2x+3x = 22$ for $x=2$ and 22 is not divisible by $x+3=5$ for $x=2$. □

Lemma 1.7. *The operation of multiplication $mul(x, y) = xy$ for $x, y > 1$ is Diophantine definable in \tilde{N} .*

Proof. Note that

$$z = xy \Leftrightarrow 2z = (x+y)^2 - x^2 - y^2 \Leftrightarrow z + z + x^2 + y^2 = (x+y)^2.$$

□

Theorem 1.8. *The Diophantine problem over $\tilde{N} = \langle \mathbb{N}_{>0}; +, x \cdot 2^y, \leq, 1 \rangle$ is undecidable.*

Proof. We reduce $\mathcal{DP}(\mathbb{N}_{>1})$ to the Diophantine problem over \tilde{N} . Every system S of classical Diophantine equations over $\mathbb{N}_{>1}$ can be transform to equivalent system in the *Skolem form*, consisting of equations only of the following types:

- (1) $x_i = x_j x_k$,
- (2) $x_i = x_j + x_k$,
- (3) $x_i = x_j + 1$.

By Lemma 1.7 we can replace every equation of type 1 by an equivalent system of Diophantine equations over \tilde{N} . Also for every variable x , which is included in equations of types 2 or 3, but not included in any equation of type 1, we add Diophantine condition $x > 1$. Thus we constructed a system of Diophantine equations over \tilde{N} which is equivalent to system S over $\mathbb{N}_{>1}$. \square

Since any automatic structure has decidable first-order theory [3] we have the following corollary of Theorem 1.8.

Corollary 1.9. \tilde{N} is not automatic.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Beltjukov. Decidability of the universal theory of natural numbers with addition and divisibility. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 60:15–28, 1976.
- [2] M. Davis, H. Putnam, and J. Robinson. The decision problem for exponential diophantine equations. *Annals of Mathematics*, 74(3):425–436, 1961.
- [3] B. Khoussainov and A. Nerode. Automatic presentations of structures. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 960:367–392, 1995.
- [4] L. Lipshitz. Undecidable existential problems for addition and divisibility in algebraic number rings. ii. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 64(1):122–128, 1977.
- [5] Yu. V. Matiyasevich. The diophantineness of enumerable sets. *Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR*, 191(2):279–282, 1970.
- [6] A. G. Myasnikov, A. Ushakov, and D. Won. The word problem in the baumslag group with a non-elementary dehn function is polynomial time decidable. *Journal of Algebra*, 345:324–342, 2011.
- [7] A. G. Myasnikov, A. Ushakov, and D. Won. Power circuits, exponential algebra, and time complexity. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 22(6):3–53, 2012.
- [8] J. Robinson. Definability and decision problems in arithmetic. *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 14:98–114, 1949.
- [9] A. L. Semenov. Logical theories of one-place functions on the natural number series. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 47(3):623–658, 1983.