

EXISTENCE OF STRONG LEFSCHETZ ALGEBRAS WITH CHOW POLYNOMIALS AS HILBERT SERIES

ADAM SCHWEITZER AND LORENZO VECCHI

ABSTRACT. In this article, we study Chow polynomials of weakly ranked posets and prove the existence of Gorenstein algebras with the strong Lefschetz property such that their Hilbert–Poincaré series agrees with the Chow polynomial, providing evidence in support of a conjecture by Ferroni, Matherne and the second author. This allows us to show strong inequalities for the coefficients of Chow polynomials; we prove log-concavity for all posets of weak rank at most six and provide counterexamples to log-concavity for any higher rank. For ranked posets we recover an even stronger condition, showing that the differences between consecutive coefficients constitute a pure O -sequence.

1. INTRODUCTION

A central theme in the study of enumerative invariants of posets is the extent to which integer sequences satisfy strong regularity properties, such as unimodality, palindromicity, log-concavity, or the existence of combinatorial or algebraic models realizing them as Hilbert–Poincaré series. Chow polynomials of partially ordered sets, introduced recently by Ferroni, Matherne, and the second author, provide a unifying framework for encoding subtle enumerative and algebraic properties of posets [FMV24]. Their names come from the theory of combinatorial algebraic geometry for matroids; when the poset is a geometric lattice, they coincide with the Hilbert–Poincaré series of the Chow ring of the associated matroid. In this setting, Adiprasito, Huh and Katz proved that the Chow ring of a matroid satisfies a combinatorial analogue of the Kähler package [AHK18]. This collection of properties includes Poincaré duality and the strong Lefschetz property, which imply the palindromicity and unimodality of the corresponding Chow polynomials. For general posets there is not such a ring-theoretic interpretation, however, similar properties still hold. In particular, it is known that the coefficients of the characteristic Chow polynomial of any poset are non-negative, palindromic, and unimodal, and that they are also γ -positive for Cohen–Macaulay posets [FMV24]. An outstanding open problem

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E40, 06A07, 06A11, 13D40.

Key words and phrases. Artinian algebras, Chow polynomials, Poset theory, Monomial order ideals, O -sequences, Strong Lefschetz Algebras.

is whether a ring with Poincaré duality and the strong Lefschetz property can be constructed for any poset so that its Hilbert–Poincaré series coincides with the Chow polynomial [FMV24, Section 4.6].

In this paper, we will show that there exist algebras with these properties for any weakly ranked poset. This serves as further evidence for the possibility of finding a suitable definition for the generalization of Chow rings. Let us denote by $h = h(P) = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1})$ the sequence of coefficients of the Chow polynomial of a given poset P of weak rank n . Our first main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let P be a weakly ranked, finite, bounded poset of weak rank n . Then $h(P)$ forms a SI-sequence.*

The proof proceeds by giving an explicit combinatorial construction of a monomial order ideal whose graded pieces realize the successive differences of the Chow coefficients. A key ingredient is an analysis of Feichtner–Yuzvinsky monomials, combined with symmetric chain decompositions of products of chains. Theorem 1.1 allows us to state the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 1.2. *Let P be a weakly ranked, finite, bounded poset of weak rank n . Then there exists a Gorenstein algebra with the Strong Lefschetz property whose Hilbert–Poincaré series coincides with the Chow polynomial of P .*

Furthermore, we are able to show that this monomial ideal is pure, i.e., all its maximal monomials are of the same degree, if we assume that the poset is ranked. This is the second main result of our paper.

Theorem 1.3. *Let P be a ranked, finite, bounded poset of rank n . Then the sequence*

$$\left(h_0, h_1 - h_0, \dots, h_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} - h_{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor - 1} \right)$$

is a pure O -sequence.

As an application, we study the log-concavity of Chow polynomials. Using general properties of O -sequences, we show that Chow polynomials are log-concave for all posets of weak rank at most six. We also construct explicit counterexamples in every higher weak rank.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the necessary background on standard graded algebras, Chow polynomials, monomial order ideals and O -sequences and SI-sequences. In Section 3 we recall and prove relevant results about symmetric chain decompositions. Section 4 contains the proof of Theorem 1.1 and hence Corollary 1.2. Section 5 proves Theorem 1.3. Lastly, Section 6 discusses the log-concavity of Chow polynomials.

2. BACKGROUND

In this section we quickly review the necessary tools that we need to prove our results.

2.1. Properties of sequences. Given a finite sequence of integers (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_d) or, equivalently, the polynomial $p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i t^i$, we say that it is

- *palindromic with center of symmetry $d/2$* if $a_i = a_{d-i}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq d$,
- *unimodal* if there exists an index j such that

$$a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{j-1} \leq a_j \geq a_{j+1} \geq \dots \geq a_d,$$

- *log-concave* if $a_i^2 \geq a_{i-1}a_{i+1}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq d-1$,
- *γ -positive* if $p(t)$ is palindromic and we can write

$$p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \gamma_i t^i (1+t)^{d-2i}$$

with all the coefficients $\gamma_i \geq 0$,

- *real-rooted* if all the zeros of $p(t)$ are real.

For two sequences corresponding to polynomials p, q we refer to the monomial sequence of their product pq as their *convolution*. It is well known that the following chains of (strict) implications hold:

$$\text{real-rooted} \implies \text{log-concave} \implies \text{unimodal}$$

and, when $p(t)$ is palindromic,

$$\text{real-rooted} \implies \gamma\text{-positive} \implies \text{unimodal}.$$

2.2. Standard graded algebras. A graded algebra

$$A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \dots$$

over a ring R is said to be a *standard graded algebra* if $A_0 \simeq R$ and A is generated by the elements of A_1 as an algebra. In this paper, we will consider graded Artinian algebras over fields, that is, we may assume that we may express A as $A = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d]/I$ and that

$$A = A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_e.$$

We refer to the series

$$(\dim_K(A_0), \dim_K(A_1), \dots, \dim_K(A_e))$$

or, equivalently, to the polynomial $H_A(t) := \sum_{i=0}^e \dim_K(A_i) t^i$ as the *Hilbert–Poincaré series* of A . Let us introduce a few important concepts in the study of such algebras.

Definition 2.1. Let $A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^e A_i$ be a finite-dimensional standard graded Artinian algebra with $\dim_K(A_e) = 1$ and let $d : A_e \rightarrow K$ be an isomorphism. We say that (A, d) has *Poincaré duality* if the bilinear maps

$$d(x, y) : A_k \times A_{e-k} \rightarrow K$$

are all non-degenerate.

An immediate consequence of Poincaré duality is that the Hilbert–Poincaré series is palindromic. A standard graded Artinian algebra over a field is called *Gorenstein* if and only if it exhibits Poincaré duality for a choice of d [Iar94, Section 1B].

Definition 2.2. An Artinian Gorenstein algebra A satisfies the *Weak Lefschetz property* if it has a unimodal Hilbert–Poincaré series and if there exists an element $\ell \in A_1$ such that the map

$$\times \ell : A_k \rightarrow A_{k+1}$$

given by the multiplication by ℓ is injective for $k \leq \lfloor (e-1)/2 \rfloor$ and surjective for $k \geq \lfloor e/2 \rfloor$. We say that A satisfies the *Strong Lefschetz property* if there exists an element $\ell \in A_1$ such that the map

$$\times \ell^{e-2k} : A_k \rightarrow A_{e-k}$$

is an isomorphism for every k .

Note that the Strong Lefschetz property implies the Weak Lefschetz property, thus implying unimodality for the Hilbert–Poincaré series. For a more thorough introduction to the Weak and Strong Lefschetz property, we refer the reader to [HMNW03].

2.3. Chow polynomials. In this section, we briefly recall the definition of our main object of interest, i.e., the *Chow polynomial* of a *partially ordered set* (or poset for short). Recall that an interval of a poset is a subposet of P of the form $[x, y] = \{z \in P : x \leq z \leq y\}$, where $x, y \in P$. A poset P is *locally finite* if each of its intervals is finite. We also say that a poset is *bounded* if it has unique least and largest elements, which we denote by $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{1}$, respectively. Given a poset P , a *weak rank function* is a function $\rho : P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

- $\rho(x, y) = \rho_{x,y} > 0$ if and only if $x < y$, and
- $\rho_{x,y} = \rho_{x,z} + \rho_{z,y}$, for all $x \leq z \leq y$ in P .

A *weakly ranked* poset consists of a pair (P, ρ) , where ρ is a weak rank function for P . By slight abuse of notation, we say that P is a weakly ranked poset, when this does not create confusion. If the poset has a least element $\hat{0}$, we write $\rho(x) := \rho_{\hat{0},x}$ for an element $x \in P$ and call this the *weak rank* of x in P . If $\rho_{x,y} = 1$ whenever y covers x , then we say that P is *ranked*. Moreover, if P is bounded, then we say that P has weak rank $\rho(\hat{1})$. Notice that if a poset is bounded and locally finite, then in particular it is finite. All the posets considered in this

paper are finite, bounded and weakly ranked. Lastly, we will denote by $W(P) = (W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{\rho(\hat{1})})$, where $W_i = |\{p \in P \mid \rho(p) = i\}|$, the sequence of *Whitney numbers of the first kind* of P .

While the following is not the standard definition of Chow polynomials, it is the one which is going to be more convenient for us.

Definition 2.3 ([FMV24, Theorem 4.1]). Let P be a weakly ranked poset. Then its Chow polynomial is

$$H_P(t) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{\hat{0} = p_0 < p_1 < \dots < p_s \leq \hat{1}} \prod_{i=1}^s \frac{t^{\rho(p_i) - \rho(p_{i-1}) - 1} - 1}{t - 1}.$$

Remark 2.4. The polynomial H_P is usually called *characteristic* Chow polynomial, as it is proven to be the inverse of the negative reduced characteristic function inside the incidence algebra of the poset P . Indeed, the original construction in [FMV24] associates a Chow polynomial to any poset with a choice of extra data called *P-kernel*. We also observe that this definition would associate a polynomial to every interval in a poset, that would not need to be finite (only locally finite) nor bounded. However, since the characteristic Chow polynomial is invariant under isomorphism of posets, we can reduce ourselves to studying bounded, finite posets. Since in this article we are only concerned with the characteristic Chow polynomial, we choose to ease the notation and only refer to it as *Chow polynomial*.

The following is a summary of the properties that Chow polynomials are proven to satisfy [FMV24].

Theorem 2.5. *For any poset P , the Chow polynomial is a monic polynomial of degree $\rho(P) - 1$ with non-negative, palindromic, unimodal coefficients. Moreover, if P is Cohen–Macaulay, then it is also γ -positive.*

Chow polynomials are actually conjectured to be real-rooted for every Cohen–Macaulay poset [FMV24, Conjecture 1.5]. The conjecture has recently gained a lot of attention and was proven for different classes of posets in [BV25b, HS25, BV25a, CFL25].

In [FY04], Feichtner and Yuzvinsky defined a toric variety associated to any atomistic lattice and studied its *Chow ring*, giving an explicit presentation and a Gröbner basis for its ideal of relations. Later, Adiprasito, Huh and Katz showed that when P is a geometric lattice the corresponding *Chow ring* is an Artinian Gorenstein algebra with the Strong Lefschetz property [AHK18]. These properties are easily seen to not hold for the Feichtner–Yuzvinsky Chow ring of generic atomistic lattices.

By comparing the Feichtner–Yuzvinsky Gröbner basis with Definition 2.3, it is clear that when P is a geometric lattice, its Chow polynomial coincides with the Hilbert–Poincaré series of its Chow ring, see

also [FMSV24, Theorem 1.5]. This, amongst other indicative numerical properties of the Chow polynomial led Ferroni, Matherne and the second author to conjecture in [FMV24, Section 4.6] the existence of a combinatorial ring generalizing the Chow ring for arbitrary weakly ranked posets exhibiting various strong properties, including Poincaré duality and the Strong Lefschetz property.

2.4. Monomial order ideals and (pure) O -sequences. A finite set of monomials M is called a *monomial order ideal* if any monomial that divides a monomial in M is also contained in M . A monomial order ideal M is *pure* of degree d if any maximal monomial in M has degree d . For a monomial order ideal M , let h_d denote the number of monomials of degree d . We refer to the vector $(h_0, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_e)$ as the *h-vector* of M . Note that this is exactly the sequence of Whitney numbers of the first kind of M as a poset ordered by degree.

Definition 2.6. We say that a sequence of nonnegative integers

$$h = (h_0, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_e)$$

is a *(pure) O -sequence* if it is the *h*-vector of a (pure) monomial order ideal.

We refer to [MNZ13] for a more complete introduction to the concept.

Definition 2.7. Let P be a poset of rank n and let $H_P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_i t^i$ its Chow polynomial. We call the sequence

$$h = h(P) = (h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{n-1})$$

the FY *h-vector* of P .

We justify this name by constructing a monomial order ideal FY with $h(P)$ as its *h*-vector. Consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[x_p \mid p \in P \setminus \hat{0}]$ and for a given chain $\hat{0} = p_0 < p_1 < \dots < p_s \leq \hat{1}$ consider all monomials m of the form

$$m = x_{p_1}^{\ell_1} x_{p_2}^{\ell_2} \cdots x_{p_s}^{\ell_s},$$

where $1 \leq \ell_i \leq d_i - 1$ and $d_i = \rho(p_i) - \rho(p_{i-1})$. We say that a monomial of this form is a *Feichtner–Yuzvinsky monomial* (or FY monomial for short). We denote by FY the family of all FY monomials and by FY^k the family of FY monomials of degree k . Clearly, FY is a, not necessarily pure, monomial order ideal. The following is a direct consequence of the definition of H (the argument in [FY04, Corollary 1 and p. 526] works in general for posets).

Proposition 2.8. *For any poset P ,*

$$h_k = |\text{FY}^k|.$$

The following result highlights the importance that O -sequences have in commutative algebra.

Theorem 2.9 ([Sta78, Theorem 2.2]). *The sequence $(1, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_e)$ is an O -sequence if and only if it is the Hilbert–Poincaré series of a standard graded algebra.*

We have a complete characterization of O -sequences. For any pair of nonnegative integers n and d there exists a unique sequence of numbers $k_d > k_{d-1} > \dots > k_\delta \geq \delta \geq 1$ such that

$$n = \binom{k_d}{d} + \binom{k_{d-1}}{d-1} + \dots + \binom{k_\delta}{\delta}.$$

This is referred to as the *d -binomial expansion of n* .

Theorem 2.10 ([Mac26]). *A sequence $(1, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_e)$ is an O -sequence if and only if for every $1 \leq i < e$*

$$h_i = \binom{k_d}{d} + \binom{k_{d-1}}{d-1} + \dots + \binom{k_\delta}{\delta}$$

and

$$h_{i+1} \leq \binom{k_d + 1}{d + 1} + \binom{k_{d-1} + 1}{d} + \dots + \binom{k_\delta + 1}{\delta + 1}.$$

While this statement gives a complete description of O -sequences, the same has not yet been achieved for pure O -sequences. Nonetheless, pure O -sequences of length 3 can be characterized as follows.

Proposition 2.11. *A sequence $(1, h_1, h_2)$ is a pure O -sequence if and only if*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{h_1 + 1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq h_2 \leq \binom{h_1 + 1}{2}$$

Proof. The second inequality is equivalent to the inequality in Theorem 2.10 that characterizes O -sequences, and hence is a necessary condition. If M is a pure monomial order ideal with h -vector $(1, h_1, h_2)$, every linear monomial must be a divisor of a degree two monomial. As every degree two monomial is divisible by at most two different linear monomial, the first inequality is necessary.

Conversely, for any vector $(1, h_1, h_2)$ satisfying these properties we may take h_1 different variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{h_1} and choose h_2 degree two monomials to get a pure monomial order ideal. We start by choosing $\{x_{2i-1}x_{2i}\}_{i=1}^{\lfloor h_1/2 \rfloor}$ and then we add to the set the monomial $x_{h_1}^2$ if h_1 is odd. This subset has size exactly $\lfloor (h_1 + 1)/2 \rfloor$ elements, and covers each of the h_1 degree one monomials. Thus, any choice for the remaining $h_2 - \lfloor (h_1 + 1)/2 \rfloor$ monomials gives a pure monomial order ideal. \square

While we may not have a complete characterization for pure O -sequences, we still have strong inequalities holding for them.

Theorem 2.12 ([Hib89]). *For a pure O -sequence $(1, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_e)$ we have that for any $i \leq j \leq e - i$*

$$h_i \leq h_j.$$

Corollary 2.13. *For a pure O -sequence*

- $h_i \leq h_{e-i}$ for any $i \leq e/2$,
- $h_0 \leq h_1 \leq \dots \leq h_{\lfloor e/2 \rfloor}$.

2.5. SI-sequences. For a palindromic and unimodal sequence $h = (1, h_1, \dots, h_e)$ we define its *differential sequence* to be

$$\Delta h = (1, h_1 - 1, h_2 - h_1, \dots, h_{\lfloor e/2 \rfloor} - h_{\lfloor e/2 \rfloor - 1}).$$

Sommerville proved in [Som27] that the *h-vector* of a simplicial polytope P is unimodal and symmetric. McMullen [McM71] then conjectured that a vector is the *h-vector* of a simplicial polytope if and only if Δh , also known as the *g-vector*, is an O -sequence. This is commonly known as the *g-conjecture* for polytopes.

Later, McMullen defined in [McM93] the *polytope algebra* Π_P for any simplicial polytope P . This is a standard graded algebra whose Hilbert series is equal to the *h-vector* of P and with the Strong Lefschetz property. When taking a quotient of Π_P by an ideal generated by any Lefschetz element, one obtains a standard graded algebra whose Hilbert–Poincaré series coincides with the *g-vector*, thus giving a proof for the necessity of the statement.

Definition 2.14. A *Stanley–Iarrobino sequence* (or *SI-sequence* for short) is a nonnegative, palindromic sequence h that is a *differentially O -sequence*, i.e., Δh is an O -sequence.

Theorem 2.15 ([BL80, Sta06, McM93, HMNW03]). *For a sequence*

$$h = (1, h_1, \dots, h_e)$$

the following statements are equivalent:

- h is an *SI-sequence*,
- h is the *h-vector* of a simplicial polytope,
- h is the Hilbert–Poincaré series of a Gorenstein algebra with the weak Lefschetz property,
- h is the Hilbert–Poincaré series of a Gorenstein algebra with the strong Lefschetz property.

Our goal now is to prove that the FY *h-vector* is a *SI-sequence*. To do so, in analogy with the polytope case we define the FY *g-vector* of P as $g = g(P) := \Delta h(P)$. By Theorem 2.5 the only thing we would need to show then is that g is an O -sequence.

3. SYMMETRIC CHAIN DECOMPOSITIONS

The goal of this section is to find a combinatorial interpretation of the FY g -vector of a poset. A *symmetric chain decomposition* of a poset P of rank n is a partition of P such that each part is a saturated chain where if the first element is of rank r , the last element is of rank $n - r$. In [dBvETK51], the authors proved that if P_1 and P_2 are two posets admitting a symmetric chain decomposition, then so is the Cartesian product $P_1 \times P_2$. Let C_n denote the chain poset of rank n .

Corollary 3.1. *Let $P = C_1 \times C_2 \times \dots \times C_s$. Then P admits a symmetric chain decomposition.*

For a symmetric chain decomposition S we will refer to the set of minimal elements of each saturated symmetric chain as the *set of initial elements* of S denoted as S_{init} .

Proposition 3.2. *For a ranked poset P of rank n with Whitney numbers of the first kind given by the sequence $W = (W_0, W_1, \dots, W_n)$ and a symmetric chain decomposition S ,*

$$W(S_{\text{init}}) = \Delta W.$$

Proof. Every element with rank 0 must be the start of a chain in the decomposition, thus, the number of initial elements with rank 0 is exactly W_0 .

For $1 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, the number of distinct chains with an element of rank $s - 1$ is exactly W_{s-1} (as every such element is contained in exactly one chain). By the symmetry of the chains, we know that none of these chains end at $s - 1$, as $n - s + 1 > s - 1$, thus, as the chains are saturated each of these chains must contain an element of rank s . The remaining $W_s - W_{s-1}$ elements of rank s must be the initial element of a chain, as each chain in S is saturated. Lastly, no chain can start with an element larger than $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, thus for any larger s the left-hand side is zero. \square

The following observation will help us construct symmetric chain decompositions.

Remark 3.3. Let P be a ranked poset of rank n . If we have a partition $P = \bigsqcup_i P_i$ into ranked subposets, each with a sequence of Whitney numbers of the first kind palindromic with center of symmetry $n/2$, then the union of any symmetric chain decomposition of P_i constitutes a symmetric chain decomposition of P .

We will now construct a specific symmetric chain decomposition for a product of chains, which exists by Corollary 3.1 and give an explicit description of its set of initial elements.

Theorem 3.4. *For any poset P of the form*

$$P = C_{r_1} \times C_{r_2} \times \dots \times C_{r_n}$$




FIGURE 1. Symmetric chain decomposition of the product $C_{r_1} \times S'_i$

there exists a symmetric chain decomposition S such that

$$S_{init} = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_s \leq r_s, a_s \leq \sum_{i=s+1}^n r_i - 2a_i\}. \quad (1)$$

Proof. We are going to prove this statement by induction on n . If $n = 1$, then $S = \{C_{r_1}\}$ and the only initial element is (0) . For any $n > 1$ let us construct the decomposition as follows. By induction, we consider the symmetric chain decomposition S' of

$$P' = C_{r_2} \times \dots \times C_{r_n} = \bigsqcup_i S'_i$$

that satisfies (1). Let us take the partition

$$P = C_{r_1} \times P' = \bigsqcup_i C_{r_1} \times S'_i.$$

We will give a symmetric chain decomposition of each component $C_{r_1} \times S'_i$; as these subsets are symmetric in P this gives a symmetric chain decomposition of P as discussed in Remark 3.3. The initial elements s'_i of S'_i are exactly all possible vectors (a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n) that satisfy (1) for $2 \leq s \leq n$. Notice also that their ranks are $\rho(s'_i) = \sum_{j=2}^n a_j$ and the length of S'_i is exactly

$$\text{len}(S'_i) = \rho(P') - 2\rho(s'_i) = \sum_{j=2}^n r_j - 2a_j$$

by symmetry. Now consider the symmetric chain decomposition given by $S_{j,i}$ chosen as

$$(j, 0) \prec (j, 1) \prec \dots \prec (j, \text{len}(S'_i) - j) \prec (j+1, \text{len}(S'_i) - j) \prec \dots \prec (r_1, \text{len}(S'_i) - j),$$

with $0 \leq j \leq \min(r_1, \text{len}(S'_i))$. This decomposition is depicted in Figure 1.

The initial elements in this decomposition are exactly the ones where $0 \leq a_1 \leq \min(r_1, \sum_{j=2}^n r_j - 2a_j)$ and (a_2, \dots, a_n) satisfies (1) for P' .

Taking the union of these decompositions over all S'_i we get exactly the set of initial elements described in the statement. \square

We will now use this decomposition to give an explicit description of a well-chosen symmetric chain decomposition of FY . Similar techniques were employed by Angarone, Nathanson and Reiner in [ANR25]. Later, we will prove that the initial elements of this decomposition constitute a monomial order ideal, thus, proving Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 3.5. *For any poset P there exists a symmetric chain decomposition S of FY such that the set of initial elements, which we denote by SFY , coincides with the family of FY monomials of the form*

$$m = \prod_{k=1}^s x_{p_k}^{\ell_k},$$

where $\hat{0} = p_0 < p_1 < \dots < p_s < \hat{1}$ is a chain of the poset P and

$$1 \leq \ell_k \leq \min \left(\rho(p_k) - \rho(p_{k-1}) - 1, \rho(P) - \rho(p_k) - 2 \sum_{i=k+1}^s \ell_i \right).$$

Proof. Let us build a symmetric chain decomposition of FY . First, for a chain $\mathcal{C} = \hat{0} = p_0 < p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_s < \hat{1}$ we define $\text{FY}_{\mathcal{C}}$ to be the subposet of FY consisting of monomials with support either \mathcal{C} or $\mathcal{C} \cup \{\hat{1}\}$. The bottom element of $\text{FY}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is $\prod_i x_{p_i}$ with rank s , while its top element, $\prod_i x_{p_i}^{d_i} x_{\hat{1}}^{d_{\hat{1}}}$ has rank

$$\sum_{i=1}^s (d_i - 1) + d_{\hat{1}} - 1 = \rho(P) - s - 1.$$

Hence, $\text{FY}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is symmetric inside FY . Moreover, since $\text{FY}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is isomorphic to the product of chains

$$C_{d_1-1} \times C_{d_2-1} \times \dots \times C_{d_s-1} \times C_{d_{\hat{1}}},$$

by Theorem 3.4, $\text{FY}_{\mathcal{C}}$ admits a symmetric chain decomposition S . We now describe the elements in S_{init} using 3.4, where the vector

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_s, a_{\hat{1}})$$

will correspond to $x_{p_1}^{a_1+1} x_{p_2}^{a_2+1} \dots x_{p_s}^{a_s+1} x_{\hat{1}}^{a_{\hat{1}}}$. First, let us note that the exponent of $x_{\hat{1}}$ will always be 0. Thus, the set may be described as

$$\{x_{p_1}^{a_1+1} x_{p_2}^{a_2+1} \dots x_{p_s}^{a_s+1} \mid a_i \leq d_i - 1, a_k \leq d_{\hat{1}} + \sum_{i=k+1}^s (d_i - 1) - 2a_i\}.$$

The theorem is proven by setting $\ell_i := 1 + a_i$ after observing that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + (d_{\hat{1}} - 1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^s (d_i - 1 - 1) - 2a_i &= d_{\hat{1}} + \sum_{i=k+1}^s (d_i - 2\ell_i) \\ &= \rho(P) - \rho(p_k) - 2 \sum_{i=k+1}^s \ell_i. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1. According to Theorem 2.5, we only need to prove that h forms a differential O -sequence. We will do so by constructing directly a monomial order ideal. The proof of the theorem will follow immediately from the following result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let P be a poset. The set of monomials SFY is a monomial order ideal.*

Proof. To prove the statement, it is sufficient to show that for any monomial

$$m = \prod_{k=1}^s x_{p_k}^{\ell_k} \in \text{SFY},$$

any monomial $m' = \prod_{k=1}^s x_{p_k}^{\ell'_k}$ that divides m is also in SFY. By the definition of SFY we know that

$$\ell_k \leq \rho(p_k) - \rho(p_{k-1}) - 1 \tag{2}$$

and that

$$\ell_k \leq \rho(\hat{1}) - \rho(p_k) - 2 \sum_{i=k+1}^s \ell_i. \tag{3}$$

To show that m' belongs to SFY we need to show that every ℓ'_k satisfies the same inequalities (written for the support of m'). As $\ell' \leq \ell$, we know that

$$\ell'_k \leq \ell_k \leq \rho(\hat{1}) - \rho(p_k) - 2 \sum_{i=k+1}^s \ell_i \leq \rho(\hat{1}) - \rho(p_k) - 2 \sum_{i=k+1}^s \ell'_i,$$

thus (3) holds for the exponents of m' . We move on to (2). For a fixed k , let us assume that p_s is the largest element below p_k such that $\ell'_s > 0$, or $\hat{0}$ if no such element exists. Now, we need to show that

$$\ell'_k \leq \rho(p_k) - \rho(p_s) - 1.$$

Since $p_s \leq p_{k-1} < p_k$, we can write

$$\ell'_k \leq \ell_k \leq \rho(p_k) - \rho(p_{k-1}) - 1 \leq \rho(p_k) - \rho(p_s) - 1.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1 and thus of Corollary 1.2. By Theorem 2.5, we only need to prove that h forms a differential O -sequence. This follows by Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 4.1 as they imply that the FY g -vector is the h -vector of the monomial order ideal SFY. The corollary follows by Theorem 2.15. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We show that any monomial that is maximal under divisibility has the correct degree, i.e., $\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$. To do so, consider a maximal monomial m and write $m = x_{p_k}^\ell \cdot m'$, where m' is a monomial of degree r with variables corresponding to elements that are strictly greater than the element p_k of rank k . By contradiction, suppose that $\ell + r < \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$. Clearly, $\ell = \min(n - k - 2r, k - 1)$, otherwise we could increase this exponent without violating the defining conditions, contradicting the maximality of m . We now distinguish two cases,

- i) $\ell = n - k - 2r \leq k - 1$, or
- ii) $\ell = k - 1 < n - k - 2r$.

Let us start from case i). Since m is maximal, in particular we cannot add a variable x_{p_2} corresponding to a rank 2 element and still have a valid monomial. For us to be able to add x_{p_2} , we would need that $n - 2 - 2(\ell + r) \geq 1$ and $k - 2 - 1 \geq \ell$. This means that at least one of the following must hold

- a) $n - 2 - 2(\ell + r) \leq 0$,
- b) $k - 2 - 1 \leq \ell - 1$.

If a) holds, then $\ell + r \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$, implying that m has the maximal possible degree. If a) does not hold, then b) does. This means that $r \leq \frac{n-2\ell-3}{2}$ and $\ell \geq k - 2$. However, by i) we know that $r = \frac{n-k-\ell}{2}$ and this would be satisfied if and only if $\ell \leq k - 3$. This concludes case i).

We move on to case ii). Now, m' can be seen as a monomial for the chain of rank $n - k$, so its maximal possible degree is $\lfloor (n - k - 1)/2 \rfloor$. If $r = \lfloor (n - k - 1)/2 \rfloor$, then $n - k - 2r$ is either equal to 2, if $n - k$ is even, or 1, if $n - k$ is odd. However, since $\ell \geq 1$, then $n - k - 2r \geq 2$. To summarize,

$$\ell = k - 1 < n - k - 2r = 2,$$

which implies that $\ell = 1$, $k = 2$ and n is even. So,

$$\ell + r = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{n - 2 - 1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n - 1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

The last case to check is when $r < \lfloor (n - k - 1)/2 \rfloor$. If $r \leq \frac{n-2k-1}{2}$, then we could increase r by one without violating any condition, contradicting the maximality of m . We can then assume that $n - k - 2r - (k - 1) =$




FIGURE 2. Counter-example to pureness

1, which implies that n is even and $r = \frac{n-2k}{2}$. Therefore,

$$\ell + r = k - 1 + \frac{n-2k}{2} = \frac{n-2}{2} = \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

This concludes case *ii*) and the proof. \square

Let us point out, that the theorem above relies on the fact that our poset is ranked (as opposed to being weakly ranked). We now show that the statement would not hold in the weakly ranked case.

Example 5.1. Let us consider the poset P depicted in Figure 2. Let $\rho(a_i) = 4$, $\rho(b_i) = i$ and $\rho(\hat{1}) = 5$. It is not hard to check that the h -vector of SFY in this case is $(1, m + 3, 2)$. Choosing a sufficiently large m will imply that the inequality

$$\left\lfloor \frac{h_1 + 1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq h_2 \leq \binom{h_1 + 1}{2}.$$

from Proposition 2.11 cannot hold. Indeed, from our construction any x_{a_i} and $x_{b_2}x_{b_4}$ would be in SFY and would be maximal.

6. LOG-CONCAVITY OF THE CHOW POLYNOMIAL

In this section, we will discuss the log-concavity of Chow polynomials based on the weak rank of the poset. We will use the following simple statement.

Proposition 6.1. *For a symmetric and unimodal sequence h , if Δh is log-concave, then h is log-concave as well.*

Proof. Let us prove that $h_i^2 \geq h_{i-1}h_{i+1}$ for each index $1 \leq i \leq e-1$. Let us use the fact that the convolution of two log-concave sequences is log-concave [Mer98]. Specifically, let us take the convolution of $(\Delta h_0, \Delta h_1, \dots, \Delta h_{\lfloor (e-1)/2 \rfloor})$ and the sequence $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ with length

at least $\lfloor (e-1)/2 \rfloor$. The first $\lfloor (e-1)/2 \rfloor$ elements of this convolution are exactly

$$h_0, h_1, \dots, h_{\lfloor (e-1)/2 \rfloor}.$$

This implies the inequality for indices $1 \leq i < \lfloor (e-1)/2 \rfloor$. Since h is palindromic, this also shows the inequality for $\lfloor e/2 \rfloor < i \leq e-1$. Lastly, the inequality for $\lfloor (e-1)/2 \rfloor$ and $\lfloor e/2 \rfloor$ (these two might coincide) follows directly from unimodality. \square

Theorem 1.1 allows us to get very strong bounds for the elements of the differential sequence of the coefficients of the Chow polynomial for low ranks. In particular, we are able to show log-concavity for posets of low rank.

Theorem 6.2. *Let P be a poset of weak rank at most 6. Then its Chow polynomial is log-concave.*

Proof. The statement is trivial for weak rank at most 4. If P has weak rank 5 or 6, it has a FY g -vector of length 3. By Proposition 2.10 and since $g_1 \geq 1$, we can write

$$1 \cdot g_2 \leq g_1 \frac{(g_1 + 1)}{2} \leq g_1^2.$$

The theorem now follows by Proposition 6.1. \square

Remark 6.3. The only rank-exhaustive result we are aware of is [FMSV24, Theorem 5.4], where real-rootedness (and therefore log-concavity) of Chow polynomials of geometric lattices of rank at most 5 is proven exploiting the Koszulness of the Chow ring of the matroid. That kind of analysis cannot be extended yet to generic posets because there is no analogue of such a ring yet.

We conclude this section by exhibiting a ranked poset with non log-concave Chow polynomial for any rank $\rho(P) > 6$.

Example 6.4. Let $n > 6$ and m be a positive integer. Consider the poset depicted in Figure 3. Let us bound the order of magnitude for h_1, h_2, h_3 in terms of m . First, $h_1 \geq m^3$, as h_1 is equal to the number of elements of rank greater than 2. Then, we look at h_2 . Since we are working with FY monomials, we do not consider products of variables corresponding to incomparable elements. The number of rank two FY monomials that do not contain elements of the form $a_{2k,j}$ or $b_{2,j}$ is constant in m . Then, each monomial can contain at most one element of the form $b_{2i,j}$ or at most two elements of the form $a_{2k,j}$. In total, we then get that $h_2 \in O(m^4)$. Similarly, $h_3 \geq m^6$, since $\rho(P) \geq 7$ and we can allow three elements of the form $a_{2k,j}$. Let us now fix C such that $h_2 \leq C \cdot m^4$. For $m > C^2$, we get that

$$h_2^2 \leq Cm^4 \cdot Cm^4 < m^9 = m^3 \cdot m^6 \leq h_1 h_3,$$

which violates log-concavity. We note that these posets are ranked series-parallel lattices, but none of them is Cohen–Macaulay.




FIGURE 3. Counter-example to log-concavity for $\rho(P) \geq 7$

REFERENCES

- [AHK18] Karim Adiprasito, June Huh, and Eric Katz, *Hodge theory for combinatorial geometries*, Ann. Math. (2) **188** (2018), no. 2, 381–452 (English).
- [ANR25] Robert Angarone, Anastasia Nathanson, and Victor Reiner, *Chow rings of matroids as permutation representations*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **111** (2025), no. 1, e70039.
- [BL80] Louis Billera and Carl Lee, *Sufficiency of McMullen's condition for f -vectors of simplicial polytopes*, Bulletin of The American Mathematical Society - BULL AMER MATH SOC **2** (1980), 181–185.
- [BV25a] Petter Brändén and Lorenzo Vecchi, *Chow polynomials of totally non-negative matrices and posets*, 2025.
- [BV25b] ———, *Chow polynomials of uniform matroids are real-rooted*, 2025.
- [CFL25] Basile Coron, Luis Ferroni, and Shiyue Li, *Chow polynomials of rank-uniform labeled posets*, 2025.
- [dBvETK51] N. G. de Bruijn, C. van Ebbenhorst Tengbergen, and D. Kruyswijk, *On the set of divisors of a number*, Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde, serie 2, 23, 1951.
- [FMSV24] Luis Ferroni, Jacob P. Matherne, Matthew Stevens, and Lorenzo Vecchi, *Hilbert–Poincaré series of matroid Chow rings and intersection cohomology*, Advances in Mathematics **449** (2024), 109733.
- [FMV24] Luis Ferroni, Jacob P. Matherne, and Lorenzo Vecchi, *Chow functions for partially ordered sets*, arXiv e-prints (2024), arXiv:2411.04070.
- [FY04] Eva M. Feichtner and Sergey Yuzvinsky, *Chow rings of toric varieties defined by atomic lattices*, Inventiones Mathematicae **155** (2004), 515–536.
- [Hib89] Takayuki Hibi, *What can be said about pure O-sequences?*, J. Comb. Theory A **50** (1989), 319–322.

- [HMNW03] Tadahito Harima, Juan C. Migliore, Uwe Nagel, and Junzo Watanabe, *The Weak and Strong Lefschetz properties for Artinian K -algebras*, Journal of Algebra **262** (2003), no. 1, 99–126.
- [HS25] Elena Hoster and Christian Stump, *Chow polynomials of simplicial posets with positive h -vector are real-rooted*, 2025.
- [Iar94] Anthony A. Iarrobino, *Associated graded algebra of a Gorenstein Artin algebra*, American Mathematical Society: Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [Mac26] Francis S. Macaulay, *Some properties of enumeration in the theory of modular systems*, Proceedings of The London Mathematical Society (1926), 531–555.
- [McM71] Peter McMullen, *The numbers of faces of simplicial polytopes*, Isr. J. Math. **9** (1971), no. 4, 559–570 (en).
- [McM93] ———, *On simple polytopes*, Inventiones Mathematicae **113** (1993), no. 1, 419–444.
- [Mer98] Milan Merkle, *Convolutions of logarithmically concave functions*, Publikacije Elektrotehničkog fakulteta. Serija Matematika (1998), no. 9, 113–117.
- [MNZ13] Juan Migliore, Uwe Nagel, and Fabrizio Zanello, *Pure O -sequences: Known results, applications, and open problems*, Commutative Algebra: Expository Papers Dedicated to David Eisenbud on the Occasion of His 65th Birthday (Irena Peeva, ed.), Springer New York, New York, NY, 2013, pp. 527–550.
- [Som27] Duncan M. Y. Sommerville, *The relations connecting the angle-sums and volume of a polytope in space of n dimensions*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Containing Papers of a Mathematical and Physical Character **115** (1927), no. 770, 103–119.
- [Sta78] Richard P. Stanley, *Hilbert functions of graded algebras*, Advances in Mathematics **28** (1978), no. 1, 57–83.
- [Sta06] Richard P. Stanley, *The number of faces of simplicial polytopes and spheres*, Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences **440** (2006), 212 – 223.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
Email address: adasch@kth.se

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KTH ROYAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN
Email address: lvecchi@kth.se