

Bethe Vectors in Quantum Integrable Models with Classical Symmetries

A. Liashyk^a, S. Pakuliak^b and E. Ragoucy^b

^a *Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications (BIMSA),
No. 544, Hefangkou Village Huabei Town, Huairou District Beijing 101408, China*

^b *Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique (LAPTh)
CNRS & Université Savoie Mont Blanc*

Chemin de Bellevue, BP 110, F-74941, Annecy-le-Vieux cedex, France

E-mails: liashyk@bimsa.cn, pakuliak@lapth.cnrs.fr, ragoucy@lapth.cnrs.fr

Abstract

The first goal of this paper is to give a precise and simple definition for off-shell Bethe vectors in a generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable model for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and \mathfrak{o}_{2n} . We prove from our definition that the off-shell Bethe vectors indeed become on-shell when the Bethe equations are obeyed.

Then, we show that some properties for these off-shell Bethe vectors, such as the action formulas of monodromy entries on these vectors, their rectangular recurrence relations and their coproduct formula, are a consequence of our definition.

1 Introduction

The algebraic Bethe ansatz is a very powerful tool to obtain the eigenvectors of the transfer matrix defining a quantum integrable spin chain. In this context, these eigenvectors are called *on-shell Bethe vectors*. The term *on-shell* refers to the relations (the Bethe equations) that the parameters (the Bethe parameters) entering the Bethe vectors have to satisfy, in order to be true eigenvectors of the transfer matrix. Then, it is natural to call *off-shell* the same Bethe vectors defined without assuming that their Bethe parameters obey the Bethe equations. In other words, off-shell Bethe vectors are loosely defined as becoming on-shell when the Bethe equations are obeyed. This definition suffers from a lack of precision, since any term which vanishes when the Bethe equations are fulfilled can be added to a Bethe vector without spoiling this loose definition. However, off-shell Bethe vectors play an important role in the calculation of form factors and correlation functions, so that a precise definition of these objects is essential. The first goal of this paper is to give a precise definition for off-shell Bethe vectors and prove that they indeed become on-shell when the Bethe equations are obeyed. The second goal is to show that some properties for these off-shell Bethe vectors, sometimes used as definitions for them, are a consequence of our definition.

The framework used in this paper follows the one developed in the papers [11, 20, 21]. In these papers, the algebraic Bethe ansatz for a generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable model was developed for the finite-dimensional Lie algebras $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ and \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} . By a generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable model we understand a model which is defined by a monodromy matrix $T(u)$ satisfying the RTT commutation relations with a \mathfrak{g} -invariant R -matrix, with no assumption on the representation space $T(u)$ acts on (except that it is highest weight). For Yangians based on finite-dimensional algebras corresponding to the classical series, these R -matrices were discovered long ago in [29, 30]. The RTT commutation relations are the defining relations for the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ in its matrix realization [5, 23]. Representations of the Yangians $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ using \mathfrak{g} -invariant R -matrices were presented in [1]. Isomorphisms between R -matrix and Drinfeld's realizations of $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ was done in [3, 13]. It allows to replace the monodromy matrix in a generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant model by the fundamental matrix operator $T(u)$ of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$.

Due to the RTT commutation relation the trace of the fundamental monodromy matrix is a generating series for commuting operators and the main objective of the algebraic Bethe ansatz is to construct the eigenvectors of these commuting operators in terms of the monodromy entries. Combinatorial expressions for these eigenvectors can be found in the framework of nested Bethe ansatz as certain polynomials of non-commuting monodromy entries acting on a vacuum vector for \mathfrak{gl}_N [17, 18] and for \mathfrak{o}_N , \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} [2, 25, 26]. Another possibility is to use a trace formula for the Bethe vectors found in [28] for \mathfrak{gl}_n and $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. Later, the trace formula was found for the C and D series cases and their q -deformed generalizations, see [9]. Unfortunately, the trace formula is not known for B -series case.

An alternative approach to construct Bethe vectors was proposed in [8, 14] for the integrable models related to $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_N)$. It was called the *projection method* because it uses projections to the intersections of different type Borel subalgebras in the quantum affine algebras acting on the product of simple root currents in the Drinfeld's 'new' realization [6] of the quantum affine algebras $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. This approach was generalized for the Yangian double $DY(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ in [10] and for $DY(\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1})$ in [20]. It allows to construct the so-called off-shell Bethe vectors, i.e. when their Bethe parameters are free. The off-shell Bethe vectors becomes on-shell Bethe vectors and diagonalize the commuting set of integrals when their parameters satisfy the Bethe equations.

The paper [10] presents the action of the upper-diagonal and diagonal monodromy entries on the off-shell Bethe vectors. Nested recurrence relations corresponding to the extreme nodes of the Dynkin diagram were also obtained in this paper in the framework of the projection method. Unfortunately, the projection method does not allow to get easily the action formula of an arbitrary monodromy entry, nor the recurrence relations corresponding to an arbitrary node of the Dynkin diagram. To get these actions, another method, called the zero mode method, was designed in [11] for $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -invariant models. It was then generalized in [21] to get recurrence relations corresponding to an arbitrary node of the Dynkin diagram for the off-shell Bethe vector of \mathfrak{gl}_n and \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} -invariant models. The calculation was done inductively with the starting point taken from the projection method.

It was shown in the papers [11] and [21] that when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ or \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} , in order to fully describe off-shell and on-shell Bethe vectors in terms of the action of an arbitrary monodromy entry and the recurrence relations with respect to an arbitrary node of the Dynkin diagram one has to combine a single (rather simple) action of the most upper right entry of the monodromy matrix (called below the highest monodromy entry) with the action of certain zero modes of

monodromy matrix identified with the simple root generators of the algebra \mathfrak{g} . This data can be obtained from elsewhere, in particular from the projection method. Once this data obtained (or postulated), the full description of the Bethe vectors in terms of the arbitrary monodromy entry the action formulas and the recurrence relation corresponding to an arbitrary Dynkin node is done using basically only RTT commutation relations. This opens the possibility to define off-shell Bethe vectors without any reference to the Drinfeld's 'new' realization and the use of the Yangian doubles.

In this paper we realize this program for arbitrary algebra Lie \mathfrak{g} of the classical series. We start to consider a generic \mathfrak{gl}_n -invariant model to recall some already known results recasted within our approach. Then we repeat this program for the algebras \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} , \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} , and \mathfrak{o}_{2n} . Surprisingly enough, the formulas for the monodromy entries actions and the recurrence relations can be unified and written as two formulas valid for any generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable model. It is achieved by introducing two discrete parameters $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\xi_{\mathfrak{g}}$, whose algebraic interpretation remains to be clarified.

We would also like to emphasize that the results presented in this article are *universal*, in the sense that they apply to any integrable spin-chain model, regardless of the representations used at each site (provided they are highest-weight representations). As such, all the functions appearing in the various formulas are the same for any model. The only model dependence is reflected in the functional parameters $\lambda_j(z)$ and in their ratios $\alpha_s(z)$.

2 Main results

The construction we will present is rather technical and we summarize here the main results of our paper, namely a precise definition of off-shell Bethe vectors, valid for any Yangian based on the A , B , C , and D series, together with the properties which come out from this definition. Since this section is a summary, we will not give details, and focus on the general features, common to all the algebras mentioned above. We refer to the core of the paper for a more precise description.

We start with a RTT presentation for the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$, \mathfrak{g} being one of the Lie algebras \mathfrak{gl}_n , \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} , \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} and \mathfrak{o}_{2n} . The framework is precisely defined in the next section, here we just assume that we have a monodromy matrix $T(z)$ whose entries $T_{i,j}(z)$ are labeled by indices running over a set $I_{\mathfrak{g}}$ which depends on the algebra we consider. The cardinality of $I_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is $N_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and corresponds to the dimension of the fundamental representation of \mathfrak{g} . The set $I_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is invariant under an idempotent mapping $i \rightarrow i'$ which depends on \mathfrak{g} , see next section. Using this mapping, we can write $I_{\mathfrak{g}} = \{n', n'+1, \dots, n-1, n\}$. $I_{\mathfrak{g}}$ contains a subset $J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ which is used to label the simple roots of \mathfrak{g} . Clearly the cardinality of $J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the rank of \mathfrak{g} . We can write $I_{\mathfrak{g}} = J_{\mathfrak{g}} \cup (J_{\mathfrak{g}})' \cup \{n', n\}$, noting that the sets $J_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $(J_{\mathfrak{g}})'$ and $\{n', n\}$ may have non-empty intersections.

The zero modes $T_{i,j}$ of $T_{i,j}(z)$ define a subalgebra \mathfrak{g} of $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ and we will use its Cartan subalgebra to provide *colors* $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ to the monodromy matrix entries and to formal parameters. We will note \mathfrak{q}_a , $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$, the Cartan generators whose eigenvalues correspond to the colors, and \mathfrak{T}_a , $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$, the generators associated to the simple roots of \mathfrak{g} .

We consider generalized models, defined by a vacuum $|0\rangle$, a set of functions $\lambda_i(u)$, $i \in I_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and the relations $(T_{ij}(u) - \delta_{ij}\lambda_i(u))|0\rangle = 0$, $i \geq j$, valid for any formal parameter u . It is

known that all spin chain models fall in this class of models, see for instance [21]. The phase space of these models is the set \mathcal{V}^+ of polynomials in $T_{i,j}(u_k)$, $i < j$, for any formal parameters u_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and acting on the vacuum. The transfer matrix of these models is $\text{tr } T(z)$, which commutes with the algebra \mathfrak{g} . Then, we can look for eigenvectors of both the transfer matrix and of the color generators \mathfrak{q}_a , $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Definition 2.1. *Let \bar{t} be a collection of sets $\bar{t} = \{\bar{t}^a, a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}\}$, where each set \bar{t}^a gathers formal parameters of color a . The off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ are element of \mathcal{V}^+ , uniquely defined (up to a normalisation factor) by the initial condition $\mathbb{B}(\emptyset) = |0\rangle$ and the following relations*

$$T_{n',n}(z) \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \lambda_n(z) \mu_{n'}^n(z; \bar{t}) \mathbb{B}(\bar{w}),$$

$$\mathfrak{T}_a \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \sum_{\text{part}} \left(\alpha_a(\bar{t}_1^a) \Omega_a^L(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_1) - \Omega_a^R(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_1) \right) \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_1), \quad a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

where $\mu_{n'}^n(z; \bar{t})$, $\Omega_a^L(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_1)$ and $\Omega_a^R(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_1)$ are rational functions, precisely defined (for a specific normalization of the off-shell Bethe vectors) in equations (4.2), (5.5), (4.5) and (5.7). The sum runs over partitions $\bar{t}^s \dashv \{\bar{t}_1^s, \bar{t}_2^s\}$ with $|\bar{t}_1^s| = \delta_{a,s}$. The set \bar{w} is an extension of \bar{t} by z , see its precise definition (which depends on \mathfrak{g}) in (4.4), (5.4) and (7.3). The functions $\alpha_a(z)$ are ratio of $\lambda_i(z)$ functions, see (4.1) and (5.2).

Remark 2.1. *We want to point out that the definition of off-shell Bethe vectors is very similar to the construction of the roots for affine algebras starting from the simple roots of the finite algebra. Indeed, the zero modes \mathfrak{T}_a (located in the lower triangular part of the monodromy matrix) can be clearly related to the simple roots r_a of the corresponding finite dimensional algebra \mathfrak{g} , while the highest entry $T_{n',n}(z)$ (located in the upper triangular part of the monodromy matrix) is reminiscent of the affine root $-\sum_{a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}} r_a + \delta$ used to built the affine root system.*

To present the different properties associated to this definition, we need to introduce the operators \mathcal{Z}_l^k which extend the sets \bar{t}^a by the formal parameter z and/or a shifted version of z . The precise definition of \mathcal{Z}_l^k and of the shifts of z depend on the algebra \mathfrak{g} , and can be found in equations (4.6), (5.3) and (7.4).

The normalisation factor used in the definition 2.1 is consistent with the functions described below.

Then, the unicity of the off-shell Bethe vectors is proved thanks to the following property, since it allows to build the Bethe vectors starting from the initial condition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ be an off-shell Bethe vector. Then, it obeys the following rectangular recurrence relations*

$$\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k(z) \mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t})} \sum_{i=n'}^{\ell} \sum_{j=k}^n \sum_{\text{part}} \alpha(\bar{t}_\text{III}) \Xi_{i,j}^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2, \bar{t}_\text{III}) T_{i,j}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_2),$$

where $\mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t})$ and $\Xi_{i,j}^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_2, \bar{t}_\text{III})$ are rational functions, precisely defined in equations (4.7), (A.2), (4.12) and (8.3). The sum runs over partitions $\bar{t}^a \dashv \{\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_2^a, \bar{t}_\text{III}^a\}$ with cardinalities of \bar{t}_1^a and \bar{t}_III^a depending on the algebra \mathfrak{g} , and the indices i, j, k, ℓ , see (4.13) and (8.5).

In [21], the recurrence relations of the proposition 2.1 were called *rectangular* because their r.h.s. contains monodromy matrix elements from a rectangular domain of the monodromy matrix, see section 8.

The second property can be viewed as a dual version of the previous one since it can be used to prove the recurrence relations.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ be an off-shell Bethe vector. Then, the action of the monodromy matrix entries reads*

$$T_{i,j}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \lambda_n(z) \mu_{n'}^n(z; \bar{t}) \sum_{\text{part } \bar{w}^s} \mathbb{B}(\bar{w}_{\text{II}}) \frac{\Gamma_i(\bar{w}_{\text{I}})}{\psi_{n'}(z; \bar{w}_{\text{I}})} \frac{\bar{\Gamma}_j(\bar{w}_{\text{III}})}{\phi_n(z; \bar{w}_{\text{III}})} \Omega(\bar{w}_{\text{I}}, \bar{w}_{\text{II}}, \bar{w}_{\text{III}}) \alpha(\bar{w}_{\text{III}}),$$

where $\Gamma_i(\bar{w}_{\text{I}})$, $\psi_{n'}(z; \bar{w}_{\text{I}})$, $\bar{\Gamma}_j(\bar{w}_{\text{III}})$ and $\phi_n(z; \bar{w}_{\text{III}})$ are rational functions, see propositions 4.1 and 6.1.

Corollary 2.1. *The off-shell Bethe vectors have definite colors:*

$$\mathfrak{q}_a \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = |\bar{t}^a| \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}), \quad a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

The next property justifies the name off-shell Bethe vectors we use in the definition 2.1:

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ be an off-shell Bethe vector. If the Bethe equations*

$$\alpha_a(\bar{t}_1^a) = \frac{\Omega_a^R(\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}})}{\Omega_a^L(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_1)}, \quad a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

are obeyed, $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ is an eigenvector of the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}(z) = \text{tr } T(z)$:

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}(z) \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \tau_{\mathfrak{g}}(z; \bar{t}) \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}).$$

Moreover, the on-shell Bethe vectors are also highest weight vectors for the \mathfrak{g} algebra:

$$\mathfrak{T}_a \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = 0, \quad a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

The explicit form of the eigenvalues are given in (4.27), (7.10), (7.12) and (7.13).

The next proposition allows to build Bethe vectors for composite models. It is usually called the coproduct formula for off-shell Bethe vectors.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathbb{B}^{[2]}(\bar{t})$ and $\mathbb{B}^{[1]}(\bar{t})$ be two off-shell Bethe vectors obeying the definition 2.1 for two different commuting monodromy matrices $T^{[2]}(u)$ and $T^{[1]}(u)$ respectively. Then, the composed Bethe vector*

$$\mathbb{B}^{[2,1]}(\bar{t}) = \sum_{\text{part}} \Omega(\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \mathbb{B}^{[2]}(\bar{t}_1) \mathbb{B}^{[1]}(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \prod_{s \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}} \alpha_s^{[2]}(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s)$$

also obeys the definition 2.1 for the composite model defined by the monodromy matrix

$$T^{[2,1]}(z) = T^{[2]}(u) T^{[1]}(u), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad T_{i,j}^{[2,1]}(z) = \sum_{k=n'}^n T_{i,k}^{[2]}(u) T_{k,j}^{[1]}(u).$$

The rational function Ω is defined in (9.2).

To end this section, we would like to stress that the above definition is directly inherited from the current presentation of the double Yangian $DY(\mathfrak{g})$. Indeed, an alternative presentation of off-shell Bethe vectors (called universal weight functions in this context) has been introduced using the current presentation and a projection on different types of Borel subalgebras of $DY(\mathfrak{g})$ [22]. In this framework, the properties described above (including the formulas used in the definition 2.1) has been proven for \mathfrak{gl}_n and \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} algebras, and the correspondence with a “usual” definition of Bethe vectors (using the RTT presentation of $Y(\mathfrak{g})$) was done on a case-by-case basis, [11, 20]. In the present paper, the proofs rely only on the *RTT* presentation, thereby establishing the full compatibility between these two definitions.

3 Generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant model

Let \mathfrak{g} be one of the classical Lie algebras \mathfrak{gl}_n , \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} , \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} and \mathfrak{o}_{2n} corresponding to the A_{n-1} , B_n , C_n and D_n classical series. Note that the algebra \mathfrak{gl}_n will be sometimes singled out in this list, because it is the only one that can be embedded in all other algebras. We will heavily use this property to shorten some proofs. It explains why the list is A_{n-1} , B_n , C_n , D_n despite the rank of A_{n-1} is different from the other ones.

3.1 R -matrix

Fix a positive integer $n \geq 2$. Let $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a vector space for the fundamental representation of \mathfrak{g} . We note $N_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the dimension of $V_{\mathfrak{g}}$. For the classical Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} , \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} , \mathfrak{o}_{2n} , this dimension is n , $2n+1$, $2n$ and $2n$ respectively. In order to describe the operators (matrices) acting in these representations for different \mathfrak{g} on the equal footing we introduce following notations. Let $\xi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the integer parameter such that

$$N_{\mathfrak{g}} \equiv N = 2n + 1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Explicitly

$$\xi_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{cases} n+1, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n, \\ 0, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \\ 1, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \mathfrak{o}_{2n}. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

For any integer i we define a map $i \rightarrow i'$ such that

$$i' = \xi_{\mathfrak{g}} - i. \quad (3.2)$$

This map leaves invariant the set of matrix indices

$$I_{\mathfrak{g}} = \{n', n' + 1, \dots, n - 1, n\}$$

of operators from $\text{End}(V_{\mathfrak{g}})$.

To define the R -matrix in a uniform way, one needs to introduce another integer parameter $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}$, defined as

$$\epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n, \\ 1 & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_N, \\ -1 & \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

and its "local" versions

$$\epsilon_i = (\epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}})^{\delta_{i>0}}, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\delta_{\text{condition}}$ is equal to 1 when the "condition" is satisfied and equal to zero otherwise. Accordingly, let us introduce the operation $(\cdot)^t$ acting on $N \times N$ square matrices M as

$$(M^t)_{i,j} = \epsilon_i \epsilon_j M_{j',i'}, \quad i, j \in I_{\mathfrak{g}}. \quad (3.5)$$

When $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$, $(\cdot)^t$ is a transposition, but it gives 0 for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$. We will nevertheless loosely call it a transposition. With the help of this transposition the \mathfrak{g} -invariant R -matrix [29, 30] reads

$$R(u, v) = \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} + \frac{c \mathbf{P}}{u - v} - \frac{c \mathbf{Q}}{u - v + c \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\mathbf{I} = \sum_{i \in I_{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathbf{e}_{i,i}$ is the identity operator acting in the space \mathbb{C}^N and $\mathbf{e}_{i,j} \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^N)$ are $N \times N$ matrices with the only nonzero entry equals to 1 at the intersection of the i -th row and j -th column. The operators \mathbf{P} and $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}^{t_2} = \mathbf{P}^{t_1}$ act in $\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$ as

$$\mathbf{P} = \sum_{i,j \in I_{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathbf{e}_{i,j} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{j,i}, \quad \mathbf{Q} = \sum_{i,j \in I_{\mathfrak{g}}} \epsilon_i \epsilon_j \mathbf{e}_{i,j} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i',j'}. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that $\mathbf{Q} = 0$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$. The R -matrix (3.6) depends on the formal spectral parameters u, v and the rational functions of these parameters entering the definition of $R(u, v)$ should be understood as Laurent series with respect to either the ratio u/v or the ratio v/u .

The parameter $\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}$ entering the definition of the \mathfrak{g} -invariant R -matrix (3.6) needs to be defined only for $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$. It reads

$$\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} = n + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}} \equiv n + \theta_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where to lighten the presentation, we have introduced

$$\theta_{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}} = \begin{cases} -1/2, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \\ 1, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \\ -1, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

We will also use

$$\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} = 2\xi_{\mathfrak{g}} - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \\ 1, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \\ 2, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}. \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

We will note $\Theta(m)$ the Heaviside step function, defined on \mathbb{Z} by the relations

$$\Theta(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & m \geq 0, \\ 0, & m < 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

3.2 RTT presentation

The main subject of this paper will be a generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable model which is described by the $N \times N$ monodromy matrix $T(u)$. The monodromy matrix satisfies the commutation relations

$$R(u, v) (T(u) \otimes \mathbf{I}) (\mathbf{I} \otimes T(v)) = (\mathbf{I} \otimes T(v)) (T(u) \otimes \mathbf{I}) R(u, v),$$

with the \mathfrak{g} -invariant R -matrix (3.6). We assume that the monodromy matrix $T(u)$ is a Laurent series with respect to the formal parameter u of the form

$$T(u) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{m \geq 0} T[m] (u/c)^{-m-1}. \quad (3.10)$$

Using the monodromy matrix entries $T_{i,j}(u)$ defined by

$$T(u) = \sum_{i,j \in I_{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathbf{e}_{ij} \cdot T_{i,j}(u) \quad (3.11)$$

the commutation relation can be written as follows

$$\begin{aligned} [T_{i,j}(u), T_{k,l}(v)] &= \frac{c}{u-v} (T_{k,j}(v) T_{i,l}(u) - T_{k,j}(u) T_{i,l}(v)) \\ &+ \frac{c}{u-v+c\kappa} \sum_{p \in I_{\mathfrak{g}}} \epsilon_p (\delta_{k,i'} \epsilon_i T_{p,j}(u) T_{p',l}(v) - \delta_{l,j'} \epsilon_j T_{k,p'}(v) T_{i,p}(u)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

with i' and ϵ_i are defined by (3.2) and (3.4) respectively. Let us remind that the second line in (3.12) is missing for the generic \mathfrak{gl}_n -invariant integrable model since the parameters ϵ_i equal to 0 in this case. It follows from the definitions (3.10) and (3.11) that the monodromy entries $T_{i,j}(u)$ are Laurent series of the formal spectral parameter u of the form

$$T_{i,j}(u) = \delta_{i,j} + \sum_{m \geq 0} T_{i,j}[m] (u/c)^{-m-1}. \quad (3.13)$$

We denote by $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the algebra of operators $T_{i,j}(u)$ satisfying the commutation relations (3.12). We will loosely call $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the Yangian of \mathfrak{g} , although the algebraically dependent generators of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ are the modes $T_{i,j}[m]$, $m \geq 0$ of the generating series $T_{i,j}(u)$. Among the modes there are the so-called zero modes operators $\mathsf{T}_{i,j} = T_{i,j}[0]$. One can verify from (3.12) that the algebra of zero modes operators $\mathsf{T}_{i,j}$ is isomorphic to the symmetry algebra \mathfrak{g} . Using (3.13) we can write the commutation relations of the zero modes $\mathsf{T}_{i,j}$ with the monodromy entries $T_{k,l}(v)$ for all \mathfrak{g}

$$[\mathsf{T}_{i,j}, T_{k,l}(v)] = \left(\delta_{i,l} T_{k,j}(v) - \epsilon_i \epsilon_j \delta_{j',l} T_{k,i'}(v) \right) - \left(\delta_{k,j} T_{i,l}(v) - \epsilon_i \epsilon_j \delta_{i',k} T_{j',l}(v) \right). \quad (3.14)$$

We fix a basis for the Cartan generators of \mathfrak{g} in the following way. We first introduce the diagonal zero modes

$$\mathfrak{k}_a = T_{a+1,a+1}[0] - \lambda_{a+1}[0], \quad a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad (3.15)$$

such that $\mathfrak{k}_a |0\rangle = 0$, where $|0\rangle$ is a vacuum vector of generalized integrable model defined below in (3.21). It follows from the commutation relation (3.14) that the monodromy matrix entries $T_{i,j}(u)$ are eigenvectors with respect to adjoint action of the operators (3.15)

$$[\mathfrak{k}_a, T_{i,j}(z)] = \left((\delta_{a+1,j} - \delta_{a+1,i}) - \epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}^2 (\delta_{a+1,j'} - \delta_{a+1,i'}) \right) T_{i,j}(z), \quad (3.16)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given in (3.3).

For $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$, let ν_a and \mathfrak{d}_a be the following parameters

$$\nu_a = 1 + (\xi_{\mathfrak{g}} + (\epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}} - 1)/2) \delta_{a,0} = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \\ 1 + \delta_{a,0}, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}, \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{d}_a = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}^2 \xi_{\mathfrak{g}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}-1} \delta_{a,\ell} = \begin{cases} 0, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \\ \delta_{a,0}, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \\ \delta_{a,0} + \delta_{a,1}, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}. \end{cases}$$

Then we can define the *color operators* \mathfrak{q}_a as

$$\mathfrak{q}_a = \frac{1}{1 + \mathfrak{d}_a} \left(\sum_{b=a+\nu_a-1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{k}_b + (\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} - 1) (-1)^a \mathfrak{d}_a \mathfrak{k}_0 \right), \quad a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}. \quad (3.18)$$

Note that, due to the values of $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\xi_{\mathfrak{g}}$, the second term in (3.18) is non-zero only for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$. In that case this term is equal to $(\delta_{a,0} - \delta_{a,1}) \mathfrak{k}_0$. Note also that for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ all the parameters $\nu_a = 1$, since for this algebra $a = 0$ does not occur.

Using (3.16) one can calculate the adjoint action of the color operators \mathfrak{q}_a , $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on the monodromy entries $T_{i,j}(z)$:

$$[\mathfrak{q}_a, T_{i,j}(z)] = \left(q_a(j) - q_a(i) - \epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}^2 (q_a(j') - q_a(i')) \right) T_{i,j}(z),$$

where

$$q_a(i) = \frac{1}{1 + \mathfrak{d}_a} \left(\sum_{b=a+\nu_a-1}^{n-1} \delta_{b+1,i} + \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2} (\delta_{a',i} - \delta_{a,i}) \delta_{i,1} \right).$$

Using properties of the R -matrix (3.6) one can also verify that in the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$ there are two symmetry relations

$$T(u - c\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}})^t \cdot T(u) = z_1(u) \mathbf{I}, \quad T(u) \cdot T(u - c\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}})^t = z_2(u) \mathbf{I}, \quad (3.19)$$

$z_1(u)$ and $z_2(u)$ are central elements in $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. In what follows, and still for¹ $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$, we will fix these central elements by the relation $z_1(u) = z_2(u) = 1$ so that the symmetry relations simplify to

$$\left((T(u))^t \right)^{-1} = T(u + c\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}) \quad \text{or} \quad \left((T(u))^{-1} \right)^t = T(u - c\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}). \quad (3.20)$$

¹Note that one can formally extend the relations (3.19) by setting $z_1(u) = z_2(u) = 0$ when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$, which makes them trivial.

In the framework of the universal algebraic Bethe ansatz a generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable model is characterized by the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and its representation space \mathcal{H} generated by the vectors $|0\rangle$ such that

$$T_{i,j}(u)|0\rangle = 0, \quad i > j, \quad T_{i,i}(u)|0\rangle = \lambda_i(u)|0\rangle, \quad i \in I_{\mathfrak{g}}. \quad (3.21)$$

The vectors $|0\rangle$, when they exist, are called *vacuum vectors*. The functions $\lambda_i(u)$ are functional parameters of a generic integrable model. They are free for \mathfrak{gl}_n -invariant integrable models, while for \mathfrak{o}_N and \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} -invariant models they satisfy certain algebraic relations which follow from the symmetry relation (3.20). Note also that these symmetry relations are a generalization of the relation for the classical orthogonal and symplectic matrices.

The physical states $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ in a generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable models depend on sets of Bethe parameters \bar{t}^s labeled by the simple roots r_s of the algebra \mathfrak{g} . The physical states are defined by a certain polynomials of noncommutative entries $T_{i,j}(u)$ for $i \leq j$ acting on the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$. Thus, they belong to the space

$$\mathcal{V}^+ = \{\mathcal{P}(T_{i \leq j}(\bar{t}))|0\rangle, \mathcal{P} \text{ any polynomial, } \bar{t} \text{ any collection of Bethe parameters}\}.$$

There are essentially two ways to define the physical states $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) \in \mathcal{V}^+$. The first method is to define the on-shell Bethe vectors as elements of \mathcal{V}^+ such that when the Bethe equations (to be defined) are obeyed they become eigenvectors of the transfer matrix. This is a loose definition for off-shell Bethe vectors, since any quantity which vanishes on the Bethe equations can be added without spoiling the eigenvector property. The second method gives a precise definition of off-shell Bethe vectors as some projection of a product of currents of the Yangian double $DY(\mathfrak{g})$. The definition is precise but has the drawback that one needs to work in the Drinfeld's new realization (not the *RTT* presentation) and moreover to be in the double of the Yangian.

In the present paper, we introduce a precise definition of off-shell Bethe vectors within the *RTT* presentation. The definition is inspired by some results obtained from the current presentation, but once the definition is fixed, all the properties for off-shell Bethe vectors are obtained using only the monodromy matrix $T(u)$, and one can forget about the Drinfeld's current presentation.

3.3 Rational functions

Let $g(u, v)$, $h(u, v)$, $f(u, v)$ and $\gamma(u, v)$ be the rational functions

$$\begin{aligned} h(u, v) &= \frac{u - v + c}{c}, & g(u, v) &= \frac{c}{u - v}, \\ f(u, v) &= h(u, v) g(u, v), & \gamma(u, v) &= \frac{g(u, v)}{h(v, u)}. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows we will use partitions of the sets of Bethe parameters $\bar{t}^a \dashv \{\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^a\}$ into disjoint (possibly empty) subsets $\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a \cap \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^a = \emptyset$ such that the cardinality $|\bar{t}^a| = |\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a| + |\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^a|$. We will systematically use shorthand notations for the products of the functions depending on the Bethe parameters from the same set. For example,

$$g(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^b) = \prod_{t_i^a \in \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a} \prod_{t_j^b \in \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^b} g(t_i^a, t_j^b). \quad (3.22)$$

There is no singularities in (3.22) for $a = b$ since the subsets \bar{t}_I^a and \bar{t}_{II}^a are disjoint, which means that if $t_m^a \in \bar{t}_I^a$ then $t_m^a \notin \bar{t}_{II}^a$. We will use the convention that any product $\prod_{s=a}^b$ is equal to 1 if $a > b$. We will also use the convention that when one of the sets is empty, then the product is 1, e.g.

$$f(\emptyset, \bar{t}) = f(\bar{t}, \emptyset) = 1.$$

Let $h_a(u, v)$, $f_a(u, v)$, and $\gamma_a(u, v)$ be the rational functions

$$\begin{aligned} h_a(u, v) &= \frac{u - v + c \mathfrak{h}_{a,a}/2}{c}, & f_a(u, v) &= h_a(u, v) g(u, v), \\ \gamma_a(u, v) &= \frac{f_a(u, v)}{h_a(u, v)^{\zeta_a} h_a(v, u)^{\zeta_a}}, & \zeta_a &= \frac{(\mathfrak{h}_{a,a} - 1)(6 - \mathfrak{h}_{a,a})}{\mathfrak{h}_{a,a} + 2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_{a,a}$ is the norm of the simple root r_a . Note that the function $\gamma_a(u, v)$ is always equal to $\frac{g(u, v)}{h_a(v, u)}$ except for the algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ and $a = 0$. In the latter case it is equal to $\gamma_0(u, v) = f_0(u, v) = \frac{u - v + c/2}{u - v}$.

For the simple roots r_a such that $\mathfrak{h}_{a,a} = 2$ we will use simplified notations for the functions (3.23)

$$h_a(u, v) \Big|_{\mathfrak{h}_{a,a}=2} = h(u, v), \quad f_a(u, v) \Big|_{\mathfrak{h}_{a,a}=2} = f(u, v).$$

For $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ let ρ_a be the following parameter

$$\rho_a = 1 + \delta_{a,0} (1 - \epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}})/2 = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n, \mathfrak{o}_N, \\ 1 + \delta_{a,0}, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}. \end{cases}$$

For any two simple roots r_a and r_b of the algebra \mathfrak{g} we define

$$\gamma_a^b(u, v) = \begin{cases} g(v, u)^{-1} + \rho_a, & b > a, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{a,b} \neq 0, \\ g(u, v)^{-1}, & b < a, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{a,b} \neq 0, \\ \gamma_a(u, v), & a = b, \\ 1, & a \neq b, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{a,b} = 0. \end{cases}$$

4 The Bethe vectors for \mathfrak{gl}_n

Let e_a be a orthonormal basis

$$(e_a, e_b) = \delta_{a,b}, \quad a, b = 1, \dots, n$$

with respect to the natural scalar product in \mathbb{C}^n .

Let $J_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}$ be a set of indices of the nodes for \mathfrak{gl}_n Dynkin diagram

$$J_{\mathfrak{gl}_n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}.$$

The positive simple roots r_a , $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for the finite-dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ are

$$r_a = e_{a+1} - e_a.$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{a,b}$ be the symmetric matrix of the scalar products of the simple roots

$$\mathfrak{h}_{a,b} = (r_a, r_b) = 2\delta_{a,b} - \delta_{a,b-1} - \delta_{a,b+1}.$$

For $a \in J_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}$ and a set \bar{x} we define the functions $\alpha_a(\bar{x})$ and $\alpha(\bar{x})$

$$\alpha_a(\bar{x}) = \frac{\lambda_a(\bar{x})}{\lambda_{a+1}(\bar{x})}, \quad \alpha(\bar{x}) = \prod_{a \in J_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}} \alpha_a(\bar{x}). \quad (4.1)$$

The generators of the nilpotent part of the algebra \mathfrak{g} are expressed in terms of the zero modes of the monodromy matrix as follows

$$\mathfrak{T}_a = \mathsf{T}_{a+1,a}.$$

Definition 4.1. *The off-shell Bethe vectors in \mathfrak{gl}_n invariant models are the elements $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ obeying the following properties*

$$T_{1,n}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \lambda_n(z) h(\bar{t}^1, z) h(z, \bar{t}^{n-1}) \mathbb{B}(\bar{u}), \quad (4.2)$$

$$\mathfrak{T}_a \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \sum_{\text{part}} \left(\alpha_a(\bar{t}_1^a) \Omega_a^L(\bar{t}_\text{II}, \bar{t}_1) - \Omega_a^R(\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_\text{II}) \right) \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_\text{II}), \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \quad (4.3)$$

where we have introduced the extended set

$$\bar{u}^s = \{\bar{t}^s, z\} \quad (4.4)$$

and the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_a^R(\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_\text{II}) &= \prod_{b \in J_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}} \gamma_a^b(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_\text{II}^b) = \frac{g(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_\text{II}^a)}{h(\bar{t}_\text{II}^a, \bar{t}_1^a)} \frac{h(\bar{t}_\text{II}^{a+1}, \bar{t}_1^a)}{g(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_\text{II}^{a-1})} = \Omega^R(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_\text{II}^a | \bar{t}_\text{II}^{a-1}, \bar{t}_\text{II}^{a+1}), \\ \Omega_a^L(\bar{t}_\text{II}, \bar{t}_1) &= \prod_{b \in J_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}} \gamma_b^a(\bar{t}_\text{II}^b, \bar{t}_1^a) = \frac{g(\bar{t}_\text{II}^b, \bar{t}_1^a)}{h(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_\text{II}^b)} \frac{h(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_\text{II}^{a-1})}{g(\bar{t}_\text{II}^{a+1}, \bar{t}_1^a)} = \Omega^L(\bar{t}_\text{II}^a, \bar{t}_1^a | \bar{t}_\text{II}^{a-1}, \bar{t}_\text{II}^{a+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

4.1 Action formulas and recurrence relations of \mathfrak{gl}_n type Bethe vectors

It was shown in the papers [11, 21] that it is sufficient to use the definition 4.1 to get the general action formulas and the general recurrence relation. Here we formulate these results without proofs, but recall some details of the proof of the eigenvector property of on-shell Bethe vectors using the action formulas of the diagonal monodromy entries, also given in [10].

In what follows we will often use the notation $\{\bar{t}^s\}_a^b$ for a collection of sets $\{\bar{t}^a, \bar{t}^{a+1}, \dots, \bar{t}^{b-1}, \bar{t}^b\}$. We will understand the collection $\{\bar{t}^s\}_a^b$ as a collection of empty sets if $a > b$.

We describe all the action formulas proved in [11] for a slightly different normalization of the off-shell Bethe vectors and the rectangular recurrence relations for the off-shell Bethe vectors proved in [21] for a generic \mathfrak{gl}_n -invariant integrable model. We first need to introduce

- The operators generating extensions of the sets of Bethe parameters

$$\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \mathbb{B}(\{\bar{t}^s\}_1^{\ell-1}, \{\bar{u}^s\}_\ell^{k-1}, \{\bar{t}^s\}_k^{n-1}) = \mathbb{B}(\{\bar{t}^s\}_1^{\ell-1}, \{\bar{t}^s, z\}_\ell^{k-1}, \{\bar{t}^s\}_k^{n-1}). \quad (4.6)$$

- The rational functions $\psi_\ell(z; \bar{t})$, $\phi_k(z; \bar{t})$, and $\mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t})$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) &= h(\bar{t}^\ell, z) g(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-1}), \\ \phi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) &= g(\bar{t}^\ell, z) h(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-1}), \\ \mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t}) &= \psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) \phi_k(z; \bar{t}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Proposition 4.1. [11] Provided that the equalities (4.2) and (4.3) are valid, the actions of the monodromy entries $T_{i,j}(z)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ on the off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ in a generic \mathfrak{gl}_n -invariant integrable model are given by

$$T_{i,j}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \lambda_n(z) \mu_1^n(z; \bar{t}) \sum_{\text{part } \bar{u}^s} \mathbb{B}(\bar{u}_{\text{II}}) \frac{\Omega(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}, \bar{u}_{\text{III}}) \alpha(\bar{u}_{\text{III}})}{\psi_1(z; \bar{u}_{\text{I}}) \phi_n(z; \bar{u}_{\text{III}})}, \quad (4.8)$$

where the sum goes over partitions of the extended sets $\bar{u}^s = \{\bar{t}^s, z\}$ with cardinalities

$$|\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^s| = \Theta(i - 1 - s), \quad |\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s| = \Theta(s - j), \quad |\bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s| = |\bar{u}^s| - |\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^s| - |\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s|, \quad (4.9)$$

where Θ is the Heaviside function defined in (3.9). We have introduced

$$\Omega(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = \Omega(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \Omega(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) \Omega(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = \prod_{a,b \in J_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}} \gamma_a^b(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^b) \gamma_a^b(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^b) \gamma_a^b(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^a, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^b), \quad (4.10)$$

defined for any disjoint partitions $\bar{t}^a \dashv \{\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^a, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^a\}$, $a \in J_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}$. The r.h.s. of (4.8) depends on the indices i and j through cardinalities of the subsets \bar{u}_{I}^s , \bar{u}_{II}^s , and \bar{u}_{III}^s , see (4.9).

Proposition 4.2. [21] Provided that the equalities (4.2) and (4.3) are valid, the off-shell Bethe vectors in \mathfrak{gl}_n -invariant integrable models satisfy the rectangular recurrence relations

$$\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k(z) \mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t})} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{j=k}^n \sum_{\text{part } \bar{t}} \alpha(\bar{t}_{\text{III}}) \Xi_{i,j}^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_{\text{I}}, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) T_{i,j}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}), \quad 1 \leq \ell < k \leq n \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$\Xi_{i,j}^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_{\text{I}}, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = \psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}_{\text{I}}) \phi_k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) \Omega(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \Omega(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) \quad (4.12)$$

and the sum in (4.11) goes over partitions of the sets $\bar{t}^s \dashv \{\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s\}$ with cardinalities

$$|\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s| = \Theta(s - i) \Theta(\ell - s - 1), \quad |\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = \Theta(j - s - 1) \Theta(s - k), \quad |\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s| = |\bar{t}^s| - |\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s| - |\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s|. \quad (4.13)$$

The r.h.s. of (4.12) depends on the indices i and j through the cardinalities of the subsets \bar{t}_{I}^s , \bar{t}_{II}^s , and \bar{t}_{III}^s given by (4.13).

4.1.1 Eigenvalue property of on-shell Bethe vectors

Definition 4.2. *The off-shell Bethe vectors become on-shell Bethe vectors if the Bethe parameters satisfy the Bethe equations, which for \mathfrak{gl}_n -invariant integrable model can be written in the form*

$$\alpha_a(\bar{t}_1^a) = \frac{\Omega_a^R(\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}})}{\Omega_a^L(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_1)} = \prod_{b \in J_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}} \frac{\gamma_a^b(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^b)}{\gamma_b^a(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^b, \bar{t}_1^a)} = \frac{f(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^a)}{f(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^a, \bar{t}_1^a)} \frac{f(\bar{t}_1^{a+1}, \bar{t}_1^a)}{f(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_1^{a-1})}, \quad a = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \quad (4.14)$$

According to (4.3) and (4.14) the on-shell Bethe vectors are highest weight vectors with respect to the nilpotent generators of the algebra \mathfrak{gl}_n .

To verify the eigenvalue property of the on-shell Bethe vectors we need to rewrite the action of the diagonal monodromy entries $T_{i,i}(z)$ given by the proposition 4.1 as a sum over original set of the Bethe parameters \bar{t}^s . We formulate this assertion in following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *The action of the diagonal monodromy entries $T_{i,i}(z)$ on the off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ given by the proposition 4.1 can be rewritten as*

$$T_{i,i}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^i \sum_{k=i}^n \sum_{\text{part } \bar{t}} \lambda_k(z) \mu_{\ell}^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell}^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \Upsilon_i^{\ell, k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}), \quad (4.15)$$

where the sum in (4.15) goes over partitions $\bar{t}^s \dashv \{\bar{t}_1^s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s\}$ such that the cardinalities of the subsets are

$$|\bar{t}_1^s| = \Theta(s - \ell) \Theta(i - s - 1), \quad |\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = \Theta(k - 1 - s) \Theta(s - i), \quad |\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s| = |\bar{t}^s| - |\bar{t}_1^s| - |\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s|. \quad (4.16)$$

The functions $\Upsilon_i^{\ell, k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}})$ are defined by the equalities

$$\Upsilon_i^{\ell, k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = \frac{\lambda_n(z)}{\lambda_k(z)} \frac{\mu_1^n(z; \bar{t})}{\mu_{\ell}^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{II}})} \frac{\Omega(\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}, \bar{u}_{\text{III}})}{\psi_1(z; \bar{u}_1) \phi_n(z; \bar{u}_{\text{III}})}, \quad (4.17)$$

where the subsets in the partitions of the extended sets $\bar{u}^s \dashv \{\bar{u}_1^s, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s\}$ in (4.17) are explicitly described by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{u}_1^s &= \{z\}, & \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & 1 \leq s \leq \ell - 1, \\ \bar{u}_1^s &= \{\bar{t}_1^s\}, & \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}_{r_s-1}, & \bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & \ell \leq s \leq i - 1, \\ \bar{u}_1^s &= \emptyset, & \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}_{r_s-1}, & \bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s\}_1, & i \leq s \leq k - 1, \\ \bar{u}_1^s &= \emptyset, & \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & k \leq s \leq n - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

In (4.18) the integers under the subsets \bar{t}_{II}^s indicates their cardinalities. If for some color s the cardinality $r_s = 1$ then \bar{t}_{II}^s means that the subset \bar{t}_{II}^s is empty, while if the cardinality $r_s = 0$ then both subsets \bar{t}_{II}^s and \bar{t}_{III}^s or \bar{t}_1^s and \bar{t}_{II}^s are empty.

Proof. The action of the diagonal monodromy entries $T_{i,i}(z)$ as given in formula (4.8) can be written more explicitly in the form

$$\begin{aligned} T_{i,i}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) &= \lambda_n(z) \sum_{\text{part } \bar{u}^s} \mu_1^n(z; \bar{u}_{\text{II}}) \mathbb{B}(\bar{u}_{\text{II}}) h(\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^j, \bar{u}_{\text{I}}^{j-1}) \times \\ &\times \prod_{s=1}^{i-1} \Omega^R(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^s, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s | \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}) \prod_{s=i}^{n-1} \alpha_s(\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s) \Omega^L(\bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s | \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

In the sum over partitions in (4.19), let us consider the two terms when $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^1 = \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^1$ and $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^1 = \{z\}$. In the term corresponding to $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^1 = \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^1$, the function

$$\Omega^R(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^2 | \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^1, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^3) = \frac{g(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^2)}{h(\bar{u}_{\text{II}}^2, \bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2)} \frac{h(\bar{u}_{\text{II}}^3, \bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2)}{g(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2, \{\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^1, z\})}$$

will vanish when $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2 = \{z\}$ since $g(z, z)^{-1} = 0$ and in order to get non-vanishing contribution one has to require that $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2 = \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^2$. Continuing we obtain that if $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^1 = \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^1$ then $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^s = \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s$ for all $s > 1$.

On the other hand when $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^1 = \{z\}$ the product of functions

$$\frac{\mu_1^n(z; \bar{t})}{h(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^1, z)} \Omega^R(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^1, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^1 | \emptyset, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^2) = \mu_1^n(z; \bar{t}) \Omega^R(z, \bar{t}^1 | \emptyset, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^2) = \frac{\mu_1^n(z; \bar{t})}{h(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2, z)} \frac{g(z, \bar{t}^1)}{h(\bar{t}^1, z)} h(\bar{t}^2, z) = \frac{\mu_2^n(z; \bar{t})}{h(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2, z)}$$

changes the normalization factor $\mu_1^n(z; \bar{t}) \rightarrow \mu_2^n(z; \bar{t})$ with the replacement $h(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^1, z)$ by $h(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2, z)$ since $h(z, z) = 1$. The subset \bar{u}_{II}^1 becomes the set \bar{t}^1 and the sum over partitions of the set $\bar{u}^1 = \{\bar{t}^1, z\}$ is absent. Now in the remaining sum over partitions we can consider two types of terms $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2 = \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^2$ and $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^2 = \{z\}$ and repeat the arguments as above.

Quite analogously we can show that if $\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^{n-1} = \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^{n-1}$ then all the terms in the sum over partitions of \bar{u}^s for $i \leq s \leq n-2$ vanish when $\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s = \{z\}$. But when $\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^{n-1} = \{z\}$ the subset $\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^{n-2}$ can be either $\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^{n-2}$ or $\{z\}$.

Gathering the above results, we can introduce two integers k, ℓ with $1 \leq \ell \leq i \leq k \leq n$ and such that $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^s = \{z\}$, $\bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s = \bar{t}^s$ for $1 \leq s < \ell$ and $\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^s = \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s$ for $\ell \leq s < i$, while $\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s = \{z\}$, $\bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s = \bar{t}^s$ for $k \leq s \leq n-1$ and $\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s = \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s$ for $i \leq s < k$. Then one can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n(z) \mu_1^n(z; \bar{u}_{\text{II}}) \prod_{s=1}^{\ell-1} \Omega^R(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^s, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s | \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}) \prod_{s=k}^{n-1} \alpha_s(\bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s) \Omega^L(\bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s | \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}) &= \\ &= \frac{\lambda_k(z) g(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-1}) h(\bar{t}^{\ell}, z) h(z, \bar{t}^{k-1}) g(\bar{t}^k, z)}{h(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^{\ell}, z) h(z, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^{k-1})} = \lambda_k(z) \mu_{\ell}^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{II}}), \\ \prod_{s=\ell}^{i-1} \Omega^R(\bar{u}_{\text{I}}^s, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s | \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}) &= g(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^{\ell}, z) \prod_{s=\ell}^{i-1} \Omega^R(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s | \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$\prod_{s=i}^{k-1} \Omega^L(\bar{u}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{u}_{\text{III}}^s | \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{u}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}) = g(z, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^{k-1}) \prod_{s=i}^{k-1} \Omega^L(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s | \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}). \quad (4.21)$$

Note that there is no contradictions in the formulas (4.20) or (4.21) when $i = \ell$ or $i = k$ since according to the cardinalities (4.16) for these values of i one gets $\bar{t}_1^\ell = \emptyset$ or $\bar{t}_\text{III}^{k-1} = \emptyset$.

The summation over partitions of the sets $\{\bar{t}^s, z\}$ in (4.18) is reduced to the summation over ℓ, k and the sum over partitions of the sets $\bar{t}^s \dashv \{\bar{t}_1^s, \bar{t}_\text{II}^s, \bar{t}_\text{III}^s\}$ with cardinalities given by (4.16).

The equality (4.19) becomes the equality (4.15) with the function $\Upsilon_i^{\ell, k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_\text{II}, \bar{t}_\text{III})$ equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_i^{\ell, k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_\text{II}, \bar{t}_\text{III}) &= h(\bar{t}_\text{III}^i, \bar{t}_1^{i-1}) \ g(\bar{t}_1^\ell, z) \ g(z, \bar{t}_\text{III}^{k-1}) \times \\ &\times \prod_{s=\ell}^{i-1} \Omega^R(\bar{t}_1^s, \bar{t}_\text{II}^s | \bar{t}_\text{II}^{s-1}, \bar{t}_\text{II}^{s+1}) \prod_{s=i}^{k-1} \alpha_s(\bar{t}_\text{III}^s) \ \Omega^L(\bar{t}_\text{II}^s, \bar{t}_\text{III}^s | \bar{t}_\text{II}^{s-1}, \bar{t}_\text{II}^{s+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

The fact that the r.h.s. of (4.22) coincides with the r.h.s. of (4.17) is verified by a direct substitution of the subsets (4.18) in the r.h.s. of (4.17). \square

Corollary 4.1. *The off-shell Bethe vectors have definite colors:*

$$\mathfrak{q}_a \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = |\bar{t}^a| \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}), \quad a \in J_\mathfrak{g}. \quad (4.23)$$

Proof. Considering the action formula (4.15) in the limit $z \rightarrow \infty$, the coefficient of $\frac{1}{z}$ provides the result. \square

Using the results of proposition 4.3 we can now calculate the action of the transfer matrix

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_{i,i}(z) \quad (4.24)$$

on the off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^i \sum_{k=i}^n \sum_{\text{part } \bar{t}} \lambda_k(z) \mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t}_\text{II}) \mathcal{Z}_\ell^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_\text{II}) \Upsilon_i^{\ell, k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_\text{II}, \bar{t}_\text{III}) = \\ &= \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(z) \mu_i^i(z; \bar{t}) + \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=\ell+1}^n \sum_{\text{part } \bar{t}} \lambda_k(z) \mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t}_\text{II}) \mathcal{Z}_\ell^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_\text{II}) \sum_{i=\ell}^k \Upsilon_i^{\ell, k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_\text{II}, \bar{t}_\text{III}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

We can exchange the order of summation in (4.25) because the subsets \bar{t}_II^s do not depend on i when $\ell < k$ as it can be seen explicitly in (4.18).

Due to the explicit form of the function $\Upsilon_i^{\ell, k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_\text{II}, \bar{t}_\text{III})$ given by (4.22) the action of the transfer matrix (4.25) explicitly describes the structure of all wanted and unwanted terms in this action. If the Bethe parameters are generic the sum $\sum_{i=\ell}^k \Upsilon_i^{\ell, k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_\text{II}, \bar{t}_\text{III})$ in (4.25) does not vanish. But if Bethe parameters satisfies the Bethe equations (4.14), the function $\Upsilon_i^{\ell, k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_\text{II}, \bar{t}_\text{III})$ (4.22) can be rewritten, for different values of i and according to the cardinalities (4.16), as

- $i = \ell$, we have $|\bar{t}_1^s| = 0$, $|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = 1$ for $\ell \leq s \leq k-1$, leading to

$$\Upsilon_{\ell}^{\ell,k}(z; \emptyset, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = g(z, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^{k-1}) \prod_{s=\ell}^{k-1} \Omega^R(\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s | \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}),$$

- $i = k$, we have $|\bar{t}_1^s| = 1$, $|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = 0$ for $\ell \leq s \leq k-1$, leading to

$$\Upsilon_k^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \emptyset) = g(\bar{t}_1^{\ell}, z) \prod_{s=\ell}^{k-1} \Omega^R(\bar{t}_1^s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s | \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}),$$

- $\ell < i < k$, we have $|\bar{t}_1^s| = 1$, $|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = 0$ for $\ell \leq s \leq i-1$ and $|\bar{t}_1^s| = 0$, $|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = 1$, $i \leq s \leq k-1$

$$\Upsilon_i^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = g(\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^i, \bar{t}_1^{i-1})^{-1} g(\bar{t}_1^{\ell}, z) g(z, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^{k-1}) \prod_{s=\ell}^{k-1} \Omega^R(\bar{t}_{1,\text{III}}^s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s | \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^{s-1}, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^{s+1}). \quad (4.26)$$

In (4.26) the subset $\bar{t}_{1,\text{III}}^s$ is equal to \bar{t}_1^s for $\ell \leq s \leq i-1$ and to the subset \bar{t}_{III}^s for $i \leq s \leq k-1$.

Since the cardinalities of all non-empty subsets \bar{t}_1^s and \bar{t}_{III}^s are equal to 1, in the sum over partitions in (4.25), we can rename $\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s \rightarrow \bar{t}_1^s$ for the non-empty subsets \bar{t}_{III}^s . Then the sum $\sum_{i=\ell}^k \Upsilon_i^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}})$ becomes proportional to the sum

$$g(\bar{t}_1^{\ell}, z) + g(z, \bar{t}_1^{k-1}) + g(\bar{t}_1^{\ell}, z) g(z, \bar{t}_1^{k-1}) \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{k-1} g(\bar{t}_1^i, \bar{t}_1^{i-1})$$

which identically vanishes.

This proves that if the Bethe parameters satisfy the Bethe equations then all unwanted terms in the action of transfer matrix on the off-shell Bethe vectors disappear. According to definition 4.2 off-shell Bethe vector becomes on-shell and according to (4.25) it becomes an eigenvector of the transfer matrix (4.24) with the eigenvalue

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{gl}_n}(z; \bar{t}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(z) f(z, \bar{t}^{i-1}) f(\bar{t}^i, z). \quad (4.27)$$

5 The Bethe vectors for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_N$, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} and main result

In the rest of the paper we will demonstrate that the same program can be realized for the Bethe vectors in \mathfrak{o}_N and \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} -invariant integrable models.

Let $J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a set of indices of the nodes of Dynkin diagram for \mathfrak{g}

$$J_{\mathfrak{g}} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

The positive simple roots r_a , $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for the finite-dimensional algebra \mathfrak{g} are

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= e_1, & r_a &= e_{a+1} - e_a, & a &= 1, \dots, n-1, & \mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \\ r_0 &= 2e_1, & r_a &= e_{a+1} - e_a, & a &= 1, \dots, n-1, & \mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \\ r_0 &= e_2 + e_1, & r_a &= e_{a+1} - e_a, & a &= 1, \dots, n-1, & \mathfrak{g} &= \mathfrak{o}_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

The matrix of the simple root scalar products $\mathfrak{h}_{a,b} = (\mathbf{r}_a, \mathbf{r}_b)$ is then described by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{h}_{a,b} &= 2\delta_{a,b} - \delta_{a,b-1} - \delta_{a,b+1}, & 2 \leq a, b \leq n-1, \\ \mathfrak{h}_{a,1} &= \mathfrak{h}_{1,a} = 2\delta_{a,1} - \delta_{a,2} - (2 - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}} - \epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}})\delta_{a,0}, & 0 \leq a \leq n-1, \\ \mathfrak{h}_{a,0} &= \mathfrak{h}_{0,a} = (2 + \xi_{\mathfrak{g}} - \epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}})\delta_{a,0} - (3 - \epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}})\delta_{a,2}/2, & 0 \leq a \leq n-1.\end{aligned}$$

We recall that the parameters $\xi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are given in (3.1) and (3.3) respectively.

We introduce the sign factor

$$\sigma_m = 2\Theta(m-1) - 1 = (-1)^{\delta_{m<1}} = \begin{cases} 1, & m > 0, \\ -1, & m \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

For $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ we define the functions $\alpha_a(z)$

$$\alpha_a(z) = \frac{\lambda_a(z)}{\lambda_{a+\nu_a}(z)}. \quad (5.2)$$

The simple root generators of the simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} are expressed in terms of the zero modes of the monodromy matrix as follows

$$\mathfrak{T}_a = \mathsf{T}_{a+\nu_a, a}.$$

For $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$ and $s \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$, let z_s be the shifted parameter

$$z_s = z - c(s + \theta_{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s \leq n-1, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\theta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ are defined in (3.7) and (3.8) respectively. We also introduce the sets \bar{z}_s of cardinality $1 + \delta_{s \geq \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}}$ and defined by the equalities

$$\bar{z}_s = \begin{cases} \{z\}, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \{z, z_s\}, & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s \leq n-1. \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

Definition 5.1. *The off-shell Bethe vectors in \mathfrak{g} invariant models are the elements $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) \in \mathcal{V}^+$ obeying the following properties*

$$T_{n',n}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \lambda_n(z) \mu_{n'}^n(z; \bar{t}) \mathbb{B}(\bar{w}), \quad (5.5)$$

$$\mathfrak{T}_a \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \sum_{\text{part}} \left(\alpha_a(\bar{t}_1^a) \Omega_a^L(\bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}, \bar{t}_1) - \Omega_a^R(\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}) \right) \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}), \quad a = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \quad (5.6)$$

where we have introduced the extended set $\bar{w}^s = \{\bar{t}^s, \bar{z}_s\}$ and the functions

$$\Omega_a^R(\bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}) = \prod_{b \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}} \gamma_a^b(\bar{t}_1^a, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}^b), \quad \Omega_a^L(\bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}, \bar{t}_1) = \prod_{b \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}} \gamma_b^a(\bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}^b, \bar{t}_1^a). \quad (5.7)$$

$$\mu_{n'}^n(z; \bar{t}) = \begin{cases} -\kappa_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}} \frac{g(z - c/2, \bar{t}^0)}{h(z, \bar{t}^0)} \frac{h(z, \bar{t}^{n-1})}{g(z_n, \bar{t}^{n-1})}, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}, \\ -\kappa_{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}} (-1)^{|\bar{t}^0|} h(\bar{t}^1, z) \frac{h(z, \bar{t}^{n-1})}{g(z_n, \bar{t}^{n-1})}, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}, \\ -\kappa_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n}} (-1)^{|\bar{t}^0| + |\bar{t}^1|} \frac{h(z, \bar{t}^{n-1})}{g(z_n, \bar{t}^{n-1})}, & \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}. \end{cases}$$

6 The monodromy entries action on off-shell Bethe vectors

For any two sets $\bar{x} = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $\bar{y} = \{y_1, y_2\}$ of cardinalities 2 we introduce the polynomial

$$\Lambda(\bar{x}|\bar{y}) = h(x_1, x_2) + \frac{h(x_1, y_1)}{g(x_2, y_2)} + \frac{h(x_1, y_2)}{g(x_2, y_1)}. \quad (6.1)$$

Note that this polynomial is symmetric with respect to permutations of the variables within the set \bar{x} and to permutations within \bar{y} . In what follows we will also need analogs of the polynomial (6.1) when the cardinalities of one or both sets \bar{x} and \bar{y} are less than 2. We set

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(x_1, \emptyset|y_1, y_2) &= 1 + \frac{1}{g(x_1, y_1)} + \frac{1}{g(x_1, y_2)}, \\ \Lambda(x_1, \emptyset|y_1, \emptyset) &= \Lambda(\emptyset, x_2|\emptyset, y_2) = 1, \quad \Lambda(\emptyset, \emptyset|\emptyset, \emptyset) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the polynomial $\Lambda(\bar{x}|\bar{y})$ is always equal to 1 when both sets \bar{x} and \bar{y} have cardinality less than 1. The same is valid for the function $h(\bar{y}, \bar{y})$ when the cardinality $|\bar{y}| \leq 1$.

The polynomial $\Lambda(\bar{x}|\bar{y})$ is relevant only for the algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. For any integer ℓ such that $n' \leq \ell \leq n$ and for any partition of the extended sets $\bar{w}^a \dashv \{\bar{w}_I^a, \bar{w}_\Pi^a, \bar{w}_\text{III}^a\}$ we introduce the functions

$$\bar{\Lambda}_\ell(\bar{w}_I) = \prod_{s=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{\Lambda(\bar{w}_I^{s+1}|\bar{w}_I^s)}{h(\bar{w}_I^s, \bar{w}_I^s)}, \quad \bar{\Lambda}_\ell(\bar{w}_\text{III}) = \prod_{s=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{\Lambda(\bar{w}_\text{III}^{s+1}|\bar{w}_\text{III}^s)}{h(\bar{w}_\text{III}^s, \bar{w}_\text{III}^s)}. \quad (6.2)$$

When $\ell = n$ and correspondingly $s = n - 1$ in the products over s in the definition (6.2), the subsets \bar{w}_I^n and \bar{w}_III^n are fixed to the sets $\{z_n\}$ and $\{z\}$ respectively.

For all algebras \mathfrak{g} we define the functions $\Gamma_i(\bar{w}_I)$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_i(\bar{w}_\text{III})$ such that

- for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_N$:

$$\Gamma_i(\bar{w}_I) = \sigma_{i-\xi_{\mathfrak{g}}}, \quad \bar{\Gamma}_j(\bar{w}_\text{III}) = \sigma_{j'-\xi_{\mathfrak{g}}},$$

- for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$:

$$\Gamma_i(\bar{w}_I) = \sigma_{i'} \bar{\Lambda}_i(\bar{w}_I), \quad \bar{\Gamma}_j(\bar{w}_\text{III}) = \bar{\Lambda}_{j'}(\bar{w}_\text{III}).$$

Let $\phi_n(z; \bar{w}_\text{III})$ be the function which is equal to $h(z, \bar{w}_\text{III}^{n-1})$ for all \mathfrak{g} . Let $\psi_{n'}(z; \bar{w}_I)$ be the function which is equal to $g(z_n, \bar{w}_I^{n-1})^{-1}$. These functions are particular examples of the functions $\psi_\ell(z; \bar{t})$ and $\phi_\ell(z; \bar{t})$ which are defined in appendix A for $n' \leq \ell \leq n$.

Proposition 6.1. *Provided that equalities (5.6) and (5.5) are valid, the actions of the monodromy entries $T_{i,j}(z)$ for $n' \leq i, j \leq n$ on the off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ read*

$$T_{i,j}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \lambda_n(z) \mu_{n'}^n(z; \bar{t}) \sum_{\text{part } \bar{w}^s} \mathbb{B}(\bar{w}_\Pi) \frac{\Gamma_i(\bar{w}_I)}{\psi_{n'}(z; \bar{w}_I)} \frac{\bar{\Gamma}_j(\bar{w}_\text{III})}{\phi_n(z; \bar{w}_\text{III})} \Omega(\bar{w}_I, \bar{w}_\Pi, \bar{w}_\text{III}) \alpha(\bar{w}_\text{III}). \quad (6.3)$$

In (6.3) the sum goes over partitions given by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{w}_I^s| &= \begin{cases} \Theta(i - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \delta_{i',s} \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2}, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \Theta(i + s - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \Theta(i - s - 1), & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s \leq n - 1, \end{cases} \\ |\bar{w}_\text{III}^s| &= \begin{cases} \Theta(j' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \delta_{j',s} \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2}, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \Theta(j' + s - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \Theta(j' - s - 1), & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s \leq n - 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Proof. The proof is based on an induction which uses the action (5.6) of zero modes operators \mathfrak{T}_a , $a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on the off-shell Bethe vectors and the commutation relation of these zero mode operators with monodromy entries $T_{i,j}(z)$ (3.14)

$$[\mathfrak{T}_a, T_{i,j}(z)] = (\delta_{a,j-1} - \epsilon_a \delta_{a,j'}) T_{i,j-\nu_a}(z) - (\delta_{a,i} - \epsilon_a \delta_{a,i'-1}) T_{i+\nu_a,j}(z). \quad (6.5)$$

The starting point of the induction is the action (5.5) of the highest monodromy entry. Then, the application of the appropriate zero mode operator yields the action formulas for the values of the indices $n' < i \leq n$ and $n' \leq j < n$. These calculations are rather lengthy but straightforward and we leave this exercise to the interested readers. \square

Remark 6.1. One can check that if the set \bar{t}^0 in (6.3) is empty then the sum over partitions in the action of the monodromy entries $T_{1,n}(z)$ reduces to a single term and coincide with the action (4.2) of the highest monodromy in \mathfrak{gl}_n -invariant integrable model. Analogously, the action of zero modes operators \mathfrak{T}_a for $a = 1, \dots, n-1$ (5.6) becomes the action (4.3) on the off-shell Bethe vector $\mathbb{B}(\emptyset, \{\bar{t}^s\}_1^{n-1})$. This proves that the action formulas (6.3) for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ for $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$ becomes the action formulas (4.8) of \mathfrak{gl}_n type. The same property also applies to the rectangular relations described below in section 8.

Note that when $i = n'$ and $j = n$ then according to the cardinalities (6.6) all subsets $\bar{w}_I^s = \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s = \emptyset$ are empty and $\Gamma_{n'}(\emptyset) \bar{\Gamma}_n(\emptyset) = 1$ for all \mathfrak{g} . Moreover one can see that $\Omega(\emptyset, \bar{w}, \emptyset) = 1$ and by default $\alpha(\emptyset) = 1$. It means that the sum over partitions in (6.3) disappear and this equality coincides with the action of the highest monodromy entry (5.5).

Remark 6.2. One can see from (6.4) that the cardinalities of the subsets \bar{w}_{III}^s can be obtained from the cardinalities \bar{w}_I^s by the formal replacement $i \rightarrow j'$. Note also that the first lines in (6.4) are absent for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ because in these cases $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is equal to 0. Notice that the cardinalities of the subsets \bar{w}_I^s depend solely on the index i , while the cardinalities of \bar{w}_{III}^s depend solely on the index j .

For further use, we write explicitly the cardinalities of the partitions (6.4) for each \mathfrak{g} .

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$, $\varphi_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}} = 0$, $\xi_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{w}_I^s| &= \Theta(i+s) + \Theta(i-s-1), & s = 0, \dots, n-1, \\ |\bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s| &= \Theta(-j+s) + \Theta(-j-s-1), & s = 0, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned} \quad (6.6a)$$

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, $\varphi_{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}} = 1$, $\xi_{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}} = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{w}_I^s| &= \begin{cases} \Theta(i-1), & s = 0, \\ \Theta(i+s-1) + \Theta(i-s-1), & s = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{cases} \\ |\bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s| &= \begin{cases} \Theta(-j), & s = 0, \\ \Theta(-j+s) + \Theta(-j-s), & s = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.6b)$$

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$, $\varphi_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n}} = 2$, $\xi_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n}} = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s| &= \begin{cases} \Theta(i-2) + \delta_{i',s}, & s = 0, 1, \\ \Theta(i+s-1) + \Theta(i-s-1), & s = 2, \dots, n-1, \end{cases} \\ |\bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s| &= \begin{cases} \Theta(-j-1) + \delta_{j,s}, & s = 0, 1, \\ \Theta(-j+s) + \Theta(-j-s), & s = 2, \dots, n-1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6.6c)$$

Using the definition (3.17) of the integer parameter ν_s , the commutation relations (6.5) can be also explicitly written for different \mathfrak{g}

- for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$, $0 \leq a \leq n-1$:

$$[\mathfrak{T}_a, T_{i,j}(z)] = (\delta_{a,j-1} - \delta_{a,-j}) T_{i,j-1}(z) - (\delta_{a,i} - \delta_{a,-i-1}) T_{i+1,j}(z), \quad (6.7a)$$

- for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$, $0 \leq a \leq n-1$:

$$[\mathfrak{T}_a, T_{i,j}(z)] = (\delta_{a,j-1} - (-1)^{\delta_{a,0}} \delta_{a,-j+1}) T_{i,j-1}(z) - (\delta_{a,i} - (-1)^{\delta_{a,0}} \delta_{a,-i}) T_{i+1,j}(z), \quad (6.7b)$$

- for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$, $0 \leq a \leq n-1$:

$$[\mathfrak{T}_a, T_{i,j}(z)] = (\delta_{a,j-\nu_a} - \delta_{a,-j+1}) T_{i,j-\nu_a}(z) - (\delta_{a,i-\nu_a} - \delta_{a,-i+1-\nu_a}) T_{i+\nu_a,j}(z). \quad (6.7c)$$

7 Eigenvalue property of on-shell Bethe vectors

To describe the eigenvalue property of on-shell Bethe vectors one has to consider the action of the diagonal entries $T_{i,i}(z)$ given by the proposition 6.1 and calculate the action of the universal transfer matrix

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}(z) = \sum_{i=n'}^n T_{i,i}(z). \quad (7.1)$$

The calculation is done for on-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ which means that the sets of the Bethe parameters \bar{t}^s satisfy the Bethe equations

$$\alpha_a(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a) = \prod_{b \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}} \frac{\gamma_a^b(\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^b)}{\gamma_b^a(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^b, \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^a)}. \quad (7.2)$$

To perform this calculation we will rewrite the action of diagonal monodromy entries from the sum over partitions of the extended sets \bar{w}^s to the sum over partitions of the original sets \bar{t}^s of the Bethe parameters. The action of the diagonal entries will produce the so-called wanted terms which are proportional to $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ and unwanted terms which are proportional to Bethe vectors with some of the Bethe parameters replaced by the parameters z or z_s . We will prove that each unwanted term is labeled by a pair of integers (ℓ, k) such that $n' \leq \ell < k \leq n$.

To describe the wanted and unwanted terms for each \mathfrak{g} we introduce the operations \mathcal{Z}_ℓ^k for $n' \leq \ell \leq k \leq n$ of extension of the arguments of the Bethe vectors. We will use different extensions of the Bethe parameter sets:

$$\bar{w}^s = \{\bar{t}^s, \bar{z}_s\}, \quad \bar{u}^s = \{\bar{t}^s, z\}, \quad \bar{v}^s = \{\bar{t}^s, z_s\}. \quad (7.3)$$

We define the \mathcal{Z}_ℓ^k operations uniformly for all $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$

$$\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{B}(\{\bar{w}^s\}_0^{\ell'-1}, \{\bar{u}^s\}_{\ell'}^{k-1}, \{\bar{t}^s\}_k^{n-1}), & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq \ell' \leq k \leq n, \\ \mathbb{B}(\{\bar{w}^s\}_0^{k-1}, \{\bar{v}^s\}_k^{\ell'-1}, \{\bar{t}^s\}_{\ell'}^{n-1}), & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq k \leq \ell' \leq n, \\ \mathbb{B}(\{\bar{t}^s\}_0^{\ell'-1}, \{\bar{u}^s\}_\ell^{k-1}, \{\bar{t}^s\}_k^{n-1}), & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq \ell \leq k \leq n, \\ \mathbb{B}(\{\bar{t}^s\}_0^{k'-1}, \{\bar{v}^s\}_{k'}^{\ell'-1}, \{\bar{t}^s\}_{\ell'}^{n-1}), & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq k' \leq \ell' \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (7.4a)$$

In the case of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$, there are extra definitions for the operations $\mathcal{Z}_\ell^{0,1}$, $-n+1 \leq \ell \leq -1$ and the operations $\mathcal{Z}_{0,1}^k$, $2 \leq k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_\ell^0 \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) &= \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}^0, \bar{w}^1, \{\bar{v}^s\}_2^{\ell'-1}, \{\bar{t}^s\}_{\ell'}^{n-1}), & 2 \leq \ell' \leq n, \\ \mathcal{Z}_\ell^1 \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) &= \mathbb{B}(\bar{w}^0, \bar{t}^1, \{\bar{v}^s\}_2^{\ell'-1}, \{\bar{t}^s\}_{\ell'}^{n-1}), \\ \mathcal{Z}_1^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) &= \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}^0, \bar{w}^1, \{\bar{u}^s\}_2^{k-1}, \{\bar{t}^s\}_k^{n-1}), & 2 \leq k \leq n, \\ \mathcal{Z}_0^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) &= \mathbb{B}(\bar{w}^0, \bar{t}^1, \{\bar{u}^s\}_2^{k-1}, \{\bar{t}^s\}_k^{n-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (7.4b)$$

Note that in all formulas (7.4) the operation $\mathcal{Z}_\ell^\ell \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ is the identity operation. Note also that for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ the operation \mathcal{Z}_0^1 is not defined.

In the appendix A we introduce, for each algebra \mathfrak{g} , functions $\psi_\ell(z; \bar{t})$, $\phi_\ell(z; \bar{t})$ for $n' \leq \ell \leq n$ and $\mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t})$ for $n' \leq \ell \leq k \leq n$. Using these functions we formulate the following proposition which is a rewriting of proposition 6.1 as a sum over partitions of the original sets of Bethe parameters \bar{t}^s :

Proposition 7.1. *The action of the diagonal monodromy entries $T_{i,i}(z)$ on the off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ can be expressed as*

$$T_{i,i}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \sum_{\ell=n'}^i \sum_{k=i}^n \sum_{\text{part } \bar{t}} \lambda_k(z) \mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \mathcal{Z}_\ell^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \Upsilon_i^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}), \quad (7.5)$$

where $n' \leq \ell \leq k \leq n$ and the functions $\Upsilon_i^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}})$ are defined by the equalities

$$\Upsilon_i^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_1, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = \frac{\lambda_n(z)}{\lambda_k(z)} \frac{\mu_{n'}^n(z; \bar{t})}{\mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{II}})} \frac{\Gamma_i(\bar{w}_1)}{\psi_{n'}(z; \bar{w}_1)} \frac{\bar{\Gamma}_j(\bar{w}_{\text{III}})}{\phi_n(z; \bar{w}_{\text{III}})} \Omega(\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_{\text{II}}, \bar{w}_{\text{III}}) \alpha(\bar{w}_{\text{III}}). \quad (7.6)$$

In (7.5), the cardinalities of the subsets in the partitions $\bar{t}^s \dashv \{\bar{t}_1^s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s\}$ are given in the appendix B. The extended sets $\bar{w}^s \dashv \{\bar{w}_1^s, \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s\}$ appearing in the r.h.s. of (7.6) can be reduced to the partitions $\bar{t}^s \dashv \{\bar{t}_1^s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s\}$, according to the description also given in appendix B. This reduction depends on the integers i , ℓ and k and the algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. The proof of the proposition reduces to the description of the subsets in the partitions of the extended sets $\bar{w}^s \dashv \{\bar{w}_I^s, \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s\}$ which yields non-zero contribution in the action formula (6.3) for $i = j$. Then one has to substitute these partitions in the formula (7.6) to get expressions for the functions $\Upsilon_i^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}})$. The fact that the partition are labeled by the integers ℓ and k such that $n' \leq \ell \leq k \leq n$ is proved in the appendix B together with the explicit description of the subsets \bar{w}_I^s , \bar{w}_{II}^s , and \bar{w}_{III}^s . \square

Corollary 7.1. *The off-shell Bethe vectors have definite colors:*

$$\mathbf{q}_a \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = |\bar{t}^a| \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}), \quad a \in J_{\mathbf{g}}. \quad (7.7)$$

Proof. Considering the action formula (7.5) in the limit $z \rightarrow \infty$, the coefficient of $\frac{1}{z}$ provides the result. \square

7.1 Eigenvalue property

According to the proposition 7.1 the action of the diagonal monodromy entries is given by the sum of the wanted terms when $\ell = k = i$ and the unwanted terms when $\ell < k$. It is shown in the appendix B that for the wanted terms the subsets \bar{t}_I^s and \bar{t}_{III}^s are all empty and $\bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s = \bar{t}^s$. Moreover, using formulas (B.5) one can verify that $\Upsilon_i^{i,i}(z; \emptyset, \bar{t}, \emptyset) = 1$ and the action of the transfer matrix (7.1) on off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ is given by the sum

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{g}}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) &= \sum_{i=n'}^n \sum_{\ell=n'}^i \sum_{k=i}^n \sum_{\text{part } \bar{t}} \lambda_k(z) \mu_{\ell}^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell}^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \Upsilon_i^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = \\ &= \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) \sum_{i=n'}^n \lambda_i(z) \mu_i^i(z; \bar{t}) + \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=n'}^{n-1} \sum_{k=\ell+1}^n \sum_{\text{part } \bar{t}} \lambda_k(z) \mu_{\ell}^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \mathcal{Z}_{\ell}^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \sum_{i=\ell}^k \Upsilon_i^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

The possibility to exchange the order of summation in (7.8) follows from the fact that the subsets \bar{t}_{II}^s does not depend on i for $\ell < k$. Note also that for \mathfrak{o}_{2n} -invariant model the term in the second line of (7.8) with $\ell' = k = 1$ is absent because the function $\mu_0^1(z; \bar{t})$ given by (A.2e) vanishes in that case.

Proposition 7.2. *If the set of Bethe parameters satisfy the Bethe equations (7.2) the sum*

$$\sum_{i=\ell}^k \Upsilon_i^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) \quad (7.9)$$

vanishes for all pairs (ℓ, k) such that $n' \leq \ell < k \leq n$. Then, the on-shell Bethe vector $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ becomes an eigenvector of transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{g}}(z)$ with eigenvalue

$$\tau_{\mathbf{g}}(z; \bar{t}) = \sum_{i=n'}^n \lambda_i(z) \mu_i^i(z; \bar{t}).$$

Proof. This proposition is proved by a direct verification of the vanishing condition (7.9) using (7.6) and the explicit description of the subsets given in the appendix B. \square

We want to stress that the proposition 7.2 not only verifies the eigenvector property of the on-shell Bethe vectors, but provides an explicit description of all wanted and unwanted terms in the action of transfer matrix on the off-shell Bethe vectors in arbitrary \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable model.

The eigenvalues $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}(z; \bar{t})$ for each of the algebra \mathfrak{g} can be explicitly written using functions which are defined by (3.23).

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$. The eigenvalue of the transfer matrix is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}}(z; \bar{t}) = & \lambda_0(z) f(\bar{t}^0, z_0) f(z, \bar{t}^0) + \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\lambda_i(z) f(\bar{t}^i, z) f(z, \bar{t}^{i-1}) + \lambda_{i'}(z) f(\bar{t}^{i-1}, z_{i-1}) f(z_i, \bar{t}^i) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

where we recall that $z_i = z - c(i - 1/2)$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and the functional parameters $\lambda_{i'}(z)$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$ are defined by the equalities

$$\lambda_{i'}(z) = \frac{1}{\lambda_i(z_i)} \prod_{s=i+1}^n \frac{\lambda_s(z_{s-1})}{\lambda_s(z_s)}. \quad (7.11)$$

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$. The eigenvalue of the transfer matrix is equal to

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}}(z; \bar{t}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\lambda_i(z) f(\bar{t}^i, z) f_{i-1}(z, \bar{t}^{i-1}) + \lambda_{i'}(z) f_{i-1}(\bar{t}^{i-1}, z_{i-1}) f(z_i, \bar{t}^i) \right), \quad (7.12)$$

where the functional parameters $\lambda_{i'}(z)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ are defined by the equalities (7.11) and we recall that $z_0 = z$ and $z_i = z - c(i + 1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$. The eigenvalue of the transfer matrix is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n}}(z; \bar{t}) = & \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\lambda_i(z) f(z, \bar{t}^{i'}) f(\bar{t}^i, z) \prod_{q=1}^{\nu_{i-2}} f(z, \bar{t}^{i-q}) + \right. \\ & \left. + \lambda_{i'}(z) f(\bar{t}^{i'}, z) f(z_i, \bar{t}^i) \prod_{q=1}^{\nu_{i-2}} f(\bar{t}^{i-q}, z_{i-q}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

where the sets $\bar{t}^s = \emptyset$ for $s < 0$ and we set $\nu_{-1} = 0$. We recall that $z_0 = z_1 = z$ and $z_i = z - c(i - 1)$ for $i = 2, \dots, n - 1$. The functional parameters $\lambda_{i'}(z)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ are defined by the equalities (7.11).

One we can rewrite (7.13) as

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n}}(z; \bar{t}) = & \lambda_2(z)^{-1} f(\bar{t}^2, z)^{-1} \prod_{q=0}^1 \left(f(\bar{t}^q, z) \lambda_q(z) + f(z, \bar{t}^q) f(\bar{t}^2, z) \lambda_2(z) \right) + \\ & + \sum_{i=3}^n \left(\lambda_i(z) f(\bar{t}^i, z) f(z, \bar{t}^{i-1}) + \lambda_{-i+1}(z) f(\bar{t}^{i-1}, z_{i-1}) f(z_i, \bar{t}^i) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

To show the equality between the two expressions (7.13) and (7.14), we used the relation $f(\bar{t}^2, z) = f(z_2, \bar{t}^2)^{-1} = f(z - c, \bar{t}^2)^{-1}$ and

$$\lambda_{-1}(z) = \frac{\lambda_0(z) \lambda_1(z)}{\lambda_2(z)}$$

which is a direct consequence of (7.11).

For $n = 2$ the existence of such factorized presentation of the eigenvalue (7.14) is a consequence of embedding \mathfrak{o}_4 -invariant monodromy matrix into product of two \mathfrak{gl}_2 -invariant monodromies described in the paper [1].

8 Rectangular recurrence relations for off-shell Bethe vectors

Let $\Phi_i^\ell(z; \bar{t}_I)$ and $\bar{\Phi}_j^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{III}})$ be the functions defined by the equalities

- for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_N$:

$$\Phi_i^\ell(z; \bar{t}_I) = (\sigma_{i' - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}})^{\delta_{\ell \geq \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}}}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_j^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = (\sigma_j)^{\delta_{k \leq -\xi_{\mathfrak{g}}}}, \quad (8.1a)$$

- for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$:

$$\Phi_i^\ell(z; \bar{t}_I) = \bar{\Lambda}_\ell(\bar{u}_I), \quad \bar{\Phi}_j^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = \bar{\Lambda}_{k'}(\bar{v}_{\text{III}}), \quad (8.1b)$$

where the sign factors σ_m are defined in (5.1).

In (8.1b) the functions $\Phi_i^\ell(z; \bar{t}_I)$ and $\bar{\Phi}_j^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{III}})$ depend on the indices i and j implicitly through the cardinalities of the subsets \bar{t}_I and \bar{t}_{III}^s given by (8.4). The subset \bar{u}_I^ℓ in the argument of the function $\bar{\Lambda}_\ell(\bar{u}_I)$ should be understood as the subset $\{z, \bar{t}_I^\ell\}$ with $|\bar{t}_I^\ell| = \Theta(i' - \ell - 1)$. Analogously, the subset $\bar{v}_{\text{III}}^{k'}$ in the argument of the function $\bar{\Lambda}_{k'}(\bar{v}_{\text{III}})$ should be understood as the subset $\{z_{k'}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^{k'}\}$ with $|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^{k'}| = \Theta(j - k')$.

For each algebra \mathfrak{g} we define the \mathfrak{g} -dependent absolute value of the integer ℓ by the rule

$$|\ell|_{\mathfrak{g}} = |\ell - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}/2| + \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}/2,$$

where $|\ell|$ is the usual absolute value. A significant property of the \mathfrak{g} -dependent absolute value $|\ell|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is that $|\ell'|_{\mathfrak{g}} = |\ell|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ for all for $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$, which means, in particular, that for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and \mathfrak{o}_{2n} we have $|0|_{\mathfrak{g}} = |1|_{\mathfrak{g}} = 1$. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$, $|\ell|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is just the usual absolute value.

Using the definition (8.1) of the functions $\Phi_i^\ell(z; \bar{t}_I)$ and $\bar{\Phi}_j^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{III}})$ we can formulate the following proposition.

Proposition 8.1. *For any integers ℓ and k such that $n' \leq \ell < k \leq n$ the off-shell Bethe vectors in \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable models satisfy the rectangular recurrence relations*

$$\mathcal{Z}_\ell^k \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}) = \frac{1}{\lambda_k(z) \mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t})} \sum_{i=n'}^{\ell} \sum_{j=k}^n \sum_{\text{part } \bar{t}} \alpha(\bar{t}_{\text{III}}) \Xi_{i,j}^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) T_{i,j}(z) \cdot \mathbb{B}(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}), \quad (8.2)$$

where

$$\Xi_{i,j}^{\ell,k}(z; \bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) = \Phi_i^\ell(z; \bar{t}_I) \bar{\Phi}_j^k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) \psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}_I) \phi_k(z; \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) \Omega(\bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}) \Omega(\bar{t}_{\text{II}}, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}) \quad (8.3)$$

and the sum in (8.2) goes over partitions of the sets $\bar{t}^s \dashv \{\bar{t}_1^s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s\}$ with cardinalities

$$|\bar{t}_1^s| = \begin{cases} \Theta(\ell - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}})\Theta(i' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \left(\Theta(\ell - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}})\delta_{s,i} + \Theta(i' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}})\delta_{s,\ell'}\right)\delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2}, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \Theta(\ell - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}})\left(\Theta(i' + s - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \Theta(i' - s - 1)\right), & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s < |\ell|_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \Theta(i' - s - 1), & |\ell|_{\mathfrak{g}} + \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2} \leq s \leq n - 1, \end{cases} \quad (8.4a)$$

$$|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = \begin{cases} \Theta(k' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}})\Theta(j - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \left(\Theta(k' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}})\delta_{s,j'} + \Theta(j - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}})\delta_{s,k}\right)\delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2}, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \Theta(k' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}})\left(\Theta(j + s - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \Theta(j - s - 1)\right), & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s < |k|_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \Theta(j - s - 1), & |k|_{\mathfrak{g}} + \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2} \leq s \leq n - 1. \end{cases} \quad (8.4b)$$

Note that first lines in the description of the cardinalities $|\bar{t}_1^s|$ and $|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s|$ (8.4) are absent for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ (see (8.5a)). For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ these lines describe the cardinalities of the subsets $|\bar{t}_1^0|$ and $|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^0|$ only and they can be unified with the second lines of (8.4a) and (8.4b) (see (8.5b)).

One can see from the formulas (8.4) that the cardinalities of the subsets \bar{t}_1^s are defined solely by the index i , while the cardinalities of \bar{t}_{III}^s are defined solely by the index j . Moreover, the cardinalities of the subsets \bar{t}_{III}^s can be obtained from the cardinalities \bar{t}_1^s by the formal replacement $i' \rightarrow j$ and $\ell \rightarrow k'$. Note also that the first line in (8.4a) and (8.4b) drops out for \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} , since in this case $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is equal to 0. The second line in (8.4a) and (8.4b) are also absent when $\ell = k = 0$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\ell = k = 0, 1$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ since in these cases the inequalities $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s < |\ell|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s < |k|_{\mathfrak{g}}$ cannot be fulfilled for any s . In these cases the sums $|\ell|_{\mathfrak{g}} + \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2}$ and $|k|_{\mathfrak{g}} + \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2}$ becomes 1 for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and 2 for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$.

Proof. Note first that for $\ell' = k = n$ there is no summation over i and j in the recurrent relation (8.2). The summation over partitions is also absent since for the values $\ell' = k = n$ the cardinalities of all subsets $|\bar{t}_1^s| = |\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = 0$, according to (8.5). The recurrence relation becomes equivalent to the action of the highest monodromy entry (5.5). Applying to this relation the appropriate zero mode operator, using the relation (5.6) and the commutation relations (6.7) we prove by induction the rectangular recurrence relation (8.2) for all ℓ and k such that $n' \leq \ell < k \leq n$. \square

We call these recurrence relations rectangular because in their right hand side appear only monodromy entries from the upper-right rectangular domain of the monodromy matrix defined by the integers ℓ and k .

Among the recurrence relations, the basic ones occur when $k = \ell + 1$ for $\ell \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}$. They describe the extension of the set of Bethe parameters \bar{t}^{ℓ} by a single parameter z . These basic recurrence relations can be seen as building blocks that allow to construct any rectangular recurrence relation. They are however the last ones that one obtains starting from the action of the highest monodromy entry. They also allow to build recursively an arbitrary off-shell Bethe vector starting from the vacuum vector proving in this way its uniqueness, up to a re-ordering of the terms using the commutation relation (3.12). An explicit form for these basic recurrence relations can be found in the paper [21] in the case of the algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$.

The cardinalities of the subsets used in the sum over partitions in (8.2) can be explicitly written for different \mathfrak{g} as follows.

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$:

$$|\bar{t}_1^s| = \begin{cases} \Theta(\ell) (\Theta(s-i) + \Theta(-s-i-1)), & s < |\ell|, \\ \Theta(-s-i-1), & s \geq |\ell|, \end{cases} \quad (8.5a)$$

$$|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = \begin{cases} \Theta(-k) (\Theta(j+s) + \Theta(j-s-1)), & s < |k|, \\ \Theta(j-s-1), & s \geq |k|. \end{cases}$$

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$:

$$|\bar{t}_1^s| = \begin{cases} \frac{\Theta(\ell-1)}{1+\delta_{s,0}} (\Theta(s-i) + \Theta(-s-i)), & 0 \leq s < \left| \ell - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2}, \\ \Theta(-s-i), & \left| \ell - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq n-1, \end{cases} \quad (8.5b)$$

$$|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = \begin{cases} \frac{\Theta(-k)}{1+\delta_{s,0}} (\Theta(j+s-1) + \Theta(j-s-1)), & 0 \leq s < \left| k - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2}, \\ \Theta(j-s-1), & \left| k - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$:

$$|\bar{t}_1^s| = \begin{cases} \Theta(\ell-2) (\Theta(-i-1) + \delta_{i,s}) + \Theta(-i-1) \delta_{s,\ell'} \delta_{\ell=0,1}, & s = 0, 1, \\ \Theta(\ell-2) (\Theta(s-i) + \Theta(-s-i)), & 2 \leq s < \left| \ell - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2}, \\ \Theta(-i-s), & \left| \ell - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2} + \delta_{\ell=0,1} \leq s \leq n-1, \end{cases} \quad (8.5c)$$

$$|\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s| = \begin{cases} \Theta(-k-1) (\Theta(j-2) + \delta_{j,s'}) + \Theta(j-2) \delta_{s,k} \delta_{k=0,1}, & s = 0, 1, \\ \Theta(-k-1) (\Theta(s+j-1) + \Theta(-s+j-1)), & 2 \leq s < \left| k - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2}, \\ \Theta(-s+j-1), & \left| k - \frac{1}{2} \right| + \frac{1}{2} + \delta_{k=0,1} \leq s \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

9 Coproduct properties of off-shell Bethe vectors

The coproduct properties of \mathfrak{gl}_2 type Bethe vectors were described for the first time in the paper [12] on an example of generalized two-site model. In the book [27] this type of integrable models were called *composite*.

To describe a composite model we consider two generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable models which are described by two monodromy matrices $T^{[1]}(u)$ and $T^{[2]}(u)$ satisfying the *RTT* commutation relation with the same \mathfrak{g} -invariant *R*-matrix. It means, in particular, that they are matrices of the same size and one can consider the monodromy matrix of the composed model with the matrix entries

$$T_{i,j}^{[2,1]}(u) = \sum_{\ell=n'}^n T_{i,\ell}^{[2]}(u) T_{\ell,j}^{[1]}(u), \quad (9.1)$$

given by the matrix multiplication in the auxiliary space which is the same for all three models. Denote by $\mathbb{B}^{[2]}(\bar{t})$ and $\mathbb{B}^{[1]}(\bar{t})$ the off-shell Bethe vectors built from the upper-triangular monodromy matrix entries $T_{i,j}^{[2]}(u)$, $T_{i,j}^{[1]}(u)$, $i < j$ using the vacuum vectors $|0\rangle^{[2]}$ and $|0\rangle^{[1]}$ respectively. The vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ in the composite model is defined as $|0\rangle^{[2]} \otimes |0\rangle^{[1]}$.

The coproduct properties of the off-shell Bethe vectors describe a possibility to built the Bethe vectors in a composite model through the Bethe vectors of their component. The following propositions demonstrate that these properties are consequence of the defining relations for off-shell Bethe vectors in a generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable model.

Let us define disjoint partitions $\bar{t}^s \dashv \{\bar{t}_I^s, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}^s\}$ such that the cardinalities of the subsets \bar{t}_I^s and $\bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}^s$ are $0 \leq |\bar{t}_I^s| \leq |\bar{t}^s|$ and $|\bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}^s| = |\bar{t}^s| - |\bar{t}_I^s|$. Let $\Omega(\bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}})$ be the function defined by

$$\Omega(\bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}) = \prod_{a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}} \Omega_a^R(\bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}) = \prod_{a \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}} \Omega_a^L(\bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}) = \prod_{a,b \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}} \gamma_a^b(\bar{t}_I^a, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}^b). \quad (9.2)$$

Proposition 9.1. *As result of the defining relation 2.1 for the off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}^{[2]}(\bar{t})$ and $\mathbb{B}^{[1]}(\bar{t})$, the composed Bethe vector given by*

$$\mathbb{B}^{[2,1]}(\bar{t}) = \sum_{\text{part}} \Omega(\bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}) \mathbb{B}^{[2]}(\bar{t}_I) \mathbb{B}^{[1]}(\bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}) \prod_{s \in J_{\mathfrak{g}}} \alpha_s^{[2]}(\bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}^s), \quad (9.3)$$

also obeys the definition 2.1. The function $\Omega(\bar{t}_I, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}})$ is defined in (9.2)

Proof. We sketch the proof of this proposition by a combined induction over the cardinalities of the subsets and the rank of the algebra \mathfrak{g} .

We first consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$. First of all, for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_2$, the relation (9.3) was proved in [12] (see proposition 4.1 in the book [27]). Then using the embedding of \mathfrak{gl}_2 in \mathfrak{gl}_3 and the recurrence relation given by proposition 4.2 for \mathfrak{gl}_3 -type off-shell Bethe vectors, we can prove (9.3) for the Bethe vector $\mathbb{B}^{[2,1]}(\bar{t}^1, \{z\})$. Using again the recurrence relation on this Bethe vector, we prove by induction the relation (9.3) for an arbitrary cardinality of the set \bar{t}^2 in the composed Bethe vector $\mathbb{B}^{[2,1]}(\bar{t}^1, \bar{t}^2)$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_3$. Continuing we can prove that (9.3) is valid for \mathfrak{gl}_{n-1} composed Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}^{[2,1]}(\{\bar{t}^s\}_1^{n-2})$. At the last step of the induction on the rank we first prove (9.3) for the \mathfrak{gl}_n type Bethe vector $\mathbb{B}^{[2,1]}(\{\bar{t}^s\}_1^{n-2}, \{z\})$ and then using induction over the cardinalities of the set \bar{t}^{n-1} we finally prove statement of the proposition.

For the other algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} and \mathfrak{o}_{2n} , we use the embedding of \mathfrak{gl}_n in these algebras. Since (9.3) has been obtained for these cases, we use the \mathfrak{gl}_n Bethe vectors as the starting point for the induction on the rank of \mathfrak{g} . Then, the proof goes along the same lines as for \mathfrak{gl}_n . The fact that \mathfrak{gl}_n Bethe vectors are a subset of the \mathfrak{g} Bethe vectors has been proven for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ in the paper [21]. The cases $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ can be dealt analogously. \square

The property (9.3) of the composed Bethe vectors was called a coproduct property because if a generic monodromy matrix is realized as fundamental T -operator of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$ then the formulas (9.1) are nothing but the coproduct map Δ of the fundamental T -operator

$$\Delta T_{i,j}(u) = \sum_{\ell=n'}^n T_{\ell,j}(u) \otimes T_{i,\ell}(u).$$

10 Conclusion and discussion

In this paper, we have introduced a unified and intrinsic definition of off-shell Bethe vectors formulated in terms of three fundamental relations. Remarkably, these relations suffice to reconstruct the full algebraic structure underlying the Bethe ansatz in the RTT presentation of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{g})$, thereby providing a minimal and conceptually transparent framework for their construction.

This approach sheds new light on the relationship between different realizations of Yangian symmetry. In particular, it paves the way for a systematic derivation of Bethe vectors from the projection method, establishing a deep and explicit correspondence between the *RTT* formulation and the Drinfeld's 'new' realization of the Yangian double $DY(\mathfrak{g})$. A complete proof of this correspondence will be presented in [22].

The proposed definition naturally invites further structural investigations. In particular, morphisms between Yangians associated with Lie algebras of small rank are expected to induce nontrivial and computable relations between the corresponding Bethe vectors, whose explicit construction remains an open problem.

Beyond classical series, our framework offers a promising avenue for the study of exceptional Lie algebras G_2 , F_4 , and E_n with $n = 6, 7, 8$, where analogous questions remain largely unexplored. Clearly, extensions to quantum affine algebras can also be dealt within this framework.

As a side remark, we have introduced two parameters, $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\xi_{\mathfrak{g}}$, which allow us to treat all the algebras \mathfrak{g} in a uniform manner. Notice that $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{g}}$ was already used in the context of twisted Yangians to provide a uniform presentation thereof, see [24] where it appeared as a sign \pm for \mathfrak{o}_N and \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} . A natural question then arises as to whether these parameters admit a more intrinsic algebraic interpretation, for instance in terms of roots and/or weights of the corresponding algebras.

More broadly, the present results lay a solid foundation for future applications, notably to the computation of scalar products, form factors, and correlation functions in integrable models with higher-rank symmetry.

Acknowledgement

S.P. acknowledges support from the PAUSE Programme and the hospitality of LAPTh, where this work was conducted. The work of A. L. was supported by the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (IS24006) and Beijing Talent Program.

A Collections of rational functions

In this appendix we describe for each algebra \mathfrak{g} the functions which are used through the paper.

For any ℓ such that $n' \leq \ell \leq n$ we introduce two functions $\psi_{\ell}(z; \bar{t})$ and $\phi_{\ell}(z; \bar{t})$ which depend on \mathfrak{g} . We write their definitions explicitly for each \mathfrak{g} .

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$:

$$\psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) = \begin{cases} h(\bar{t}^\ell, z) g(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-1}), & 1 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ g(z_0, \bar{t}^0), & \ell = 0, \\ (-1)^{|\bar{t}^{\ell'}| + |\bar{t}^{\ell'-1}|} g(z_{\ell'}, \bar{t}^{\ell'}) h(\bar{t}^{\ell'-1}, z_{\ell'-1}), & 1 \leq \ell' \leq n, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1a})$$

$$\phi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) = \begin{cases} g(\bar{t}^\ell, z) h(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-1}), & 1 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ g(z, \bar{t}^0), & \ell = 0, \\ (-1)^{|\bar{t}^{\ell'}| + |\bar{t}^{\ell'-1}|} h(z_{\ell'}, \bar{t}^{\ell'}) g(\bar{t}^{\ell'-1}, z_{\ell'-1}), & 1 \leq \ell' \leq n, \end{cases}$$

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$:

$$\psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) = \begin{cases} h(\bar{t}^\ell, z) g(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-1}), & 1 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ (-1)^{|\bar{t}^{\ell'}| + |\bar{t}^{\ell'-1}|} g(z_{\ell'}, \bar{t}^{\ell'}) h_{\ell'-1}(\bar{t}^{\ell'-1}, z_{\ell'-1}), & 1 \leq \ell' \leq n, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1b})$$

$$\phi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) = \begin{cases} g(\bar{t}^\ell, z) h_{\ell-1}(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-1}), & 1 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ (-1)^{|\bar{t}^{\ell'}| + |\bar{t}^{\ell'-1}|} h(z_{\ell'}, \bar{t}^{\ell'}) g(\bar{t}^{\ell'-1}, z_{\ell'-1}), & 1 \leq \ell' \leq n. \end{cases}$$

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$:

$$\psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) = \begin{cases} h(\bar{t}^\ell, z) \prod_{q=1}^{\nu_{\ell-2}} g(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-q}), & 2 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ (-1)^{|\bar{t}^0| + |\bar{t}^1|} g(z_{\ell'}, \bar{t}^{\ell'}) h(\bar{t}^\ell, z_\ell), & 0 \leq \ell \leq 1, \\ (-1)^{|\bar{t}^{\ell'}|} g(z_{\ell'}, \bar{t}^{\ell'}) \prod_{q=1}^{\nu_{\ell'-2}} (-1)^{|\bar{t}^{\ell'-q}|} h(\bar{t}^{\ell'-q}, z_{\ell'-q}), & 2 \leq \ell' \leq n, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1c})$$

$$\phi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) = \begin{cases} g(\bar{t}^\ell, z) \prod_{q=1}^{\nu_{\ell-2}} h(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-q}), & 2 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ h(z_{\ell'}, \bar{t}^{\ell'}) g(\bar{t}^\ell, z_\ell), & 0 \leq \ell \leq 1, \\ (-1)^{|\bar{t}^{\ell'}|} h(z_{\ell'}, \bar{t}^{\ell'}) \prod_{q=1}^{\nu_{\ell'-2}} (-1)^{|\bar{t}^{\ell'-q}|} g(\bar{t}^{\ell'-q}, z_{\ell'-q}), & 2 \leq \ell' \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1d})$$

Recall that the shifted parameters $z_{\ell'}$ in the formulas (A.1) have an expression which depends on \mathfrak{g} according to the definitions (5.3). Note also that in most cases the function $\phi_\ell(z; \bar{t})$ can be

obtained from the function $\psi_\ell(z; \bar{t})$ by replacing everywhere the function $g(u, v)$ by $h(u, v)$ and vice versa. However, this rule does not apply when one consider the special simple roots in \mathfrak{g} which are not of \mathfrak{gl}_n type.

Using the functions (A.1), we introduce the functions $\mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t})$ for $n' \leq \ell \leq k \leq n$ occurring in the eigenvalue property of on-shell Bethe vectors and in the construction of the rectangular recurrence relations for off-shell Bethe vectors. We explicitly write them for each algebra \mathfrak{g} .

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$:

$$\mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t}) = \sigma_{\ell'-k} \left(\frac{g(z_1, \bar{t}^0)}{h(z, \bar{t}^0)} \right)^{\delta_{\ell < 0} \delta_{k > 1}} (k - 1/2)^{\delta_{k, \ell'}} \psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) \phi_k(z; \bar{t}), \quad \ell < k, \quad (\text{A.2a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\ell^\ell(z; \bar{t}) &= \left(\frac{h(z, \bar{t}^0)}{g(z_1, \bar{t}^0)} \right)^{\delta_{\ell, 0}} \psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) \phi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) = \\ &= \begin{cases} f(\bar{t}^\ell, z) f(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-1}), & 0 < \ell \leq n, \\ f(\bar{t}^0, z_0) f(z, \bar{t}^0), & \ell = 0, \\ f(\bar{t}^{-\ell-1}, z_{-\ell-1}) f(z_{-\ell}, \bar{t}^{-\ell}), & -n \leq \ell < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2b})$$

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t}) &= \sigma_{\ell'-k} \sigma_{\ell'-1} \sigma_k \left((-1)^{(|\bar{t}^0|)} h(\bar{t}^1, z) \right)^{\delta_{\ell < 1} \delta_{k > 0}} (k+1)^{\delta_{k, \ell'}} \times \\ &\quad \times \psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) \phi_k(z; \bar{t}), \quad \ell < k, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2c})$$

$$\mu_\ell^\ell(z; \bar{t}) = \psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) \phi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) = \begin{cases} f(\bar{t}^\ell, z) f(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-1}), & 2 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ f(\bar{t}^1, z) f_0(z, \bar{t}^0), & \ell = 1, \\ f_0(\bar{t}^0, z) f(z_1, \bar{t}^1), & \ell = 0, \\ f(\bar{t}^{-\ell}, z_{-\ell}) f(z_{-\ell+1}, \bar{t}^{-\ell+1}), & -n+1 \leq \ell \leq -1. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.2d})$$

Recall that according to the definition (3.23) the function $f_0(u, v) = \frac{u-v+2c}{u-v}$.

- For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$:

$$\mu_\ell^k(z; \bar{t}) = \sigma_{\ell'-k} (-1)^{(|\bar{t}^0|+|\bar{t}^1|)\delta_{\ell < 2} \delta_{k > -1}} (k-1)^{\delta_{k, \ell'}} \psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) \phi_k(z; \bar{t}), \quad \ell < k, \quad (\text{A.2e})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\ell^\ell(z; \bar{t}) &= (-1)^{(|\bar{t}^0|+|\bar{t}^1|)(\delta_{\ell, 0}+\delta_{\ell, 1})} \psi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) \phi_\ell(z; \bar{t}) = \\ &= \begin{cases} f(\bar{t}^\ell, z) f(z, \bar{t}^{\ell-1}), & 3 \leq \ell \leq n, \\ f(\bar{t}^2, z) f(z, \bar{t}^0) f(z, \bar{t}^1), & \ell = 2, \\ f(\bar{t}^1, z) f(z, \bar{t}^0), & \ell = 1, \\ f(\bar{t}^0, z) f(z, \bar{t}^1), & \ell = 0, \\ f(z_2, \bar{t}^2) f(\bar{t}^1, z) f(\bar{t}^0, z), & \ell = -1, \\ f(\bar{t}^{-\ell}, z_{-\ell}) f(z_{-\ell+1}, \bar{t}^{-\ell+1}), & -n+1 \leq \ell \leq -2. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2f})$$

B Description of the subsets in proposition 7.1

In this appendix we describe explicitly all the subsets in the partition of the extended sets \bar{w}^s which yield a non-zero contribution in the action of the diagonal monodromy entries $T_{i,i}(z)$ on the off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$. We present this description for algebras $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$. The description for \mathfrak{gl}_n has already been presented in proposition 4.3, formulas (4.18).

For all three algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} , and \mathfrak{o}_{2n} we first formulate the following lemma.

Lemma B.1. *Let $q \in \{\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{II}, \mathfrak{III}\}$ denote the index labeling the subset \bar{w}_q^s . We equip the set $\{\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{II}, \mathfrak{III}\}$ with the natural ordering $\mathfrak{i} \prec \mathfrak{II}$, $\mathfrak{i} \prec \mathfrak{III}$, and $\mathfrak{II} \prec \mathfrak{III}$. The partitions of the sets $\bar{w}^s \dashv \{\bar{w}_{\mathfrak{i}}^s, \bar{w}_{\mathfrak{II}}^s, \bar{w}_{\mathfrak{III}}^s\}$ such that $\{z\} \in \bar{w}_q^a$ and $\{z_b\} \in \bar{w}_{q'}^b$ with $q \prec q'$ and $a, b = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ have a zero contribution to the action formula (6.3).*

Proof. We provide the proof of this lemma in the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$. The two other cases $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ can be proved analogously.

Assume that $z \in \bar{w}_q^a$ for some $a = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $q = \{\mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{II}\}$. The explicit form of the function $\Omega(\bar{w}_{\mathfrak{i}}, \bar{w}_{\mathfrak{II}}, \bar{w}_{\mathfrak{III}})$ (4.10) yields that $z \notin \bar{w}_{q'}^{a-1}$ for $q \prec q'$ since otherwise the corresponding term in the sum over partitions vanishes due to $g(z, z)^{-1} = 0$. This means that $z \in \bar{w}_q^{a-1}$ and by induction over a we get that $z \in \bar{w}_q^0$.

Assuming now that $z_b \in \bar{w}_{q'}^b$ for some $b = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $q' = \{\mathfrak{II}, \mathfrak{III}\}$. Again, the explicit form of the function $\Omega(\bar{w}_{\mathfrak{i}}, \bar{w}_{\mathfrak{II}}, \bar{w}_{\mathfrak{III}})$ (4.10) yields that $z_b \notin \bar{w}_q^{b-1}$ for $q \prec q'$ since otherwise the corresponding term in the sum over partitions vanishes due to $h(z_b, z_{b-1}) = 0$. This means that $z_b \in \bar{w}_{q'}^{b-1}$ and by induction over b that $z_0 \in \bar{w}_{q'}^0$.

But the factor $f_0(\bar{w}_q^0, \bar{w}_{q'}^0)$ for $q \prec q'$ in the function $\Omega(\bar{w}_{\mathfrak{i}}, \bar{w}_{\mathfrak{II}}, \bar{w}_{\mathfrak{III}})$ (4.10) will vanish because $f_0(z, z_0) = 0$. This proves that our assumptions that $z \in \bar{w}_q^a$ and $z_b \in \bar{w}_{q'}^b$ for $q \prec q'$ leads to a zero contribution in the sum over partitions. \square

In the lemmas B.3 and B.2 below we describe the subsets $\bar{w}_{\mathfrak{i}}^s$, $\bar{w}_{\mathfrak{II}}^s$, and $\bar{w}_{\mathfrak{III}}^s$ which yield non-zero contributions in the action of the diagonal monodromy entries $T_{i,i}(z)$ to off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ in a generic \mathfrak{g} -invariant integrable model. Using the parameter $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ (3.8), the description of such subsets can be done uniformly for all $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$. Recall that the parameter $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is such that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}} = 0$, $\varphi_{\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}} = 1$, and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n}} = 2$.

Lemma B.2. *Let ℓ and k be integers from the set $I_{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\ell \leq k$.*

1. Fix a positive integer i such that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq i \leq n$. In the sum over partitions $\bar{w}^s \dashv \{\bar{w}_{\mathfrak{i}}^s, \bar{w}_{\mathfrak{II}}^s, \bar{w}_{\mathfrak{III}}^s\}$ in (6.3) such that cardinalities of the subsets are given by (6.6), the partitions which correspond to non-zero terms are labeled by a pair of integers (ℓ, k) such that $n' \leq \ell \leq i \leq k \leq n$. They are given by the following list of formulas.

- For $\varphi_g \leq l \leq i \leq k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s, z\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s \leq \ell - 1, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s, \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s\}_{\substack{1 \\ r_s-1}}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}_{\substack{1 \\ r_s-1}}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & \ell \leq s \leq i - 1, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}_{\substack{1 \\ r_s-1}}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s\}_{\substack{1 \\ 1}}, & i \leq s \leq k - 1, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & k \leq s \leq n - 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1a}$$

- For $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq \ell' \leq i \leq k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}_{r_s-1}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s\}_2, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z_s, z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}_{r_s-2}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s \leq \ell' - 1, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s, \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s\}_1, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}_{r_s-1}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & \ell' \leq s \leq i-1, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}_{r_s-1}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s\}_1, & i \leq s \leq k-1, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & k \leq s \leq n-1.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1b}$$

- For $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq i \leq \ell' \leq k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{w}_I^s &= \{\bar{t}_I^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\mathbb{I}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\mathbb{II}}^s &= \emptyset, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\
\bar{w}_I^s &= \{\bar{t}_I^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\mathbb{I}}^s &= \{z_s, z, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\mathbb{II}}^s &= \emptyset, & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s \leq i-1, \\
\bar{w}_I^s &= \{\bar{t}_I^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\mathbb{I}}^s &= \{z_s, z, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\mathbb{II}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}^s\}, & i \leq s \leq \ell'-1, \\
\bar{w}_I^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\mathbb{I}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\mathbb{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\mathbb{II}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\mathbb{II}}^s\}, & \ell' \leq s \leq k-1, \\
\bar{w}_I^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\mathbb{I}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\mathbb{II}}^s &= \{z\}, & k \leq s \leq n-1,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1c}$$

- For $\varphi_{\mathfrak{q}} \leq i \leq k \leq \ell' \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z_s, z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s \leq i-1, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z_s, z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s\}, & i \leq s \leq k-1, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z_s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & k \leq s \leq \ell'-1, \\
\bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & \ell' \leq s \leq n-1.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1d}$$

2. Fix a non-positive integer i such that $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq i' \leq n$. In (6.3) with $j = i$, the partitions which have non-zero contributions are given by the same equalities (B.1) with the replacements

$$i \rightarrow i', \quad \ell \leftrightarrow k', \quad \text{I} \leftrightarrow \text{III}, \quad \{z\} \leftrightarrow \{z_s\}. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

For the algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}$ the subsets given by the formulas (B.1) together with ones obtained by the replacement (B.2) describe all the subsets which yield non-zero contribution to the action of diagonal monodromy entries on off-shell Bethe vectors. Note that the first lines in the formulas (B.1) are absent for the algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ since $\varphi_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}} = 0$.

For the algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$, $\varphi_{\mathfrak{o}_{2n}} = 2$ and we need to consider two additional cases.

3. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ and i, k, ℓ such that $2 \leq i \leq k \leq n$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & s &= \ell', \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & s &= \ell, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s, \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \emptyset, & 2 \leq s \leq i-1, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{\bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s\}, & i \leq s \leq k-1, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & k \leq s \leq n-1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

4. For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ and $i = 1$ the subsets are

- for $\ell = k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^0 &= \{z\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^0 &= \bar{t}^0, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^0 &= \emptyset, & s &= 0, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^1 &= \emptyset, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^1 &= \bar{t}^1, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^1 &= \{z\}, & s &= 1, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & 2 \leq s \leq n-1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4a})$$

- for $\ell = 1$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^0 &= \{z\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^0 &= \bar{t}^0, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^0 &= \emptyset, & s &= 0, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^1 &= \emptyset, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^1 &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^1\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^1 &= \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^1, & s &= 1, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s, & 2 \leq s \leq k-1, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & k \leq s \leq n-1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4b})$$

- for $2 \leq \ell' \leq n$ and $k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^0 &= \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^0, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^0 &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^0\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^0 &= \emptyset, & s &= 0, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^1 &= \emptyset, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^1 &= \bar{t}^1, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^1 &= \{z\}, & s &= 1, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \bar{t}_{\text{I}}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z_s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & 2 \leq s \leq \ell'-1, \\ \bar{w}_{\text{I}}^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & \ell' \leq s \leq n-1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4c})$$

- for $2 \leq \ell' \leq k \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{w}_I^0 &= \bar{t}_I^0, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^0 &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^0\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^0 &= \emptyset, & s &= 0, \\
\bar{w}_I^1 &= \emptyset, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^1 &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^1\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^1 &= \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^1, & s &= 1, \\
\bar{w}_I^s &= \bar{t}_I^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z_s, z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s, & 2 \leq s \leq \ell' - 1, \\
\bar{w}_I^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s, & \ell' \leq s \leq k - 1, \\
\bar{w}_I^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & k \leq s \leq n - 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4d}$$

- for $2 \leq k \leq \ell' \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{w}_I^0 &= \bar{t}_I^0, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^0 &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^0\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^0 &= \emptyset, & s &= 0, \\
\bar{w}_I^1 &= \emptyset, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^1 &= \{z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^1\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^1 &= \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^1, & s &= 1, \\
\bar{w}_I^s &= \bar{t}_I^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z_s, z, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s, & 2 \leq s \leq k - 1, \\
\bar{w}_I^s &= \bar{t}_I^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \{z_s, \bar{t}_{\text{II}}^s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & k \leq s \leq \ell' - 1, \\
\bar{w}_I^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s &= \{z\}, & \ell' \leq s \leq n - 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.4e}$$

The cardinalities given by the formulas (B.3) and (B.4) together with the ones obtained from the replacement (B.2) describe the remaining cases for the subsets in the partitions $\bar{w}^s \dashv \{\bar{w}_I^s, \bar{w}_{\text{II}}^s, \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s\}$ which yield non-zero contribution to the action (7.5) of diagonal monodromy entries in \mathfrak{o}_{2n} -invariant integrable models.

Proof. We provide the proof of this lemma B.2 for the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. The proofs for other cases is similar.

The first step is to give the description of the subsets \bar{w}_{III} given by the third columns in the formulas (B.1). Since the index i is strictly positive it means that, according to the description (6.6a), the cardinality $|\bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s|$ can be either 0 for $0 \leq s \leq i - 1$ or 1 for $i \leq s \leq n - 1$. Consider the latter case when $|\bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s| = 1$. Then, according to the lemma B.1 the subset \bar{w}_{III}^s can be either $\{z\}$ or \bar{t}_{III}^s . Fix a positive integer a such that $i \leq a \leq n - 1$ and assume that $\bar{w}_{\text{III}}^a = \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^a$. Then the structure of the function $\Omega(\bar{w}_I, \bar{w}_{\text{II}}, \bar{w}_{\text{III}})$ (4.10) fixes that $\bar{w}_{\text{III}}^s = \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^s$ for all s such that $i \leq s \leq a - 1$. Indeed, our assumption $\bar{w}_{\text{III}}^a = \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^a$ implies that $z \in \bar{w}_{I,\text{II}}^a$. But then, the factor $g(\bar{w}_{I,\text{II}}^a, \bar{w}_{\text{III}}^{a-1})^{-1}$ fixes that $\bar{w}_{\text{III}}^{a-1} = \bar{t}_{\text{III}}^{a-1}$ since otherwise $\bar{w}_{\text{III}}^{a-1} = \{z\}$ and this term vanishes in the sum over partitions due to $g(z, z)^{-1} = 0$. These considerations show that there exist a positive integer k , $i \leq k \leq n$ which labels the partitions and such that the subsets \bar{w}_{III}^s are $\{z\}$ for $k \leq s \leq n - 1$ and \bar{t}_{III}^s (of cardinality 1) for $i \leq s \leq k - 1$. This proves the third column in the formulas (B.1) of the lemma B.2 and fixes the positive integer k .

According to the first formula in (6.6a) the cardinality of the subset \bar{w}_I^s for $1 \leq i \leq n$ are equal to 2 for $0 \leq s \leq i - 1$ and to 1 for $i \leq s \leq n - 1$. We consider these two cases separately.

First, we consider the case when $|\bar{w}_I^s| = 1$, namely the values of s such that $i \leq s \leq n - 1$. This case corresponds to last two lines in (B.1a), (B.1b) and last three lines in (B.1c) and (B.1d).

Fix a positive integer b such that $i \leq b \leq n - 1$. Then according to (6.6a) the cardinality $|\bar{w}_I^b| = 1$ and the subset \bar{w}_I^b can be either $\{z_b\}$ or \bar{t}_I^b . The case $\bar{w}_I^b = \{z\}$ is excluded by the

lemma B.1. Let us assume that $\bar{w}_I^b = \bar{t}_I^b$. Then the structure of the function $\Omega(\bar{w}_I, \bar{w}_II, \bar{w}_III)$ (4.10) fixes that $\bar{w}_I^s = \bar{t}_I^s$ for all s such that $i \leq s \leq b-1$. Indeed, an assumption $\bar{w}_I^b = \bar{t}_I^b$ means that $\bar{w}_{II,III}^b = \{z_b, z, \bar{t}_{II,III}^b\}$ and the factor $h(\bar{w}_{II,III}^b, \bar{w}_I^{b-1})$ fixes that $\bar{w}_I^{b-1} = \bar{t}_I^{b-1}$ since otherwise the term in the sum over partition such that $\bar{w}_I^{b-1} = \{z_{b-1}\}$ vanishes due to $h(z_b, z_{b-1}) = 0$. In turn, it signifies that there exist an positive integer ℓ_1 , $i \leq \ell_1 \leq n$ which labels the partitions and such that $\bar{w}_I^s = \{z_s\}$ for $\ell_1 \leq s \leq n-1$ and $\bar{w}_I^s = \bar{t}_I^s$ for $i \leq s \leq \ell_1-1$.

Now we have to consider the different cases of interrelation between the positive integers ℓ_1 , i and k .

- Let $i \leq k \leq \ell_1 \leq n$ and $i \leq \ell_1 \leq k \leq n$. Then according to the analysis above $\bar{w}^s = \bar{t}_I^s$ for $i \leq s \leq \ell_1$ and $\bar{w}^s = \{z_s\}$ for $\ell_1 \leq s \leq n-1$. Combining this result with the already proven description of the subsets \bar{w}_III^s we obtain last three lines in (B.1c) and (B.1d) where the positive integer ℓ_1 is replaced by ℓ' such that $-k \leq \ell \leq -i$ in the first case and $-n \leq \ell \leq -k$ in the second one.
- Let $1 \leq \ell_1 \leq i \leq k \leq n$. Then in the last two lines of both formulas (B.1a) and (B.1b) one gets that the subsets \bar{w}_I^s are equal to $\{z_s\}$. Together with the already shown description of the sets \bar{w}_III^s for $i \leq s \leq n-1$ we proved the last two lines in (B.1a) and (B.1b) which are the same and do not depend on ℓ_1 .

Now we repeat similar arguments for the cardinality 2 subsets \bar{w}_I^s for $0 \leq s \leq i-1$. Recall again that according to (6.6a) the subset \bar{w}_III^d is empty for these values of s .

Fix again a non-negative integer d such that $0 \leq d \leq i-1$. For these values of d the subset \bar{w}_I^d has a cardinality 2 and can be either $\{z_d, \bar{t}_I^d\}$ with $|\bar{t}_I^d| = 1$ or $\{z_d, z\}$ or \bar{t}_I^d with $|\bar{t}_I^d| = 2$. The case when $\bar{w}_I^d = \{z, \bar{t}_I^d\}$ is excluded by the lemma B.1.

Let us assume that $\bar{w}_I^d = \{z_d, z\}$. Then we can prove that $\bar{w}_I^s = \{z_s, z\}$ for all $0 \leq s \leq d-1$. Indeed, according to our assumption the factor $g(\bar{w}_I^d, \bar{w}_II^{d-1})^{-1}$ fixes that $z \notin \bar{w}_II^{d-1}$ otherwise this term in the sum over partitions vanishes due to $g(z, z)^{-1} = 0$. This means that $z \in \bar{w}_I^{d-1}$ and the lemma B.1 provides that z_{d-1} also belongs to the subset \bar{w}_I^{d-1} .

On the other hand if $\bar{w}_I^d = \{z_d, \bar{t}_I^d\}$ which means that $\bar{w}_II^d = \{z, \bar{t}_II^d\}$ then we can show that $\bar{w}_I^s = \{z_s, \bar{t}_I^s\}$ and $\bar{w}_II^s = \{z, \bar{t}_II^s\}$ for $d+1 \leq s \leq i-1$. Indeed the factor $h(\bar{w}_II^{d+1}, \bar{w}_I^d)$ fixes that $z_{d+1} \notin \bar{w}_II^{d+1}$ and the factor $g(\bar{w}_I^{d+1}, \bar{w}_II^d)^{-1}$ fixes that $z \notin \bar{w}_I^{d+1}$ which proves the assertion.

These considerations mean that there exist a non-negative integer ℓ such that $0 \leq \ell \leq i$, $\bar{w}_I^s = \{z_s, z\}$, $\bar{w}_II^s = \bar{t}_II^s$ for $0 \leq s \leq \ell-1$ and $\bar{w}_I^s = \{z_s, \bar{t}_I^s\}$, $\bar{w}_II^s = \{z, \bar{t}_II^s\}$ for $\ell \leq s \leq i-1$. This proves second and third lines in (B.1a). Recall that the first lines in (B.1) are absent.

The final case corresponds to $\bar{w}_I^d = \bar{t}_I^d$ (of cardinality 2) for some $0 \leq d \leq i-1$. Again the structure of the function $\Omega(\bar{w}_I, \bar{w}_II, \bar{w}_III)$ (4.10) fixes that $\bar{w}_I^s = \bar{t}_I^s$ for all s such that $0 \leq s \leq d-1$. Indeed, the assumption $\bar{w}_I^d = \bar{t}_I^d$ means that $\bar{w}_II^d = \{z_d, z, \bar{t}_II^d\}$. Then the factor $h(\bar{w}_II^d, \bar{w}_I^{d-1})$ fixes that $z_{d-1} \notin \bar{w}_I^{d-1}$ which implies that $\bar{w}_I^{d-1} = \{\bar{t}_I^{d-1}\}$ again with $|\bar{t}_I^{d-1}| = 2$. Otherwise if $z_{d-1} \in \bar{w}_I^{d-1}$ then this term vanishes due to $h(z_d, z_{d-1}) = 0$.

These considerations show that there exist a non-negative integer ℓ_2 , $0 \leq \ell_2 \leq i$, labeling the partitions and such that $\bar{w}_I^s = \bar{t}_I^s$, $\bar{w}_II^s = \{z_s, z, \bar{t}_II^s\}$ for $0 \leq s \leq \ell_2-1$ with $|\bar{t}_I^s| = 2$ and $\bar{w}_I^s = \{z_s, \bar{t}_I^s\}$, $\bar{w}_II^s = \{z, \bar{t}_II^s\}$ for $\ell_2 \leq s \leq i-1$ with $|\bar{t}_I^s| = 1$.

If $\ell_2 = i$ then $\bar{w}_I^s = \bar{t}_I^s$, $\bar{w}_II^s = \{z_s, z, \bar{t}_II^s\}$ for $0 \leq s \leq i-1$ with $|\bar{t}_I^s| = 2$: it yields to second lines in (B.1c) and (B.1d).

For $0 \leq \ell_2 < i$, we can redefine $\ell_2 = \ell'$ with $-i < \ell \leq 0$. It proves second and third lines in (B.1b).

Summarizing we conclude that in the case $1 \leq i \leq n$ the subsets \bar{w}_I^s , \bar{w}_{II}^s , and \bar{w}_{III}^s which yields non-zero contribution in the action of the diagonal monodromy entries $T_{i,i}(z)$ on the off-shell Bethe vectors $\mathbb{B}(\bar{t})$ are labeled by a pair of integers ℓ and k such that $n' \leq \ell \leq i \leq k \leq n$ and presented by the formulas (B.1). The cases of other i can be considered similarly. \square

Once we have determined the partitions leading to non-zero contributions, one can select the ones associated to the wanted terms. Indeed, these wanted terms just correspond to the partitions such that $\ell = k = i$ and $\bar{t}_{II} = \bar{t}$. A careful examination of the partitions listed in lemma B.2 leads to the following lemma.

Lemma B.3. *The subsets \bar{w}_I^s , \bar{w}_{II}^s , and \bar{w}_{III}^s which yield nonzero contributions to the diagonal monodromy action (6.3) and corresponding to wanted terms for $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$ and $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq i \leq n$ are given by the equalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}_I^s &= \{z\}, & \bar{w}_{II}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{III}^s &= \emptyset, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \bar{w}_I^s &= \{z_s, z\}, & \bar{w}_{II}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{III}^s &= \emptyset, & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s \leq i-1, \\ \bar{w}_I^s &= \{z_s\}, & \bar{w}_{II}^s &= \bar{t}^s, & \bar{w}_{III}^s &= \{z\}, & i \leq s \leq n-1 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

and for $i = 1$ when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ by the equalities (B.4a). The subsets which leads to the wanted terms for $\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq i' \leq n$ when $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}_n$ are given by the same equalities (B.5) and for $i = 0$ when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{o}_{2n}$ by the equalities (B.4a) with the replacement

$$i \rightarrow i', \quad I \leftrightarrow III, \quad \{z\} \leftrightarrow \{z_s\}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Cardinalities of the \bar{t} subsets. For all \mathfrak{g} the cardinalities of the subsets \bar{t}_I^s , \bar{t}_{II}^s , and \bar{t}_{III}^s in the action of diagonal monodromy entries on the off-shell Bethe vectors are given by the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{t}_I^s| &= \begin{cases} \Theta(i - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \Theta(\ell' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \left(\Theta(i - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \delta_{s,\ell} + \Theta(\ell' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \delta_{s,i'} \right) \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2}, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \Theta(i - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \left(\Theta(\ell' + s - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \Theta(\ell' - s - 1) \right), & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s < |i|_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \Theta(\ell' - s - 1) \Theta(N_{\mathfrak{g}} - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}), & |i|_{\mathfrak{g}} + \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2} \leq s \leq n-1, \end{cases} \\ |\bar{t}_{III}^s| &= \begin{cases} \Theta(i' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \Theta(k - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \left(\Theta(i' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \delta_{s,k'} + \Theta(k - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \delta_{s,i} \right) \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2}, & 0 \leq s < \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \Theta(i' - \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}}) \left(\Theta(k + s - \xi_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \Theta(k - s - 1) \right), & \varphi_{\mathfrak{g}} \leq s < |i|_{\mathfrak{g}}, \\ \Theta(k - s - 1), & |i|_{\mathfrak{g}} + \delta_{\varphi_{\mathfrak{g}},2} \leq s \leq n-1. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Note that the formulas (B.7) can be mapped to the formulas (8.4) describing the cardinalities in the recurrence relations with following replacement in the latter formulas

$$\ell \rightarrow i, \quad k \rightarrow i, \quad i \rightarrow \ell, \quad j \rightarrow k. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Note that $i = j$ in (B.7) corresponds to $k = \ell$ in (8.4).

References

- [1] D. Arnaudon, A. Molev, E. Ragoucy. *On the R-matrix realization of Yangians and their representations*. Ann. Henri Poincaré, **7** (2006), 1269–1325, [arXiv:math/0511481](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0511481).
- [2] H. J. De Vega, M. Karowski, *Exact Bethe ansatz solution of $O(2N)$ symmetric theories*, Nuc. Phys. B **280** (1987) 225–254.
- [3] J. Ding, I. Frenkel, *Isomorphism of two realizations of quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(n))$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **156** (1993), 277–300.
- [4] J. Ding, S. Khoroshkin. *Weyl group extension of quantized current algebras*. Transform. Groups **5** (2000), 35–59, [arXiv:math/9804139](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9804139).
- [5] V. G. Drinfel'd, *Quantum groups*, Journal of Soviet Mathematics **41** (1988) 898.
- [6] V. G. Drinfeld. *A new realization of Yangians and of quantum affine algebras*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **36** (1988) 212–216.
- [7] B. Enriquez. *On correlation functions of Drinfeld currents and shuffle algebras*. Transformation Groups **5** (2000), 111–120, [arXiv:math/9809036](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9809036).
- [8] B. Enriquez, S. Khoroshkin, S. Pakuliak, *Weight functions and Drinfeld currents*, Comm. Math. Phys. **276** (2007) 691–725, [arXiv:0610398](https://arxiv.org/abs/0610398).
- [9] A. Gerrard, V. Regelskis, *Nested algebraic Bethe ansatz for deformed orthogonal and symplectic spin chains*, Nuc. Phys. B, **956**, (2020) 115021.
- [10] A. Hutsalyuk, A. Liashyk, S. Z. Pakuliak, E. Ragoucy, N. A. Slavnov, *Current presentation for the double super-Yangian $DY(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ and Bethe vectors*, Russ. Math. Surv. **72:1** (2017) 33–99, [arXiv:1611.09620](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09620).
- [11] A. Hutsalyuk, A. Liashyk, S. Z. Pakuliak, E. Ragoucy, N. A. Slavnov, *Actions of the monodromy matrix elements onto $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ -invariant Bethe vectors*, J. Stat. Mech. **2009** (2020) 093104, [arXiv:2005.09249](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.09249).
- [12] A. G. Izergin and V. E. Korepin. *The Quantum Inverse Scattering Method Approach to Correlation Functions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **94**, 67–92 (1984).
- [13] N. Jing, M. Liu, A. Molev, *Isomorphism between the R-matrix and Drinfeld presentations of Yangian in types B, C and D*, Comm. Math. Phys. **361** (2018), 827–872, [arXiv:1705.08155](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.08155).
- [14] S. Khoroshkin, S. Pakuliak, *A computation of an universal weight function for the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(N))$* , J. of Mathematics of Kyoto University, **48** n.2 (2008) 277–321, [arXiv:0711.2819](https://arxiv.org/abs/0711.2819).
- [15] M. Kosmakov, V. Tarasov, *New combinatorial formulae for nested Bethe vectors*, SIGMA **21** (2025), 060, [arXiv:2312.00980](https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.00980).

- [16] M. Kosmakov, V. Tarasov, *New combinatorial formulae for nested Bethe vectors II*, Lett. Math. Phys. **115** (2025), 12, [arXiv:2402.15717](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.15717).
- [17] P. P. Kulish, N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *GL(3)-invariant solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation and associated quantum systems*, J. Sov. Math., 34:5, 1948, (1982).
- [18] P. P. Kulish, N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Diagonalisation of $gl(n)$ invariant transfer matrices and quantum N -wave system (Lee model)*, J. Phys. A16, L591, (1983).
- [19] A. Liashyk, S. Pakuliak, *Gauss Coordinates vs Currents for the Yangian Doubles of the Classical Types*. SIGMA **16** (2020) 120, 23 pages, [arXiv:2006.01579](https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.01579).
- [20] A. Liashyk, S. Pakuliak. *Algebraic Bethe ansatz for \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} -invariant integrable models*. Theoret. and Math. Phys. **206** (2021) 19–39, [arXiv:2008.03664](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.03664).
- [21] A. Liashyk, S. Pakuliak, E. Ragoucy. *Rectangular recurrence relations in gl_n and \mathfrak{o}_{2n+1} invariant integrable models*. SIGMA **21** (2025) 078, 28 pages, [arXiv:2412.05224](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.05224).
- [22] A. Liashyk, S. Pakuliak, E. Ragoucy. *Serre relations and Bethe vectors for the Yangian doubles of the classical series*. In preparation.
- [23] A. I. Molev, *Yangians and Classical Lie Algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, (2007).
- [24] A. Molev, M. Nazarov and G. Olshanski, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Russian Math. Survey **51** (1996) 205 and [arXiv:hep-th/9409025](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9409025).
- [25] N. Y. Reshetikhin, *Integrable models of quantum one-dimensional magnets with $O(n)$ and $Sp(2k)$ symmetry*, Teor. Math. Fiz. **63** (1985) 347.
- [26] N. Y. Reshetikhin, *Algebraic Bethe ansatz for $SO(N)$ -invariant transfer matrices*, J. Sov. Math. **54**, 940 (1991).
- [27] N. Slavnov. *Algebraic Bethe Ansatz and Correlation Functions. An Advanced Course.*, World Scientific, (2022).
- [28] V. Tarasov, A. Varchenko. *Jackson integral representations for solutions of the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov quantum equation*, St. Petersburg Math. J. 6 (1994), 275–313, [arXiv:hep-th/9311040](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9311040).
- [29] C. N. Yang. *Some Exact Results for the Many-Body Problem in one Dimension with Repulsive Delta-Function Interaction*, Phys. Rev. Lett. (1967) **19** 1312–1315.
- [30] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Al. B. Zamolodchikov. *Factorized S -matrices in two dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field models*, Ann. Phys. **120** (1979) 253–291.