

# THERMODYNAMIC FORMALISM OF STOCHASTIC EQUILIBRIUM ECONOMICS

Esa Nummelin<sup>1</sup>, and Elja Arjas<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, e-mail:  
leena.nummelin@gmail.com*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, e-mail:  
elja.arjas@helsinki.fi*

**Abstract:** In economics, construction of perfect models in a way that would be comparable to the standards customary in physical sciences is generally not feasible. In particular, the observed value for an economic equilibrium may deviate significantly from its model-based a priori expected value. Mathematically, the a posteriori observed equilibrium may then represent a large deviation in the sense that it falls outside the region of validity of the Central Limit Theorem. With this as the motivating starting point, we propose a new approach to the theory of stochastic economic equilibrium. Drawing on recent developments in probability theory, we argue for the relevance of the theory of large deviations in stochastic equilibrium economics. Thereby the formalism of stochastic equilibrium economics becomes analogous to that of classical statistical mechanics, as the theory of large deviations forms also the mathematical basis of statistical mechanics. In consequence, thermodynamic concepts such as entropy, partition function and canonical probability can be introduced in a natural way to stochastic equilibrium economics. We focus here on the economic analogs of two fundamental principles, the Second Law of Thermodynamics and the Gibbs Conditioning Principle.

**MSC2020 subject classifications:** Primary 60F10, 82Bxx, 91Bxx.

**Keywords and phrases:** economic equilibrium, large deviation theory, statistical mechanics, entropy.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. The set-up

The ideal in modern theoretical economics is to arrive at a correspondence between the considered models and reality that is present, and paradigmatically characteristic, to physical sciences.

Classical equilibrium theory is concerned with the following fundamental problem:

PROBLEM 0. Does there exist an equilibrium, i.e., a price vector at which the total excess demand in the economy vanishes?

In the classical theory the total excess demand is a known deterministic function (e.g., Debreu [13]), and equilibrium prices are defined as zeros of this function. In reality, excess demand is not known, but it is still implicitly thought that the equilibrium prices are zeros of an hypothetical total excess demand function.

Following the pioneering works by Arrow, Debreu and McKenzie in the 1950s ([2], [3], [13], [31]), the theory of general (deterministic) equilibrium became a major research topic, and was then developed further during the 1960s and 1970s, to reach its present mature state.

As all human behaviour, economic behaviour is to some extent unpredictable, and often irrational. In contrast to physical sciences, in economics it is never possible to achieve perfect correspondence between model and reality. An economic model, however sophistically built, is only an approximate representation of the real world, with the discrepancies between model and reality corresponding to *a priori* uncertainty.

Accounting for uncertainty leads to consideration of an entire ensemble of configurations, where each configuration is combined with a weight (*a priori* probability) indicating the *a priori* degree of belief in its truth. These beliefs can be "rational guesses" or be based, e.g., on an earlier systematic statistical study of the microeconomic behaviour of a suitably chosen representative sample drawn from the set of economic agents. These beliefs can be described mathematically by specifying an *a priori* probability law.

In view of this, it is natural to consider equilibrium models, which are stochastic rather than deterministic. For this, we assume that the parameters in the equilibrium model are random variables, and thereby also the equilibria of the model are random.

We also assume that the economy is *large*, i.e., the number of participating agents is large. The question of the existence of an equilibrium, with probability 1, in random economies was famously studied in Hildenbrand [19].

The first special problem one can pose for a large random economy is the following:

**PROBLEM 1.** What is the *a priori* relationship of a random equilibrium with its model-based *a priori* expected value? More precisely, does there exist a Law of Large Numbers (LLN) and a Central Limit Theorem (CLT)?

The seminal work dealing with the solution to Problem 1 is due to Bhattacharya and Majumdar [6]. In contrast to deterministic equilibria, after the pioneering papers by Hildenbrand, Bhattacharya and Majumdar in the early 1970s, interest in the theory of random economic equilibria has remained marginal. Drawing on recent developments in general probability theory, we suggest here a new approach to this theory, by arguing for the important role of the theory of large deviations in this context.

We formulate two basic problems concerning the Bayesian type of interplay between an *a priori* random equilibrium model with the *a posteriori* observations on the realized equilibrium.

By an *a posteriori (macroeconomic) observation* we mean the observation of some realized macroeconomic variable or quantity such as, e.g, the equilibrium prices, the consumption or production of some commodities in the economy or in some economic sector, or the number of economic agents being of a certain "type". We focus on the case where the observations concern the realized equilibrium prices in the system.

The first new problem to be addressed is as follows:

**PROBLEM 2.** Suppose that the realized equilibrium is a posteriori observed. What is the a priori probability of this observation?

Note that, if the a priori model is "good", then, due to the LLN and CLT, this probability ought to be "high". Moreover, in this case its value can be approximated, due to CLT, see Section 2.5.

However, since economic models are not perfect, the a posteriori observed equilibrium may deviate significantly from its model-based a priori expected value, in which case the a priori probability of the observed value is small. In fact, it can be argued that the observed equilibrium then represents a Large Deviation (LD) in the sense that it falls outside the region of the validity of the CLT. This is so because the CLT is valid only in an asymptotically small region, with standard deviation of order  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ , cf. Section 2.5.

We then address the inference problem arising from the a posteriori observation of the equilibrium (possibly representing a large deviation):

**PROBLEM 3.** What is the a posteriori probability law governing a random economy, conditionally on an observation of the realized equilibrium?

This inference problem arises also in the classical non-stochastic equilibrium theory. Namely, due to the (unavoidable) contradictions of the a posteriori observations on the real system with their model-based predictions, some degree of re-modelling becomes necessary. In the deterministic case, particular versions of such models remain necessarily ad hoc.

**REMARK.** These ideas have certain similarity, but also obvious differences, to those familiar from the Bayesian statistical inference and decision theory. There, a postulated prior distribution of the model parameters is updated, based on the empirical observations (data) and by applying Bayes' formula, into the corresponding posterior distribution. In each case, predictive probabilities of future observables can be computed by an integration of the corresponding likelihood with respect to the distribution of the model parameters.

### 1.2. *Short description of the results*

Problems 2 and 3 have close analogs in the formalism of classical statistical mechanics. This is because the theory of large deviations can be seen to have a similar role in statistical mechanics as in stochastic equilibrium economics.

The set-up of Problem 2 turns out to be an analog of the Second Law of thermodynamics. To this end, recall that the (integral form of the) classical Second Law relates thermodynamic entropy with temperature, internal energy, and thermodynamic partition function, see (2.7).

We argue that in stochastic equilibrium economics *information content*, defined as the logarithm of the inverse probability of the observation of an equilibrium, should be viewed as the analog of thermodynamic entropy in statistical mechanics. The Second Law of stochastic equilibrium economics then relates economic entropy to the corresponding economic partition function.

The economic Second Law is obtained at the ideal limit of an infinitely large economy, the analog of the thermodynamic limit, from a Theorem of Large Deviations (TLD) concerning the random equilibrium prices. The TLD gives a formula for the a priori probability of the observation of an a priori unexpected equilibrium. The economic Second Law can then be interpreted as providing an information theoretic measure of goodness for the a priori equilibrium model.

The set-up of Problem 3 is an analog of the thermodynamic Gibbs Conditioning Principle (GCP) characterizing the thermodynamic canonical probability law as the governing probability law of a thermodynamic system at a measured temperature. The canonical law is a member of the exponential probability distribution family generated by the total energy, see Section 3.1.

According to the economic analog of the Gibbs Conditioning Principle, conditionally on the observation of an equilibrium, the a posteriori probability law governing a random economy is a canonical member of the exponential family generated by the random total excess demand (Section 3.2). GCP is a version of a conditional Law of Large Numbers concerning macroeconomic random variables (Theorem 5.1 in Section 5.2).

We organize the paper into two parts. In the first part we develop the thermodynamic formalism of large random economies, focusing on the economic analogs of the Second Law of thermodynamics and the Gibbs Conditioning Principle. In the second part we formulate the economic Second Law and the Gibbs Conditioning Principle as mathematical theorems within the framework of the theory of LD.

As illustrations, we investigate in detail the special case of an *ideal random economy*, where the economic agents are supposed to be statistically identical and independent, being an analog of the classical thermodynamic ideal gas. As a special illustrating example we study "ideal" random Cobb-Douglas economies.

There do not seem to be no easily accessible treatments of the LD theoretic foundations of statistical mechanics in the literature. Therefore, for the convenience of a possibly unacquainted reader and in order to point out the proposed analogy of stochastic equilibrium economics with statistical mechanics, we begin each chapter with a short review on the relevant basics of statistical mechanics and its LD theoretic foundations.

We have made an attempt to keep the presentation self-contained, thereby avoiding references to the general LD theory. For a reader interested in this

theory we recommend the monographs by Bucklew [10] and by Dembo and Zeitouni [15].

## PART I: THERMODYNAMIC FORMALISM

### 2. The Second Law

#### 2.1. *The Second Law of thermodynamics*

The proposed formalism for stochastic equilibrium theory is analogous to that of statistical mechanics. Therefore, in order to point out this analogy, we review in Sections 2.1 and 3.1 the classical Second Law of thermodynamics and the Gibbs Conditioning Principle.

Consider a physical system which comprises  $n$  particles  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Their positions  $\rho_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and momenta  $\theta_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$  form a *particle configuration*  $\omega$  in the *thermodynamic ensemble*  $\Omega \doteq \mathbb{R}^{6n}$ . Associated with each particle configuration  $\omega$  there is the *energy*  $U(\omega)$  ( $\doteq$  the sum of the kinetic energies of the particles and of the potential energy associated with the particle configuration  $\omega$ ).

According to Liouville's theorem, Lebesgue measure  $d\omega$  (= the Euclidean volume) in  $\mathbb{R}^{6n}$  is invariant under the Hamiltonian dynamics (see [29]: Chapter 1). This means that Lebesgue measure can be regarded as the "a priori probability law". In statistical mechanics the a priori model is "completely imperfect" in that, a priori, all configurations  $\omega$  are equiprobable.

Observation (measurement) of the *temperature*  $T$  restricts the thermodynamic system to a compact *energy shell*:

$$\{|U - E| < \Delta\} \doteq \{\omega \in \Omega : |U(\omega) - E| < \Delta\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Here  $E$  denotes the internal energy at temperature  $T$ , and  $\Delta$  denotes the thickness of the "infinitesimally thin" energy shell. (The symbol "dot" indicates equality by definition.)

The *thermodynamic entropy*  $S$  is defined as the logarithm of the volume of this energy shell:

$$S \doteq \log \text{Vol}\{|U - E| < \Delta\}, \quad (2.2)$$

([37]: p.32).

The *thermodynamic partition function*  $\Lambda(\beta)$  is defined as the Laplace transform of the energy:

$$\Lambda(\beta) \doteq \int e^{-\beta U(\omega)} d\omega, \quad \beta > 0, \quad (2.3)$$

where the conjugate variable  $\beta$  has the meaning of *inverse temperature*:  $T \doteq \frac{1}{\beta}$ . The *internal energy*  $E(\beta)$  associated with the inverse temperature  $\beta$  is defined as the derivative

$$E(\beta) \doteq -\frac{d}{d\beta} \log \Lambda(\beta). \quad (2.4)$$

In physics, this quantity is traditionally denoted by  $\langle U(\beta) \rangle$ .

The Second Law of thermodynamics introduces entropy as an extensive thermodynamic variable. According to this law, an infinitesimal reversible addition  $dQ$  of heat leads to a proportional increase in entropy, with the inverse temperature as the coefficient of proportionality:

$$dS = \beta dQ. \quad (2.5)$$

For a system in constant volume (doing no work), added heat adds influences solely the internal energy of the system. Therefore, for a system of constant volume we have

$$dS = \beta dE. \quad (2.6)$$

Integrating by parts in (2.6) and taking into account (2.4) leads to the equivalent integral form of the second law:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\beta) &= \beta E(\beta) - \int E(\beta) d\beta \\ &= \beta E(\beta) + \log \Lambda(\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The Second Law is obtained at the ideal limit  $n = \infty$  (the so-called *thermodynamic limit*) from a theorem of large deviations concerning the total energy  $U$ , see Section 4.1.

**EXAMPLE: THE CLASSICAL IDEAL GAS.** In the classical ideal gas there is no interaction between the particles so that the energy comprises solely the kinetic energies of the individual particles, see [29]: Section 2.1. Therefore, it is sufficient to include in the ensemble only the momenta of the particles:

$$\Omega \doteq \{\omega = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) : \theta_i \in \mathbb{R}^3\} = \mathbb{R}^{3n}.$$

The kinetic energy  $u_i$  of particle  $i$  is given by the "structure function"

$$u_i = u(\theta_i) = \frac{|\theta_i|^2}{2m}$$

of its momentum  $\theta_i$  ("thermodynamic characteristic") and mass  $m$ . (The non-standard terms "structure function" and "thermodynamic characteristics" refer to their economic analogs, cf. Section 2.4.) Thus the total energy becomes simply the sum

$$U(\omega) = \sum_{i=1}^n u(\theta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\theta_i|^2}{2m}. \quad (2.8)$$

Here, and in numerous places elsewhere, we omit the dependence on the system size  $n$  in the notation.

Consequently, the partition function of the ideal gas is the  $n$ th power of the partition function associated with a single particle:

$$\Lambda(\beta) \doteq \int \cdots \int e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\theta_i|^2}{2m}} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n = \lambda(\beta)^n, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\lambda(\beta) \doteq \int_{R^3} e^{-\beta u(\theta)} d\theta = \int_{R^3} e^{-\frac{\beta|\theta|^2}{2m}} d\theta = \left( \int_R e^{-\frac{\beta x^2}{2m}} dx \right)^3 = (2\pi m)^{\frac{3}{2}} \beta^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (2.10)$$

The internal energy of the ideal gas is given by

$$E(\beta) = ne(\beta), \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$e(\beta) \doteq -\frac{d}{d\beta} \log \lambda(\beta) = \frac{3}{2\beta} \quad (2.12)$$

denotes the internal energy of a single particle. Therefore, for the ideal gas, the integral form (2.7) of the Second Law becomes

$$S(\beta) = n(\log \lambda(\beta) + \beta e(\beta)) = \frac{3n}{2}(-\log \beta + \log 2\pi em). \quad (2.13)$$

## 2.2. Random economies and their equilibria

We consider an *economic system* (shortly, *economy*), where certain *commodities*  $j = 1, \dots, l + 1$  are traded by a set of *economic agents*.

We assume that there is a parameter  $n$ , to be called the *size parameter*. (Typically,  $n$  is simply the number of economic agents.) We assume that we are dealing with a "large economy"; namely, in the exact theorems we let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $Z^j(p)$  denote the *total excess demand* on commodity  $j \in \{1, \dots, l + 1\}$  at *price*  $p \in \mathbb{R}_+^{l+1}$ . (Superscripts refer to commodities.) We assume that, for each  $j$  and  $p$ , the total excess demand is a random variable defined on an underlying probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , i.e.,

$$Z^j(p) = \{Z^j(\omega; p); \omega \in \Omega\},$$

where  $Z^j(\omega; p)$  is a measurable map of the variable  $\omega$ , see e.g. [8]: p. 182.

We refer to  $\Omega$  as the *macroeconomic ensemble* and to its elements  $\omega$  as the *macroeconomic configurations*. (This somewhat peculiar terminology is due to the analogy with statistical mechanics, cf. Section 2.1.) The underlying probability measure  $P$  is called the *a priori macroeconomic probability law*.

We make the following two standard assumptions:

- (i)  $Z^j(ap) \equiv Z^j(p)$  for every constant  $a > 0$  (homogeneity of degree 0); and

$$(ii) \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} p^j Z^j(p) \equiv 0 \quad (\text{Walras' law}).$$

As is common, the symbol  $\omega$  is omitted. Due to the homogeneity of degree 0, the prices can without loss of generality be normed to belong to the *price simplex*

$$S^l \doteq \{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^{l+1} : \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} p^j = 1\}.$$

Walras' law implies that, for any price  $p \in S^l$  such that  $p^{l+1} > 0$ , the total excess demand on the  $(l+1)$ st commodity is determined by the total excess demands on the other commodities:

$$Z^{l+1}(p) = -(p^{l+1})^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^l p^j Z^j(p).$$

Thus we omit the  $(l+1)$ st component and call the vector

$$Z(p) \doteq (Z^1(p), \dots, Z^l(p)) \in \mathbb{R}^l$$

comprising the total excess demands on the commodities  $j = 1, \dots, l$  simply the *(random) total excess demand*.

The *random equilibrium prices* (r.e.p.'s) are defined as those price vectors  $p^* = \{p^*(\omega); \omega \in \Omega\}$  at which the random total excess demand vanishes:

$$Z(\omega; p^*(\omega)) = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

or, shortly:

$$Z(p^*) = 0.$$

Let

$$EZ(p) \doteq \int Z(\omega; p) P(d\omega)$$

denote the (deterministic) *expected total excess demand function*. Its zeros  $p_e^*$  are called the *(a priori) expected equilibrium prices*:

$$EZ(p_e^*) = 0.$$

REMARKS: (i) The random equilibrium prices form a random set:

$$\pi^* = \{\pi^*(\omega); \omega \in \Omega\},$$

where

$$\pi^*(\omega) = \{p \in S^l : Z(\omega; p) = 0\}$$

denotes the set of equilibrium prices at the realized macroeconomic configuration  $\omega$ . Thus  $p^*(\omega)$  denotes an arbitrary element of  $\pi^*(\omega)$ .

(ii) There is a more general concept of random equilibrium: A random variable  $X(p) = \{X(\omega; p); \omega \in \Omega\} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (for some  $d \geq 1$ ), which depends on the macroeconomic configuration  $\omega$  and on the price  $p$ , is called a *macroeconomic random variable*. Examples of such variables are total demand, supply, production or share of these by the whole economy or by some macroeconomic sector. Also, if the economic agents can be classified into a finite set of different *types*, then the numbers of agents belonging to these classes can be regarded as macroeconomic random variables, cf. Example (iii) below.

For any r.e.p.  $p^*$ , let

$$x^* \doteq n^{-1}X(p^*)$$

denote the mean of the macroeconomic random variable at this equilibrium price.

The pair  $(p^*, x^*)$  is called a *random composite equilibrium (r.c.e.)*, see [36]: Section 4.3.

EXAMPLES: (i) In the standard Cobb-Douglas exchange economy (shortly, CD economy) comprising  $n$  economic agents  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the *individual excess demand* by agent  $i$  is given by the vector

$$\zeta_i(p) \doteq \left( \frac{a_i^j}{p^j} p \cdot e_i - e_i^j; j = 1, \dots, l \right), \quad (2.15)$$

where  $a_i \doteq (a_i^1, \dots, a_i^{l+1}) \in S^l$  is the *share parameter*,  $e_i \doteq (e_i^1, \dots, e_i^{l+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{l+1}$  is the *initial endowment*, and  $p \cdot e_i \doteq \sum_{k=1}^{l+1} p^k e_i^k$  is the *initial wealth* of the agent  $i$ .

In a random CD economy the share parameters  $a_i$  and the initial endowments  $e_i$  are random variables.

The random total excess demand equals the sum of the random individual excess demands  $\zeta_i(p)$ :

$$Z(p) \doteq \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i(p) = \left( \frac{1}{p^j} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{l+1} a_i^j e_i^k p^k - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^j; j = 1, \dots, l \right).$$

The random equilibrium prices are then obtained from

$$p^* = \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^j \right)^{-1} (W^*)^j; j = 1, \dots, l+1 \right), \quad (2.16)$$

where  $W^* = ((W^*)^1, \dots, (W^*)^{l+1})$  is a left eigenvector (associated with the eigenvalue 1) of the (random) stochastic matrix

$$A = (a^{jk}; j, k = 1, \dots, l+1) \doteq \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^j \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^k e_i^j; j, k = 1, \dots, l+1 \right), \quad (2.17)$$

normalized so that  $p^* \in S^l$ . (In particular, it follows that, if the matrix  $A$  is *irreducible* (e.g., [41]), then there is only one (unique) random equilibrium price  $p^*$ .)

The expected total excess demand on commodity  $j$  is given by

$$EZ(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n E\zeta_i(p) = \left( \frac{1}{p^j} \sum_{k=1}^{l+1} M_{a;e}^{jk} p^k - M_e^j; j = 1, \dots, l \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_{a;e}^{jk} &\doteq \sum_{i=1}^n E(a_i^j e_i^k), \\ M_e^k &\doteq \sum_{i=1}^n Ee_i^k = \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} \mu_{a;e}^{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

The expected equilibrium prices are given by

$$p_e^* = ((M_e^j)^{-1} (w_e^*)^j; j = 1, \dots, l), \quad (2.18)$$

where  $w_e^*$  is a left eigenvector with eigenvalue 1 of the stochastic matrix

$$A_e = (a_e^{jk}; j, k = 1, \dots, l+1) \doteq ((M_e^j)^{-1} M_{a;e}^{kj}; j, k = 1, \dots, l+1),$$

subject to the normalization  $p_e^* \in S^l$ . Again, if  $A_e$  is irreducible, then there is only one (unique) expected equilibrium price  $p_e^*$ .

(ii) In a *random (one-period) financial market* ([36]: Example 2) the parameters  $a_i$  ( $\doteq$  the risk aversion of agent  $i$ ),  $\mu_i$  ( $\doteq$  the vector of subjective expectations by  $i$  of the values of the assets at the end of the period),  $\Sigma_i$  ( $\doteq$  the matrix of subjective expectations by  $i$  of the correlations of the values of the assets at the end of the period) and  $e_i$  ( $\doteq$  the initial endowment of  $i$ ) are random variables. (There is "double stochasticity" in that the agents' subjective expectations  $\mu_i$  and  $\Sigma_i$  are also regarded as random variables.)

(iii) The concept of a random composite equilibrium can be illustrated with the following *survival model* (see e.g. [7]). Consider a random Cobb-Douglas economy as described above. Suppose that at each price  $p$  there is a *survival level*  $\underline{w}(p)$  such that an agent  $i$  having initial endowment  $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{l+1}$  can survive only if his initial wealth exceeds this level, i.e.,  $p \cdot e_i \geq \underline{w}(p)$ . Let  $\chi_{\{p \cdot e_i < \underline{w}(p)\}}$  denote the indicator of non-survival, i.e., it is 1 if the inequality  $p \cdot e_i < \underline{w}(p)$  is satisfied and 0 otherwise. Thus we can express the total number  $N(p)$  of non-surviving agents as the sum

$$N(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{\{p \cdot e_i < \underline{w}(p)\}}.$$

The pair  $(p^*, n^*)$  comprising the equilibrium price  $p^*$  and the mean number  $n^* \doteq n^{-1} N(p^*)$  of non-surviving agents (at this equilibrium) is now an example of a random composite equilibrium.

### 2.3. The Second Law of stochastic equilibrium economics

Let  $p$  be any price belonging to the price simplex  $S^l$ .

By the *observation* of an equilibrium price *in the  $\delta$ -neighborhood* of price  $p$  we mean the observation of a random equilibrium price, which is at a distance less than  $\delta$  from  $p$ , i.e., the occurrence of the event

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{there exists a r.e.p. } p^*(\omega) \text{ such that } |p^*(\omega) - p| < \delta\}. \quad (2.19)$$

We think of (2.19) as the observation of the realized ("true") equilibrium price in the  $\delta$ -neighborhood of price  $p$ . The "tolerance"  $\delta$  is supposed to be negligible, and therefore we may speak about the observation of the equilibrium price *at* price  $p$ . In the sequel we write the observation (2.19) shortly as

$$\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta. \quad (2.20)$$

In the ensuing exact theorems we let  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

Let  $A$  be any event having probability  $P(A)$ . The *information content*  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  in the observation of the event  $A$  is defined as the logarithm of the inverse of its probability:

$$\mathcal{I}(A) \doteq \log \frac{1}{P(A)} = -\log P(A)$$

(e.g., [11]). Thus the observation of a "common" event having high probability has low information content whereas the observation of a "rare" event has high information content.

**Definition 2.1.** The *economic entropy*  $I(p)$  is defined as the *information content* in the observation of a random equilibrium price at price  $p$ :

$$I(p) \doteq \mathcal{I}(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) \doteq -\log P(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta). \quad (2.21)$$

The *Laplace transform* (L.t.) of the total excess demand  $Z(p)$  is defined as the function

$$\Lambda(\alpha; p) \doteq E e^{\alpha \cdot Z(p)} = \int e^{\alpha \cdot Z(\omega; p)} P(d\omega), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^l. \quad (2.22)$$

The (*macroeconomic*) *partition function*  $\Lambda(p)$  is defined as its minimum:

$$\Lambda(p) \doteq \min_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^l} \Lambda(\alpha; p).$$

The logarithm of the L.t. of a random variable (the so-called *cumulant generating function*) is known to be a convex function, see e.g. [8]: p. 148. It follows, in particular, that if there exists a parameter  $\alpha = \alpha(p) \in \mathbb{R}^l$  such that

$$\frac{\partial \log \Lambda}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha(p); p) = 0, \quad (2.23)$$

then necessarily

$$\Lambda(p) = \Lambda(\alpha(p); p). \quad (2.24)$$

Due to the thermodynamic analogy with the concept of inverse temperature, the variable  $\alpha(p)$  is called the *conjugate variable* (associated with price  $p$ ). (Later we show that the existence of a conjugate variable is equivalent to  $p$  being a so-called *possible equilibrium price*, see Theorem 4.1 in Section 4.2.)

According to the Second Law of stochastic equilibrium economics, the economic entropy equals the negative of the logarithm of the partition function:

**The Second Law of Stochastic Equilibrium Economics:**

$$I(p) = -\log \Lambda(p). \quad (2.25)$$

REMARKS: (i) The observation (2.19) is the economic analog of the observation (2.1) of temperature in thermodynamics.

(ii) The Second Law is obtained at the limit  $n = \infty$ ,  $\delta = 0$ , from a theorem of large deviations concerning the random equilibrium prices. According to the TLD

$$\mathcal{I}(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) + \log \Lambda(p) = \varepsilon(n, \delta)n,$$

where  $\varepsilon(n, \delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , see Theorem 4.2 in Section 4.3.

(iii) Entropy is a "measure of rareness" for the possible values  $p$  for the random equilibrium prices. Namely, a priori unexpected "rare" values for the r.e.p. have large entropy whereas a priori expected "common" values have small entropy.

Thus the second law of can be interpreted as providing an information theoretic measure of goodness for the a priori equilibrium model:

Namely, if the a priori equilibrium model is "good", then due to the LLN, the observed value  $p$  for the random equilibrium price  $p^*$  is near to its a priori expected value  $p_e^*$  and, therefore, has high a priori probability. Thus its entropy  $I(p)$ , which by definition equals its information content, is small. On the other hand, the realization of an a priori rare value for the random equilibrium price has large entropy, indicating the "badness" of the a priori model.

(iv) A *partial observation* of the r.e.p. means the observation of the r.e.p.  $p^*$  in some subset of the price simplex:

$$\exists p^* \in B, \text{ where } B \subset S^l.$$

This is the case, e.g., if the prices of some subset of the commodities are observed, see [36]: Ex. 3.1. Also, if in a dynamical finance market the prices of the assets are

observed only up to some finite time, then this represents a partial observation (of the whole price process), see [36]: Ex. 3.2.

According to the *principle of minimum entropy*, the entropy of a partial observation of the equilibrium price is equal to the entropy of the *entropy minimizing price* in the observation set:

$$I(B) \doteq \mathcal{I}(\exists p^* \in B) = I(p_B^*),$$

where

$$p_B^* \doteq \operatorname{argmin}\{I(p) : p \in B\}.$$

This is a *large deviation theorem concerning partial observations*, see [36]: Theorem 3.4.

(v) By an observation of a *random composite equilibrium* at the price-variable pair  $(p, x) \in S^l \times \mathbb{R}^d$  we mean the occurrence of the event

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : \text{there exists a r.e.p. } p^*(\omega) \text{ such that } |p^*(\omega) - p| < \delta \text{ and } |x^*(\omega) - x| < \delta\}, \quad (2.26)$$

where  $x^*(\omega) \doteq n^{-1} X(\omega; p^*(\omega))$  denotes the mean of the macroeconomic random variable  $X(\omega; p)$  at the equilibrium. The observation (2.26) will be written shortly as

$$\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta, |x^* - x| < \delta. \quad (2.27)$$

Also here  $\delta > 0$  is an "infinitesimally small" constant.

The *(bivariate) entropy*  $I(p, x)$  associated with the observation of a r.c.e. at  $(p, x)$  is defined as the information content in this observation:

$$\begin{aligned} I(p, x) &\doteq \mathcal{I}(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta, |x^* - x| < \delta) \\ &\doteq -\log P(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta, |x^* - x| < \delta). \end{aligned}$$

The *bivariate partition function*  $\Lambda(p, x)$  is defined by the formula

$$\Lambda(p, x) \doteq \Lambda(\alpha(p, x), \beta(p, x); p),$$

where

$$\Lambda(\alpha, \beta; p) \doteq E e^{\alpha \cdot Z(p) + \beta \cdot X(p)} = \int e^{\alpha \cdot Z(\omega; p) + \beta \cdot X(\omega; p)} P(d\omega), \quad \alpha \in R^l, \beta \in R^d,$$

denotes the *bivariate Laplace transform*, and the *bivariate conjugate variables*  $\alpha(p, x)$  and  $\beta(p, x)$  are the solutions of the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \log \Lambda(\alpha(p, x), \beta(p, x); p) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \log \Lambda(\alpha(p, x), \beta(p, x); p) &= nx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

cf. [36]: Section 4.3.

According to a *generalized second law*, the entropy of a bivariate composite equilibrium can be expressed in terms of the bivariate partition function  $\Lambda(p, x)$  and the conjugate variable  $\beta(p, x)$ :

$$I(p, x) = -\log \Lambda(p, x) + n\beta(p, x) \cdot x, \quad (2.29)$$

cf. [36]: Section 4.3.

In the case of Example (iii) in Section 2.2, the observation of a random composite equilibrium  $(p^*, n^*)$  at  $(p, x)$  has the meaning of a simultaneous observation of the r.e.p.  $p^*$  at  $p$  and of the proportion  $n^* \doteq n^{-1}N(p^*)$  of non-surviving agents at  $x$ . The bivariate entropy  $I(p, x)$  is the information content of this observation.

#### 2.4. Ideal random economies

We now illustrate the general results with the aid of a special class of simple random economies, which, due to their analogy with the classical *ideal gas* of statistical mechanics, are called *ideal random economies*:

We assume that the *individual excess demand*  $\zeta_i(p)$  by agent  $i$  is obtained with the aid of a deterministic *structure function*  $z(\theta_i; p)$  of a random parameter  $\theta_i$  (the *economic characteristics* of agent  $i$ ) and of price  $p$ :

$$\zeta_i(p) = z(\theta_i; p).$$

The economic characteristics  $\theta_i$  are supposed to form a sequence of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -valued (for some  $m \geq 1$ ) independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables.

Note that, since statistical independence is preserved under deterministic transformations, it follows that, for each price  $p$ , the individual excess demands are i.i.d., too. Thus in an ideal economy the total excess demand is the sum of i.i.d. random variables:

$$Z(\omega; p) = \sum_{i=1}^n z(\theta_i; p). \quad (2.30)$$

The macroeconomic configuration  $\omega$  is now defined as the vector of the individual characteristics:  $\omega \doteq (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \Omega \doteq \mathbb{R}^{mn}$ .

Let  $f(\theta)$  denote the common probability distribution function (p.d.f.) of the economic characteristics, i.e.,

$$P(\theta_i \in A) = \int_A f(\theta) d\theta \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, A \subset \mathbb{R}^m. \quad (2.31)$$

We call  $f(\theta)$  the *a priori* microeconomic p.d.f. It follows that the a priori macroeconomic probability law  $P(d\omega)$  is the product probability law, under which the economic characteristics  $\theta_i$  are i.i.d.  $f(\theta)$ -distributed random variables, viz.

$$P(d\omega) = f(\theta_1) \cdots f(\theta_n) d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n. \quad (2.32)$$

Let

$$\mu(p) \doteq E\zeta_i(p) = \int z(\theta; p) f(\theta) d\theta$$

denote the *expected individual excess demand*. Since

$$EZ(p) = n\mu(p),$$

the expected equilibrium prices are also zeros of the expected individual excess demand:

$$\mu(p_e^*) = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

Due to the independence of the individual total excess demands, the Laplace transform of the total excess demand in an ideal random economy is equal to the  $n$ 'th power of the L.t. of the individual excess demand:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\alpha; p) &\doteq Ee^{\alpha \cdot Z(p)} \\ &= Ee^{\alpha \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i(p)} \\ &= Ee^{\alpha \cdot \zeta_1(p)} \cdots Ee^{\alpha \cdot \zeta_n(p)} \\ &= \lambda(\alpha; p)^n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda(\alpha; p) \doteq Ee^{\alpha \cdot \zeta_i(p)} = \int e^{\alpha \cdot z(\theta; p)} f(\theta) d\theta.$$

It follows that the partition function is the  $n$ 'th power of the individual partition function  $\lambda(p)$ :

$$\Lambda(p) = \lambda(p)^n, \quad (2.34)$$

where

$$\lambda(p) \doteq \min_{\alpha} \lambda(\alpha; p) = \lambda(\alpha(p); p), \quad (2.35)$$

and the conjugate variable  $\alpha(p)$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \log \lambda(\alpha(p); p) = 0, \quad (2.36)$$

cf. (2.23).

In view of (2.25), the Second Law for an ideal economy obtains the form

$$I(p) = -n \log \lambda(p). \quad (2.37)$$

EXAMPLES: (i) In an ideal random Cobb-Douglas economy the economic characteristics

$$\theta_i \doteq (a_i, e_i) \in S^l \times R^{l+1}$$

form an i.i.d. sequence of random variables. In view of formula (2.15), the structure function is

$$z(\theta; p) = z(a, e; p) \doteq \left( \frac{a^j}{p^j} p \cdot e - e^j; j = 1, \dots, l \right), \quad \theta \doteq (a, e) \in S^l \times R^{l+1}. \quad (2.38)$$

It follows that the expected individual excess demand on the commodity  $j$  is given by

$$\mu(p) = ((p^j)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{l+1} \mu_{a;e}^{jk} p^k - \mu_e^j; j = 1, \dots, l), \quad (2.39)$$

where

$$\mu_{a;e}^{jk} \doteq E(a_i^j e_i^k) = \int \int a^j e^k f(a, e) dade,$$

$$\mu_e^k \doteq E e_i^k = \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} \mu_{a;e}^{jk},$$

and  $f(\theta) = f(a, e)$  denotes the microeconomic p.d.f..

In view of equations (2.33) and (2.39), the expected equilibrium price is given by

$$p_e^* = \left( \frac{(w_e^*)^j}{\mu_e^j}; j = 1, \dots, l \right),$$

where  $w_e^*$  is a left eigenvector of the stochastic matrix

$$A_e \doteq \left( \frac{\mu_{a;e}^{kj}}{\mu_e^j}; j, k = 1, \dots, l+1 \right),$$

subject to the normalization  $p_e^* \in S^l$ , cf. (2.16) and (2.17). If  $A_e$  is irreducible, then there is only one unique expected equilibrium price  $p_e^*$ .

The Laplace transform of the individual excess demand in an ideal random CD economy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\alpha; p) &= \int_{S^l} \int_{R^{l+1}} e^{\alpha \cdot z(a, e; p)} f(a, e) dade \\ &= \int_{S^l} \int_{R^{l+1}} e^{\sum_{j=1}^l \alpha^j ((p^j)^{-1} a^j p \cdot e - e^j)} f(a, e) dade. \end{aligned}$$

The conjugate parameter  $\alpha(p) \in R^l$  is the solution of equation (2.36), viz., presently, of the system

$$\int_{S^l} \int_{R^{l+1}} ((p^j)^{-1} a^j p \cdot e - e^j) e^{\sum_{j=1}^l \alpha^j ((p^j)^{-1} a^j p \cdot e - e^j)} f(a, e) dade = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, l.$$

For the individual partition function we obtain the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(p) &= \lambda(\alpha(p); p) \\ &= \int_{S^l} \int_{R^{l+1}} e^{\sum_{j=1}^l \alpha^j(p)((p^j)^{-1} a^j p \cdot e - e^j)} f(a, e) da de,\end{aligned}$$

cf. (2.35). In view of (2.37), the Second Law for an ideal random CD economy obtains the form

$$I(p) = -n \log \int_{S^l} \int_{R^{l+1}} e^{\sum_{j=1}^l \alpha^j(p)((p^j)^{-1} a^j p \cdot e - e^j)} f(a, e) da de.$$

(ii) An *ideal random financial market* is formed by  $n$  statistically independent and identical financial agents. The natural choice for the economic characteristics  $\theta_i$  of agent  $i$  is the  $m$ -dimensional ( $m = l^2 + 2l + 2$ ) vector comprising his risk parameter  $a_i \in R$ , the vector of his subjective expectations  $\mu_{\psi;i} \in R^l$  and covariances  $\Sigma_{\psi;i} \in R^{l \times l}$ , and his initial endowment  $e_i \in R^{l+1}$ :

$$\theta_i \doteq (a_i, \mu_{\psi;i}, \Sigma_{\psi;i}, e_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The structure function of the individual excess demand is (cf. [9])

$$\begin{aligned}z(\theta; p) &= z(a, \mu_{\psi}, \Sigma_{\psi}, e) \\ &\doteq (a \Sigma_{\psi})^{-1} \mu - \frac{p^T (a \Sigma_{\psi})^{-1} \mu - p^T e}{p^T (a \Sigma_{\psi})^{-1} p} (a \Sigma_{\psi})^{-1} p.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Consider the *survival model* as described in Section 2.2 (Example (iii) therein).

Due to the LLN, under appropriate regularity conditions, the proportion  $n^* = n^{-1} N(p^*)$  of non-surviving agents at equilibrium price  $p^*$  is a priori near to the probability of non-survival of a randomly chosen agent at the expected equilibrium price:

$$n^* \approx n_e^* \doteq P(p_e^* \cdot e < \underline{w}(p_e^*)).$$

However, again, due to the imperfectness of the a priori model, the actually realized proportion  $n^*$  may well represent a large deviation within this model. The information content of the simultaneous observation of the r.e.p. at price  $p$  and the proportion of non-surviving agents at  $x$  is given by the generalized Second Law (2.29). Due to the postulated statistical independence of the agents, the generalized Second Law obtains now the form (cf. (2.37))

$$I(p, x) = -n \log \lambda(p, x) + n \beta(p, x) \cdot x,$$

where  $\lambda(p, x)$  and  $\beta(p, x)$  denote the *individual partition function* and the *conjugate parameter* defined as the solutions of the equations (2.28).

## 2.5. The Second Law and the Central Limit Theorem

According to the Law of Large Numbers, a r.e.p. is a priori "near to" its expected value:

$$p^* \rightarrow p_e^* \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

([6], [33]).

The Central Limit Theorem for the r.e.p.'s ([6]) characterizes the "small deviations" of the r.e.p.  $p^*$  from its expected value  $p_e^*$  as asymptotically normally distributed. Namely, under appropriate regularity conditions

$$\sqrt{n}(p^* - p_e^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$  denotes a multinormal random vector with zero mean and covariance  $\Sigma$ . Thus

$$p^* \approx \mathcal{N}(p_e^*, n^{-1}\Sigma) \text{ for large } n \quad (2.40)$$

so that the standard deviation of the distribution of the r.e.p.  $p^*$  itself is of the asymptotically small order  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ . This means that the CLT describes the random fluctuations at the "mesoeconomic intermediate scale"  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  between the "micro-" and "macroeconomic scales"  $\frac{1}{n}$  and 1.

It follows that, if the observed value  $p$  for the r.e.p.  $p^*$  happens to fall within a distance of the order  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  from its expected value  $p_e^*$ , then, due to (2.40), the probability of this observation can be approximated with the aid of the CLT:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) &= P(\exists p^* : |\sqrt{n}p^* - \sqrt{np}| < \sqrt{n}\delta) \\ &\approx Cn^{\frac{l}{2}}\delta^l e^{-\frac{n}{2}(p-p_e^*)^T\Sigma^{-1}(p-p_e^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a constant and, again, the "tolerance"  $\delta > 0$  is supposed to be small. Furthermore, since  $\frac{\log(Cn^{\frac{l}{2}}\delta^l)}{n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this probability has the exponential order

$$e^{-\frac{n}{2}(p-p_e^*)^T\Sigma^{-1}(p-p_e^*)}.$$

Therefore, at a distance of the order  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  from  $p_e^*$ , the entropy is approximately

$$I(p) \doteq -\log P(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) \approx \frac{n}{2}(p - p_e^*)^T\Sigma^{-1}(p - p_e^*).$$

This CLT-based approximation is consistent with the Second Law (as it ought to be). Namely,

$$-\log \Lambda(p) \approx \frac{n}{2}(p - p_e^*)^T\Sigma^{-1}(p - p_e^*),$$

when  $p$  is close to  $p_e^*$  (cf. [34]: formula (3.2)] and [6]: Theorem 4.1(iii)]).

Outside its (narrow) region of validity this CLT-based approximation of the Second Law is no longer valid and its use is therefore not mathematically justified.

REMARK. *Mesoscopic scale* in statistical mechanics refers to the small Gaussian random fluctuations of the thermodynamic equilibrium.

### 3. Gibbs Conditioning Principle

#### 3.1. Gibbs Conditioning Principle in thermodynamics

Let  $\beta > 0$  be an arbitrary fixed inverse temperature.

In view of the definition (2.3) of the thermodynamic partition function  $\Lambda(\beta)$ ,

$$P(d\omega|\beta) \doteq \Lambda(\beta)^{-1} e^{-\beta U(\omega)} d\omega \quad (3.1)$$

is a probability law on the thermodynamic ensemble  $\Omega$ . It is called the *canonical probability law* (at  $\beta$ ). The corresponding probability distribution function (p.d.f.)

$$p(\omega|\beta) \doteq \Lambda(\beta)^{-1} e^{-\beta U(\omega)} \quad (3.2)$$

is called the *canonical probability distribution function*.

The energy  $U = (U(\omega); \omega \in \Omega)$  can be regarded as a random variable under the canonical probability law  $P(d\omega|\beta)$ , and the internal energy  $E(\beta)$  can be interpreted as its expectation. Namely, in view of (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(U|\beta) &\doteq \int U(\omega) P(d\omega|\beta) \\ &= \Lambda(\beta)^{-1} \int U(\omega) e^{-\beta U(\omega)} d\omega \\ &= -\frac{d}{d\beta} \log \int e^{-\beta U(\omega)} d\omega \\ &= E(\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

According to the *Gibbs Conditioning Principle (GCP)*, a thermodynamic system is governed by the canonical probability law at the measured temperature. (Recall that the measurement of the temperature  $T = \frac{1}{\beta}$  means that the energy  $U(\omega)$  is in the neighborhood of the associated internal energy  $E(\beta)$ , see (2.1).)

EXAMPLE: THE IDEAL GAS. In view of (2.9) and (2.10), the canonical probability law for the ideal gas has the following product form:

$$P(d\omega|\beta) = \Lambda(\beta)^{-1} e^{-\beta \sum_{i=1}^n u(\theta_i)} d\omega = f(\theta_1|\beta) \cdots f(\theta_n|\beta) d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n,$$

where

$$f(\theta|\beta) = \lambda(\beta)^{-1} e^{-\beta u(\theta)} = (2\pi m)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \beta^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{\beta|\theta|^2}{2m}}, \quad \theta \in R^3, \quad (3.4)$$

is a Gaussian probability distribution function on  $R^3$ , called the *microcanonical p.d.f.*

Thus, according to the Gibbs Conditioning Principle, the momenta  $\theta_i$  of the particles in the ideal gas are i.i.d. random variables obeying the Gaussian microcanonical p.d.f.

### 3.2. Gibbs Conditioning Principle in stochastic equilibrium economics

The Gibbs conditioning principle has an analogy in stochastic equilibrium economics:

Suppose that we observe the random equilibrium price  $p^*$  at price  $p$  (see 2.19). Due to the Law of Large Numbers, the observed value  $p$  is necessarily equal (or at least "near") to the expected equilibrium price under the unknown "true" a posteriori macroeconomic probability law  $P(d\omega|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta)$ . Thus, at the ideal limit  $n = \infty$ ,  $\delta = 0$ , we ought to have

$$E(Z(p)|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) \doteq \int Z(\omega; p) P(d\omega|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) = 0.$$

This implies that the a posteriori macroeconomic probability distribution function  $g(\omega|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta)$ , defined as the density of the a posteriori macroeconomic probability law w.r.t. the apriori law,

$$g(\omega|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) P(d\omega) \doteq P(d\omega|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta)$$

ought to satisfy the relation

$$\int Z(\omega; p) g(\omega|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) P(d\omega) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Although condition (3.5) is necessary for the a posteriori p.d.f., it alone is not sufficient for its unique characterization. The economic analog of the Gibbs conditioning principle will characterize the so-called *canonical macroeconomic p.d.f.* as the a posteriori p.d.f. As it should, the canonical macroeconomic p.d.f. satisfies (3.5), see (3.9).

Suggested by condition (3.5) we give the following definition:

**Definition 3.1.** A price  $p$  is called an *(a priori) possible equilibrium price (p.e.p.)* if there is a strictly positive probability distribution function  $g(\omega; p) > 0$ ,  $\int g(\omega; p) P(d\omega) = 1$ , such that  $p$  is an expected equilibrium price under the transformed macroeconomic probability law  $P_g(d\omega; p) \doteq g(\omega; p) P(d\omega)$ , viz.,

$$E_g Z(p) \doteq \int Z(\omega; p) g(\omega; p) P(d\omega) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

A probability distribution function  $g(\omega; p)$ , which satisfies (3.6), can be regarded as a candidate for the a posteriori macroeconomic p.d.f.  $g(\omega|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta)$ .

With any price  $p$ , for which the conjugate parameter  $\alpha(p)$  satisfying equation (2.23) exists, we can associate a p.d.f.; due to the thermodynamic analogy, it is called the *canonical macroeconomic p.d.f.*:

$$g(\omega|p) \doteq \Lambda(p)^{-1} e^{\alpha(p) \cdot Z(\omega; p)} \quad (3.7)$$

We prove later in Theorem 4.1 that the existence of a conjugate parameter  $\alpha(p)$  is equivalent to  $p$  being a possible equilibrium price. The notation for the canonical macroeconomic p.d.f anticipates its role as the a posteriori macroeconomic p.d.f.

The probability law

$$P(d\omega|p) \doteq g(\omega|p)P(d\omega) = \Lambda(p)^{-1}e^{\alpha(p)\cdot Z(\omega;p)}P(d\omega) \quad (3.8)$$

is called the *canonical (macroeconomic) probability law*.

In analogy with the thermodynamic formula (3.3), price  $p$  is an expected equilibrium price under the associated canonical probability law:

Namely, in view of (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z(p)|p) &\doteq \int Z(\omega;p)g(\omega|p)P(d\omega) \\ &= \Lambda(p)^{-1} \int Z(\omega;p)e^{\alpha(p)\cdot Z(\omega;p)}P(d\omega) \\ &= \Lambda(\alpha(p);p)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \Lambda(\alpha(p);p) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \log \Lambda(\alpha(p);p) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

This means that the canonical p.d.f. is a candidate for the a posteriori macroeconomic p.d.f.

Now, in fact, according to the economic analog of the Gibbs Conditioning Principle, conditionally on the observation of the random equilibrium price at a possible equilibrium price, the ensuing a posteriori macroeconomic probability distribution function is given by the canonical macroeconomic p.d.f.:

**Gibbs Conditioning Principle in stochastic equilibrium economics:**

$$g(\omega|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) = g(\omega|p). \quad (3.10)$$

REMARKS: (i) Of course, equivalently with (3.10), the a posteriori macroeconomic probability law is given by the canonical macroeconomic probability law:

$$P(d\omega|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) = P(d\omega|p).$$

In what follows we shall often formulate GCP in terms of probability laws rather than probability distribution functions.

(ii) Geometrically, the definition of the possible equilibrium price means that 0 belongs to the topological interior of the convex hull of the support of the distribution of the total excess demand, see Theorem 4.1 in Section 4.2.

(iii) As a theorem, GCP is a conditional Law of Large Numbers concerning macroeconomic random variables (Theorem 5.1 in Section 5.2). According to the conditional LLN, conditionally on the observation of the random equilibrium price, macroeconomic random variables are centered at their canonical expectations.

(iv) According to the Principle of Minimum Entropy (PME), conditionally on a partial observation of the random equilibrium price (see Remark (iv) in 2.3), the a posteriori r.e.p. is equal to the entropy minimizing price which is compatible with the observation:

$$\exists p^* \in B \Rightarrow p^* = p_B^*,$$

cf. [36] : Theorem 3.7. Combining this with GCP, it follows that, conditionally on a partial observation of the equilibrium price, the a posteriori macroeconomic probability law is given by the canonical law associated with the entropy-minimizing price:

$$P(d\omega|\exists p^* \in B) = P(d\omega|p_B^*),$$

cf. [36]: Theorem 4.7.

(v) In analogy with the characterization of a possible equilibrium price as a zero of the derivative of the c.g.f. of the total excess demand, one may characterize a *possible composite equilibrium* (p.c.e.) as a pair  $(p, x) \in S^l \times R^d$  such that it is possible to relate the conjugate variables  $\alpha(p, x)$  and  $\beta(p, x)$  to each other via equations (2.28).

The *canonical macroeconomic probability law* associated with a p.c.e.  $(p, x)$  is defined by

$$P(d\omega|p, x) \doteq \Lambda(p, x)^{-1} e^{\alpha(p, x) \cdot Z(\omega; p) + \beta(p, x) \cdot X(\omega; p)} P(d\omega). \quad (3.11)$$

According to a generalized Gibbs Conditioning Principle, conditionally on the observation of a random composite equilibrium at the price-variable pair  $(p, x)$ , the governing a posteriori macroeconomic probability law is given by the canonical macroeconomic probability law (3.11) associated with the observation:

$$P(d\omega|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta, |x^* - x| < \delta) = P(d\omega|p, x).$$

### 3.3. Gibbs Conditioning Principle for ideal random economies

Consider an ideal random economy as described in Section 2.4. In view of (2.30), (2.32), (2.34) and (3.8), the canonical macroeconomic probability law has the product form

$$\begin{aligned} P(d\omega|p) &= \Lambda(p)^{-1} e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha(p) \cdot z(\theta_i; p)} f(\theta_1) \cdots f(\theta_n) d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n \\ &= f(\theta_1|p) \cdots f(\theta_n|p) d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$f(\theta|p) \doteq \lambda(p)^{-1} e^{\alpha(p) \cdot z(\theta;p)} f(\theta) \quad (3.13)$$

is a probability distribution function on the set  $\Theta$  of economic characteristics. It is called the *canonical microeconomic p.d.f.* (associated with price  $p$ ). Thus, under the canonical macroeconomic probability law, the economic characteristics are i.i.d. random variables obeying the canonical microeconomic p.d.f.

From applying the GCP (3.10) now follows that, in an ideal random economy and conditionally on observing a r.e.p. at  $p$ , the economy is still ideal, i.e., the economic characteristics are i.i.d. and the a posteriori microeconomic p.d.f. is given by the canonical microeconomic p.d.f.:

$$f(\theta|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) = f(\theta|p). \quad (3.14)$$

EXAMPLES: (i) Consider again an ideal random Cobb-Douglas economy. According to GCP, conditionally on the observation of an equilibrium price, the a posteriori economy is still an ideal Cobb-Douglas economy having the canonical microeconomic p.d.f. as the a posteriori microeconomic p.d.f.:

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, e|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) &= f(a, e|p) \\ &\doteq \lambda(p)^{-1} e^{\alpha(p) \cdot z(a, e;p)} f(a, e) \\ &= \lambda(p)^{-1} e^{\sum_{j=1}^l \alpha^j(p) ((p^j)^{-1} a^j p \cdot e - e^j)} f(a, e). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

cf. (3.13) and (2.38).

(ii) Consider again the survival model of Example (iii) in Section 2.2 and assume that the underlying Cobb-Douglas economy is ideal. Suppose that the random equilibrium price  $p^*$  is observed at price  $p$  and the proportion  $x^*$  of non-surviving agents at  $x$  (cf. Remark (iv) in Section 2.3). The ensuing a posteriori microeconomic p.d.f. is now given by the associated canonical microeconomic p.d.f.  $f(a, e|p, x)$ :

$$f(a, e|\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta, |x^* - x| < \delta) = f(a, e|p, x).$$

If only the proportion  $x^*$  of non-surviving agents is observed, i.e., we have only a partial observation of the random composite equilibrium  $(p^*, x^*)$ , then, according to the PME and GCP, the a posteriori microeconomic p.d.f. is given by

$$f(a, e||x^* - x| < \delta) = f(a, e|x) \doteq f(a, e|p(x), x),$$

where  $p(x)$  denotes the price that minimizes the bivariate entropy (2.29) over the price variable:

$$I(p(x), x) = \min_p I(p, x) = \min_p (-n \log \lambda(p, x) + n\beta(p, x) \cdot x).$$

## PART II: EXACT RESULTS

#### 4. Theorems of Large Deviations

##### 4.1. The Second Law of thermodynamics as a Theorem of Large Deviations

Let  $\beta > 0$  be an arbitrary fixed inverse temperature.

Recall from Section 3.1 that the energy  $U = \{U(\omega); \omega \in \Omega\}$  of a thermodynamic system can be regarded as a random variable on the ensemble  $\Omega$  under the canonical law  $P(d\omega|\beta)$ . Also recall that then the internal energy  $E(\beta)$  equals the expectation of  $U$ .

Suppose that the energy satisfies the (weak) LLN under the canonical probability law  $P(d\omega|\beta)$ ; namely,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(n^{-1}|U - E(\beta)| < \varepsilon|\beta) = 1 \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Under this hypothesis the thermodynamic Second Law can be formulated as a Theorem of Large Deviations (TLD) concerning the energy.

To this end, let  $\delta > 0$  be an arbitrary fixed constant. Then one can prove that

$$|\log \text{Vol}(|U - E(\beta)| < n\delta) - (\log \Lambda(\beta) + \beta E(\beta))| < n\delta \text{ eventually.} \quad (4.2)$$

The phrase "eventually" means the same as "for all sufficiently large  $n$ ". Clearly this implies also the following:

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n^{-1}[\log \text{Vol}(|U - E(\beta)| < n\delta) - (\log \Lambda(\beta) + \beta E(\beta))]| = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

The (integral form of the) thermodynamic Second Law (2.8) is obtained from (4.3) at the ideal limit  $n = \infty$ ,  $\delta = 0$ .

To prove (4.2), note first that, due to (4.1),

$$\gamma_n \doteq \log P(|U - E(\beta)| < \frac{n\delta}{2\beta}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, in view of the definition (3.1) of the canonical probability law, we can also write

$$\gamma_n = \log \int_{\{|U - E(\beta)| < \frac{n\delta}{2\beta}|\beta\}} e^{-\beta U(\omega)} d\omega - \log \Lambda(\beta). \quad (4.4)$$

Since clearly,

$$|\log \int_{\{|U - E(\beta)| < \frac{n\delta}{2\beta}\}} e^{-\beta U(\omega)} d\omega - \log \text{Vol}(|U - E(\beta)| < \frac{n\delta}{2\beta}) + \beta E(\beta)| \leq \frac{n\delta}{2}, \quad (4.5)$$

we obtain by combining (4.4) and (4.5) :

$$|\log \text{Vol}(|U - E(\beta)| < \frac{n\delta}{2\beta}) - (\log \Lambda(\beta) + \beta E(\beta))| \leq \frac{n\delta}{2} + \gamma_n,$$

from which (4.3) clearly follows.

EXAMPLE: THE IDEAL GAS The energies  $u_i = \frac{|\theta_i|^2}{2m}$  of the particles of the ideal gas are i.i.d. random variables under the canonical laws. Therefore, due to the classical LLN concerning i.i.d. random variables, the hypothesis (4.1) for the TLD is satisfied automatically:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(|n^{-1}U - \frac{3}{2\beta}| < \delta|\beta\right) = 1 \text{ for all } \delta > 0.$$

(Recall from (2.11) and (2.12) the formula for the internal energy.)

In view of formula (2.13) for the entropy of the ideal gas, the TLD (4.2) obtains the form

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| n^{-1} \log \text{Vol}\left(|n^{-1}U - \frac{3}{2\beta}| < \delta\right) + \frac{3}{2} \log \beta - \frac{3}{2} \log 2\pi em \right| < n\delta \text{ eventually.}$$

#### 4.2. Characterization of possible equilibrium prices

In order to be able to formulate the economic Second Law as a TLD we need the following characterization of possible equilibrium prices.

We say that a random variable  $X \in R^l$  is *degenerate* if there is a lower dimensional affine hyperplane  $H \subset R^{l'}$  with  $l' < l$  such that  $P(X \in H) = 1$ . Otherwise we call  $X$  *non-degenerate*.

The *support* of a random variable  $X$  is defined as the minimal topologically closed set  $F$  such that  $P(X \in F) = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $p$  be an arbitrary price belonging the interior*

$$\hat{S}^l \doteq \{p \in S^l : p^j > 0 \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, l+1\}$$

*of the price simplex  $S^l$ . Suppose that the excess demand  $Z(p)$  is non-degenerate. Then the following four conditions are equivalent:*

*(i)  $p$  is a possible equilibrium price;*

*(ii)  $0$  belongs to the topological interior of the convex hull of the support of the distribution of the total excess demand;*

*(iii) there exists a conjugate parameter  $\alpha(p) \in R^l$  satisfying (2.23);*

*(iv) price  $p$  is an expected equilibrium price under the canonical probability law:*

$$E(Z(p)|p) \doteq \int Z(\omega; p)P(d\omega|p) = \int Z(\omega; p)g(\omega|p)P(d\omega) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p$  is a possible equilibrium price, i.e., there exists a strictly positive probability density function  $g(\omega; p)$  such that  $p$  is an expected equilibrium price under the transformed probability law  $P_g(d\omega; p) \doteq g(\omega; p)P(d\omega)$ . Since  $P$  and  $P_g$  are mutually absolutely continuous (as measures, cf. [8]: p. 422), it follows that  $Z(p)$  is non-degenerate under  $P_g$ , too. It is well known that the expectation of a non-degenerate random variable belongs to the topological interior of the convex hull of the support of the distribution of the random variable. This proves (ii).

Suppose now that (ii) holds true. The derivative of the cumulant generating function ( $\doteq$  the logarithm of the Laplace transform) of a random variable defines a bijection between the domain of the c.g.f. and the interior of the convex hull of the support of the random variable ([38]: Theorem 26.5, [5]: Proposition 9.7, [32]). Thus it follows that 0 belongs to the range of the derivative of the c.g.f.  $\log \Lambda(\alpha; p)$ , i.e., a conjugate parameter  $\alpha(p) \in R^l$  exists.

That (iv) follows from (iii) was proved already in (3.9).

The implication (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is trivial.  $\square$

#### 4.3. The Second Law of stochastic equilibrium economics as a Theorem of Large Deviations

We are now able to formulate the Second Law as a Theorem of Large Deviations (TLD) concerning the random equilibrium prices.

To this end, let  $p$  be an arbitrary possible equilibrium price belonging to the interior  $\mathring{S}^l$  of the price simplex  $S^l$ . We postulate three hypotheses:

(i) The random total excess demand  $Z(p)$  satisfies the (weak) Law of Large Numbers under the canonical probability law  $P(d\omega|p)$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|n^{-1}Z(p)| < \varepsilon|p) = 1 \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Recall from Theorem 4.1 that  $E(Z(p)|p) = 0$ .

(ii) The second derivative of the mean total excess demand  $n^{-1}Z(q)$  is bounded on some closed neighborhood  $\overline{U}$  of the price  $p$ ; namely, there is a constant  $A_2 < \infty$  such that

$$|n^{-1}Z''(q)| < A_2 \text{ for } q \in \overline{U}.$$

(iii) The derivative (matrix)  $Z'(p) \in R^{l \times l}$  is invertible and, moreover, the inverse of the derivative of the mean total excess demand is bounded; namely, there is a constant  $A_{-1} < \infty$  such that

$$|nZ'(p)^{-1}| < A_{-1}.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Under the stated hypotheses (i) - (iii), for any  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$|\mathcal{I}(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) - \log \Lambda(p)| < \varepsilon(\delta)n \text{ eventually,}$$

where  $\varepsilon(\delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

REMARK. Clearly, the statement of Theorem 4.1 implies that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n^{-1}[\mathcal{I}(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) + \log \Lambda(p)]| = 0.$$

*Proof.* The first half of the proof is analogous to the proof of the thermodynamic TLD, cf. Section 4.1.

First note that, due to (i),

$$\gamma_n \doteq \log P(|Z(p)| < n\varepsilon | p) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand, in view of the definition (3.8) of the canonical macroeconomic probability law, we can also write

$$\gamma_n = \log \int_{\{|Z(p)| < n\varepsilon\}} e^{\alpha \cdot Z(\omega; p)} P(d\omega) - \log \Lambda(p). \quad (4.6)$$

Since clearly,

$$|\log \int_{\{|Z(p)| < n\varepsilon\}} e^{\alpha \cdot Z(\omega; p)} P(d\omega) - \log P(|Z(p)| < n\varepsilon)| \leq |\alpha|n\varepsilon, \quad (4.7)$$

we obtain by combining (4.6) and (4.7):

$$|\log P(|n^{-1}Z(p)| < \varepsilon) - \log \Lambda(p)| \leq |\alpha|n\varepsilon + \gamma_n. \quad (4.8)$$

Now, it can be proved by using the standard mean value theorem and a special inverse function theorem (see [36]: Lemma 2.5) that the hypotheses (ii) and (iii) imply that the mean total excess demand  $n^{-1}Z(p)$  is in a neighborhood of 0 if and only there is a random equilibrium price in a neighborhood of  $p$ , see [36]: the proof of Theorem 2.2. Therefore, it is possible to deduce from (4.8) that

$$|\log P(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) - \log \Lambda(p)| < \varepsilon(\delta)n \text{ eventually,}$$

where  $\varepsilon(\delta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . This proves the asserted TLD.  $\square$

REMARKS: (i) Hypothesis (i) is the analog of (4.1) for the thermodynamic TLD.

(ii) In terms of probabilities, the statement of the TLD can be written as:

$$\Lambda(p)e^{-n\varepsilon(\delta)} < P(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) < \Lambda(p)e^{n\varepsilon(\delta)} \text{ eventually.}$$

If only the right hand inequality holds true, viz.

$$P(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) < \Lambda(p)e^{n\varepsilon(\delta)} \text{ eventually,}$$

then the *LD upper bound* is said to hold true at  $p$ . The LD upper bound alone implies the Law of Large Numbers for the random equilibrium prices ([36]: Theorem 3.5).

- (iii) For an alternative weaker version of hypothesis (iii), see [23].
- (iv) According to the TLD concerning *partial observations*, under appropriate regularity conditions, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} |\mathcal{I}(\exists p^* \in B) + \log \Lambda(p_B^*)| = 0,$$

where  $B \subset S^l$  is convex and  $p_B^*$  denotes the entropy-minimizing price in  $B$ , cf. [36]: Theorem 3.4. The principle of minimum entropy for partial observations (cf. Remark (iii) in 2.3) is obtained from this at the ideal limit  $n = \infty$ .

#### 4.4. The Theorem of Large Deviations for ideal random economies

The following corollary of Theorem 4.1 gives sufficient conditions for a price  $p$  to be a possible equilibrium price in an ideal random economy.

Let  $\Theta$  denote the set of parameter values at which the microeconomic p.d.f. is strictly positive:

$$\Theta \doteq \{\theta \in R^m; f(\theta) > 0\}.$$

Its topological closure  $\overline{\Theta}$  equals the support of the economic characteristics  $\theta_i$  of the economic agents, cf. Section 4.2.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $p$  be an arbitrary price belonging to the interior  $\mathring{S}^l$  of the price simplex. Suppose that*

- (i) *the economic characteristics  $\theta_i$  are bounded random variables of dimension  $m \geq l$ ;*
- (ii) *the microeconomic p.d.f.  $f(\theta)$  is continuous on its support  $\overline{\Theta}$ ;*
- (iii) *the derivative  $\frac{\partial z(\theta; p)}{\partial \theta}$  of the structure function is continuous on  $\overline{\Theta} \times \mathring{S}^l$ ; and*
- (iv) *there exists a parameter  $\theta = \theta(p) \in \Theta$  such that  $z(\theta(p); p) = 0$  and the matrix  $\frac{\partial z(\theta; p)}{\partial \theta} \in R^{m \times l}$  has full rank ( $= l$ ) at  $\theta = \theta(p)$ .*

*Then  $p$  is a possible equilibrium price.*

*Proof.* From (i) and (ii) follows that the support  $\overline{\Theta}$  is compact, and  $\Theta$  is its (relatively) open subset. Furthermore, because of (iii) and (iv), and by applying the *implicit function theorem* (see e.g. [24]), the structure function function

$z(\theta; p)$  is invertible in a neighborhood of  $\theta(p)$ , i.e., there is a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $|z| < \delta$  there exists  $\theta = \theta(p, z) \in \Theta$  such that

$$z(\theta(p, z); p) \equiv z.$$

Moreover, the inverse  $\theta(p, z)$  is a continuous function of its variables  $p$  and  $z$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary positive constant. Due to the continuity of the structure function  $z(\theta; p)$ , implied by (iii), there is a constant  $\eta_\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|z(\theta; p) - z| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $|\theta - \theta(p, z)| < \eta_\varepsilon$ . From (ii) follows that  $f(\theta)$  is strictly positive in a neighborhood of  $\theta(p, z) \in \Theta$ , whence for any  $|z| < \delta$ ,

$$P(|z(\theta_i; p) - z| < \varepsilon) \geq P(|\theta_i - \theta(p, z)| < \eta_\varepsilon) = \int_{\{|\theta - \theta(p, z)| < \eta_\varepsilon\}} f(\theta) d\theta > 0,$$

i.e., 0 belongs to the topological interior of the support of the distribution of the individual excess demand  $\zeta_i(p) = z(\theta_i; p)$ . Recalling the argument used in the proof of Theorem 4.1, it follows that 0 belongs to the range of the derivative  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \log \lambda(\alpha; p)$ . In view of the same Theorem and the relation (2.36),  $p$  is a possible equilibrium price.  $\square$

In the following corollary we formulate a set of sufficient conditions for the TLD to hold true for an ideal random economy:

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $p \in \mathring{S}^l$  be arbitrary. Suppose that the conditions (i) - (iv) for Corollary 4.1 hold true (so that  $p$  is a possible equilibrium price). Moreover, suppose that*

(v) *the derivative  $\frac{\partial^2 z(\theta; p)}{\partial p^2}$  is continuous on  $\overline{\Theta} \times \overline{U}$  for some closed neighborhood  $\overline{U} \subset \mathring{S}^l$  of  $p$ ; and*

(vi)  *$z(\theta; p)$  is a bounded perturbation of a deterministic function  $z(p)$  (i.e.,  $z(p)$  does not depend on the parameter  $\theta$ ) in the following sense:*

$$\rho \doteq \max_{\theta \in \overline{\Theta}} \left| \frac{\partial z}{\partial p}(\theta; p) z'(p)^{-1} - I \right| < 1.$$

*Then the TLD holds true at price  $p$ .*

*Proof.* We show that the hypotheses (i) - (iii) for the general TLD (Theorem 4.2) hold true.

Recall that under the canonical macroeconomic probability law the economic characteristics are i.i.d. and obey the canonical p.d.f.  $f(\theta|p)$ . It follows that, as their deterministic transforms, also the microeconomic random variables  $\zeta_i(p) = z(\theta_i; p)$  are i.i.d. Thus hypothesis (i) for the general TLD follows directly from the LLN for i.i.d. random variables.

Condition (v) implies that  $\frac{\partial^2 z(\theta; q)}{\partial q^2}$  is bounded by some constant  $A_2$  on the compact set  $\overline{\Theta} \times \overline{U}$ . Therefore

$$|Z''(q)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 z(\theta_i; q)}{\partial q^2} \right| \leq A_2 n \text{ on } \overline{U}.$$

Thus hypothesis (ii) for the TLD holds true.

In view of (vi), we have

$$|n^{-1} Z'(p) z'(p)^{-1} - I| = |n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial z}{\partial p}(\theta_i; p) z'(p)^{-1} - I \right)| \leq \rho,$$

whence

$$|Z'(p)^{-1}| < \frac{|z'(p)^{-1}|}{n(1-\rho)},$$

i.e., hypothesis (iii) for the TLD is satisfied for  $A_{-1} = \frac{|z'(p)^{-1}|}{1-\rho}$ .  $\square$

REMARKS: (i) Condition (vi) can be weakened considerably ([23]). Namely, it suffices to assume that the derivative  $\mu'(p)$  of the individual expected excess demand is non-singular. Clearly, in the Cobb-Douglas case  $\text{Det } \mu'(p) = 0$  is a polynomial equation, and therefore  $\mu'(p)$  is non-singular except for a set of prices  $p$  having Lebesgue measure zero.

(ii) Suppose that  $l = 1$  so that  $p$  and  $z(\theta; p)$  are scalars. It is natural to assume that  $z'(p) < 0$ . In this case condition (vi) becomes

$$(vi') \quad (2 - \delta) z'(p) \leq \frac{\partial z}{\partial p}(\theta; p) \leq \delta z'(p) \text{ for some } \delta > 0.$$

The following further corollary of Corollary 4.1 gives sufficient conditions for a price  $p \in \dot{S}^l$  to be a possible equilibrium price in an ideal CD economy. Let

$$\Theta \doteq \{\theta = (a, e) \in S^l \times R^{l+1} : f(a, e) > 0\}.$$

**Corollary 4.3.** *Suppose that*

(i) *the initial endowments  $e_i$  are bounded;*

(ii) *the microeconomic p.d.f.  $f(a, e)$  is continuous on the support  $\overline{\Theta}$ ; and*

(iii) *the microeconomic p.d.f.  $f(a, e)$  is strictly positive at  $a = p$ ,  $e \equiv 1$ , (i.e.,  $\theta(p) \doteq (p, 1) \in \Theta$ ).*

*Then  $p$  is a possible equilibrium price.*

*Proof.* We verify the conditions (i)-(iv) for Corollary 4.1:

For an ideal random CD economy, the dimension  $m$  of the economic characteristics  $\theta = (a, e)$  is  $2l + 1$ , which is  $> l$  as required for Corollary 4.1. Since the share parameters belong to the (bounded) simplex  $S^l$ , it follows that the economic characteristics  $\theta_i = (a_i, e_i)$  are bounded as required.

Clearly, the structure function (2.38) of a CD agent is infinitely many times differentiable w.r.t. its variables  $a \in S^l$ ,  $e \in R^{l+1}$  and  $p \in \mathring{S}^l$ . Thus condition (iii) for Corollary 4.1 is satisfied automatically.

A direct calculation shows that

$$z(\theta(p); p) = z(p, 1; p) = 0.$$

Moreover, as is easy to see, already the derivative

$$\frac{\partial z(a, e; p)}{\partial a} \in R^{(l+1) \times l}$$

has the (full) rank ( $= l$ ) at  $\theta(p) = (p, 1)$ . Thus also condition (iv) for Corollary 4.1 is satisfied.  $\square$

In the following corollary we formulate a set of sufficient conditions for the TLD to hold true for an ideal random CD. For simplicity we assume that  $l = 1$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** *Suppose that  $l = 1$  and that the conditions (i)-(iii) for Corollary 4.3 hold true. In addition, suppose that*

(iv) *the initial endowment of the commodity 2 is bounded away from zero and bounded from above, and that the share parameter of commodity 1 is bounded away from zero, i.e.,  $\underline{e}^2 \leq e^2 \leq \bar{e}^2$ , and  $a^1 \leq \underline{a}^1$  for some constants  $\underline{e}^2 > 0$ ,  $\bar{e}^2 < \infty$ ,  $\underline{a}^1 > 0$ .*

*Then the TLD holds true.*

*Proof.* We check that the conditions (i)-(v) and (vi') for Corollary 4.2 are satisfied.

The conditions (i)-(iv) for Corollary 4.2 were verified already in the proof of Corollary 4.3. Condition (v) follows from the smoothness of the structure function of a CD agent in the interior of the price simplex, cf. the proof of Corollary 4.3.

In order to see that condition (vi') is satisfied, note first that

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial p}(a, e; p) = -p^{-2}a^1e^2.$$

Let  $z(p)$  denote the individual excess demand by a deterministic CD agent having parameters  $a = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $e = (1, 2\bar{e}^2)$  so that

$$z(p) = \frac{1-p}{p}\bar{e}^2 - \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$z'(p) = -p^{-2}\bar{e}^2.$$

A straightforward calculation now shows that condition (vi') is satisfied for

$$\delta = \frac{a^1 e^2}{\bar{e}^2}.$$

□

## 5. Conditional Laws of Large Numbers

### 5.1. Thermodynamic Gibbs Conditioning Principle as a conditional Large Numbers

Let  $\beta > 0$  be a fixed inverse temperature.

Recall that the measurement of the inverse temperature at  $\beta$  means that the particle configuration  $\omega$  belongs to the energy shell  $\{|U - E(\beta)| < \Delta\}$ , where  $E(\beta)$  denotes the *internal energy* at  $\beta$ , and  $\Delta$  denotes the thickness of the energy shell. In the exact formulation of the conditional LLN,  $\Delta = n\delta$ , where  $n$  is the total number of particles and  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

A variable  $X = \{X(\omega); \omega \in \Omega\}$ , which depends on the particle configuration  $\omega \in \Omega$ , is called a *thermodynamic variable*. Such a variable can be regarded as a random variable under the canonical probability laws  $P(d\omega|\beta)$ ,  $\beta > 0$ .

As a theorem, the thermodynamic Gibbs Conditioning Principle is a *conditional Law of Large Numbers* concerning thermodynamic variables.

We call a thermodynamic variable  $X$  *regular* (at  $\beta$ ) if the (weak) LLN holds true for  $X$  under the canonical probability law  $P(d\omega|\beta)$  with a *geometric rate*, i.e., for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon; \beta) > 0$  such that

$$P(n^{-1}|X - E(X|\beta)| < \varepsilon|\beta)) > 1 - e^{-n\eta} \text{ eventually.} \quad (5.1)$$

According to the conditional LLN, conditionally on the measurement of the inverse temperature at  $\beta$ , regular thermodynamic variables are *centered* at their canonical means; namely, the proportion of the total volume of those particle configurations  $\omega$  in the energy shell  $\{|U - E(\beta)| < \Delta\}$ , where the thermodynamic variable  $X(\omega)$  is near to its canonical expectation  $E(X|\beta)$ , is near to 1.

In exact terms, the conditional LLN is as follows:

Suppose that the statement (4.3) of the thermodynamic TLD holds true at  $\beta$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n^{-1}[\log \text{Vol}(|U - E(\beta)| < n\delta) - (\log \Lambda(\beta) + \beta E(\beta))]| = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary regular thermodynamic variable and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary constant. Then for all sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(|X - E(X|\beta)| < n\varepsilon, |U - E(\beta)| < n\delta)}{\text{Vol}(|U - E(\beta)| < n\delta)} = 1. \quad (5.3)$$

In order to prove this, note first that by inverting the defining formula (3.1) of the canonical probability law, we can express the volume of any (Borel) subset  $A \subset \Omega$  with the aid of the canonical probability law:

$$\text{Vol}(A) = \int_A d\omega = \Lambda(\beta) \int_A e^{\beta U(\omega)} P(d\omega|\beta).$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be an arbitrary constant, and let  $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon; \beta)$  be such that (5.1) holds true. Moreover, let  $0 < \delta < \frac{\eta}{2\beta}$  be arbitrary. We can now write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}(|X - E(X|\beta)| \geq n\varepsilon, \quad |U - E(\beta)| < n\delta) \\ = \quad \Lambda(\beta) \int_{\{|X - E(X|\beta)| \geq n\varepsilon, \quad |U - E(\beta)| < n\delta\}} e^{\beta U(\omega)} P(d\omega|\beta) \\ \leq \quad \Lambda(\beta) e^{\beta E(\beta) + \beta \delta n} P(|X - E(X|\beta)| \geq n\varepsilon|\beta) \\ < \quad \Lambda(\beta) e^{\beta E(\beta) + \beta \delta n} e^{-n\eta} \text{ eventually, by (5.1),} \\ < \quad \Lambda(\beta) e^{\beta E(\beta)} e^{-n\frac{\eta}{2}} \text{ because } \delta < \frac{\eta}{2\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, according to the hypothesis (5.2) :

$$\text{Vol}(|U - E(\beta)| < n\delta) > \Lambda(\beta) e^{\beta E(\beta)} e^{-n\frac{\eta}{3}} \text{ eventually,}$$

if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small. Therefore, for sufficiently small  $\delta$  we have eventually

$$\frac{\text{Vol}(|X - E(X|\beta)| \geq n\varepsilon, |U - E(\beta)| < n\delta)}{\text{Vol}(|U - E(\beta)| < n\delta)} < \frac{\Lambda(\beta) e^{\beta E(\beta)} e^{-n\frac{\eta}{2}}}{\Lambda(\beta) e^{\beta E(\beta)} e^{-n\frac{\eta}{3}}} = e^{-n\frac{\eta}{6}}.$$

This proves that (5.3) holds true (with the convergence being at a geometric rate).

**EXAMPLE: THE IDEAL GAS.** Recall that the TLD is true for the ideal gas (Section 4.1). Therefore the conditional LLN holds true automatically for any regular thermodynamic variable.

There is a natural class of regular thermodynamical variables for the ideal gas:

To this end, let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an arbitrary (Borel-measurable) subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and let  $\chi_A(\theta) \doteq 1$  or 0, according as  $\theta \in A$  or  $\theta \in A^c$ , denote the indicator function of  $A$ .

Recall that under the canonical probability law the momenta  $\theta_i$  of the particles are i.i.d. random variables. Therefore their (deterministic) transforms  $\chi_A(\theta_i)$  form also an i.i.d. sequence.

Let us define the thermodynamic variable  $N_A$  as the number of particles  $i$  having momentum  $\theta_i \in A$  :

$$N_A(\omega) \doteq \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_A(\theta_i).$$

Now it follows that  $N_A$  is automatically regular. This is due to a general result, according to which for bounded i.i.d. random variables the convergence in the LLN is always geometric (see e.g. [15]: Section 2.3). Clearly

$$E(N_A|\beta) = nP(\theta_i \in A|\beta) = n \int_A f(\theta|\beta),$$

where  $f(\theta|\beta)$  denotes the Gaussian canonical p.d.f. given by (3.4).

Let  $\hat{n}_A = n^{-1}N_A$  denote the proportion of particles  $i$  having momentum  $\theta_i$ . It follows that the conditional LLN for the variable  $N_A$  obtains the form

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Vol}(|\hat{n}_A - \int_A f(\theta|\beta)| < \varepsilon, |U - ne(\beta)| < n\delta)}{\text{Vol}(|U - ne(\beta)| < n\delta)} = 1, \quad (5.4)$$

where  $e(\beta) = \frac{3}{2\beta}$  denotes the internal energy of a single particle (see (2.12)) and  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  are small in the same sense as in (5.3). Thus, at the ideal limit  $\varepsilon = 0$ , in accordance with the Gibbs Conditioning Principle, at a measured inverse temperature, for "most" particle configurations, the proportion of particles with momentum in  $A$  equals the canonical probability of  $A$ .

In the standard terminology of probability and statistics, the proportions  $\hat{n}_A$ ,  $A \subset R^3$ , form the *empirical probability distribution* of the momenta  $\theta_i$ . The result (5.4) of the conditional LLN means that, at the thermodynamical limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the empirical probability distribution  $\hat{n}$  converges to the canonical probability distribution associated with the measured inverse temperature.

### 5.2. The economic Gibbs Conditioning Principle as a conditional Law of Large Numbers

As a theorem, the economic Gibbs conditioning principle is a *conditional Law of Large Numbers* concerning *macroeconomic random variables*.

Let  $p \in \mathring{S}^l$  be a fixed possible equilibrium price (see Definition 3.1 in Section 3.2). We postulate three hypotheses (i) - (iii):

(i) The statement of the Theorem of Large Deviations holds true at  $p$ , i.e.,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n^{-1}[\mathcal{I}(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) + \log \Lambda(p)]| = 0,$$

cf. Theorem 4.2

Let  $X(p) = \{X(\omega; p); \omega \in \Omega\}$  be a macroeconomic random variable, viz., a random variable which depends on price  $p$ . We call it *regular (at price  $p$ )* if the following condition is satisfied (cf. (5.1)):

(ii) The Law of Large Numbers holds true for  $X(p)$  under the canonical probability law  $P(d\omega|p)$  with *geometric rate*: for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a constant  $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon; p) > 0$  such that

$$P(n^{-1}|X(p) - E(X(p)|p)| < \varepsilon|p)) > 1 - e^{-n\eta} \text{ eventually.}$$

Moreover, we assume the following:

(iii) The derivatives of the mean total excess demand and of the mean of the macroeconomic variable are bounded on some closed neighborhood  $\bar{U}$  of price  $p$ ; namely, there is a constant  $A < \infty$  such that

$$|n^{-1}Z'(q)| \leq A \text{ on } \bar{U} \text{ and } |n^{-1}X'(q)| \leq A \text{ on } \bar{U}.$$

Let  $X^* = X(p^*)$  denote the *value* of the macroeconomic random variable  $X(p)$  *at the equilibrium*.

According to the conditional LLN, conditionally on the observation of a random equilibrium price at price  $p$ , regular macroeconomic random variables are centered at values at which they would be centered if they obeyed the canonical probability law associated with the observed equilibrium:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Under the hypotheses (i) - (iii), for any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ :*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(n^{-1}|X^* - E(X^*|p)| < \varepsilon | \exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) = 1.$$

*Proof.* By inverting the defining formula (3.8) of the canonical probability law we can express the a priori macroeconomic probability law with the aid of the canonical probability law:

$$P(d\omega) = \Lambda(p)e^{-\alpha(p) \cdot Z(\omega;p)} P(d\omega|p).$$

Let  $\varepsilon$  and  $\gamma > 0$  be arbitrary constants. ( $\gamma$  will be fixed soon.) We can now write

$$\begin{aligned} P(n^{-1}|X(p) - E(X(p)|p)| \geq \varepsilon, n^{-1}|Z(p)| < \gamma) &= \Lambda(p) \int_{\{n^{-1}|X(p) - E(X(p)|p)| \geq \varepsilon, n^{-1}|Z(p)| < \gamma\}} e^{-\alpha(p) \cdot Z(\omega;p)} P(d\omega|p) \\ &\leq \Lambda(p) e^{n|\alpha(p)|\gamma} P(n^{-1}|X(p) - E(X(p)|p)| \geq \varepsilon|p) \\ &< \Lambda(p) e^{n|\alpha(p)|\gamma} e^{-n\eta} \text{ eventually, by (iii),} \\ &= \Lambda(p) e^{-n\frac{\eta}{2}} \text{ if we choose } \gamma = \frac{\eta}{2|\alpha(p)|}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Now, due to hypothesis (iii) and the standard *mean value theorem*, we can conclude that, if  $\delta > 0$  is sufficiently small, then the event  $\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta$  implies the event

$$|n^{-1}Z(p)| < \gamma.$$

Therefore, for sufficiently small  $\delta$ , we have also

$$P(n^{-1}|X(p) - E(X(p)|p)| \geq \varepsilon, \exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) < \Lambda(p)e^{-n\frac{\eta}{2}} \text{ eventually.}$$

Now, according to the hypothesis (i):

$$P(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) > \Lambda(p)e^{-n\frac{\eta}{3}} \text{ eventually.}$$

Therefore, for sufficiently small  $\delta$ , we have eventually

$$\begin{aligned} P(n^{-1}|X(p) - E(X(p)|p)| \geq \varepsilon | \exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) \\ = \frac{P(n^{-1}|X(p) - E(X(p)|p)| \geq \varepsilon, \exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta)}{P(\exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta)} \\ < \frac{\Lambda(p)e^{-n\frac{\eta}{2}}}{\Lambda(p)e^{-n\frac{\eta}{3}}} \\ = e^{-n\frac{\eta}{6}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

In order to complete the proof, note first that, due to (iii) and the mean value theorem, if  $|p^* - p| < \delta$ , then

$$|n^{-1}X(p^*) - n^{-1}X(p)| \leq A\delta.$$

Therefore, if  $\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{A}$  is sufficiently small, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(n^{-1}|X^* - E(X^*|p)| \geq 3\varepsilon | \exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) \\ < P(n^{-1}|X(p) - E(X(p)|p)| \geq \varepsilon | \exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta). \end{aligned}$$

As was proved above, this expression  $\rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

REMARKS: (i) For a sufficient condition for hypothesis (ii), cf. Lemma 4.2 in [36].

(ii) Note that if  $X(\omega; p) \equiv X(\omega)$  is a macroeconomic random variable, which does not depend on price, then hypothesis (iii) is trivially true for it. In this case, the conditional LLN obtains the form

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(n^{-1}|X - E(X|p)| < \varepsilon | \exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) = 1.$$

### 5.3. The conditional Law of Large Numbers for ideal random economies

Consider an ideal random economy as described in Section 2.4. A random variable  $\xi_i(p) = x(\theta_i; p)$ , which depends via a deterministic *structure function*  $x(\theta; p)$  on the economic characteristics  $\theta_i$  and on price  $p$ , is called a *microeconomic random variable* (associated with the agent  $i$ ). Examples are, e.g., the agent's individual demand, supply, production or type (cf. the example below). Recall that statistical independence is preserved under deterministic transformations. Therefore, the microeconomic r.v.'s  $\xi_i(p)$  are i.i.d., too.

Let us define the macroeconomic random variable  $X(p)$  as the sum

$$X(p) \doteq \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i(p),$$

and let  $\hat{\xi}(p) \doteq n^{-1}X(p)$  denote its mean.

Let  $X^* \doteq X(p^*)$  and  $\hat{\xi}^* \doteq \hat{\xi}(p^*)$  denote the value and the mean, respectively, of the macroeconomic random variable  $X(p)$  at the equilibrium.

Clearly

$$E(X^*|p) = nE(\xi_i(p^*)|p) = n \int x(\theta; p^*) f(\theta|p) d\theta.$$

The conditional LLN of Theorem 5.1 obtains now the following form:

**Corollary 5.1.** *Suppose that conditions (i) and (ii) for Corollary 4.1 in Section 4.4 hold true. In addition, suppose that*

(iii) *the TLD holds true at price  $p$  (cf. Corollary 4.2 in Section 4.4); and*

(iv) *the derivatives  $\frac{\partial z(\theta; q)}{\partial q}$  and  $\frac{\partial x(\theta; q)}{\partial q}$  are continuous on  $\bar{\Theta} \times \bar{U}$  for some closed neighborhood  $\bar{U} \subset \hat{S}^l$  of  $p$ .*

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\xi}^* - \int x(\theta; p^*) f(\theta|p) d\theta| < \varepsilon | \exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) = 1.$$

*Proof.* We show that the hypotheses (i) - (iii) for Theorem 5.1 hold true. Recall that, under the conditions (i) and (ii) for Theorem 4.1 in Section 4.4, the economic characteristics are bounded and their support  $\bar{\Theta}$  is compact. In view of (iv), the structure function  $x(\theta; p)$  of the microeconomic r.v.'s  $\xi_i(p)$  is continuous on  $\bar{\Theta}$ , rendering  $\xi_i(p)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  bounded. Also recall that, under the canonical macroeconomic probability law, the economic characteristics are i.i.d. and obey the canonical p.d.f.  $f(\theta|p)$ . The hypothesis (ii) for Theorem 5.1 now follows from a general result, according to which the convergence in the LLN for bounded i.i.d. random variables is always geometric.

Due to condition (iv),  $\frac{\partial z(\theta; q)}{\partial q}$  and  $\frac{\partial x(\theta; q)}{\partial q}$  are bounded on the compact set  $\overline{\Theta} \times \overline{U}$ . Therefore, also

$$|n^{-1}Z'(q)| = |n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial z(\theta_i; q)}{\partial q}|$$

and

$$|n^{-1}X'(q)| = |n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial x(\theta_i; q)}{\partial q}|$$

are bounded by the same constant on  $\overline{U}$ . Thus the hypothesis (iii) for Theorem 5.1 is satisfied.  $\square$

EXAMPLES: (i) Consider the survival model of Example (ii) in Section 3.3, and define the microeconomic random variable  $\xi_i(p) \doteq \chi_{\{p \cdot e_i < w(p)\}}$  as the indicator of non-survival.

Let  $N(p)$  be the number of non-surviving agents  $i$  at price  $p$ :

$$N(p) \doteq \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{\{p \cdot e_i < w(p)\}},$$

and let  $\hat{n}(p) \doteq n^{-1}N(p)$  denote the proportion of non-surviving agents at price  $p$ .

The proportion  $\hat{n}(p) \doteq n^{-1}N(p)$  can be interpreted as the *empirical probability* of non-survival at price  $p$ . As a sum of i.i.d. random variables the macroeconomic variable  $N(p)$  is automatically regular.

Let  $N^* \doteq N(p^*)$  and  $\hat{n}^* \doteq \hat{n}(p^*)$  denote the *equilibrium values* of  $N(p)$  and  $\hat{n}(p)$ , respectively. Clearly

$$E(N^*|p) = nP(p^* \cdot e_i < w(p^*)|p) = n \int_{\{p^* \cdot e_i < w(p^*)\}} f(\theta|p)d\theta.$$

It follows that the conditional LLN for the variable  $N(p)$  obtains the form

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{n}^* - \int_{\{p^* \cdot e_i < w(p^*)\}} f(\theta|p)d\theta| < \varepsilon | \exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta) = 1.$$

This means that, conditionally on the observation of an equilibrium, the empirical probability of non-survival converges to its canonical probability.

Thus, in accordance with Gibbs Conditioning Principle, at the ideal limit  $\varepsilon = 0$  and at an observed equilibrium price, for "most" macroeconomic configurations  $\omega$  the proportion of non-surviving agents equals the canonical probability of non-survival.

(ii) The conditional LLN implies also the convergence of the whole *empirical probability distribution* of the economic characteristics (cf. the ideal gas as the analogy, Section 5.1).

To this end, let  $A \subset \Theta$  be an arbitrary (Borel) subset of the support  $\Theta \subset R^m$  of the microeconomic p.d.f.  $f(\theta)$ , and let  $\chi_A(\theta)$  denote the indicator function of  $A$ . Let  $N_A$  be the number of agents  $i$  with characteristics  $\theta_i \in A$  :

$$N_A(\omega) \doteq \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_A(\theta_i).$$

As a sum of i.i.d. bounded random variables,  $N_A$  is automatically regular. Clearly

$$E(N_A|p) = nP(\theta_i \in A|p) = n \int_A f(\theta|p)d\theta.$$

Let  $\hat{n}_A \doteq n^{-1}N_A$  denote the proportion of agents  $i$  with characteristics  $\theta_i \in A$ . The proportions  $\hat{n}_A$ ,  $A \subset \Theta$ , form the *empirical probability distribution* of the economic characteristics  $\theta_i$ .

According to the conditional LLN, the empirical probability distribution converges to the canonical probability distribution associated with the observed equilibrium:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|\hat{n}_A - \int_A f(\theta|p)d\theta\right| < \varepsilon \mid \exists p^* : |p^* - p| < \delta\right) = 1,$$

cf. (5.4). Thus, in accordance with Gibbs conditioning principle, at the ideal limit  $\varepsilon = 0$  and at an observed equilibrium price, for "most" macroeconomic configurations  $\omega$  the proportion of agents having their economic characteristics in  $A$  equals the canonical probability of  $A$ .

## 6. A short recount

We have above argued for the relevance of the theory of large deviations in the study of the equilibria of random economies comprising a large number of participating agents.

In probability theory, by a large deviation is meant the occurrence of a value for a random variable that falls outside the region of validity of the Central Limit Theorem. Large deviations are bound to occur in a large random system if the a priori model is imperfect.

The standard LD theory is concerned with the probabilities of large deviations of "extensive" random variables, which result from an accumulation of a large number of "micro" random variables. The standard example is the sum of i.i.d. random variables. Extensivity can also be temporal, in which case time is regarded as the size parameter.

Theorems of LD type express the probabilities of large deviations in an exponential form, where the exponent is proportional to the size parameter of the system. The complement of the coefficient of proportionality is referred to as

the rate function. Due to the thermodynamic analogy, the rate function is also referred to as the entropy function. The estimate yielded by the LD theory is valid also outside the region of validity of the CLT.

The Gibbs Conditioning Principle is concerned with the a posteriori inference from the observation of a large deviation, i.e., how to take into account such an observation in a mathematically legitimate way. According to the GCP, the a posteriori probability law belongs to the exponential family generated by the random variable under consideration. As a mathematical theorem, GCP is a conditional law of large numbers.

Since the seminal classical work by H. Cramér [12]), LD theory has become a major subject in probability theory, and subsequently also an important tool in stochastic modelling, for example, in statistics, information theory, engineering problems ([10], [15]), modelling of large communication networks [42], risk theory [30], dynamical macroeconomic phenomena [1], calibration of asset prices [4], and in analyzing large portfolio losses [14].

There is a long history in the search of an analogy between economics and thermodynamics, see, e.g., Samuelson [39]. Inspired by the ideas of Jaynes ([21], [22]) on the connections between statistical mechanics and information theory, Foley (e.g., [43]) has elaborated on this analogy further.

The theory of large deviations can be regarded as the mathematical abstraction of the inherent mathematical structure of statistical mechanics in that large stochastic systems are understood as "thermodynamic" systems. Due to this relationship, the proposed formalism for stochastic economic equilibrium theory is conceptually and structurally similar to the formalism of statistical mechanics. The relationship has been pointed out earlier in [23], a PhD thesis supervised by Nummelin.

Classical thermodynamic systems are physical systems comprising a large number of particles (e.g., [29] or [37]). The goal is to describe the macroscopic behaviour of the system in terms of a few thermodynamic variables. Standard thermodynamic variables are, e.g., volume, pressure, internal energy, temperature and entropy. They are said to be extensive if they are proportional to the volume, and intensive if they are independent from it. Examples of extensive variables are volume, internal energy and entropy, whereas pressure and temperature are intensive.

The relationships between thermodynamic variables are described by thermodynamic equations of state. Thus, e.g., the integral form of the Second Law of thermodynamics relates thermodynamic entropy to temperature, internal energy and partition function, see formula (2.7).

According to the paradigm, the thermodynamic laws are assumed to hold true universally, i.e., to govern the behaviour of any thermodynamic system, and even if the behaviour of the system is not fully understood mathematically.

Like a thermodynamic system, a large economic system comprising a large number of economic agents has many "degrees of freedom", only a few of which are observable. As in statistical mechanics, one can distinguish between extensive (proportional to the size of the economy) and intensive (independent of the size) variables. Examples of extensive variables are, e.g., the total demand and

supply on some commodities, whereas prices are typical intensive variables.

Despite their intensive character, however, as zeros of the (extensive) random total excess demand function, random equilibrium prices still obey the principles of large deviation theory, see [33], [34], [35], [36]. Therefore, stochastic equilibrium theory is a potential area for applying the LD methods.

As in statistical mechanics, the "thermodynamic formalism" of stochastic equilibrium theory reflects certain underlying universal principles. Their validity is not restricted to only those random economies for which the exact mathematical conditions can be verified.

### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dario Gasbarra for useful suggestions on our text.

### References

- [1] Aoki, M.: *New Approaches to Macroeconomic Modeling. Evolutionary Stochastic Dynamics, Multiple Equilibria, and Externalities as Field Effects*. Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [2] Arrow, K.J.: An extension of the basic theorems of classical welfare economics. In: *Proceedings of the Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, ed. J. Neyman, Univ. of California Press, 1951, 507-532.
- [3] Arrow, K.J. and Debreu, G.: Existence of an equilibrium for a competitive economy. *Econometrica* 22, 265-290 (1954).
- [4] Avellaneda, M.: The minimum-entropy algorithm and related methods for calibrating asset-pricing models. In: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Vol. III, Berlin 1998, 545-563.
- [5] Azencott, R.: Grandes déviations et applications. In P.L Hennequin, ed., *Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour VIII 1978*, Lecture Notes in Math. 774, pp. 1-176. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [6] Bhattacharya, R.N. and Majumdar, M.: Random exchange economies. *J. Economic Theory* 6, 37-67 (1973).
- [7] Bhattacharya, R.N. and Majumdar, M.: On characterizing the probability of survival in a large competitive economy. *Review of Economic Design* 6, 133-153 (2001).
- [8] Billingsley, P.: *Probability and Measure*, 3rd ed'n. Wiley, New York, 1995.
- [9] Blomster, T.: Stochastic equilibrium in financial markets. *Reports in Mathematics*, no. 363, University of Helsinki (2003).
- [10] Bucklew, J.A.: *Large Deviations Techniques in Decision, Simulation and Estimation*. Wiley, 1990.
- [11] Cover, T.M. and Thomas, J.A.: *Elements of Information Theory*. Wiley, New York, 1991.
- [12] Cramér, H.: Sur une nouveau théorème-limite de la theorie des probabilités. In: *Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielle*, no. 736, 5-23 (1938).

- [13] Debreu, G.: *Theory of Value*. Wiley, 1959.
- [14] Dembo, A., Deuschel, J-D. and Duffie, D.: Large portfolio losses. *Finance and Stochastics*. 8, 3-16 (2004).
- [15] Dembo, A. and Zeitouni, O.: *Large Deviations and Applications*. Jones & Bartlett, Boston, 1993.
- [16] Ellis, R.S.: *Entropy, Large Deviations, and Statistical Mechanics*. Springer, New York, 1985.
- [17] Ellis, R.S.: The Theory of Large Deviations and Applications to Statistical Mechanics. Lecture Notes for École de Physique Les Houches, August 5-8, 2008. <http://www.math.umass.edu/~rellis>
- [18] Foley, D.K.: A statistical equilibrium theory of markets. *Journal of Economic Theory* 62, 321-345 (1994).
- [19] Hildenbrand, W.: Random preferences and equilibrium analysis. *J. Economic Theory* 3, 414-429 (1971).
- [20] Hou, Yongming: Some inference results on random pure exchange economies. *Annals of Operations Research*.
- [21] Jaynes, Edwin T: Information theory and statistical mechanics. *Physical review* 106, 620 (1957).
- [22] Jaynes, Edwin T: Information theory and statistical mechanics. *Physical review* 108, 171 (1957).
- [23] Kuusela, M.: Large Deviations of Zeros and Fixed Points of Random Maps with Applications to Equilibrium Economics. *Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae, Mathematica Dissertationes* 138. PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki, 2004.
- [24] Lang, S.: *Real and Functional Analysis*. Springer, New York, 1993.
- [25] Lehtonen, T. and Nummelin, E.: Large deviations for sectorial economies. *Reports in Mathematics*, no. 297, University of Helsinki (2001).
- [26] Lehtonen, T. and Nummelin, E.: Level I theory of large deviations in the ideal gas. *Int. Journal of Theoretical Physics* 29, 621-635 (1990).
- [27] Majumdar, M. and Rotar, V.: Equilibrium prices in a random exchange economy with dependent agents. *Economic Theory* 15, 531-550 (2000).
- [28] Majumdar, M. and Rotar, V.: Some general results on equilibrium prices in large random exchange ecocomies. *Annals of Operations Research* 114, 245-261 (2002).
- [29] Martin-Löf, A.: *Statistical Mechanics and the Foundations of Thermodynamics*. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [30] Martin-Löf, A.: Entropy, a useful concept in risk theory. *Scand. Actuarial J.* 223-235 (1986).
- [31] McKenzie, L.: On existence of Graham's model of world trade and other competitive systems. *Econometrica* 22, 147-161 (1954).
- [32] Ney, P.: Convexity and large deviations. *Annals of Probability* 12, 903-906 (1984).
- [33] Nummelin, E.: On the existence and convergence of price equilibria for random economies. *The Annals of Applied Probability* 10, 268-282 (2000).
- [34] Nummelin, E.: Large deviations of random vector fields with applications to economics. *Advances in Applied Math.* 24, 222-259 (2000).

- [35] Nummelin, E.: Large deviations of equilibrium prices in a random economy. In: Probability, Statistics and their Applications. Papers in honor of R. Bhattacharya, ed. K. Athreya and M. Majumdar, IMS Lecture Notes - Monograph Series, Vol 41, 2005.
- [36] Nummelin, E.: Entropy and economic equilibrium. In: Aportaciones Matemática Mexicana. Stochastic Models, ed. J.M. González-Barrios, J. León and A. Meda. Contemporary Math. 336, 41-74, 2003.
- [37] Plischke, M. and Bergersen, B.: Equilibrium Statistical Physics, 2nd Edition, World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.
- [38] Rockafellar, R.T.: Convex Analysis. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [39] Samuelson, P.A.: Structure of a minimum equilibrium system. In: Pfouts, R.W. (Ed.), Essays in Economics and Econometrics: A Volume in Honor of Harold Hotelling. University of North Carolina Press, 1960. Reprinted in Stiglitz J.E. (Ed.), 1966. The Collected Scientific Papers of Paul A. Samuelson, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, pp. 651-686.
- [40] Saslow, W.M.: An economic analogy to thermodynamics. American J. of Physics 67 (12), 1239-1247 (1999).
- [41] Seneta, E.: Non-negative Matrices and Markov Chains. Springer, 2nd ed, 1981.
- [42] Shwartz, A. and Weiss, A.: Large Deviations for Performance Analysis: Queues, Communications and Computing. Chapman and Hall, New York, 1995.
- [43] Smith, E. and Foley, D.K.: Classical thermodynamics and economic general equilibrium theory. Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 32, 7-65 (2008).