

Some lemmas on spectral radius of graphs: including an application

Wenqian Zhang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shandong University of Technology
Zibo, Shandong 255000, P.R. China

Abstract

For a graph G , the spectral radius $\rho(G)$ of G is the largest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. In this paper, we give three lemmas on $\rho(G)$ when G contains a spanning complete bipartite graph. Moreover, an application was also included at the end.

Keywords: spectral radius; walk; cycle; planar graph.
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C50.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. For a graph G , let \overline{G} be its complement. The vertex set and edge set of G are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. For two vertices u, v , let $d_G(u, v)$ denote the distance between them (i.e., the minimum length of a path connecting them). We say $u \sim v$, if u and v are adjacent in G . For a certain integer n , let K_n , P_n and C_n be the complete graph, the path and the cycle of order n , respectively. For any $\ell \geq 2$ graphs G_1, G_2, \dots, G_ℓ , let $\cup_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} G_i$ be the disjoint union of them. In particular, let $tG = \cup_{1 \leq i \leq t} G$ for any integer $t \geq 1$. Let $G_1 \vee G_2$ be the join of G_1 and G_2 , obtained from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by connecting all vertices of G_1 to all vertices of G_2 . For any terminology used but not defined here, one may refer to [2].

Let G be a graph with vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n . The *adjacency matrix* of G is an $n \times n$ matrix (a_{ij}) , where $a_{ij} = 1$ if $v_i \sim v_j$, and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The *spectral radius* $\rho(G)$ of G is the largest eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. By the well-known Perron–Frobenius theorem, $\rho(G)$ has a non-negative eigenvector. A non-negative eigenvector corresponding to $\rho(G)$ is called a *Perron vector* of G . If G is connected, any Perron vector of G has positive entries. The spectral radius of a graph is closely related to its convergence property. In

E-mails: zhangwq@pku.edu.cn

this paper, we give the following three lemmas on spectral radius of graphs, which will be used.

Lemma 1.1 *For integers $n_1 \geq n_2 + 2 \geq 1$, let $G_1 = H \vee (P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup T)$ and $G_2 = H \vee (P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1} \cup T)$, where H, T are two graphs with $|H| \geq 1$ and $|T| \geq 0$. Then $\rho(G_1) > \rho(G_2)$.*

Lemma 1.2 *For integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq n_4 \geq 1$ and $n_1 \geq n_2 + 2$, let $G_1 = H \vee (P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup P_{n_3} \cup P_{n_4} \cup T)$ and $G_2 = H \vee (P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1} \cup P_{n_3+1} \cup P_{n_4-1} \cup T)$, where H, T are two graphs with $|H| \geq 1$ and $|T| + \sum_{1 \leq s \leq 4} n_s \geq 100$. Then $\rho(G_1) < \rho(G_2)$.*

Lemma 1.3 *For integers $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3 \geq n_4 \geq n_5 \geq 1$ and $n_1 \geq n_2 + 3$, let $G_1 = H \vee (P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup P_{n_3} \cup P_{n_4} \cup P_{n_5} \cup T)$ and $G_2 = H \vee (P_{n_1-2} \cup P_{n_2+1} \cup P_{n_3+1} \cup P_{n_4+1} \cup P_{n_5-1} \cup T)$, where H, T are two graphs with $|H| \geq 1$ and $|T| + \sum_{1 \leq s \leq 5} n_s \geq 220$. Then $\rho(G_1) < \rho(G_2)$.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we include several lemmas, which will be used later. The proofs of Lemmas 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 are given in Section 3. In Section, we include an application on spectral radius of C_ℓ -free planar graphs of order $n \geq 1.8 \times 10^{17}$, where $5 \leq \ell \leq n$.

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a graph. For an integer $\ell \geq 1$, a *walk* of length ℓ in G is an ordered sequence of vertices $v_0 v_1 \cdots v_\ell$, such that $v_i \sim v_{i+1}$ for any $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$. The vertex v_0 is called the starting vertex of the walk. For any $u \in V(G)$ and $\ell \geq 1$, let $w_G^\ell(u)$ be the number of walks of length ℓ starting at u in G . Let $W^\ell(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} w_G^\ell(v)$. The following spectral formula was given in [9].

Theorem 2.1 ([9]) *For an integer $r \geq 2$, let K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} be the complete r -partite graph of order n with parts V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r , where $n_i = |V_i| \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} n_i = n$. For each $1 \leq i \leq r$, let H_i be a graph with vertex set $V(H_i) \subseteq V_i$. Let G be the graph obtained from K_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r} by embedding the edges of H_i into V_i for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Set $\rho = \rho(G)$. Then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq r} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{n_s}{\rho} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(H_s)}{\rho^{i+1}}} = r - 1.$$

The existence of the infinite series in Theorem 2.1 was guaranteed by the following two results. The first one is taken from Theorem 8.1.1 of [2].

Lemma 2.2 ([2]) *If H is a subgraph of a connected graph G , then $\rho(H) \leq \rho(G)$, with equality if and only if $H = G$.*

Lemma 2.3 ([9]) *Let G be a graph of order n . Then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^k(G)}{x^k}$ exists for any $x > \rho(G)$.*

3 Proofs of Lemmas 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3

Let G be a graph. For a vertex u and a walk Q of G , we say that Q crosses u , if u is one of the vertices in the sequence of Q . For any integer $\ell \geq 1$ and $u, v \in V(G)$, let $W_{u,v}^\ell(G)$ be the number of walks Q of length ℓ in G , such that Q crosses u and v . Let P_0 denote the empty graph.

Lemma 3.1 *For integers $n_1 \geq n_2 + 2 \geq 3$, denote $P_{n_1} = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n_1}$. Then $W^\ell(P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2}) - W^\ell(P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1}) = W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1})$ for any $\ell \geq 1$.*

Proof: Let $G_1 = P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2}$ and $G_2 = P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1}$. For any given $\ell \geq 1$, we shall prove

$$W^\ell(G_1) - W^\ell(G_2) = W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}).$$

Recall that $P_{n_1} = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n_1}$ in G_1 . In G_2 , denote $P_{n_2+1} = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{n_2} v_{n_2+1}$. Let X denote the set of walks Q of length ℓ in G_1 , such that Q crosses u_1 . Let Y denote the set of walks Q of length ℓ in G_2 , such that Q crosses v_1 . Clearly, $W^\ell(G_1) = |X| + W^\ell(P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2})$ and $W^\ell(G_2) = |Y| + W^\ell(P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2})$. This means that

$$W^\ell(G_1) - W^\ell(G_2) = |X| - |Y|.$$

Now we divide X in two parts: let X_1 denote the set of walks Q of length ℓ in G_1 , such that Q crosses u_1 and u_{n_2+2} ; let X_2 denote the set of walks Q of length ℓ in G_1 , such that Q crosses u_1 and does not cross u_{n_2+2} . Clearly, $X = X_1 \cup X_2$. By definitions of the sets X_1, X_2, Y , we see $|X_2| = |Y|$ and $|X_1| = W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1})$. Thus $|X| - |Y| = |X_1| = W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1})$. Hence, $W^\ell(G_1) - W^\ell(G_2) = W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1})$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2 *Let G be a path of order $n \geq 3$. Let u, v be two vertices of G such that $d_G(u, v) = \ell$, where $2 \leq \ell \leq n - 1$. Then $\sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{W_{u,v}^s(G)}{x^s} \leq \frac{1}{x^\ell} \left(\frac{8x}{x-1} e^{\frac{2\ell}{x^2}} + \frac{32}{x-4} \right)$ for any $x \geq \max\{\sqrt{n}, 5\}$.*

Proof: Let P_∞ be a path of "infinite" order, such that u, v are two vertices of distance ℓ in P_∞ . That is,

$$P_\infty = \cdots u_\ell \cdots u_2 u_1 u z_1 z_2 \cdots z_{\ell-1} v v_1 v_2 \cdots.$$

(See Figure 1, where the "semicircle" denotes the distance ℓ between u and v .) Clearly, $W_{u,v}^s(G) \leq W_{u,v}^s(P_\infty)$ for any $s \geq 1$. For any vertex $y \in P_\infty$ and integer $a \geq 1$, let $w_{uv}^a(y)$ be the number of walks Q of length a in P_∞ , such that Q starts at y and crosses u and v .

Claim 1. $w_{uv}^a(z_i) \leq w_{uv}^a(u_i) + w_{uv}^a(v_{\ell-i})$ for any $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ and $a \geq 1$.




Figure 1: The infinite path P_∞

Proof of Claim 1. Let $a \geq 1$ be given. Clearly, $w_{uv}^a(z_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq a \leq \ell - 1$. Now assume $a \geq \ell$. Let $1 \leq i_0 \leq \ell - 1$ be fixed. We will show that

$$w_{uv}^a(z_{i_0}) \leq w_{uv}^a(u_{i_0}) + w_{uv}^a(v_{\ell-i_0}).$$

Let X be the set of walks Q starting at z_{i_0} of length a in P_∞ , such that Q crosses u first before v . Let Y be the set of walks Q starting at z_{i_0} of length a in P_∞ , such that Q crosses v first before u . Clearly, $w_{uv}^a(z_{i_0}) = |X| + |Y|$.

Now we show that $|X| \leq w_{uv}^a(u_{i_0})$ and $|Y| \leq w_{uv}^a(v_{\ell-i_0})$. We show the first one. Let D be the set of walks Q starting at u_{i_0} of length a in P_∞ , such that Q crosses u, v . We can define an injective map ϕ between X and D . For any $Q \in X$, since Q crosses u first, we can denote

$$Q = z_{i_0} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_s} u f_1 f_2 \cdots,$$

where $1 \leq j_1, \dots, j_s \leq \ell - 1$ and f_1, f_2, \dots are vertices of P_∞ . Define

$$\phi(Q) = u_{i_0} u_{j_1} \cdots u_{j_s} u f_1 f_2 \cdots.$$

It is easy to see that ϕ is injective. Hence, $|X| \leq |D| = w_{uv}^a(u_{i_0})$. Similarly, we can show that $|Y| \leq w_{uv}^a(v_{\ell-i_0})$. Then $w_{uv}^a(z_{i_0}) = |X| + |Y| \leq w_{uv}^a(u_{i_0}) + w_{uv}^a(v_{\ell-i_0})$. This finishes the proof of Claim 1. \square

By Claim 1, we see

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell-1} w_{uv}^a(z_i) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell-1} w_{uv}^a(u_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell-1} w_{uv}^a(v_i)$$

for any $a \geq 1$. Clearly, $w_{uv}^a(u_i) = w_{uv}^a(v_i) = 0$ for any $i \geq \ell + 1$ and $a \leq 2\ell$. Thus, for any $a \leq 2\ell$,

$$\begin{aligned} W_{u,v}^a(P_\infty) &= w_{uv}^a(u) + w_{uv}^a(v) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell-1} w_{uv}^a(z_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} w_{uv}^a(u_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} w_{uv}^a(v_i) \\ &\leq 2(w_{uv}^a(u) + w_{uv}^a(v) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} w_{uv}^a(u_i) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} w_{uv}^a(v_i)). \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, $w_{uv}^a(u) = w_{uv}^a(v)$ and $w_{uv}^a(u_i) = w_{uv}^a(v_i)$ for any $a \geq 1$ and $i \geq 1$. Thus, for any $a \leq 2\ell$,

$$W_{u,v}^a(P_\infty) \leq 4(w_{uv}^a(u) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} w_{uv}^a(u_i)).$$

Since P_∞ is a path, each walk starting at u_i corresponds to a "±"-series:

$$u_i + - + - + - + \cdots.$$

(For example, $u_1 + - + + -$ corresponds to the walk $u_1 u u_1 u z_1 u u_1$.) For any $a \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq a$, in the corresponding "±"-series to the walks of length $\ell + a$ starting at u_i and crossing u and v , there are at most $\lfloor \frac{a-i}{2} \rfloor$ symbols "−" (in the all $\ell + a$ symbols "±"). Thus,

$$w_{uv}^{\ell+a}(u_i) \leq \binom{\ell+a}{\lfloor \frac{a-i}{2} \rfloor} \leq \frac{(\ell+a)^{\lfloor \frac{a-i}{2} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{a-i}{2} \rfloor!}.$$

Hence, $w_{uv}^{\ell+a}(u_i) \leq \frac{(2\ell)^{\lfloor \frac{a-i}{2} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{a-i}{2} \rfloor!}$ for any $0 \leq a \leq \ell$. It follows that, for any $0 \leq a \leq \ell$,

$$w_{uv}^{\ell+a}(u) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} w_{uv}^{\ell+a}(u_i) \leq 2 \times (1 + 2\ell + \frac{(2\ell)^2}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{(2\ell)^{\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor}}{\lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor!}) = 2 \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(2\ell)^i}{i!}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq a \leq \ell} \frac{W_{u,v}^{\ell+a}(P_\infty)}{x^{\ell+a}} &\leq \sum_{0 \leq a \leq \ell} \frac{4}{x^{\ell+a}} (w_{uv}^{\ell+a}(u) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} w_{uv}^{\ell+a}(u_i)) \\ &\leq \frac{8}{x^\ell} \sum_{0 \leq a \leq \ell} \frac{1}{x^a} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(2\ell)^i}{i!} \\ &= \frac{8}{x^\ell} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(2\ell)^i}{i!} \sum_{2i \leq a \leq \ell} \frac{1}{x^a} \\ &\leq \frac{8}{x^\ell} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{\ell}{2} \rfloor} \frac{(2\ell)^i}{i!} \frac{1}{x^{2i}} \frac{x}{x-1} \\ &\leq \frac{8}{x^\ell} \frac{x}{x-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \left(\frac{2\ell}{x^2}\right)^i \\ &= \frac{8}{x^\ell} \frac{x}{x-1} e^{\frac{2\ell}{x^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $W_{u,v}^s(G) = 0$ for any $s \leq \ell - 1$ as $d_G(u, v) = \ell$. Then

$$\sum_{1 \leq s \leq 2\ell} \frac{W_{u,v}^s(G)}{x^s} \leq \sum_{0 \leq a \leq \ell} \frac{W_{u,v}^{\ell+a}(P_\infty)}{x^{\ell+a}} \leq \frac{8}{x^\ell} \frac{x}{x-1} e^{\frac{2\ell}{x^2}}.$$

Since G has maximum degree 2, we see $W_{u,v}^a(G) \leq 2^a n$ for any $a \geq 1$. Noting $s - 3 \leq 2(s - \ell - 2)$ for $s \geq 2\ell + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \geq 2\ell+1} \frac{W_{u,v}^s(G)}{x^s} &\leq \sum_{s \geq 2\ell+1} \frac{2^s n}{x^s} \\ &\leq \frac{8}{x^\ell} \sum_{s \geq 2\ell+1} \frac{2^{s-3}}{x^{s-\ell-2}} \quad (\text{using } x \geq \sqrt{n}) \\ &\leq \frac{8}{x^\ell} \sum_{s \geq 2\ell+1} \left(\frac{4}{x}\right)^{s-\ell-2} \quad (\text{using } s-3 \leq 2(s-\ell-2)) \\ &\leq \frac{8}{x^\ell} \sum_{j \geq 1} \left(\frac{4}{x}\right)^j \quad (\text{using } \ell \geq 2) \\ &= \frac{1}{x^\ell} \frac{32}{x-4} \quad (\text{using } x \geq 5). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{s \geq 1} \frac{W_{u,v}^s(G)}{x^s} \leq \frac{1}{x^\ell} \left(\frac{8x}{x-1} e^{\frac{2\ell}{x^2}} + \frac{32}{x-4} \right).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to prove the three lemmas.

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Let $G^1 = P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup T$ and $G^2 = P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1} \cup T$. Then $G_j = H \vee G^j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Set $\rho(G_j) = \rho_j$ for any $1 \leq j \leq 2$. We will prove $\rho_1 > \rho_2$. By Theorem 2.1,

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{|H|}{\rho_j} + \frac{1}{\rho_j} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(H)}{\rho_j^i}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|G^j|}{\rho_j} + \frac{1}{\rho_j} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^j)}{\rho_j^i}} = 1,$$

where $1 \leq j \leq 2$. For $1 \leq j \leq 2$, let

$$f(G_j, x) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|H|}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(H)}{x^i}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|G^j|}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^j)}{x^i}}.$$

(Clearly, the domain of $f(G_j, x)$ is of the form $x \geq a_j$ for some positive number a_j .) Clearly, $f(G_j, x)$ is strictly increasing with respect to x in its domain. Thus ρ_j is the largest root of $f(G_j, x) = 1$.

In G^1 , denote $P_{n_1} = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n_1}$. For any $\ell \geq 1$, by Lemma 3.1,

$$W^\ell(P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2}) - W^\ell(P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1}) = W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}).$$

This means that $W^\ell(G^1) - W^\ell(G^2) = W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}) \geq 0$. Clearly, $W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^{n_2+1}(P_{n_1}) = 2$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^1)}{\rho_2^i} > \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^2)}{\rho_2^i}.$$

This implies that

$$f(G_1, \rho_2) < f(G_2, \rho_2).$$

Note that $f(G_2, \rho_2) = 1$. So, $f(G_1, \rho_2) < 1$. Recall that $f(G_1, x)$ is strictly increasing in its domain, and $f(G_1, \rho_1) = 1$. We must have $\rho_1 > \rho_2$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 1.2. Let $G^1 = P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup P_{n_3} \cup P_{n_4} \cup T$ and $G^2 = P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1} \cup P_{n_3+1} \cup P_{n_4-1} \cup T$. Then $G_j = H \vee G^j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Set $\rho(G_j) = \rho_j$ for any $1 \leq j \leq 2$. We will prove $\rho_1 < \rho_2$. Since G_j contains $K_1 \vee \overline{K_{|T|+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} n_i}}$ as a subgraph, we have

$$\rho_j \geq \rho(K_1 \vee \overline{K_{|T|+\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} n_i}}) = \sqrt{|T| + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} n_i} \geq \max \left\{ 10, \sqrt{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} n_i} \right\}.$$

By Theorem 2.1,

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{|H|}{\rho_j} + \frac{1}{\rho_j} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(H)}{\rho_j^i}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|G^j|}{\rho_j} + \frac{1}{\rho_j} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^j)}{\rho_j^i}} = 1,$$

where $1 \leq j \leq 2$. For $1 \leq j \leq 2$, let

$$f(G_j, x) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|H|}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(H)}{x^i}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|G^j|}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^j)}{x^i}}.$$

Similar to Lemma 1.1, $f(G_j, x)$ is strictly increasing with respective to x in its domain, and $f(G_j, \rho_j) = 1$.

In G^1 , denote $P_{n_1} = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n_1}$. In G^2 , denote $P_{n_3+1} = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{n_3+1}$. For any $\ell \geq 1$, by Lemma 3.1,

$$W^\ell(P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2}) - W^\ell(P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1}) = W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}),$$

and

$$W^\ell(P_{n_3+1} \cup P_{n_4-1}) - W^\ell(P_{n_3} \cup P_{n_4}) = W_{v_1, v_{n_4+1}}^\ell(P_{n_3+1}).$$

This means that

$$W^\ell(G^1) - W^\ell(G^2) = W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}) - W_{v_1, v_{n_4+1}}^\ell(P_{n_3+1}).$$

Note that $W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^i(P_{n_1}) \leq W_{u_1, u_{n_4+2}}^i(P_{n_1})$ for any $i \geq 1$ by the definition of $W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^i(P_{n_1})$, since $n_2 \geq n_4$. Thus,

$$W^\ell(G^1) - W^\ell(G^2) \leq W_{u_1, u_{n_4+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}) - W_{v_1, v_{n_4+1}}^\ell(P_{n_3+1}).$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{W^i(G^1)}{\rho_1^i} - \frac{W^i(G^2)}{\rho_1^i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^1) - W^i(G^2)}{\rho_1^i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_{u_1, u_{n_4+2}}^i(P_{n_1}) - W_{v_1, v_{n_4+1}}^i(P_{n_3+1})}{\rho_1^i}.$$

Clearly, $W_{v_1, v_{n_4+1}}^{n_4}(P_{n_3+1}) = 2$ and $W_{v_1, v_{n_4+1}}^{n_4+1}(P_{n_3+1}) \geq 2$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_{v_1, v_{n_4+1}}^i(P_{n_3+1})}{\rho_1^i} \geq \frac{2}{\rho_1^{n_4}} + \frac{2}{\rho_1^{n_4+1}} = \frac{1}{\rho_1^{n_4+1}}(2\rho_1 + 2).$$

By Lemma 3.2 (by letting $\ell = n_4 + 1$ there),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_{u_1, u_{n_4+2}}^i(P_{n_1})}{\rho_1^i} \leq \frac{1}{\rho_1^{n_4+1}} \left(\frac{8\rho_1}{\rho_1 - 1} e^{\frac{2(n_4+1)}{\rho_1^2}} + \frac{32}{\rho_1 - 4} \right).$$

Since $\rho_1 \geq \max \left\{ 10, \sqrt{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 4} n_i} \right\} \geq \max \left\{ 10, \sqrt{4n_4 + 2} \right\}$, we have $e^{\frac{2(n_4+1)}{\rho_1^2}} < e^{0.52} < 1.7$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{W^i(G^1)}{\rho_1^i} - \frac{W^i(G^2)}{\rho_1^i} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\rho_1^{n_4+1}} \left(\frac{4\rho_1}{\rho_1 - 1} e^{\frac{2(n_4+1)}{\rho_1^2}} + \frac{16}{\rho_1 - 4} - \rho_1 - 1 \right) \\ & < \frac{2}{\rho_1^{n_4+1}} \left(5.8 + \frac{6.8}{\rho_1 - 1} + \frac{16}{\rho_1 - 4} - \rho_1 \right) \quad (\text{using } e^{\frac{2(n_4+1)}{\rho_1^2}} < 1.7). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider $g(x) = 5.8 + \frac{6.8}{x-1} + \frac{16}{x-4} - x$ for $x \geq 10$. Clearly, $g(x)$ is decreasing for $x \geq 10$. By a calculation, $g(10) = -\frac{7}{9} < 0$. Thus $g(x) < 0$ for any $x \geq 10$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{W^i(G^1)}{\rho_1^i} - \frac{W^i(G^2)}{\rho_1^i} \right) < 0$, or equivalently, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^1)}{\rho_1^i} < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^2)}{\rho_1^i}$. It follows that $f(G_1, \rho_1) > f(G_2, \rho_1)$. Note that $f(G_1, \rho_1) = 1$. So, $f(G_2, \rho_1) < 1$. Recall that $f(G_2, x)$ is strictly increasing in its domain, and $f(G_2, \rho_2) = 1$. We must have $\rho_1 < \rho_2$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Lemma 1.3. Let $G^1 = P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup P_{n_3} \cup P_{n_4} \cup P_{n_5} \cup T$ and $G^2 = P_{n_1-2} \cup P_{n_2+1} \cup P_{n_3+1} \cup P_{n_4+1} \cup P_{n_5-1} \cup T$. Then $G_j = H \vee G^j$ for $j = 1, 2$. Set $\rho(G_j) = \rho_j$ for any $1 \leq j \leq 2$. We will prove $\rho_1 < \rho_2$. Since G_j contains $K_1 \vee \overline{K_{|T| + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} n_i}}$ as a subgraph, we have

$$\rho_j \geq \rho(K_1 \vee \overline{K_{|T| + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} n_i}}) = \sqrt{|T| + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} n_i} \geq \max \left\{ \sqrt{220}, \sqrt{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} n_i} \right\}.$$

By Theorem 2.1,

$$\frac{1}{1 + \frac{|H|}{\rho_j} + \frac{1}{\rho_j} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(H)}{\rho_j^i}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|G^j|}{\rho_j} + \frac{1}{\rho_j} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^j)}{\rho_j^i}} = 1,$$

where $1 \leq j \leq 2$. For $1 \leq j \leq 2$, let

$$f(G_j, x) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|H|}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(H)}{x^i}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{|G^j|}{x} + \frac{1}{x} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^j)}{x^i}}.$$

Clearly, $f(G_j, x)$ is strictly increasing with respective to x in its domain, and $f(G_j, \rho_j) = 1$.

In G^1 , denote $P_{n_1} = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{n_1}$. In G^2 , denote $P_{n_4+1} = v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{n_4+1}$. For any $\ell \geq 1$, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} W^\ell(P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup P_{n_3}) - W^\ell(P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1} \cup P_{n_3}) &= W^\ell(P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2}) - W^\ell(P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1}) \\ &= W_{u_1, u_{n_2+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}) \leq W_{u_1, u_{n_5+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} W^\ell(P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_2+1} \cup P_{n_3}) - W^\ell(P_{n_1-2} \cup P_{n_2+1} \cup P_{n_3+1}) &= W^\ell(P_{n_1-1} \cup P_{n_3}) - W^\ell(P_{n_1-2} \cup P_{n_3+1}) \\ &= W_{u_1, u_{n_3+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1-1}) \leq W_{u_1, u_{n_5+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$W^\ell(P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup P_{n_3}) - W^\ell(P_{n_1-2} \cup P_{n_2+1} \cup P_{n_3+1}) \leq 2W_{u_1, u_{n_5+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}).$$

Also by Lemma 3.1,

$$W^\ell(P_{n_4+1} \cup P_{n_5-1}) - W^\ell(P_{n_4} \cup P_{n_5}) = W_{v_1, v_{n_5+1}}^\ell(P_{n_4+1}).$$

It follows that

$$W^\ell(G^1) - W^\ell(G^2) \leq 2W_{u_1, u_{n_5+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}) - W_{v_1, v_{n_5+1}}^\ell(P_{n_4+1}).$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{W^i(G^1)}{\rho_1^i} - \frac{W^i(G^2)}{\rho_1^i} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2W_{u_1, u_{n_5+2}}^\ell(P_{n_1}) - W_{v_1, v_{n_5+1}}^\ell(P_{n_4+1})}{\rho_1^i}.$$

Clearly, $W_{v_1, v_{n_5+1}}^\ell(P_{n_4+1}) = 2$ and $W_{v_1, v_{n_5+1}}^{n_5+1}(P_{n_4+1}) \geq 2$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_{v_1, v_{n_5+1}}^i(P_{n_4+1})}{\rho_1^i} \geq \frac{2}{\rho_1^{n_5}} + \frac{2}{\rho_1^{n_5+1}} = \frac{1}{\rho_1^{n_5+1}}(2\rho_1 + 2).$$

By Lemma 3.2 (by letting $\ell = n_5 + 1$ there),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{2W_{u_1, u_{n_5+2}}^i(P_{n_1})}{\rho_1^i} \leq \frac{2}{\rho_1^{n_5+1}} \left(\frac{8\rho_1}{\rho_1 - 1} e^{\frac{2(n_5+1)}{\rho_1^2}} + \frac{32}{\rho_1 - 4} \right).$$

Since $\rho_1 \geq \max \left\{ \sqrt{220}, \sqrt{\sum_{1 \leq i \leq 5} n_i} \right\} \geq \max \left\{ \sqrt{220}, \sqrt{5n_5 + 3} \right\}$, we have $e^{\frac{2(n_5+1)}{\rho_1^2}} < e^{0.405} < 1.5$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{W^i(G^1)}{\rho_1^i} - \frac{W^i(G^2)}{\rho_1^i} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{2}{\rho_1^{n_5+1}} \left(\frac{8\rho_1}{\rho_1 - 1} e^{\frac{2(n_5+1)}{\rho_1^2}} + \frac{32}{\rho_1 - 4} - \rho_1 - 1 \right) \\ & < \frac{2}{\rho_1^{n_5+1}} \left(11 + \frac{12}{\rho_1 - 1} + \frac{32}{\rho_1 - 4} - \rho_1 \right) \quad (\text{using } e^{\frac{2(n_5+1)}{\rho_1^2}} < 1.5). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider $g(x) = 11 + \frac{12}{x-1} + \frac{32}{x-4} - x$ for $x \geq \sqrt{220}$. Clearly, $g(x)$ is decreasing for $x \geq \sqrt{220}$. By a calculation, $g(\sqrt{220}) = -0.01 \dots$. Thus $g(x) < 0$ for any $x \geq \sqrt{220}$. So, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{W^i(G^1)}{\rho_1^i} - \frac{W^i(G^2)}{\rho_1^i} \right) < 0$, or equivalently, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^1)}{\rho_1^i} < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{W^i(G^2)}{\rho_1^i}$. It follows that $f(G_1, \rho_1) > f(G_2, \rho_1)$. Note that $f(G_1, \rho_1) = 1$. So, $f(G_2, \rho_1) < 1$. Recall that $f(G_2, x)$ is strictly increasing in its domain, and $f(G_2, \rho_2) = 1$. We must have $\rho_1 < \rho_2$. This completes the proof. \square

4 Spectral radius of C_ℓ -free planar graphs

A graph is called planar, if it can be drawn in the plane without crossed edges. Recently, Tait and Tobin [6] proved that $K_2 \vee P_{n-2}$ is the only extremal graph with the maximum spectral radius among all planar graphs of large order. This (asymptotically) solves a conjecture proposed by Boots and Royle [1], and independently by Cao and Vince [3]. It is also interesting for the researchers to determine the extremal graphs with the maximum spectral radius among all C_ℓ -free planar graphs of order n , where $3 \leq \ell \leq n$. The case $\ell = 4$ was settled by Nikiforov [5], and Zhai and Wang [8]. Very recently, Fang, Lin and Shi [4] solved the case when $\ell = 3$ and $\ell \ll n$. Xu, Lin and Fang [7] solved the case when $\frac{\ell}{n}$ is close to 1. They [7] proposed it as a problem for the general case. Armed with Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, using the typical spectral method we can solve this problem. That is, we have the following Theorem 4.1.

For a finite family of graphs \mathcal{G} , let $\text{SPEX}(\mathcal{G})$ be the set of extremal graphs with the maximum spectral radius in \mathcal{G} .

Theorem 4.1 For integers $n \geq 1.8 \times 10^{17}$ and $5 \leq \ell \leq n$, let $\mathcal{G}_{n,\ell}$ be the set of all C_ℓ -free planar graphs of order n . Then we have the following conclusions.

- (i) For $5 \leq \ell < \frac{2n+5}{3}$, $\text{SPEX}(\mathcal{G}_{n,\ell}) = \left\{ K_2 \vee (P_{\lceil \frac{\ell-3}{2} \rceil} \cup (a+1)P_{\lfloor \frac{\ell-3}{2} \rfloor} \cup P_b) \right\}$, where $n - \ell + 1 = a\lfloor \frac{\ell-3}{2} \rfloor + b$ with $0 \leq b < \lfloor \frac{\ell-3}{2} \rfloor$.
- (ii) For $\frac{2n+5}{3} \leq \ell \leq n$, $\text{SPEX}(\mathcal{G}_{n,\ell}) = \{K_2 \vee (P_{2\ell-n-4} \cup 2P_{n-\ell+1})\}$.

Declaration of competing interest

There is no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement

No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

- [1] B.N. Boots and G.F. Royle, A conjecture on the maximum value of the principal eigenvalue of a planar graph, *Geogr. Anal.* 23 (3) (1991) 276-282.
- [2] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson and S. Simić, *An Introduction to the Theory of Graph Spectra*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [3] D. Cao and A. Vince, The spectral radius of a planar graph, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 187 (1993) 251-257.
- [4] L. Fang, H. Lin and Y. Shi, Extremal spectral results of planar graphs without vertex-disjoint cycles. *J. Graph Theory* 106 (2024) 496-524.
- [5] V. Nikiforov, Bounds on graph eigenvalues II, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 427 (2007) 183-189.
- [6] M. Tait and J. Tobin, Three conjectures in extremal spectral graph theory, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B* 126 (2017) 137-161.
- [7] P. Xu, H. Lin and L. Fang, Long cycles and spectral radii in planar graphs, *Electron. J. Comb.* 32 (2025) #P2.26.
- [8] M. Zhai and B. Wang, Proof of a conjecture on the spectral radius of C_4 -free graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 437 (2012) 1641-1647.
- [9] W. Zhang, Walks, infinite series and spectral radius of graphs, arXiv:2406.07821v3.