

Limiting Behavior of Non-Autonomous Stochastic Reversible Selkov Lattice Systems Driven by Locally Lipschitz Lévy Noises

Guofu Li*, Jianxin Wu† Yunshun Wu‡

Abstract

This work investigates the long-term distributional behavior of the reversible Selkov lattice systems defined on the set \mathbb{Z} and driven by locally Lipschitz *Lévy noises*, which possess two pairs of oppositely signed nonlinear terms and whose nonlinear couplings can grow polynomially with any order $p \geq 1$. Firstly, based on the global-in-time well-posedness in $L^2(\Omega, \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, we define a *continuous* non-autonomous dynamical system (NDS) on the metric space $(\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)})$, where $d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}$ is the dual-Lipschitz distance on $\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, the space of probability measures on $\ell^2 \times \ell^2$. Specifically, we establish that this non-autonomous dynamical system admits a unique pullback measure attractor, characterized via measure-valued complete solutions and orbits in the sense of Wang (DOI.org/10.1016/j.jde.2012.05.015). Moreover, when the deterministic external forcing terms are periodic in time, we demonstrate that the pullback measure attractors are also periodic. We also study the upper semicontinuity of pullback measure attractors as $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0, 0)$. The main difficulty in proving the pullback asymptotic compactness of the NDS in $(\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)})$ is caused by the lack of compactness in infinite-dimensional lattice systems, which is overcome by using uniform tail-ends estimates. And the inherent structure of the Selkov system precludes the possibility of any unidirectional dissipative influence arising from the interaction between the two coupled equations, thereby obstructing the emergence of a dominant energy-dissipation mechanism along a single directional pathway. Compared to the classical case of cubic nonlinearity, our results are significantly more general in scope and applicability.

Key words: Selkov systems, upper semicontinuity, Lévy noises, Infinite-dimensional coupled lattice systems.

MSC 2020: 37L55, 35B41, 37L60, 37B40, 60H10.

1 Introduction

1.1 Infinite-dimensional reversible Selkov model driven by *Lévy noises*

The Selkov equation is a significant and widely-used mathematical model for describing autocatalytic biochemical processes, such as glycolysis, which can be categorized into irreversible and reversible systems.

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang 550025, China, Email: liguoful@163.com.

†School of Mathematical Sciences, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang 550025, China, Email: jianxinwu2001@163.com.

‡School of Mathematical Sciences, Guizhou Normal University, Guiyang 550025, China, Email: wuyunshun1979@163.com.

The irreversible Selkov equation was initially introduced by Selkov in 1968 to model two irreversible chemical reactions (see [43]). In contrast, the reversible Selkov model is primarily designed to represent the cubic autocatalytic, isothermal, and continuously supplied nature of two reversible biochemical reactions:

$$\hat{A} \rightleftharpoons \hat{S}, \quad \hat{S} + 2\hat{P} \rightleftharpoons 3\hat{P}, \quad \hat{P} \rightleftharpoons \hat{B}, \quad (1.1)$$

where \hat{A} and \hat{B} are controllable bath concentrations, and the substrate \hat{S} and the product \hat{P} form the freely responding internal part of the system. This model represents a strongly product-activated mechanism, which, within a suitable range of \hat{A} and \hat{B} concentrations, can give rise to oscillatory behavior. The reversible Selkov model is also known as the two-component Gray-Scott equations (see [3, 21, 22]), which is part of the Brussels school, led by Ilya Prigogine, the famous physical chemist and Nobel Prize laureate (1977).

The motivation of this paper is to investigate the long-time distribution behaviors by means of pullback measure attractors of reversible Selkov lattice systems defined on the integer set \mathbb{Z} and driven by locally Lipschitz Lévy noises:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{d}u_i(t) = (d_1(u_{i+1}(t) - 2u_i + u_{i-1}(t)) - a_1u_i(t) + b_1u_i^{2p}(t)v_i(t) - b_2u_i^{2p+1}(t) + f_{1i}(t))\mathrm{d}t \\ \quad + \epsilon_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (h_{k,i}(t) + \delta_{k,i}\tilde{\sigma}_k(t, u_i(t)))\mathrm{d}W_k(t) \\ \quad + \epsilon_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} (\kappa_{k,i}(t) + q_{k,i}(t, u_i(t-), y_k))\tilde{L}_k(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}y_k) \\ \mathrm{d}v_i(t) = (d_2(v_{i+1}(t) - 2v_i + v_{i-1}(t)) - a_2v_i(t) - b_1u_i^{2p}(t)v_i(t) + b_2u_i^{2p+1}(t) + f_{2i}(t))\mathrm{d}t \\ \quad + \gamma_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (h_{k,i}(t) + \delta_{k,i}\tilde{\sigma}_k(t, v_i(t)))\mathrm{d}W_k(t) \\ \quad + \gamma_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} (\kappa_{k,i}(t) + q_{k,i}(t, v_i(t-), y_k))\tilde{L}_k(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}y_k) \end{array} \right. \quad (1.2)$$

with initial conditions

$$u_i(\tau) = u_{0,i}, \quad v_i(\tau) = v_{0,i}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $u = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $v = (v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2$, $0 < \epsilon_i, \gamma_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, $p \geq 1$. $d_1, d_2, a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$ are positive constants, $f_1(t) = (f_{1i}(t))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $f_2(t) = (f_{2i}(t))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2$ are time dependent random sequences. $\delta(t) = (\delta_{k,i}(t))_{k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a positive continuous functions. $h(t) = (h_{k,i}(t))_{k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\kappa(t) = (\kappa_{k,i}(t))_{k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are ℓ^2 -valued progressively measurable processes. $\sigma_k = \delta_{k,i}\tilde{\sigma}_k(t, \cdot)$ and $q_k = q_{k,i}(t, \cdot, y_k)$ are a sequence locally Lipschitz continuous functions. $(W_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote a family of mutually independent, two-sided standard real-valued Wiener processes defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$. The compensated Poisson random measure \tilde{L}_k arising from a sequence of independent two-sides real-valued Lévy process $\{Z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Lévy noises: The sequence $\{W_k(t)\}$ represents independent two-sided real-valued Wiener processes defined on this probability space. We denote by $\{Z_k(t)\}$ a sequence of independent two-sided real-valued Lévy processes defined on the same probability space. A two-sided Poisson counting random measure is defined as

$$L_k(t, A) = \begin{cases} \#\{0 \leq s \leq t : \Delta Z_k(s) \in A\}, & \text{if } t \geq 0, \\ \#\{t \leq s \leq 0 : \Delta Z_k(s) \in A\}, & \text{if } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\#$ denotes the cardinality of a set, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$, and $\Delta Z_k(s) = Z_k(s) - Z_k(s-)$. Let $\nu_k(dy_k)$ be a σ -finite Lévy measure associated with a Poisson random measure $L_k(dt, dy_k)$, satisfying

$$\nu_k(dy_k) dt = \mathbb{E}[L_k(dt, dy_k)] \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (|y_k|^2 \wedge 1) \nu_k(dy_k) < \infty.$$

This implies that there exists a positive constant M_{jump} such that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}} (|y_k|^2 \wedge 1) \nu_k(dy_k) \leq M_{\text{jump}}.$$

The two-sided compensated Poisson random measure is denoted by

$$\tilde{L}_k(dt, dy_k) \triangleq L_k(dt, dy_k) - \nu_k(dy_k) dt.$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\{W_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{Z_k(t)\}$ are mutually independent. This implies that $\{W_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{L_k(dt, dy_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ are mutually independent, the pair $((W_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, (L_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}})$ is called a family of *two-sided Lévy noises*, see [1] and Figure 1.




Figure 1: Sample paths of Lévy processes (càdlàg process)

1.2 Literature survey and Motivations

For the past few years, the widespread application of **lattice dynamical systems (LDSs)**, such as in pattern formation, neural pulse propagation, and circuits, has drawn considerable attention from many researchers, for more detailed information, please refer to [10, 25, 11]. The solutions of deterministic

lattice systems have been thoroughly investigated in the literature, traveling wave solutions [14, 64], chaotic solutions [12, 13], and global attractors [8, 27]. For more details and results on the dynamics of the dynamics of stochastic lattice dynamical systems, see [6, 5, 16, 44] for deterministic lattice models, and [7, 50, 15, 23, 52] for stochastic case. When $\epsilon_2 = 0$, $\gamma_2 = 0$, the reversible Selkov lattice systems driven by white noises have been discussed by Wang and Zhang, see [56, 65]. Stochastic reversible Selkov lattice system driven by Lévy noises (1.2) reduces to the deterministic lattice system ($\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$). The deterministic reversible Selkov equations have been extensively studied with respect to the existence, regularity, and stability of global attractors (see [60, 61]). Numerous researchers have also explored the existence and robustness of random attractors in stochastic reversible Gray-Scott systems (see [62, 18, 19, 20]). For stochastic lattice reversible Selkov equations, the existence of random attractors was discussed by Li [30]. In the latest study, Wang et al in [57] studied upper semi-continuity of numerical attractors for reversible Selkov lattice systems, for the relevant theories on numerical attractors for lattice systems, please refer to Li, Han, Kloeden Yang, [35, 36, 24, 63].




Figure 2: The sample path of **Brownian motion**

The lattice models mentioned above are driven by continuous stochastic processes (Brownian motion). Nevertheless, many real-valued systems may be affected by sudden disturbances in the environment, leading to discontinuous sample paths. Therefore, Lévy noise has to be introduced into the systems to describe random phenomena with jumps. To the best of our knowledge, there are not many literature results on measure attractors of Selkov lattice systems, and the current results are all devoted to the case where the type of noises are driven by white noise rather than *Lévy noises*. Our main interest in this article is to discuss the existence, uniqueness, periodicity and upper semicontinuity of *pullback measure attractors* in $(\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)})$ for Selkov lattice systems (1.2) defined on Z and driven by *Lévy noise*. For the study on lattice models driven by Lévy noise, the readers can refer to literature by Tomás et al. [2, 9, 17, 59] and the references therein for more details.

To study path-wise random attractors, one must first transform the stochastic evolutionary PDEs into pathwise evolutionary systems. When the noise coefficients are either additive or linearly multiplicative,

such a transformation is possible through the well-known Ornstein-Uhlenbeck or Cole-Hopf transformations, see [4, 58, 54, 55, 51, 33]. However, these methods do not apply when the noise coefficients are nonlinear in the unknown function. In light of this, an alternative approach for analyzing the long-term dynamics of solutions to stochastic evolutionary PDEs with nonlinear noise coefficients is to adopt the concept of mean random attractors, as introduced by Kloeden, Lorenz, and Wang [26, 46, 47, 48]. Another promising approach to investigate the asymptotic behavior of solutions to nonlinear noise-driven PDEs involves the notion of measure attractors. Initially proposed by Schmalfuß[40, 41] to explore the long-term behavior of solutions to the *autonomous* Navier-Stokes equations with nonlinear noise, this concept has recently been extended by Li and Wang [28] (also see [29, 42]) to study the long-term behavior of solutions to *nonautonomous* reaction-diffusion equations under nonlinear noise. This framework provides a more general and adaptable tool for analyzing complex stochastic Selkov lattice systems where traditional transformation methods are not applicable. The main focus of this research consists of three core aims, the details are as follows:

- To establish with rigorous theory of pullback measure attractors that the lattice systems defined by (1.2) not only possess unique solutions in [31] but also exhibit the existence of pullback measure attractors;
- To provide a detailed demonstration for uniform moment estimates and \mathcal{D} -pullback asymptotic compact that are used to establish the existence and convergence of pullback measure attractors;
- To prove the upper semicontinuity of \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractors for the non-autonomous stochastic lattice systems as the noise intensity $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0, 0)$.

1.3 The methods and techniques of the study

- We remark that a key step for establishing the existence, uniqueness and periodicity of measure pullback attractors of S in $(\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)})$ is to prove the *pullback asymptotic compactness* of S (NDS) in $(\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)})$, that is, we shall establish the *pullback asymptotic tightness* of probability distributions of solution operators of (2.11) on $(\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)})$. In fact, the difficulty caused by the lack of compactness in infinite-dimensional lattice systems. Note that this type of obstacle is similar to that of showing the tightness of distribution of solutions to stochastic PDEs defined on unbounded domains, see [50, 47, 53]. For stochastic evolutionary PDEs defined on bounded domains (see [28, 29, 40, 41]), one can use a compactness argument based on compact Sobolev embeddings to prove such asymptotic tightness. Since most problem is defined on \mathbb{R}^d , this compactness argument fails to establish such asymptotic tightness. In fact, this idea was first developed by Wang [49] in proving the existence of global attractors of deterministic reaction-

diffusion equations on \mathbb{R}^d , and then widely used to solve other problems with lack of compactness in random/stochastic cases such as the existence of pathwise random attractors and invariant measures of stochastic evolutionary PDEs and lattice systems on unbounded domains, see [45, 47]. Utilizing the uniform asymptotic tails-ends-smallness of the solutions in $L^2(\Omega, \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, we are able to establish the pullback asymptotic compactness and the existence of a unique measure pullback attractor for the S in $(\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)})$, see Theorem 5.6.

- For the analysis of Selkov lattice systems, we mathematically allow that the coupled drift term grows with an arbitrary index $p \geq 1$. This is different from the cubic nonlinearity case [30]. In our case, we need to handle two pairs of oppositely signed drift terms $\pm F(u, v)$ and $\pm G(u)$ in the u -equation and the v -equation. This obstacle is overcome by carefully rearranging and analyzing these coupled nonlinear terms, see Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. Note a single reaction-diffusion equation, the dissipativity is established by either imposing or checking the asymptotic sign condition on the nonlinear function $f(u)$ representing the reaction rate, i.e.,

$$\limsup_{|s| \rightarrow \infty} f(s) s \leq 0.$$

But for most reaction-diffusion systems consisting of two or more equations arising from chemical and biochemical kinetics, the corresponding asymptotic sign condition in vector version is usually or inherently not satisfied.

- It is worth noting that this paper focuses on the pullback measure attractors of coupled lattice equations, which differs from the existing research on single-equation lattice systems in [32, 50, 39] and overcomes several essential difficulties. Compared to Brownian motion, a Lévy process is more general and may include jumps, with potentially discontinuous paths, whereas Brownian motion is merely the continuous special case of a Lévy process. In particular, the application of Lévy-type Itô' formulas requires additional technical care, especially in establishing uniform moment estimates and proving the upper semicontinuity of pullback measure attractors, where the presence of jumps introduces significant extra challenges.

1.4 Main results

Here are three results of this article, which establish the existence, uniqueness and periodicity of the pullback measure attractors for the system (1.2), as well as their upper semicontinuity.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose (2.8)-(2.10), and (4.1) hold. Then for every $0 < \epsilon_i, \gamma_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, the system S associated with (2.11)-(2.12) has a unique \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractor $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$,*

which is given by, for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\tau) &= \omega(K, \tau) = \{\psi(0, \tau) : \psi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete orbit of } S\} \\ &= \{\xi(\tau) : \xi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete solution of } S\},\end{aligned}\tag{1.4}$$

where $K = \{K(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the \mathcal{D} -pullback absorbing set of S as given by Lemma 5.4.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose (2.8)-(2.10), (4.1) and (5.3) hold. then for every $0 < \epsilon_i, \gamma_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, S associated with (2.11)-(2.12) has a unique χ -periodic \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractor \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose (2.8)-(2.9), (2.10), and (4.1) hold. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} d_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda(\tau), \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\tau)) = 0.\tag{1.5}$$

where $\lambda = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, $\lambda_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0)$, for more details, we refer the reader to the finally section.




Figure 3: $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$

1.5 Outline of paper

The organization of this work is as follows. In Section 2, we explore the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the non-autonomous stochastic lattice system described by equations (2.11) to (2.12). In Section 3, we reconsider the key results concerning the existence, uniqueness, and periodicity of pullback measure attractors for non-autonomous dynamical systems, particularly those defined on the probability measure space within Banach spaces. Section 4 is dedicated to deriving uniform moment estimates for solutions as time $t \rightarrow \infty$, which play a pivotal role in establishing the existence of absorbing sets as well as the pullback asymptotic compactness of the non-autonomous dynamical systems. These results are framed in the context of the Markov semigroup generated by the system (2.11) to (2.12). In the final three sections, we rigorously prove the existence, uniqueness, and periodicity of the pullback measure attractors for the system (2.11) to (2.12), and also investigate the behavior of these attractors in the limit as the parameters $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \rightarrow (0, 0, 0, 0)$. In Section 7, we give a numerical simulation for a one-dimensional stochastic ODE (7.1)

2 Existence and uniqueness of solutions

In this section, we establish the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the system (1.2)-(1.3). Some useful preliminaries and assumptions are provided, which will be frequently used in the subsequent discussions. Let $\ell^r := \{u = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} : \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |u_i|^r < +\infty\}$ for $r \geq 1$, with the norm of ℓ^r denoted by $\|\cdot\|_r$, while the norm and inner product of ℓ^2 are denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, respectively.

Define the operators $A, B : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ by

$$(Au)_i = -u_{i-1} + 2u_i - u_{i+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad (Bu)_i = u_{i+1} - u_i, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then, for all $u, v \in \ell^2$, the following relations hold:

$$\langle Au, v \rangle = \langle Bu, Bv \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle Au, u \rangle = \|Bu\|^2 \geq 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Furthermore, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define the operators σ_k, q_k, F , and G as follows:

$$\sigma_k(t, u) = (\delta_{k,i} \tilde{\sigma}_k(t, u_i))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad q_k(t, u, y_k) = (q_{k,i}(t, u_i, y_k))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \ell^2,$$

$$F(u, v) = (u_i^{2p} v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad G(u) = (u_i^{2p+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad \text{for } u, v \in \ell^2.$$

In this paper, we will make use of the following inequalities:

$$|\mathcal{X}^r - \mathcal{Y}^r| \leq C_r |\mathcal{X} - \mathcal{Y}| |\mathcal{X}^{r-1} + \mathcal{Y}^{r-1}|, \quad \forall \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{R}, p \geq 1, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & b_1 b_2 \mathcal{X}^{2p+1} \mathcal{Y} - b_2^2 \mathcal{X}^{2p+2} - b_1^2 \mathcal{X}^{2p} \mathcal{Y}^2 + b_2 b_1 \mathcal{X}^{2p+1} \mathcal{Y} \\ & = 2b_1 b_2 \mathcal{X}^{2p+1} \mathcal{Y} - \mathcal{X}^{2p} (b_2^2 \mathcal{X}^2 + b_1^2 \mathcal{Y}^2) \leq 0, \quad \forall \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathbb{R}, p \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

According to (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(u_1, v_1) - F(u_2, v_2)\|^2 & \leq c_1(n) (\|u_1 - u_2\|^2 + \|v_1 - v_2\|^2), \\ |\langle F(u_1, v_1) - F(u_2, v_2), u_1 - u_2 \rangle| & \leq c_1(n) (\|u_1 - u_2\|^2 + \|v_1 - v_2\|^2), \\ |\langle F(u_1, v_1) - F(u_2, v_2), v_1 - v_2 \rangle| & \leq c_1(n) (\|u_1 - u_2\|^2 + \|v_1 - v_2\|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|G(u) - G(v)\|^2 & \leq c_2(n) \|u - v\|^2, \\ |\langle G(u_1) - G(u_2), u_1 - u_2 \rangle| & \leq c_2(n) \|u_1 - u_2\|^2, \\ |\langle G(u_1) - G(u_2), v_1 - v_2 \rangle| & \leq c_2(n) (\|u_1 - u_2\|^2 + \|v_1 - v_2\|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where $u, v, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \ell^2$, and $\|u\| \leq n, \|u_1\| \leq n, \|u_2\| \leq n, \|v\| \leq n, \|v_1\| \leq n, \|v_2\| \leq n$, see [56] for the proof. For any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we assume that there exist $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|\sigma_{k,i}(t, s)| \vee \int_{|y_k|<1} |q_{k,i}(t, s)| \nu_k(dy_k) \leq \alpha \delta_{k,i}(t)(1 + |s|). \quad (2.7)$$

Indeed, for $C_k(n) > 0$, by (2.7) and σ_k, q_k are locally Lipschitz continuous we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\sigma_k(t, u_1) - \sigma_k(t, u_2)\|^2 \vee \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \|q_k(t, u_1, y_k) - q_k(t, u_2, y_k)\|^2 \nu_k(dy_k) \leq C_k(n) \|u_1 - u_2\|^2, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\sigma_k(t, u)\|^2 \vee \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \|q_k(t, u, y_k)\|^2 \nu_k(dy_k) \leq 2\alpha^2 \|\delta(t)\|^2 (1 + \|u\|^2), \quad (2.9)$$

where $\|\delta\|^2 := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |\delta_{k,i}|^2$. In addition, we assume that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\varpi t} \mathbb{E} \left(\|\delta(t)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\kappa_k(t)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k(t)\|^2 \right) dt \\ & + \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{\varpi t} (\|f_1(t)\|^2 + \|f_2(t)\|^2) dt < \infty, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where $\varpi > 0$, see (4.1).

To express the abstract system (1.2)-(1.3) more concisely in the space $\ell^2 \times \ell^2$ (for $t \geq \tau, t, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$), we use the following system of equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} du(t) &= (-d_1 A u(t) - a_1 u(t) + b_1 F(u(t), v(t)) - b_2 G(u(t)) + f_1(t)) dt \\ &+ \epsilon_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (h_k(t) + \sigma_k(t, u(t))) dW_k(t) \\ &+ \epsilon_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\kappa_k(t) + q_k(t, u(t-), y_k)) \tilde{L}_k(dt, dy_k), \\ dv(t) &= (-d_2 A v(t) - a_2 v(t) - b_1 F(u(t), v(t)) + b_2 G(u(t)) + f_2(t)) dt \\ &+ \gamma_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (h_k(t) + \sigma_k(t, v(t))) dW_k(t) \\ &+ \gamma_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\kappa_k(t) + q_k(t, v(t-), y_k)) \tilde{L}_k(dt, dy_k), \end{aligned} \right. \quad (2.11)$$

with the initial conditions

$$u(\tau) = u_{\tau}, \quad v(\tau) = v_{\tau}. \quad (2.12)$$

As mentioned in reference [31], an $\ell^2 \times \ell^2$ -valued càdlàg process $\varphi(t) = (u(t), v(t))$ is called a solution of system (2.11)-(2.12) if \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable initial conditions $\varphi(\tau) = (u_\tau, v_\tau) \in L^2(\Omega, \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ for any $t > \tau$ and for almost surely $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u(t) = u_\tau + \int_\tau^t \left(-d_1 A u(s) - a_1 u(s) + b_1 F(u(s), v(s)) - b_2 G(u(s)) + f_1(s) \right) ds \\ \quad + \epsilon_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_\tau^t (h_k(s) + \sigma_k(t, u(s))) dW_k(s) \\ \quad + \epsilon_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_\tau^t \int_{|y_k|<1} (\kappa_k(s) + q_k(t, u(s-), y_k)) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k), \\ v(t) = v_\tau + \int_\tau^t \left(-d_2 A v(s) - a_2 v(s) - b_1 F(u(s), v(s)) + b_2 G(u(s)) + f_2(s) \right) ds \\ \quad + \gamma_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_\tau^t (h_k(s) + \sigma_k(t, v(s))) dW_k(s) \\ \quad + \gamma_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_\tau^t \int_{|y_k|<1} (\kappa_k(s) + q_k(t, v(s-), y_k)) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k). \end{array} \right. \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore, under assumptions (2.8)-(2.10), the existence and uniqueness of solutions to system (2.11)-(2.12) can be obtained.

3 Pullback measure attractors

For clarity, we first review the fundamental concepts of pullback measure attractors and then outline their structural properties(see, e.g., [28]).

We denote by X a separable Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$. Let $C_b(X)$ be the space of bounded continuous functions $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |\phi(x)|.$$

Denote by $L_b(X)$ the space of bounded Lipschitz functions on X which consists of all functions $\phi \in C_b(X)$ such that

$$\text{Lip}(\phi) := \sup_{x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \neq x_2} \frac{|\phi(x_1) - \phi(x_2)|}{\|x_1 - x_2\|_X} < \infty.$$

The space $L_b(X)$ is endowed with the norm

$$\|\phi\|_L = \|\phi\|_\infty + \text{Lip}(\phi).$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the set of probability measures on $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$, where $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra of X . Given $\phi \in C_b(X)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$, we write

$$\langle \phi, \mu \rangle = \int_X \phi(x) \mu(dx).$$

Recall that a sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is weakly convergent to $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ if for every $\phi \in C_b(X)$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \phi, \mu_n \rangle = \langle \phi, \mu \rangle.$$

Define a metric on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ by

$$d_{\mathcal{P}(X)}(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \sup_{\phi \in L_b(X), \|\phi\|_L \leq 1} |(\phi, \mu_1) - (\phi, \mu_2)|, \quad \forall \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X).$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}(X), d_{\mathcal{P}(X)})$ is a polish space. Moreover, a sequence $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ converges to μ in $(\mathcal{P}(X), d_{\mathcal{P}(X)})$ if and only if $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to μ weakly.

Given $p > 0$, let $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ as defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_p(X) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}(X) : \int_X \|x\|_X^p \mu(dx) < \infty \right\}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{P}_p(X), d_{\mathcal{P}(X)})$ is also a metric space. Without confusion, we also denote $(\mathcal{P}_p(X), d_{\mathcal{P}(X)})$ by $(\mathcal{P}_p(X), d_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)})$. Given $r > 0$, denote by

$$B_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}(r) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(X) : \left(\int_X \|x\|_X^p \mu(dx) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq r \right\}.$$

Recall that the Hausdorff semi-metric between subsets of $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ is given by

$$d_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}(Y, Z) = \sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{z \in Z} d_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}(y, z), \quad Y, Z \subset \mathcal{P}_p(X), \quad Y, Z \neq \emptyset.$$

If $\epsilon > 0$ and $B \subset \mathcal{P}_p(X)$, then the open ϵ -neighborhood of B in $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(B) = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{P}_p(X) : d_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}(\mu, B) < \epsilon \}.$$

Definition 3.1. A family $\mathcal{S} = \{S(t, \tau) : t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of mappings from $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ to $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ is called a continuous non-autonomous dynamical system on $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$, if for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) $S(0, \tau) = I_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}$, where $I_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}$ is the identity operator on $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$;

(b) $S(t+s, \tau) = S(t, s+\tau) \circ S(s, \tau)$;

(c) $S(t, \tau) : \mathcal{P}_p(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_p(X)$ is continuous.

Definition 3.2. A set $D \subset \mathcal{P}_p(X)$ is called a bounded subset if there is $r > 0$ such that $D \subset B_{\mathcal{P}_p(X)}(r)$.

In the sequel, we denote by \mathcal{D} a collection of some families of nonempty subsets of $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ parametrized by $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$; that is,

$$\mathcal{D} = \{D = \{D(\tau) \subset \mathcal{P}_p(X) : D(\tau) \neq \emptyset, \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} : D \text{ satisfies some conditions}\}.$$

Definition 3.3. A collection \mathcal{D} of some families of nonempty subsets of $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ is said to be neighborhood-closed if for each $D = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a positive number ϵ depending on D such that the family

$$\{B(\tau) : B(\tau) \text{ is a nonempty subset of } \mathcal{N}_\epsilon(D(\tau)), \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

also belongs to \mathcal{D} .

Note that the neighborhood closedness of \mathcal{D} implies for each $D \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\tilde{D} = \{\tilde{D}(\tau) : \tilde{D}(\tau) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \tilde{D}(\tau) \subseteq D(\tau), \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (3.1)$$

A collection \mathcal{D} satisfying (3.1) is said to be inclusion-closed in the literature.

Definition 3.4. A family $K = \{K(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ is called a \mathcal{D} -pullback absorbing set for S if for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $D \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $T = T(\tau, D) > 0$ such that

$$S(t, \tau - t)D(\tau - t) \subset K(\tau), \quad \text{for all } t \geq T.$$

Definition 3.5. The non-autonomous dynamical system S is said to be \mathcal{D} -pullback asymptotically compact in $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ if for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\{S(t_n, \tau - t_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a convergent subsequence in $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ whenever $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mu_n \in D(\tau - t_n)$ with $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

Definition 3.6. A family $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ is called a \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractor for S if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is compact in $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) \mathcal{A} is invariant, that is, $S(t, \tau)\mathcal{A}(\tau) = \mathcal{A}(\tau + t)$, for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$;
- (iii) \mathcal{A} attracts every set in \mathcal{D} , that is, for each $D = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(S(t, \tau - t)D(\tau - t), \mathcal{A}(\tau)) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Definition 3.7. A mapping $\psi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_p(X)$ is called a complete orbit of S if for every $s \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, the following holds:

$$S(t, s + \tau)\psi(s, \tau) = \psi(t + s, \tau). \quad (3.3)$$

If, in addition, there exists $D = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\psi(t, \tau)$ belongs to $D(t + \tau)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, then ψ is called a \mathcal{D} -complete orbit of S .

Definition 3.8. A mapping $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_p(X)$ is called a complete solution of S if for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, the following holds:

$$S(t, \tau)\xi(\tau) = \xi(t + \tau).$$

If, in addition, there exists $D = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\xi(\tau)$ belongs to $D(\tau)$ for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, then ξ is called a \mathcal{D} -complete solution of S .

Definition 3.9. For each $D = \{D(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, the pullback ω -limit set of D at τ is defined by

$$\omega(D, \tau) = \bigcap_{s \geq 0} \overline{\bigcup_{t \geq s} S(t, \tau - t)D(\tau - t)},$$

that is,

$$\omega(D, \tau) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} S(t, \tau - t)D(\tau - t).$$

$$\omega(D, \tau) = \left\{ \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(X) : \text{there exist } t_n \rightarrow \infty, \mu_n \in D(\tau - t_n) \text{ such that } \nu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(t_n, \tau - t_n)\mu_n \right\}.$$

Based on above notation, from Proposition 3.6 in [45], we have the following criterion for the existence and uniqueness of \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractors.

Proposition 3.10. Let \mathcal{D} be a collection of families of subsets of $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ and S be a continuous non-autonomous dynamical system on $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$. Then S has a unique \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractor \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$ if S has a closed \mathcal{D} -pullback absorbing set $K \in \mathcal{D}$ and S is \mathcal{D} -pullback asymptotically compact in $\mathcal{P}_p(X)$. The \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractor \mathcal{A} is given by, for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\tau) &= \omega(K, \tau) = \{\psi(0, \tau) : \psi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete orbit of } S\} \\ &= \{\xi(\tau) : \xi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete solution of } S\}. \end{aligned}$$

To emphasize the role of the initial time and initial values, we denote by $\varphi(t, \tau, \xi)$ the solution of (2.11)-(2.12) with initial conditions $\varphi(\tau) = \xi = (u_\tau, v_\tau) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}(\Omega, \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ and $\varphi \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}(\Omega, \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$. Give a subset E of $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, define

$$\|E\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)} = \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sup_{\mu \in E} \left(\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \|z\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 \mu(dz) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq r \right\},$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = \infty$. If E is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, then $\|E\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)} < \infty$. Let \mathcal{D} be the collection of families of bounded nonempty subsets of $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ as given by

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ D = \{D(\tau) \subset \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2) : \emptyset \neq D(\tau) \text{ bounded in } \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \right\},$$

and

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow -\infty} e^{\varpi \tau} \|D(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\varpi > 0$ defined later.

4 Uniform moment estimates

In this section, we derive uniform moment estimates of the solution of problem (2.11)-(2.12) which are necessary for establishing the existence of pullback measure attractors. To this end, we use $\mathcal{L}(\varphi)$ to denote the distribution law of the random variable, and C to represent any positive constants that may change from line to line. We further assume that

$$\varpi = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left\{ (a_1 \wedge a_2) - \frac{1}{2} - 4\alpha^2 \|\delta(t)\|^2 (b_2 + b_1) \right\} > 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Discuss uniform estimates of solutions of problem (2.11)-(2.12) in $L^2(\Omega, \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose (2.8)-(2.10) and (4.1) hold. Then for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$ and random data $\xi = (u_\tau, v_\tau) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau-t}}(\Omega, \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, and $0 < \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 1$, the solution φ of (2.11)-(2.12) satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E} (\|\varphi(\tau, \tau-t, \xi)\|^2) + \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \mathbb{E} (\|\varphi(s, \tau-t, \xi)\|^2) ds \leq C \left(e^{-\varpi t} \mathbb{E} (\|\xi\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2) + R(\tau) \right), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(\tau) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\kappa_k(s)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k(s)\|^2 + \|f_2(s)\|^2 + \|f_1(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \|\delta(s)\|^2 ds \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

with $C > 0$ being a constant independent of $\tau, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \gamma_1$, and γ_2 .

Proof. By (2.11) and the Itô formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& d \left[b_2 \|u(s)\|^2 \right] + \left[2d_1 b_2 \|Bu(s)\|^2 + 2a_1 b_2 \|u(s)\|^2 + 2b_2^2 \langle G(u(s)), u(s) \rangle \right] ds \\
& = 2b_2 \langle f_1(s), u(s) \rangle ds + 2b_1 b_2 \langle F(u(s), v(s)), u(s) \rangle ds \\
& \quad + b_2 \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k(s) + \sigma_k(t, u(s))\|^2 ds + 2b_2 \epsilon_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle h_k(s) + \sigma_k(t, u(s)), u(s) \rangle dW_k(s) \\
& \quad + b_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \left(\|u(s-) + \epsilon_2(q_k(t, u(s-), y_k) + \kappa_k(s))\|^2 - \|u(s-)\|^2 \right) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \\
& \quad + b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \|q_k(t, u(s), y_k) + \kappa_k(s)\|^2 \nu_k(dy_k) ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& d \left[b_1 \|v(s)\|^2 \right] + \left[2d_2 b_1 \|Bv(s)\|^2 + 2a_2 b_1 \|v(s)\|^2 + 2b_1^2 \langle F(u(s), v(s)), v(s) \rangle \right] ds \\
& = 2b_1 \langle f_2(s), v_n(s) \rangle ds + 2b_2 b_1 \langle G^n(u_n(s)), v_n(s) \rangle ds \\
& \quad + b_1 \gamma_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k(s) + \sigma_k(t, v(s))\|^2 ds + 2b_1 \gamma_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle h_k(s) + \sigma_k(t, v(s)), v(s) \rangle dW_k(s) \\
& \quad + b_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \left(\|v(s-) + \gamma_2(q_k(t, v(s-), y_k) + \kappa_k(s))\|^2 - \|v(s-)\|^2 \right) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \\
& \quad + b_1 \gamma_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \|q_k(t, v(s), y_k) + \kappa_k(s)\|^2 \nu_k(dy_k) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Taking expectation of (4.4)+(4.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{ds} \mathbb{E} \left[\|b_2 u(s)\|^2 + \|b_1 v(s)\|^2 \right] & = \mathbb{E} \left[-2d_1 b_2 \|Bu(s)\|^2 - 2a_1 b_2 \|u(s)\|^2 - 2b_2^2 \langle G(u(s)), u(s) \rangle \right] \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} \left[-2d_2 b_1 \|Bv(s)\|^2 - 2a_2 b_1 \|v(s)\|^2 - 2b_1^2 \langle F(u(s), v(s)), v(s) \rangle \right] \\
& \quad + 2b_2 \mathbb{E} \left[\langle f_1(s), u(s) \rangle \right] + 2b_1 b_2 \mathbb{E} \left[\langle F(u(s), v(s)), u(s) \rangle \right] \\
& \quad + b_2 \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\|h_k(s) + \sigma_k(t, u(s))\|^2 \right] + b_1 \gamma_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\|h_k(s) + \sigma_k(t, v(s))\|^2 \right] \\
& \quad + 2b_1 \mathbb{E} \left[\langle f_2(s), v_n(s) \rangle \right] + 2b_2 b_1 \mathbb{E} \left[\langle G(u(s)), v(s) \rangle \right] \\
& \quad + b_1 \gamma_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|q_k(t, v(s), y_k) + \kappa_k(s)\|^2 \nu_k(dy_k) \right] \\
& \quad + b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \mathbb{E} \left[\|q_k(t, u(s), y_k) + \kappa_k(s)\|^2 \nu_k(dy_k) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

By employing inequalities (2.2)-(2.4), we deduce that

$$b_2 b_1 \langle F(u, v), u \rangle - b_2^2 \langle G(u), u \rangle - b_1^2 \langle F(u, v), v \rangle + b_2 b_1 \langle G(u), v \rangle \leq 0.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{ds} \mathbb{E} \left[b_1 \|v(s)\|^2 + b_2 \|u(s)\|^2 \right] \\
& \leq -2\varsigma \mathbb{E} \left(b_2 \|u(s)\|^2 + b_1 \|v(s)\|^2 \right) + \left(4b_2 \epsilon_1^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_1^2 + 4b_2 \epsilon_2^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_2^2 \right) \alpha^2 \|\delta(s)\|^2 \\
& \quad + (1 + 2b_2 \epsilon_1^2 + 2b_1 \gamma_1^2 + 2b_1 \gamma_2^2 + 2b_2 \epsilon_2^2) \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\kappa_k(s)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k(s)\|^2 + b_1 \|f_2(s)\|^2 + b_2 \|f_1(s)\|^2 \right] \\
& \quad + (1 + 4\alpha^2 \|\delta\|^2 (b_2 \epsilon_1^2 + b_2 \epsilon_2^2 + b_1 \gamma_1^2 + b_1 \gamma_2^2)) \mathbb{E} \left[b_2 \|u(s)\|^2 + b_1 \|v(s)\|^2 \right], \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varsigma = a_1 \wedge a_2$. Utilizing the aforementioned inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{ds} \mathbb{E} \left[b_1 \|v(s)\|^2 + b_2 \|u(s)\|^2 \right] \\
& \leq -2\varpi \mathbb{E} \left(b_2 \|u(s)\|^2 + b_1 \|v(s)\|^2 \right) + \left(4b_2 \epsilon_1^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_1^2 + 4b_2 \epsilon_2^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_2^2 \right) \alpha^2 \|\delta(s)\|^2 \\
& \quad + (1 + 2b_2 \epsilon_1^2 + 2b_1 \gamma_1^2 + 2b_1 \gamma_2^2 + 2b_2 \epsilon_2^2) \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\kappa_k(s)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k(s)\|^2 + b_1 \|f_2(s)\|^2 + b_2 \|f_1(s)\|^2 \right]. \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (4.24) by $e^{\varpi t}$ and then integrating the resulting inequality on $(\tau - t, \tau)$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} (b_2 \|u(\tau, \tau - t, u_\tau)\|^2 + b_1 \|v(\tau, \tau - t, v_\tau)\|^2) \\
& \quad + \varpi \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \mathbb{E} (b_2 \|u(s, \tau - t, u_\tau)\|^2 + b_1 \|v(s, \tau - t, v_\tau)\|^2) ds \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} (\|\xi\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2) e^{-\varpi t} + C \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\kappa_k(s)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k(s)\|^2 + b_1 \|f_2(s)\|^2 + b_2 \|f_1(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\
& \quad + \left(4b_2 \epsilon_1^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_1^2 + 4b_2 \epsilon_2^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_2^2 \right) \alpha^2 \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \|\delta(s)\|^2 ds \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} (\|\xi\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2) e^{-\varpi t} + C \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\kappa_k(s)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k(s)\|^2 + b_1 \|f_2(s)\|^2 + b_2 \|f_1(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\
& \quad + \left(4b_2 \epsilon_1^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_1^2 + 4b_2 \epsilon_2^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_2^2 \right) \alpha^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \|\delta(s)\|^2 ds, \tag{4.9}
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Next, we derive uniform estimates on the tails of the solutions of (2.11)-(2.12) which are crucial for establishing the \mathcal{D} -pullback asymptotic compactness in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ of the family of probability distributions of the solutions.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose (2.8)-(2.10) and (4.1) hold. Then for every $\eta > 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $N = N(\tau, \eta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $0 < \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 1$, $t \geq 0$ and $n \geq N$, the solution φ of (2.11)-(2.12) satisfies*

$$\sum_{|i| \geq n} \mathbb{E} (|u_i(\tau, \tau - t, u_\tau)|^2 + |v_i(\tau, \tau - t, v_\tau)|^2) \leq C e^{-\varpi t} \mathbb{E} (\|\xi\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2) + \eta, \tag{4.10}$$

when $\xi = (u_\tau, v_\tau) \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_{\tau-t}}(\Omega, \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$.

Proof. Let $\theta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $0 \leq \theta(s) \leq 1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\theta(s) = 0, \quad \text{for } |s| \leq 1, \quad \text{and } \theta(s) = 1, \quad \text{for } |s| \geq 2.$$

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\theta_n = (\theta(\frac{i}{n}))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\theta_n u = (\theta(\frac{i}{n})u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\theta_n v = (\theta(\frac{i}{n})v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $u = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $v = (v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. By (2.11), we obtain

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} d\theta_n u(t) = (-d_1 \theta_n A u(t) - a_1 \theta_n u(t) + b_1 \theta_n F(u(t), v(t)) - b_2 \theta_n G(u(t)) + \theta_n f_1(t)) dt \\ \quad + \epsilon_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n h_k(t) + \theta_n \sigma_k(t, u(t))) dW_k(t) \\ \quad + \epsilon_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n \kappa_k(t) + \theta_n q_k(t, u(t-), y_k)) \tilde{L}_k(dt, dy_k), \\ d\theta_n v(t) = (-d_2 \theta_n A v(t) - a_2 \theta_n v(t) - b_1 \theta_n F(u(t), v(t)) + b_2 \theta_n G(u(t)) + \theta_n f_2(t)) dt \\ \quad + \gamma_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n h_k(t) + \theta_n \sigma_k(t, v(t))) dW_k(t) \\ \quad + \gamma_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\theta_n \kappa_k(t) + \theta_n q_k(t, v(t-), y_k)) \tilde{L}_k(dt, dy_k), \end{array} \right. \quad (4.11)$$

From equation (4.11) and Itô's formula, we respectively obtain the following results

$$\begin{aligned} & d \left[b_2 \|\theta_n u(s)\|^2 \right] + \left[2d_1 b_2 \langle Bu(t), B(\theta_n^2 u(t)) \rangle + 2a_1 b_2 \|\theta_n u(s)\|^2 + 2b_2^2 \langle \theta_n G(u(s)), \theta_n u(s) \rangle \right] ds \\ &= 2b_2 \langle \theta_n f_1(s), \theta_n u(s) \rangle ds + 2b_1 b_2 \langle \theta_n F(u(s), v(s)), \theta_n u(s) \rangle ds \\ & \quad + b_2 \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\theta_n h_k(s) + \theta_n \sigma_k(t, u(s))\|^2 ds + 2b_2 \epsilon_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \theta_n h_k(s) + \theta_n \sigma_k(t, u(s)), \theta_n u(s) \rangle dW_k(s) \\ & \quad + b_2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \left(\|\theta_n u(s-) + \epsilon_2 (\theta_n q_k(t, u(s-), y_k) + \theta_n \kappa_k(s))\|^2 - \|\theta_n u(s-)\|^2 \right) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \\ & \quad + b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \|\theta_n q_k(t, u(s), y_k) + \theta_n \kappa_k(s)\|^2 \nu_k(dy_k) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & d \left[b_1 \|\theta_n v(s)\|^2 \right] + \left[2d_2 b_1 \langle Bv(s), B(\theta_n^2 v(s)) \rangle + 2a_2 b_1 \|\theta_n v(s)\|^2 + 2b_1^2 \langle \theta_n F(u(s), v(s)), \theta_n v(s) \rangle \right] ds \\ &= 2b_1 \langle \theta_n f_2(s), \theta_n v_n(s) \rangle ds + 2b_2 b_1 \langle \theta_n G(u_n(s)), \theta_n v_n(s) \rangle ds \\ & \quad + b_1 \gamma_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\theta_n h_k(s) + \theta_n \sigma_k(t, v(s))\|^2 ds + 2b_1 \gamma_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \theta_n h_k(s) + \theta_n \sigma_k(t, v(s)), \theta_n v(s) \rangle dW_k(s) \\ & \quad + b_1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \left(\|\theta_n v(s-) + \gamma_2 (\theta_n q_k(t, v(s-), y_k) + \theta_n \kappa_k(s))\|^2 - \|\theta_n v(s-)\|^2 \right) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ b_1 \gamma_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k|<1} \|\theta_n q_k(t, v(s), y_k) + \theta_n \kappa_k(s)\|^2 \nu_k(dy_k) ds. \quad (4.13)$$

For the second term on the left-hand side of (4.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 2d_1 b_2 \mathbb{E} (\langle Bv(t), B(\theta_n^2 v(t)) \rangle) \\ &= 2d_1 b_2 \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (v_{i+1} - v_i) (\theta_n^2 (i+1/n) v_{i+1} - \theta_n^2 (i/n) v_i) \right) \\ &= 2d_1 b_2 \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_n^2 (i+1/n) (v_{i+1} - v_i)^2 \right) + 2d_1 b_2 \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\theta_n^2 (i+1/n) - \theta^2 (i/n)) (v_{i+1} - v_i) v_i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

For the last term of (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 2d_2 b_1 \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\theta^2 (i+1/n) - \theta^2 (i/n)) (v_{i+1} - u_i) v_i \right) \right| \\ & \leq 2d_2 b_1 \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |\theta(i+1/n) + \theta(i/n)| |\theta(i+1/n) - \theta(i/n)| |v_{i+1} - v_i| |v_i| \right) \\ & \leq 4d_2 b_1 \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |\theta(i+1/n) - \theta(i/n)| |v_{i+1} - v_i| |v_i| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{4d_2 b_1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |\theta'(\eta_i)| (|v_{i+1}| + |v_i|) |v_i| \right) \\ & \leq \frac{C_1}{n} \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} b_2 (|v_{i+1}|^2 - |v_i|^2) \right) \leq \frac{2C}{n} \mathbb{E}(\|v(t)\|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Then from (4.14) to (4.15), we have

$$2d_2 b_1 \mathbb{E} (\langle Bv(t), B(\theta_n^2 v(t)) \rangle) \geq -\frac{2C}{n} \mathbb{E}(\|v(t)\|^2). \quad (4.16)$$

Analogously, we can deduce that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$2d_2 b_1 \mathbb{E} (\langle Bu(t), B(\theta_n^2 u(t)) \rangle) \geq -\frac{2C}{n} \mathbb{E}(\|u(t)\|^2). \quad (4.17)$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of (4.12)-(4.13), by the Young inequality, we have

$$2b_2 \mathbb{E} (\langle \theta_n f_1, \theta_n u(t) \rangle) \leq \mathbb{E} (b_2 \|\theta_n u(t)\|^2) + \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{|i| \geq n} b_2 f_{1i}^2(t) \right), \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$2b_1 \mathbb{E} (\langle \theta_n f_2, \theta_n v(t) \rangle) \leq \mathbb{E} (b_1 \|\theta_n v(t)\|^2) + \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{|i| \geq n} b_1 f_{2i}^2(t) \right). \quad (4.19)$$

Applying (2.9) to diffusion terms, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_2 \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} (\|\theta_n h_k + \theta_n \sigma_k(t, u(t))\|^2) \\
& \leq 2b_2 \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} (\|\theta_n h_k\|^2) + 2b_2 \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta(i/n) \delta_{k,i} \tilde{\sigma}_k(t, u_i(t))^2 \right) \\
& \leq 2b_2 \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} (\|\theta_n h_k\|^2) + 4\epsilon_1^2 b_2 \alpha^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta^2(i/n) \delta_{k,i}^2 \right) + 4\epsilon_1^2 \alpha^2 \|\delta\|^2 \mathbb{E} (b_2 \|\theta_n u(t)\|^2) \\
& \leq 2b_2 \epsilon_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|i| \geq n} h_{k,i}^2 + 4b_2 \epsilon_1^2 \alpha^2 \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k,i}^2 + 4b_2 \epsilon_1^2 \alpha^2 \mathbb{E} (b_2 \|\theta_n u(t)\|^2), \tag{4.20}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_1 \gamma_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} (\|\theta_n h_k + \theta_n \sigma_k(t, v(t))\|^2) \\
& \leq 2b_1 \gamma_1^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{|i| \geq n} h_{k,i}^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_1^2 \alpha^2 \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k,i}^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_1^2 \alpha^2 \mathbb{E} (b_2 \|\theta_n v(t)\|^2). \tag{4.21}
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k| < 1} \mathbb{E} [\|\theta_n q_k(t, u(t), y_k)\|^2 + \theta_n \kappa_k(t)\|^2] \nu_k(dy_k) \\
& \leq 2b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k| < 1} \mathbb{E} [\|\theta_n q_k(t, u(t), y_k)\|^2] \nu_k(dy_k) + 2b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\theta_n \kappa_k(t)\|^2 \\
& = 2b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|\nu_k| < 1} \mathbb{E} \left[\theta^2 \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) |q_{k,i}(t, u_i(t), y_k)|^2 \right] \nu_k(dy_k) + 2b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta^2 \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) |\kappa_{k,i}(t)|^2 \\
& \leq 4b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \alpha^2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta^2 \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) \delta_{k,i} + 4b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \alpha^2 \|\delta\|^2 \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\theta^2 \left(\frac{i}{n} \right) |u_i(t)|^2 \right] + 2b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\kappa_{k,i}(t)|^2 \\
& \leq 4b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \alpha^2 \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k,i} + 2b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\kappa_{k,i}(t)|^2 + 4b_2 \epsilon_2^2 \alpha^2 \|\delta\|^2 \mathbb{E} [\|\theta_n u(t)\|^2], \tag{4.22}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& b_1 \gamma_2^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{|y_k| < 1} \mathbb{E} [\|\theta_n q_k(t, v(t), y_k)\|^2 + \theta_n \kappa_k(t)\|^2] \nu_k(dy_k) \\
& \leq 4b_1 \gamma_2^2 \alpha^2 \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k,i} + 2b_1 \gamma_2^2 \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\kappa_{k,i}(t)|^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_2^2 \alpha^2 \|\delta\|^2 \mathbb{E} [\|\theta_n v(t)\|^2]. \tag{4.23}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the preceding relations and inequalities (4.12)-(4.23), we may conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
& D^+ \mathbb{E} \left[b_1 \|\theta_n v(s)\|^2 + b_2 \|\theta_n u(s)\|^2 \right] \\
& \leq -2\varpi \mathbb{E} \left(b_2 \|\theta_n u(s)\|^2 + b_1 \|\theta_n v(s)\|^2 \right) + \left(4b_2 \epsilon_1^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_1^2 + 4b_2 \epsilon_2^2 + 4b_1 \gamma_2^2 \right) \alpha^2 \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_{k,i}^2(s) \\
& \quad + M_1 \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\kappa_{k,i}(s)|^2 + \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |h_{k,i}(s)|^2 + b_1 \sum_{|i| \geq n} |f_{2,i}(s)|^2 + b_2 \sum_{|i| \geq n} |f_{1,i}(s)|^2 \right] \\
& \quad + \frac{4C}{n} \mathbb{E} (b_2 \|u(t)\|^2 + b_1 \|v(t)\|^2), \tag{4.24}
\end{aligned}$$

where $M_1 = (1 + 2b_2 \epsilon_1^2 + 2b_1 \gamma_1^2 + 2b_1 \gamma_2^2 + 2b_2 \epsilon_2^2)$, and D^+ is the upper right Dini derivative. Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, integrating the above over $(\tau - t, \tau)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} (b_2 \|\theta_n u(\tau, \tau - t, u_{\tau})\|^2 + b_1 \|\theta_n v(\tau, \tau - t, v_{\tau})\|^2) \\
& \leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \left(\sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\kappa_{k,i}(s)|^2 + \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |h_{k,i}(s)|^2 + \sum_{|i| \geq n} (b_1 |f_{2,i}(s)|^2 + b_2 |f_{1,i}(s)|^2) \right) ds \\
& \quad + \mathbb{E} (\|\theta_n \xi\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2) e^{-\varpi t} + C \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\delta_{k,i}(s)|^2 ds \\
& \quad + \frac{4C}{n} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \mathbb{E} (b_2 \|u(s, \tau - t, u_{\tau})\|^2 + b_1 \|v(s, \tau - t, v_{\tau})\|^2) ds \tag{4.25}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\frac{4C}{n} \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \mathbb{E} (b_2 \|u(s, \tau - t, u_{\tau})\|^2 + b_1 \|v(s, \tau - t, v_{\tau})\|^2) ds \leq C e^{-\varpi t} \mathbb{E} (\|\xi\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2) + \frac{C}{n} R(\tau), \tag{4.26}$$

where $R(\tau)$ is given by (4.3). Then for every $\eta > 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $N_1 = N_1(\tau, \eta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N_1$,

$$\frac{C}{n} R(\tau) < \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

By (2.10), we know for every $\eta > 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $N_2 = N_2(\tau, \eta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n > N_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& C \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \left(\sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\kappa_{k,i}(s)|^2 + \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |h_{k,i}(s)|^2 \right) ds + C \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \sum_{|i| \geq n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\delta_{k,i}(s)|^2 ds \\
& \quad + C \int_{\tau-t}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \left(\sum_{|i| \geq n} |f_{2,i}(s)|^2 + \sum_{|i| \geq n} |f_{1,i}(s)|^2 \right) ds \\
& \leq \frac{\eta}{2}. \tag{4.27}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.25)-(4.27), we get for every $\eta > 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ such that for all $0 < \epsilon_i, \gamma_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, and $n \geq N$,

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\theta_n \varphi(t)\|^2) \leq C e^{-\varpi t} \mathbb{E}(\|\xi\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2) + \eta. \quad (4.28)$$

This completes the proof. \square

5 Existence of pullback measure attractors

This section is devoted to the existence, uniqueness and periodicity of \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractors of (2.11)-(2.12) in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$. As usual, if $\Im : \ell^2 \times \ell^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded Borel function, then for $r \leq t$ and $\xi \in \ell^2 \times \ell^2$, we set

$$p(t, r)\Im(\xi) = \mathbb{E}(\Im(\varphi(t, r, \xi))), \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$p(r, \xi; t, \Gamma) = (p(t, r)1_\Gamma)(\xi), \quad (5.2)$$

where $\Gamma \in \mathcal{B}(l^2)$ and 1_Γ is the characteristic function of Γ .

The following properties of $p(t, r)$, $r \leq t$, are standard and the proof is omitted.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose (2.8)-(2.10) and (4.1) hold. Then:*

- (i) *The family $\{p(t, r)\}_{r \leq t}$ is Feller; that is, for any $r \leq t$, the function $p(t, r)\phi \in C_b(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ if $\phi \in C_b(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$.*
- (ii) *For every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \ell^2 \times \ell^2$, the process $\{\varphi(t, r, \xi)\}_{t \geq r}$ is an $\ell^2 \times \ell^2$ -valued Markov process.*

We will also investigate the periodicity of pullback measure attractors of system (2.11)-(2.12) for which we assume that all given time-dependent functions are α -periodic in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, for some $\chi > 0$, that is, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_k(t + \chi) &= \kappa_k, & q_k(t + \chi, \cdot, y_k) &= q_k(t, \cdot, y_k), \\ f_1(t + \chi) &= f_1(t), & f_2(t + \chi) &= f_2(t), \\ h_k(t + \chi) &= h_k(t), & \delta_k(t + \chi, \cdot) &= \delta_k(t, \cdot). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

By the similar argument as that of Lemma 4.1 in [34], we get the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose (2.8)-(2.10), (4.1) and (5.3) hold. Then we have the family $\{p(t, r)\}_{r \leq t}$ is χ -periodic; that is, for all $t \geq r$,*

$$p(r, \xi; t, \cdot) = p(r + \chi, \xi; t + \chi, \cdot), \quad \forall \xi \in \ell^2 \times \ell^2.$$

Given $t \geq r$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, define

$$p^*(t, r)\mu(\cdot) = \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} p(r, \xi; t, \cdot)\mu(d\xi). \quad (5.4)$$

Then $p^*(t, r) : \mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ is the dual operator of $p(t, r)$. For all $t \geq r$, $p^*(t, r)$ maps $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ to $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$.

We now define a non-autonomous dynamical system $S(t, \tau) : t \geq \tau$, for the family of operators $p^*(t, \tau)$. Given $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, let $S(t, \tau) : \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ be the map given by

$$S(t, \tau)\mu = p^*(\tau + t, \tau)\mu, \quad \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2). \quad (5.5)$$

Note that the mapping $S(t, \tau) : \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ can be equivalently characterized by the following duality relation:

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \phi(x) [S(t, \tau)\mu](dx) = \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{E}[\phi(\varphi(\tau + t, \tau, x))] \mu(dx), \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), \psi \in C_b(\ell^2 \times \ell^2). \quad (5.6)$$

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose (2.8)-(2.10), (4.1) and (5.3) hold. Then $S(t, \tau)$, $t \geq \tau$, is a continuous non-autonomous dynamical system in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ generated by (2.11)-(2.12). That is, $S(t, r) : \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) $S(0, \tau) = I_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}$, for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (ii) $S(s + t, \tau) = S(t, \tau + s) \circ S(s, \tau)$, for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$,
- (iii) $S(t, \tau) : \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ is continuous, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.
- (iv) $S(t, \tau)\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ for all $t \geq 0, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Note that (i) follows from the definition of S , and (ii) follows the Markov property of the solutions of (2.11)-(2.12).

We now prove (iii). Suppose $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$. We will show $S(t, \tau)\mu_n \rightarrow S(t, \tau)\mu$ in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Let $\phi \in C_b(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$. By Lemma 5.1, we have $p(\tau + t, \tau)\phi \in C_b(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\phi, S(t, \tau)\mu_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\phi, p^*(\tau + t, \tau)\mu_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p(\tau + t, \tau)\phi, \mu_n) = (p(\tau + t, \tau)\phi, \mu) \\ &= (\phi, p^*(\tau + t, \tau)\mu) = (\phi, S(t, \tau)\mu), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. We finally prove (iv).

Apply Levi's theorem for the functions $\phi_n(x) = \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 \wedge n$, we can deduce from (5.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 [S(t, \tau)\mu](dx) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \phi_n(x) [S(t, \tau)\mu](dx) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{E}[\phi_n(\varphi(t + \tau, \tau, x))] \mu(dx) = \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{E}[\|\varphi(\tau + t, \tau, x)\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2] \mu(dx). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Then by Lemma 4.1 we get for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t, \tau)\mu\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 &= \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 [S(t, \tau)\mu](dx) \\ &= \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{E}[\|\varphi(\tau + t, \tau, x)\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2] \mu(dx) \\ &\leq C e^{-\varpi t} \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 \mu(dx) \\ &\leq C \|\mu\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 + C R(\tau + t) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Thus, $S(t, \tau)\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$. □

By Lemma 4.1, we obtain a \mathcal{D} -pullback absorbing set for S as stated below.

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose that (2.8)–(2.10), (4.1), and (5.3) hold. Given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, define*

$$K(\tau) = B_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}\left(L_1^{1/2}(\tau)\right), \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(\tau) &= C \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|\kappa_k(s)\|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h(s)\|^2 \right) ds + C \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} \|\delta(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + C \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} e^{-\varpi(\tau-s)} (\|f_2(s)\|^2 + \|f_1(s)\|^2) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Then the family $K = \{K(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ belongs to \mathcal{D} and constitutes a closed \mathcal{D} -pullback absorbing set for the cocycle S .

Proof. The argument follows the strategy of [37, 38].

Step 1: Closedness of $K(\tau)$. Let $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K(\tau)$ and assume $\mu_n \rightharpoonup \mu_0$ in $\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$. By the definition of $K(\tau)$, we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 \mu_n(dx) \leq L_1(\tau). \quad (5.11)$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, define the bounded continuous truncation

$$\phi_m(x) := \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 \wedge m \in C_b(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), \quad 0 \leq \phi_m \uparrow \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2.$$

Since $\phi_m \in C_b(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ and $\mu_n \rightharpoonup \mu_0$, it follows that, for each fixed m ,

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \phi_m(x) \mu_0(dx) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \phi_m(x) \mu_n(dx) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 \mu_n(dx) \leq L_1(\tau).$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and using the Beppo–Levi monotone convergence theorem yields

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 \mu_0(dx) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \phi_m(x) \mu_0(dx) \leq L_1(\tau).$$

Hence $\mu_0 \in K(\tau)$, and therefore each $K(\tau)$ is closed.

Step 2: Pullback absorption property. Fix $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$. For any $t \geq 0$ and $\mu \in D(\tau - t)$, by (5.6) and Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(t, \tau - t)\mu\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 &= \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 [S(t, \tau - t)\mu](dx) \\ &= \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{E}[\|\varphi(\tau, \tau - t, x)\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2] \mu(dx) \\ &\leq C e^{-\varpi t} \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 \mu(dx) + CR(\tau) \\ &\leq C e^{-\varpi t} \|D(\tau - t)\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 + CR(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

By the temperedness condition (3.4), there exists $T = T(\tau, D) > 0$, independent of ϵ_i, γ_i ($i = 1, 2$), such that for all $t \geq T$ and $0 < \epsilon_i, \gamma_i \leq 1$,

$$C e^{-\varpi t} \|D(\tau - t)\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 \leq R(\tau).$$

Combining this with (5.12) gives

$$\|S(t, \tau - t)\mu\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 \leq L_1(\tau), \quad \forall t \geq T,$$

which implies $S(t, \tau - t)D(\tau - t) \subseteq K(\tau)$. Hence, K is a pullback absorbing set of S in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$.

Step 3: Membership of K in \mathcal{D} . By (5.9), (5.10), and (2.10), we have

$$e^{\varpi \tau} \|K(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 = e^{\varpi \tau} L_1(\tau) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow -\infty.$$

Therefore, $K = \{K(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$. This completes the proof. \square

We now present the \mathcal{D} -pullback asymptotically compact of S associated with (2.11)–(2.12).

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that (2.8)–(2.10) and (4.1) hold. Then S is \mathcal{D} -pullback asymptotically compact in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$; that is, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, whenever $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\mu_n \in D(\tau - t_n)$ with $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the sequence $\{S(t_n, \tau - t_n)\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a convergent subsequence in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$.*

Proof. By the pullback absorption property established in Lemma 5.4 and the duality relation (5.6), there exist $N_1 = N_1(\tau, D) \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $M = M(\tau) > 0$, independent of ϵ and D , such that for all $n > N_1$,

$$\|S(t_n, \tau - t_n) \mu_n\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 = \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{E}[\|\varphi(\tau, \tau - t_n, x)\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2] \mu_n(dx) \leq M. \quad (5.13)$$

By Chebyshev's (Markov's) inequality, (5.13) implies that for any $R_1 > 0$ and all $n > N_1$,

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{P}(\|\varphi(\tau, \tau - t_n, x)\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 > R_1) \mu_n(dx) \leq \frac{M}{R_1} \xrightarrow{R_1 \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Hence, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\eta > 0$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, one can choose $R_2 = R_2(\tau, \eta, m) > 0$ such that, for all $n > N_1$,

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{P}(\|\varphi(\tau, \tau - t_n, x)\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 > R_2) \mu_n(dx) < \frac{\eta}{2^{m+1}}. \quad (5.14)$$

Since $\mu_n \in D(\tau - t_n)$ and $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the temperedness condition (3.4) ensures that there exists $H_m = H_m(\tau, D, \eta, m) > N_1$ such that for all $n \geq H_m$,

$$Ce^{-\varpi t_n} \|\mu_n\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 \leq Ce^{-\varpi t_n} \|D(\tau - t_n)\|_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}^2 < \frac{\eta}{2^{2m+2}}.$$

Letting $\xi(\omega) \equiv x \in \ell^2 \times \ell^2$ in (4.10), there exists an integer $n_m = n_m(\tau, D, \eta, m)$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{|i| > n_m} |\varphi_i(\tau, \tau - t_n, x)|^2 \right] \mu_n(dx) < \frac{\eta}{2^{2m+2}} + Ce^{-\varpi t_n} \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \|x\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 \mu_n(dx).$$

Combining these two estimates yields, for all $n \geq H_m$,

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{|i| > n_m} |\varphi_i(\tau, \tau - t_n, x)|^2 \right] \mu_n(dx) < \frac{\eta}{2^{2m+1}}.$$

Applying Chebyshev's inequality again gives

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{P} \left(\sum_{|i| > n_m} |\varphi_i(\tau, \tau - t_n, x)|^2 > \frac{1}{2^m} \right) \mu_n(dx) \leq 2^m \int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{|i| > n_m} |\varphi_i|^2 \right] \mu_n(dx) < \frac{\eta}{2^{m+1}}. \quad (5.15)$$

Define

$$Y_{1,m} = \left\{ \varphi \in \ell^2 \times \ell^2 : \|\varphi\|_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2}^2 \leq R_2 \right\}, \quad (5.16)$$

$$Y_{2,m} = \left\{ \varphi \in \ell^2 \times \ell^2 : \sum_{|i| > n_m} (|u_i|^2 + |v_i|^2) \leq 2^{-m} \right\}, \quad (5.17)$$

and let $Y_m := Y_{1,m} \cap Y_{2,m}$. From (5.16), the set $\{(\varphi_i)_{|i| \leq n_m} : \varphi \in Y_m\}$ is bounded in the finite-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{2n_m+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n_m+1}$, and hence precompact. Therefore, it can be covered by finitely many open balls

of radius $2^{-m/2}$. Together with the small tail estimate from $Y_{2,m}$, this implies that Y_m can be covered by finitely many open balls of radius $2^{-(m-1)/2}$ in $\ell^2 \times \ell^2$.

For each fixed $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can choose a compact set $K_m = K_m(\tau)$ such that for all $n \geq H_m$,

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{P}(\varphi(\tau, \tau - t_n, x) \in K_m) \mu_n(dx) > 1 - \frac{\eta}{2^m}.$$

Combining this with (5.14)–(5.15), define $\mathcal{Y}_m := Y_m \cup K_m$. Then \mathcal{Y}_m admits a finite open cover of balls of radius $2^{-(m-1)/2}$ in $\ell^2 \times \ell^2$, and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{P}(\varphi(\tau, \tau - t_n, x) \in \mathcal{Y}_m) \mu_n(dx) > 1 - \frac{\eta}{2^m}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Y} := \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Y}_m$. Then \mathcal{Y} is closed and totally bounded, hence compact in $\ell^2 \times \ell^2$. Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\ell^2 \times \ell^2} \mathbb{P}(\varphi(\tau, \tau - t_n, x) \in \mathcal{Y}) \mu_n(dx) \geq 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{2^m} = 1 - \eta.$$

Since $\eta > 0$ is arbitrary, the family $\{S(t_n, \tau - t_n) \mu_n\}$ is tight in $\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, in combination with Prohorov's theorem, we completes the proof. \square

Next, we establish the existence, uniqueness, and periodicity of \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractors for (2.11)–(2.12) on $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$.

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose (2.8)–(2.10), and (4.1) hold. Then for every $0 < \epsilon_i, \gamma_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, the system S associated with (2.11)–(2.12) has a unique \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractor $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$, which is given by, for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\tau) &= \omega(K, \tau) = \{\psi(0, \tau) : \psi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete orbit of } S\} \\ &= \{\xi(\tau) : \xi \text{ is a } \mathcal{D}\text{-complete solution of } S\}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

where $K = \{K(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is the \mathcal{D} -pullback absorbing set of S as given by Lemma 5.4.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that S is a continuous non-autonomous dynamical system on $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$. Notice that S has a closed \mathcal{D} -pullback absorbing set K in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ by Lemma 5.4 and is \mathcal{D} -pullback asymptotically compact in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ by Lemma 5.5. Hence the existence and uniqueness of the \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractor for S follows from Proposition 3.10 immediately. \square

We now consider the periodicity of the measure attractor \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 5.7. *Suppose (2.8)–(2.10), (4.1) and (5.3) hold. then for every $0 < \epsilon_i, \gamma_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2$, S associated with (2.11)–(2.12) has a unique χ -periodic \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractor \mathcal{A} in $\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$.*

Proof. By (5.9) and (5.10), we find that K is ω -periodic. In addition, it follows from Lemma 5.2 and (5.4), the non-autonomous dynamical system S associated with system (2.11)–(2.12) is also χ -periodic. χ -periodic. Thus, from proposition 3.10, we can infer the periodicity of the measure attractor \mathcal{A} . \square

6 Upper semicontinuity of pullback measure attractors

In this section, we prove the upper semicontinuity of \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractors for the non-autonomous stochastic lattice systems as the noise intensity $(\epsilon_i, \gamma_i, i = 1, 2) \rightarrow 0$, **denote by** $\lambda_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0)$, $\lambda = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$.

We analyze the non-autonomous stochastic lattice systems given by equations (2.11)-(2.12). It is worth noting that all results derived in the preceding sections hold true for the case where $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$. To emphasize the dependence of the solutions on the parameters ϵ_i and γ_i for $i = 1, 2$, we introduce the notation $\varphi^\lambda(t, \tau, \xi)$ as the solution to the system (2.11)-(2.12), where τ represents the initial time and $\xi \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_\tau}(\Omega, \ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ is the initial state.

With the parameters $\epsilon_i, \gamma_i \in [0, 1]$, let $p^\lambda(t, \tau)$ denote the transition operator corresponding to the solution $\varphi^\lambda(t, \tau, \xi)$, while $(p^\lambda)^*(t, \tau)$ represents the dual operator associated with $p^\lambda(t, \tau)$. For each $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the non-autonomous dynamical system $S^\lambda(t, \tau) : \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)$ be the map given by

$$S^\lambda(t, \tau)\mu = (p^\lambda)^*(\tau + t, \tau)\mu, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2). \quad (6.1)$$

Let \mathcal{A}^λ be the \mathcal{D} -pullback measure attractor of S^λ , we establish the convergence of solutions of problem (2.11)-(2.12) when $\epsilon_i, \gamma_i, i = 1, 2 \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose (2.8)-(2.9) hold. Then given $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)} d_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)} \left(\tilde{S}^\lambda(t, \tau)\mu, S^{\lambda_0}(t, \tau)\tilde{\mu} \right) = 0. \quad (6.2)$$

where $\tilde{S}(\tau + T, \tau)\mu$ denotes the null expansion of $S_N(\tau + T, \tau)\mu$ and the metric $d_{\mathcal{M}(X)}$ is defined by

$$d_{\mathcal{P}(X)}(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \sup_{\phi \in L_b(X), \|\phi\|_L \leq 1} |(\phi, \mu_1) - (\phi, \mu_2)|, \quad \forall \mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}(X).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi^\lambda(t) = \varphi^{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(t, \tau, \varphi_\tau)$ and $\varphi^{\lambda_0}(t) = \varphi^{(\epsilon_{1,0}, \epsilon_{2,0}, \gamma_{1,0}, \gamma_{2,0})}(t, \tau, \varphi_\tau)$ satisfy that for all $t \in [\tau, \tau + T], \lambda \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. By (2.11)-(2.12) and the Itô formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u^\lambda(t) - u^{\lambda_0}(t)\|^2 \\ &= \|\xi^\lambda - \xi^{\lambda_0}\|^2 - 2d_1 \int_\tau^t \|B(u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s))\| ds - 2a_1 \int_\tau^t \|u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s)\|^2 ds \\ &+ b_1 \int_\tau^t \langle F(u^\lambda, v^\lambda) - F(u^{\lambda_0}, v^{\lambda_0}), u^\lambda - u^{\lambda_0} \rangle ds - b_2 \int_\tau^t \langle G(u^\lambda) - G(u^{\lambda_0}), u^\lambda - u^{\lambda_0} \rangle ds \\ &+ 2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{1,0}) \int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \langle \aleph_k(s, u^\lambda(s)), u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s) \rangle dW_k(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2\epsilon_{1,0} \int_{\tau}^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\langle \sigma_k(s, u^\lambda) - \sigma_k(s, u^{\lambda_0}), u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s) \right\rangle dW_k(s) \\
& + \int_{\tau}^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{1,0}) \mathfrak{N}_k(s, u^\lambda(s)) + \epsilon_{1,0}(\sigma(s, u^\lambda) - \sigma(s, u^{\lambda_0}))\|^2 ds \\
& + \int_{\tau}^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \left(\|u^\lambda(s-) - u^{\lambda_0}(s-) + (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0}) \hat{\mathfrak{N}}_k(s, u) + \epsilon_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \|u^\lambda(s-) - u^{\lambda_0}(s-)\|^2 \right) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \\
& + \int_{\tau}^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \|(\lambda - \lambda_0) \hat{\mathfrak{N}} + \lambda_0 \Xi\|^2 \nu_k dy_k ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|v^\lambda(t) - v^{\lambda_0}(t)\|^2 \\
& = \|\xi^\lambda - \xi^{\lambda_0}\|^2 - 2d_2 \int_{\tau}^t \|B(v^\lambda(s) - v^{\lambda_0}(s))\| ds - 2a_2 \int_{\tau}^t \|v^\lambda(s) - v^{\lambda_0}(s)\|^2 ds \\
& \quad - b_1 \int_{\tau}^t \langle F(u^\lambda, v^\lambda) - F(u^{\lambda_0}, v^{\lambda_0}), v^\lambda - v^{\lambda_0} \rangle ds + b_2 \int_{\tau}^t \langle G(u^\lambda) - G(u^{\lambda_0}), v^\lambda - v^{\lambda_0} \rangle \\
& \quad + 2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_{1,0}) \int_{\tau}^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\langle \mathfrak{N}_k(s, v^\lambda(s)), v^\lambda(s) - v^{\lambda_0}(s) \right\rangle dW_k(s) \\
& \quad + 2\gamma_{1,0} \int_{\tau}^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\langle \sigma_k(s, v^\lambda) - \sigma_k(s, v^{\lambda_0}), v^\lambda(s) - v^{\lambda_0}(s) \right\rangle dW_k(s) \\
& \quad + \int_{\tau}^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\gamma_1 - \gamma_{1,0}) \mathfrak{N}_k(s, v^\lambda(s)) + \gamma_{1,0}(\sigma(s, v^\lambda) - \sigma(s, v^{\lambda_0}))\|^2 ds \\
& \quad + \int_{\tau}^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \left(\|v^\lambda(s-) - v^{\lambda_0}(s-) + (\gamma_2 - \gamma_{2,0}) \hat{\mathfrak{N}}_k(s, v) + \gamma_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \|v^\lambda(s-) - v^{\lambda_0}(s-)\|^2 \right) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \\
& \quad + \int_{\tau}^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \|(\gamma_2 - \gamma_{2,0}) \hat{\mathfrak{N}}_k(s, v^\lambda(s)) + \gamma_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \nu_k dy_k ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{N}_k(s, \cdot) & \triangleq h_k(s) + \sigma_k(s, \cdot), \\
\hat{\mathfrak{N}}_k(s, \cdot) & \triangleq \kappa_k(s) + q(s, \cdot, y_k), \\
\Xi & \triangleq q(s, \cdot, y_k) - q(s, \cdot, y_k).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

It follows from (2.2)-(2.6) and (6.3)-(6.4) that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \|\varphi^\lambda(r) - \varphi^{\lambda_0}(r)\|^2 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\|\xi^\lambda - \xi^{\lambda_0}\|^2 \right) + C \int_\tau^t \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, s]} \|\varphi^\lambda(r) - \varphi^{\lambda_0}(r)\|^2 \right) ds \\
&\quad + 2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{1,0}) \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \left| \int_\tau^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\langle \mathfrak{N}_k(s, u^\lambda(s)), u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s) \right\rangle dW_k(s) \right| \right) \\
&\quad + 2\epsilon_{1,0} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \left| \int_\tau^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\langle \sigma_k(s, u^\lambda) - \sigma_k(s, u^{\lambda_0}), u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s) \right\rangle dW_k(s) \right| \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{1,0}) \mathfrak{N}_k(s, u^\lambda(s)) + \epsilon_{1,0}(\sigma(s, u^\lambda) - \sigma(s, u^{\lambda_0}))\|^2 ds \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \left| \int_\tau^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \left(\|u^\lambda(s-) - u^{\lambda_0}(s-) + (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0}) \hat{\mathfrak{N}}_k(s, u) + \epsilon_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. - \|u^\lambda(s-) - u^{\lambda_0}(s-)\|^2 \right) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \right| \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \|(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0}) \hat{\mathfrak{N}} + \epsilon_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \nu_k dy_k ds \right) \\
&\quad + 2(\gamma_1 - \gamma_{1,0}) \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \left| \int_\tau^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\langle \mathfrak{N}_k(s, v^\lambda(s)), v^\lambda(s) - v^{\lambda_0}(s) \right\rangle dW_k(s) \right| \right) \\
&\quad + 2\gamma_{1,0} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \left| \int_\tau^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\langle \sigma_k(s, v^\lambda) - \sigma_k(s, v^{\lambda_0}), v^\lambda(s) - v^{\lambda_0}(s) \right\rangle dW_k(s) \right| \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|(\gamma_1 - \gamma_{1,0}) \mathfrak{N}_k(s, v^\lambda(s)) + \gamma_{1,0}(\sigma(s, v^\lambda) - \sigma(s, v^{\lambda_0}))\|^2 ds \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \left| \int_\tau^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \left(\|v^\lambda(s-) - v^{\lambda_0}(s-) + (\gamma_2 - \gamma_{2,0}) \hat{\mathfrak{N}}_k(s, v) + \gamma_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. - \|v^\lambda(s-) - v^{\lambda_0}(s-)\|^2 \right) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \right| \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \|(\gamma_2 - \gamma_{2,0}) \hat{\mathfrak{N}}_k(s, v^\lambda(s)) + \gamma_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \nu_k dy_k ds \right). \tag{6.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate each term on the right-hand side of (6.6). For the third term on the right-hand side of (6.6), by (2.8)-(2.9) and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{1,0}) \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \left| \int_\tau^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left\langle \mathfrak{N}_k(s, u^\lambda(s)), u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s) \right\rangle dW_k(s) \right| \right) \\
&\leq 2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{1,0}) C \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathfrak{N}_k(s, u^\lambda(s))\|^2 \|u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
&\leq 2(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{1,0}) C \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \|u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s)\| \left(\int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\mathfrak{N}_k(s, u^\lambda(s))\|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \|u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s)\|^2 \right) + 4(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{1,0})^2 \int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(s, u^\lambda(s))\|^2 ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \|u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s)\|^2 \right) + 8(\epsilon - \epsilon_{1,0})^2 \int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\|h_k(s)\|^2 + \|\sigma_k(t, u^\lambda(s))\|^2) ds \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \|u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s)\|^2 \right) + 8(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_{1,0})^2 \int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\|h_k(s)\|^2) ds \\
&\quad + 16(\epsilon - \epsilon_{1,0})^2 \alpha^2 \|\delta\|^2 \int_\tau^t (1 + \|u^\lambda\|^2) ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \left| \int_\tau^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \left(\|u^\lambda(s-) - u^{\lambda_0}(s-) + (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0}) \hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(s, u) \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. + \epsilon_{2,0} \Xi \|^2 - \|u^\lambda(s-) - u^{\lambda_0}(s-)\|^2 \right) \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \right| \right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \left| \int_\tau^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} 2 \left\langle (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0}) \hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(s, u) + \epsilon_{2,0} \Xi, u^\lambda(s-) - u^{\lambda_0}(s-) \right\rangle \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \right| \right) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \left| \int_\tau^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \|(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0}) \hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(s, u) + \epsilon_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \tilde{L}_k(ds, dy_k) \right| \right) \\
&\leq C \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \|(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0}) \hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(s, u) + \epsilon_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \|u^\lambda(s) - u^{\lambda_0}(s)\|^2 \nu_k dy_k ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
&\quad + C^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \|(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0}) \hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(s, u) + \epsilon_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \nu_k dy_k ds \right) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \|u^\lambda - u^{\lambda_0}\|^2 \right) + 2C^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_\tau^t \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \|(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0}) \hat{\mathbf{N}}_k(s, u) + \epsilon_{2,0} \Xi\|^2 \nu_k dy_k ds \right) \right) \\
&\leq 4C^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_\tau^t \int_{0 < y_k < 1} \left((\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0})^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\kappa_k(s) + q(t, u^\lambda(s-), y_k)\|^2 \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. + \epsilon_{2,0}^2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|q(s, u^\lambda(s), y_k) - q(s, u^{\lambda_0}(s), y_k)\|^2 \right) \nu_k dy_k ds \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \|u^\lambda - u^{\lambda_0}\|^2 \right) \\
&\leq 8C^2 (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0})^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\int_\tau^t \|\kappa_k(s)\|^2 ds \right) + 16C^2 (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_{2,0})^2 \alpha^2 \|\delta\|^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_\tau^t (1 + \|u^\lambda\|^2) ds \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + C \epsilon_{2,0}^2 \mathbb{E} \left(\left(\int_\tau^t \|u^\lambda - u^{\lambda_0}\|^2 \right) \nu_k dy_k ds \right) \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{r \in [\tau, t]} \|u^\lambda - u^{\lambda_0}\|^2 \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

For the fourth term on the right-hand side of the equation, by (2.8) and the BDG inequality we obtian

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\epsilon_{1,0}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[\tau,t]}\left|\int_\tau^r\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\left\langle\sigma_k(s,u^\lambda)-\sigma_k(s,u^{\lambda_0}),u^\lambda(s)-u^{\lambda_0}(s)\right\rangle dW_k(s)\right|\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[\tau,t]}\|u^\lambda(s)-u^{\lambda_0}(s)\|^2\right)+C\epsilon_{1,0}^2\int_\tau^t\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[\tau,s]}\|u^\lambda(r)-u^{\lambda_0}(r)\|^2\right)ds. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

For the fifth term on the right side of (6.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left(\int_\tau^t\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\|(\epsilon_1-\epsilon_{1,0})\mathfrak{N}_k(s,u^\lambda(s))+\epsilon_{1,0}(\sigma(s,u^\lambda)-\sigma(s,u^{\lambda_0}))\|^2ds\right) \\ & \leq C(\epsilon_1-\epsilon_{1,0})^2\int_\tau^t\mathbb{E}\left(2\alpha^2\|\delta\|^2(1+\|u^\lambda(s)\|^2)\right)ds \\ & \quad +C\epsilon_{1,0}^2\int_\tau^t\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[\tau,s]}\|u^\lambda(s)-u^{\lambda_0}(s)\|^2\right)ds \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

To address the other terms (v -equation) of (6.6), the same approach can be applied. For all $t\in[\tau,\tau+T]$ and $\mathbb{E}(\|\xi^\lambda\|_{L^2(\Omega,\ell^2\times\ell^2)}^2)\leq K(\tau)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[\tau,t]}\|\varphi^\lambda-\varphi^{\lambda_0}\|^2\right)\leq C(\lambda-\lambda_0)^2+C\int_\tau^t\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[\tau,t]}\|\varphi^\lambda-\varphi^{\lambda_0}\|^2\right)ds. \quad (6.11)$$

where C is any positive constants which may change from line to line. Applying Gronwall's inequality to (6.11) we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[\tau,t]}\|\varphi^\lambda-\varphi^{\lambda_0}\|^2\right)\leq C(\lambda-\lambda_0)^2e^{C(t-\tau)}. \quad (6.12)$$

Then we obtain for $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$, $K(\tau)$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\sup_{\mathbb{E}(\|\xi\|^2)\leq K^2(\tau)}\mathbb{E}\left(\|\varphi^\lambda(\tau+t,\tau,\xi)-\varphi^{\lambda_0}(\tau+t,\tau,\xi)\|^2\right)\leq \vartheta(\lambda), \quad (6.13)$$

where $\vartheta(\lambda)\rightarrow\lambda_0$ as $\lambda\rightarrow\lambda_0$. Following the approach proposed in [37, 38], given $\varepsilon>0$, by the tightness of $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$, there is a compact set C_ε in X such that

$$\sup_{\mu\in\mathcal{A}(\tau)}\mu(X\setminus C_\varepsilon)=\sup_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\sup_{\mu\in\mathcal{A}(\tau)}\tilde{\mu}(X\setminus C_\varepsilon)\leq \varepsilon. \quad (6.14)$$

Noting that $|\phi(x)-\phi(\hat{x})|\leq 2$ for all $x,\hat{x}\in X$ and $\phi\in L_b(X)$ with $\|\phi\|_{L_b}\leq 1$, we see from (6.14) that for all $N\in\mathbb{N}$,

$$J^1:=\sup_{\mu\in\mathcal{A}(\tau)}\sup_{\|\phi\|_{L_b}\leq 1}\int_{X\setminus C_\varepsilon}\mathbb{E}\left[\phi(\varphi^\lambda(\tau+T,\tau,y))-\phi(\varphi^{\lambda_0}(\tau+T,\tau,y))\right]\mu_N(dz)$$

$$\leq 2P(\Omega) \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)} \mu(X \setminus C_\varepsilon) \leq 2\varepsilon. \quad (6.15)$$

Note that $|\phi(x) - \phi(\hat{x})| \leq \|x - \hat{x}\|_X$ for $\|\phi\|_{L_b} \leq 1$ and C_ε is compact, by (6.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 &:= \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)} \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L_b} \leq 1} \int_{X \cap C_\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} [\phi(\varphi^\lambda(\tau + T, \tau, y)) - \phi(\varphi^{\lambda_0}(\tau + T, \tau, y))] \mu(dy) \\ &\leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)} \int_{X \cap C_\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \|\varphi^\lambda(\tau + T, \tau, y) - \varphi^{\lambda_0}(\tau + T, \tau, y)\|_X \mu(dy) \\ &\leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)} \int_{X \cap C_\varepsilon} (\mathbb{E} \|\varphi^\lambda(\tau + T, \tau, y) - \varphi^{\lambda_0}(\tau + T, \tau, y)\|_X^2)^{1/2} \mu(dy) \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

Using $\text{supp } \tilde{v} \subset X$ for $v \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, when $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$, we have from

$$\int_X \phi(x) [S(t, \tau) \mu](dx) = \int_X \mathbb{E} \phi(\varphi(t, \tau, x)) \mu(dx), \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X), \phi \in C_b(X).$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)} d_{\mathcal{M}(X)} \left(\tilde{S}^\lambda(\tau + T, \tau) \mu, S^{\lambda_0}(\tau + T, \tau) \tilde{\mu} \right) \\ &= \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)} \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L_b} \leq 1} \left| \int_X \phi(x) [\tilde{S}(\tau + T, \tau) \mu] (dx) - \int_X \phi(x) [S(\tau + T, \tau) \tilde{\mu}] (dx) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)} \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L_b} \leq 1} \left| \int_X \phi(y) [S(\tau + T, \tau) \mu] (dy) - \int_X \mathbb{E} \phi(\varphi^{\lambda_0}(\tau + T, \tau, x)) \tilde{\mu}(dx) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)} \sup_{\|\phi\|_{L_b} \leq 1} \left| \int_X \mathbb{E} \phi(\varphi^\lambda(\tau + T, \tau, y)) \mu(dy) - \int_X \mathbb{E} \phi(\varphi^{\lambda_0}(\tau + T, \tau, y)) \mu(dy) \right| \\ &\leq J^1 + J^2 < 3\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

The whole proof is complete. \square

By Lemma 5.4, one can verify that

$$K = \left\{ K(\tau) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1] \times [0,1]} \mathcal{A}_\lambda(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (6.17)$$

Then the main result of this section are given below.

Theorem 6.2. Suppose (2.8)-(2.9), (2.10), and (4.1) hold. Then for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} d_{\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda(\tau), \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_0}(\tau)) = 0. \quad (6.18)$$

Proof. Set $K^\lambda = \{K^\lambda(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$, defined by (6.17), serves as a closed \mathcal{D} -pullback absorbing set for S^λ uniformly for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]^4$. Specifically, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathcal{D}(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists a time $T_1 = T_1(\tau, \mathcal{D}) > 0$ satisfying

$$\bigcup_{t \geq T_1} \bigcup_{\lambda \in [0, 1]^4} S^\lambda(t, \tau - t) \mathcal{D}(\tau - t) \subseteq K(\tau). \quad (6.19)$$

This, combined with the invariance of \mathcal{A}^λ , directly yields that $\mathcal{A}^\lambda(\tau) \subseteq K^\lambda(\tau)$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]^4$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Next, let $\eta > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $\mathcal{K}^\lambda = \{\mathcal{K}^\lambda(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{A}^\lambda = \{\mathcal{A}^\lambda(\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\} \in \mathcal{D}$ is **D-PMA**s for S in $(\mathcal{P}_2(\ell^2 \times \ell^2), d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)})$, it follows that there exists a time $T_2 = T_2(\tau, K, \mathcal{A}) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{K}(\tau - T_2)} d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}(S(T_2, \tau - T_2)\mu, \mathcal{A}(\tau)) = d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}(S(T_2, \tau - T_2)K^\lambda(\tau - T_2), \mathcal{A}(\tau)) < \frac{\eta}{2}. \quad (6.20)$$

By (6.2),

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{K}(\tau - T_2)} d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}(S^\lambda(T_2, \tau - T_2)\mu, S(T_2, \tau - T_2)\mu) < \frac{\eta}{2}. \quad (6.21)$$

Hence, using (6.20), (6.21), and the fact that $\mathcal{A}^\lambda(\tau - T_2) \subseteq K^\lambda(\tau - T_2)$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]^4$, we obtain that for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}^\lambda(\tau - T_2)} d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}(S^\lambda(T_2, \tau - T_2)\mu, \mathcal{A}(\tau)) \\ & \leq \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{K}(\tau - T_2)} d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}(S^\lambda(T_2, \tau - T_2)\mu, S(T_2, \tau - T_2)\mu) \\ & \quad + \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{K}(\tau - T_2)} d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}(S(T_2, \tau - T_2)\mu, \mathcal{A}(\tau)) < \eta. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the invariance of \mathcal{A}^λ , it follows that for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$,

$$d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}(\mathcal{A}^\lambda(\tau), \mathcal{A}(\tau)) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{A}^\lambda(\tau)} d_{\mathcal{P}(\ell^2 \times \ell^2)}(\mu, \mathcal{A}(\tau)) < \eta.$$

This completes the proof. \square

7 Example for numerical simulations

In this section, we give a numerical simulation for a one-dimensional stochastic ODE:

$$\begin{aligned} du(t) &= [-2.5u(t) + u^2(t)v(t) - u^3(t) + e^{-t}] dt + \epsilon_1 [h(t) + \sigma(t, u(t))] dW(t) \\ &+ \epsilon_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\kappa(t) + q(t, u(t), y)] \tilde{L}(dy, dt), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
dv(t) = & \left[-v(t) - u^2(t)v(t) + u^3(t) + e^{-t} \right] dt + \gamma_1 [h(t) + \sigma(t, v(t))] dW(t) \\
& + \gamma_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\kappa(t) + q(t, v(t), y)] \tilde{L}(dy, dt),
\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

with $u(0) = 2.0, v(0) = 0.0$, where $p = 1, \lambda \in [0, 1]^4$,

$$h(t) = \cos(2t), \quad \sigma(t, x) = e^{-t^2} x^2, \quad \kappa(t) = \sin(2t), \quad q(t, x, y) = \frac{e^{-t^2} x^2}{1 + y^2}.$$

The numerical scheme is based on the Euler–Maruyama method adapted for SDEs with Lévy noise. The simulation was performed on the interval $[0, T]$ with $T = 10.0$, using a fixed time step $\Delta t = 0.001$, yielding $N = 10,000$ time steps. The Lévy noise was modeled as a *compound Poisson process* with jump intensity $\lambda_{\text{Poisson}} = 2.0$ and Gaussian jump amplitude $y_{n,j} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\text{jump}}^2)$ with $\sigma_{\text{jump}} = 1.0$. The Brownian increment was simulated as $\Delta W_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Delta t)$.

The discretized scheme takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{n+1} = & u_n + \left[-2.5u_n + u_n^2 v_n - u_n^3 + e^{-t_n} \right] \Delta t + \varepsilon_1 \left[\cos(2t_n) + e^{-t_n^2} u_n^2 \right] \Delta W_n \\
& + \varepsilon_2 \sum_{j=1}^{P_n} \left[\sin(2t_n) + \frac{e^{-t_n^2} u_n^2}{1 + y_{n,j}^2} \right] y_{n,j}, \\
v_{n+1} = & v_n + \left[-v_n - u_n^2 v_n + u_n^3 + e^{-t_n} \right] \Delta t + \varepsilon_1 \left[\cos(2t_n) + e^{-t_n^2} v_n^2 \right] \Delta W_n \\
& + \varepsilon_2 \sum_{j=1}^{P_n} \left[\sin(2t_n) + \frac{e^{-t_n^2} v_n^2}{1 + y_{n,j}^2} \right] y_{n,j},
\end{aligned}$$

where $P_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_{\text{Poisson}} \Delta t)$ is the number of jumps, and $y_{n,j}$ are the jump magnitudes.




Figure 4: The sample path of Lévy processes ($\lambda \neq \lambda_0$)




Figure 5: The sample path of Lévy processes ($\lambda = \lambda_0$)

By combining the Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5, we present simulations for both the deterministic ODE system ($\lambda = \lambda_0$) and the stochastic ODE system ($\lambda \neq \lambda_0$) in the figure. As shown, the ODE trajectories fluctuate around the deterministic ones due to noise, remaining close overall, and the distance between the two trajectories decreases as ϵ tends to zero. This numerically illustrates that, in some sense, $\mathcal{A}^\lambda(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\lambda_0}(\tau)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0$.

Acknowledgments and Funding

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12161019, 12361046), Guizhou Provincial Basic Research Program (Natural Science) (QKHJC-ZK [2022] YB 318), Natural Science Research Project of Guizhou Provincial Department of Education (QJJ [2023] 011).

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

Author Contributions

Guofu Li: Writing-review & editing, Writing-original draft; **Jianxin Wu:** Writing-review & editing; **Yunshun Wu:** Writing-review & editing.

Declarations

Conflict of interest No conflict of interest exists in the submission of this manuscript.

References

[1] D. Applebaum, Lévy processes and stochastic calculus, Cambridge university press, 2009.

[2] D. Applebaum, and M. Siakalli. Asymptotic stability of stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy noise. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 46 (2009) 1116-1129.

[3] J.C. Artés, J. Llibre, C. Valls, Dynamics of the Higgins-Selkov and Selkov systems, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 114 (2018); 145-150, DOI: 10.1016/j.chaos.2018.07.007.

[4] Z. Brzniak, T. Caraballo, J.A. Langa, Y. Li, Random attractors for stochastic 2D-Navier-Stokes equations in some unbounded domains, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 255.11 (2013): 3897-3919, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2013.07.043.

[5] P. W. Bates, K. Lu and B. Wang, Attractors for lattice dynamical systems, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 11 (2001) 143-153.

[6] P.W. Bates, X. Chen, A. Chmaj, Traveling waves of bistable dynamics on a lattice, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 35.2 (2003): 520-546, DOI: 10.1137/S0036141000374002.

[7] P.W. Bates, K. Lu, B. Wang, Attractors of non-autonomous stochastic lattice systems in weighted spaces, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 289 (2014): 32-50, DOI: 10.1016/j.physd.2014.08.004.

[8] W.J. Beyn, S.Y. Pilyugin, Attractors of reaction-diffusion systems on infinite lattices, *Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations*, 15.2 (2003): 485-515, DOI: 10.1023/B:JODY.0000009745.41889.30.

[9] Z. Chen, D. Yang and S. Zhong, Weak mean attractor and periodic measure for stochastic lattice systems driven by Lévy noises, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 41 (2023) 509-544.

[10] L.O. Chua, and Tamas Roska, The CNN paradigm, *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Fundamental Theory and Applications*, 40.3 (1993): 147-156, DOI: 10.1109/81.222795.

[11] L.O. Chua, Y. Yang, Cellular neural networks: theory, *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst*, 35 (1988) 12570-1272.

[12] S.N. Chow, W. Shen, Dynamics in a discrete Nagumo equation: spatial topological chaos, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 55.6 (1995): 1764-1781, DOI: 10.1137/S0036139994261757.

[13] S.N. Chow, J. Mallet-Paret, E.S.V. Vleck, Pattern formation and spatial chaos in spatially discrete evolution equations, *Random and Computational Dynamics*, 4.2 (1996): 109-178.

[14] S.N. Chow, J. Mallet-Paret, W. Shen, Traveling waves in lattice dynamical systems, *Journal of differential equations*, 149.2 (1998): 248-291, DOI: 10.1006/jdeq.1998.3478.

[15] T. Caraballo, F. Morillas and J. Valero, Attractors of stochastic lattice dynamical systems with a multiplicative noise and non-Lipschitz nonlinearities, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 253 (2012) 667-693.

[16] T. Caraballo, F. Morillas and J. Valero, On differential equations with delay in Banach spaces and attractors for retarded lattice dynamical systems, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 34 (2014) 51-77.

[17] T. Caraballo, A. Settati, Lahrouz A. Lahrouz, On the stochastic threshold of the COVID-19 epidemic model incorporating jump perturbations, *Chaos, Solitons Fractals*, 2024, 180: 114521.

[18] C. Guo, Y. Guo, X. Li, Upper semicontinuity of random attractors for nonautonomous stochastic reversible Selkov system with multiplicative noise, *Advances in Mathematical Physics* 2019, DOI: 10.1155/2019/2763245.

[19] A. Gu, S. Zhou, Z. Wang, Uniform attractor of non-autonomous three-component reversible Gray-Scott system, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 219.16 (2013): 8718-8729, DOI: 10.1016/j.amc.2013.02.056.

[20] A. Gu, Pullback-Attractor of Nonautonomous Three-Component Reversible Gray-Scott System on Unbounded Domains, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2013, DOI: 10.1155/2013/719063.

[21] P. Gray, S. Scott, Autocatalytic reactions in the isothermal, continuous stirred tank reactor: isolas and other forms of multistability, *Chemical Engineering Science*, 38(1983): 29-43, DOI: 10.1016/0009-2509(83)80132-8.

[22] P. Gray, S. Scott, Autocatalytic reactions in the isothermal, continuous stirred tank reactor: Oscillations and instabilities in the system $A + 2B \rightarrow 3B; B \rightarrow C$, *Chemical Engineering Science* 39.6 (1984): 1087-1097, DOI: 10.1016/0009-2509(84)87017-7.

[23] X. Han, W. Shen and S. Zhou, Random attractors for stochastic lattice dynamical systems in weighted spaces, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 250 (2011) 1235-1266.

[24] X. Han, P.E. Kloeden, S. Sonner, Discretisation of global attractors for lattice dynamical systems, *J. Dynam. Differ. Equ.* 32 (2020) 1457-1474.

[25] J.P. Keener, The effects of discrete gap junction coupling on propagation in myocardium, *Journal of theoretical biology*, 148.1 (1991): 49-82, DOI: 10.1016/S0022-5193(05)80465-5.

[26] P.E. Kloeden, T. Lorenz, Mean-square random dynamical systems, *J. Differential Equations*, 253 (2012) 1422-1438.

[27] N.I. Karachalios, N. Athanasios, Yannacopoulos, Global existence and compact attractors for the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 217.1 (2005): 88-123, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2005.06.002.

[28] D. Li, B. Wang, Pullback measure attractors for non-autonomous stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on thin domains, *J. Differential Equations*, 397 (2024) 232-261.

[29] R. Li, S. Mi, D. Li, Pullback measure attractors for non-autonomous stochastic 3D globally modified Navier-Stokes equations, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 23, 246 (2024), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12346-024-01105-w>.

[30] H. Li, Attractors for the stochastic lattice selkov equations with additive noises[J], *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 2019, 7(06): 1329.

[31] G. Li, X. Yang, L. Zhou, Y. Wang, Weak Mean Random Attractor of Reversible Selkov Lattice Systems Driven By Locally Lipschitz Lévy Noises, *Electronic Journal of Applied Mathematics*, (2024)Vol. 2, No. 1, 40-63.

[32] D. Li, X. Yang, G. Li, Y. Wu, Pullback Measure Attractors and Evolution Systems of Measures for Non-Autonomous Stochastic Porous Media Lattice Systems, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, (2025) 109227.

[33] D. Li, B. Wang, X. Wang, Limiting behavior of non-autonomous stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on unbounded thin domains, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 60.8 (2019), DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2016.10.024.

[34] D. Li, B. Wang, X. Wang, Periodic measures of stochastic delay lattice systems, *J. Differ. Equ.* 272 (2021), 74-104

[35] Y. Li, F. Wang, P.E. Kloeden, Enlarged numerical attractors for lattice systems with porous media degeneracies, *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, 2023, 22(3): 2282-2311.

[36] Y. Li, S. Yang, T. Caraballo, Optimization and convergence of numerical attractors for discrete-time quasi-linear lattice system. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 2023, 61(2): 905-928.

[37] Y. Li, C. Qiao, X. Tang, Pullback Measures Attractors and Finite-Dimensional Approximation for Nonlinearly Stochastic Plate Lattice Equations. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* (2025), 1-16.

- [38] Y. Li, G. Liu, P.E. Kloeden. Asymptotically Autonomous Measure Attractors for Stochastic Nonlocal Parabolic Equations with Nonlinear Noise. *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* 24(5) (2025), 1-33.
- [39] S. Mi, D. Li, T. Zeng, Pullback measure attractors for non-autonomous stochastic lattice systems, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics* (2024): 1-20.
- [40] B. Schmalfuß, Long-time behaviour of the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation, *Math. Nachr.*, 152 (1991) 7-20.
- [41] B. Schmalfuß, Qualitative properties for the stochastic Navier-Stokes equation, *Nonlinear Anal, Theory Methods Appl.*, 28 (1997) 1545-1563.
- [42] L. Shi, J. Shen, K. Lu, B. Wang, Invariant measures, periodic measures and pullback measure attractors of McKean-Vlasov stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on unbounded domains, (2024), arxiv preprint arxiv:2409.17548.
- [43] E.E. Selkov, Self-Oscillations in Glycolysis, A Simple Kinetic Model, *European Journal of Biochemistry*, 4.1 (1968): 79-86, DOI: 10.1111/j.1432-1033.1968.tb00175.x.
- [44] E. Van Vleck and B. Wang, Attractors for lattice FitzHugh-Nagumo systems, *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 212 (2005) 317-336.
- [45] B. Wang, Sufficient and necessary criteria for existence of pullback attractors for non-compact random dynamical systems, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 253.5 (2012): 1544-1583, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2012.05.015.
- [46] B. Wang, Weak pullback attractors for mean random dynamical systems in Bochner spaces, *J. Dynam. Differ. Equ.*, 31 (2019) 2177-2204.
- [47] B. Wang, Dynamics of fractional stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on unbounded domains driven by nonlinear noise, *J. Differential Equations*, 268 (2019) 1-59.
- [48] B. Wang, Weak pullback attractors for stochastic Navier-Stokes equations with nonlinear diffusion terms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147 (2019) 1627-1638.
- [49] B. Wang, Attractors for reaction-diffusion equations in unbounded domains, *Phys. D*, 128 (1999) 41-52.
- [50] B. Wang, Dynamics of stochastic reaction-diffusion lattice systems driven by nonlinear noise, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 477(1), 104-132 (2019)
- [51] B. Wang, Well-posedness and long-term behavior of supercritical wave equations driven by nonlinear colored noise on R^n , *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 283.2 (2022): 109498, DOI: 10.1016/j.jfa.2022.109498.
- [52] B. Wang and R. Wang, Asymptotic behavior of stochastic Schrödinger lattice systems driven by nonlinear noise, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 38 (2020) 213-237.
- [53] R. Wang, B. Guo, and B. Wang, Well-posedness and dynamics of fractional FitzHugh-Nagumo systems on R^N driven by nonlinear noise, *Science China Mathematics* 64 (2021): 2395-2436.
- [54] X. Wang, K. Lu, B. Wang, Random attractors for delay parabolic equations with additive noise and deterministic nonautonomous forcing, *SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, 14.2 (2015): 1018-1047, DOI: 10.1137/140991819
- [55] X. Wang, K. Lu, B. Wang, Wong-Zakai approximations and attractors for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations on unbounded domains, *Journal of Differential Equations*, 264.1 (2018): 378-424, DOI: 10.1016/j.jde.2017.09.006.

- [56] Y. Wang, C. Guo, Y. Wu, Existence and stability of invariant/periodic measures of lattice reversible Selkov systems driven by locally Lipschitz noise, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matematicas*, 118.1 (2024): 42, DOI: 10.1007/s13398-023-01543-2.
- [57] X. Wang, J. Zhang, J. Huang, Upper semi-continuity of numerical attractors for deterministic and random lattice reversible Selkov systems[J]. *Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik*, 2024, 75(6): 237.
- [58] J. Xu, T. Caraballo, Long Time Behavior of Stochastic Nonlocal Partial Differential Equations and Wong-Zakai Approximations, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 54.3 (2022): 2792-2844, DOI: 10.1137/21M1412645.
- [59] J. Xu, T. Caraballo, J. Valero, Dynamics and large deviations for fractional stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy noise, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 2024, 56(1): 1016-1067.
- [60] Y. You, Global dynamics and robustness of reversible autocatalytic reaction-diffusion systems, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 75.6 (2012): 3049-3071, DOI: 10.1016/j.na.2011.12.002.
- [61] Y. You, Upper-Semicontinuity of Global Attractors for Reversible Schnackenberg Equations, *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 130.3 (2013): 232-263, DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9590.2012.00565.x.
- [62] Y. You, Random attractors and robustness for stochastic reversible reaction-diffusion systems, *Discrete and continuous dynamical systems*, 34.1 (2013): 301-333, DOI: 10.3934/dcds.2014.34.301.
- [63] S. Yang, Y. Li, Numerical attractors and approximations for stochastic or deterministic sine-Gordon lattice equations[J]. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 2022, 413: 126640.
- [64] B. Zinner, Existence of traveling wavefront solutions for the discrete Nagumo equation, *Journal of differential equations*, 96.1 (1992): 1-27, DOI: 10.1016/0022-0396(92)90142-A.
- [65] J. Zhang, X. Wang, D. Huang, Pullback measure attractors for nonautonomous stochastic lattice reversible Selkov systems, *Stochastics and Dynamics* (2025): 2540003.