

A unitary vertex operator algebra arising from the $3C$ -algebra

Xiangyu Jiao

School of Mathematical Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241,
China

Key Laboratory of MEA (Ministry of Education), East China Normal University,
Shanghai 200241, China

Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP, East China Normal University, Shanghai
200241, China

Wen Zheng *

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qingdao University, 266071, China
Qingdao International Mathematics Center, Qingdao, 266071, China

January 5, 2026

Abstract

We prove that the vertex operator algebra $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ is unitary and all its irreducible modules are unitary modules. Moreover, using results from modular tensor categories, we establish a general result about fusion rules for commutant subalgebras under suitable assumptions. As an application, we explicitly determine the fusion rules of all irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -modules.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Constructions of the $3C$-algebra and its irreducible modules	3
3	Unitarity of the VOA $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ and its modules	4
4	Fusion rules	7

*Corresponding author

Email address: wzheng14@qdu.edu.cn

Xiangyu Jiao is supported by the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (Grant No. 23ZR1418600, No. 22DZ2229014). Wen Zheng is supported by the NSFC No. 12201334 and the National Science Foundation of Shandong Province No. ZR2022QA023.

1 Introduction

The definition of a unitary vertex operator algebra was first introduced in [6]. Roughly speaking, a vertex operator algebra (VOA for short) is called unitary if it is equipped with a positive definite Hermitian form that is compatible with the vertex operator structure. There are two main motivations for introducing this notion. One arises from its close relationship with unitary representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras, while the other comes from its importance in connecting the algebraic and analytic approaches to 2-dimensional conformal field theory. We refer the reader to [6] for a detailed discussion. For other approaches to unitary VOAs, see B. Gui's works [17, 18, 19] and the references therein.

It was shown in [6] that many well-known rational VOAs, such as the unitary series of Virasoro VOAs and lattice VOAs associated to positive definite even lattices, are unitary VOAs. In [7], preunitary VOAs with central charge $c < 1$ were classified. Moreover, it was proved there that all such preunitary vertex operator algebras are unitary except for two cases $L(c_9, 0) \oplus L(c_9, h_{1,7}^9)$ and $L(c_{27}, 0) \oplus L(c_{27}, h_{1,11}^{27}) \oplus L(c_{27}, h_{1,19}^{27}) \oplus L(c_{27}, h_{1,29}^{27})$. These two VOAs are constructed using the method of mirror extension (see [4, 25]), but there is no uniform approach to establishing the unitarity of VOAs obtained via mirror extension. One of the main goals of the present paper is to establish the unitarity of $L(c_9, 0) \oplus L(c_9, h_{1,7}^9)$, which is isomorphic to $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$. We now briefly explain the strategy used to achieve this goal. Unlike the realization of $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ in [7], which relies mainly on mirror extension theory, our approach is based on coset theory. More precisely, the $3C$ -algebra U_{3C} introduced in [24] can be realized as a commutant subalgebra of the lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}$. Since lattice vertex operator algebras associated with positive definite even lattices are unitary, it follows from a result in [6] that U_{3C} is unitary. Furthermore, $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ can be realized as a commutant subalgebra of U_{3C} and its unitarity can be easily checked. We further show that all irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -modules are unitary.

Another main result of this paper concerns fusion rules of irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -modules. Motivated by the recent work [10], we derive a more general result on fusion rules for commutant subalgebras. More precisely, assume V is a VOA, $U \subset V$ is a subVOA, and U^c is the commutant subalgebra of U in V , satisfying certain good assumptions (see Section 4 for details). Moreover, we assume all irreducible U -modules appear in V when decomposing V into irreducible $U \otimes U^c$ -modules. Then the fusion rules of U^c -modules will be determined completely by fusion rules of V -modules as well as U -modules. As a corollary, we explicitly determine the fusion rules of irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -modules.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we briefly recall the construction of the $3C$ -algebra and its irreducible modules following [24]. In Section 3, we review the notions of unitary VOAs and unitary modules introduced in [6], and then we use the concrete construction of $3C$ -algebra to show that the VOA $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ and all its irreducible modules are unitary. Section 4 is devoted to fusion rules: after recalling necessary notions such as the Kac-Wakimoto set and results from [10], we establish our result on fusion rules for commutant subalgebras. As a corollary, we derive the fusion rules of irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -modules.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the basic theory of vertex operator algebras (cf. [14], [15], [23]) and modular tensor categories (cf. [12, 21]).

2 Constructions of the $3C$ -algebra and its irreducible modules

In this section, we briefly recall several standard notions of vertex operator algebras used throughout the paper, and then review the construction of the $3C$ -algebra and its irreducible modules following [24].

A vertex operator algebra V is said to be *rational* if every admissible V -module is completely reducible. Rationality plays a fundamental role in the study of fusion rules and modular invariance in vertex operator algebra theory. Moreover, V is called C_2 -cofinite if the quotient space $V/C_2(V)$ where

$$C_2(V) = \text{span}\{u_{-2}v \mid u, v \in V\}$$

is finite dimensional. Rationality and the C_2 -cofiniteness condition ensure good finiteness properties of modules and are closely related to the modularity of characters. They also lead to many important results in VOA theory.

Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra and $(U, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega')$ is a vertex operator subalgebra of V . Set $\omega'' = \omega - \omega'$, $Y(\omega', z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L'(i)z^{-i-2}$ and $Y(\omega'', z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L''(i)z^{-i-2}$. Note that $L'(0) \mid_U = L(0) \mid_U$. The *coset (or commutant)* U^c of U is defined to be

$$U^c = \{u \in V \mid v_n u = 0, v \in U, n \geq 0\}$$

(see [16]). U^c can be viewed as the space of vacuum-like vectors for U [22]:

$$U^c = \{u \in V \mid L'(-1)u = 0\}.$$

It is well known that $(U^c, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega - \omega')$ is a vertex operator subalgebra of V [16, 23].

Let $L(c_m, 0)$ denote the simple vertex operator algebra associated to the Virasoro algebra with central charge

$$c = c_m = 1 - \frac{6}{(m+2)(m+3)}, \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1)$$

The irreducible modules of the VOA $L(c_m, 0)$ are given by the Virasoro minimal models $L(c_m, h_{r,s}^m)$, where

$$h_{r,s}^m = \frac{(r(m+3) - s(m+2))^2 - 1}{4(m+2)(m+3)}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq m+1, \quad 1 \leq s \leq m+2. \quad (2)$$

Note that $h_{r,s}^m = h_{m+2-r, m+3-s}^m$ and that $L(c_m, h_{r,s}^m)$, $1 \leq s \leq r \leq m+1$ are all inequivalent irreducible $L(c_m, 0)$ -modules. Let $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}$ be the lattice VOA associated to the lattice $\sqrt{2}E_8$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_8$ be the simple roots of E_8 . Following the convention in [24], let $-\alpha_0$ be the highest root, then we have:

$$\alpha_0 + 2\alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 4\alpha_3 + 5\alpha_4 + 6\alpha_5 + 4\alpha_6 + 2\alpha_7 + 3\alpha_8 = 0. \quad (3)$$

Let L be the sublattice of E_8 root lattice generated by $\alpha_j, 0 \leq j \leq 7$. Then $L \cong A_8$. Let Φ be the root system of L , h the Coxeter number of Φ , ω the Virasoro element of $V_{\sqrt{2}L}$, which is also the Virasoro element of $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}$. We define two vectors in $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}$ as follows:

$$s = s(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2(h+2)} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \left(\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot 1 - 2(e^{\sqrt{2}\alpha} + e^{-\sqrt{2}\alpha}) \right), \quad (4)$$

$$\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\omega}(\Phi) = \omega - s.$$

It is shown in [5] that $\tilde{\omega}$ and s are mutually orthogonal conformal vectors and the central charge of $\tilde{\omega}$ is $16/11$. Define U to be the coset (or commutant) VOA associated with the conformal vector s .

$$U = \{v \in V_{\sqrt{2}E_8} \mid s_1 v = 0\}. \quad (5)$$

This VOA is known as the $3C$ -algebra introduced in [24], which is related to the $3C$ conjugacy class of the product of two $2A$ -involutions of the Monster group [2] and can be decomposed into irreducible $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{21}{22}, 0)$ -module:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{3C} \cong & L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{21}{22}, 0) \oplus L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{21}{22}, 8) \\ & \oplus L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{21}{22}, \frac{7}{2}) \oplus L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes L(\frac{21}{22}, \frac{45}{2}) \\ & \oplus L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}) \otimes L(\frac{21}{22}, \frac{31}{16}) \oplus L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}) \otimes L(\frac{21}{22}, \frac{175}{16}). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we use $[c, h]$ to denote $L(c, h)$ throughout the paper. Then we have the following result (Theorem 3.38 in [24]).

Theorem 2.1. *There are exactly five irreducible U -modules $U(2k), 0 \leq k \leq 4$. In fact, $U(0) = U$ and as $L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(21/22, 0)$ -modules,*

$$\begin{aligned} U(2) &\cong [0, \frac{13}{11}] \oplus [0, \frac{35}{11}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{15}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{301}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{21}{176}] \oplus [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{901}{176}], \\ U(4) &\cong [0, \frac{6}{11}] \oplus [0, \frac{50}{11}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{155}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{85}{176}] \oplus [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{261}{176}], \\ U(6) &\cong [0, \frac{1}{11}] \oplus [0, \frac{111}{11}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{35}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{57}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{5}{176}] \oplus [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{533}{176}], \\ U(8) &\cong [0, \frac{20}{11}] \oplus [0, \frac{196}{11}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{117}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{133}{176}] \oplus [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1365}{176}]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the lattice VOA $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}$ has a decomposition as follows (equation (3.95) in [24]):

$$V_{\sqrt{2}E_8} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq k_j \leq j+1 \\ k_j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ j=0,1,\dots,8}} L(c_1, h_{k_0+1, k_1+1}^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(c_8, h_{k_7+1, k_8+1}^8) \otimes U(k_8), \quad (6)$$

where c_m and $h_{r,s}^m$ are given by (1) and (2), and $U(k_8)$ is the corresponding U_{3C} -module as in Theorem 2.1. This decomposition will be crucial in Section 3 to deduce the unitarity of irreducible modules.

3 Unitarity of the VOA $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ and its modules

In this section, first we shall briefly recall the definitions of unitary VOA and unitary modules from [6]. Then we show that the VOA $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ is unitary and all its irreducible modules are unitary modules. In the following we only consider the vertex operator algebra $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ of *CFT-type*, i.e. $V_n = 0, n < 0$ and $V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra. An anti-linear automorphism ϕ of V is an anti-linear isomorphism (as anti-linear map) $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\phi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, $\phi(\omega) = \omega$ and $\phi(u_n v) = \phi(u)_n \phi(v)$ for any $u, v \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 3.2. Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra and $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ be an anti-linear involution, i.e. an anti-linear automorphism of order 2. The pair (V, ϕ) is called a unitary vertex operator algebra if there exists a positive definite Hermitian form $(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is \mathbb{C} -linear on the first vector and anti- \mathbb{C} -linear on the second vector such that the following invariant property holds:

$$(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}a, z^{-1})u, v) = (u, Y(\phi(a), z)v)$$

for any $a, u, v \in V$, where $L(n)$ is defined by $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$.

Next we give the definition of a unitary module as in [6].

Definition 3.3. Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra and ϕ an anti-linear involution of V . An ordinary V -module (M, Y_M) is called a unitary V -module if there exists a positive definite Hermitian form $(\cdot, \cdot)_M : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is \mathbb{C} -linear on the first vector and anti- \mathbb{C} -linear on the second vector such that the following invariant property holds:

$$(Y_M(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}a, z^{-1})w_1, w_2)_M = (w_1, Y_M(\phi(a), z)w_2)_M$$

for $a \in V$ and $w_1, w_2 \in M$.

We shall frequently use the following result (Corollary 2.8 in [6]):

Proposition 3.4. Let (V, ϕ) be a unitary VOA and $(U, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega')$ be a vertex operator subalgebra of V such that $\phi(\omega') = \omega'$. Then the coset $(U^c, \phi|_{U^c})$ VOA is also a unitary VOA.

We now turn to prove the unitarity of $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$.

Theorem 3.5. The VOA $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ is unitary.

Proof. Let L be a positive definite even lattice. Then the lattice VOA V_L is unitary and the anti-linear involution $\phi : V_L \rightarrow V_L$ is given by:

$$\alpha_1(-n_1) \cdots \alpha_k(-n_k) \otimes e^\alpha \mapsto (-1)^k \alpha_1(-n_1) \cdots \alpha_k(-n_k) \otimes e^{-\alpha},$$

see [6]. In particular, the lattice VOA $V_{\sqrt{2}E_8}$ is unitary, and the conformal vector defined in equation (4) satisfies $\phi(s) = s$. Then by (5) and Proposition 3.4, the associated coset VOA U_{3C} is unitary with the anti-involution $\phi|_{U_{3C}}$.

From Lemma 3.32 in [24], the conformal vector ω_1 of the subalgebra $L(1/2, 0)$ in U_{3C} is fixed by ϕ . Explicitly, we have

$$\omega_1 = \frac{11}{32}\tilde{\omega} + \frac{1}{32} \sum_{\alpha \in \alpha_8 + A_8, \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2} (e^{\sqrt{2}\alpha} + e^{-\sqrt{2}\alpha}),$$

where α_8 is given in (3) and $\tilde{\omega}$ is given in (4). Since $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ can be realized as the coset subalgebra of U_{3C} associated to ω_1 , Proposition 3.4 implies that it is unitary. \square

Next we show that all irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -modules are unitary. For simplicity, we write

$$\mathcal{M} = L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8).$$

Uniqueness of the VOA \mathcal{M} is given in Theorem 4.11 of [7] (see also Theorem 4.4 in [11]). All irreducible \mathcal{M} -modules are given in [7] using the modular invariant of type (A_{10}, E_6) . Comparing those module structures with the decomposition of the U_{3C} -modules given in Theorem 2.1, we notice that all irreducible \mathcal{M} -modules arise from the decomposition of the U_{3C} -modules. For each irreducible U_{3C} -module $U(2k)$, we rewrite the decomposition in Theorem 2.1 as:

$$U(2k) \cong L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{k0} \oplus L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{k1} \oplus L(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{k2}, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{kl}, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}, l \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ are precisely all the irreducible \mathcal{M} -module and $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}_{00}$.

Before we prove our result, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.6. *Let V, U , and U^c be VOAs satisfying the assumptions of Proposition 3.4. In addition, we assume both U and U^c are rational. Let (N, Y_N) be an irreducible unitary V -module. Suppose that as a $U \otimes U^c$ -module,*

$$N \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^m U_i \otimes M_i,$$

where U_i, M_i are irreducible U -modules and U^c -modules respectively. Then each M_i is a unitary U^c -module.

Proof. From Proposition 3.4, we know both (V, ϕ) and the coset $(U^c, \phi|_{U^c})$ are unitary VOAs. Since (N, Y_N) is a unitary V -module, there exists a positive definite Hermitian form $(\cdot, \cdot)_N : N \times N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following invariant property:

$$(Y_N(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}a, z^{-1})w_1, w_2)_N = (w_1, Y_N(\phi(a), z)w_2)_N \quad (8)$$

for $a \in V, w_1, w_2 \in N$.

Restricting this identity to $a \in U^c$ and $w_1, w_2 \in M_i$, and using the fact that $L'(1)a = L'(0)a = 0$ for any $a \in U^c$, we obtain

$$(Y_{M_i}(e^{zL''(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L''(0)}a, z^{-1})w_1, w_2)_{M_i} = (w_1, Y_{M_i}(\phi(a), z)w_2)_{M_i}, \quad (9)$$

where Y_{M_i} and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{M_i}$ are the restrictions of Y_N and $(\cdot, \cdot)_N$ to M_i respectively. Hence M_i is a unitary U^c -module, which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Any irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -module is unitary.*

Proof. From above discussions, it suffices to show that for any $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, \mathcal{M}_{ik} is a unitary module. By the proof of Theorem 3.5 and the decomposition given in equation (6), we have that each irreducible U_{3C} -module $U(2k)$ is a unitary module, for $k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Applying Lemma 3.6 to the decomposition (7), we conclude that each $\mathcal{M}_{i,k}$ is a unitary \mathcal{M} -module, and this completes the proof. \square

4 Fusion rules

In this section, instead of computing the fusion rules of irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -modules directly, we establish a general result on fusion rules for commutant subalgebras under suitable assumptions by applying the categorical framework developed in [10]. The fusion rules of irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -modules are then an immediate consequence of this general result.

First we recall several notions and results from [10]. Throughout this section, we assume that U is a vertex operator subalgebra of the vertex operator algebra V such that

- (1) $U = U^{cc}$,
- (2) U, U^c , and V are rational, C_2 -cofinite and of CFT types, and satisfy $L(1)V_1 = 0$,
- (3) The conformal weight of any irreducible U -module, U^c -module, or V -module is positive, except for the vacuum modules U, U^c , and V .

Let M^i , for $i \in I = \{1, \dots, p\}$, denote the inequivalent irreducible V -modules with $M^1 = V$. Let W^α , for $\alpha \in J = \{1, \dots, q\}$, denote the inequivalent irreducible U -modules with $W^1 = U$, and let N^ϕ , for $\phi \in K = \{1, \dots, s\}$, denote the inequivalent irreducible U^c -modules with $N^1 = U^c$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_U$ the category of U -modules, by $\mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_{U^c}$ the category of U^c -modules, and by $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_V = (\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2)_V^0$ the category of V -modules. Under the above assumptions, \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are pseudo unitary modular tensor categories [13]. We use $\mathbf{O}(\mathcal{C}_1) = \{W^\alpha | \alpha \in J\}$ to denote the isomorphism classes of simple objects of \mathcal{C}_1 and $U = W^1 = 1_{\mathcal{C}_1}$. Then $V \in \mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2$ is a regular commutative algebra [20]. Moreover, the category of local V -modules $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2)_V^0$ is also a modular tensor category [20]. For each $i \in I$, the object M^i decomposes in $\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2$ as follows:

$$M^i \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_i} W^\alpha \otimes M^{(i, \alpha)}, \quad (10)$$

where J_i is a subset of J . For $\beta \in J$, set

$$a_{\beta \otimes 1} = V \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2} (W^\beta \otimes 1_{\mathcal{C}_2}).$$

and recall the Kac-Wakimoto set (see [10])

$$\text{KW} = \{W^\beta \in \mathbf{O}(\mathcal{C}_1) | a_{\beta \otimes 1} \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Note that $1_{\mathcal{C}_1} \in \text{KW}$. So the Kac-Wakimoto set is not empty. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{W^\beta | \beta \in J_1\}$. The M\"uger centralizer $C_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{D})$ is the subcategory of \mathcal{C}_1 consisting of the objects Y in \mathcal{C}_1 such that $c_{Y, X}^1 \circ c_{X, Y}^1 = \text{id}_{X \boxtimes Y}$ for all X in \mathcal{D} where $c_{Y, X}^1 : Y \boxtimes X \rightarrow X \boxtimes Y$ is the braiding isomorphism. We shall use the following results from [10] (cf. Proposition 4.6 and 4.9 and Theorem 6.5 therein, adapted to our setting):

Proposition 4.1. *With the above assumptions and notations, $a_{\alpha \otimes 1} \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $W^\alpha \in C_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{D})$.*

Proposition 4.2. *If $\text{KW} = \{1_{\mathcal{C}_1}\}$, then the objects $M^{(i, \alpha)} (i \in I, \alpha \in J)$ form a complete set of inequivalent simple objects in \mathcal{C}_2 .*

Theorem 4.3. *Let d_α be the categorical dimension of W^α , then*

$$\sum_{\beta \in J_1} d_\beta^2 \leq \sum_{\gamma \in J_i} d_\gamma^2$$

for any $i \in I$.

From now on, we assume $J_1 = J$. First we have the following result:

Proposition 4.4. *All notation and assumptions are as in the beginning of this section. Furthermore, we assume $J_1 = J$. Then for any $i \in I$, we have $J_i = J$ and the set $\{M^{(i,\alpha)} | i \in I, \alpha \in J\}$ is the complete set of inequivalent simple objects in \mathcal{C}_2 .*

Proof. Since $J_1 = J$, the first assertion is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.3. In this case, the Müger centralizer $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{D})$ coincides with the Müger center of \mathcal{C}_1 . So it consists only one element $U = W^1 = 1_{\mathcal{C}_1}$ due to the fact that the category \mathcal{C}_1 is a modular tensor category. Then an application of Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 yields the result. \square

Next we turn to compute fusion rules $N_{M^{(i,\alpha)}, M^{(j,\beta)}}^{M^{(k,\gamma)}}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be one of the categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$, and let X be an object of $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, we use $\dim_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} X$ to denote the categorical dimension of X . Notice that under our settings, the categorical dimension equals the Frobenius-Perron dimension, which is exactly the quantum dimension studied in [3] and [9]. For simplicity, in the following we will use the symbol $\dim_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} X$ to denote any one of these dimensions. The following lemmas are needed for the proof of our main result:

Lemma 4.5. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 4.4, for any $i \in I$, the categorical dimension $\dim_{\mathcal{C}} M^i$ equals the categorical dimension $\dim_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(i,1)}$.*

Proof. First, by Lemma 4.1 in [10], we have $V \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2} (W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)})$ is a simple object in $(\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2)_V$. Since $(\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2)_V$ is a fusion category, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_V(V \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2} (W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)}), M^i) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2} \left(W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)}, \sum_{\alpha \in J} W^\alpha \otimes M^{(i,\alpha)} \right) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2} (W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)}, W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)}), \end{aligned}$$

so we get $V \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2} (W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)})$ is a simple object in $(\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2)_V^0$ and $M^i \cong V \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2} (W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)})$ as a V -module. Thus,

$$\dim_{\mathcal{C}} M^i = \dim_{\mathcal{C}} V \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2} (W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)}) = \dim_{\mathcal{C}_1} W^1 \cdot \dim_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(i,1)} = \dim_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(i,1)}.$$

\square

Lemma 4.6. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 4.4, for any $i, j, k \in I$, we have*

$$N_{M^i, M^j}^{M^k} = N_{M^{(i,1)}, M^{(j,1)}}^{M^{(k,1)}}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.9 in [1], we have

$$N_{M^i, M^j}^{M^k} \leq N_{W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)}, W^1 \otimes M^{(j,1)}}^{W^1 \otimes M^{(k,1)}} = N_{W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)}, W^1 \otimes M^{(j,1)}}^{W^1 \otimes M^{(k,1)}} = N_{M^{(i,1)}, M^{(j,1)}}^{M^{(k,1)}}. \quad (11)$$

Moreover, applying (11) and Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{\mathcal{C}} M^i \cdot \dim_{\mathcal{C}} M^j &= \dim_{\mathcal{C}} (M^i \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} M^j) \\
&= \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \left(\sum_{k \in I} N_{M^i, M^j}^{M^k} M^k \right) \\
&= \sum_{k \in I} N_{M^i, M^j}^{M^k} \dim_{\mathcal{C}} M^k \\
&\leq \sum_{k \in I} N_{M^{(i,1)}, M^{(j,1)}}^{M^{(k,1)}} \dim_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(k,1)} \\
&= \dim_{\mathcal{C}_2} \left(\sum_{k \in I} N_{M^{(i,1)}, M^{(j,1)}}^{M^{(k,1)}} M^{(k,1)} \right) \\
&= \dim_{\mathcal{C}_2} (M^{(i,1)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(j,1)}) \\
&= \dim_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(i,1)} \cdot \dim_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(j,1)} \\
&= \dim_{\mathcal{C}} M^i \cdot \dim_{\mathcal{C}} M^j.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $N_{M^i, M^j}^{M^k} = N_{M^{(i,1)}, M^{(j,1)}}^{M^{(k,1)}}$. □

Lemma 4.7. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 4.4 (without requiring $J_1 = J$), for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in J$, we have*

$$N_{W^\alpha, W^\beta}^{W^\gamma} = N_{M^{(1,\alpha)}, M^{(1,\beta)}}^{M^{(1,\gamma)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathcal{C}_1} W^\alpha = \dim_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(1,\alpha)}.$$

Proof. This result follows from Theorem 4.2 in [10]; see also Theorem 3.1 in [25]. □

Lemma 4.8. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 4.4, for any $i \in I, \alpha \in J$, we have*

$$M^{(i,1)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(1,\alpha)} \cong M^{(i,\alpha)}.$$

Proof. First, by an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 4.5, we have $M^i \cong V \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2} (W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)})$ as V -modules. Then as a $U \otimes U^c$ -module, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
M^i &\cong \left(\sum_{\alpha \in J} W^\alpha \otimes M^{(1,\alpha)} \right) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}_2} (W^1 \otimes M^{(i,1)}) \\
&\cong \sum_{\alpha \in J} (W^\alpha \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_1} W^1) \otimes (M^{(1,\alpha)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(i,1)}) \\
&\cong \sum_{\alpha \in J} W^\alpha \otimes (M^{(1,\alpha)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(i,1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $M^{(i,1)} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_2} M^{(1,\alpha)} \cong M^{(i,\alpha)}$. □

Now we prove our main result:

Theorem 4.9. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 4.4, for any $i, j, k \in I$, and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in J$, we have the fusion rules*

$$N_{M^{(i,\alpha)}, M^{(j,\beta)}}^{M^{(k,\gamma)}} = N_{M^i, M^j}^{M^k} N_{W^\alpha, W^\beta}^{W^\gamma}.$$

Proof. Applying the above several lemmas, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M^{(i,\alpha)} \boxtimes_{C_2} M^{(j,\beta)} &\cong (M^{(i,1)} \boxtimes_{C_2} M^{(1,\alpha)}) \boxtimes_{C_2} (M^{(j,1)} \boxtimes_{C_2} M^{(1,\beta)}) \\ &\cong (M^{(i,1)} \boxtimes_{C_2} M^{(j,1)}) \boxtimes_{C_2} (M^{(1,\alpha)} \boxtimes_{C_2} M^{(1,\beta)}) \\ &\cong \left(\sum_{k \in I} N_{M^{(i,1)}, M^{(j,1)}}^{M^{(k,1)}} M^{(k,1)} \right) \boxtimes_{C_2} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in J} N_{M^{(1,\alpha)}, M^{(1,\beta)}}^{M^{(1,\gamma)}} M^{(1,\gamma)} \right) \\ &\cong \left(\sum_{k \in I} N_{M^i, M^j}^{M^k} M^{(k,1)} \right) \boxtimes_{C_2} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in J} N_{W^\alpha, W^\beta}^{W^\gamma} M^{(1,\gamma)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \in I \\ \gamma \in J}} N_{M^i, M^j}^{M^k} N_{W^\alpha, W^\beta}^{W^\gamma} (M^{(k,1)} \boxtimes_{C_2} M^{(1,\gamma)}) \\ &\cong \sum_{\substack{k \in I \\ \gamma \in J}} N_{M^i, M^j}^{M^k} N_{W^\alpha, W^\beta}^{W^\gamma} M^{(k,\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $N_{M^{(i,\alpha)}, M^{(j,\beta)}}^{M^{(k,\gamma)}} = N_{M^i, M^j}^{M^k} N_{W^\alpha, W^\beta}^{W^\gamma}$. \square

Next we give a proof of the fusion rules of irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -modules. For simplicity, we will use (r, s) to denote irreducible $L(21/22, 0)$ -module $L(c_9, h_{r,s}^9)$, $1 \leq s \leq r \leq 10$. We recall the notion of admissible triples introduced in [26]. In our settings, p, q in the following definition will be 11, 12 respectively.

Definition 4.10. *Assume $p, q \in \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$ and p, q are relatively prime. An ordered triple of pairs of integers $((r, s), (r', s'), (r'', s''))$ is called admissible if $0 < r, r', r'' < p$, $0 < s, s', s'' < q$, $r + r' + r'' < 2p$, $s + s' + s'' < 2q$, $r + r' > r''$, $r + r'' > r'$, $r' + r'' > r$, $s + s' > s''$, $s + s'' > s'$, $s' + s'' > s$, and both $r + r' + r''$ and $s + s' + s''$ are odd.*

The fusion rules for U_{3C} -modules are expressed in terms of admissible triples [11].

Proposition 4.11. *The fusion rules among the irreducible U_{3C} -modules $U(2k)$, for $k = 0, \dots, 4$, are as follows:*

$$U(2i) \boxtimes U(2j) = \oplus_k U(2k),$$

where the summation over k is for $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ satisfying $((2i-1, 1), (2j-1, 1), (2k-1, 1))$ is an admissible triple.

As in equation (7), all inequivalent irreducible $L(21/22, 0) \oplus L(21/22, 8)$ -modules are denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{i,l}$, where $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $l \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Then we have the following:

Corollary 4.12. *The fusion rules of \mathcal{M} -modules are:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{i,0} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{j,l} &= \oplus_k \mathcal{M}_{k,l}, & \mathcal{M}_{i,1} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{j,1} &= \oplus_k \mathcal{M}_{k,0}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{i,1} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{j,2} &= \oplus_k \mathcal{M}_{k,2}, & \mathcal{M}_{i,2} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_{j,2} &= \oplus_k \mathcal{M}_{k,0} \bigoplus \oplus_k \mathcal{M}_{k,1}, \end{aligned}$$

where the summation over k is for $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ satisfying $((2i-1, 1), (2j-1, 1), (2k-1, 1))$ is an admissible triple.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the fusion rules of $L(1/2, 0)$ -modules (see [8, 26]), the fusion rules of irreducible U_{3C} -modules given in the previous proposition and Theorem 4.9. \square

Acknowledgments

Xiangyu Jiao is supported by the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (Grant No. 23ZR1418600, No. 22DZ2229014). Wen Zheng is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12201334) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2022QA023).

References

- [1] T. Abe, C. Dong and H. Li, Fusion rules for the vertex operator algebra $M(1)$ and V_L^+ , *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **253** (2005), 171-219.
- [2] J. Conway, A simple construction for the Fisher-Griess Monster group, *Invent. Math.*, **79** (1985), 513-540.
- [3] C. Dong, X. Jiao, F. Xu, Quantum Dimensions and Quantum Galois Theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **365** (2013), 6441-6469.
- [4] C. Dong, X. Jiao, F. Xu, Mirror extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **328** (2014), 263-291.
- [5] C. Dong, H. Li, G. Mason and S.P. Norton, Associative subalgebras of Griess algebra and related topics, *Proc. of the Conference on the Monster and Lie algebra at the Ohio State University*, May 1996, ed. by J. Ferrar and K. Harada, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin - New York, 1998, 27-42.
- [6] C. Dong, X. Lin, Unitary vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **397** (2014), 252-277.
- [7] C. Dong, X. Lin, The extensions of $L_{sl_2}(k, 0)$ and preunitary vertex operator algebras with central charges $c < 1$, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **340** (2015), 613-637.
- [8] C. Dong, G. Mason, Y. Zhu, Discrete series of the Virasoro algebra and the moonshine module, *Proc. Symp. Pure. Math. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **56** II (1994), 295-316.
- [9] C. Dong, L. Ren, F. Xu, On orbifold Theory, *Adv. Math.*, **32** (2017), 1-30.
- [10] C. Dong, L. Ren, F. Xu, Coset constructions and Kac-Wakimoto hypothesis, *arXiv*: 2404.00778.
- [11] C. Dong, W. Zheng, Uniqueness of VOA structure of $3C$ -algebra and $5A$ -algebra. *J. Algebra* **572** (2021), 76-110.
- [12] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, *Tensor Categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 205, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.

- [13] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik, On fusion categories. *Ann. of Math.*(2), **162** (2)(2005), 581-642.
- [14] I. B. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs American Math. Soc.*, **104**, 1993.
- [15] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 134, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, 1988.
- [16] I. Frenkel, Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.*, **66** (1992), 123-168.
- [17] B. Gui. Unitarity of the modular tensor categories associated to unitary vertex operator algebras, I. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **366**(1) (2019), 333–396.
- [18] B. Gui. Unitarity of the modular tensor categories associated to unitary vertex operator algebras, II. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **372**(3) (2019), 893–950.
- [19] B. Gui. Geometric positivity of the fusion products of unitary vertex operator algebra modules. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **405**(3)(2024), Paper No. 72, pp. 65.
- [20] Y. Huang, A. Kirillov, J. Lepowsky, Braided tensor categories and extensions of vertex operator algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **337** (2015), 1143–1159.
- [21] A. Kirillov, Jr. V. Ostrik, On a q-analog of the McKay correspondence and the ADE classification of sl_2 conformal field theories, *Adv. Math.*, **171** (2002), 183-227.
- [22] H. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **96**(1994), 279-297.
- [23] J. Lepowsky, H. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, *Progr. Math.* **227**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [24] C. H. Lam, H. Yamada, H. Yamauchi, McKay’s observation and vertex operator algebras generated by two conformal vectors of central charge $\frac{1}{2}$, *Internat. Math. Res. Pap.*, **3** (2005), 117-181.
- [25] X. Lin, Mirror extensions of rational vertex operator algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **369** (2017), 3821-3840.
- [26] W. Wang, Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **7** (1993), 197-211.