

Stability of time-periodic solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system

Naoto Deguchi *

Institute of Science Tokyo, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan

Abstract

We prove the existence and stability of a time-periodic solution to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system in the three-dimensional whole space when a time-periodic external force is sufficiently small. The time decay estimate of the perturbation around the time-periodic solution is derived under the assumption that an initial perturbation is small and belongs to L^p for some $1 \leq p \leq 2$.

1 Introduction

The motion of a compressible viscous and heat conducting fluid is described by the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system for the density ρ , the velocity $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ and the temperature θ :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \\ \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \Delta v + \frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho} \nabla \operatorname{div} v - \frac{\nabla P}{\rho} + f, \\ \partial_t \theta + v \cdot \nabla \theta + \frac{\theta \partial_\theta P}{c_V \rho} \operatorname{div} v = \frac{\kappa}{c_V \rho} \Delta \theta + \frac{\Psi}{c_V \rho}. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Here, f is the external body force, P is the pressure, μ and μ' are the viscous coefficients, κ is the heat conductivity coefficient, c_V is the specific heat at constant volume and $\Psi = \Psi(v)$ is the dissipation function given by

$$\Psi = \frac{\mu}{2} (\partial_i v^j + \partial_j v^i)^2 + \mu' (\partial_i v^i)^2.$$

* *E-mail address* : deguchi.n.d813@m.isct.ac.jp

Throughout this paper, we assume that the coefficients μ, μ', κ and c_V are constants which satisfy $\kappa, c_V > 0$ and the thermodynamic restrictions

$$\mu > 0, \quad \frac{2}{3}\mu + \mu' \geq 0.$$

The pressure P is assumed to be a smooth function of ρ and θ satisfying the stability conditions:

$$\partial_\rho P > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\theta P > 0.$$

The aim of this paper is twofold. The first is to investigate the existence of time-periodic solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system in \mathbb{R}^3 subject to a given small time-periodic external force. The second is to examine the stability of the time-periodic solutions with respect to external forces and initial perturbations, respectively. Let f be a time-periodic force with time-period $T > 0$, that is,

$$f(t, x) = f(t + T, x) \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

A flow generated by f is then described as the solution to the following time-periodic problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_T + \operatorname{div}(\rho_T v_T) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \partial_t v_T + v_T \cdot \nabla v_T = \frac{\mu}{\rho_T} \Delta v_T + \frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho_T} \nabla \operatorname{div} v_T - \frac{\nabla P}{\rho_T} + f & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \partial_t \theta_T + v_T \cdot \nabla \theta_T + \frac{\theta_T \partial_\theta P}{c_V \rho_T} \operatorname{div} v_T = \frac{\kappa}{c_V \rho_T} \Delta \theta_T + \frac{\Psi}{c_V \rho_T} & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (\rho_T, v_T, \theta_T)(t, x) = (\rho_T, v_T, \theta_T)(t + T, x) & \text{for } (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

We consider the time-periodic problem (2) around the constant state

$$(\rho_\infty, 0, \theta_\infty) \quad \text{with } \rho_\infty, \theta_\infty > 0.$$

The time-periodic problem of the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system was considered by Ma, Ukai and Yang [11] in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 5$. They proved the existence and stability result for the time-periodic problem by effectively applying the energy method in the inhomogeneous Sobolev space of L^2 type, but the dimensional assumption $N \geq 5$ is needed to control the L^2 norm of the time-periodic solution. Indeed, since the diffusion terms

$$\mu \Delta v + (\mu + \mu') \nabla \operatorname{div} v \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\kappa}{c_V} \Delta \theta$$

are classified as elliptic operators, the spatial decay orders of the velocity v_T and the temperature $\theta_T - \theta_\infty$ are expected at most $O(1/|x|^{N-2})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, respectively, by comparing the spatial decay order of the Newtonian potential. See also the asymptotic expansion result for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation in [14]. If the dimension $N = 3$, then the expected spatial decay order is $O(1/|x|)$, which does not belong to L^2 , so that this slow spatial decay suggests a need for a new function framework to construct a time-periodic solution in dimension $N = 3$. In order to establish a function space setting that admits slow spatial decay, such as $1/|x|$, we introduce the homogeneous Besov spaces as follows. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Choose $\phi \in C^\infty$ supported in the annulus $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid 3/4 \leq |\xi| \leq 8/3\}$ such that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for } \xi \neq 0.$$

We define dyadic blocks $(\dot{\Delta}_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ by the Fourier multiplier

$$\dot{\Delta}_j u \equiv \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\phi(2^{-j}\cdot)\hat{u}].$$

Then, the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}_{2,r}^s = \dot{B}_{2,r}^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is defined by

$$\dot{B}_{2,r}^s = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'/\mathcal{P} \mid \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s} < \infty\}$$

with norm

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s} := \|\{2^{js} \|\dot{\Delta}_j u\|_{L^2}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})},$$

where \mathcal{S}' is the set of all Schwartz distributions and \mathcal{P} is the polynomial ring over \mathbb{R} . We shall employ a function space to construct the time-periodic solution as the space $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 5}$, which spaces allow for slow decay, such as $1/|x|$, and have good compatibility with the energy method, since the space $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k$ is L^2 -based space. Here, we mention that the space $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^3$ was employed for the perturbation analysis of stationary solutions to the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations in [3].

Let us state our main theorem, which shows the existence and stability of the time-periodic problem (2).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $T > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 5}$. There exists a small $\delta > 0$ such that if a time-periodic force f with time period $T > 0$ satisfies*

$$\|f\|_{C(\mathbb{R}; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{k-1})} \leq \delta,$$

then the following assertions hold.

- (i) (Existence of time-periodic solution) *There exists a unique time-periodic solution (ρ_T, v_T, θ_T) satisfying*

$$\|(\rho_T - \rho_\infty, v_T, \theta_T - \theta_\infty)\|_{C(\mathbb{R}; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k)} \lesssim \delta.$$

- (ii) (Stability) *If initial data (ρ_0, v_0, θ_0) satisfy*

$$\|(\rho_0 - \rho_\infty, v_0, \theta_0 - \theta_\infty)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k} \leq \delta,$$

then there exists a unique global solution (ρ, v, θ) of (1) in $(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with initial data (ρ_0, v_0, θ_0) and has the property that

$$\|(\rho - \rho_\infty, v, \theta - \theta_\infty)\|_{C([0,\infty); \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k)} \lesssim \delta.$$

In addition, for any $\epsilon > 0$, if $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small and

$$(\rho_0 - \rho_T(0), v_0 - v_T(0), \theta_0 - \theta_T(0)) \in L^p$$

for some $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then we have the time decay estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\rho - \rho_T, v - v_T, \theta - \theta_T)(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s} \\ & \lesssim_s (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2} - \frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \|(\rho_0 - \rho_T(0), v_0 - v_T(0), \theta_0 - \theta_T(0))\|_{L^p \cap \dot{H}^k} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for any $-3/2 + \epsilon \leq s \leq 3/2 - \epsilon$ with $s/2 + 3/2(1/p - 1/2) > 0$.

The time-periodic problem for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation has been extensively studied; we refer here to Maremonti [12], Kozono-Nakao [9], Yamazaki [17], Galdi-Silvestre [5], Kobayashi [8] and Kučera [10] among many others. In particular, Yamazaki [17] derived time-decay estimates for perturbations around a time-periodic solution to the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation in unbounded domains such as the whole space, the half-space, or exterior domains of dimension $N \geq 3$. For the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equation, the existence and stability of the time-periodic solution were studied by Valli [16] in a 3D bounded domain, and by Kagei-Tsuda [6], Tsuda [15] in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$. The existence and stability of the time-periodic solution to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system was studied by Ma, Ukai and Yang [11] in \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 5$. We also mention that Feireisl et al. [4] show the existence of at least one weak time periodic solution of the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system in a 3D bounded domain for the specific

pressure. Since the spatial decay order of the time-periodic solution is expected to be at most $O(1/|x|)$, the convection term $v \cdot \nabla v$ appearing in the Navier-Stokes equations is expected to exhibit the same spatial decay order, $O(1/|x|^3)$, as the viscous term $\mu \Delta v$. Thus, we need to treat the convection terms carefully to drive the existence of a time-periodic solution even if the given external force is small enough. For this reason, Yamazaki and Tsuda transform the convection term $v \cdot \nabla v$ to the divergence forms $\operatorname{div}(v \otimes v)$ and $\operatorname{div}(\rho v \otimes v)$, respectively, by using the mass conservation law, and then establish a priori estimate for the solutions. In the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system case, the additional convection term $v \cdot \nabla \theta$ and the non-divergence form term $(\theta \partial_\theta P / c_V \rho) \operatorname{div} v$ appear in the equation of the temperature in (1). In Section 3 below, to establish a priori estimate in the homogeneous Besov spaces, we shall introduce the modified energy functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} = & \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2} \right) \rho v \cdot v + c_V \rho (\theta - \theta_\infty) \\ & + \frac{p_1}{2\rho_\infty} \left(1 + \theta_\infty \left(\frac{q_2}{p_2} - \frac{q_1}{p_1} \right) \right) (\rho - \rho_\infty)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $p_1 = \partial_\rho P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty)$, $p_2 = \partial_\theta P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty)$, $q_1 = \partial_\rho^2 P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty)$ and $q_2 = \partial_\rho \partial_\theta P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty)$. By introducing the modified energy functional, let $\sigma = \rho - \rho_\infty$, $m = \rho v$ and $\eta = \theta - \theta_\infty$, then the equation (1) is transformed into the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \sigma + \operatorname{div} m = 0, \\ \partial_t m - \frac{\mu}{\rho_\infty} \Delta m - \frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho_\infty} \nabla \operatorname{div} m + p_1 \nabla \sigma + \frac{p_2}{c \rho_\infty} \nabla \mathcal{E} \\ \quad = -\frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \operatorname{div}(m \otimes m) - \frac{p_2}{\rho_\infty} \nabla(\sigma \mathcal{E}) - p_2 \nabla \left(\eta - \frac{1}{c_V \rho_\infty} \mathcal{E} \right) + \rho f + \mathcal{H}_1, \\ \partial_t \mathcal{E} - \frac{\kappa}{c_V \rho_\infty} \Delta \mathcal{E} + \frac{p_2 \theta_\infty}{c_V \rho_\infty} \operatorname{div} m = -c_V \operatorname{div}(\eta m) \\ \quad - \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2} \right) (p_1 \operatorname{div}(\sigma v) + p_2 \operatorname{div}(\eta v)) + \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2} \right) \rho_\infty f \cdot v + \mathcal{H}_2, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 are the higher order terms. Except for the external force terms and the higher-order terms, all nonlinear terms in (5) are written in divergence forms or potential forms. This transform is employed to establish a priori estimates for the initial value problem (1) in the proofs of Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 below. The proof of the time-decay estimate (3) is inspired by the strategy employed in [3]. The key step of the proof is to derive the time-weighted estimate for the Duhamel integral:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tilde{T}_1} (1+t)^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} \left\| \int_0^t e^{\tau A} \Phi(t-\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s} \\
& \lesssim \sup_{s_1 \leq s \leq \frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tilde{T}_1} (1+t)^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} \|\Phi(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s-2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$, $-3/2 \leq s_0 \leq 1/2$, $s_1 = \max\{s_0, 0\}$, $\max\{s_0, -3/2 + \epsilon\} \leq s \leq 3/2 - \epsilon$ and e^{tA} is the linearized semigroup of (5) around the constant state which defined in (12) below. A similar analysis is found in Yamazaki [17].

The outline of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we present some lemmas related to the homogeneous Besov space, which will be frequently used in the subsequent sections. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is established by combining the local existence theorem with a priori estimates for the solutions to the initial value problem of (1). A priori estimate and the local existence result for the initial value problem are proved in Subsection 3.1 and Subsection 3.2, respectively. We show the uniform in time and the time-weighted a priori estimate for the solution in Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 below, respectively. A priori estimates are performed for the homogeneous Besov norms and the homogeneous Sobolev norms in a parallel way. The proof of the estimate in the homogeneous Besov spaces is carried out by using the modified energy functional introduced in (4). Here, the time-space norm estimate in (18) below for the linearized semigroup of (5) around the constant state $(\rho_\infty, 0, \theta_\infty)$ plays a crucial role in deriving the homogeneous Besov norm estimates. (Cf. [17], [3].) The proof of the estimates in the homogeneous Sobolev norms is established by the energy method. The proof of the local existence is carried out by constructing the approximating sequence of the solution. The approximating sequence is constructed as the solution of the transport equation for the density and as the solution of the quasilinear parabolic equation for the velocity and the temperature, since the density causes the quasilinear effect in the equations of the velocity and the temperature in (1). By virtue of employment in this construction, we obtain the local existence result without the smallness assumption for initial data, unlike the local existence result in [3]. Finally, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is given in Subsection 3.3.

Notation. The notation $A \lesssim_\alpha B$ means that there exists a constant C depending on α such that $A \leq CB$. The notation $A \sim_\alpha B$ means that $A \lesssim_\alpha B$ and $B \lesssim_\alpha A$. We denote a commutator by $[X, Y] = XY - YX$.

We write \mathcal{S} for the set of all Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R}^3 , and we write \mathcal{S}' for the set of all tempered distributions on \mathbb{R}^3 . The notations $\hat{\cdot}$ and \mathcal{F} stand for the Fourier transform

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(x) dx,$$

and the notation \mathcal{F}^{-1} denotes the inverse Fourier transform. We denote the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ inner product by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u v dx.$$

Let Z be a Banach space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. We denote by $L^p(I; Z)$ the space of all strongly measurable functions $f : I \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p(I; Z)} = \| \|f(t)\|_Z \|_{L_t^p(I)} < \infty.$$

Similarly, $C(I; Z)$ denotes the space of continuous functions $f : I \rightarrow Z$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C(I; Z)} = \sup_{t \in I} \|f(t)\|_Z < \infty.$$

For $I = [0, T_0)$ with $0 < T_0 \leq \infty$, we write $L_{T_0}^p(Z)$ and $C_{T_0}(Z)$ instead of $L^p(I; Z)$ and $C(I; Z)$, respectively. In particular, if $T_0 = \infty$, we simply write $L^p(Z)$ and $C(Z)$ by omitting the subscript.

2 Preliminary

In this section, we present several lemmas related to the homogeneous Besov space, which are frequently used in this paper. The following basic properties for the homogeneous Besov space are proved in [1, Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.22, Theorem 2.25 and Proposition 2.29].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $s, \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq r, \tilde{r} \leq \infty$ and $u, v \in \mathcal{S}'$.*

- (i) (Derivative) *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, it follows that $\|\nabla^k u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s} \sim \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s+k}}$.*
- (ii) (Duality) *Let r' be a conjugate exponent of r . Then, we have the following duality estimate:*

$$\langle u, v \rangle \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{p,r}^s} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r'}^{-s}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s} \lesssim \sup_{\psi} \langle u, \psi \rangle,$$

where the supremum is taken over the Schwartz functions ψ with

$$\|\psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r'}^{-s}} \leq 1$$

and $0 \notin \text{supp } \mathcal{F}\psi$.

- (iii) (Interpolation) Let $s_1 < s_2$ satisfy $s = (1 - \theta)s_1 + \theta s_2$ for some $0 < \theta < 1$. Then, the interpolation inequality

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s} \lesssim_{\theta,s_1,s_2} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_1}}^{1-\theta} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_2}}^{\theta} \quad (6)$$

holds.

- (iv) (Fatou property) Assume $s < 3/2$ or $s = 3/2$, $r = 1$. If $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ is a bounded sequence in $\dot{B}_{2,r}^s \cap \dot{B}_{2,\tilde{r}}^{\tilde{s}}$, then there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}_n$ (without relabeling) and $u \in \dot{B}_{2,r}^s \cap \dot{B}_{2,\tilde{r}}^{\tilde{s}}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = u \text{ in } \mathcal{S}' \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s \cap \dot{B}_{2,\tilde{r}}^{\tilde{s}}} \lesssim \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s \cap \dot{B}_{2,\tilde{r}}^{\tilde{s}}}.$$

The following embedding property holds. (Cf. [1, Proposition 2.20, Proposition 2.39 and Theorem 2.40].)

Lemma 2.2. *For any $1 \leq p \leq 2$, the space L^p is continuously embedded in the space $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-3(1/p-1/2)}$. For any $2 \leq q \leq \infty$, the space $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3(1/2-1/q)}$ is continuously embedded in the space L^q .*

The following commutator estimate is the special case of [1, Lemma 2.100 and Remark 2.102].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $-3/2 < s < 5/2$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$. Then, we have*

$$\left\| \left(2^{js} \| [\dot{\Delta}_j, v \partial_k] u \|_{L^2} \right)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \right\|_{\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})} \lesssim_s \| \nabla v \|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \| u \|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s}$$

for any $1 \leq k \leq 3$, where u and v are scalar functions.

We state the bilinear estimate in the homogeneous Besov space. The following lemma is a direct consequence of [1, Theorem 2.47 and Theorem 2.52].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $s_1, s_2 < 3/2$ and $s_1 + s_2 > 0$. Let $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq \infty$ satisfy $1/r_1 + 1/r_2 = 1/r$. Then, we have*

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim_{s_1, s_2} \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r_1}^{s_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r_2}^{s_2}}.$$

In the cases $s_1 \leq 3/2$, $s_2 < 3/2$ with $s_1 + s_2 \geq 0$, we have

$$\|uv\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_1+s_2-\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{s_1}} \|v\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_2}}.$$

We use the following lemmas regarding the composition of functions. (Cf. [1, Theorem 2.61].)

Lemma 2.5. *Let $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, $c > 0$, $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\sigma \in \dot{B}_{2,r}^s \cap L^\infty$ with $s < 2/3$, $1 \leq \infty$ or $s = 3/2$, $r = 1$ satisfies*

$$\|\sigma\|_{L^\infty} \leq c.$$

Then, we have

$$\|\Phi(\sigma)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s} \lesssim_{c,\Phi} \|\sigma\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s}.$$

By applying Lemma 2.5 for the difference of composite functions

$$\Phi(\sigma) - \Phi(\eta) = \int_0^1 (\Phi'(\eta + t(\sigma - \eta)) - \Phi'(0))(\sigma - \eta) dt + \Phi'(0)(\sigma - \eta),$$

we then obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, $c > 0$, $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and $\sigma, \eta \in \dot{B}_{2,r}^s \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}$ with $-3/2 \leq s < 3/2$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ or $s = 3/2$, $r = 1$ satisfy*

$$\|(\sigma, \eta)\|_{L^\infty} \leq c.$$

Then, we have

$$\|\Phi(\sigma) - \Phi(\eta)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s} \lesssim_{c,\Phi} (1 + \|(\sigma, \eta)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}}) \|\sigma - \eta\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s}. \quad (7)$$

3 Existence and stability of time-periodic solution

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we shall investigate the initial value problem of (1):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho v) = 0, \\ \partial_t v + v \cdot \nabla v = \frac{\mu}{\rho} \Delta v + \frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho} \nabla \operatorname{div} v - \frac{\nabla P}{\rho} + f, \\ \partial_t \theta + v \cdot \nabla \theta + \frac{\theta \partial_\theta P}{c_V \rho} \operatorname{div} v = \frac{\kappa}{c_V \rho} \Delta \theta + \frac{\Psi}{c_V \rho}, \\ (\rho, v, \theta)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, v_0, \theta_0) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

in $(0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ for given $T_1 > 0$.

3.1 A priori estimate

We start with a priori estimates for an initial value problem (8).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$, $0 < T_1 < \infty$ and*

$$f \in C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{k-1}).$$

Suppose that the pair of functions (ρ, v, θ) with

$$(\rho - \rho_\infty, v, \theta - \theta_\infty) \in C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k) \quad (9)$$

is a solution of (8) in $(0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^3$. Then, there exists a constant $\delta_1 > 0$ such that if

$$\|(\rho - \rho_\infty, v, \theta - \theta_\infty)\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k)} + \|f\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{k-1})} \leq \delta_1, \quad (10)$$

then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\rho - \rho_\infty, v, \theta - \theta_\infty)\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k)} \\ & \lesssim \|(\rho_0 - \rho_\infty, v_0, \theta_0 - \theta_\infty)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k} + \|f\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{k-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 5}$, $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ and the pair of functions $(\rho_1, v_1, \theta_1), (\rho_2, v_2, \theta_2)$ be solutions of (8) in $(0, T_1) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with initial data $(\rho_{0,1}, v_{0,1}, \theta_{0,1}), (\rho_{0,2}, v_{0,2}, \theta_{0,2})$, respectively. Suppose that the time-periodic*

force f and the solutions (ρ_1, v_1, θ_1) , (ρ_2, v_2, θ_2) satisfy (9) and (10) with small $\delta_1 > 0$. Then, if the initial data satisfy

$$(\rho_{0,1} - \rho_{0,2}, v_{0,1} - v_{0,2}, \theta_{0,1} - \theta_{0,2}) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_0}$$

for some $-3/2 \leq s_0 \leq 1/2$, then we have the time-weighted estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} (1+t)^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} \|(\rho_1 - \rho_2, v_1 - v_2, \theta_1 - \theta_2)(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s \cap \dot{H}^4} \\ \lesssim_s \|(\rho_{0,1} - \rho_{0,2}, v_{0,1} - v_{0,2}, \theta_{0,1} - \theta_{0,2})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_0} \cap \dot{H}^4} \end{aligned}$$

for any $-3/2 + \epsilon \leq s \leq 3/2 - \epsilon$ with $s \geq s_0$.

The proofs of Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 below proceed in parallel. To derive a priori estimates in the homogeneous Besov spaces, we reformulate equation (1). Let (ρ, v, θ) be a solution of (1) with an external force f . Set

$$\sigma = \rho - \rho_\infty, \quad m = \rho v \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \theta - \theta_\infty.$$

We introduce the modified energy functional $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(\sigma, v, \eta)$ by

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2} \right) m \cdot v + c_V \rho \eta + \frac{p_1}{2\rho_\infty} \left(1 + \theta_\infty \left(\frac{q_2}{p_2} - \frac{q_1}{p_1} \right) \right) \sigma^2,$$

where $p_1 = \partial_\rho P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty)$, $p_2 = \partial_\theta P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty)$, $q_1 = \partial_\rho^2 P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty)$ and $q_2 = \partial_\rho \partial_\theta P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty)$. Since we have the identities

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_t (\sigma^2) = -\rho_\infty \sigma \operatorname{div} v - \sigma \operatorname{div}(\sigma v),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \partial_t (m \cdot v) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}((m \cdot v)v) \\ = (\mu \Delta v + (\mu + \mu') \nabla \operatorname{div} v) \cdot v - v \cdot \nabla P + f \cdot m, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial_t(\rho \eta) + \operatorname{div}(\eta m) + \frac{\theta \partial_\theta P}{c_V} \operatorname{div} v = \frac{\kappa}{c_V} \Delta \eta + \frac{\Psi}{c_V},$$

the pair of functions (σ, m, \mathcal{E}) satisfies the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \sigma + \operatorname{div} m = 0, \\ \partial_t m - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \mathcal{A} m + p_1 \nabla \sigma + \frac{p_2}{c_V \rho_\infty} \nabla \mathcal{E} = G + \rho f, \\ \partial_t \mathcal{E} - \frac{\kappa}{c_V \rho_\infty} \Delta \mathcal{E} + \frac{p_2 \theta_\infty}{c_V \rho_\infty} \operatorname{div} m = H + \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2} \right) f \cdot m, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \mu\Delta m - (\mu + \mu')\nabla\text{div}$, $p_1 = \partial_\rho P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty)$, $p_2 = \partial_\theta P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty)$, the functions $G = G(\sigma, v, \eta)$ and $H = H_1 + H_2 = H_1(\sigma, v, \eta) + H_2(\sigma, v, \eta)$ are given by

$$G = -\text{div} \left(\frac{1}{\rho} m \otimes m \right) - p_2 \nabla \mathcal{R} - \nabla Q_1 + \mathcal{A} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \right) m \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= -c_V \text{div}(\eta m) - \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2} \right) (p_1 \text{div}(\sigma v) + p_2 \text{div}(\eta v)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2} \right) \text{div}((m \cdot v)v) - \kappa \Delta \mathcal{R} \\ H_2 &= - \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2} \right) v \cdot \nabla Q_1 - Q_2 \text{div} v \\ &\quad - \frac{p_1}{\rho_\infty} \left(1 + \theta_\infty \left(\frac{q_2}{p_2} - \frac{q_1}{p_1} \right) \right) \sigma \text{div}(\sigma v) \\ &\quad + \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2} \right) (\mathcal{A}v) \cdot v + \Psi. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the functions $Q_1 = Q_1(\sigma, \eta)$, $Q_2 = Q_2(\sigma, \eta)$ and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\sigma, v, \eta)$ are given by

$$Q_1 = P - P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty) - p_1 \sigma - p_2 \eta,$$

$$Q_2 = \theta \partial_\theta P - \theta_\infty p_2 - \theta_\infty q_1 \sigma - (p_2 + \theta_\infty q_2) \eta$$

and

$$\mathcal{R} = \eta - \frac{1}{c_V \rho_\infty} \mathcal{E}.$$

By rewriting the equations using the modified energy functional \mathcal{E} , the nonlinear terms (G, H) in (11) are decomposed into (G, H_1) , in which all terms are in divergence or potential form, and H_2 , which consists only of higher-order terms.

We shall rewrite the equation (11) by using the linearized semigroup around the constant state. Let

$$U = U(\sigma, v, \eta) = \left(\sqrt{p_1} \sigma, \quad m, \quad \sqrt{\frac{c_V}{\theta_\infty}} \mathcal{E} \right)^\top.$$

Then, the Duhamel principle implies

$$U(t) = e^{tA}U_0 + \int_0^t e^{\tau A} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ G + \rho f \\ \sqrt{\frac{c}{\theta_\infty}} H + \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2}\right) \rho f \cdot v \end{bmatrix} (t - \tau) d\tau$$

where $U_0 = U|_{t=0}$ and e^{tA} is a Fourier multiplier with symbol $e^{t\hat{A}(\xi)}$,

$$\hat{A}(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i\sqrt{p_1}\xi^\top & 0 \\ -i\sqrt{p_1}\xi & -\frac{\mu}{\rho_\infty}|\xi|^2 I_3 + \frac{\mu+\mu'}{\rho_\infty}\xi \otimes \xi & -i\frac{p_2}{\rho_\infty}\sqrt{\frac{\theta_\infty}{c_V}}\xi \\ 0 & -\frac{p_2}{\rho_\infty}\sqrt{\frac{\theta_\infty}{c_V}}\xi^\top & -\frac{\kappa}{c\rho_\infty}|\xi|^2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (12)$$

The 5×5 matrix $\hat{A}(\xi)$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_n(\xi)$, $n = 1, \dots, 4$, which satisfy that there exist constants $r_0, \beta > 0$, such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n(\xi) \sim -|\xi|^2 \quad \text{for } |\xi| \leq r_0 \quad (13)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda_n(\xi) \leq -\beta \quad \text{for } |\xi| > r_0 \quad (14)$$

for $n = 1, \dots, 4$. Furthermore, the spectral resolutions

$$e^{t\hat{A}(\xi)} = \sum_{n=1}^4 e^{t\lambda_n(\xi)} P_n(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (15)$$

hold, where $P_n(\xi)$ is the corresponding projection matrix. The proofs of (13), (14) and (15) are found in [13, Lemma 3.1], [7, Lemma 4.2]. Define the low-frequency cut-off operator \dot{S}_j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\dot{S}_j u = \sum_{j' < j} \dot{\Delta}_{j'} u, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}'.$$

Then, the spectral properties (13) and (14) give the following estimate for the semigroup e^{tA} . Here, we note that Danchin [2, Proposition 2.2] has already shown the following estimates for the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $s, s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $s_0 \leq s$. Then, there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\|\dot{S}_{j_0} e^{tA} V_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^s} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{s-s_0}{2}} \|V_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s_0}}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (16)$$

for any $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, $V_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_0}$ and

$$\|(1 - \dot{S}_{j_0})e^{tA}V_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s_0}} \lesssim e^{-ct}\|V_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s_0}}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (17)$$

for any $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, $V_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s$, where $c > 0$ is a constant. Furthermore, for any $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, we have

$$\|\dot{S}_{j_0}e^{tA}V_0\|_{L^r(\dot{B}_{2,r}^s)} \lesssim \|V_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,r}^{s-\frac{2}{r}}}, \quad V_0 \in \dot{B}_{2,r}^{s-\frac{2}{r}}. \quad (18)$$

The estimates (16), (17) and (18) remain valid when A is replaced by its adjoint A^* .

Proof. From (13) and (14), it follows that there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$|\phi(2^{-j}\xi)e^{t\lambda_n(\xi)}| \lesssim \begin{cases} e^{-c_1t|\xi|^2} & \text{if } j < j_0, \\ e^{-c_2t} & \text{if } j \geq j_0. \end{cases}$$

for any $n = 1, \dots, 4$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Then, the spectral resolutions (15) yield

$$2^{sj}\|\dot{\Delta}_j\dot{S}_{j_0}e^{tA}V_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim_{r_0} 2^{sj}e^{-\tilde{c}_12^{2j}t}\|\dot{\Delta}_jV_0\|_{L^2} \quad (19)$$

and

$$2^{sj}\|\dot{\Delta}_j(1 - \dot{S}_{j_0})e^{tA}V_0\|_{L^2} \lesssim_{r_0} e^{-\tilde{c}_2t}2^{sj}\|\dot{\Delta}_jV_0\|_{L^2}, \quad (20)$$

where $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2 > 0$ are constants. By taking the $\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})$ norm and the $L^r(\ell^r(\mathbb{Z}))$ norm, respectively, of both sides of (19), we obtain the estimates (16) and (18), respectively. The estimate (17) is obtained by taking the $\ell^r(\mathbb{Z})$ norm of both sides of (20). \square

The following lemma will be used to derive the time-weighted estimate. The proof of Lemma 3.4 below is the same as in [3, Proposition 5.2].

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\tilde{T}_1 > 0$, $-3/2 \leq s_0 \leq 1/2$ and $\Phi \in L_{T_2}^\infty(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_1-2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{3/2})$ with $s_1 = \max\{s_0, 0\}$. For any $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$, we set*

$$D_\epsilon = \sup_{s_1 \leq s \leq \frac{3}{2} - \epsilon} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tilde{T}_1} (1+t)^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} \|\Phi(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s-2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

then we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tilde{T}_1} (1+t)^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} \left\| \int_0^t e^{\tau A} \Phi(t-\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s} \lesssim_\epsilon D_\epsilon \quad (21)$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\max\{s_0, -3/2 + \epsilon\} \leq s \leq 3/2 - \epsilon$.

Proof. We first show the estimate (21) with $s = 3/2 - \epsilon$. Let

$$\alpha_0 = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } -3/2 \leq s_0 \leq 0, \\ 5/2 & \text{if } 0 < s_0 \leq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

For any test function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$, by Lemma 2.1 (ii),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \dot{S}_{j_0} \int_0^t e^{\tau A} \Phi(t - \tau) d\tau, \psi \right\rangle &= \int_0^t \langle \Phi(t - \tau), \dot{S}_{j_0} e^{\tau A^*} \psi \rangle d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|\Phi(t - \tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}} \|\dot{S}_{j_0} e^{\tau A^*} \psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{\frac{t}{2}}^t \|\Phi(t - \tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon-\alpha_0}} \|\dot{S}_{j_0} e^{\tau A^*} \psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\alpha_0-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\dot{S}_{j_0} e^{\tau A^*} \psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\alpha_0-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}} &\lesssim (1 + \tau)^{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \|\psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}} \\ &\lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \|\psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}}, \quad \frac{t}{2} \leq \tau \leq t, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_0^{\frac{t}{2}} \|\dot{S}_{j_0} e^{\tau A^*} \psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}} d\tau \lesssim \|\psi\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}},$$

so that we obtain

$$\left\langle \dot{S}_{j_0} \int_0^t e^{\tau A} \Phi(t - \tau) d\tau, \psi \right\rangle \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{s_0 + \epsilon}{2}} D_\epsilon.$$

Lemma 2.1 (ii) now shows that

$$\left\| \dot{S}_{j_0} \int_0^t e^{\tau A} \Phi(t - \tau) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}} \lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{s_0 + \epsilon}{2}} D_\epsilon.$$

By Lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (1 - \dot{S}_{j_0}) \int_0^t e^{\tau A} \Phi(t - \tau) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}} &\lesssim \int_0^t e^{-ct} \|\Phi(t - \tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim (1 + t)^{-\frac{3}{4} + \frac{s_0 + \epsilon}{2}} D_\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain the estimate (21) with $s = 3/2 - \epsilon$. Next, we show the estimate (21) with $s = \max\{s_0, -3/2 + \epsilon\}$. If $s < 1/2$, then by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t e^{\tau A} \Phi(t - \tau) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s} &\lesssim \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{s_0}{2}} \|\Phi(t - \tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s} d\tau \\ &\lesssim D_\epsilon \int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{-\frac{3}{4} - \frac{s}{2}} (1 + t - \tau)^{-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{s}{2}} d\tau \\ &\lesssim D_\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If $s = 1/2$, then Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{\tau A} \Phi(t - \tau) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim D_\epsilon.$$

By interpolating the estimates in (21) with $s = \max\{s_0, -3/2 + \epsilon\}$ and $s = 3/2 - \epsilon$, we obtain the estimate (21) for any $\max\{s_0, -3/2 + \epsilon\} \leq s \leq 3/2 - \epsilon$. \square

The following proposition shows the time-weighted estimate in $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s$ for the differences

$$\tilde{\sigma} := \rho_1 - \rho_2, \quad \tilde{v} := v_1 - v_2, \quad \tilde{\eta} := \eta_1 - \eta_2.$$

Proposition 3.5. *Under the assumption of Proposition 3.2 with small $\delta_1 > 0$, for any $-3/2 + \epsilon \leq s \leq 3/2 - \epsilon$ and $-3/2 \leq s_0 \leq 1/2$ with $s_0 \leq s$, we have*

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} (1 + t)^{\frac{s-s_0}{2}} \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s} \lesssim_\epsilon \delta_1 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(T_1) + \|(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{v}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_0} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}},$$

where $\tilde{\sigma}_0 = \rho_{0,1} - \rho_{0,2}$, $\tilde{v}_0 = v_{0,1} - v_{0,2}$, $\tilde{\eta}_0 = \theta_{0,1} - \theta_{0,2}$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_\epsilon(T_1) = \sup_{s_1 \leq \tilde{s} \leq \frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} (1 + t)^{\frac{\tilde{s}-s_0}{2}} \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\tilde{s}} \cap \dot{H}^4}, \quad s_1 = \max\{0, s_0\}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 and the assumption (10), if $\delta_1 > 0$ is small enough, then

$$\|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s} \sim_{\delta_1, \epsilon} \|\tilde{U}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s}$$

for any $-3/2 + \epsilon \leq s \leq 3/2 - \epsilon$, where $\tilde{U} := U(\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - U(\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)$. Thus, by Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that

$$\|(\tilde{G}, \tilde{H}_1)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s-2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim \delta_1 \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s \cap \dot{H}^4} \quad (22)$$

for any $s_1 \leq s \leq 3/2 - \epsilon$, where $\tilde{G} = G(\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - G(\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)$, $\tilde{H}_1 = H_1(\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - H_1(\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)$, and

$$\left\| \int_0^t e^{\tau A} \tilde{\Gamma}(t - \tau) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s} \lesssim \delta_1 (1 + t)^{-\frac{s-s_0}{2}} \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(T_1) \quad (23)$$

for any $s_1 \leq s \leq 3/2 - \epsilon$, where $\tilde{\Gamma} = \Gamma(\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - \Gamma(\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)$ with

$$\Gamma(\sigma, v, \eta) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \rho f \\ \sqrt{\frac{c}{\theta_\infty}} H_2(\sigma, v, \eta) + \left(1 + \theta_\infty \frac{q_2}{p_2}\right) \rho f \cdot v \end{bmatrix}.$$

We first show the estimate (23). Applying Taylor's theorem for $Q_1 = Q_1(\sigma, \eta)$, $Q_2 = Q_2(\sigma, \eta)$, respectively, there are smooth functions $R_1 = R_1(\sigma, \eta)$, $R_2 = R_2(\sigma, \eta)$ with $R_1(0, 0) = R_2(0, 0) = 0$ such that

$$Q_1 = \frac{1}{2}[\sigma, \eta](D^2 P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty) + R_1)[\sigma, \eta]^\top$$

and

$$Q_2 = \frac{1}{2}[\sigma, \eta](D^2 \partial_\theta P(\rho_\infty, \theta_\infty) + R_2)[\sigma, \eta]^\top,$$

where $D^2 P$ and $D^2 \partial_\theta P$ are the Hesse matrices. Since terms $v \cdot \nabla Q_1(\sigma, \eta)$ and $Q_2(\sigma, \eta) \operatorname{div} v$ consist of product of at least three functions, Lemma 2.4 shows that

$$\|v_1 \cdot \nabla Q_1(\sigma_1, \eta_1) - v_2 \cdot \nabla Q_1(\sigma_2, \eta_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim_{\delta_1} \delta_1^2 \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} \cap \dot{H}^3}$$

and

$$\|Q_2(\sigma_1, \eta_1) \operatorname{div} v_1 - Q_2(\sigma_2, \eta_2) \operatorname{div} v_2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim_{\delta_1} \delta_1^2 \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} \cap \dot{H}^3}.$$

Thus, Lemma 2.4 shows

$$\|H_2(\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - H_2(\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim \delta_1 \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} \cap \dot{H}^3}.$$

By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \int_0^t e^{tA} \tilde{\Gamma}(t-\tau) d\tau \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s} &\lesssim \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s}{2}} \|\tilde{\Gamma}(t-\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s} d\tau \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s}{2}} \|\tilde{\Gamma}(t-\tau)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{2}{3}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s} d\tau \\
&\lesssim (\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}} + \delta_1^2) \int_0^t (1+\tau)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s}{2}} \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} \cap \dot{H}^3} d\tau \\
&\lesssim \delta_1 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(T_1) (1+t)^{-\frac{s-s_0}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We proceed to show that the estimate in (22). By Lemma 2.4, for any $s_1 \leq s \leq 3/2 - \epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\operatorname{div}(\rho_1 v_1 \otimes v_1) - \operatorname{div}(\rho_2 v_2 \otimes v_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s-2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\rho_1 v_1 \otimes v_1 - \rho_2 v_2 \otimes v_2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{5}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \delta_1 \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s \cap \dot{H}^3},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|\nabla \mathcal{R}(\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - \nabla \mathcal{R}(\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s-2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim \delta_1 \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s \cap \dot{H}^3},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Delta \mathcal{R}(\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - \Delta \mathcal{R}(\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s-2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \|\mathcal{R}(\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - \mathcal{R}(\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{7}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \delta_1 \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s \cap \dot{H}^4},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\operatorname{div}((\rho_1 v_1 \cdot v_1) v_1) - \operatorname{div}((\rho_2 v_2 \cdot v_2) v_2)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s-2} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \|(\rho_1 v_1 \cdot v_1) v_1 - (\rho_2 v_2 \cdot v_2) v_2\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s-1} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{5}{2}}} \\
&\lesssim \delta_1 \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^s \cap \dot{H}^3}
\end{aligned}$$

The estimates for the remaining terms in \tilde{G} and \tilde{H}_1 follow similarly. \square

By repeating the proof of Proposition 3.5 for (σ, v, η) in the case $s = s_0 = 1/2$, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. *Under the assumption of Proposition 3.1 with small $\delta_1 > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} &\lesssim \delta_1 \|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^3)} \\ &\quad + \|(\sigma_0, v_0, \eta_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \|f\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \cap \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_0 = \rho_0 - \rho_\infty$ and $\eta_0 = \theta_0 - \theta_\infty$.

The estimates in the homogeneous Sobolev spaces \dot{H}^k are shown using the energy method for a solution to the initial value problem (8).

Proposition 3.7. *Under the assumption of Proposition 3.1 with small $\delta_1 > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k)} &\lesssim \|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\quad + \|(\sigma_0, v_0, \eta_0)\|_{\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k} + \|f\|_{C_{T_1}(H^{k-1})}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Proof. Since (σ, v, η) satisfies (1), we have the energy identities:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \left\| \nabla^\ell \left(\frac{p_1}{\rho_\infty^2} \sigma, v, \frac{c}{\theta_\infty} \eta \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ \frac{\mu}{\rho_\infty} \|\nabla^{\ell+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho_\infty} \|\nabla^\ell \operatorname{div} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{\rho_\infty \theta_\infty} \|\nabla^{\ell+1} \eta\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \frac{p_1}{\rho_\infty^2} \langle \nabla^\ell \operatorname{div}(\sigma v), \nabla^\ell \sigma \rangle + \langle \nabla^\ell R_1, \nabla^\ell v \rangle + \left\langle \frac{1}{\theta} \nabla^\ell R_2, \nabla^\ell \eta \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \langle \nabla^{\ell-1} v, \nabla^{\ell-1} \nabla \sigma \rangle + \frac{P'(\rho_\infty)}{\rho_\infty} \|\nabla^\ell \sigma\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &= \rho_\infty \|\nabla^{\ell-1} \operatorname{div} v\|_{L^2}^2 - \langle \nabla^{\ell-1} v, \nabla^{\ell-1} \nabla \operatorname{div}(\sigma v) \rangle \\ &\quad + \left\langle \nabla^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \mathcal{A} v + R_1 \right), \nabla^{\ell-1} \nabla \sigma \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for any $\ell = 2, \dots, k$, where the functions $R_1 = R_1(\sigma, v, \eta)$, $R_2 = R_2(\sigma, v, \eta)$ and $R_3 = R_3(\sigma, v, \eta)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= -v \cdot \nabla v + \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \right) \mathcal{A} v \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \right) (p_1 \nabla \sigma + p_2 \nabla \eta) - \frac{\nabla Q_1}{\rho} + f \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R_2 = -cv \cdot \nabla \eta - \left(\frac{\theta \partial_\theta P}{\rho} - \frac{\theta_\infty p_2}{\rho_\infty} \right) \operatorname{div} v + \kappa \left(\frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \right) \Delta \theta + \frac{\Psi}{\rho}.$$

For any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3$ with $|\alpha| = k$,

$$\langle \partial_x^\alpha \operatorname{div}(\sigma v), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{div} v \partial_x^\alpha \sigma, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma \rangle + \sum_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \langle \operatorname{div}(\partial_x^\beta \sigma \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} v), \partial_x^\alpha \sigma \rangle, \quad (27)$$

so that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \nabla^\ell \operatorname{div}(\sigma v), \nabla^\ell \sigma \rangle| \\ & \lesssim \|v\|_{\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^{k+1}} \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^k}^2 + \|v\|_{\dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^{k+1}} \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k} \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^k}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for any $\ell = 1, \dots, k$. Sobolev's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|R_1\|_{\dot{H}^{\ell-1}} + \|R_2\|_{\dot{H}^{\ell-1}} \\ & \lesssim \|(v, \eta)\|_{\dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^{k+1}} \|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^{k+1}} + \|f\|_{H^{k-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

for $\ell = 1, \dots, k$. For $\gamma > 0$, we define the energy function

$$E_k(t) := \sum_{\ell=1}^k \left\| \nabla^\ell \left(\frac{p_1}{\rho_\infty^2} \sigma, v, \frac{c}{\theta_\infty} \eta \right) (t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \gamma \sum_{\ell=2}^k \langle \nabla^{\ell-1} v(t), \nabla^{\ell-1} \nabla \sigma(t) \rangle$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_1$. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$E_k \lesssim \|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|(v, \eta)\|_{\dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^{k+1}}^2 + \|\sigma\|_{\dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^k}^2.$$

for $0 \leq t \leq T_1$. Combining (25), (26), (28) and (29), if $\delta_1 > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ are small enough, then there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_k + c E_k \lesssim \|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{C_T(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + \|f\|_{C_{T_1}(H^{k-1})}^2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T_1.$$

Therefore, Grönwall's inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} E_k(t) & \lesssim e^{-ct} E_k(0) + \int_0^t e^{-c\tau} d\tau (\|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + \|f\|_{C_{T_1}(H^{k-1})}^2) \\ & \lesssim E_k(0) + \|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{C_{T_1}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + \|f\|_{C_{T_1}(H^{k-1})}^2 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T_1, \end{aligned}$$

so that we obtain the estimate (24) when $\gamma > 0$ is small. \square

Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 now lead to Proposition 3.1. The following proposition shows the time-weighted estimate for the \dot{H}^4 norm. The proof is carried out in a similar manner to that of Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 3.8. *Under the assumption of Proposition 3.2, we have the estimate*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} (1+t)^{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\epsilon + s_0}{2}} \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})(t)\|_{\dot{H}^4} \\ \lesssim_{\epsilon} \tilde{D}(T_1) + \delta_1 \mathcal{D}_{\epsilon}(T_1) + \|(\tilde{\sigma}_0, \tilde{v}_0, \tilde{\eta}_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{s_0} \cap \dot{H}^4}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where

$$\tilde{D}(T_1) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1} (1+t)^{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\epsilon + s_0}{2}} \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2} - \epsilon}}$$

Proof. Since (σ_1, v_1, η_1) and (σ_2, v_2, η_2) satisfy (1), respectively, we have the energy estimate for $(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta}) = (\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - (\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \partial_t \left\| \nabla^4 \left(\frac{p_1}{\rho_{\infty}^2} \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \frac{c}{\theta_{\infty}} \tilde{\eta} \right) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + \frac{\mu}{\rho_{\infty}} \|\nabla^5 \tilde{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho_{\infty}} \|\nabla^4 \operatorname{div} \tilde{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{\rho_{\infty} \theta_{\infty}} \|\nabla^5 \tilde{\eta}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & = \frac{p_1}{\rho_{\infty}^2} \langle \nabla^4 (\operatorname{div}(\tilde{\sigma} v_1 + \sigma_2 \tilde{v})), \nabla^4 \tilde{\sigma} \rangle + \langle \nabla^4 \tilde{R}_1, \nabla^4 \tilde{v} \rangle \\ & \quad + \left\langle \nabla^4 \left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta_1} - \frac{1}{\theta_2} \right) R_2 \right), \nabla^4 \tilde{\eta} \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla^4 \left(\frac{1}{\theta_2} \tilde{R}_2 \right), \nabla^4 \tilde{\eta} \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \langle \nabla^3 \tilde{v}, \nabla^3 \nabla \tilde{\sigma} \rangle + \frac{P'(\rho_{\infty})}{\rho_{\infty}} \|\nabla^4 \tilde{\sigma}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & = \rho_{\infty} \|\nabla^3 \operatorname{div} \tilde{v}\|_{L^2}^2 - \langle \nabla^3 \tilde{v}, \nabla^3 \nabla \operatorname{div}(\tilde{\sigma} v_1 + \sigma_2 \tilde{v}) \rangle \\ & \quad + \left\langle \nabla^3 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{\infty}} \mathcal{A} \tilde{v} + \tilde{R}_1 \right), \nabla^3 \nabla \tilde{\sigma} \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $\tilde{R}_1 = R_1(\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - R_1(\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)$, $\tilde{R}_2 = R_2(\sigma_1, v_1, \eta_1) - R_2(\sigma_2, v_2, \eta_2)$. By Sobolev's inequality and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla^4 \tilde{R}_1, \nabla^4 \tilde{v} \rangle + \left\langle \nabla^4 \left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta_1} - \frac{1}{\theta_2} \right) R_2 \right), \nabla^4 \tilde{\eta} \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla^4 \left(\frac{1}{\theta_2} \tilde{R}_2 \right), \nabla^4 \tilde{\eta} \right\rangle \\ & \lesssim \delta_1 \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2} - \epsilon} \cap \dot{H}^4} \|\nabla^5(\tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{L^2} + \delta_1 \|\nabla^5(\tilde{v}, \tilde{\eta})\|_{L^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla^3 \tilde{v}, \nabla^3 \nabla \operatorname{div}(\tilde{\sigma} v_1 + \sigma_2 \tilde{v}) \rangle + \left\langle \nabla^3 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \mathcal{A} \tilde{v} + \tilde{R}_1 \right), \nabla^3 \nabla \tilde{\sigma} \right\rangle \\ & \lesssim \delta_1 \|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} \cap \dot{H}^3} \|(\nabla^5 \tilde{v}, \nabla^4 \tilde{\sigma})\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^5 \tilde{v}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^4 \tilde{\sigma}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the identity (27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla^4 (\operatorname{div}(\tilde{\sigma} v_1 + \sigma_2 \tilde{v})), \nabla^4 \tilde{\sigma} \rangle \\ & \lesssim \delta_1 (\|(\tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v})\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon} \cap \dot{H}^4} + \|\nabla^5 \tilde{v}\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla^4 \tilde{\sigma}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that the energy

$$E_\gamma(t) := \left\| \nabla^4 \left(\frac{p_1}{\rho_\infty^2} \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{v}, \frac{c}{\theta_\infty} \tilde{\eta} \right) (t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \gamma \langle \nabla^3 \tilde{v}(t), \nabla^3 \nabla \tilde{\sigma}(t) \rangle, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_1$$

satisfies the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_\gamma + c E_\gamma \lesssim (\tilde{D}(T_1) + \delta_1 \mathcal{D}_\epsilon(T_1))^2 (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon+s_0}$$

when $\gamma > 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ are sufficiently small. Then, Grönwall's inequality implies the estimate (30). \square

Now, Proposition 3.2 is obtained by Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.8.

3.2 Local existence

We will use the following local existence result to prove Theorem 1.1. The proof is carried out by establishing the local existence and estimates for both the transport equation and the quasilinear parabolic equation, and then combining these to construct a sequence of approximate solutions converging to the local solution.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$. Then there exist a constant c_2 with $0 < c_2 < 1$ such that if $(\sigma_0, v_0, \eta_0) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k$,*

$$\|\sigma_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq c_2 \rho_\infty$$

and $f \in C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-1/2} \cap \dot{H}^{k-1})$, then there exist constants $T_2 > 0$ and \tilde{c}_2 with $0 < \tilde{c}_2 < 1$ and a unique solution $(\rho, v, \eta) = (\sigma + \rho_\infty, v, \eta + \theta_\infty)$ of

(1) in $(0, T_2) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying $(\rho, v, \theta)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0, v_0, \theta_0) = (\sigma_0 + \rho_\infty, v, \eta)$,
 $(\sigma, v, \eta) \in C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k)$, $(v, \eta) \in L_{T_2}^2(\dot{H}^{k+1})$,

$$\|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k)} \leq 2\|(\sigma_0, v_0, \eta_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k}$$

and

$$\|\sigma\|_{C_{T_2}(L^\infty)} \leq \tilde{c}_2 \rho_\infty.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.2, there is a constant $C_2 > 1$ such that

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_2 \|\tilde{\sigma}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \quad \text{for any } \tilde{\sigma} \in \dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}.$$

Let $c_2 = 1/(2C_2)$ and assume that an initial value σ_0 satisfies

$$\|\sigma_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{3/2}} \leq c_2 \rho_\infty.$$

Then, we have

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \rho_\infty.$$

For fixed T_2 with $0 < T_2 \leq 1$, which we will choose later, we construct the approximate sequence $\{(\rho_n, v_n, \eta_n)\}_{n \geq 0} = \{(\rho_\infty + \sigma_n, v_n, \eta_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ with

$$\{(\sigma_n, v_n, \eta_n)\}_{n \geq 0} \subset C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k)$$

by induction. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Assume $(\sigma_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1}) \in C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k)$ satisfies $(v_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1}) \in C_{T_2}(\dot{H}^{k+1})$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\sigma_{n-1}, v_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1})\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k)} + \|(v_{n-1}, \eta_{n-1})\|_{L_{T_2}^2(\dot{H}^{k+1})} \\ & \leq 2\|(\sigma_0, v_0, \eta_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k} =: 2E_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\sigma_{n-1}\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{3/2})} \leq \tilde{c}_2 \rho_\infty,$$

where $\tilde{c}_2 := (1 + c_2)/2$. Let σ_n be a solution of the initial value problem of the transport equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \sigma_n + v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla \sigma_n = \tilde{F}_{n-1} & \text{in } (0, T_2) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \sigma_n|_{t=0} = \sigma_0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

where

$$\tilde{F}_{n-1} = -\sigma_{n-1} \operatorname{div} v_{n-1}.$$

By the identities

$$-\langle v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla \nabla^k \sigma_n, \nabla^k \sigma_n \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{div} v_{n-1} \nabla^k \sigma_n, \nabla^k \sigma_n \rangle$$

and, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -2^j \langle \dot{\Delta}_j (v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla \sigma_n), \dot{\Delta}_j \sigma_n \rangle \\ & = \frac{1}{2} 2^j \langle \operatorname{div} v_{n-1} \dot{\Delta}_j \sigma_n, \dot{\Delta}_j \sigma_n \rangle - 2^j \langle [\dot{\Delta}_j, v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla] \sigma_n, \dot{\Delta}_j \sigma_n \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from Lemma 2.4 that, for any $0 \leq t \leq T_2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_0\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_0^t \langle \operatorname{div} v_{n-1} \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_n + 2\partial_x^\alpha \tilde{F}_{n-1}, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_n \rangle d\tau \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < \beta \leq \alpha} \int_0^t \langle \partial_x^\beta v_{n-1} \cdot \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} \nabla \sigma_n, \partial_x^\alpha \sigma_n \rangle d\tau \\ &\leq T_2 \|\operatorname{div} v_{n-1}\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k)} \|\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k)}^2 + T_2 E_0^2 \|\partial_x^\alpha \sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(L^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_n(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 - \|\sigma_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{3j} \int_0^t \langle \dot{\Delta}_j (v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla \sigma_n + 2\tilde{F}_{n-1}), \dot{\Delta}_j \sigma_n \rangle d\tau \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla v_{n-1}\|_{L_{T_2}^1(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \|\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})}^2 \\ &\quad + (T_2 E_0 + T_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|v_{n-1}\|_{L_{T_2}^2(\dot{H}^{k+1})}) \|\sigma_{n-1}\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \|\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \\ &\lesssim T_2^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0 \|\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})}^2 + T_2^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0 \|\sigma_{n-1}\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \|\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 - \|\sigma_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \lesssim T_2^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0 \|\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + T_2 E_0^2 \|\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})},$$

so that there exist constants $\tilde{c} > 0$ such that if

$$T_2(E_0 + E_0^2) \leq \tilde{c},$$

then we obtain

$$\|\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k)} \leq 2E_0$$

and

$$\|\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}})} \leq \tilde{c}_2 \rho_\infty. \quad (34)$$

Let (v_n, η_n) be a solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_n - \frac{1}{\rho_{n-1}} \mathcal{A} v_n = \tilde{G}_{n-1} & \text{in } (0, T_2) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \partial_t \eta_n - \frac{\kappa}{c \rho_\infty} \Delta \eta_n = \tilde{H}_{n-1} & \text{in } (0, T_2) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (v_n, \eta_n)|_{t=0} = (v_0, \eta_0) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

where

$$\tilde{G}_{n-1} = -v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla v_{n-1} - \frac{\nabla(P(\rho_\infty + \sigma_{n-1}, \theta_\infty + \eta_{n-1}))}{\rho_\infty + \sigma_{n-1}} + f$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{n-1} = & -v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla \eta_{n-1} \\ & - \frac{(\theta_\infty + \eta_{n-1}) \partial_\theta P(\rho_\infty + \sigma_{n-1}, \theta_\infty + \eta_{n-1})}{c(\rho_\infty + \sigma_{n-1})} \operatorname{div} v_{n-1} + \frac{\Psi(v_{n-1})}{1 + \sigma_{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any $0 \leq t \leq T_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla^k v_n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\nabla^k v_0\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + \int_0^t \frac{\mu}{\rho_\infty + \sigma_{n-1}} \|\nabla^{k+1} v_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu + \mu'}{\rho_\infty + \sigma_{n-1}} \|\nabla^{k+1} v_n\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t (\|\sigma_{n-1}\|_{\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k} \|v_n\|_{\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k} + \|\tilde{G}_{n-1}\|_{\dot{H}^{k-1}}) \|\nabla^{k+1} v_n\|_{L^2} d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla^k \eta_n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\nabla^k \eta_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \frac{\kappa}{c(\rho_\infty + \sigma_{n-1})} \|\nabla^{k+1} \eta_n\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ & \lesssim \int_0^t (\|\sigma_{n-1}\|_{\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k} \|\eta_n\|_{\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k} + \|\tilde{H}_{n-1}\|_{\dot{H}^{k-1}}) \|\nabla^{k+1} \eta_n\|_{L^2} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and t with $0 \leq t \leq T_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^j \|\dot{\Delta}_j(v_n, \eta_n)(t)\|_{L^2}^2 - 2^j \|\dot{\Delta}_j(v_0, \eta_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& + 2^j \int_0^t \mu \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_j v_n\|_{L^2}^2 + (\mu + \mu') \|\operatorname{div} \dot{\Delta}_j v_n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{k}{c} \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_j \eta_n\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\
& \lesssim \int_0^t \|\sigma_{n-1}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|(v_n, \eta_n)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{k+1}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}j} \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_j(v_n, \eta_n)\|_{L^2} d\tau \\
& + \int_0^t \|(\tilde{G}_{n-1}, \tilde{H}_{n-1})\|_{L^2} 2^j \|\dot{\Delta}_j(v_n, \eta_n)\|_{L^2} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|(\tilde{G}_{n-1}, \tilde{H}_{n-1})\|_{H^{k-1}} \lesssim E_0 + E_0^2,$$

there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(v_n, \eta_n)\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k)} + \|(v_n, \eta_n)\|_{L_{T_2}^2(\dot{H}^{k+1})} \\
& \leq \|(\sigma_0, v_0, \eta_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k} + T_0^{\frac{1}{4}}(E_0 + E_0^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we take $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(E_0) > 0$ small enough, then we have

$$\|(\sigma_n, v_n, \eta_n)\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k)} + \|(v_n, \eta_n)\|_{L_{T_2}^2(\dot{H}^{k+1})} \leq 2E_0 \quad (36)$$

when $T_2^{\frac{1}{4}}(E_0 + E_0^2) \leq \tilde{c}$. Now, we obtain the sequence $\{(\rho_n, v_n, \eta_n)\}_{n \geq 0} = \{(\rho_\infty + \sigma_n, v_n, \eta_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$

$$\{(\sigma_n, v_n, \eta_n)\}_{n \geq 0} \subset C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k)$$

for fixed $T_2 > 0$ with $T_2^{\frac{1}{4}}(E_0 + E_0^2) \leq \tilde{c}$, which satisfies (33), (34), (35) and (36) for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $\delta\sigma_n = \sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}$, $\delta v_n = v_n - v_{n-1}$ and $\delta\eta_n = \eta_n - \eta_{n-1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We next show the contractivity estimate

$$\|(\delta\sigma_n, \delta v_n, \delta\eta_n)\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|(\delta\sigma_{n-1}, \delta v_{n-1}, \delta\eta_{n-1})\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \quad (37)$$

for small $T_2 > 0$. The pair of functions $(\delta v_n, \delta \eta_n)$ is a solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \delta v_n - \frac{1}{\rho_{n-1}} \mathcal{A} \delta v_n \\ \quad = -\frac{\delta \sigma_{n-1}}{\rho_{n-1} \rho_{n-2}} \mathcal{A} v_{n-1} + \delta \tilde{G}_{n-1} \quad \text{in } (0, T_2) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \partial_t \delta \eta_n - \frac{\kappa}{c \rho_{n-1}} \Delta \delta \eta_n = \delta \tilde{H}_{n-1} \quad \text{in } (0, T_2) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (\delta v_n, \delta \eta_n)|_{t=0} = (0, 0) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

where $\delta G_{n-1} = \tilde{G}_{n-1} - \tilde{G}_{n-2}$, $\delta \tilde{H}_{n-1} = \tilde{H}_{n-1} - \tilde{H}_{n-2}$. By Lemma 2.3, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{-\frac{1}{2}j} \left\| \left[\dot{\Delta}_j, \rho_{n-1}^{-1} \operatorname{div} \right] \nabla \delta v_n + \left[\dot{\Delta}_j, \rho_{n-1}^{-1} \nabla \right] \operatorname{div} \delta v_n \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \|\nabla \sigma_{n-1}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \|\delta v_n\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (34), for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^j \left\langle \frac{1}{\rho_{n-1}} \mathcal{A} \dot{\Delta}_j \delta v_n, \dot{\Delta}_j \delta v_n \right\rangle \\ & \gtrsim 2^j \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_j \delta v_n\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\nabla \sigma_{n-1}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\delta v_n\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\left\| \frac{\delta \sigma_{n-1}}{\rho_{n-1} \rho_{n-2}} \mathcal{A} v_{n-1} \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|\delta \sigma_{n-1}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|v_n\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{5}{2}}}.$$

Then, for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq t \leq T_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^j \|\dot{\Delta}_j \delta v_n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t 2^j \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_j \delta v_n\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \\ & \lesssim_{E_0} \int_0^t \|\nabla \sigma_{n-1}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{3}{2}}}^2 \|\delta v_n\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \left\| \frac{\delta \sigma_{n-1}}{\rho_{n-1} \rho_{n-2}} \mathcal{A} v_{n-1} \right\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \\ & \quad + \|\delta \tilde{G}_{n-1}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^2 d\tau \\ & \lesssim T_2 E_0^2 \|(\delta v_n, \delta \sigma_{n-1})\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + T_2 \|\delta \tilde{G}_{n-1}\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta\eta_n$ is a solution of (38), for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq t \leq T_2$, we have

$$2^j \|\dot{\Delta}_j \delta\eta_n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t 2^j \|\nabla \dot{\Delta}_j \delta\eta_n\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau \lesssim T_2 \|\delta\tilde{H}_{n-1}\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}})}^2.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\delta v_n, \delta\eta_n)\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} &\lesssim T_2^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0 \|(\delta v_n, \delta\sigma_{n-1})\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\quad + T_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|(\delta\tilde{G}_{n-1}, \delta\tilde{H}_{n-1})\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}})}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

The function $\delta\sigma_n$ satisfies the following initial value problem:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \delta\sigma_n + v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla \delta\sigma_n = -\delta v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla \sigma_{n-1} + \delta\tilde{F}_{n-1} & \text{in } (0, T_2) \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \delta\sigma_n|_{t=0} = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

where $\delta\tilde{F}_{n-1} = \tilde{F}_{n-1} - \tilde{F}_{n-2}$. By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2^j \|\dot{\Delta}_j \delta\sigma_n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2^j \int_0^t \langle v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla \dot{\Delta}_j \delta\sigma_n, \dot{\Delta}_j \delta\sigma_n \rangle d\tau \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t 2^j \|[\dot{\Delta}_j, v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla] \delta\sigma_n\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|-\delta v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla \sigma_{n-1} + \delta\tilde{F}_{n-1}\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 d\tau \\ &\lesssim T_2 E_0^2 \|(\delta\sigma_n, \delta v_{n-1})\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + T_2 \|\delta\tilde{F}_{n-1}\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

By the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v_{n-1} \cdot \nabla \dot{\Delta}_j \delta\sigma_n, \dot{\Delta}_j \delta\sigma_n \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle \operatorname{div} v_{n-1}, (\dot{\Delta}_j \delta\sigma_n)^2 \rangle \\ &\lesssim \|\operatorname{div} v_{n-1}\|_{L^\infty} \|\dot{\Delta}_j \delta\sigma_n\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from (41) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta\sigma_n\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} &\lesssim T_2^{\frac{1}{2}} E_0 \|(\delta\sigma_n, \delta v_{n-1})\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \\ &\quad + T_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\delta\tilde{F}_{n-1}\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\delta\tilde{F}_{n-1}\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} + \|(\delta\tilde{G}_{n-1}, \delta\tilde{H}_{n-1})\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}})} \\ & \lesssim (E_0 + E_0^2) \|(\delta\sigma_{n-1}, \delta v_{n-1}, \delta\eta_{n-1})\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

By (39), (42) and (43), if we take sufficiently small $T_2 > 0$, then the sequence $\{(\sigma_n, v_n, \eta_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfies (37). Therefore, the sequence $\{(\sigma_n, v_n, \eta_n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2})$, is a bounded sequence in $C_{T_2}(\dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k)$ and satisfies (34), so that the convergence limit $(\rho, v, \theta) = (\rho_\infty + \sigma, v, \theta_\infty + \eta)$ of the sequence $\{(\rho_n, v_n, \eta_n)\}$ is a solution of (1) which satisfies

$$\|(\sigma, v, \eta)\|_{C_{T_2}(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}})} \leq 2E_0.$$

and

$$\|\sigma\|_{C_{T_2}(L^\infty)} \leq \tilde{c}_2 \rho_\infty.$$

□

3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We now turn to prove Theorem 1.1. The following construction of time-periodic solutions is inspired by Valli [16], which concerns a time-periodic problem for the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equation in a bounded domain.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By combining Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.9, there is small $\delta > 0$ such that if initial data $(\rho_0, v_0, \theta_0) = (\rho_\infty, 0, \theta_\infty) + U_0$ and f satisfy

$$\|U_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k} + \|f\|_{C(\mathbb{R}; \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^{k-1})} \leq \delta,$$

then there exists a global solution $(\rho, v, \theta) = (\rho_\infty, 0, \theta_\infty) + U$ of (1) satisfying

$$\|U\|_{C(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k)} \lesssim \delta.$$

Let U^* be the global solution to (1) with zero initial data. Then, by taking $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 imply that

$$\|U^*\|_{C(\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cap \dot{H}^k)} \lesssim \delta$$

and

$$\|U^*(nT) - U^*(mT)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1 \cap \dot{H}^4} \lesssim ((n-m)T)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \delta$$

for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $m \leq n$. Since the sequence $\{U^*(nT)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ is bounded in $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k$ and is a Cauchy sequence in $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1 \cap \dot{H}^4$, there is $U_\infty^* \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U^*(nT) = U_\infty^* \quad \text{in} \quad \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1 \cap \dot{H}^4$$

and

$$\|U_\infty^*\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{1/2} \cap \dot{H}^k} \lesssim \delta.$$

Let $(\rho_T, v_T, \theta_T) = (\rho_\infty, 0, \theta_\infty) + U_T$ be a global solution of (1) with initial data $(\rho_\infty, 0, \theta_\infty) + U_\infty^*$. Then, by Proposition 3.2, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have

$$\|U_T(T) - U^*(nT)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1} \lesssim \|U_\infty^* - U^*((n-1)T)\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^1 \cap \dot{H}^4}. \quad (44)$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (44) yields $U_T(T) = U_\infty^*$. By the local in time uniqueness in Proposition 3.9, we conclude that (ρ_T, v_T, θ_T) is the desired time-periodic solution. The decay estimate (3) follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 (iii), Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 3.2. \square

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Professor Yoshiyuki Kagei for his valuable comments. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23KJ0942.

References

- [1] Hajer Bahouri, Jean-Yves Chemin, and Raphaël Danchin. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 343 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] Raphaël Danchin. Zero Mach number limit in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 35(1):27–75, 2002.

- [3] Naoto Deguchi. On the stability of stationary compressible Navier–Stokes flows in 3D. *Math. Ann.*, 390(3):4361–4404, 2024.
- [4] Eduard Feireisl, Piotr B. Mucha, Antonín Novotný, and Milan Pokorný. Time-periodic solutions to the full Navier-Stokes-Fourier system. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 204(3):745–786, 2012.
- [5] Giovanni P. Galdi and Ana L. Silvestre. On the motion of a rigid body in a Navier-Stokes liquid under the action of a time-periodic force. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 58(6):2805–2842, 2009.
- [6] Yoshiyuki Kagei and Kazuyuki Tsuda. Existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation for time periodic external force with symmetry. *J. Differential Equations*, 258(2):399–444, 2015.
- [7] Shuichi Kawashima, Akitaka Matsumura, and Takaaki Nishida. On the fluid-dynamical approximation to the Boltzmann equation at the level of the Navier-Stokes equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 70(2):97–124, 1979.
- [8] Teppei Kobayashi. Time periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations under general outflow condition. *Tokyo J. Math.*, 32(2):409–424, 2009.
- [9] Hideo Kozono and Mitsuhiro Nakao. Periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in unbounded domains. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 48(1):33–50, 1996.
- [10] Petr Kučera. The time-periodic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations with mixed boundary conditions. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, 3(2):325–337, 2010.
- [11] Hongfang Ma, Seiji Ukai, and Tong Yang. Time periodic solutions of compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 248(9):2275–2293, 2010.
- [12] Paolo Maremonti. Existence and stability of time-periodic solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in the whole space. *Nonlinearity*, 4(2):503–529, 1991.
- [13] Akitaka Matsumura and Takaaki Nishida. The initial value problem for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 55(9):337–342, 1979.

- [14] Sergey Nazarov and Konstantin Pileckas. On steady Stokes and Navier-Stokes problems with zero velocity at infinity in a three-dimensional exterior domain. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 40(3):475–492, 2000.
- [15] Kazuyuki Tsuda. On the existence and stability of time periodic solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation on the whole space. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 219(2):637–678, 2016.
- [16] Alberto Valli. Periodic and stationary solutions for compressible Navier-Stokes equations via a stability method. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 10(4):607–647, 1983.
- [17] Masao Yamazaki. The Navier-Stokes equations in the weak- L^n space with time-dependent external force. *Math. Ann.*, 317(4):635–675, 2000.