

# CARTIER DUALITY FOR GERBES OF VECTOR BUNDLES

JUAN ESTEBAN RODRÍGUEZ CAMARGO

ABSTRACT. We prove a Cartier duality for gerbes of algebraic and analytic vector bundles as an anti-equivalence of Hopf algebras in the category of kernels of analytic stacks. As an application, we prove that the category of solid quasi-coherent sheaves on the Hodge-Tate stack of a smooth rigid variety over an algebraically closed field  $C$  of mixed characteristic  $(0, p)$  is equivalent to the category of weight 1 sheaves on Bhatt-Zhang's Simpson gerbe.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction .....	1
1.1. The motivation behind .....	1
1.2. Content of the paper .....	2
1.3. Overview .....	4
1.4. Conventions .....	5
Acknowledgements .....	5
2. Preliminaries .....	5
2.1. Presentable category of kernels .....	5
2.2. Analytic stacks .....	9
2.3. Cartier duality in symmetric monoidal categories .....	11
2.4. Cartier duality in a six functor formalism .....	12
2.5. Descent and Cartier duality .....	16
3. Examples .....	24
3.1. Cartier duality for tori .....	25
3.2. Cartier duality for vector bundles .....	26
3.3. Cartier duality for gerbes .....	36
3.4. Application: Cartier duality for the Hodge-Tate stack and the Simpson gerbe .....	38
References .....	41

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. The motivation behind.** This paper has two main motivations. First, it is more natural to isolate the Cartier duality of analytic vector bundles discussed in [RC24] in a different document as these results could be of independent interest. Moreover, from the construction of the Cartier duality in [RC24], it is not clear in which sense Cartier duality is functorial, and whether it exchanges tensor products with convolutions (as it should be the case). In this paper we consider these questions more seriously, and provide a conceptual answer using the category of kernels of a six functor formalism. The first main goal of the paper is to establish a stacky formulation of the Cartier duality for vector bundles in Theorem 3.2.35.

Second, in joint work in progress with Anschütz, Le Bras and Scholze on the analytic prismaticization [ALBRCS], we introduce the *analytic Hodge-Tate stack*  $X^{\text{HT}}$  of a smooth rigid space  $X$ , an object whose category of perfect complexes is naturally equivalent to the category of perfect  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X$ -modules in the  $v$ -topology of  $X$ . Almost in parallel, Bhatt and Zhang introduced the *Simpson gerbe*  $\mathcal{S}_X$ , that is, a  $B\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor of the (Tate-twisted) analytic cotangent complex  $T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)$  of  $X$ . It is expected that the Hodge-Tate stack and the Simpson gerbe are Cartier dual to each other in a concrete sense. Indeed, if  $X$  is a rigid space over a perfectoid field  $K$ , the Hodge-Tate stack  $X^{\text{HT}}$  is a  $BT_X^\dagger(1)$ -torsor over  $X$  (when considered as analytic stacks), with  $T_X^\dagger \subset T_X^{\text{an}}$  the overconvergent neighbourhood at 0, and the Cartier duality of vector bundles

produces an equivalence of categories  $D(BT_X^\dagger) \cong D(T_X^{*,\text{an}})$ . Thus, the Cartier duality between the Simpson gerbe and the Hodge-Tate stack ought to be a twisted version of the Cartier duality of vector bundles, producing in particular a natural equivalence

$$D(X^{\text{HT}}) \cong D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=1}$$

where the right hand side consists of sheaves of weight 1 on the Simpson gerbe. The final result comparing the Hodge-Tate stack and the Simpson gerbe is Theorem 3.4.5.

It turns out that the Cartier duality for the Simpson gerbe is not special, and it holds *universally* for arbitrary gerbes of (analytic or algebraic) vector bundles. The second main goal of this paper is to establish such universal Cartier duality for gerbes in Theorem 3.3.3. For that, we need to develop some technical tools that allow us to compute and produce Cartier dualities from six functor formalisms. This is the content of Section 2 which concludes with (the rather technical but useful) Theorem 2.5.13.

**1.2. Content of the paper.** One of the most elementary instances of Cartier duality can be stated in terms of commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebras over a field  $K$ , or equivalently, in terms of affine commutative group schemes over  $K$ . Let  $\text{Aff}_K$  be the category of affine schemes over  $K$ , and let  $G$  be an affine commutative group scheme over  $\text{Spec } K$  with underlying Hopf algebra  $A$ . The Cartier dual of  $G$  is the functor  $\mathbb{D}(G): \text{Aff}_K^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{Z})$  sending an affine scheme  $X$  to the module of group homomorphisms  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G_X, \mathbb{G}_{m,K})$ . In the case  $G$  is a finite flat group scheme, that is,  $A$  is a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space, the functor  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  is corepresented by the Hopf algebra  $A^\vee = \text{Hom}_K(A, K)$ . In this way, Cartier duality can be understood more algebraically as the equivalence of categories

$$\text{Hopf}(\text{Vect}_K^{\text{fd}})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{(-)^\vee} \text{Hopf}(\text{Vect}_K^{\text{fd}}) \quad (1.1)$$

between (commutative and cocommutative) Hopf algebras on finite dimensional vector spaces obtained by passing to the  $K$ -linear dual object, and exchanging the multiplication and comultiplication maps. Both interpretations (the geometric one as the dual  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{G}_m)$  and the algebraic as in (1.1)) are useful in practice and lead to different properties of Cartier duality. The geometric interpretation implies that a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear pairing  $H \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  yields a natural map of groups  $H \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(G)$ . The algebraic interpretation implies the anti-involutive property of Cartier duality. Unfortunately, the formulation of Cartier duality of (1.1) is rather restrictive.

Experience has told us that there are many more examples of Cartier duality in many other different contexts. For instance, still in algebraic geometry, one has a naive duality between  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_m$  in the sense that the group algebra of  $\mathbb{Z}$  over  $K$  is precisely the ring of functions of  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ . Similarly, if  $K$  is of characteristic zero, the dual of the natural comultiplication map  $K[T] \rightarrow K[X, Y]$  induced by the additive law  $T \mapsto X + Y$  is the multiplication map of the power series ring over  $K$ , yielding a sort of duality between  $\mathbb{G}_{a,K}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,K}$ . A way to relate these examples with the Cartier duality for finite dimensional  $K$ -algebras, is to work one categorical level up and consider (derived) categories of quasi-coherent sheaves. In the previous examples, the Cartier duality is justified by the classical equivalences

$$D(B\mathbb{Z}) \cong D(\mathbb{G}_m) \text{ and } D(B\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,K}) \cong D(\mathbb{G}_{a,K}) \quad (1.2)$$

that one can prove by hand. With some additional effort one can see that these equivalences exchange tensor products with the convolution products arising from the group action, suggesting that they should promote to an equivalence of (commutative and cocommutative) Hopf algebras in a precise sense. It turns out that the categories above can be promoted to honest Hopf algebra objects in the symmetric monoidal category  $\text{Pr}_{D(\mathbb{Z})}$  of  $D(\mathbb{Z})$ -linear presentable categories, and what is even better, that they are dualizable objects therein. The abstract framework of Cartier duality as an antiequivalence of (dualizable) Hopf algebra objects in a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{S}$  has been carried out by Lurie in [Lur18a]. Lurie offers not only the algebraic version of (1.1), but also explains how to think of Cartier duality in more geometric terms by mapping to a suitable variant of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  that is denoted  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,\mathcal{S}}$ . This object represents invertible elements in commutative algebras  $\mathcal{V}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ , that is, maps  $x: 1_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  for which there some  $y: 1_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  whose multiplication  $xy: 1_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is homotopic to the identity. Thus, if we write  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{V}$  for an object in the opposite category  $\text{Aff}_{D(\mathbb{Z})}$  of  $\text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{D(\mathbb{Z})})$ , the equivalences (1.2) can be stated as isomorphisms

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(\text{Spec } D(B\mathbb{Z}), \mathbf{GL}_{1,D(\mathbb{Z})}) = \text{Spec } D(\mathbb{G}_m) \text{ and } \underline{\text{Hom}}(\text{Spec } D(B\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,K}), \mathbf{GL}_{1,D(\mathbb{Z})}) = \text{Spec } D(\mathbb{G}_{a,K})$$

in presheaves on  $\text{Aff}_{D(\mathbb{Z})}$  valued in connective spectra.

Consequently, working with linear presentable categories over a fixed symmetric monoidal stable category  $\mathcal{V}$  produces a more general framework for Cartier duality that captures many more examples. However, there are three main problems of working only in this setup. First, some important examples would get excluded, most notably those arising from étale sheaves of vector bundles [Lau87] or Banach-Colmez spaces [ALB25]<sup>1</sup>. Second, it is unclear how to state an honest Cartier duality for more stacky objects, for instance, for vector bundles on an arbitrary algebraic or analytic stack. Third, it is not clear how to obtain the appropriate Hopf algebra structure in the category of modules only from the group structure of, say, a commutative scheme  $G$ . It is even less clear how to describe the Cartier dual of  $D(G)$  only from the datum of  $G$ .

In order to solve the previous problems we work in a more general setup than just linear presentable categories, namely, we work with presentable kernel categories of six functor formalisms. Given a geometric setup  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  and  $D$  a presentable six functor formalism on  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  (cf. [HM24]), for an object  $S \in \mathcal{C}$  one has a *2-category of kernels*  $K_{D,S}$  whose objects are the objects in  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$  consisting on maps  $X \rightarrow S$  in  $E$ , and for  $Y, X \in K_{D,S}$  the 1-category of morphisms given by  $\text{Fun}_S(X, Y) = D(Y \times_S X)$ . It turns out that  $K_{D,S}$  is naturally enriched in presentable categories, passing to enriched Yoneda one constructs a *presentable category of kernels*  $\text{Pr}_{D,S}$  (e.g. as in [Sch25b, Appendix to Lecture V]). This is a symmetric monoidal 2-category where one can apply Lurie's theory of Cartier duality. The advantage of working in this framework is that we have at our disposal a symmetric monoidal functor

$$\text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D,S}$$

from the category of correspondences to the presentable category of kernels. This will allow us to produce Hopf algebras in  $\text{Pr}_{D,S}$  from commutative group objects in  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$ . Furthermore, since the objects of  $\text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)$  are naturally self dual, and this duality exchanges  $*$  and  $!$ -maps, it is tautological that Cartier duality is the identity on objects in  $K_{D,S}$ , and that it will exchange tensor products (obtained from  $*$ -pullbacks) with convolution products (obtained from  $!$ -pushforwards).

*Remark 1.2.1.* A general framework of Cartier duality capturing all existing examples has been missing for years. In the current work in progress of Peter Scholze and Germán Stefanich on *Gestalten* ([Sch25a]) they found a clean Cartier duality statement that is an honest anti-equivalence of stable categories. In very heuristic terms, the key idea is that a general notion of geometry ought to be captured not just by rings or categories of modules as it occurs in usual algebraic and analytic geometry, but by all the higher categories of modules. In the situation of Cartier duality, this is reflected in the fact that there are instances where working only with algebras does not suffice to obtain a satisfactory duality, and working with categories of modules is necessary to obtain dualizable Hopf algebras; Scholze and Stefanich's theory takes this idea to the very extreme and shows that to obtain a *perfect Cartier duality theory* one has to go all the way up.

The perspective on Cartier duality of this paper is highly inspired from their theory and it uses many of their ideas, most notably we use the 1-étale topology on linear categories in Section 2.5 which is nothing but a 1-categorical approximation to the natural Grothendieck topology on Gestalten. The main advantage of this point of view is that it allows us to compute Cartier duals by descent and/or devisage, notably reducing some complicate Cartier dualities of stacky objects to much simpler Cartier dualities of quite concrete objects.

After developing the tools for discussing Cartier duality in six functor formalisms, we focus our attention on Cartier duality for different incarnations of vector bundles. For concreteness, let us discuss only the analytic variant over the ring of  $p$ -adic rational numbers with the induced solid structure  $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\square}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.2** (Theorem 3.2.35). *Let  $\text{Vect}^{\text{an}} / \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Q}_{p,\square}$  be the stack of analytic vector bundles over  $\text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Q}_{p,\square}$ , equivalently,  $\text{Vect}^{\text{an}} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{GL}_{n,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an}}$  where  $\mathbf{GL}_{n,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an}}$  is the rigid analytic group over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  of invertible  $n \times n$ -matrices. Let  $V^{\text{an}} / \text{Vect}^{\text{an}}$  be the universal vector bundle and let  $V^{*,\text{an}}$  be its dual. For an analytic vector bundle  $W^{\text{an}}$  we let  $W^\dagger \subset W^{\text{an}}$  denote its overconvergent neighbourhood at 0. Consider the exponential pairing*

$$\exp(YX) : V^{\text{an}} \times V^{*,\dagger} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We shall not discuss these examples of Cartier duality in this paper, so this is not a serious problem for us.

where  $\mathbb{G}_a^\dagger \subset \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an}}$  is the overconvergent neighbourhood at 0, and  $\exp: \mathbb{G}_a^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  is the exponential map. The exponential map gives rise to equivalences  $V^{\text{an}} \cong BV^{*,\dagger}$  and  $BV^{\text{an}} \cong V^{*,\dagger}$  in the category of kernels of  $\mathbf{Vect}^{\text{an}}$  exchanging the usual tensor product obtained by  $*$ -functors and the convolution product obtained by  $!$ -functors. In particular, for any analytic stack  $X$  over  $\text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Q}_{p,\square}$  and any analytic vector bundle  $W^{\text{an}}/X$  we have an equivalence of categories

$$D(W^{\text{an}}) \cong D(BW^{*,\dagger}) \text{ and } D(BW^{\text{an}}) \cong D(W^{*,\dagger}) \quad (1.3)$$

exchanging tensor products with convolution products. The equivalences (1.3) arise via the Fourier-Mukai kernel obtained by the pullback of the universal line bundle of  $B\mathbb{G}_m$  along the maps  $W^{\text{an}} \times BW^{*,\dagger} \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$  and  $BW^{\text{an}} \times W^{*,\dagger} \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$  induced by taking suspensions of the exponential.

The Cartier duality for vector bundles can be extended to gerbes after working stacky enough, see Theorem 3.3.3 for the general result we prove in this direction. As an application of the stacky Cartier duality for gerbes we obtain the Cartier duality between the Hodge-Tate stack and the Simpson gerbe (we refer to Section 3.4 for a brief introduction to these objects):

**Theorem 1.2.3** (Theorem 3.4.5). *Let  $X$  be a smooth rigid variety over an algebraically closed complete non-archimedean extension  $C$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let  $X^{\text{HT}}$  be its analytic Hodge-Tate stack and let  $\mathcal{S}_X \rightarrow T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)$  be Bhatt and Zhang's Simpson gerbe (where the  $(-1)$  refers to the inverse of the Tate twist). The following holds:*

(1) *The category of solid quasi-coherent sheaves  $D(\mathcal{S}_X)$  admits a natural  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))$ -linear decomposition*

$$D(\mathcal{S}_X) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n}$$

*as a product of  $D(\mathcal{S}_X)$ -invertible categories.*

(2) *The decomposition of (1) is multiplicative, that is, for  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is a natural equivalence*

$$D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n} \otimes_{D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))} D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=m} = D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n+m}$$

*and  $D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=0} = D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))$  is the full subcategory of  $D(\mathcal{S}_X)$  obtained as the essential image of the pullback along  $\mathcal{S}_X \rightarrow T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)$ .*

(3) *There is a natural  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))$ -linear equivalence of categories*

$$D(X^{\text{HT}}) \cong D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=1},$$

*where  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))$  acts on the left term via Cartier duality  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)) \cong D(BT_X^\dagger(1))$  and by the  $!$ -convolution action arising from the natural  $BT_X^\dagger(1)$ -torsor structure of  $X^{\text{HT}} \rightarrow X$ .*

**1.3. Overview.** This paper is divided in two main sections: a Preliminary Section 2 and an Example Section 3.

In Section 2.1 we introduce the presentable category of kernels. In Section 2.2 we introduce the setup of analytic stacks that we shall use. Next, in Section 2.3 we recall the abstract set up of Cartier duality of [Lur18a]. In Section 2.4 we specialize Cartier duality to six functor formalisms and the presentable category of kernels. In particular, we see that Cartier duality exchanges tensor product with convolution. Finally, in Section 2.5 we restrict ourselves to study Cartier duality in presentable linear categories. Motivated from the theory of Gestalten of Scholze and Stefanich, we introduce the 1-étale topology in presentable commutative algebras over a presentable symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}$  and refine Lurie's Cartier duality from presheaves to sheaves for that topology. We conclude with a technical but very useful result that simplifies some computations in Cartier duality, see Theorem 2.5.13.

We continue with Section 3.1 where we state a Cartier duality between tori and finite free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, see Theorem 3.1.4. In Section 3.2 we discuss several examples of Cartier dualities for vector bundles. The strategy is always the same; first to prove a basic Cartier duality for the trivial rank 1-case (Theorems 3.2.6, 3.2.11, 3.2.20, 3.2.26 and 3.2.31) where we heavily use Theorem 2.5.13. Then, using descent techniques of the category of kernels, we easily deduce the general stacky version of Cartier duality as in Theorem 3.2.35. In Section 3.3 we discuss a formal consequence of Cartier duality for tori and vector bundles obtaining Cartier duality for gerbes, see Theorem 3.3.3 and Theorem 3.3.4. Finally, in Section 3.4 we specialize the

Cartier duality for gerbes to the Hodge-Tate stack obtaining the comparison with the Simpson gerbe in Theorem 3.4.5.

**1.4. Conventions.** This paper uses the language of higher category theory and higher algebra as developed in [Lur09, Lur17, Lur18b, Lur25]. Our main working tool is the theory of abstract six functor formalisms as in [HM24], see also [Sch25b]. We will work with a presentable variant of the category of kernels of a six functor formalism as discussed in [Sch25b, Appendix to lecture V], for that, we will use the theory of higher presentable categories of [Aok25]. In particular, we let  $\mathbf{Pr}$  be the *big* category of presentable categories with colimit preserving linear functors, and given  $\kappa$  a regular cardinal we let  $\mathbf{Pr}^\kappa$  the (presentable) category of  $\kappa$ -presentable categories, that is the (non-full) subcategory  $\mathbf{Pr}^\kappa \subset \mathbf{Pr}$  of  $\kappa$ -compactly generated presentable categories with maps given by functors of presentable categories that preserve  $\kappa$ -compact objects. Given a presentable category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we let  $\mathcal{C}_\kappa$  denote the full subcategory of  $\kappa$ -compact objects.

Occasionally we will need to work with enriched categories, for this we refer to [GH15], [Hin20], [Hin23] and [Hei23]. We also refer to [HM24, Appendix C] for a great summary of the theory of enriched categories. In particular, given  $\mathcal{V}$  a presentable monoidal category, we let  $\mathbf{Cat}^\mathcal{V}$  be the category of *essentially small*  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched categories, if  $\mathcal{V}$  is symmetric monoidal then  $\mathbf{Cat}^\mathcal{V}$  is naturally symmetric monoidal by [Hin20].

In some of the applications we will work in the category of analytic stacks of Clausen and Scholze as introduced in [CS24], see also [RC25] and [ABB<sup>+</sup>25] for written references. In particular, we will always work in the light set up of condensed mathematics.

**Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Johannes Anschütz, Arthur-César Le Bras and Peter Scholze for several discussions in our project in progress on the *analytic primitization* that lead to the question of how to concretely state the Cartier duality between the Hodge-Tate stack and Bhatt-Zhang's Simpson gerbe. I also like to thank Arthur-César Le Bras for helpful comments in a draft. I heartily thank Ko Aoki, Shay Ben-Moshe, and Germán Stefanich for many technical discussions regarding category theory and six functor formalisms. Special thanks to Peter Scholze and Germán Stefanich for explaining their new beautiful theory of Cartier duality in Gestalten, this paper is highly inspired in their theory and actually uses a very rough version of it in Section 2.5. I thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics for the excellent working conditions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall the construction of the presentable category of kernels of a six functor formalism [Sch25b, Appendix to lecture V], and the general framework of Cartier duality on symmetric monoidal categories of [Lur18a, Section 3]. Then, we discuss in some more detail the Cartier duality in the category of kernels of a six functor formalism and analytic stacks.

**2.1. Presentable category of kernels.** In this section we introduce the key character that will notably simplify the theory of Cartier duality over stacks, that is, the presentable category of kernels.

Let  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  be a small geometric set up with  $\mathcal{C}$  admitting finite limits, let  $\mathcal{C}^E$  be the wide non-full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  spanned by the arrows in  $E$ . We let  $\text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E)$  denote the category of correspondences of  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  (cf. [HM24, Definition 2.2.3]), if  $E = \text{all}$  consists of all morphisms we simply write  $\text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, \text{all})$ . Let  $D$  be a presentable six functor formalism on  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  (cf. [HM24, Definition 3.1.1]), by definition  $D$  is a lax symmetric monoidal functor  $D: \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is small, there is some regular cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $D$  factors through the (non-full) subcategory  $\mathbf{Pr}^\kappa$  of  $\mathbf{Pr}$  of  $\kappa$ -presentable categories. We say that  $D$  is a  $\kappa$ -presentable six functor formalism if this holds. From now on we shall fix an uncountable regular cardinal  $\kappa$  unless otherwise specified (in practice  $\kappa = \aleph_1$  will suffice).

We recall the definition of the category of kernels [HM24, Definition 4.1.3].

**Definition 2.1.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  be a geometric set up with finite limits and  $D$  a  $\kappa$ -presentable six functor formalism on  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$ . Given  $S \in \mathcal{C}$ , consider the restriction  $D_S$  to a six functor formalism on the geometric setup  $(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E, \text{all})$ . The *category of kernels of  $S$* , denoted by  $K_{D,S}$ , is the  $\mathbf{Pr}^\kappa$ -enriched category obtained by transfer of enrichment of  $\text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)$  along the lax symmetric monoidal functor  $D_S: \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}^\kappa$ . Given two objects  $Y, X \in K_{D,S}$ , we let  $\text{Fun}_{D,S}(Y, X) = D(X \times_S Y)$  be the  $\kappa$ -presentable category of morphisms from  $Y \rightarrow X$ . If  $D$  is clear from the context we also denote  $\text{Fun}_S$  instead of  $\text{Fun}_{D,S}$ .

*Remark 2.1.2.* Keep the notation of Theorem 2.1.1. By construction, the objects of  $K_{D,S}$  are the same as objects of  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$ . We have a diagram of symmetric monoidal maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)^{\text{op}, \sqcup} & & \\
 \searrow (-)^* & & \\
 & \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_D} K_{D,S} \\
 \nearrow (-)_! & & \\
 (\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)^\times & & 
 \end{array}$$

We call the upper and lower diagonal maps the  $*$  and  $!$ -realization of  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$  respectively. By construction, both the  $*$  and  $!$ -realization are the identity on objects. Given  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  a map in  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$ , we let  $f^*: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f_!: Y \rightarrow X$  be the image along the  $*$  and  $!$ -realization respectively. This name is justified because after taking global sections, i.e. maps from the unit  $S \in K_{D,S}$ , the map  $f^*: X \rightarrow Y$  gives rise to the  $*$ -pullback map

$$f^*: D(X) = \text{Fun}_S(S, X) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_S(S, Y) = D(Y).$$

Similarly, the map  $f_!: Y \rightarrow X$  gives rise the  $!$ -pushforward  $f_!: D(Y) \rightarrow D(X)$ . The self duality in the category of correspondences [HM24, Corollary 2.4.2] swaps the two diagonal arrows, hence  $f^*: X \rightarrow Y$  is the dual of  $f_!: Y \rightarrow X$  in  $K_{D,S}$ .

In order to construct the  $(\kappa)$ -presentable category of kernels of  $D$ , we recall the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.3** ([HM24, Theorem 4.2.4]). *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  be an small geometric set up with finite limits,  $\kappa$  an uncountable regular cardinal and  $D$  a  $\kappa$ -presentable six functor formalism on  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$ . The formation  $X \mapsto K_{D,X}$  promotes to a lax symmetric monoidal functor*

$$K_{D,-}: \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E) \rightarrow \text{Cat}^{\text{Pr}^\kappa} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\text{Cat}^{\text{Pr}^\kappa}$  is the symmetric monoidal 2-category of  $\text{Pr}^\kappa$ -enriched small categories.

To pass from the category of kernels to its presentable version we need to recall the enriched Yoneda embedding<sup>2</sup>, we follow [BM24]. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a presentable monoidal category, and let  $\text{Cat}^\mathcal{V}$  be the (big) category of  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched small categories. Let  $\text{Pr}_\mathcal{V} = \text{LMod}_\mathcal{V}(\text{Pr})$  be the category of presentable left  $\mathcal{V}$ -linear categories and let  $(\text{Pr}_\mathcal{V})^L$  be the (non-full) subcategory spanned by left adjoint functors in  $\text{Pr}_\mathcal{V}$ . Given  $M \in \text{Cat}^\mathcal{V}$  one constructs a presentable category of  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched presheaves  $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{V}(M) := \text{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}^\text{rev}}(M^\text{op}, \mathcal{V}^\text{rev})$  together with a  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched Yoneda embedding  $M \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\mathcal{V}(M)$  (see [Hin20]). The formation  $M \mapsto \mathcal{P}^\mathcal{V}(M)$  is natural in  $M$  and extends to a functor

$$\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{V}: \text{Cat}^\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Pr}_\mathcal{V}.$$

By [BM24, Theorem 5.20] the functor  $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{V}$  factors through  $(\text{Pr}_\mathcal{V})^L$  and one has an adjunction of categories

$$\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{V}: \text{Cat}^\mathcal{V} \rightleftarrows (\text{Pr}_\mathcal{V})^L: (-)^\text{at}$$

where  $(-)^{\text{at}}$  is the functor that sends a presentable  $\mathcal{V}$ -linear category  $\mathcal{M}$  to its full subcategory  $\mathcal{M}^{\text{at}}$  of atomic objects<sup>3</sup>. In particular, the category of  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched presheaves of  $M$  is the free  $\mathcal{V}$ -linear category making the objects of  $M$   $\mathcal{V}$ -atomic. If in addition  $\mathcal{V}$  is symmetric monoidal, both  $\text{Cat}^\mathcal{V}$  and  $\text{Pr}_\mathcal{V}$  are symmetric monoidal, and the functor  $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{V}: \text{Cat}^\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Pr}_\mathcal{V}$  is symmetric monoidal [RZ25, Corollary E].

**Lemma 2.1.4.** *Let  $\kappa$  be an uncountable regular cardinal and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a  $\kappa$ -presentable monoidal category. Then the functor  $\mathcal{P}^\mathcal{V}: \text{Cat}^\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \text{Pr}_\mathcal{V}$  factors through  $\text{Pr}_\mathcal{V}^\kappa := \text{LMod}_\mathcal{V}(\text{Pr}^\kappa)$ .*

<sup>2</sup>We thank Ben-Moshe for the reference to his paper.

<sup>3</sup>An object in  $\mathcal{M}$  is called *atomic* if the induced pullback map  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  lies in  $(\text{Pr}_\mathcal{V})^L$ , i.e. it is a left adjoint map in  $\mathcal{V}$ -linear categories.

*Proof.* Let us recall the definition of an enriched category and of the enriched category of sheaves from [Hin20, Proposition 4.5.3].

Let  $M$  be a small  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched category with anima of objects  $X = M^{\simeq}$ . By definition  $M$  is an algebra  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Alg}(\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}))$  where  $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})$  is the endomorphism category of the  $\mathcal{V}$ -linear category  $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}) \in \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}$ . We have that

$$\text{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathcal{V}) = \text{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}))$$

seen as a right  $\mathcal{V}$ -linear module, or equivalently, as an object in  $\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{op}}}$ . The  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched presheaf category is by definition

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{V}}(M) := \text{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}}(M^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}})$$

which is endowed with a right  $\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}$ -linear structure, or equivalently, with a left  $\mathcal{V}$ -linear structure, i.e. an object in  $\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ . Thus, to prove  $\kappa$ -presentability of  $\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{V}}(M)$ , by passing to the opposite category we can argue with the functor category seen as a  $\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}$ -linear module.

By [Lur17, Theorem 4.8.4.6], we have that

$$\text{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathcal{V}) = \text{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})) \otimes_{\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})} \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}) \quad (2.2)$$

as right  $\mathcal{V}$ -module. Hence, to show that  $\text{Fun}^{\mathcal{V}}(M, \mathcal{V}) \in \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}^{\text{rev}}}^{\kappa}$  it suffices to prove the following facts:

- (1)  $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})$  is a  $\kappa$ -presentable monoidal category.
- (2)  $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$  is a  $\kappa$ -presentable  $(\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V})$ -bimodule.

Indeed, if that is the case then  $\text{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}))$  is a  $\kappa$ -presentable right  $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})$ -module by [Aok25, Lemma 2.1] and hence so is the tensor product (2.2) as a right  $\mathcal{V}$ -module.

We now prove the previous two claims. First suppose that  $X$  is itself a  $\kappa$ -small anima. Then the category of  $\kappa$ -compact objects of  $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$  is given by

$$\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})_{\kappa} = \text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}_{\kappa}).$$

Similarly, the  $\kappa$ -compact objects of the endomorphism category  $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})$  is  $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}_{\kappa})$ . Given  $F, G \in \text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})$  their composition  $F \circ G: X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is the functor

$$F \circ G(y, x) = \varinjlim_{z \in X} F(y, z) \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} G(z, x).$$

As  $X$  is  $\kappa$ -small, we see that the composition  $\circ$  leaves  $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}_{\kappa})$  stable, proving that  $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})$  is a  $\kappa$ -presentable monoidal category. The same argument also shows that  $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$  is a  $\kappa$ -presentable  $(\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V})$ -bimodule.

For a general anima  $X$ , note that by taking left Kan extensions one has that

$$\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V}) = \varinjlim_{X' \subset X} \text{Fun}(X', \mathcal{V})$$

where  $X'$  runs over  $\kappa$ -small anima mapping to  $X$ . By passing to the endomorphism category, and since colimits are 2-functors, we get that

$$\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}) = \varinjlim_{X' \rightarrow X} \text{Fun}(X' \times X', \mathcal{V})$$

as monoidal category. From the discussion when  $X$  is  $\kappa$ -small, the previous shows that  $\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V})$  is a  $\kappa$ -presentable monoidal category, and that  $\text{Fun}(X, \mathcal{V})$  is a  $\kappa$ -presentable  $(\text{Fun}(X \times X, \mathcal{V}), \mathcal{V})$ -bimodule as wanted.

Let  $f: N \rightarrow M$  be a functor of  $\mathcal{V}$ -enriched small categories. We want to see that  $f_!: \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{V}}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{V}}(M)$  preserves  $\kappa$ -compact objects (as  $f_!$  is already  $\mathcal{V}$ -linear, and  $N$  and  $M$  are  $\kappa$ -presentable left  $\mathcal{V}$ -modules, this automatically implies that the morphism  $f$  lands in  $\text{LMod}_{\mathcal{V}}(\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa})$ ). By [BM24, Theorem B] we know that the map  $f_!$  is an internal left adjoint in  $\mathcal{V}$ -linear categories and its right adjoint  $f^*$  also preserves colimits. This formally implies that  $f_!$  preserves  $\kappa$ -compact objects, proving what we wanted.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.5.** *Let  $\kappa$  be an uncountable regular cardinal. The enriched presheaf functor  $\mathcal{P}^{\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}}: \text{Cat}^{\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}} \rightarrow \text{LMod}_{\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}}(\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa})$  factors through a functor*

$$\mathcal{P}^{\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}}: \text{Cat}^{\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}} \rightarrow 2\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}$$

where  $2\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa} := \text{LMod}_{\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}}(\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa})$  (see [Aok25, Remark 2.8]).

After the previous discussion, we can define the  $\kappa$ -presentable category of kernels.

**Definition 2.1.6.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  be a small geometric set up with finite limits, let  $D$  be a  $\kappa$ -presentable six functor formalism on  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{C}$ . The *presentable category of kernels over  $S$*  is the  $\text{Pr}^\kappa$ -enriched presheaf category

$$\text{Pr}_{D,S}^\kappa := \mathcal{P}^{\text{Pr}^\kappa}(K_{D,S}).$$

More functorially, we define the lax symmetric monoidal functor  $\text{Pr}_{D,-}^\kappa$  from  $\text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E)$  to  $2\text{Pr}^\kappa$  to be the composite of the kernel category functor and the enriched presheaves functor

$$\text{Pr}_{D,-}^\kappa : \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E) \xrightarrow{K_{D,-}} \text{Cat}^{\text{Pr}^\kappa} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}^{\text{Pr}^\kappa}} \text{LMod}_{\text{Pr}^\kappa}(\text{Pr}^\kappa) = 2\text{Pr}^\kappa. \quad (2.3)$$

Given  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  a map in  $\mathcal{C}$  we let  $f_1^*: \text{Pr}_{D,X}^\kappa \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D,Y}^\kappa$  be the corresponding pullback functor. If  $f \in E$  we let  $f_{1,!}: \text{Pr}_{D,Y}^\kappa \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D,X}^\kappa$  be the lower !-functor<sup>4</sup>. We refer to [HM24, Theorem 4.2.4] for a more explicit description of such maps when restricted to the category of kernels  $K_{D,-}$ .

*Remark 2.1.7.* The functor (2.3) has a significant enhancement if  $E = \text{all}$  consists of all !-able arrows. Namely, if  $D: \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Pr}^\kappa$  is a six functor formalism in the full span category of  $\mathcal{C}$ , Stefanich proved in [Ste25] that  $D$  promotes to a lax symmetric monoidal functor of symmetric monoidal 2-categories

$$\text{Pr}_{D,-}^\kappa : 2\text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow 2\text{Pr}^\kappa$$

from the 2-category of spans of  $\mathcal{C}$  (he even proved all the higher categorical analogues of this statement). A special consequence of this is the *ambidexterity* of the six functors at the 2-categorical level, namely, if  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{C}$  then the induced functor  $f_!: \text{Pr}_{D,Y}^\kappa \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D,X}^\kappa$  is naturally both left and right adjoint to the pullback functor  $f^*: \text{Pr}_{D,X}^\kappa \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D,Y}^\kappa$ , and this adjunction is natural and preserved under pullbacks along  $X$ , see [HM24, Lemma 4.2.7].

The presentable category of kernels has a simple description in case the six functor formalism satisfies the categorical Künneth formula. We borrow some definitions from [Kes25].

**Definition 2.1.8.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  be a geometric set up with finite limits and  $D$  a  $\kappa$ -small presentable six functor formalism. Let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be a map in  $E$ , we say that  $f$  is *Künneth* if for all  $Y \rightarrow S$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  the natural map

$$D(Y) \otimes_{D(S)} D(X) \rightarrow D(Y \times_S X)$$

is an equivalence. We say that  $D$  is *Künneth over  $S$*  if any map  $f: X \rightarrow S$  in  $E$  is Künneth.

**Proposition 2.1.9.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  be a small geometric set up and let  $D$  be a  $\kappa$ -presentable six functor formalism. Let  $S \in \mathcal{C}$  and suppose that all objects in  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$  are Künneth over  $S$ . Then the natural map

$$\text{Fun}_S(S, -) : K_{D,S} \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D(S)}^\kappa \quad (2.4)$$

is 2-fully faithful. Moreover, the natural map

$$\text{Pr}_{D,S}^\kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pr}_{D(S)}^\kappa \quad (2.5)$$

obtained by the adjunction of [Hin23, Theorem 6.4.4] is an equivalence of  $\text{Pr}^\kappa$ -linear categories.

*Proof.* To see that the map (2.4) is 2-fully faithful, it suffices to see that for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$ , the natural map

$$\text{Fun}_S(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Fun}_{D(S)}(D(X), D(Y))$$

is an equivalence. Since  $X$  is naturally self dual in  $K_{D,S}$ , it suffices to show that  $\text{Fun}_S(S, -)$  is a symmetric monoidal functor, this is precisely the condition that  $D$  is Künneth over  $S$ .

Next, we show that (2.5) is an equivalence of  $\text{Pr}^\kappa$ -enriched categories. Let  $BD(S)$  be the  $\text{Pr}^\kappa$ -enriched category with one object  $*$  and endomorphism category  $D(S)$ . We have a fully faithful map  $BD(S) \rightarrow K_{D,S}$ , and passing to  $\text{Pr}^\kappa$ -enriched categories we get a functor

$$\text{Pr}_{D(S)}^\kappa = \mathcal{P}^{\text{Pr}^\kappa}(BD(S)) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D,S}^\kappa \quad (2.6)$$

whose composite with (2.5) is the identity. It is left to show that the composite  $\text{Pr}_{D,S}^\kappa \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D(S)}^\kappa \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D,S}^\kappa$  is equivalent to the identity, by passing to enriched presheaves it suffices to show that it induces the identity

<sup>4</sup>The lower-index “1” refers to the categorical level where the \*-pullback and the !-pushforward are defined.

on  $K_{D,S}$ , but this follows from the fully faithful embedding  $\text{Fun}_S(S, -) : K_{D,S} \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D(S)}^\kappa$  and the fact that  $\text{Pr}_{D(S)}^\kappa \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D,S}^\kappa \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D(S)}^\kappa$  is the identity.  $\square$

**Convention 2.1.10.** From now on we shall fix an uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$  and assume that all our six functor formalisms are  $\kappa$ -presentable. Given  $D$  a six functor formalism in a small geometric set up  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  and  $S \in \mathcal{C}$ , we write  $\text{Pr}_{D,S}$  for the  $\kappa$ -presentable category of kernels over  $S$  (making  $\kappa$  implicit in the notation).

**2.2. Analytic stacks.** In this paper we will study Cartier duality of certain analytic stacks, this requires to set up the theory. We will work with the category of analytic stacks as in [RC25, Section 6.3]. Given a condensed ring  $R$  we let  $R^{\text{cond}}$  be the trivial analytic ring structure on  $R$ . We let  $\mathcal{C}^0$  be a small full subcategory of affinoid analytic stacks such that  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\text{op}} \subset \text{AnRing}$  contains at least  $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_\square$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}[T]_\square$ , is stable under countable colimits, and is stable under taking countably presented algebras with induced analytic ring structure. We let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{AnStk}$  be the full subcategory generated under countable colimits by  $\mathcal{C}^0$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{C}$  is also stable under finite limits. We let  $D$  denote the six functor formalism of quasi-coherent sheaves on  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $E$  be the class of  $!$ -able arrows as in [RC25, Definition 6.3.24]. We fix  $\kappa$  a regular cardinal such that  $D : \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}, E) \rightarrow \text{Pr}$  factors through  $\text{Pr}^\kappa$ . In the next sections we will omit  $\kappa$  from the notation following Theorem 2.1.10.

By [Kes25, Corollary 1.5.1] the category  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$  of  $!$ -able analytic stacks over a base stack  $S$  satisfies the categorical Künneth formula under the following condition: there is an affinoid analytic stack<sup>5</sup>  $S_0$ , and a  $!$ -cover  $S_0 \rightarrow S$  in  $E$  whose Čech nerve consists of affinoid analytic stacks. In this paper we will need a slightly more general version of this statement.

**Definition 2.2.1.** A morphism of analytic stacks  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is an *open immersion* if it is an immersion and suave. We say that  $f$  is a *closed immersion* if it is an immersion and prim.

An analytic stack  $Y$  is said *quasi-affine* if there is an open immersion  $Y \rightarrow \overline{Y}$  where  $\overline{Y}$  is an affinoid analytic stack.

**Lemma 2.2.2.** *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a map of analytic stacks.*

(1) *Suppose that  $f$  is an open immersion. Then  $f$  is cohomologically étale, Künneth and the pullback map*

$$f^* : D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$$

*is an open localization of symmetric monoidal categories in the sense of [RC25, Definition 5.1.3]. In particular, there is a unique coidempotent coalgebra  $C$  in  $D(X)$  such that  $D(Y) = \text{cLMod}_C(D(X))$ .*

(2) *Suppose that  $f$  is a closed immersion. Then  $f$  is cohomologically proper, Künneth and the pullback map*

$$f^* : D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$$

*is an closed localization of symmetric monoidal categories in the sense of [RC25, Definition 5.1.3]. In particular, there is an idempotent algebra  $A \in D(X)$  such that  $D(Y) = \text{LMod}_A(D(X))$ .*

*Proof.* As  $f$  is an immersion, the diagonal is an isomorphism, and to check whether it is cohomologically étale or proper it suffices to check whether  $f$  is suave or prim respectively ([HM24, Definition 6.4.1]).

The proof that  $f$  is Künneth and that the pullback is an open immersion of symmetric monoidal categories follows from [Kes25, Proposition 3.12] (where the hypothesis that  $f$  is suave and an immersion are missing). The same argument works for  $f$  a closed immersion.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.3.** *Let  $S \in \mathcal{C}$  and suppose that there is a quasi-affine analytic stack  $S_0$ , and a  $!$ -cover  $S_0 \rightarrow S$  in  $E$  whose Čech nerve consists of quasi-affine analytic stacks. Then all the objects in  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$  are Künneth over  $S$ .*

*Proof.* By [Kes25, Proposition 1.2], it suffices to prove the statement when  $S$  is itself quasi-affine. Let  $j : S \rightarrow \overline{S}$  be an open immersion with  $\overline{S}$  an affine analytic stack. Since  $j$  is  $!$ -able and an immersion, we have a fully faithful embedding  $\mathcal{C}_{/S} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/\overline{S}}$  preserving fiber products. Furthermore, given  $Y, X \rightarrow S$  two maps over  $S$  with  $X \rightarrow S$  in  $E$ , we have an equivalence

$$X \times_S Y = X \times_{\overline{S}} Y.$$

---

<sup>5</sup>That is, corepresented by an analytic ring.

By [Kes25, Corollary 1.5.1] the natural map

$$D(X) \otimes_{D(\bar{S})} D(Y) \rightarrow D(X \times_{\bar{S}} Y) = D(X \times_S Y)$$

is an equivalence. Since  $j^*: D(\bar{S}) \rightarrow D(S)$  is an idempotent map of symmetric monoidal categories, being an open map, we have that

$$D(X) \otimes_{D(\bar{S})} D(Y) = D(X) \otimes_{D(S)} D(Y),$$

proving that  $X \rightarrow S$  is Künneth as wanted.  $\square$

We end this section with a technical lemma in representation theory that will be helpful later to study classifying stacks of affinoid groups in analytic stacks.

**Lemma 2.2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a static analytic ring, that is, an analytic ring with  $A[S]$  static for all  $S$  light profinite set. Let  $G \rightarrow \text{AnSpec } A$  be an analytic group stack that is corepresented by an  $A$ -algebra  $R$  with induced analytic ring structure. Suppose that that  $R$  is flat with respect to  $\otimes_A$ , and that the counit map  $R \rightarrow A$  is of finite tor amplitude. Let  $BG = \text{AnSpec } A/G$  be the classifying stack of  $G$  over  $\text{AnSpec } A$  and let  $s: \text{AnSpec } A \rightarrow BG$  be the quotient map. Then  $D(BG)$  has a natural  $t$ -structure making  $e^*$  a  $t$ -exact functor. Furthermore, the following hold:*

- (1)  $D(BG)^\heartsuit$  is naturally equivalent to the category of  $R$ -comodules in  $D(A)^\heartsuit$ . Similarly,  $D(BG)$  is equivalent to the category of right  $R$ -comodules in  $D(A)$ .
- (2) The full subcategory  $D_-(BG) \subset D(BG)$  of eventually coconnective objects is the left bounded derived category of the heart.
- (3)  $D(BG)$  is the left completion of  $D_-(BG)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $e: \text{AnSpec } A \rightarrow BG$ , the map  $e$  is prim being locally represented by an affinoid analytic stack with the induced analytic ring structure. In particular, both functors  $e^*$  and  $e_*$  are  $D(A)$ -linear. The functor  $e^*$  is conservative as  $e$  is an epimorphism of analytic stacks. Hence, by the (co)monadicity theorem, we have that

$$D(BG) = \text{cLMod}_{U(A)}(D(A))$$

is the category of left comodules, where  $U$  is the comonad  $e^*e_*$ . We have that  $G = (\text{AnSpec } A) \times_{BG} (\text{AnSpec } A)$ , so proper base change identifies  $U(A) = R$  as  $A$ -modules. In particular, the comonad  $U(A)$  is flat over  $D(A)$ , which produces a natural  $t$ -structure on  $D(BG)$  such that  $e^*$  is a  $t$ -exact functor. To compute the co-algebra  $U(A)$ , it suffices to determine the abelian category  $D(BG)^\heartsuit$ . This abelian category is given by the category of descent data

$$D(BG)^\heartsuit = \varprojlim_{\Delta_{\leq 2}} D(G^\bullet)^\heartsuit$$

with non-derived  $*$ -pullbacks as transition maps. A standard computation yields that  $U(A) = R^{\text{op}}$  as coalgebras (the reverse coalgebra of  $R$ ), proving (1). For completeness, let us give the computation. We follow the convention that the classifying stack  $BG$  is the colimit of the simplicial diagram of [HM24, Definition B.3.3]. The category  $D(BG)^\heartsuit$  is the limit of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D(A)^\heartsuit & \xrightarrow{\quad} & D(G)^\heartsuit & \xrightarrow{\pi_2^*} & D(G \times G)^\heartsuit \\ & & \xrightarrow{m^*} & & \\ & & & \xrightarrow{\pi_1^*} & \end{array}$$

Thus, an object in the category of descent data is given by a tuple  $(M, \alpha)$  where  $M \in D(A)^\heartsuit$  and  $\alpha: M \otimes_A R \rightarrow M \otimes_A R$  is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules (the orbit map) satisfying the following properties:

- (i) The pullback of  $\alpha$  along the counit map  $\mu: R \rightarrow A$  is the identity.
- (ii)  $\alpha$  satisfies a cocycle condition, that is,  $\pi_1^* \alpha \circ \pi_2^* \alpha = m^* \alpha$  (see [HM24, Lemma A.4.23]).

A morphism of descent data  $(M, \alpha) \rightarrow (N, \beta)$  is a map  $M \rightarrow N$  of  $A$ -modules that intertwines the isomorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. Given  $(M, \alpha)$  as above, its associated right  $R$ -comodule is given by the pair  $(M, \rho)$  where  $\rho: M \xrightarrow{\text{id}_M \otimes 1} M \otimes_A R \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \otimes_A R$ . We need to see that  $(M, \rho)$  satisfies the following axioms:

- (a) The composite of  $\rho$  with the counit  $\mu$  is the identity of  $M$ .
- (b) One has  $(\rho \otimes \text{id}_R) \circ \rho = (\text{id}_M \otimes \Delta) \circ \rho$  where  $\Delta: R \rightarrow R \otimes R$  is the comultiplication map.

The axiom (a) follows immediately from the property (i). For the axiom (b), by (ii) we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \otimes_A 1 \otimes_A 1 & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M \otimes_A 1 \otimes_A R \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M \otimes_A R \otimes_A R & \xrightarrow{\pi_2^* \alpha} & M \otimes_A R \otimes_A R \xrightarrow{\pi_1^* \alpha} M \otimes_A R \otimes_A R
 \end{array}$$

On the other hand, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \otimes_A 1 & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow \rho & \\
 M \otimes_A R & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & M \otimes_A R \\
 \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \Delta & & \downarrow \text{id}_M \otimes \Delta \\
 M \otimes_A R \otimes_A R & \xrightarrow{m^* \alpha} & M \otimes_A R \otimes_A R
 \end{array}$$

Combining both we see that (ii) yields the axiom (b).

Conversely, given a right  $R$ -comodule  $(M, \rho)$ , the  $R$ -linearization of the comodule action  $\rho$  yields a map of  $R$ -modules  $\alpha: M \otimes_A R \rightarrow M \otimes_A R$ . It is immediate to see that the  $R$ -linearization of the axiom (a) yields the axiom (i), and that the  $R \otimes_A R$ -linearization of (b) yields (ii). It is left to see that  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{cRMod}_R(D(A)^\heartsuit)$  be the full subcategory of right  $R$ -comodules  $(M, \rho)$  for which the linearization  $\alpha: M \otimes_A R \rightarrow M \otimes_A R$  is an isomorphism, we want to see that the inclusion is an equivalence. Note that, since  $R$  is flat,  $\mathcal{C}$  is stable under finite limits, colimits, extensions and tensor products by objects in  $A$ . By the Bar construction, the right  $R$ -comodule  $M$  is equivalent to the totalization (in the abelian category) of  $(M \otimes_A R^{\otimes_A n+1})_{[n] \in \Delta_{\leq 2}}$ , where the right comodule structure on  $M \otimes_A R^{\otimes_A n+1}$  arises from the last copy of  $R$  on the right. Therefore, to show that  $\mathcal{C} = \text{cRMod}_R(D(A)^\heartsuit)$  it suffices to show that  $R \in \mathcal{C}$ , but this is obvious since the map  $\alpha: R \otimes_A R \rightarrow R \otimes_A R$  arises from the isomorphism of stacks  $G \times G \xrightarrow{\sim} G \times G$  sending  $(h, g) \mapsto (hg, g)$ . The previous discussion produces an equivalence of categories  $D(BG)^\heartsuit \cong \text{cRMod}_R(D(A)^\heartsuit) = \text{cLMod}_{R^\text{op}}(D(A)^\heartsuit)$  compatible with the forgetful functor towards  $A$ -modules, proving that  $U(A) = R^\text{op}$  as wanted.

For (2), since  $R$  is  $A$ -flat and the augmentation map  $R \rightarrow A$  has finite tor amplitude, the diagram

$$D(BG) = \text{Tot}(D(G^\bullet))$$

restricts to an equivalence

$$D_-(BG) = \text{Tot}(D_-(G^\bullet)) \tag{2.7}$$

on the left-bounded full subcategories (i.e. on eventually coconnective objects). Part (2) then follows from the argument of [Man22, Proposition A.1.2]. Part (3) is clear from (2.7) after passing to left completions, and since the categories  $D(G^\bullet)$  are left complete.  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.5.* In Theorem 2.2.4 we have identified  $D(BG)$  with right  $R$ -comodules on  $D(A)$ . The inverse map produces an isomorphism of groups  $G \xrightarrow{\sim} G^\text{op}$  between  $G$  and its reversed group structure where multiplication is switched. This produces an equivalence of Hopf algebras  $\iota: R \xrightarrow{\sim} R^\text{op}$ , which yields an isomorphism between left and right  $R$ -comodules.

**2.3. Cartier duality in symmetric monoidal categories.** In this section we briefly recall the abstract Cartier duality of [Lur18a, Section 3]. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small symmetric monoidal category, let  $\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$  be the category of commutative algebra objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and let  $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}} := \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})^\text{op}$  be its opposite category, given  $A \in \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$  we write  $\text{Spec}^{\mathcal{C}} A \in \text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}$  for its spectrum. We work with the category  $\text{CMon}(\text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}})) = \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{CMon})$  of presheaves on  $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}$  valued in commutative monoids in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We let  $\text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{Sp}_{\geq 0}) \subset \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{CMon})$  be the full subcategory of presheaves in connective spectra, equivalently, the full-subcategory of commutative grouplike objects [Lur18a, Definition 1.3.8]. We see  $\text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{CMon})$  endowed with the smashing symmetric monoidal tensor product.

**Definition 2.3.1.** We let  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1$  be the functor in  $\text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{CMon})$  sending an object  $\text{Spec}^{\mathcal{C}} A$  to the monoid  $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(1, A)$ . We let  $\mathbf{GL}_{1, \mathcal{C}} \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1$  be the full sub-presheaf of invertible elements in  $\text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(1, A)$ .

The main result in the abstract Cartier duality set up of [Lur18a] is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.2.** *Define the Cartier duality functor  $\mathbb{D}: \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{CMon}) \rightarrow \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{CMon})$  to be*

$$\mathbb{D}(H) := \underline{\text{Hom}}(H, \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1)$$

where the internal Hom is taking place in  $\text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}, \text{CMon})$ . The following holds:

(1) Suppose that  $H$  is grouplike, then the natural map

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(H, \mathbf{GL}_{1,\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(H, \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1)$$

is an equivalence and  $\mathbb{D}(H)$  is grouplike.

(2) Let  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{dual}} \subset \mathcal{C}$  be the full subcategory of dualizable objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $A \in \text{bCAlg}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{dual}})$  be a commutative and cocommutative bialgebra in  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{dual}}$  ([Lur18a, Definition 3.3.1]) with spectrum  $H := \text{Spec}^{\mathcal{C}} A \in \text{CMon}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}})$ . Then the presheaf  $\mathbb{D}(H)$  is corepresented by the algebra  $A^{\vee}$ , and the Cartier duality functor restricts to the natural equivalence of categories

$$\text{bCAlg}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{dual}}) \cong \text{bCAlg}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{dual}, \text{op}}) \quad (2.8)$$

induced by the symmetric monoidal equivalence  $\mathcal{C}^{\text{dual}} \cong \mathcal{C}^{\text{dual}, \text{op}}$  given by mapping  $A \mapsto A^{\vee}$ .

(3) We say that an object  $A \in \text{cBAlg}(\mathcal{C})$  is Hopf if  $\text{Spec}^{\mathcal{C}} A$  is grouplike. Suppose that the underlying object  $A$  is dualizable. Then  $A$  is Hopf if and only if  $A^{\vee}$  is Hopf.

(4) Let  $A, B \in \text{bCAlg}(\mathcal{C})$  with  $A$  dualizable in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $H := \text{Spec}^{\mathcal{C}} A$  and  $G = \text{Spec}^{\mathcal{C}} B$ , and suppose that we are given with a linear pairing  $\Psi: G \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1$ . Consider the natural map  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(H)$  induced by  $\Psi$ , and let  $\Psi^*: A^{\vee} \rightarrow B$  be its associated map of bialgebras. Let  $\theta: 1 \rightarrow A \otimes B$  be the map that corresponds to the composition

$$G \times H \rightarrow G \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1.$$

Then  $\Psi^*$  is the adjoint of  $\theta$  under the natural equivalence  $A \otimes B = \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(A^{\vee}, B)$ . In particular,  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(H)$  is an equivalence if and only if  $\theta$  is the unit of a duality in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* Part (1) is clear since  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,\mathcal{C}}$  is the largest submonoid of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1$  which is grouplike. Part (2) is [Lur18a, Propositions 3.8.1 and 3.8.5]. Part (3) is [Lur18a, Proposition 3.9.9]. Finally, part (4) is [Lur18a, Remark 3.8.4 and Proposition 3.8.5 (3)], but we give the details in the next paragraph.

By enlarging  $\mathcal{C}$  via Yoneda and the Day convolution if necessary, we can assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  admits countable colimits and that the symmetric monoidal structure commutes with countable colimits in each variable. Consider the prestack  $[H, \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1]$  on  $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}}$  sending  $X$  to  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(X \times H)$ . If  $X = \text{Spec}^{\mathcal{C}} C$  one has that

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(X \times H) = \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(1, C \otimes A) = \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(A^{\vee}, C) = \text{Map}_{\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})}(\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}} A, C).$$

Then,  $[H, \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1]$  is corepresented by the algebra  $\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}} A^{\vee}$  and the composition

$$G \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(H) \rightarrow [H, \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^1]$$

arises from morphism of algebras

$$\text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}} A^{\vee} \rightarrow A^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\Psi^*} B.$$

By definition, the composite  $A^{\vee} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}} A^{\vee} \rightarrow B$  is dual to the map  $\theta$ . Since the composite  $A^{\vee} \rightarrow \text{Sym}_{\mathcal{C}} A^{\vee} \rightarrow A^{\vee}$  is the identity, we deduce that  $\Psi^*$  is adjoint to  $\theta$  as wanted.  $\square$

**2.4. Cartier duality in a six functor formalism.** We now specialize the discussion of Cartier duality from Section 2.3 to a six functor formalism. We fix a regular cardinal  $\kappa$  and assume that the six functor formalisms are  $\kappa$ -presentable as in Section 2.1, see Theorem 2.1.10.

Let  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  be a small geometric set up with finite limits and let  $\mathbf{D}$  be a six functor formalism on  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$ .

**Construction 2.4.1.** Let  $S \in \mathcal{C}$ , recall from Theorem 2.1.2 that we have a symmetric monoidal functor

$$(-)^*: (\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)^{\sqcup, \text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{D}, S} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_{\mathbf{D}, S}.$$

Composing with the natural map  $(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}((\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)^{\text{op}, \sqcup})$  one gets a coproduct-preserving functor

$$[-]^*: (\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{D}, S}) \rightarrow \text{CAlg}(\mathbf{Pr}_{\mathbf{D}, S}). \quad (2.9)$$

In other words, for  $X \in \mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$ , we also write  $X \in \text{Pr}_{D,S}$  when considered only as an object in the presentable category of kernels, and  $[X]^*$  when considered with its natural commutative algebra structure arising from the map (2.9). More explicitly, let  $f: X \rightarrow S$  be the structural map and  $\Delta_X: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$  be the diagonal. The unit  $S \rightarrow [X]^*$  is given by the  $*$ -pullback map  $f^*: S \rightarrow X$ , and the multiplication  $[X]^* \otimes [X]^* \rightarrow [X]^*$  is given by  $*$ -pullback  $\Delta_X^*: X \times_S X \rightarrow X$ .

Since  $\text{Pr}_{D,S}$  is symmetric monoidal, the evaluation at the unit

$$\text{Fun}_S(S, -): \text{Pr}_{D,S} \rightarrow \text{Pr}^\kappa$$

is lax symmetric monoidal. In particular, for  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{D,S})$  a commutative algebra, the category  $D(\mathcal{A}) := \text{Fun}_S(S, \mathcal{A})$  is naturally endowed with a symmetric monoidal structure. In case  $\mathcal{A} = [X]^*$  arises from an object  $X \in \mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$  via (2.9), this is nothing but the natural symmetric monoidal structure of the category  $D(X)$  arising from the six functor formalism.

Passing to duals in the kernel category (cf. Theorem 2.1.2) and using its self duality ([HM24, Proposition 4.1.4]), we get a functor

$$[-]!: \mathcal{C}_{/S}^E \rightarrow \text{cCAlg}(K_{D,S}). \quad (2.10)$$

Informally,  $[X]!$  is the cocommutative coalgebra with co-unit  $[X]! \rightarrow S$  given by the  $!$ -pushforward  $f_!: X \rightarrow S$ , and with multiplication  $[X]! \rightarrow [X]! \otimes [X]!$  given by  $\Delta_!: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ .

Passing to cocommutative algebra objects in (2.9) and (2.10) we obtain functors

$$[-]^*: (\text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{bCAlg}(K_{D,S}),$$

and

$$[-]!: \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E) \rightarrow \text{bCAlg}(K_{D,S}).$$

If  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is a commutative algebra object with multiplication  $m: X \times_S X \rightarrow X$  and unit  $e: S \rightarrow X$ , the coalgebra structure of  $[X]^*$  arises from the  $*$ -functors  $e^*: X \rightarrow S$  and  $m^*: X \rightarrow X \times_S X$ . Similarly, the algebra structure of  $[X]!$  arises from the  $!$ -functors  $e_!: S \rightarrow X$  and  $m_!: X \times_S X \rightarrow X$ . In particular, the algebra structure of  $D([X]!)$  arises from convolution along the  $!$ -pushforward of  $m: X \times_S X \rightarrow X$ .

*Remark 2.4.2.* The essential image of (2.9) lands in the full subcategory of commutative algebras in dualizable objects in  $\text{Pr}_{D,S}$ . As  $\text{Pr}_{D,S}$  is  $\kappa$ -presentable by design, the functor (2.9) lands in the *small* full subcategory of commutative algebras of  $\kappa$ -compact objects  $\text{Pr}_{D,S,\kappa}$ . Therefore, for the sake of applying the Cartier duality formalism of Section 2.3, it will suffice to work with  $\text{Pr}_{D,S,\kappa}$ .

We denote  $\text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa := \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{D,S,\kappa})^{\text{op}}$ . Given  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Pr}_{D,S,\kappa})$  we write  $\text{Spec}^S(\mathcal{A}) \in \text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa$  for its spectrum. In particular, if  $X \in \mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$ , we write  $\text{Spec}^S[X]^* \in \text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa$ .<sup>6</sup> Let  $\mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1 \in \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa, \text{CMon})$  be the presheaf on commutative monoids sending an object  $\text{Spec}^S \mathcal{A}$  to the commutative monoid

$$D(\mathcal{A})_\kappa^{\simeq} \subset D(\mathcal{A})_\kappa$$

consisting on the largest anima contained in the symmetric monoidal category  $D(\mathcal{A})_\kappa$  of  $\kappa$ -compact objects. We let  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,D,S} \subset \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$  be the group-like submonoid representing those elements  $\mathcal{L} \in D(\mathcal{A})$  which are invertible (note that since the six functor formalism is  $\kappa$ -presentable, any invertible object in  $D(\mathcal{A})$  is automatically  $\kappa$ -compact).

The following lemma describes the Cartier dual of a commutative grouplike object in  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$ .

**Proposition 2.4.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{D}(-): \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa, \text{CMon}) \rightarrow \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa, \text{CMon})$  be the Cartier duality functor of Theorem 2.3.2. Let  $K_{D,S} \subset \text{Pr}_{D,S}$  be the category of kernels given by the essential image of the functor  $\text{Corr}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E) \rightarrow \text{Pr}_{D,S}$ . Let  $\text{Aff}_{K,S} := \text{CAlg}(K_{D,S})^{\text{op}} \subset \text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa$ . Then the Cartier duality functor  $\mathbb{D}$  preserves the full subcategory  $\text{CMon}(\text{Aff}_{K,S}) \subset \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa, \text{CMon})$  and its restriction is given by the (opposite of the) natural functor*

$$\text{bCAlg}(K_{D,S})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{(-)^\vee} \text{bCAlg}(K_{D,S}^{\text{op}}) = \text{bCAlg}(K_{D,S}) \quad (2.11)$$

given by passing to the dual in the kernel category (and where in the second equality we use the natural self-duality of [HM24, Proposition 4.1.4]).

<sup>6</sup>One could just denote this object by  $X$ , but we prefer to make explicit the distinction in order to keep track in which category the object lands.

In particular, the composite

$$\text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{Spec}^S([-]^*)} \text{CMon}(\text{Aff}_{K,S})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}} \text{CMon}(\text{Aff}_{K,S})$$

(where the left arrow is induced from (2.9) after taking  $\text{Spec}^S$ ) is given by the map

$$\text{Spec}^S([-]_!): \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{CMon}(\text{Aff}_{K,S})$$

arising from (2.10) after taking  $\text{Spec}^S$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3.2 (2) Cartier duality leaves stable bialgebras in dualizable objects of  $\text{Pr}_{D,S,\kappa}$ . Since  $K_{D,S}$  is stable under passing to the dual, then Cartier duality also leaves stable bialgebras in  $K_{D,S}$  which yields (2.11). The second claim that Cartier duality exchanges  $[X]^*$  and  $[X]_!$  follows from Theorem 2.4.1 and Theorem 2.3.2.  $\square$

Let  $X \in \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)$  be a commutative monoid object. Theorem 2.4.3 tells us that the Cartier dual of  $[X]^*$  in the category of kernels is given by  $[X]_!$  (in other words, it is the identity in the underlying object but exchanges  $*$  and  $!$  (co)multiplications). In many examples, at least those discussed in this paper, the Cartier dual of  $X$  already exists in  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$ . Using Theorem 2.3.2 (4), the standard way to witness this is by constructing a pairing with values in  $\mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$  (or  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,D,S}$  if  $X$  is grouplike). We finish this section by explaining how such pairing can be constructed from  $\text{PShv}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E, \text{CMon})$ , the category of commutative monoid prestacks on  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$ .

The natural functor  $F := \text{Spec}^S([-]^*): \mathcal{C}_{/S}^E \rightarrow \text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa$  arising from (2.9) preserves finite products (as  $[-]^*$  is symmetric monoidal). Passing to left Kan extensions we obtain a colimit preserving and finite product preserving functor of presheaves categories

$$F_!: \text{PShv}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E) \rightarrow \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa).$$

The right adjoint is the restriction functor  $F^*: \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa) \rightarrow \text{PShv}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)$ , which also preserves limits and colimits. In particular, both  $F_!$  and  $F^*$  are symmetric monoidal with respect to the cartesian symmetric monoidal structure, and passing to commutative monoid objects (or equivalently, taking  $- \otimes_{\text{Ani}} \text{CMon}$  in  $\text{Pr}$ ) we obtain an adjunction

$$F_!: \text{PShv}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E, \text{CMon}) \rightleftarrows \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa, \text{CMon}): F^*$$

where both functors are symmetric monoidal with respect to the smashing tensor product of  $\text{CMon}$ .

The pullback  $F^* \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$  is the presheaf on  $\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$  sending an element  $X$  to the anima  $D(X)_\kappa$  of  $\kappa$ -compact objects endowed with the monoidal structure given by the tensor product of  $D(X)$ . Similarly,  $F^* \mathbf{GL}_{1,D,S}$  sends  $X$  to the commutative monoid of invertible elements in  $D(X)$  with respect to the natural tensor product.

Suppose that  $X, Y \in \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)$  are commutative monoid objects and that we are given with a map of commutative monoids  $h: X \otimes Y \rightarrow F^* \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$  in  $\text{PShv}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E, \text{CMon})$  (or a pairing  $h: X \otimes Y \rightarrow F^* \mathbf{GL}_{1,D,S}$  in case  $X$  and  $Y$  are grouplike). Taking  $F_!$ , and using its symmetric monoidal structure for the smashing tensor product, we get a map of commutative monoids in  $\text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa, \text{CMon})$

$$F_! h: \text{Spec}^S[X]^* \otimes \text{Spec}^S[Y]^* = F_!(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow F_! F^* \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$$

(and a map  $\text{Spec}^S[X]^* \otimes \text{Spec}^S[Y]^* \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{1,D,S}$  in case  $X$  and  $Y$  are grouplike). Applying Theorem 2.4.3, the map  $F_! h$  induces a natural map of commutative monoids in  $\text{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa$

$$\text{Spec}([X]^*) \rightarrow \text{Spec}([Y]_!),$$

or equivalently, a natural map of commutative bialgebras in  $K_{D,S}$

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h: [Y]_! \rightarrow [X]^*. \tag{2.12}$$

that we call the *Fourier-Mukai transform* of  $h: X \otimes Y \rightarrow F^* \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$ . We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.4.** *Keep the previous notation, let  $X, Y \in \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)$  be commutative monoid objects, and  $h: X \otimes Y \rightarrow F^* \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$  a morphism of commutative monoids. Let  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h: [Y]_! \rightarrow [X]^*$  be the Fourier-Mukai transform (2.12) of commutative bialgebras in  $K_{D,S}$ . Then the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_h \in D(Y \times_S X)$  associated to the underlying morphism of  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h$  in  $K_{D,S}$  is given by the natural sheaf associated to the composite  $Y \times X \rightarrow Y \otimes X \rightarrow F^* \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f: Y \rightarrow S$  and  $g: X \rightarrow S$  be the structural maps of  $Y$  and  $X$ . The evaluation map  $\text{ev}_Y: Y \times_S Y \rightarrow S$  in  $K_{D,S}$  is given by the composite  $f_! \Delta_Y^*$  associated to the correspondence  $Y \times_S Y \xleftarrow{\Delta} Y \xrightarrow{f} S$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}'_h \in D(Y \times_S X)$  be the sheaf associated to the map  $Y \times X \rightarrow Y \otimes X \rightarrow F^* \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$ . By Theorem 2.3.2 (4) the map  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h: Y \rightarrow X$  of (2.12) is given as the composite

$$Y \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \mathcal{F}'_h} Y \times_S Y \times_S X \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_Y} S \times_S X = X.$$

Since  $\text{ev}_Y = \Delta_{Y,!} f^*$ , a simple computations shows that this composite is nothing but the map  $Y \rightarrow X$  associated to  $\mathcal{F}'_h \in D(Y \times_S X) = D(X \times_S Y) = \text{Fun}_S(Y, X)$ , proving what we wanted.  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.5.* Let  $X, Y \in \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)$  be commutative monoid objects and  $h: X \otimes Y \rightarrow F^* \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$  a pairing giving rise to a Fourier-Mukai transform

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h: [Y]_! \rightarrow [X]^* \tag{2.13}$$

given by the kernel  $\mathcal{F}_h \in D(X \times_S Y)$ . Then the dual of  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h$  gives rise to another morphism of commutative bialgebras

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h^\vee: [X]_! \rightarrow [Y]^*$$

whose underlying morphism is given by the same kernel  $\mathcal{F}_h \in D(Y \times_S X)$  but exchanging the  $!$  and  $*$ -maps. In particular, passing to underlying categories, the Fourier-Mukai transform gives rise to a morphism of commutative algebras

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h: D([Y]_!) \rightarrow D([X]^*)$$

(resp.  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h^\vee: D([X]_!) \rightarrow D([Y]^*)$ ), where  $D([Y]_!)$  is endowed with the convolution product and  $D([X]^*)$  with the usual tensor product. In other words, the Fourier-Mukai transform sends the convolution tensor product to the usual tensor product. Similarly, if  $f: X \rightarrow S$  is the structural map and  $e: S \rightarrow Y$  is the unit map, then the Fourier Mukai transform preserves units, i.e. it makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D(S) & & \\ e_! \downarrow & \searrow f^* & \\ D(Y) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h} & D(X). \end{array}$$

*Remark 2.4.6.* We keep the notation of Theorem 2.4.5. Let  $g: S' \rightarrow S$  be a map in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then the pullback map  $g_1^*: K_{D,S} \rightarrow K_{D,S'}$  is symmetric monoidal, and it sends the Fourier-Mukai transform (2.12) to a Fourier-Mukai transform

$$g_1^* \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h: [Y \times_S S']_! \rightarrow [X \times_S S']^*.$$

In particular, if  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h$  is an isomorphism then so is  $g_1^* \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h$ .

Conversely, if  $h: S' \rightarrow S$  satisfies universal  $*$ -descent, then the functor  $g_1^*: K_{D,S} \rightarrow K_{D,S'}$  is conservative by [HM24, Proposition 4.3.1]. Thus, if  $g^* \mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h$  is an equivalence then so is  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_h$ .

**Definition 2.4.7.** Let  $X, Y \in \text{CMon}(\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E)$  be commutative monoid objects and  $h: X \otimes Y \rightarrow F^* \mathbb{A}_{D,S}^1$ . We say that  $h$  induces a *1-categorical Cartier duality* if the Fourier-Mukai transform of (2.12) is an isomorphism. If that is the case, we say that  $Y$  is a *1-categorical Cartier dual* of  $X$ .

*Remark 2.4.8.* In Theorem 2.4.7, we intentionally do not say that  $Y$  is *the* Cartier dual of  $X$  as this statement does not make sense in the present discussion of Cartier duality; Cartier duality ought to be (at least) an antiequivalence of dualizable commutative bialgebras, and neither  $X$  or  $Y$  are dualizable in  $\mathcal{C}$ . When passing to the kernel category, the objects  $[X]^*$  and  $[Y]^*$  acquire the structure of dualizable commutative bialgebras, and only there it makes sense to discuss the notion of Cartier duality as in Theorem 2.3.2. Of course, unless one has strong Tannaka duality properties, it is too naive to expect that the object  $X \in \mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$  can be recovered from its incarnation  $[X]^*$  in the category of kernels.

A far more satisfying and general Cartier duality that solves the aforementioned problems is the one in Gestalten discovered by Scholze and Stefanich where one not only encodes the Cartier duality at a 1-categorical level as in Theorem 2.4.7 but at *all* higher categorical levels, we refer to [Sch25a] and to their future work for this theory.

**2.5. Descent and Cartier duality.** We finish this section with a discussion of how the passage to sheaves for a suitable analogue of the  $!$ -topology can be used to simplify some computations in Cartier duality. We will apply this discussion to the presentable category of kernels of a six functor formalism satisfying the categorical Künneth formula, and hence by Theorem 2.1.9 to a 2-category of linear categories. The following ideas are entirely motivated by the theory of Gestalten of Scholze and Stefanich, in particular we will borrow some of their terminology.

**2.5.1. Descent in  $\mathbf{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ .** Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a  $\kappa$ -presentable symmetric monoidal stable category and let  $\mathbf{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}} := \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{Pr}^{\kappa})$  be the category of  $\kappa$ -presentable symmetric monoidal  $\mathcal{V}$ -linear categories. Consider  $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{V})$  the category of commutative algebras in  $\mathcal{V}$  and let  $0\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  be its opposite category, similarly, we let  $1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  be the opposite category of  $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathbf{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}})$ . One has a fully faithful embedding  $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathbf{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}})$  given by  $A \mapsto \mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathcal{V})$  [Lur17, Corollary 4.8.5.21]. The categories  $0\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  admit small limits, and the inclusion  $0\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}} \subset 1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  preserves them.

Given  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathbf{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}})$  we let  $\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{A} \in 1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  be its associated object in the opposite category. Similarly, if  $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{V})$  we denote  $\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathcal{V}} A \in 0\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  for its corresponding object. Notice that we have a natural identification  $\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathcal{V}} A = \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathrm{Mod}_A(\mathcal{V}))$  via the fully faithful inclusion  $0\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}} \hookrightarrow 1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$ . Conversely, given  $X \in 1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  we shall write  $D(X)$  for its corresponding object in  $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathbf{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}})$ , and let  $\mathcal{O}(X) = \mathrm{End}_{D(X)}^{\mathcal{V}}(1)$  denote the commutative algebra in  $\mathcal{V}$  given by endomorphisms of the unit in  $D(X)$ . Finally, given  $X \in 1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  we denote  $\mathbf{Pr}_X := \mathrm{Mod}_{D(X)}(\mathbf{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}) = \mathrm{Mod}_{D(X)}(\mathbf{Pr}^{\kappa})$ .

To define the analogue of the  $!$ -topology in  $1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  one needs the following key definitions (see [Sch25a, Lecture VI]):

**Definition 2.5.1.** Consider a map  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  in  $1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  such that  $D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$  is a  $\kappa$ -presented morphism.

- (1) We say that  $f$  is 1-suave if the pullback functor

$$f_1^*: \mathbf{Pr}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_Y$$

admits a  $\mathbf{Pr}_X$ -linear left adjoint  $f_{1,\sharp}: \mathbf{Pr}_Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_X$ .

- (2) We say that  $f$  is 1-étale if any diagonal  $Y \rightarrow Y^{S^n/X}$  (with  $S^n$  the  $n$ -th sphere) is 1-suave.
- (3) We say that  $f$  is 0-suave if it is 1-étale and the natural map  $f_!: f_{1,\sharp}D(Y) \rightarrow D(X)$  in  $\mathbf{Pr}_X$  admits a right adjoint in  $\mathbf{Pr}_X$ . If this holds for all diagonals of  $f$ , we say that it is 0-étale.
- (4) We say that  $f$  is 0-prim if the pullback map  $f^*: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$  admits a right adjoint  $f_*$  in  $\mathbf{Pr}_X$ . If this holds for all diagonals of  $f$  we say that it is 0-proper.

*Remark 2.5.2.* (1) In Theorem 2.5.1 (3), the natural map  $f_!: f_{1,\sharp}D(Y) \rightarrow D(X)$  arises as follows. Since  $f$  is 1-étale, the pullback  $f_1^*: \mathbf{Pr}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_Y$  has a linear left adjoint  $f_{1,\sharp}$ . Thus,  $f_{1,\sharp}f_1^*$  is a comonad and  $f_!$  is the counit map  $f_{1,\sharp}D(Y) = f_{1,\sharp}f_1^*D(X) \rightarrow D(X)$ . The  $D(X)$ -dual of  $f_!$  is nothing but the pullback map  $f^*: D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$ . In particular, if  $f$  is 0-suave then  $f^*$  also admits a linear left adjoint  $f_{\sharp}$  which is nothing but the dual of the right adjoint  $f^!$  of  $f_!$ .

- (2) The definition of 0 and 1-suave map of Theorem 2.5.1 is compatible with those of [Sch25a, Definition 6.16]. Indeed, using the language of *loc. cit.*, we are working with 1-affine Gestalten over  $\mathcal{V}$  which by [Sch25a, Proposition 6.10 (i)] are 1-proper over  $\mathcal{V}$ . By [Sch25a, Proposition 6.21] any  $\kappa$ -presented morphism in  $1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is 2-étale. In particular, the pullback map  $f_2^*: 2\mathbf{Pr}_X \rightarrow 2\mathbf{Pr}_Y$  of presentable 2-categories satisfies ambidexterity so that the functor  $f_{2,*}: 2\mathbf{Pr}_Y \rightarrow 2\mathbf{Pr}_X$  is both a left and right adjoint of  $f_2^*$ , and  $f_{2,*}1_{2\mathbf{Pr}_Y} = \mathbf{Pr}_Y \in 2\mathbf{Pr}_X$  is dualizable. Thus, thanks to the discussion after [Sch25a, Definition 6.16], the requirement of  $f$  being 1-suave is equivalent to  $f_1^*: \mathbf{Pr}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_Y$  admitting a linear left adjoint. The condition of being 0-suave is then identical to that of *loc. cit.*
- (3) Following Theorem 2.5.1, any  $\kappa$ -presented map  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  in  $1\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is 1-prim. More precisely, the pullback  $f_1^*: \mathbf{Pr}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_Y$  has a linear right adjoint  $f_{1,*}$  given by the forgetful functor as  $\mathbf{Pr}_Y = \mathrm{Mod}_{D(Y)}(\mathbf{Pr}_X)$ . Since this holds for all diagonals of  $f$ , we say that  $f$  is 1-proper. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.5.3 down below any  $\kappa$ -presented map  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  in  $0\mathrm{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is 1-étale, and the

pullback  $f^*: \mathbf{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(Y)$  has a linear right adjoint  $f_*$  as  $\mathbf{D}(Y) = \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}(X)} \mathbf{D}(X)$  (this right adjoint preserves  $\kappa$ -compact objects so it is a right adjoint in  $\mathbf{Pr}_X$ ). Hence,  $f$  is 0-prim, and since this holds after passing to diagonals it is 0-proper.

(4) For more examples of properties of morphisms of Gestalten we refer to [Sch25a, Lectures 6-9].

The following lemma gives some formal properties of the maps introduced in Theorem 2.5.1.

**Lemma 2.5.3.** *The following hold:*

- (1) *Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a map in  $0\mathbf{Aff}_V$  such that  $\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y)$  is  $\kappa$ -presented, then  $f$  is 1-étale. Moreover, let  $f_1^*: \mathbf{Pr}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_Y$  be the pullback map, then there is a natural identification of functors  $f_{1,\sharp} = f_{1,*}$  between the left and right adjoint of  $f_1^*$ .*
- (2) *Let  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  be a small geometric set up with finite limits, and let  $\mathbf{D}$  be a  $\kappa$ -presentable six functor formalism on  $(\mathcal{C}, E)$  with values in  $\mathbf{Pr}_V$ . Let  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  and suppose that  $\mathbf{D}_X: \mathcal{C}_{/X}^{E,\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_{\mathbf{D}(X)}$  is Künneth, i.e, preserves finite coproducts. Then for all  $!$ -able map  $Y \rightarrow X$ , the map  $\mathrm{Spec}^V \mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}^V \mathbf{D}(X)$  is 1-suave in  $\mathbf{Pr}_V$ . If in addition any diagonal of  $Y \rightarrow X$  is Künneth,*

$$\mathrm{Spec}^V \mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}^V \mathbf{D}(X)$$

*is 1-étale.*

- (3) *Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a 1-suave (resp. 1-étale or 0-suave, prim, étale and proper maps) in  $1\mathbf{Aff}_V$ . Then the same holds for any base change  $f': Y' \rightarrow X'$  with  $g: X \rightarrow X'$  a map in  $1\mathbf{Aff}_V$ .*
- (4) *Consider a diagram  $Z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  in  $1\mathbf{Aff}_V$ , if  $f$  and  $g$  are 1-suave (resp. 0-suave or 0-prim) then so is  $f \circ g$ .*
- (5) *Consider a diagram  $Z \xrightarrow{g} Y \xrightarrow{f} X$  in  $1\mathbf{Aff}_V$ . Suppose that  $f$  is 1-étale (resp. 0-proper or 0-étale). Then  $g$  is 1-étale (resp. 0-proper or 0-étale) if and only if  $f \circ g$  is 1-étale (resp. 0-proper or 0-étale).*

*Proof.* (1) Consider the functor  $\mathbf{D}: 0\mathbf{Aff}_V^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CAlg}(\mathbf{Pr}_V)$  mapping  $X$  to  $\mathbf{D}(X)$ . Note that for any  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  in  $0\mathbf{Aff}_V$  such that  $\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y)$  is  $\kappa$ -compactly presented, the pullback map  $f^*: \mathbf{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(Y)$  has a linear right adjoint  $f_*$  in  $\mathbf{Pr}^\kappa$ , and this satisfies base change. Letting  $E = P$  be  $\kappa$ -presented maps, and letting  $I$  be equivalences, by [HM24, Proposition 3.3.3] we can promote  $\mathbf{D}$  to a six functor formalism on  $0\mathbf{Aff}_V$  with all  $\kappa$ -presented maps being  $!$ -able. It is obvious that  $\mathbf{D}$  is Künneth, hence part (1) follows from part (2). The identification of  $f_{1,\sharp}$  and  $f_{1,*}$  follows from Theorem 2.1.7.

(2) For (2), since  $\mathbf{D}_X$  is Künneth, the functor

$$\mathbf{Fun}_X(X, -): \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{D}, X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_{\mathbf{D}(X)}$$

is symmetric monoidal by Theorem 2.1.9. Since all the objects of  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{D}, X}$  are self dual, the same holds for  $\mathbf{D}(Y) \in \mathbf{Pr}_{\mathbf{D}(X)}$  and  $Y \rightarrow X$  a  $!$ -able map. Hence, the base change

$$f_1^*: \mathbf{Pr}_{\mathbf{D}(X)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_{\mathbf{D}(Y)}$$

is naturally identified with the functor  $\mathbf{Fun}_{\mathbf{D}(X)}(\mathbf{D}(Y), -)$ , proving that it admits a linear left adjoint  $f_{1,\sharp}$  as wanted. Note that by the ambidexterity of theorem 2.1.7 one can even identify  $f_{1,\sharp}$  with  $f_{1,*}$ . Now, if in addition all diagonal of  $Y \rightarrow X$  is Künneth, then for  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has that

$$\mathrm{Spec}^V \mathbf{D}(Y^{S^n/X}) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbf{D}(Y))^{S^n / \mathrm{Spec}^V \mathbf{D}(X)}$$

where  $S^n$  is the  $n$ -th sphere. By the previous discussion we know that  $\mathrm{Spec}^V \mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}^V \mathbf{D}(X^{S^n/X})$  is 1-suave, this proves that  $\mathrm{Spec}^V \mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}^V \mathbf{D}(X)$  is 1-étale as wanted.

(3) Suppose that  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is 1-suave, and let  $g: X' \rightarrow X$  with base change. Then one has that

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{Y'} = \mathbf{Pr}_Y \otimes_{\mathbf{Pr}_X} \mathbf{Pr}_{X'}$$

in  $2\mathbf{Pr}^\kappa$ . Thus, if  $f_1^*: \mathbf{Pr}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_Y$  has a linear left adjoint then so does its base change to  $\mathbf{Pr}_{X'}$ , proving the stability of 1-suave maps under base change. The same argument holds for 1-étale maps since the base change commutes with diagonals, and similarly for 0-proper and 0-prim maps. For 0-suave maps, the left adjoint  $f_{1,\sharp}: \mathbf{Pr}_Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_X$  base changes to the left adjoint  $f'_{1,\sharp}: \mathbf{Pr}_{Y'} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_{X'}$ . In particular, evaluating the counit at 1, one has that the map  $f'_!: f'_{1,\sharp} \mathbf{D}(Y') \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X')$  is the base change along  $\mathbf{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X')$  of the map  $f_!: f_{1,\sharp} \mathbf{D}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$ , in particular  $f'_!$  admits a right adjoint if  $f_!$  does so.

(4) To see that 1-suave maps are stable under composition, consider the induced pullback maps

$$\text{Pr}_X \xrightarrow{f_1^*} \text{Pr}_Y \xrightarrow{g_1^*} \text{Pr}_Z. \quad (2.14)$$

By hypothesis both  $f_1^*$  and  $g_1^*$  admit a linear left adjoint, and then so does the composite. By (5) down below, we know that 1-étale maps are stable under composition (this only uses the stability of 1-suave maps under pullbacks and composition which have already been proved). The case of 0-prim maps is proven in the same way.

Suppose now that  $f$  and  $g$  are 1-suave, then they are 1-étale and then so is its composite. Passing to left adjoints in (2.14) we find that the map  $(f \circ g)_! : (f \circ g)_{1,\sharp} \mathbf{D}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(X)$  is the composite

$$(f \circ g)_{1,\sharp} \mathbf{D}(Z) \xrightarrow{f_{1,\sharp}(g_!)} f_{1,\sharp} \mathbf{D}(Y) \xrightarrow{f_!} \mathbf{D}(X).$$

Thus, if both functors  $g_!$  and  $f_!$  admit right adjoints, then so do the functor  $f_{1,\sharp}(g_!)$  (as  $f_{1,\sharp}$  is a 2-functor and therefore it preserves adjoints) and  $f_! \circ f_{1,\sharp}(g_!) = (f \circ g)_!$ .

(5) We first see that if  $g$  and  $f$  are 1-étale, then so is  $f \circ g$ . For that, let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have a cartesian square in  $1\text{Aff}_V$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z^{S^n/Y} & \longrightarrow & Z^{S^n/X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & Y^{S^n/X}. \end{array}$$

Since  $f$  is 1-étale, the map  $Y \rightarrow Y^{S^n/X}$  is 1-suave and then so is  $Z^{S^n/Y} \rightarrow Z^{S^n/X}$  by base change and part (3). Since  $g$  is 1-étale the map  $Z \rightarrow Z^{S^n/Y}$  is 1-suave, proving that  $Z \rightarrow Z^{S^n/Y} \rightarrow Z^{S^n/X}$  is 1-suave by stability of 1-suave maps under composition (4). The same argument shows that 0-proper and 0-étale maps are stable under composition.

Conversely, suppose that  $f \circ g$  is 1-étale, we want to show that  $g$  is 1-étale. First note that by definition 1-étale maps are stable under taking diagonals. Note that the map  $Y \times_X Z \rightarrow Y$  is 1-étale being the base change of  $Z \rightarrow X$  along  $Y \rightarrow X$ . We also have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & Y \times_X Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & Y \times_X Y \end{array}$$

making the map  $Z \xrightarrow{(g, \text{id}_Z)} Y \times_X Z$  a 1-étale map as  $Y \rightarrow Y \times_X Y$  is 1-étale. It follows that the composite  $Z \rightarrow Y \times_X Z \rightarrow Y$  is 1-étale, proving what we wanted. The same argument holds for 0-étale and proper maps as they are stable under taking diagonals.  $\square$

We can now define the analogue of the  $!$ -topology for the objects in  $1\text{Aff}_V$ . Following Scholze and Stefanich, this is the natural Grothendieck topology of Gestalten [Sch25a] when restricted to 1-affine Gestalten.

**Definition 2.5.4.** A  $\kappa$ -small family of 1-étale maps  $\{f_i: Y_i \rightarrow X\}$  in  $1\text{Aff}_V$  is called a *1-étale cover* if the natural map

$$\text{Pr}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{([n], i_\bullet) \in \Delta_I^{\text{op}}} \text{Pr}_{Y_{([n], i_\bullet)}}$$

is an equivalence, where  $\Delta_I$  is as in [HM24, Definition A.4.5], and  $\{Y_{([n], i_\bullet)}\}_{\Delta_I^{\text{op}}}$  is the Čech nerve of  $\{Y_i \rightarrow X\}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.5.** Let  $\{f_i: Y_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  be a  $\kappa$ -small family of 1-étale maps in  $1\text{Aff}_V$ , then the following are equivalent:

(1) The natural map

$$\text{Pr}_X \rightarrow \varinjlim_{([n], i_\bullet) \in \Delta_I^{\text{op}}} \text{Pr}_{Y_{([n], i_\bullet)}}$$

is an equivalence.

(2) *The natural map*

$$\mathsf{Pr}_X \rightarrow \varprojlim_{([n], i_\bullet) \in \Delta_I^{\text{op}}} \mathsf{Pr}_{Y_{([n], i_\bullet)}}$$

if 2-fully faithful.

(3) Let  $f_{i,1}^*: \mathsf{Pr}_X \rightarrow \mathsf{Pr}_{Y_i}$  be the pullback map and let  $f_{i,1,\sharp}: \mathsf{Pr}_{Y_i} \rightarrow \mathsf{Pr}_X$  be its linear left adjoint. For  $([n], i_\bullet) \in \Delta_I$  let  $f_{([n], i_\bullet)}: Y_{([n], i_\bullet)} \rightarrow X$  be the structural map. Then the natural map

$$\varinjlim_{\Delta_I^{\text{op}}} f_{([n], i_\bullet), 1, \sharp}^* \mathsf{D}(Y_{([n], i_\bullet)}) \rightarrow \mathsf{D}(X)$$

is an equivalence in  $\mathsf{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$

*Proof.* It is clear that (1) implies (2). For (2) if and only if (3), let  $G: \mathsf{Pr}_X \rightarrow \varprojlim_{([n], i_\bullet) \in \Delta_I^{\text{op}}} \mathsf{Pr}_{Y_i}$  be the natural map, since each composite  $\mathsf{Pr}_X \rightarrow \mathsf{Pr}_{Y_{([n], i_\bullet)}}$  has a linear left adjoint  $f_{([n], i_\bullet), 1, \sharp}$ , then so does the functor  $F$  (by [HM24, Lemma D.4.7 (i)]) and it is given by

$$F = \varinjlim_{\Delta_I^{\text{op}}} f_{([n], i_\bullet), 1, \sharp}: \varprojlim_{([n], i_\bullet) \in \Delta_I^{\text{op}}} \mathsf{Pr}_{Y_{([n], i_\bullet)}} \rightarrow \mathsf{Pr}_X.$$

Thus,  $G$  is fully faithful if and only if  $FG \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathsf{Pr}_X}$  is an equivalence. Since both  $G$  and  $F$  are  $\mathsf{Pr}_X$ -linear, this holds if and only if it does after evaluating at the unit, i.e. if and only if  $FG(\mathsf{D}(X)) \rightarrow \mathsf{D}(X)$  is an equivalence.

For (2) implies (1), let  $(M_{([n], i_\bullet)})_{\Delta_I}$  be a cocartesian section of  $\varinjlim_{\Delta_I^{\text{op}}} f_{([n], i_\bullet), 1, \sharp}^* \mathsf{D}(Y_{([n], i_\bullet)})$ . We want to see that the natural map  $GF((M_{([n], i_\bullet)})_{\Delta_I}) \rightarrow (M_{([n], i_\bullet)})_{\Delta_I}$  is an equivalence. This boils down to proving that for all  $([n], i_\bullet) \in \Delta_I$  one has that

$$\left( \varinjlim_{\Delta_I^{\text{op}}} f_{([n], i_\bullet), 1, \sharp} M_{([n], i_\bullet)} \right) \otimes_{\mathsf{D}(X)} \mathsf{D}(Y_{(m, j_\bullet)}) \rightarrow M_{([m], j_\bullet)} \quad (2.15)$$

is an equivalence. But

$$\left( \varinjlim_{\Delta_I^{\text{op}}} f_{([n], i_\bullet), 1, \sharp} M_{([n], i_\bullet)} \right) \otimes_{\mathsf{D}(X)} \mathsf{D}(Y_{(m, j_\bullet)}) = \varinjlim_{\Delta_I^{\text{op}}} f_{([n+m+1], i_\bullet \star j_\bullet), 1, \sharp} M_{([n+m+1], i_\bullet \star j_\bullet)}$$

(with  $i_\bullet \star j_\bullet = (i_0, \dots, i_n, j_0, \dots, j_m)$ ) since  $M_{([n], i_\bullet)}$  is cocartesian. This last diagram is split with colimit  $M_{([m], j_\bullet)}$ , proving that (2.15) is an equivalence as wanted.  $\square$

*Remark 2.5.6.* Let  $\mathsf{AnRing}$  be the category of analytic rings. The functor of quasi-coherent sheaves

$$\mathsf{D}: \mathsf{AnRing} \rightarrow \mathsf{Pr}_{\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}})}$$

preserves finite colimits by [RC25, Proposition 4.1.14]. Hence, if  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a !-able map of analytic rings, Theorem 2.5.3 (2) implies that  $\mathsf{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathsf{D}(B)$  is a 1-étale map in  $\mathsf{Pr}_{\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}})}$  (up to restricting to  $\kappa$ -presentable six functors). Furthermore, Theorem 2.5.5 together with [HM24, Lemma 4.2.7] imply that  $f$  is a !-cover of analytic rings if and only if  $\mathsf{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathsf{D}(B)$  induces a 1-étale cover in  $\mathsf{1Aff}_{\mathsf{D}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}})}$ .

**Definition 2.5.7.** We define the 1-étale topology on  $\mathsf{1Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  to be the Grothendieck topology where the covering sieves of an object  $X \in \mathsf{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  are those that contain a 1-étale cover as in Theorem 2.5.4.

*Remark 2.5.8.* The 1-étale topology is a well defined Grothendieck topology thanks to the stability of 1-étale maps under composition and base change of Theorem 2.5.3.

**Lemma 2.5.9.** Let  $\{f_i: Y_i \rightarrow X\}_I$  be a 1-étale cover in  $\mathsf{1Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$ . Then the following holds:

- (1) The cover  $\{f_i\}$  is subcanonical. More precisely, the natural map  $\mathsf{D}(X) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\Delta_I} \mathsf{D}(Y_{([n], i_\bullet)})$  with transition maps given by \*-pullbacks is an equivalence of presentable categories.
- (2) The natural map of  $\kappa$ -compact objects

$$\mathsf{D}(X)_\kappa \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\Delta_I} \mathsf{D}(Y_{([n], i_\bullet)})_\kappa$$

is an equivalence.

(3) Let  $D(X)^{\text{dual}} \subset D(X)$  be the full subcategory of dualizable objects. Then the natural map

$$D(X)^{\text{dual}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{\Delta_I} D(Y_{([n],i_\bullet)})^{\text{dual}}$$

is an equivalence.

*Proof.* By definition, we have an equivalence of presentable 2-categories

$$G: \text{Pr}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{([n],i_\bullet) \in \Delta_I^{\text{op}}} \text{Pr}_{Y_{([n],i_\bullet)}}.$$

In particular, looking at the right adjoint  $H$  of  $G$ , we have the equivalence  $D(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} HG(D(X))$  which translates precisely to (1). For part (2), since  $\text{Pr}_V = \text{Mod}_V(\text{Pr}^\kappa)$ , pullback maps preserve  $\kappa$ -compact objects by definition, and therefore (1) restricts to an equivalence as in (2). Finally, for (3), we clearly have a fully faithful map

$$D(X)^{\text{dual}} \hookrightarrow \varprojlim_{\Delta_I} D(Y_{([n],i_\bullet)})^{\text{dual}}.$$

It is left to see that if  $M \in D(X)$  is such that its pullback to  $\prod_i D(Y_i)$  is dualizable, then  $M$  is so. Let  $f_{([n],i_\bullet)}: Y_{([n],i_\bullet)} \rightarrow X$  be the structural map of the Čech nerve of  $\{Y_i \rightarrow X\}$ , and let  $M_{([n],i_\bullet)} = f_{([n],i_\bullet)}^* M$ . Then the objects  $M_{([n],i_\bullet)}$  are dualizable, and their duals  $M_{([n],i_\bullet)}^\vee$  form a cocartesian section of  $\varprojlim_{\Delta_I} D(Y_{([n],i_\bullet)})^{\text{dual}}$ , this cocartesian section descends to an object  $N \in D(M)$ . Furthermore, the unit and counit witnessing the duality of  $M_{([n],i_\bullet)}^\vee$  and  $M_{([n],i_\bullet)}$  are cocartesian and descent in a unit and counit map  $1 \rightarrow N \otimes M \rightarrow 1$  in  $D(X)$ . It is clear that these yield the unit and counit of a duality by descent.  $\square$

When restricted to morphisms in  $0\text{Aff}_V$ , a 1-étale cover is the same as a descendable cover, provided the unit in  $V$  is compact.

**Lemma 2.5.10.** *Let  $\{f_i: Y_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of maps in  $0\text{Aff}_V$  such that  $\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Y_i)$  is  $\kappa$ -presented. Suppose that the unit in  $V$  is compact. Then  $\{f_i\}$  is a 1-étale cover if and only if there is a finite subfamily  $Y_{i_1}, \dots, Y_{i_n} \rightarrow X$  that forms a descendable cover of  $X$ , that is, such that the map of algebras  $\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^n \mathcal{O}(Y_{i_k})$  is descendable in  $V$ .*

*Proof.* By theorem 2.5.3 (1), given  $g: Z \rightarrow W$  a  $\kappa$ -presentable map in  $0\text{Aff}_V$ , the left and right adjoints  $g_{1,\sharp}$  and  $g_{1,*}$  of  $g_1^*: \text{Pr}_W \rightarrow \text{Pr}_Z$  are naturally identified. Therefore, by Theorem 2.5.5 (3),  $\{f_i\}$  is a 1-étale cover if and only if the natural map

$$\varinjlim_{\Delta_I^{\text{op}}} D(Y_{([n],i_\bullet)}) \rightarrow D(X)$$

is an equivalence in  $\text{Pr}^\kappa$ , where the transition maps are given by forgetful functors. Passing to right adjoints, this is equivalent for the natural functor

$$D(X) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\Delta_I} D(Y_{([n],i_\bullet)}) \tag{2.16}$$

to be an equivalence, where transition maps are upper !-functors (which by definition are nothing but the right adjoints to the forgetful functors, see the six functor formalism constructed in the proof of (1) of Theorem 2.5.3). Suppose that (2.16) holds, then  $\mathcal{O}(X) = \varinjlim_{\Delta_I} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}(X)}(\mathcal{O}(Y_{([n],i_\bullet)}), \mathcal{O}(X))$ , and since the unit of  $V$  is compact, then so is the unit of  $D(X) = \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}(X)}(V)$ , and there exists a finite subset  $I' \subset I$  and an index  $m$  such that  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  is a retract of  $\varinjlim_{\Delta_{I, \leq m}} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{O}(X)}(\mathcal{O}(Y_{([n],i_\bullet)}), \mathcal{O}(X))$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{O}(X)$  is in the thick tensor ideal in  $D(X)$  generated by the algebra  $\prod_{i \in I'} \mathcal{O}(Y_i)$ , proving that  $\{Y_{i'} \rightarrow X\}$  is a descendable cover. Conversely, if there is a finite subset  $I' \subset I$  such that  $\{Y_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I'}$  is a descendable cover of  $X$ , then by [Mat16, Corollary 3.42] the natural functor of Theorem 2.5.5 (2) is an equivalence for  $I'$  instead of  $I$ , and then so is for  $I$  being a subcover.  $\square$

2.5.2. *Cartier duality in  $\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ .* We finish our discussion of Cartier duality with a general theorem that will be helpful in identifying Cartier duals of quasi-affine analytic group stacks from a pairing under some suave or prim conditions. Consider the small full subcategory  $1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa} \subset 1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$  spanned by those algebras in  $\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$  which are  $\kappa$ -compact, equivalently, we let  $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}$  be the opposite of the category of commutative algebras in the full subcategory  $\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}, \kappa}$  of  $\kappa$ -compact objects in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Let  $\text{Shv}(1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa})$  be the category of sheaves with respect to the 1-étale topology of Theorem 2.5.7, we let  $\text{Shv}(1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}, \mathbf{Sp}_{\geq 0}) \subset \text{Shv}(1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}, \text{CMon})$  be the categories of connective spectra and commutative monoids on  $\text{Shv}(1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa})$ .

**Lemma 2.5.11.** *Let  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^1$  be the presheaf on  $1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}$  given by  $X \mapsto D(X)_{\kappa}$ , and let  $\mathbf{GL}_{1, \mathcal{V}} \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^1$  be the subsheaf of invertible objects, see Theorem 2.3.1. Then  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^1$  and  $\mathbf{GL}_{1, \mathcal{V}}$  are sheaves for the 1-étale topology. In particular, if  $G \in \text{PShv}(\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}, \text{CMon})$  is a presheaf on a commutative monoid, then its Cartier dual*

$$\mathbb{D}(G) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(G, \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^1)$$

is a 1-étale sheaf.

*Proof.* The case of  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^1$  follows from Theorem 2.5.9 (2). The case of invertible objects follows from Theorem 2.5.9 (3) and the fact that a dualizable object  $M$  is invertible if and only if the unit map  $1 \rightarrow M^{\vee} \otimes M$  is an equivalence (which can be checked by descent).

Finally, the claim about the Cartier duals is formal from the fact that  $\mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^1$  is a sheaf for the 1-étale topology.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.5.12.** *Let  $G \in \text{Shv}(1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}, \mathbf{Sp}_{\geq 0})$  be a connective spectra object in  $\text{Shv}(1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa})$ , and consider its Cartier dual*

$$\mathbb{D}(G) := \underline{\text{Hom}}(G, \mathbf{GL}_{1, \mathcal{V}}) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(G, \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{V}}^1),$$

in  $\text{Shv}(1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}, \mathbf{Sp}_{\geq 0})$ . Let  $e: * \rightarrow G$  be the unit map, and let  $\Omega G = * \times_G *$  be the loops of  $G$ . The following holds:

(1) Suppose that  $G$  is represented by an object in  $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}$ , and therefore it is also the case for the loops  $\Omega G = * \times_G *$ . Suppose that the categories  $D(G), D(\Omega G) \in \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$  are dualizable, and that  $* \rightarrow G$  is a 1-étale cover. Then  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  and  $\mathbb{D}(\Omega G)$  are also represented in  $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}$  and we have a fiber sequence in  $\text{Shv}(1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}, \mathbf{Sp}_{\geq 0})$

$$\mathbb{D}(G) \rightarrow * \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\Omega G).$$

(2) Keep the same hypothesis of (1). Suppose that there exists an object  $H \in \text{Shv}(1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}, \mathbf{Sp}_{\geq 0})$  represented in  $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}$  such that  $D(H) \in \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is dualizable. Suppose that the unit map  $e: * \rightarrow H$  is a 1-étale cover and that we have a fiber sequence

$$\mathbb{D}(G) \rightarrow * \rightarrow H.$$

Then one has a natural equivalence of sheaves of connective spectra

$$\mathbb{D}(H) = \Omega G,$$

and hence, after taking duals again, a natural equivalence  $\mathbb{D}(\Omega G) = H$ . In particular, Cartier duality sends the fiber/cofiber sequence  $\Omega G \rightarrow * \rightarrow G$  to the fiber/cofiber sequence  $\Omega H \rightarrow * \rightarrow H$ .

*Proof.* (1) Note that  $\text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}, \kappa}$  contains all the dualizable objects since  $\mathcal{V}$  is  $\kappa$ -presentable. Since the categories  $D(G)$  and  $D(\Omega G) \in \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$  are dualizable, the Cartier duals  $\mathbb{D}(G)$  and  $\mathbb{D}(\Omega G)$  are represented in  $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}$  by Theorem 2.3.2 (2).

Now, by assumption the map  $* \rightarrow G$  is a 1-étale cover. This produces a fiber/cofiber sequence of connective spectrum objects

$$\Omega G \rightarrow * \rightarrow G$$

in  $\text{Shv}(1\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}, \mathbf{Sp}_{\geq 0})$ , since  $\mathbb{D}$  is a right adjoint, one obtains a fiber sequence of connective spectra

$$\mathbb{D}(G) \rightarrow * \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\Omega G)$$

proving part (1).

(2) Suppose that there is  $H \in \text{Shv}(\text{1Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}^{\kappa}, \mathbf{Sp}_{\geq 0})$  as in (2). Applying (1) to the fiber/cofiber sequence  $\mathbb{D}(G) \rightarrow * \rightarrow H$ , one has a fiber sequence of connective spectrum objects

$$\mathbb{D}(H) \rightarrow * \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{D}(G)).$$

Since Cartier duality is an anti-involution for objects corepresented by dualizable categories thanks to Theorem 2.3.2 (2), we have that  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{D}(G)) = G$ . We deduce that  $\mathbb{D}(H) = \Omega G$ , and by applying the anti-equivalence again that  $\mathbb{D}(\Omega G) = H$ . This proves (2).  $\square$

Let us specialize Theorem 2.5.12 to the case of quasi-affine commutative monoids in analytic stacks. Consider the small subcategory  $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{AnStk}$  of analytic stacks as in Section 2.2, and let  $S = \text{AnSpec } A \in \mathcal{C}$  be an affinoid analytic stack. In the following we identify the objects  $X \in \text{Pr}_{D,S}$  with  $D(X)$  via the equivalence  $\text{Pr}_{D,S} = \text{Pr}_{D(S)}$  of Theorem 2.1.9. In particular, if  $X \in \mathcal{C}_{/S}^E$ , the commutative algebra  $[X]^*$  of Theorem 2.4.1 is identified with the symmetric monoidal category  $D(X)$ . Following the notation of Section 2.4, we let  $\text{Spec}^S[X]^* \in \text{Aff}_{D(S)}$  be the object corepresented by the algebra  $[X]^*$ . Note that  $\text{Spec}^S[S]^*$  is nothing but the final object in  $\text{Aff}_{D(S)}$  that we are denoting by  $*$ .

**Theorem 2.5.13.** *Keep the previous notation. The following holds:*

- (1) *Let  $f: G \rightarrow \text{AnSpec } A$  be a !-able quasi-affinoid grouplike commutative monoid. Suppose that  $e: S \rightarrow BG$  satisfies universal  $D^!$ -descent, so that  $BG$  is !-able over  $S$ . Then the induced map  $e: * \rightarrow \text{Spec}^S[BG]^*$  in  $\text{Aff}_{D(S)}$  is a 1-étale !-cover with Čech nerve given by  $\{\text{Spec}^S[G^{\bullet}]^*\}_{\Delta^{\text{op}}}$  where  $G^{\bullet}$  is the Čech nerve of  $e: S \rightarrow BG$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*
- (2) *Suppose that  $f: G \rightarrow S$  is a suave quasi-affinoid grouplike commutative monoid. Then the Cartier dual  $\mathbb{D}(\text{Spec}^S([BG]^*)) = \text{Spec}^S([BG]_!)$  is represented by an object in  $0\text{Aff}_{D(S)}^{\kappa}$ , i.e. it is 0-affine and  $\kappa$ -presentable. The underlying commutative algebra of  $[BG]_!$  is given by the endomorphisms of the unit  $e_!1 \in D(BG)$ , that is by  $\text{Hom}_{BG}(e_!1, e_!1) \in D(A)$ . By suaveness of  $e$  and proper base change, the underlying module of this algebra is equivalent to  $f_!f^!1 \in D(A)$ .*
- (3) *Keep the assumptions of (2). Let  $H$  be an affinoid grouplike commutative monoid over  $S$  with induced analytic ring structure. Let  $\psi: H \times BG \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  be a pairing of commutative monoids, where  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  is the sheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$  representing invertible elements in the underlying category of quasi-coherent sheaves. Then the underlying map*

$$\text{Spec}^S([H]^*) \rightarrow \text{Spec}^S([BG]_!)$$

*induced by the Fourier-Mukai transform (2.12) arises from a unique map of commutative algebras in  $\mathcal{V}$*

$$f_!f^!1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H). \quad (2.17)$$

*Furthermore, the map (2.17) as  $A$ -modules corresponds to the element in*

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_A(f_!f^!1, \mathcal{O}(H)) = \Gamma(H \times G) \quad (2.18)$$

*given by the preimage of the universal invertible element in  $\Omega \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  via the pairing  $H \times G \rightarrow \Omega \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$ .*

- (4) *Keep the assumptions in (2), let  $H$  be an affinoid grouplike commutative monoid over  $S$  with induced analytic ring structure. Suppose we are given with a pairing  $\psi: H \otimes BG \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  such that the Cartier duality map*

$$\text{Spec}^S([H]^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}^S([BG]_!)$$

*is an equivalence. Suppose that  $h: S \rightarrow BH$  is descendable. Then the pairing  $BH \otimes G = H \otimes BG \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  induces an isomorphism*

$$\text{Spec}^S([G]^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}^S([BH]_!).$$

*In other words,  $\psi$  induces a 1-categorical Cartier duality as in Theorem 2.4.7 between  $G$  and  $BH$ , and  $BG$  and  $H$  respectively.*

*Remark 2.5.14.* (1) In Theorem 2.5.13 the identification (2.18) holds by proper base change and projection formula along the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \times G & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_G} & G \\ \text{pr}_H \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ H & \xrightarrow{g} & S \end{array}$$

together with the fact that  $f: G \rightarrow S$  is suave and  $g: H \rightarrow *$  is prim, which produces an equivalence of functors  $f_! f^! = f_{\sharp} f^*$ , with  $f_{\sharp}$  the left adjoint of  $f^*$ . Indeed, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_S(f_! f^! 1_G, g_* 1_H) &\cong \text{Hom}_S(f_{\sharp} 1_G, g_* 1_H) = \\ \text{Hom}_G(1_G, f^* g_* 1_H) &= f_* f^* g_* 1_H = f_* \text{pr}_{G,*} \text{pr}_H^* 1_H = \Gamma(H \times G). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  be as in theorem 2.5.13 (3). Then  $\Omega \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  is the sheaf on  $\mathcal{C}$  sending an analytic ring  $A$  to the commutative monoid of units in  $A^{\triangleright}(*)$ , equivalently, to the commutative monoid of automorphisms of  $1 \in D(A)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.13.* (1) By Theorem 2.2.3 the map  $* \rightarrow BG$  is Künneth. In particular, the Čech nerve of  $\text{Spec}^S[S]^* \rightarrow \text{Spec}^S[BG]^*$  is given by  $\text{Spec}^S[G^{\bullet}]^*$ , equivalently, we have that  $D(*) \otimes_{D(BG)} D(*) = D(G)$ . Furthermore, the diagonal map  $BG \rightarrow BG \times BG$  can be also written as  $G \setminus G/G \rightarrow B(G \times G)$ , and so it is represented in quasi-affine schemes. It follows by an inductive argument that the  $n$ -th diagonal of  $BG$  is given by  $BG \rightarrow G \setminus G^{S^{n-1}}/G$  (and  $S^n$  is the  $n$ -th sphere). In particular,  $G^{S^{n-1}} \rightarrow G \setminus G^{S^{n-1}}/G$  is a !-cover representable in quasi-affine analytic stacks, and by Theorem 2.2.3 the map  $BG \rightarrow G \setminus G^{S^{n-1}}/G$  is Künneth. It follows by Theorem 2.5.3 (2) that the map  $\text{Spec}^S[S]^* \rightarrow \text{Spec}^S[G]^*$  is a 1-étale map. Since  $S \rightarrow BG$  is a !-cover, we have a natural equivalence

$$D(BG) = \varinjlim_{\Delta^{\text{op}}!} D(G^{\bullet})$$

where the transition maps are given by lower !-maps. It follows from Theorem 2.5.5 (3) that  $\text{Spec}^S[S]^* \rightarrow \text{Spec}^S[G]^*$  is a 1-étale cover in  $1\text{Aff}_{D(S)}$ .

(2) Suppose that  $f: G \rightarrow S$  is suave and let  $e: S \rightarrow BG$ . The Cartier dual  $\mathbb{D}([BG]^*) = [BG]_!$  has unit  $e_!: D(A) \rightarrow D(BG)$ . Thus, to see that  $[BG]_!$  is 0-affine it suffices to show that  $D(BG)$  is isomorphic to the module category of endomorphisms of  $e_! 1$  which are given by  $\text{Hom}_{BG}(e_! 1, e_! 1) = e^! e_! 1 \in D(A)$ . Since  $e: S \rightarrow BG$  is suave as  $G$  is so, the functor  $e^!$  is  $D(A)$ -lineal and by the monadicity theorem we have an equivalence of categories

$$D(BG) \cong \text{Mod}_{e^! e_! 1}(D(A)).$$

Since the six functor formalism is  $\kappa$ -presentable, and  $e^!$  is the right adjoint of  $e_!$  in the kernel category, one has that  $e^! e_! 1 \in D(A)_{\kappa}$  is  $\kappa$ -compact. The final statement relating  $e^! e_! 1$  with  $f_! f^! 1 \in D(A)$  follows from suaveness of  $f$ , and proper base change on the cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \longrightarrow & BG. \end{array}$$

(3) Let  $f: G \rightarrow S$  be as in (2), and let  $H$  be an affinoid grouplike commutative monoid over  $S$  with the induced analytic ring structure. Let  $\psi: H \otimes BG \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  be a pairing of commutative monoids. By Cartier duality and the 0-affiness of (2) we have an induced map

$$\text{Spec}^S([H]^*) \rightarrow \text{Spec}^S([BG]_!) \tag{2.19}$$

in  $0\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{V}}$ . The underlying algebra of  $[H]^*$  is given by  $\mathcal{O}(H)$ , and the underlying module of the algebra of  $[BG]_!$  is given by  $e^! e_! 1 = f_! f^! 1$ . The map (2.19) gives rise to a morphism of  $A$ -modules

$$f_! f^! 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H)$$

that we want to determine.

Since  $* \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}^S[BG]^*$  is a 1-étale cover in  $1\mathrm{Aff}_{D(S)}$ , we have that

$$\mathrm{Spec}^S[BG]^* = B(\mathrm{Spec}^S[G]^*)$$

as connective spectral sheaves on  $1\mathrm{Aff}_{D(S)}^\lambda$ . Hence, we have that

$$\mathrm{Spec}^S[BG]_! = \mathbb{D}(\mathrm{Spec}^S[BG]^*) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{Spec}^S[BG]^*, \mathbf{GL}_{1,D(S)}) = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{Spec}^S[G]^*, \Omega^1 \mathbf{GL}_{1,D(S)}).$$

Now, the pairing  $\psi$  gives rise to a map

$$\mathrm{Spec}^S[H]^* \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{Spec}^S[G]^*, \Omega^1 \mathbf{GL}_{1,D(S)})$$

which by construction is induced by the adjoint to the loops of the map  $\psi$ , that is the map  $H \otimes G \rightarrow \Omega \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$ . Since  $G$  is suave, part (2) implies that  $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{Spec}^S[G]^*, \Omega^1 \mathbf{GL}_{1,D(S)})$  is corepresented by the algebra  $f_! f^! 1 \in D(A)$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the sheaf on  $1\mathrm{Aff}_{D(A)}$  sending  $Y$  to  $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ . Then we have a natural inclusion  $\Omega^1 \mathbf{GL}_{1,D(S)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  with essential image given by the units of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}$ . To determine the underlying map of modules  $f_! f^! 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H)$  it suffices to describe the composition

$$\mathrm{Spec}^S[H]^* \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathrm{Spec}^S[G]^*, \Omega^1 \mathbf{GL}_{1,D(A)}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Map}}(\mathrm{Spec}^S[G]^*, \mathcal{O}) \quad (2.20)$$

seen as sheaves on 0-affine objects  $0\mathrm{Aff}_{D(A)}$ . Indeed, if  $X$  is a 0-affine object with algebra  $\mathcal{O}(X) \in D(A)$ , then

$$\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(\mathrm{Spec}^S[G]^*, \mathcal{O})(X) = \Gamma(G, f^* \mathcal{O}(X)) = \tau_{\geq 0} \mathrm{Hom}_A(f_! f^! 1, \mathcal{O}(X)) = \underline{\mathrm{Map}}_{\mathbf{CAlg}(D(A))}(\mathrm{Sym}_A f_! f^! 1, \mathcal{O}(X)),$$

where in the second equivalence we have used the identification of Theorem 2.5.14 (2). In other words,  $\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(\mathrm{Spec}^S[G]^*, \mathcal{O})$  is corepresented by the algebra  $\mathrm{Sym}_A f_! f^! 1$ . Thus, the composite (2.20) corresponds to the map of  $A$ -modules  $f_! f^! 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H)$  associated to the global section  $T \in \Gamma(H \times G)$  that comes from the universal unit of  $\Omega \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  via the pairing  $H \times G \rightarrow \Omega \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$ , proving what we wanted.

(4) Finally we prove (4). Since  $G$  is suave, the map  $S \rightarrow BG$  is a  $!$ -cover of analytic stacks. Similarly, since  $S \rightarrow BH$  is prim and descendable, it is a  $!$ -cover of analytic stacks. Part (1) implies that the associated maps in  $\mathrm{Aff}_{D(1)}$  are 1-étale covers. Thus, the 1-categorical Cartier duality between  $H$  and  $BG$  and Theorem 2.5.12 (2) yields the 1-categorical Cartier duality between  $BH$  and  $G$  as wanted.  $\square$

### 3. EXAMPLES

In this section we produce different examples of Cartier duality in algebraic/analytic stacks<sup>7</sup>. The strategy is always the same: one starts with a concrete easy-to-prove Cartier duality between two concrete quasi-affine abelian group stacks where Theorem 2.5.13 can be directly applied. Then, using descent techniques in the category of kernels, one deduces a Cartier duality for more stacky objects.

We let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathrm{AnStk}$  denote the small full subcategory of analytic stacks of Section 2.2; it consists of a sufficiently large full subcategory of analytic stacks stable under finite limits and countable colimits, and containing all the analytic rings that we are interested in. We recall the following definition from the previous section.

**Definition 3.0.1.** We let  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,C} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{CMon}$  be the functor sending an analytic stack  $X$  to its commutative monoid of invertible objects  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,C}(X) := D(X)^\times$ .

*Remark 3.0.2.* Since invertible objects satisfy  $*$ -descent,  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  is a sheaf for the Grothendieck topology of analytic stacks. Let  $S \in \mathcal{C}$  and consider the functor

$$F : = \mathrm{Spec}^S([-*]) : \mathcal{C}_{/S}^E \rightarrow \mathrm{Aff}_{D,S}^\kappa$$

of Section 2.4. By definition we have that  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,C}|_{\mathcal{C}_{/S}^E} = F^* \mathbf{GL}_{1,D,S}$ .

<sup>7</sup>Some of the Cartier duality results of this paper are already mentioned in [RC24]. The proofs in *loc. cit.* have some missing details that we correct here.

By the assumptions on our category  $\mathcal{C}$  of analytic stacks, we have that  $\mathbb{G}_m \in \mathcal{C}$ , and since  $\mathcal{C}$  is stable under finite limits and countable colimits, we have  $B\mathbb{G}_m := \text{AnSpec}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}})/\mathbb{G}_m \in \mathcal{C}$ . In the examples of this paper, Cartier duality will arise from a pairing with values in  $B\mathbb{G}_m$ , this is justified thanks to the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.0.3.** *The analytic stack  $B\mathbb{G}_m \in \text{AnStk}$  represents the functor sending an analytic ring  $A$  to the full subanima of objects of  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,C}(A) \subset \mathbf{D}(A)$  consisting on those invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{D}(A)$  such that after taking pullbacks along a  $!$ -cover  $A \rightarrow B$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_B$  is a line bundle isomorphic to  $B$ . In particular,  $B\mathbb{G}_m$  defines a commutative monoid in  $\mathcal{C}$  and there is a natural map of commutative monoids*

$$B\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}.$$

*Proof.* Consider the stack  $\text{Pic}$  of line bundles on analytic rings, that is, the stack given by the  $!$ -sheafification of the functor sending an analytic ring  $A$  to the commutative monoid of trivial line bundles on  $\mathbf{D}(A)$ . The trivial line bundle gives rise to a map  $e: \text{AnSpec}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}$  which is surjective by definition. The group of isomorphisms of the map  $e$  is precisely the group sending an analytic ring  $A$  to the units in  $\text{End}_A(A^\flat) = A^\flat(*)$ , that is, the group  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . This gives rise to the presentation  $B\mathbb{G}_m = \text{Pic}$ . By construction,  $\text{Pic} \subset \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  as commutative monoid, giving rise to the desired map  $B\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  as wanted.  $\square$

*Remark 3.0.4.* Since  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is naturally a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module,  $B\mathbb{G}_m$  also has an additional structure of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module refining its commutative monoid structure. Therefore, if  $G$  and  $H$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , in order to produce a bilinear pairing  $G \times H \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$  of commutative group objects, it suffices to produce a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear pairing  $H \times G \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$  and compose with the natural map of commutative group objects  $B\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbf{GL}_{1,C}$ .

**3.1. Cartier duality for tori.** We start with the most basic Cartier duality between  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . Let us first discuss the suave side of the duality, that is,  $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}}$ . For any discrete set  $X$ ,  $X_{\text{Betti}}$  is cohomologically étale ([HM24, Definition 4.6.1]) over  $* = \text{AnSpec}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}})$  being a disjoint union of points. This makes  $B\mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}}$  the quotient of  $*$  by an étale group in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and so it is a  $!$ -able stack that is cohomologically étale ([HM24, Lemma 4.6.3 (ii)]).

**Lemma 3.1.1.** *Let  $g: \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}} \rightarrow \text{AnSpec} \mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}$  be the structural map. Then there is an equivalence  $g_! 1 = g_{\sharp} 1 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$  of condensed abelian groups.*

*Proof.* This follows by writing  $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}}$  as an union of points.  $\square$

Next, we discuss the prim side of the Cartier duality, that is  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . We need the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.1.2.** *The map  $e: \text{AnSpec}(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}) \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$  is prim and descendable. In particular  $B\mathbb{G}_m$  is  $!$ -able over  $\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}$ .*

*Proof.* The map  $e$  is prim being representable in affinoid analytic stacks with the induced structure. Since  $\mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}]$  is a flat condensed abelian group, Theorem 2.2.4 implies that  $\mathbf{D}(B\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit$  is the abelian category of  $\mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}]$ -comodules in condensed abelian groups. Then,  $e_* 1$  lies in  $\mathbf{D}(B\mathbb{G}_m)^\heartsuit$  and is isomorphic to the regular representation  $\mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}]$ . The descendability follows from the fact that the trivial representation of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is a direct summand of the regular representation.  $\square$

We let  $\Phi: \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}} \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  be the natural multiplication map arising from the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module structure of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . We have the following Cartier duality:

**Proposition 3.1.3.** *The pairing  $\Phi: \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}} \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  gives rise to 1-categorical Cartier dualities*

$$[B\mathbb{G}_m]_! \cong [\mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}}]^* \text{ and } [\mathbb{G}_m]_! \cong [B\mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}}]^*$$

in  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{D}, \text{AnSpec} \mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}}$  as in Theorem 2.4.7.

*Proof.* Thanks to Theorem 2.5.13, since  $f: \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}} \rightarrow \text{AnSpec} \mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}$  is suave and  $\text{AnSpec} \mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}} \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$  is descendable, it suffices to show that the induced global section of  $\Psi$  gives rise to an isomorphism

$$f_{\sharp} 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}].$$

We have that

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}} \times \mathbb{G}_m) = \prod_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}]$$

and the global section given by  $\Psi$  is the tuple  $(T^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . This produces the map

$$f_{\sharp} 1 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}]$$

sending the  $n$ -th term basis the direct sum to  $T^n$ , proving that it is an isomorphism as wanted.  $\square$

It is now easy to improve Theorem 3.1.3 to a stacky statement, this will imply in particular a Cartier duality for arbitrary tori. In the following, we let  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  be the general linear group of degree  $n$  (note that  $\mathrm{GL}_1 = \mathbb{G}_m$  which is different from what we are denoting  $\mathbf{GL}_{1,c}$ ).

**Theorem 3.1.4.** *Let  $\mathrm{Latt}$  be the algebraic stack of finite free  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}$ -lattices, that is, the algebraic stack whose values in an analytic ring  $A$  consists on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}$ -modules  $M$  over  $\mathrm{AnSpec}(A)$  that, locally in the  $!$ -topology, are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The following hold:*

- (1) *Consider the map  $f: \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathrm{BGL}_n(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{Betti}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Latt}$  where the lattices in the left terms are induced by the standard representations. Then  $f$  is an equivalence of analytic stacks.*
- (2) *Let  $V$  be the universal  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}$ -lattice over  $\mathrm{Latt}$  and let  $V^*$  be its  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}$ -linear dual. We let  $\mathbb{T}_{V^*} := \mathbb{G}_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}} V^*$ . Then the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear pairing  $\Psi: V \times \mathbb{T}_{V^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  gives rise to a 1-categorical Cartier duality in the kernel category  $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{Latt}}$*

$$[B\mathbb{T}_{V^*}]_! \cong [V]^* \text{ and } [\mathbb{T}_{V^*}]_! \cong [BV]^*. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* Part (1) follows essentially by definition of  $\mathrm{Latt}$ . Indeed, given  $A$  an analytic ring, a lattice  $M \rightarrow \mathrm{AnSpec} A$  is, locally in the  $!$ -topology, isomorphic as  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}$ -module to  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that the map  $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} * \rightarrow \mathrm{Latt}$  where the  $n$ -th map corresponds to the constant lattice  $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}^n$  is an epimorphism of analytic stacks. Now, the automorphisms of  $* \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}^n} \mathrm{Latt}$  is nothing but  $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{Betti}}^n) = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})_{\mathrm{Betti}}$ , proving that  $f$  in (1) is an equivalence.

For (2), to show that the pairings produce a Cartier duality, by Theorem 2.4.6 it suffices to prove this locally in the  $!$ -topology of  $\mathrm{Latt}$ . Thus, by (1) we can assume without loss of generality that  $V \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice over  $S = \mathrm{AnSpec}(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{cond}})$ . Then the pairing  $\Psi$  is a direct products of the component-wise pairings, this reduces the problem to case of  $V = \mathbb{Z}$  and hence to Theorem 3.1.3.  $\square$

**3.2. Cartier duality for vector bundles.** In this section we prove Cartier duality for different incarnations of vector bundles. The strategy is the same as in Theorem 3.1.4 and the only difference consists in the analogue of Theorem 3.1.3. Thus, to avoid repetition in the argument, we will state a general theorem involving all the cases of interest in the paper, and only prove the key Cartier duality in the basic cases following Theorem 2.5.13.

**3.2.1. Algebraic vector bundles.** The first and most fundamental example for us is the case of algebraic vector bundles. This discussion can take place in the six functor formalism of quasi-coherent sheaves on algebraic stacks. However, in order to keep a similar framework for all the cases of the paper, we will state it in the six functor formalism of analytic stacks.

Let us first discuss the case of the trivial vector bundle. Consider the algebraic affine  $\mathbb{G}_a = \mathrm{AnSpec} \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\mathrm{cond}}$  as a ring stack in analytic stacks. Let  $\mathbb{Z}[T]^{\mathrm{DP}} = \bigoplus_n \mathbb{Z} \frac{T^n}{n!}$  be the divided power envelope of  $\mathbb{Z}[T]$  at  $T = 0$ , and let  $\mathbb{G}_a^{\sharp} = \mathrm{AnSpec}(\mathbb{Z}[T]^{\mathrm{DP}, \mathrm{cond}})$ .

**Lemma 3.2.1.** *The analytic stack  $\mathbb{G}_a^{\sharp}$  has a natural structure of  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module.*

*Proof.* This follows formally from the theory of animated PD pairs of [Mao24]. Let us give a more elementary proof. Since the analytic stacks  $\mathbb{G}_a^{\sharp}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_a$  are represented by static analytic rings that are flat over  $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{cond}}$ , it suffices to endow  $\mathbb{G}_a^{\sharp}$  with a  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module when restricted to static analytic rings. Indeed, if  $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathrm{AnStk}^{\mathrm{aff}}$  is the full subcategory of condensed rings which are static and flat over  $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{cond}}$ , the left Kan extension

$$F_! : \mathrm{PShv}(\mathcal{D}_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{AnStk}$$

of the inclusion  $F: \mathcal{D}_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{AnStk}$  preserves colimits and finite products, and in particular it is symmetric monoidal for the Cartesian symmetric monoidal structure. Thus, after passing to spectral objects, the functor  $F_!$  is symmetric monoidal and it preserves algebras and modules over algebras.

Now, as both  $\mathbb{G}_a$  and  $\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp$  are 0-truncated in  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , to endow  $\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp$  with a  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module structure, it suffices to do it at abelian level. That is, we need to construct the addition map  $\text{Ad}: \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \times \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp$  and the multiplication map  $m: \mathbb{G}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp$ , and prove the standard axioms for a module structure in abelian groups. The maps  $\text{Ad}$  and  $m$  correspond to the following maps of algebras

$$\text{Ad}: \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y, X]^{\text{DP}}, \quad T \mapsto Y + X$$

and

$$m: \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[X]^{\text{DP}} = \mathbb{Z}[X]^{\text{DP}}[Y], \quad T \mapsto YX.$$

The verification that they endow  $\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp$  with a  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -module structure are routine verifications that we leave to the reader.  $\square$

Next, we construct the pairing that witness the Cartier duality for algebraic vector bundles. We let  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a = \varinjlim_n \text{AnSpec} \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{cond}}/T^n$  be the formal completion at 0 of  $\mathbb{G}_a$ , it is the  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -ideal corepresenting the nilpotent elements of the underlying discrete ring  $A^{\text{DP}}(*)$  of an analytic ring  $A$ .

**Construction 3.2.2.** We define the exponential map

$$\exp(xy): \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

to be the map of analytic stacks induced by the power series map

$$\mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y]^{\text{DP}}[[X]], \quad T \mapsto \exp(YX) = \sum_{n \in N} \frac{Y^n X^n}{n!}$$

where the right-hand-side ring is endowed with the  $X$ -adic topology, and the left term with the trivial topology.

**Lemma 3.2.3.** *The exponential map Theorem 3.2.2 is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear pairing of analytic stacks.*

*Proof.* By applying the same argument as in Theorem 3.2.8, it suffices to show that the exponential is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear as a pairing when restricted to static analytic rings. In this case, the lemma reduces to proving that the finitely many diagrams witnessing the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinearity are commutative, which then reduces to the standard identity of the exponential power series, that is,  $\exp((X_1 + X_2)Y) = \exp(X_1 Y) \exp(X_2 Y)$  in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[Y]^{\text{DP}}[[X_1, X_2]]$  and  $\exp(X(Y_1 + Y_2)) = \exp(XY_1) \exp(XY_2)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[Y_1, Y_2]^{\text{DP}}[[X]]$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.4.* Notice that the exponential map  $\exp(XY) \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  is compatible with the action of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  on both terms. Then it actually factors as the composite

$$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{G}_a} \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

where the second map is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map that we can safely denote  $\exp$ .

The analytic stack  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$  is suave over  $\text{AnSpec} \mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}$  by [RC25, Lemma 6.4.15], it is also quasi-affine being an open immersion (in the sense of analytic stacks) of the algebraic affine line  $\mathbb{G}_a$ . We need to establish the !-ability of the stack  $B\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp$ .

**Lemma 3.2.5.** *The map  $s: \text{AnSpec} \mathbb{Z}^{\text{Cond}} \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp$  is descendable, in particular  $B\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp$  is !-able over  $\text{AnSpec} \mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}$ .*

*Proof.* The algebra  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp) = \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}}$  is flat over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , thus by Theorem 2.2.4 we know that  $D(B\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp)$  has a natural  $t$ -structure whose heart is the category of  $\mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}}$ -comodules. The comodule  $s_*1 \in D(B\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp)$  is the regular comodule  $\mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}}$  induced by comultiplication. By the Poincaré lemma we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}} \xrightarrow{\partial_T} \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\partial_T$  is the derivation with respect to the variable  $T$ . It is a classical computation that this is an exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}}$ -comodules. This proves that the unit of  $D(B\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp)$  is in the thick tensor ideal of  $s_*1$  and therefore that  $s$  is descendable.  $\square$

We deduce the Cartier duality for the divided-power affine line:

**Proposition 3.2.6.** *The pairing  $\Psi: \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a \times \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  of Theorem 3.2.2 gives rise to 1-categorical Cartier dualities in  $K_{D, \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}}$*

$$[B\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp]_! \cong [\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a]^* \text{ and } [\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp]_! \cong [B\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a]^*$$

as in Theorem 2.4.7.

*Proof.* Let us denote  $S = \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}$ . We apply Theorem 2.5.13 knowing that  $S \rightarrow B\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$  and  $S \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp$  are  $!$ -covers. Let  $g: \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a \rightarrow S$  be the structural map, and let  $g_!g^!1 = g_!1 \in D(\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}})$  be its homology. A classical computation shows that  $g_!1$  is the *continuous dual* of  $g_*1 = \mathbb{Z}[[X]]$  for the  $X$ -adic topology, that is  $g_!1 \cong \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}X^{n,\vee}$ . It suffices to see that the morphism

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}X^{n,\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}} \tag{3.2}$$

arising from the pairing  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism. The pairing  $\Psi$  is given by the exponential power series

$$\exp(XT) \in \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}}[[X]] = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}X^{n,\vee}, \mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}}\right),$$

and it is a standard computation that this map sends  $X^{n,\vee}$  to  $\frac{T^n}{n!}$ , proving that (3.2) is an isomorphism as wanted.  $\square$

Note that  $\mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \times_{\text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}} \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Q}^{\text{cond}} = \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}}$  is the affine line. One has the following specialization of Theorem 3.2.6 by taking base change to characteristic zero.

**Corollary 3.2.7.** *Let  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}}$  be the base change of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then the pairing  $\exp(xy): \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}}$  of Theorem 3.2.2 factors as the composite of the multiplication map  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$  and the exponential map  $\exp: \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}}$ . Furthermore, the Cartier duality of Theorem 3.2.6 induces a 1-categorical Cartier duality of analytic stacks over  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{cond}}$*

$$[B\mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}}]_! \cong [\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\mathbb{Q}}]^* \text{ and } [\mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}}]_! \cong [B\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\mathbb{Q}}]^*.$$

**3.2.2. Solid vector bundles.** Next, we prove a Cartier duality for a solid incarnation of vector bundles. This incarnation of Cartier duality is related to work in progress with Aoki and Zavyalov [ARCZ] where we develop the theory of (ultra)solid de Rham stacks. We shall work over the base  $S = \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Z}_\square$  of solid integers. Let  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square} = \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Z}[T]_\square$  be the solid affine line. As it is shown in Section 3.2.1, the Cartier dual of  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}$  should be some incarnation of the divided-power envelope of  $\mathbb{G}_a$  at zero. Let  $\mathbb{Z}[[T]]^{\text{DP}} := \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} \frac{T^n}{n!}$  be the completion of  $\mathbb{Z}[T]^{\text{DP}}$  with respect to its divided-power filtration, seen as a solid ring endowed with the product topology. We let  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}^\sharp := \text{AnSpec}((\mathbb{Z}[[T]]^{\text{DP}}, \mathbb{Z}_\square))$  be the analytic spectrum of  $\mathbb{Z}[[T]]^{\text{DP}}$  endowed with the induced solid analytic ring structure.

We first construct the pairing.

**Lemma 3.2.8.** *The analytic stack  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}^\sharp$  has a natural structure of  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}$ -module.*

*Proof.* This follows formally from the theory of complete solid PD pairs of [ARCZ]. As the reference is not yet available we give an elementary proof. Since finite products of objects  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp$  are static, by the same left-Kan-extension argument of Theorem 3.2.8 (applied to the full subcategory of analytic stacks generated by finite products of  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}$  and  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp$ ) it suffices to produce the structure of an  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}$ -module on  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}$  as presheaves on sets. For that, it suffices to construct an addition map  $\text{Ad}: \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp$  and a multiplication map  $m: \mathbb{G}_{a,\square} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a$  satisfying the obvious module axioms. Let us directly write the maps, the verification of the module axioms are straightforward computations that we leave to the reader. The addition map  $\text{Ad}$  corresponds to the morphism of solid algebras

$$\mathbb{Z}[[Y]]^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[Y_1]]^{\text{DP}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\square} \mathbb{Z}[[Y_2]]^{\text{DP}} = \mathbb{Z}[[Y_1, Y_2]]^{\text{DP}}, \quad Y \mapsto Y_1 + Y_2,$$

note that this map is well defined since the solid tensor product satisfies  $\prod_I \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\square} \prod_J \mathbb{Z} = \prod_{I \times J} \mathbb{Z}$  for countable sets  $I$  and  $J$ . The multiplication map corresponds to the morphism of algebras

$$\mathbb{Z}[[Y]]^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X]_\square \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\square} \mathbb{Z}[[Y]]^{\text{DP}} = \mathbb{Z}[X][[Y]]^{\text{DP}}, \quad Y \mapsto XY,$$

this map is well defined since we have the solid tensor product  $\mathbb{Z}[X]_\square \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\square} \prod_I \mathbb{Z}_\square = \prod_I \mathbb{Z}[X]$  for a countable set  $I$ .  $\square$

Having constructed the  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}$ -module structure on  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp$ , we can construct the exponential map that gives rise the Cartier duality.

**Construction 3.2.9.** Consider the exponential map  $\exp: \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  induced by the morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_\square$ -algebras

$$\mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[Y]]^{\text{DP}}, \quad T \mapsto \exp(Y) = \sum_n \frac{X^n}{n!}$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}[T^{\pm 1}]$  is considered with the induced analytic ring structure. By the usual additive law of the exponential as power series  $\exp(Y_1 + Y_2) = \exp(Y_1)\exp(Y_2)$  in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[[Y_1, Y_2]]^{\text{DP}}$ , the map  $\exp$  above is a morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules in analytic stacks. We define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear paring

$$\Psi: \mathbb{G}_{a,\square} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

to be the map  $(X, Y) \mapsto \exp(YX)$ .

The analytic stack  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}$  is quasi-affine being an open localization of  $\mathbb{G}_a$ , it is also suave over  $S$  by [RC25, Proposition 7.1.11]. Next we verify the !-ability of  $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp$ .

**Lemma 3.2.10.** *The map  $e: \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Z}_\square \rightarrow B\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp$  is descendable. In particular,  $B\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp$  is !-able.*

*Proof.* This follows by the argument of Theorem 3.2.5, noticing that  $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$  is flat in light solid abelian groups by [RC25, Corollary 3.4.3], and that the Poincaré lemma still holds with  $\mathbb{Z}[[T]]^{\text{DP}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.11.** *The pairing  $\Psi: \mathbb{G}_{a,\square} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp$  of Theorem 3.2.9 gives rise to 1-categorical Cartier dualities in  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{D}, \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Z}_\square}$*

$$[B\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp]! \cong [\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}]^* \text{ and } [\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp]! \cong [B\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}]^*.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g: \mathbb{G}_{a,\square} \rightarrow \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Z}_\square$  be the structural map. The proposition follows from Theorem 2.5.13 after we have shown that the map  $g_\sharp 1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp) = \mathbb{Z}[[T]]^{\text{DP}}$  induced by  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism. But  $g_\sharp 1$  is the solid dual of  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , namely,  $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}X^{n,\vee}$ , and the map  $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}X^{n,\vee} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[[T]]^{\text{DP}}$  is induced by the exponential map  $\exp(TX) \in \mathbb{Z}[X][[T]]^{\text{DP}} = \Gamma(\mathbb{G}_{a,\square} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp)$  and therefore an isomorphism.  $\square$

**3.2.3. Disc bundles.** Next, we prove Cartier duality for unit discs, this requires to work over a base ring  $R$  with a pseudo-uniformizer  $\pi$ . We will choose as our base the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}((\pi))$  with the induced solid analytic ring structure. We will see classical sheafy Tate-Huber adic spaces as analytic stacks as in [And21], namely, [And21, Theorem 4.1] and [RC24, Proposition 2.3.2] imply that rational covers of the adic spectrum of sheafy analytic Huber rings give rise to open covers of analytic stacks, and we can glue affinoid Huber analytic adic spaces into analytic stacks via the topology of the underlying adic space.

The ring  $R$  is a Tate Banach ring, and the base change  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square,R} := \mathbb{G}_{a,\square} \times_{\text{AnSpec}(\mathbb{Z}_\square)} \text{AnSpec}(R)$  is affinoid and corepresented by the solid  $R$ -algebra given by the Huber pair

$$R\langle T \rangle_\square := (\mathbb{Z}[\pi, T]_\pi^\wedge[\frac{1}{\pi}], \mathbb{Z}[\pi, T]_\pi^\wedge)_\square,$$

where for a ring  $A$ , an element  $f \in A$ , and an  $A$ -module  $M$ , we write  $M_f^\wedge$  for the (derived)  $f$ -adic completion. In particular, this base change is nothing but the closed affinoid unit disc over  $R$  seen as an adic space, that we also denote by  $\mathbb{D}_R$ .

**Definition 3.2.12.** The analytic affine line  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}}$  over  $R$  is the analytic stack given as the union of open discs

$$\mathbb{G}_R^{\text{an}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{AnSpec } R\langle \pi^n T \rangle_\square.$$

Equivalently, it is the analytic ring stack over  $\text{AnSpec } R$  given by

$$\mathbb{G}_R^{\text{an}} = \mathbb{G}_{a,\square,R}[\frac{1}{\pi}].$$

The analytic stack  $\mathbb{G}_R^{\text{an}}$  admits a *norm map*  $|-|_\pi: \mathbb{G}_R^{\text{an}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by the collection of idempotent algebras of [ABB<sup>+</sup>25, Definition 2.2.7] (the reference only deals with the case of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  but the exact same line of arguments work over the base  $R$ ). We normalize the norm map such that  $|\pi| = 1/2$ .

Let  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , the *closed overconvergent disc of radius r* denoted by  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq r}$  is defined as the preimage of  $[0, r]$ . Similarly, the *open disc of radius r* denoted by  $\mathbb{D}_R^{< r}$  is defined as the preimage of  $(0, r)$ . By [ABB<sup>+</sup>25, Lemma 2.2.11], the closed overconvergent unit disc  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$  has a natural structure of a ring stack, and the discs  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq r}$  and  $\mathbb{D}_R^{< r}$  have natural structures of  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$ -modules. In order to have a more concrete description of the algebras defining these spaces we need to introduce the following sequential spaces:

**Definition 3.2.13.** Consider the Banach norm  $|-|_\pi$  on  $R = \mathbb{Z}((\pi))$  so that  $R^{\leq 1} = \mathbb{Z}[[\pi]]$ ,  $\pi$  is multiplicative for the norm, and  $|\pi| = 1/2$ . Let  $r \in (0, \infty)$  be a positive real number, we define the  $R$ -Banach space of sequences of  $r$ -exponential decay  $\ell_R^{\mathbb{N}}(r)$  to be the subspace of  $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} R$  of sequences  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $|a_n|_\pi r^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We endow  $\ell_R^{\mathbb{N}}(r)$  with the Banach norm given by  $|(a_n)| = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (|a_n|_\pi r^n)$ .

*Remark 3.2.14.* (1) A Banach  $R$ -module  $M$  is solid, namely,  $M$  admits a  $\pi$ -complete  $\mathbb{Z}[[\pi]]$ -submodule  $M^0$  such that  $M = M^0[\frac{1}{\pi}]$ , and  $M^0 = \varprojlim_k M^0/\pi^k$  with  $M^0/\pi^k$  a discrete  $\mathbb{Z}[[\pi]]$ -module. The claim follows from the fact that discrete modules are solid, and that solid modules are stable under limits and colimits.

(2) By [Man22, Proposition 2.12.10] the solid tensor product of connective  $\pi$ -complete  $\mathbb{Z}[[\pi]]$ -modules is  $\pi$ -complete. It follows that the solid tensor product over  $R$  of  $\ell_R^{\mathbb{N}}(r)$  and  $\ell_R^{\mathbb{N}}(r')$  is the classical  $\pi$ -complete tensor product  $\ell_R^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}(r, r')$  of sequences  $(a_{n,m})_{n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $|a_{n,m}|_\pi r^n r'^m \rightarrow 0$  as  $(n, m) \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.2.15.** *The Banach space  $\ell_R^{\mathbb{N}}(r)$  is a  $R$ -flat light solid module.*

*Proof.* We have a different presentation as solid  $R$ -module

$$\ell_R^{\mathbb{N}}(r) = \varinjlim_{f \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}[[\pi]] \pi^{f(n)}$$

where  $\mathcal{S}$  is the poset of functions  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $f(n) + n \log_{1/2}(r) \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}[[\pi]] \pi^{f(n)} \cong \prod_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[[\pi]]} \mathbb{Z}[[\pi]]$ , it is a flat  $\mathbb{Z}[[\pi]]$ -module by [RC25, Corollary 3.4.3], and hence so is  $\ell_R^{\mathbb{N}}(r)$  as  $R$ -module.  $\square$

By construction, the algebra  $R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r} = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq r})$  is the subalgebra of  $R[[T]] = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} RT^n$  given by the colimit of Banach spaces

$$R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r} = \varinjlim_{r' > r} \ell_R^{\mathbb{N}}(r') = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n T^n : |a_n|r'^n \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } r' > r \right\}$$

with ordered basis  $\{T^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

We can define divided power variants of these algebras

**Definition 3.2.16.** Let  $r \in (0, \infty)$ , we define the subspace  $R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}$  of  $R[[T]]^{\text{DP}} = \prod_{\mathbb{N}} R \frac{T^n}{n!}$  to be the colimit of Banach spaces

$$R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}} = \varinjlim_{r' > r} \ell_R^{\mathbb{N}}(r') = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n \frac{T^n}{n!} : |a_n|r'^n \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } r' > r \right\}$$

with ordered basis  $(\frac{T^n}{n!})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Given  $(r_1, \dots, r_n)$  we denote

$$R\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle_{\leq (r_1, \dots, r_n)}^{\text{DP}} := R\langle T_1 \rangle_{\leq r_1}^{\text{DP}} \otimes_R \cdots \otimes_R R\langle T_n \rangle_{\leq r_n}^{\text{DP}}.$$

If  $r_1 = r_2 = \dots = r_n$  we simply write  $R\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}} = R\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle_{\leq (r, \dots, r)}^{\text{DP}}$ .

We have the following key lemma:

**Lemma 3.2.17.** *The following holds:*

- (1)  $R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}$  is a subalgebra of  $R[[T]]^{\text{DP}}$ . We define the affinoid analytic stack  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} := \text{AnSpec } R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}$ .
- (2) The map  $R[[T]]^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow R[[X, Y]]^{\text{DP}}$  sending  $T \mapsto X + Y$  restricts to a map  $R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow R\langle X, Y \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}$  making  $R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}$  a cocommutative Hopf algebra over  $R$ , in particular  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}$  has a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module structure.

(3) The map  $m: R[[T]]^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow R[[X]][[T]]^{\text{DP}}$  sending  $T \mapsto TX$  restricts to a map of  $R$ -subalgebras

$$m: R\langle T \rangle_{\leq rs}^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}} \otimes_R R\langle X \rangle_{\leq s}.$$

In particular, taking  $s = 1$  the analytic stack  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}$  admits a multiplication map  $m: \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1} \times \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}$  which endows  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}$  with a  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$ -module structure.

(4) The short exact sequence given by the Poincaré lemma

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R[[T]]^{\text{DP}} \xrightarrow{\partial_T} R[[T]]^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow 0$$

restricts to a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}} \xrightarrow{\partial_T} R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Furthermore, this short exact sequence is as  $R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}$ -comodules.

*Proof.* (1) This follows from a straightforward computation of power series and the ultrametric inequality: let  $f(T) = \sum_n a_n \frac{T^n}{n!}$  and  $g(T) = \sum_n b_n \frac{T^n}{n!}$  be elements in  $R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}$ , then

$$f(T)g(T) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k b_{n-k} \right) \frac{T^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n \frac{T^n}{n!}$$

and  $|c_n|_\pi = \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k b_{n-k} \right| \leq \sup_{k=0, \dots, n} (|a_k|_\pi |b_{n-k}|_\pi)$ . Hence, if  $r' > r$  is such that  $|a_n|_\pi r'^n \rightarrow 0$  and  $|b_n|_\pi r'^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the same holds for  $c_n$ .

(2) The stability under the map  $T \mapsto X + Y$  follows from a straightforward computation of power series:

$$\sum_n a_n \frac{(X+Y)^n}{n!} = \sum_n \sum_{k=0}^n a_n \frac{X^k}{k!} \frac{Y^{n-k}}{k!} = \sum_{k, l \in \mathbb{N}} a_{k+l} \frac{X^k}{k!} \frac{Y^l}{l!}.$$

The Hopf algebra structure on  $R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}$  is then immediate from the routine verifications.

(3) The stability under the map  $T \mapsto TX$  follows from the following trivial identity

$$\sum_{n \in N} a_n \frac{(XT)^n}{n!} = \sum_n a_n \frac{T^n}{n!} X^n$$

so that if  $|a_n|(r's')^n = |a_n|r'^n s'^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for some  $r' > r$  and  $s' > s$ , then  $\sum_{n \in N} a_n \frac{(XT)^n}{n!} \in R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}} \otimes_R R\langle X \rangle_{\leq s}$ . In particular, if  $s = 1$ , the routine verifications involving the maps  $T \mapsto X + Y$  and  $T \mapsto TX$  imply that  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}$  is endowed with a  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$ -module structure.

(4) By definition, the map  $\partial_T$  sends  $\frac{T^n}{n!}$  to  $\frac{T^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$ . Thus, at the level of sequential spaces, it acts as a shift functor killing the 0-th entry. The exactness of (3.3) follows from the definition. It is a routine power series verification that (3.3) is a short exact sequence of  $R\langle T \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}$ -comodules.  $\square$

The analytic stack  $\mathbb{G}_R^{\text{an}}$  is an open substack of the algebraic affine line  $\mathbb{G}_{a, R} = \text{AnSpec}(R[T]_{\mathbb{Z}_{\square}})$ , hence it is quasi-affine. It is also suave over  $R$  being a union of discs  $\mathbb{D}_R$  along open immersions. It follows that the open discs  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq r}$  are quasi-affine and suave over  $R$ . It is left to establish the descendability of  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\text{DP}, \leq r}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.18.** *The map  $e: \text{AnSpec } R \rightarrow B(\mathbb{D}_R^{\text{DP}, \leq r})$  is descendable, in particular  $B\mathbb{D}_R^{\text{DP}, \leq r}$  is !-able over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2.15 we know that  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}_R^{\text{DP}, \leq r})$  is a flat solid  $R$ -module. Hence, by Theorem 2.2.4 the category  $B(\mathbb{D}_R^{\text{DP}, \leq r})$  has a  $t$ -structure whose heart are  $\mathcal{O}(R)$ -comodules on solid  $R$ -modules. The object  $e_* 1$  corresponds to the comodule  $\mathcal{O}(R)$  induced by comultiplication. The short exact sequence of Theorem 3.2.17 (4) implies that  $e_* 1$  is descendable in  $D(B(\mathbb{D}_R^{\text{DP}, \leq r}))$  proving what we wanted.  $\square$

**Construction 3.2.19.** Let  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and  $r' > r$ , we define the exponential map

$$\exp(TX): \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} \times \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r'} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \quad (3.4)$$

to be the map induced by the power series

$$\exp(YX) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{Y^n}{n!} X^n$$

where  $Y$  and  $X$  are the coordinates of  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}$  and  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r'}$  respectively. Note that by the condition  $r' > r$  one has that  $r(1/r') < r/r = 1$  and so the exponential  $\exp(YX)$  is a well defined function. Furthermore, the additive property of the exponential makes the pairing (3.4)  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear. Taking colimits on  $r'$  as  $r' > r$  we obtain a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear pairing

$$\Psi: \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} \times \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

between  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}$  and the open disc  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r}$ . Following Theorem 3.2.4, the pairing  $\Psi$  is compatible with the  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$ -action in both terms, and it factors as the composite

$$\Psi: \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} \times \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} \otimes_{\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}} \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

where the map  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} \otimes_{\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}} \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map that we can safely denote by  $\exp$ . Writing  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r}$  as a filtered colimit of the invertible (for the  $!$ -topology)  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$ -modules  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq r'}$  (with  $r' < 1/r$ ), one can show that  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} \otimes_{\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}} \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r} = \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq 1} \otimes_{\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}} \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$  is independent of  $r$ , though we will not need this fact.

**Proposition 3.2.20.** *Let  $r \in (0, \infty)$ . The pairing  $\exp(YX): \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} \times \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  of Theorem 3.2.19 gives rise to 1-categorical Cartier dualities in the category of kernels  $K_{D, \text{AnSpec } R}$*

$$[B\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}]_! \cong [\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r}]^* \text{ and } [\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}]_! \cong [B\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r}]^*.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g: \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r} \rightarrow \text{AnSpec } R$ . By Theorem 2.5.13 this reduces to proving that the pairing of Theorem 3.2.19 given by the exponential  $\exp(YX) \in \Gamma(\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} \times \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r})$  induces an equivalence of  $R$ -modules

$$f_{\sharp} 1 \rightarrow R\langle Y \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}.$$

The  $R$ -module  $f_{\sharp} 1$  is the *naive* continuous  $R$ -dual of the Fréchet space  $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^{\leq 1/r})$ , since

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D}^{\leq 1/r}) = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n X^n : |a_n|r'^{-n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } r' > r \right\}.$$

one has that  $f_{\sharp} 1$  is the colimit of Banach spaces given by

$$f_{\sharp} 1 = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n X^{n, \vee} : |a_n|r'^{-n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } r' > r \right\}$$

where  $X^{n, \vee}$  is the dual of  $X^n$  with respect to the basis  $\{X^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Now, the exponential map  $\exp(YX)$  induces the map  $f_{\sharp} 1 \rightarrow R\langle Y \rangle_{\leq r}^{\text{DP}}$  sending  $X^{n, \vee}$  to  $\frac{Y^n}{n!}$ . It follows from the power series estimates that this map is an isomorphism, proving what we wanted.  $\square$

**3.2.4. Analytic vector bundles.** We continue with the Cartier duality of analytic vector bundles, we keep working over the solid ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}((\pi))$  with induced structure from  $\mathbb{Z}_{\square}$ . In one hand we have the analytic ring stack  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}}$  from Theorem 3.2.12, its Cartier dual will be the space of germs of divided power series at 0.

**Definition 3.2.21.** We define the affinoid analytic stacks  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\dagger} = \varprojlim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq r}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger} = \varprojlim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}$ .

*Remark 3.2.22.* The analytic stack  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\dagger}$  is nothing but the preimage of 0 of the norm map  $|-|_{\pi}: \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . In particular,  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\dagger}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.23.** *The analytic stack  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger}$  has a natural  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}}$ -module structure.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2.17 (3) we know that  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}$  has a natural  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$ -module structure. It is clear from the construction that the module structure is compatible with the divided power discs of different radius  $r$ , and therefore  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger}$  also has a natural  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$ -module structure. To see that it has a  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}}$ -module structure, it suffices to show that multiplication by  $\pi$  on  $\mathbb{G}_R^{\sharp, \dagger}$  is invertible, namely, we have as ring stacks  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}} = \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}[\frac{1}{\pi}]$ . This follows from the fact that multiplication by  $\pi$  induces a map

$$[\pi] : \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r+1/2}$$

thanks to Theorem 3.2.17. By looking at power series this map sends

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n \frac{T^n}{n!} \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n \pi^n \frac{T^n}{n!}$$

which has an obvious inverse sending the power series  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n \frac{T^n}{n!}$  to  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \pi^{-n} b_n \frac{T^n}{n!}$  (and where the convergence conditions match since  $|\pi| = 1/2$ ). This proves that multiplication by  $\pi$  is an isomorphism on  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger}$ , proving what we wanted.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.24.** *The map  $e : \text{AnSpec } R \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger}$  is prim and descendable. In particular,  $B\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger}$  is a !-able analytic stack.*

*Proof.* This follows from the same argument of Theorem 3.2.18.  $\square$

**Construction 3.2.25.** We define the exponential map  $\exp : \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  to be induced by the exponential power series  $\exp(T)$ . The additive property of the exponential implies that  $\exp$  is a morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. We define the pariring

$$\Psi : \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger} \times \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

to be the composite of the multiplication map  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger} \times \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger}$  and the exponential  $\exp$ .

**Proposition 3.2.26.** *The pairing  $\Psi : \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger} \times \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  give rise to 1-categorical Cartier dualities in the kernel category  $\mathbf{K}_{D, \text{AnSpec } R}$*

$$[B\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger}]! \cong [\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}}]^* \text{ and } [\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \dagger}]! \cong [B\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}}]^*.$$

*Proof.* This follows by the same argument of Theorem 3.2.20.  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.27.* Let us specialize the cartier dualities for open discs and analytic vector bundles to  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with norm  $|p| = p^{-1}$ . In this case, we have the following estimate of the  $p$ -adic valuation  $v_p(n!) = \frac{n-s_p(n)}{p-1}$  where  $s_p(n)$  is the sum of the digits of  $n$  in the base- $p$  expansion (and in particular of logarithmic growth). Hence, we have isomorphism of analytic stacks over  $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\square} := (\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z})_\square$

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\sharp, \leq r} = \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\leq rp^{-1/(p-1)}} \text{ and } \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{Q}_p}^{\sharp, \dagger} = \mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{Q}_p}^\dagger.$$

In particular, Theorem 3.2.20 and Theorem 3.2.26 specialize to Cartier dualities between  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\leq 1}$  and  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\leq p^{-1/(p-1)}}$ , and between  $\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an}}$  and  $\mathbb{G}_{a, \mathbb{Q}_p}^\dagger$  as in [RC24].

**3.2.5. Locally analytic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices.** We finish with the Cartier duality of locally analytic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattices. For this discussion, we shall work over the analytic ring  $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\square}$  of  $p$ -adic rational numbers with the induced analytic ring structure from  $\mathbb{Z}_\square$ .

**Definition 3.2.28.** We let  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}$  be the affinoid analytic stack over  $\text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Q}_{p,\square}$  given by the spectrum of the locally analytic functions  $C^{la}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  with values in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

For the theory of solid locally analytic representations we refer to [RJRC22, RJRC25]. The structure of abelian  $p$ -adic Lie group of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  endows  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}$  with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module structure as analytic stack. Furthermore,  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}$  is naturally endowed with the structure of a ring stack over  $\mathbb{Q}_{p,\square}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.29.** *The map  $e : \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Q}_{p,\square} \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}$  is descendable, in particular  $B\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}$  is a !-able analytic stack.*

*Proof.* This follows from the identification of  $D(B\mathbb{Z}_p^{la})$  with the category of solid locally analytic representations of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}$  [RJRC25, Theorem 4.3.3], and (the dual of) Lazard's resolution [RJRC25, Proposition 2.2.1 (3)]. Let us give a self contained proof. By definition  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}$  is the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_p^{la} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{p,\text{Betti}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an,dR}}, \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

that is, the locally analytic functions of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  are the germs of functions on  $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}}$  on the closed subset  $\mathbb{Z}_p \subset \mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Here  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an,dR}}$  is the analytic de Rham stack as in [RC24] or [ABB<sup>+</sup>25]. Since the lower horizontal map of (3.5) is an epimorphism with fiber  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\dagger}$  (by definition of the de Rham stack), the upper horizontal map is an epimorphism with fiber  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\dagger}$ . We deduce a pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\dagger} & \longrightarrow & \text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Q}_p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B\mathbb{Z}_p^{la} & \longrightarrow & B\mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}} \end{array}$$

The right vertical map is descendable by [HM24, Proposition 5.2.5], and the map  $\text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Q}_{p,\square} \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_a^{\dagger}$  is descendable by Theorem 3.2.24 and Theorem 3.2.27. One deduces that  $\text{AnSpec } \mathbb{Q}_{p,\square} \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}$  is descendable as wanted.  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{O}(1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1})$  be the space of functions on the open unit disc centered at 1 seen as a subgroup of  $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an}}$ . It is well known that the naive Cartier dual of  $C^{la}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , that is, its  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -linear dual, is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}(1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1})$  via the Amice transform [Ami64], with multiplication and convolution being exchanged. This can be promoted to a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}$ -module structure on  $1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1}$  as follows:

**Lemma 3.2.30.** *The multiplicative group  $1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1}$  has a natural structure of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}$ -module with multiplication map*

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^{la} \times (1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1}) \rightarrow 1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1}$$

given by sending  $(a, 1 + X)$  to the Amice transform  $(1 + X)^a$ .

*Proof.* This can be proven via a direct but tedious computation with power series. We give a soft argument via Banach-Colmez spaces and the analytic de Rham stack of [ABB<sup>+</sup>25]. Let  $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O})$  and  $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))$  denote the Banach-Colmez spaces of  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  seen as qfd arc-stacks over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Consider their base change to  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ , one has that

$$\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O})_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \underline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

with  $\underline{S}$  the arc-stack associated to a locally profinite set  $S$ , and that

$$\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} (1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1,\diamond})$$

is the perfection of the multiplicative open unit disc around 1. The space  $\mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O}(1))_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$  has a natural module structure over  $\underline{\mathbb{Q}_p}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \mathcal{BC}(\mathcal{O})_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ . This endows  $(1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1,\diamond})$  with a natural  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$ -module structure. On the other hand, the logarithm map  $\log: 1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1,\diamond} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an},\diamond}$  is compatible with respect to the module structure of the morphism of rings  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{arc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)} \subset \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an},\diamond}$ . Passing to de Rham stacks, we see that the pullback (recall that the log map is étale)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1} & \longrightarrow & 1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1,\text{dR}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an,dR}} \end{array}$$

is a module over the pullback of rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_p^{la} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{p,\text{Betti}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an}} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_{a,\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{an,dR}} \end{array}$$

proving what we wanted.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.31.** *The pairing  $\Psi: \mathbb{Z}_p^{la} \times (1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  given by the composite of the Amice transform of Theorem 3.2.30 and the inclusion  $1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1} \subset \mathbb{G}_m$  gives rise to 1-categorical Cartier dualities in the kernel category  $\mathbf{K}_{D,\text{AnSpec}\mathbb{Q}_p,\square}$*

$$[B\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}]_! \cong [1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1}]^* \text{ and } [\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}]_! \cong [B(1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1})]^*.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g: 1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1} \rightarrow \text{AnSpec}\mathbb{Q}_p,\square$ . We apply Theorem 2.5.13, where the only think to check is that the Amice transform of Theorem 3.2.30 induces an equivalence  $g_! 1 \xrightarrow{\sim} C^{la}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , or dually via [RJRC22, Theorem 3.40], that it induces an equivalence

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(C^{la}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p), \mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \mathcal{O}(1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{<1})$$

which is classical.  $\square$

3.2.6. *Cartier duality for vector bundles over stacks.* Next, we state our stacky Cartier duality theorem for all the previous incarnations of vector bundles.

**Definition 3.2.32.** We let  $\mathbf{Vect}$  be the algebraic stack (for the Zariski topology) sending a discrete animated ring  $A$  to the anima of vector bundles on  $A$ . We will see  $\mathbf{Vect}$  as an analytic stack via the functor [RC25, Corollary 6.4.5].

**Lemma 3.2.33.** *Let  $\text{GL}_n$  be the general linear algebraic group over  $\mathbb{Z}$  of rank  $n$ , and let  $\text{St}_n$  be the standard representation of  $\text{GL}_n$  seen as a vector bundle over  $B\text{GL}_n$ . Then the natural map*

$$\bigsqcup_n B\text{GL}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$$

is an equivalence of algebraic stacks.

*Proof.* The surjectivity follows from the fact that any vector bundle  $V$  over an animated ring  $A$  is isomorphic to a trivial vector bundle  $A^n$  (for  $n$  the locally constant rank of  $V$ ) locally in the Zariski topology. The injectivity follows from the fact that the automorphisms of the rank  $n$  trivial vector bundle is precisely  $\text{GL}_n$  by definition.  $\square$

**Example 3.2.34.** The following are examples of ring stacks satisfying (at least!) Zariski descent:

- (1) The algebraic affine line  $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \text{AnSpec}\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}$ .
- (2) The solid affine line  $\mathbb{G}_{a,\square} \rightarrow \text{AnSpec}\mathbb{Z}_{\square}$ .
- (3) The overconvergent closed disc  $\mathbb{D}_R^{<1} \rightarrow \text{AnSpec} R$  of norm  $\leq 1$ , with  $R = \mathbb{Z}((\pi))$  endowed with the induced solid structure.
- (4) The analytic affine line  $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \text{AnSpec} R$  with  $R$  as in (3).
- (5) The locally analytic  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{la} \rightarrow \text{AnSpec}\mathbb{Q}_p,\square$ .

We have the following stacky Cartier duality theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.35.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}/S$  be one of the ring stacks of Theorem 3.2.34. Let  $V^{\mathcal{R}}$  be the transmutation of the universal vector bundle over  $\mathbf{Vect}^{\mathcal{R}}$  and let  $V^{\vee,\mathcal{R}}$  be the transmutation of its dual.*

- (1) *Let  $\mathcal{R}/S = \mathbb{G}_a/\text{AnSpec}\mathbb{Z}^{\text{cond}}$  and let us denote  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{G}_a} \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp = V^\sharp$  and  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{G}_a} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a = \widehat{V}$ . The pairing*

$$V^\sharp \otimes_{\mathbb{G}_a} \widehat{V}^\vee \xrightarrow{\langle -, - \rangle} \mathbb{G}_a^\sharp \otimes_{\mathbb{G}_a} \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_a \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \mathbb{G}_m$$

*of Theorem 3.2.2 and Theorem 3.2.4 gives rise to 1-categorical Cartier dualities in the kernel category  $\mathbf{K}_{D,\mathbf{Vect}}$*

$$[BV^\sharp]_! \cong [\widehat{V}^\vee]^* \text{ and } [V^\sharp]_! \cong [B\widehat{V}^\vee]^*$$

in the sense of Theorem 2.4.7.

(2) Let  $\mathcal{R}/S = \mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}/\mathrm{AnSpec} R$  be as in Theorem 3.2.34 (3). Given an algebraic stack  $X$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  we let  $X^{\leq 1}$  denote the transmutation along  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$ . For  $r \in (0, \infty)$  let us denote  $V^{\sharp, \leq r} := V^{\leq 1} \otimes_{\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}} \mathbb{D}_R^{\sharp, \leq r}$  and  $V^{< r} := V^{\leq 1} \otimes_{\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}} \mathbb{D}_R^{< r}$  as  $\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1}$ -modules over  $\mathbf{Vect}^{\leq 1}$ . The pairing

$$V^{\sharp, \leq r} \otimes_{\mathbb{D}_{a,R}^{\leq 1}} V^{\vee, < 1/r} \xrightarrow{\langle -, - \rangle} \mathbb{D}_{a,R}^{\sharp, \leq r} \otimes_{\mathbb{D}_R^{\leq 1/r}} \mathbb{D}_a^{< 1/r} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathbb{G}_m$$

of Theorem 3.2.19 gives rise to 1-categorical Cartier dualities in the kernel category  $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}, \mathbf{Vect}^{\leq 1}}$

$$[BV^{\sharp, \leq r}]_! \cong [V^{\vee, < 1/r}]^* \text{ and } [V^{\sharp, \leq r}]_! \cong [BV^{\vee, < 1/r}]^*.$$

(3) Let  $\mathcal{R}/S$  be any of the rings Theorem 3.2.34 (2), (4) and (5). Following Sections 3.2.2, 3.2.4 and 3.2.5, the ring stack  $\mathcal{R}$  admits a Cartier dual  $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R})/S$  having the structure of an  $\mathcal{R}$ -module and endowed with an exponential map  $\exp: \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ , such that the Cartier duality pairing between  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R})$  is the composite of the multiplication and the exponential

$$\mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m.$$

Explicitly, we have  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a,\square}) = \widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{a,\square}^\sharp$ ,  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{\mathrm{an}}) = \mathbb{G}_a^{\sharp, \dagger}$  and  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{la}) = 1 + \mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\leq 1}$ . Then the pairing

$$V^{\mathcal{R}} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} (V^{\vee, \mathcal{R}} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{D}(R)) \xrightarrow{\langle -, - \rangle} \mathbb{D}(R) \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathbb{G}_m$$

gives rise to 1-categorical Cartier dualities in the category of kernels  $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{D}, \mathbf{Vect}^{\mathcal{R}}}$

$$[B(V^{\vee, \mathcal{R}} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{D}(R))]_! \cong [V^{\mathcal{R}}]^* \text{ and } [V^{\vee, \mathcal{R}} \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathbb{D}(R)]_! \cong [BV^{\mathcal{R}}]^*.$$

*Proof.* Following the same argument of Theorem 3.1.4 of  $\mathrm{D}^*$ -descent on the basis  $\mathbf{Vect}^{\mathcal{R}}$ , it suffices to show the simplest case of Cartier duality when  $V$  is a trivial vector bundle of rank 1 in any of the cases of Sections 3.2.2 to 3.2.5, this reduces to Theorems 3.2.6, 3.2.11, 3.2.20, 3.2.26 and 3.2.31 respectively.  $\square$

**3.3. Cartier duality for gerbes.** In this section we discuss a general Cartier duality for gerbes on analytic stacks. We start with some general discussion on commutative gerbes. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $\infty$ -topos and let  $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{X}, \mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0})$  be the category of sheaves of connective spectra on  $\mathcal{X}$  or, equivalently, grouplike commutative monoids on  $\mathcal{X}$ . We let  $*_{\mathcal{X}}$  denote the final object of  $\mathcal{X}$ . We see  $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{X}, \mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0})$  as a symmetric monoidal category via the smashing tensor product. Let  $R \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{X}, \mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0}))$  and let  $\mathrm{Mod}_{R, \geq 0}(\mathcal{X})$  be the category of  $R$ -modules on  $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathcal{X}, \mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0})$ .

Let  $M, N, \omega \in \mathrm{Mod}_{R, \geq 0}(\mathcal{X})$  and suppose we are given with an  $R$ -linear pairing  $\Psi: M \otimes_R N \rightarrow \omega$ . Consider the slice topos  $\mathcal{Y} := \mathcal{X}_{/B^2 M}$ , and for  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  let  $X_{\mathcal{Y}} = X \times B^2 M$  be the pullback to  $\mathcal{Y}$ . The object  $R_{\mathcal{Y}}$  has a natural structure of commutative ring on the topos  $\mathcal{Y}$ , the objects  $M_{\mathcal{Y}}, N_{\mathcal{Y}}, \omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$  are naturally  $R_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -modules, and we have an  $R_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -linear pairing  $\Psi: M_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{Y}}} N_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$ . We have a natural section  $*_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow B^2 M_{\mathcal{Y}}$  given by the diagonal map of  $B^2 M$ , this maps extends to a morphism  $\phi: R_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow B^2 M_{\mathcal{Y}}$  of  $R_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -modules. Tensoring  $\phi$  with  $N_{\mathcal{Y}}$  and composing with  $\Psi$  we get a morphism  $\psi: N_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow B^2 \omega_{\mathcal{Y}}$  of  $R_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -modules fitting in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^2 M_{\mathcal{Y}} \otimes_{R_{\mathcal{Y}}} N_{\mathcal{Y}} & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & B^2 \omega_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_N \uparrow & \nearrow \psi & \\ N_{\mathcal{Y}} & & \end{array} \tag{3.6}$$

**Construction 3.3.1.** Keep the previous notation. We construct the  $R_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -modules  $\mathcal{N}_{\psi}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  as the pullbacks

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}_{\psi} & \longrightarrow & *_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_{\mathcal{Y}} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B^2 \omega_{\mathcal{Y}} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \longrightarrow & *_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_{\mathcal{Y}} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B^2 M_{\mathcal{Y}} \end{array}$$

(from the construction it is clear that  $\mathcal{N}_{\psi}$  does depend on the pairing  $\Psi$  while  $\mathcal{M}$  only depends on the  $R$ -module  $M$ ).

**Lemma 3.3.2.** *Keep the previous notation. There is a natural pairing of  $R_Y$ -modules  $\mathcal{N}_\psi \otimes_{R_Y} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B\omega_Y$  fitting in a commutative diagram of  $R_Y$ -modules*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\omega_Y \otimes_{R_Y} \mathcal{M} & \longrightarrow & B\omega_Y \otimes_{R_Y} R_Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ \mathcal{N}_\psi \otimes_{R_Y} \mathcal{M} & \longrightarrow & B\omega_Y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \Psi \\ \mathcal{N}_\psi \otimes_{R_Y} BM_Y & \longrightarrow & N \otimes_{R_Y} BM_Y \end{array}$$

where the left vertical maps and upper and lower horizontal maps arise from the fiber squares of Theorem 3.3.1.

*Proof.* The map  $N_Y \rightarrow B^2\omega_Y$  is an epimorphism, so we have a fiber/cofiber sequence  $N_Y \xrightarrow{\psi} B^2\omega_Y \rightarrow B\mathcal{N}_\psi$  of  $R_Y$ -modules. Taking  $\underline{\text{Hom}}_{R_Y}(-, B^2\omega_Y)$  in connective  $R_Y$ -modules we obtain a fiber sequence

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{R_Y}(B\mathcal{N}_\psi, B^2\omega_Y) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{R_Y}(B^2\omega_Y, B^2\omega_Y) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}_{R_Y}(N_Y, B^2\omega_Y).$$

By (3.6) we have a commutative diagram (depicted by solid arrows) that extends to a morphism of fiber sequences (depicted by dashed arrows)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \dashrightarrow & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{R_Y}(B\mathcal{N}_\psi, B^2\omega_Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_Y & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{R_Y}(B^2\omega_Y, B^2\omega_Y) \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \\ B^2M_Y & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Hom}}_{R_Y}(N_Y, B^2\omega_Y) \end{array}$$

where the lower horizontal map is induced by the pairing  $\Psi$ , and the middle map is induced by the identity of  $B^2\omega_Y$ . This produces a pairing  $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{R_Y} B\mathcal{N}_\psi \rightarrow B^2\omega_Y$  whose loops is the desired pairing of the statement.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.3.** *Keep the convention of analytic stacks of Section 2.2. Let  $S \in \mathcal{C} \subset \text{AnStk}$  be an analytic stack, let  $\mathcal{R}/S$  be a !-able ring stack over  $S$ , let  $M, N/S$  be !-able  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules on  $\text{AnStk}_{/S}$ . Suppose that there is a !-able  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R})$  and a morphism of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear modules  $\exp: \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  such that the induced pairing  $\exp(XY): \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  gives rise to a 1-categorical Cartier duality in the kernel category  $K_{D,S}$*

$$[\mathcal{R}]^* \cong [B(\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R}))]_!.$$

Let  $\Psi: M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} N \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R})$  be an  $\mathcal{R}$ -linear map whose composite with  $\exp$  gives rise to a 1-categorical Cartier duality

$$[BM]^* \cong [N]_!.$$

Let  $X = B^2M$  be the analytic stack over  $S$  corepresenting gerbes banded by  $M$  and let  $\mathcal{N}_\psi$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be the extensions

$$B\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R})_X \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\psi \rightarrow N_X \text{ and } BM_X \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow R_X \tag{3.7}$$

of Theorem 3.3.1 as  $\mathcal{R}_X$ -linear stacks over  $X$ . Then the pairing  $\mathcal{N}_\psi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R})_X$  of Theorem 3.3.2 gives rise to a 1-categorical Cartier duality in the kernel category  $K_{D,X}$

$$[\mathcal{N}_\psi]^* \cong [\mathcal{M}]_! \tag{3.8}$$

as in Theorem 2.4.7.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.4.6 we can prove the 1-categorical Cartier duality locally on the base  $X$ . Since  $X = B^2M$ , the map  $S \rightarrow X$  is an epimorphism, and it suffices to show that (3.8) is an equivalence after pulling back to  $S$ . In that case, we can assume that the extensions (3.7) are split, and by Theorem 3.3.2 that the pairing  $\mathcal{N}_\psi \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R})_X$  is the direct sum of the Cartier duality pairings of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{R})$ ,

and of  $M$  and  $N$  respectively. The proposition follows since these last pairings give rise to 1-categorical Cartier dualities by assumption.  $\square$

**Example 3.3.4.** We can apply Theorem 3.3.3 to the stack  $S = \mathbf{Vect}^{\mathcal{R}}$  given as the transmutation of the stack of vector bundles, where  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring stack as in Theorem 3.2.34. We can then take  $N$  and  $M$  to be the modifications of the universal vector bundle over  $S$  appearing in the Cartier duality of Theorem 3.2.35.

**3.4. Application: Cartier duality for the Hodge-Tate stack and the Simpson gerbe.** In joint work in progress with Anschütz, Le Bras and Scholze on the analytic prismatization, we introduce the Hodge-Tate stack for a smooth rigid variety  $X$  over a complete non-archimedean algebraically closed field  $C$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . In the dual side, Bhatt and Zhang have constructed the Simpson gerbe  $\mathcal{S}_X \rightarrow T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)$ , that is, a  $B\mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor over the analytic cotangent bundle of  $X$  (tensored with the inverse of the Tate twist). In this section we explain how to obtain a Cartier duality between both constructions as a consequence of the Cartier duality for gerbes of Section 3.3.

First, let us give a quick ad-hoc definition of the Hodge-Tate stack that is good enough for smooth rigid spaces. Let  $\nu: X_v \rightarrow X_{\text{ét}}$  be the projection from the  $v$  to the étale site of  $X$ . By [Sch13, Proposition 2.23] one has a natural equivalence  $R^1\nu_*\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X = \Omega_X^1(-1)$ , where  $(-1)$  refers to the inverse of the Tate twist. In particular, we have a natural section  $\eta_{\text{HT}} \in H_v^1(X, T_X(1) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X)$ . On the other hand, by [ABB<sup>+</sup>25, Remark 4.6.6 and Proposition 4.6.7] we have a natural equivalence

$$R\Gamma_v(X, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X) = R\Gamma(X, \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an},\text{dR}})$$

where the right term is the cohomology of the sheaf  $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an},\text{dR}}$  for the !-topology<sup>8</sup>. From the fiber sequence  $\mathbb{G}_a^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an},\text{dR}}$  we see that the class  $\eta_{\text{HT}}$  produces a class (that we denote in the same way) in  $H^2(X, T_X^{\text{an}}(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}}} \mathbb{G}_a^{\dagger})$ .

**Definition 3.4.1.** Denote  $T_X^{\dagger}(1) := T_X^{\text{an}}(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}}} \mathbb{G}_a^{\dagger}$ . The *analytic Hodge-Tate stack* of  $X$  is the pullback in Gelfand stacks (cf. [ABB<sup>+</sup>25])

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\text{HT}} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\ X & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\text{HT}}} & B^2 T_X^{\dagger}(1) \end{array}$$

where  $e$  is the natural projection map, and  $\eta_{\text{HT}}$  is the map induced by the class in  $H^2(X, T_X^{\dagger}(1))$  with same name.

Following the same definition of the Hodge-Tate stack, we give an ad-hoc definition of the Simpson gerbe that will fit in our setting of Cartier duality. Tensoring the map  $\eta_{\text{HT}}: X \rightarrow B^2 T_X^{\dagger}(1)$  with the analytic cotangent bundle  $T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)$  produces a map  $T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1) \rightarrow B^2 T_X^{\dagger}(1) \times_X T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)$ , we compose with the natural pairing

$$B^2 T_X^{\dagger}(1) \times_X T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1) \xrightarrow{\langle -, - \rangle} B^2 \mathbb{G}_{a,X}^{\dagger} \xrightarrow{\exp} B^2 \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$$

where the classifying stacks are taken relative to  $X$ , and  $\mathbb{G}_{m,X}$  is an algebraic multiplicative group relative to  $X$ . We denote  $\text{Simp}_X: T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1) \rightarrow B^2 \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$  the resulting map.

**Definition 3.4.2.** The Simpson gerbe  $\mathcal{S}_X$  of  $X$  is the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}_X & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow e \\ T_X^{*}(-1) & \xrightarrow{\text{Simp}_X} & B^2 \mathbb{G}_{m,X} \end{array}$$

where  $e: X \rightarrow B^2 \mathbb{G}_{m,X}$  is the natural quotient map.

<sup>8</sup>The formalism of [ABB<sup>+</sup>25] only uses induced analytic ring structures so a priori it can be directly applied only to partially proper rigid spaces or to smooth dagger spaces. However, since any smooth rigid space in the sense of Huber is (locally) an open subspace as analytic stacks of a smooth dagger space, the following discussion on the Hodge-Tate stack also applies to them.

*Remark 3.4.3.* Bhatt and Zhang's definition of the Simpson's gerbe is different from Theorem 3.4.2. In the following paragraph we discuss their definition for partially proper smooth rigid spaces: let  $X_!$  denote the site given by affinoid nilperfectoid spaces over  $X$  endowed with the  $!$ -topology, and  $X_{\text{arc}}$  the site of affinoid perfectoid spaces over  $X$  endowed with the arc-topology, see [ABB<sup>+</sup>25]. We have geometric morphisms of topoi  $\widetilde{X}_{\text{arc}} \xrightarrow{\eta} \widetilde{X}_! \xrightarrow{\rho} \widetilde{X}_{\text{ét}}$  where the map  $\rho$  arises from the fact that any étale cover is a  $!$ -cover, and the map  $\eta$  is given by the de Rham stack [ABB<sup>+</sup>25, Remark 4.0.1]. By [ABB<sup>+</sup>25, Proposition 4.5.7] the functor  $\eta$  is fully faithful, and the sheaf  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^\times$  on  $\widetilde{X}_{\text{arc}}$  is nothing but  $\eta^* \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}, \text{dR}}$ . Thus, we have an equivalence of étale sheaves of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$R(\rho \circ \eta)_* \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_X^\times = R\rho_* \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}, \text{dR}}.$$

On the other hand, we have a fiber sequence of sheaves on  $X_!$

$$\mathbb{G}_a^\dagger \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}, \text{dR}} \quad (3.9)$$

which produces a fiber sequence on étale sheaves

$$R\rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^\dagger \rightarrow R\rho_* \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}} \rightarrow R\rho_* \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}, \text{dR}}.$$

Similarly, the fiber sequence  $\mathbb{G}_a^\dagger \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{dR}}$  produces a fiber sequence of étale sheaves

$$R\rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^\dagger \rightarrow R\rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}} \rightarrow R\rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}, \text{dR}}.$$

Since the structural sheaf of an analytic ring satisfies  $!$ -descent, we have that  $R\rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}} = \mathbb{G}_{a, \text{ét}}$ . Since  $X$  is a smooth rigid space, we also have that  $R^0 \rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^\dagger = 0$  and  $R^0 \rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}, \text{dR}} = \mathbb{G}_{a, \text{ét}}$ . Thus, we have an equivalence of sheaves on  $X_{\text{ét}}$

$$\tau_{\leq -1} R\rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}, \text{dR}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R\rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^\dagger[1].$$

In particular,  $R^{i+1} \rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^\dagger = R^i \rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}, \text{dR}} = \Omega_X^i(-i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Hence, the fiber sequence (3.9) produces a connecting morphism

$$\Omega_X^1(-1) = R^2 \rho_* \mathbb{G}_a^\dagger \rightarrow R^2 \rho_* \mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}}$$

in  $X_{\text{ét}}$ , which gives rise to a map of analytic stacks  $T_X^{*, \text{an}}(-1) \rightarrow B^2 \mathbb{G}_{m, X}^{\text{an}}$ , i.e. a  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}}$ -gerbe over  $T_X^{*, \text{an}}(-1)$ . A bookkeeping of the construction shows that this gerbe is precisely that of Theorem 3.4.2 after taking the pushout along the natural morphism  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  from the analytic to the algebraic multiplicative group.

*Remark 3.4.4.* By construction the Simpson gerbe of Bhatt and Zhang is a  $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{an}}$ -gerbe, that is, a gerbe banded by the *analytic multiplicative group*. The gerbe of Theorem 3.4.2 is banded by the *algebraic multiplicative group*  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . Both gerbes are refined by an  $\mathbb{G}_m^\dagger \cong \mathbb{G}_a^\dagger$ -gerbe over  $T_X^*(-1)$  by applying Theorem 3.3.1 to the Hodge-Tate stack where  $T_X^\dagger(1)$  is considered as  $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{an}}$ -module.

As special case of Theorem 3.3.3, we deduce the Cartier duality between the Hodge-Tate stack and the Simpson gerbe. For that, let us write  $S = B^2 T_X^\dagger(1)$ , and let  $\Delta_S: S \rightarrow (B^2 T_X^\dagger(1))_S$  be the natural section induced by the diagonal map. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}, S} \rightarrow (B^2 T_X^\dagger(1))_S$  be the natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear extension of  $S$  and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the fiber of the map  $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}, S} \rightarrow (B^2 T_X^\dagger(1))_S$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module stack is an extension

$$BT_X^\dagger(1)_S \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}, S}.$$

We define the *extended Hodge-Tate stack* as the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\text{HT, ext}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\text{HT}}} & S. \end{array}$$

By construction,  $X^{\text{HT, ext}}$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules in analytic stacks over  $X$

$$BT_X^\dagger(1) \rightarrow X^{\text{HT, ext}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}, X}$$

whose fiber at 1 is precisely the Hodge-Tate stack  $X^{\text{HT}}$ . We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.5.** *There is a natural pairing of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules*

$$X^{\text{HT,ext}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_X \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_X$  is the Simpson gerbe of Theorem 3.4.2 producing a 1-categorical Cartier duality in the kernel category  $\mathbf{K}_{D,X}$

$$[X^{\text{HT,ext}}]^* \cong [\mathcal{S}_X]! \quad (3.10)$$

as in Theorem 2.4.7. In particular, passing to quasi-coherent sheaves, there is a natural decomposition of  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))$ -linear categories

$$D(\mathcal{S}_X) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n} \quad (3.11)$$

such that:

- (1) For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $X^{\text{HT},(n)}$  be the fiber at  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti},X}$  of  $X^{\text{HT,ext}}$ . There is a natural equivalence of  $D(T_X^*(-1))$ -linear categories  $D(X^{\text{HT},n}) = D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n}$ , where  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)) \cong D(BT_X^\dagger(1))$  acts on  $D(X^{\text{HT},n})$  via the !-convolution arising from the  $BT_X^\dagger(1)$ -action on  $X^{\text{HT},n}$ .
- (2) The  $D(T_X^*(-1))$ -modules  $D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n}$  are invertible. Moreover,  $D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=0} \subset D(\mathcal{S}_X)$  is equivalent to the fully faithful inclusion  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)) \subset D(\mathcal{S}_X)$  obtained by pullback along the map  $\mathcal{S}_X \rightarrow T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)$ .
- (3) Given  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  there are natural equivalences of  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))$ -linear categories

$$D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n} \otimes_{D(T_X^*(-1))} D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=m} = D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n+m}.$$

*Proof.* The variety  $X$  admits an open cover by quasi-affine analytic stacks with quasi-affine intersection. By Theorem 2.2.3 any !-able map  $Y \rightarrow X$  satisfies Künneth, thus by Theorem 2.1.9 the presentable category of kernels  $\mathbf{Pr}_{D,X}$  over  $X$  is equivalent to  $\mathbf{Pr}_{D(X)}$  and  $\mathbf{K}_{D,X} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Pr}_{D(X)}$  is a 2-fully faithful functor.

The first statement about Cartier duality is a formal consequence of Theorem 3.2.35 and Theorem 3.3.3 after taking pullback from the the universal duality of Theorem 3.3.4. For (1), the equivalence (3.10) in  $\mathbf{K}_{D,X}$  produces a natural equivalence of  $D(X)$ -linear categories

$$D(X^{\text{HT,ext}}) \cong D(\mathcal{S}_X).$$

Then, looking at the fibers of the map  $X^{\text{HT,ext}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti}}$ , we have the  $D(X)$ -linear decomposition

$$D(X^{\text{HT,ext}}) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D(X^{\text{HT},(n)}).$$

This produces a decomposition of  $D(\mathcal{S}_X)$  as in Equation (3.11) such that

$$D(X^{\text{HT},(n)}) \cong D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n}$$

by construction. We want to see that the decomposition  $D(\mathcal{S}_X) = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n} \cong \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} D(X^{\text{HT},(n)})$  is as  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))$ -linear categories. For that, notice that Cartier duality gives rise to a commutative diagram of Hopf algebras in the category of kernels of  $X$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [T_X^*(-1)]^* & \longrightarrow & [\mathcal{S}_X]^* & \longrightarrow & [B\mathbb{G}_{m,X}]^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [BT_X^\dagger(1)]! & \longrightarrow & [X^{\text{HT,ext}}]! & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti},X}]! \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms. In particular, the fibers of the map  $X^{\text{HT,ext}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti},X}$  carry an action of  $BT_X^\dagger(1)$  which translates in an action of  $D(BT_X^\dagger(1))$  by convolution, or equivalently, on a module structure over  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))$ .

Part (2) follows from (3) since  $D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=0}$  is the Cartier dual of  $D(BT_X^\dagger(1))$  which is nothing but  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))$ . Finally, for (3), the morphism of groups  $X^{\text{HT,ext}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{Betti},X}$  induces multiplication maps on fibers

$$X^{\text{HT},(n)} \times_X X^{\text{HT},(m)} \rightarrow X^{\text{HT},(n+m)}$$

that when passing to the category of kernels on  $X$  give rise to a  $[BT_X^\dagger(1)]_!$ -bilinear map  $X^{\text{HT},(n)} \otimes X^{\text{HT},(m)} \rightarrow X^{\text{HT},(n+m)}$ . Passing to module categories and Cartier duals this produces the natural  $D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))$ -linear map

$$D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n} \otimes_{D(T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1))} D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=m} \rightarrow D(\mathcal{S}_X)^{\text{wt}=n+m}.$$

To see that this map is an equivalence, we can argue locally in the  $!$ -topology on  $T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)$ , and by pulling back along the Simpson gerbe  $\mathcal{S}_X \rightarrow T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)$ , assume that the  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -gerbe  $\mathcal{S}_X \times_{T_X^{*,\text{an}}(-1)} \mathcal{S}_X = B\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathcal{S}_X$  is split. In this situation, the weight decomposition becomes the base change of the natural weight decomposition of  $D(B\mathbb{G}_m)$  which is clearly multiplicative.  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.6.* Let  $X^{\text{HT,ext}} = \bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X^{\text{HT},(n)}$  be the extended Hodge-Tate stack. We have that  $X^{\text{HT},(0)} \cong BT_X^\dagger(1)$  while for  $n \neq 0$  the stack  $X^{\text{HT},(n)}$  is isomorphic to the Hodge-Tate stack as  $BT_X^\dagger(1)$ -torsors, namely, the stack  $X^{\text{HT},(n)}$  corresponds to the class  $n \cdot \eta_{\text{HT}} \in H^2(X, T_X^\dagger(1))$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ .

## REFERENCES

- [ABB<sup>+</sup>25] Johannes Anschütz, Guido Bosco, Arthur-César Le Bras, Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo, and Peter Scholze. Analytic de Rham stacks of Fargues-Fontaine curves. Preprint, arXiv:2510.15196 [math.AG] (2025), 2025.
- [ALB25] Johannes Anschütz and Arthur-César Le Bras. A Fourier transform for Banach-Colmez spaces. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 27(9):3651–3712, 2025.
- [ALBRCS] Johannes Anschütz, Arthur-César Le Bras, Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo, and Peter Scholze. Analytic prismatization. In preparation.
- [Ami64] Yvette Amice. Interpolation  $p$ -adique. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 92:117–180, 1964.
- [And21] Grigory Andreychev. Pseudocoherent and Perfect Complexes and Vector Bundles on Analytic Adic Spaces. Preprint, arXiv:2105.12591 [math.AG] (2021), 2021.
- [Aok25] Ko Aoki. Higher presentable categories and limits. Preprint, arXiv:2510.13503 [math.CT] (2025), 2025.
- [ARCZ] Ko Aoki, Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo, and Bogdan Zavyalov. Solid crystals. In preparation.
- [BM24] Shay Ben-Moshe. Naturality of the  $\infty$ -categorical enriched Yoneda embedding. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 228(6):Paper No. 107625, 25, 2024.
- [CS24] Dustin Clausen and Peter Scholze. Lectures in Analytic Stacks. [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YxSZ1mTIpaA&list=PLx5f8Ie1FRgGmu6gmL-Kf\\_R1\\_6Mm7juZ0](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YxSZ1mTIpaA&list=PLx5f8Ie1FRgGmu6gmL-Kf_R1_6Mm7juZ0), 2024.
- [GH15] David Gepner and Rune Haugseng. Enriched  $\infty$ -categories via non-symmetric  $\infty$ -operads. *Adv. Math.*, 279:575–716, 2015.
- [Hei23] Hadrian Heine. An equivalence between enriched  $\infty$ -categories and  $\infty$ -categories with weak action. *Adv. Math.*, 417:Paper No. 108941, 140, 2023.
- [Hin20] Vladimir Hinich. Yoneda lemma for enriched  $\infty$ -categories. *Adv. Math.*, 367:107129, 119, 2020.
- [Hin23] Vladimir Hinich. Colimits in enriched  $\infty$ -categories and day convolution. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 39:Paper No. 12, 365–422, 2023.
- [HM24] Claudius Heyer and Lucas Mann. 6-functor formalisms and smooth representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.13038*, 2024.
- [Kes25] Youshua Kesting. Categorical Künneth formulas for analytic stacks. Preprint, arXiv:2507.08566 [math.AG] (2025), 2025.
- [Lau87] Gérard Laumon. Transformation de Fourier, constantes d'équations fonctionnelles et conjecture de Weil. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 65:131–210, 1987.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Ann. Math. Stud.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. 2017.
- [Lur18a] Jacob Lurie. Elliptic cohomology I: Spectral abelian varieties. <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/Elliptic-I.pdf>, 2018.
- [Lur18b] Jacob Lurie. Spectral algebraic geometry. <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>, 2018.
- [Lur25] Jacob Lurie. Kerodon. <https://kerodon.net>, 2025.
- [Man22] Lucas Mann. A  $p$ -Adic 6-Functor Formalism in Rigid-Analytic Geometry. Preprint, arXiv:2206.02022 [math.AG] (2022), 2022.
- [Mao24] Zhouhang Mao. Revisiting derived crystalline cohomology. *Bull. Soc. Math. Fr.*, 152(4):659–784, 2024.
- [Mat16] Akhil Mathew. The Galois group of a stable homotopy theory. *Adv. Math.*, 291:403–541, 2016.
- [RC24] Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo. The analytic de Rham stack in rigid geometry. Preprint, arXiv:2401.07738 [math.AG] (2024), 2024.
- [RC25] Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo. Notes on solid geometry. Available at <https://sites.google.com/view/jerodriguezcamargo/courses-and-seminars?authuser=0>, 2025.
- [RJRC22] Joaquín Rodríguez Jacinto and Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo. Solid locally analytic representations of  $p$ -adic Lie groups. *Represent. Theory*, 26:962–1024, 2022.
- [RJRC25] Joaquín Rodríguez Jacinto and Juan Esteban Rodríguez Camargo. Solid locally analytic representations. Preprint, arXiv:2305.03162 [math.RT] (2023), 2025.

- [RZ25] David Reutter and Markus Zetto. Enriched  $\infty$ -categories as marked module categories. Preprint, arXiv:2501.07697 [math.AT] (2025), 2025.
- [Sch13] Peter Scholze. Perfectoid spaces: a survey. In *Current developments in mathematics 2012*, pages 193–227. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013.
- [Sch25a] Peter Scholze. Geometry and higher category theory. <https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/scholze/Gestalten.pdf>, 2025.
- [Sch25b] Peter Scholze. Six-functor Formalisms. Preprint, arXiv:2510.26269 [math.AG] (2025), 2025.
- [Ste25] Germán Stefanich. Categorification of sheaf theory. Preprint, arXiv:2511.09553 [math.AG] (2025), 2025.