

Green's function on the Tate curve

An Huang, Rebecca Rohrlach, Yaojia Sun, Eric Whyman

Abstract

Motivated by the question of defining a p -adic string worldsheet action in genus one, we define a Laplacian operator on the Tate curve, and study its Green's function. We show that the Green's function exists. We provide an explicit formula for the Green's function, which turns out to be a non-Archimedean counterpart of the Archimedean Green's function on a flat torus.

1 Introduction

In 1989, Freund and Witten [1] observed that the Veneziano amplitude satisfies an infinite product formula. Together with [2], they proposed that there exists a p -adic open string worldsheet action in genus zero, which should produce a p -adic Veneziano amplitude, such that the reciprocal of the product of all these p -adic amplitudes recovers the Archimedean Veneziano amplitude. They also extended the observation to closed strings. Soon after, Zabrodin [3] confirmed this proposal, by showing that a p -adic open string action on the Bruhat-Tits tree T_p of the group $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ does exactly that. By integrating out bulk fields, Zabrodin further confirmed that this action has an equivalent description by another action defined on \mathbb{Q}_p , i.e. the asymptotic boundary of T_p minus a point at infinity.

This equivalent action on \mathbb{Q}_p turns out to be an action given by a single kinetic term defined by the regularized Vladimirov derivative, which is known to be a pseudo-differential operator whose symbol is given by the p -adic norm function. Deforming the norm function by a quasi-character of \mathbb{Q}_p^\times , one obtains a family of deformations of this free action, given by generalized free actions [4]. Therefore the Green's function is a crucial object to compute. Here, a striking observation is that these Green's functions are given by the local functional equation in Tate's thesis. Furthermore, the global functional equation in Tate's thesis turns out to be equivalent to a product formula of these Green's functions: i.e. upon a regularization by analytic continuation, the product of these Green's functions over all places is equal to 1.

Later, it was also observed that this deformed family of action defined at all the places of a number field, is of interest from several points of view [4]: it is closely related to dimensional regularization and Hecke L-functions. At the complex place, it turns out that the deformation gives rise to a way to construct Verma modules of $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$. This representation theoretic aspect and its generalization shall be investigated in a separate article. Furthermore, it offers a new physical interpretation of the quadratic reciprocity law, that can potentially generalize to also incorporate more general abelian reciprocity laws [4], as the family of actions enjoy both global conformal symmetry and Galois group symmetry, which commute with each other. In addition, very recently it has been realized that this deformation family also appears to give rise to a p -adic counterpart

of the classical work of Caffarelli and Silvestre [5] regarding fractional Laplacians, that at the same time also generalizes Zabrodin's dual pair of theories on the Bruhat-Tits tree of $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ and on its boundary [3], to the deformations. This aspect shall be investigated in a separate article.

As a next step, one is interested in looking for a p -adic string action in genus one. This has been an important open question. As the genus zero story shows, one would expect the genus one theory to be important for both physical and mathematical reasons.

In this article, we consider a special case given by the Tate curve, and propose a p -adic string worldsheet action on a Tate curve. We take (\mathbb{Q}_p -points of) the Tate curve $E_q = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times / q^\mathbb{Z}$ with $|q| < 1$. A real valued scalar field on E_q can be pulled back to a periodic function $\phi : \mathbb{Q}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

We propose the following worldsheet action for the Tate curve

$$S = c \int_E \phi(x) D\phi(x) d\mu^\times, \quad (1.1)$$

where $d\mu^\times = \frac{dx}{|x|}$ is a multiplicative Haar measure, c is a normalization constant, and E is a fundamental domain of E_q .

$$D\phi(x) := \int_E \frac{\phi(z) - \phi(x)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz, \quad (1.2)$$

where dz is an additive Haar measure on \mathbb{Q}_p .

The main idea here is that, the action is locally identical to the genus zero p -adic Bosonic string action defined on the asymptotic boundary of the Bruhat Tits tree Δ of $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$: the only difference is the domain of integration is now E instead of \mathbb{Q}_p . Recall that in Archimedean string theory, the worldsheet actions of any genus are locally identical after gauge fixing the worldsheet metric, as a local patch of a real surface is conformally flat.

Therefore, we propose D to be a p -adic version of the flat Laplacian on the torus.

Remark 1.1. In genus 0, the Vladimirov derivative D_1 is defined by the following integral operator on the space of compactly supported locally constant functions on \mathbb{Q}_p :

$$D_1\phi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \frac{\phi(z) - \phi(x)}{|z - x|^2} dz, \quad (1.3)$$

As mentioned earlier, D_1 is a pseudo-differential operator whose symbol is the p -adic norm function, thus it is a direct p -adic counterpart of the 2d Laplacian on the complex plane. This has been generalized to pseudo-differential operators defined by an arbitrary quasi-character on a characteristic zero local field in [6]. Again as mentioned earlier, this family of operators turns out to be important for a variety of reasons. Non-Archimedean pseudo-differential operators in a more general setup have been considered in e.g. [7].

Consider the Archimedean exponential map $w \rightarrow z = x + yi = e^{-iw}$, mapping the cylinder to \mathbb{C}^\times , or half the cylinder to the upper half plane. $w = \sigma + i\tau$ where σ, τ are spatial and time coordinates on the worldsheet of a free closed or open string. Under the coordinate transformation from σ, τ to x, y , the Laplacian gets multiplied by the number theoretic norm $|x + yi|_\mathbb{C} = x^2 + y^2$, and the measure $d\sigma d\tau$ becomes $\frac{1}{|x + yi|_\mathbb{C}} dx dy$. This analogy provides another motivation for our definition of the action S and the operator D .

Since multiplication by a unit does not change the above action, we consider only the case $q = p^m$. Take E to be $\cup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. One checks that the action is independent of the choice of E .

Remark 1.2. *There is a family of deformations of D , as in the genus 0 case. The deformed action is well-defined given that $\phi(x)$ transforms properly under an action of a central extension of $GL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$.*

To study the physics, it is crucial to understand the operator D . And the basic properties of D is similar to the genus zero case, that D is self-adjoint, negative semi-definite, and preserves locally constant functions under the integral pairing $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_E fg d\mu^\times$.

A technical advantage here is that one can filter the space of fields by finite-dimensional vector spaces of functions on \mathbb{Q}_p^\times descending to E_q , such that the conductor of these functions is bounded by a given natural number k . Equivalently, one considers projections to the finite quotients $\pi^k : E_q \rightarrow E_q/(1 + p^k \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and considers only functions that are pullbacks of functions from these quotients. This is a filtration by functions depending only up to the first k p -adic digits.

Alternatively, this filtration is nothing but truncating the graph Δ/Γ up to radius k , where Γ is the discrete subgroup of $PGL(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ generated by $\begin{bmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Note that the same type of truncation on the Bruhat-Tits tree Δ was used in a crucial way by Zabrodin to compute the p -adic genus zero 4-tachyon scattering amplitude, and to derive the asymptotic boundary dual p -adic string worldsheet action.

The Green's function is again of central importance. For instance, it is expected to be a basic building block for computing p -adic string torus amplitudes. For each k , the Green's function is simply a solution to a finite dimensional matrix equation $DG = \delta - \frac{1}{V}$, where δ is the Dirac Delta distribution, and $V = m\mu^\times(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)$ is the volume of E_q .

As is mentioned earlier, for the p -adic version of the flat Laplacian and its fractional powers in the genus zero case, defined on \mathbb{Q}_p , their Green's functions turn out to be closely related to Tate's thesis [6]. On the other hand, the Green's function on the flat Archimedean torus has been a classical object of interest. In particular, in a recent breakthrough, Lin and Wang described its number of critical points as a function of the torus moduli [8, 9]. Therefore, from these perspectives, it would also be of interest to investigate the p -adic counterpart of the Laplacian on the flat torus, and its Green's function. There are several results for the Green's function on the upper half-plane and p -adic domains [10, 11], and the existence of the Green's function on p -adic manifolds with respect to fractional Laplacian for $s > 1$ has been proved [12].

The main result of this paper is an explicit formula for this Green's function, in terms of a power series plus a simple correction term, which turns out to be a p -adic counterpart of the Archimedean case. In particular, in both cases, the Green's function near the diagonal is given by a log singularity, plus a power series correction. In the Archimedean case, the power series correction is needed because it makes the Green's function well-defined on the torus, whereas in the non-Archimedean case, it turns out that the power series correction is needed because the log singularity itself does not satisfy the Green's equation. On the other hand, there is another very recently discovered closed formula for this Green's function in terms of a finite sum, and a third closed formula in terms of the q -digamma function for $q = p$, both of which we plan to investigate in the near future.

In addition, one can analyze the spectrum of D . We have observed that the spectral gap behaves as expected, and the spectrum does satisfy a Weyl asymptotics, that is a non-Archimedean counterpart of the Archimedean version of the Weyl asymptotics. Furthermore, we have studied the Bosonic partition function given by the spectrum of D when $m = 1$, and found that its leading term gives a p -adic counterpart of the entropy of the Archimedean Bosonic string. Some of these issues are investigated in details in [13].

Acknowledgement

AH and YJS are grateful to SIMIS for its hospitality during an important phase of this work. The work of AH is supported by Simons collaboration grant No. 708790. The work of EW is partially supported by the Robert and Charlotte Joly Endowed Scholarship Fund.

1.1 Outline

In section 2, we investigate some basic properties of the p -adic flat Laplacian and the Green's function. Then we prove the existence of the Green's function by taking the limit of the solutions on finite quotients.

In section 3, we show that the Green's function can be written as $G(x, y) = B(x, y) + C(x, y)$, where C depends only on $|x|$ and $|y|$, and B depends only on $|x|$, $|y|$, and $|x - y|$. We then compute an explicit infinite series expression for $B(x, y)$ by correcting a log singularity on the diagonal, and we show that the series is absolutely convergent.

In section 4, we study the properties of $C(x, y)$ and we prove that it can be symmetrized, then we give a set of recurrence formulas for explicitly computing it.

In section 5, we generalize our results from sections 3 and 4 to finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p .

1.2 Table of notation

Notation	Meaning
p	A fixed prime $p \in \mathbb{N}$, considered as either an element of \mathbb{R} or of \mathbb{Q}_p
v_p	The p -adic valuation on \mathbb{Q}_p
$ \cdot $	The p -adic norm on \mathbb{Q}_p
E	A fundamental domain of the Tate curve $E_q = \mathbb{Q}_p^\times / q^\mathbb{Z}$; for $q = p^m$, $E = \bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$
D	$D\phi(x) := \int_E \frac{\phi(z) - \phi(x)}{ z - x ^2} x dz$
G	The Green's function for D , which (for $q = p^m$) we write as $G_{p,m} = B_{p,m} + C_{p,m}$
C	The part of G depending only on $ x $ and $ y $
B	The part of G depending only on $ x $, $ y $, and $ x - y $
\log	The logarithm base p
$d(x, y)$	$\frac{ x - y }{\max\{ x , y \}}$
∂y_ℓ	$\left\{ z \in \bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \mid v_p(z) = v_p(y), v_p(z - y) = \ell \right\}$
$U(y)$	$p^{-v_p(y)} + p^{-m+v_p(y)+1} = y + p^{1-m} y ^{-1}$
Λ_i	$1 + p^{-1} - p^{-i-1} - p^{-m+i}$ (or $1 + p^{-1} - p^{-1}U(x)$, when $v_p(x) = i$)
μ^+	The Haar measure on \mathbb{Q}_p with $d\mu^+ = dx$, normalized to have $\mu^+(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1$
μ^\times	The Haar measure on \mathbb{Q}_p^\times with $d\mu^\times = \frac{dx}{ x }$, normalized to have $\mu^\times(\mathbb{Z}_p^\times) = 1 - p^{-1}$

2 Basic properties of G

Lemma 2.1. *D is self-adjoint, negative semi-definite, and preserves locally constant functions under the integral pairing $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_E fg d\mu^\times$.*

Proof. We prove it by direct calculation. First, for any locally constant function f and g on E , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle Df, g \rangle &= \int_E Df(x)g(x) d\mu^\times(x) \\
 &= \int_E \left(|x| \int_E \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{|z - x|^2} dz \right) g(x) \frac{dx}{|x|} \\
 &= \int_E \int_E \frac{f(z)g(x) - f(x)g(x)}{|z - x|^2} dz dx \\
 &= \int_E \int_E \frac{g(z)f(x) - f(x)g(x)}{|z - x|^2} dz dx \\
 &= \langle f, Dg \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

thus D is self adjoint.

Next, as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle Df, f \rangle &= \int_E Df(x)f(x) d\mu^\times(x) \\
 &= \int_E \left(|x| \int_E \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{|z - x|^2} dz \right) f(x) \frac{dx}{|x|} \\
 &= \int_E \int_E \frac{f(z)f(x) - f(x)^2}{|z - x|^2} dz dx \\
 &= \int_E \int_E \frac{f(x)f(z) - f(z)^2}{|z - x|^2} dz dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_E \int_E \frac{2f(z)f(x) - f(x)^2 - f(z)^2}{|z - x|^2} dz dx \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_E \int_E \frac{(f(z) - f(x))^2}{|z - x|^2} dz dx \\
 &\leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and we notice that $D\mathbf{1}_E(x) = 0$, so D is negative semi-definite.

Last, as f is locally constant, then $\forall x \in E$, \exists a neighborhood $x + p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ of x for some n , s.t. $\forall x_0 \in p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$, we have $f(x) = f(x + x_0)$. Then

$$Df(x) = |x| \int \frac{f(z) - f(x)}{|z - x|^2} dz = |x + x_0| \int \frac{f(z) - f(x + x_0)}{|z - (x + x_0)|^2} dz = Df(x + x_0)$$

which means D preserves locally constant functions. \square

Lemma 2.2. *For each k , there is a unique symmetric Green's function on the finite quotient of the Tate curve $E_q/(1 + p^k\mathbb{Z}_p)$, up to adding a constant.*

Proof. First, one verifies from the integral definition of D , that D preserves the conductor k . Therefore, the Green's equation is well-defined on each such finite quotient E_k .

Next, D is symmetric positive semi-definite, and it defines a symmetric positive definite linear operator on the quotient space of the function space on our finite quotient, by the constant functions. Thus, on this quotient space, the Green's equation has a unique solution and the solution is symmetric. Now, the kernel of D on E_k consists of the constant functions, and a constant function is in the kernel of the RHS of the Green's equation, thus, Green's function on E_k exists, and any Green's function on E_k has to map the constant function to the kernel of D , i.e. the constant function. This implies that one may add suitable constant to each column of G , so that G becomes symmetric.

Finally, if there are two symmetric Green's functions on E_k , their difference is again symmetric, and is annihilated by D . Therefore the difference is a constant matrix. \square

Corollary 2.3. *If there is a symmetric Green's function on the Tate curve, as a distribution on the space of continuous functions on the Tate curve, then it is the unique symmetric Green's function on the Tate curve up to adding a constant.*

Proof. Going to the finite quotients, together with the fact that functions that descend to finite quotients are dense in the space of continuous functions on the Tate curve, the corollary is obtained. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Any symmetric Green's function on any E_k is invariant under multiplication by units: i.e. $G(ux, uy) = G(x, y)$ for any p -adic unit u .*

Proof. Let $G_1(x, y) := G(ux, uy)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} DG_1(x, y) &= \int_E \frac{G_1(z, y) - G_1(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \\ &= \int_E \frac{G(uz, uy) - G_1(ux, uy)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \\ &= \int_E \frac{G(uz, uy) - G_1(ux, uy)}{|uz - ux|^2} |ux| duz \\ &= \delta(ux, uy) - \frac{1}{V} \\ &= \delta(x, y) - \frac{1}{V} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $G_1(x, y)$ is a symmetric Green's function on E_k . Since E_k is a finite set, by the uniqueness of symmetric Green's function, $G_1(x, y) = G(x, y)$. (There is no ambiguity here of adding a constant) \square

Lemma 2.5. *For any fixed y , the Green's function $G(x, y)$ on any E_k depends on $x - y$ only up to its leading $(m - v_p(y))$ -th p -adic digit, where $m = v_p(q)$, the torus parameter.*

Proof. Let $x' \in \cup_{i=0}^{m-1} p^i \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ such that x' and x share the leading $(m - v_p(y))$ many p -adic digits. i.e. there exists a unit u , such that $x' = y + u(x - y) = ux + (1 - u)y$. Note that $|x'| = |x|$,

and $(1-u)y \in p^m \mathbb{Z}_p$, so any of the $p^i \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is invariant under the translation by $(1-u)y$. Denote $G_2(x, y) = G(x', y)$. Let $z' = uz + (1-u)y$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
DG_2(x, y) &= \int_E \frac{G_2(z, y) - G_2(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \\
&= \int_E \frac{G(z', y) - G(x', y)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \\
&= \int_E \frac{G(z', y) - G(x', y)}{|z' - x'|^2} |x'| dz' \\
&= \delta(x', y) - \frac{1}{V} \\
&= \delta(x', y) - \frac{1}{V}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $G(x', y)$ is a Green's function for our fixed y , thus as a function of x , it differs from $G(x, y)$ by adding a constant, which obviously has to be zero. \square

Consider the projection: $\pi_k : S/(1 + p^{k+1} \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow S/(1 + p^k \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Let G_k denote a Green's function w.r.t. k . Define integration along the fiber $\tilde{G}_k(x, y) := \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{x': \pi_k(x')=x, y': \pi_k(y')=y} G_{k+1}(x', y')$.

Lemma 2.6. $\tilde{G}_k(x, y)$ is a Green's function for E_k .

Proof. Let ϕ be a test function on E_k . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_E \phi(y) D\tilde{G}_k(x, y) d^*y &= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{x': \pi_k(x')=x, y': \pi_k(y')=y} \int_E \phi D G_{k+1}(x', y') d^*y \\
&= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{x': \pi_k(x')=x, y': \pi_k(y')=y} \int_E \delta(x', y') \phi(y) p d^*y' - \frac{1}{V} \phi(y) \\
&= \frac{1}{p^2} \sum_{x': \pi_k(x')=x} p \phi(x') - \frac{1}{V} \phi(y) \\
&= \phi(x) - \frac{1}{V} \\
&= (\delta(x, y) - \frac{1}{V}) \phi(y)
\end{aligned}$$

Since for any fixed lift y' of y , $d^*y = p d^*y'$, and for any fixed lift x' of x , integrating over the lift y' whose last digit matches that of x' of an integrand containing $\delta(x', y')$ as a factor, is the same as integrating the same integrand over E . For any lift y' that does not match x' , the corresponding integral is zero. Furthermore, for any x' , there exists exactly one matching lift y' . \square

Therefore, integration along fiber maps a symmetric Green's function to a symmetric Green's function.

Next, observe that all the Green's equations on finite quotients E_k are matrix equations over \mathbb{Q} . In particular, there are real symmetric solutions. We further uniformize these real symmetric

solutions by requiring that the maximum value of any of the solutions is 0. This fixes a unique solution for each k , which by abuse of notation, we still denote by G_k .

Combined with the previous lemma, we deduce that for any $x \neq y$ points of E , when k is big enough,

$$\tilde{G}_k(x, y) = G_{k+1}(x, y) \quad (2.1)$$

In the above equation, we again used abuse of notation, where arguments on both sides are really given by projections from E .

So $\tilde{G}_k(x, y)$ is a real symmetric Green's function on E_k with maximum value 0, thus $\tilde{G}_k(x, y) = G_k(x, y)$. As a consequence, the limit of $G_k(x, y)$ exists for any $x \neq y$.

Denote this limit by $G(x, y)$. We next show that $G(x, y)$ is a real symmetric Green's function on the Tate curve:

Lemma 2.7. *The above constructed $G(x, y)$ is a real symmetric Green's function on the Tate curve. i.e. as a distribution on the space of continuous functions on E , $DG(x, y) = \delta(x, y) - \frac{1}{V}$.*

Proof. Again, functions descending to a finite quotient E_k are dense in the space of continuous functions on the compact E . So it suffices to check the Green's equation for each E_k , which follows from the definition of $G(x, y)$. \square

We therefore have proved

Theorem 2.8. *There is a unique symmetric Green's function on the Tate curve, up to adding a constant.*

The Green's function on the Tate curve has the following symmetry, which is a p -adic counterpart of the obvious reflection symmetry in the Archimedean case:

Proposition 2.9. $G(x, y) = G(p^{m-1}x^{-1}, p^{m-1}y^{-1})$

Proof. Denote $G_1(x, y) = G(p^{m-1}x^{-1}, p^{m-1}y^{-1})$. We show that $G_1(x, y)$ is a symmetric Green's function: Substitute $z_1 = p^{m-1}z^{-1}$, $x_1 = p^{m-1}x^{-1}$, $y_1 = p^{m-1}y^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} DG_1(x, y) &= \int_E \frac{G(p^{m-1}z^{-1}, p^{m-1}y^{-1}) - G(p^{m-1}x^{-1}, p^{m-1}y^{-1})}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \\ &= \int_E \frac{(G(z_1, y_1) - G(x_1, y_1)) |z_1 x_1|^2}{p^{-2(m-1)} |z_1 - x_1|^2} \left| \frac{p^{m-1}}{x_1} \right| |p^{m-1}| \frac{dz_1}{|z_1|^2} \\ &= \delta(x_1, y_1) - \frac{1}{V} \\ &= \delta(x, y) - \frac{1}{V} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the same statement and same proof holds for each E_k . Therefore, by the uniformization of the G_k , we have the desired identity for each k . Then take the limit. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Our $G(x, y)$ defines a distribution on the space of continuous functions on the Tate curve, that satisfies $DG(x, y) = \delta(x, y) - \frac{1}{V}$ up to a nonzero multiplicative constant.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the result for the space of locally constant functions on the Tate curve, as they are dense in the space of continuous functions.

Next, fix any y , according to the computations, $DG(x, y) + \frac{1}{v}$ is a distribution supported at the single point y . On the other hand, the space of function germs at y is 1-dimensional, since we are working with locally constant functions. Therefore, there is a constant c_y , such that $DG(x, y) + \frac{1}{v} = c_y \delta(x, y)$. Integrating both sides w.r.t. x over E , noting that D is self-adjoint, and the constant function 1 is in the kernel of D , we deduce that $c_y = 1$. \square

3 Determining $B_{p,m}(x, y)$

3.1 D, G, B , and C

Recall that

$$D\phi(x, y) = \int_{\bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{\phi(z, y) - \phi(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \quad (3.1)$$

We will show that we can write $G_{p,m}(x, y) = B_{p,m}(x, y) + C_{p,m}(x, y)$, where $C_{p,m}(x, y)$ depends only on $|x|$ and $|y|$ (i.e., depends only on $v_p(x)$ and $v_p(y)$) and $B_{p,m}(x, y)$ depends only on $|x|$, $|y|$, and $|x - y|$. As $C_{p,m}(x, y)$ can only depend on $|x|$ and $|y|$, the same must hold for $DC_{p,m}(x, y)$, and it follows that (disregarding when $x = y$) this must hold for $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ as well. So, when $x \neq y$, $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ cannot depend on $|x - y|$.

Let $d(x, y) = \frac{|x - y|}{\max\{|x|, |y|\}}$. Note that $d(x, y) = 1$ when $|x| \neq |y|$. We will have

$$B_{p,m}(x, y) = A(y) + \lambda_0 \log(d(x, y)) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(y) d(x, y)^n \quad (3.2)$$

where $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant and $A(y), \lambda_n(y) \in \mathbb{R}$ depend only on $v_p(y)$.¹ We compute $D \log(d(x, y))$ and $Dd(x, y)^n$ and then use the requirement that $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ cannot depend on $|x - y|$ (disregarding $x = y$) to determine the $\lambda_n(y)$ for $n > 0$.²

3.2 The $m = 1$ Case

Aside from this subsection, we will usually take $m > 1$. The $m = 1$ case is similar to the $m > 1$ case, and in many ways simpler (e.g., we can take $C_{p,1}(x, y) \equiv 0$, we do not need different λ_n for different $v_p(y)$, $U(y)$ as defined in (3.14) is always equal to 2, and $d(x, y)$ becomes $|x - y|$). The computation of $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ for the $m = 1$ case largely follows the $v_p(x) = v_p(y) = v_p(z)$ portion of the $m > 1$ case, and (3.15), (3.16), (3.17), (3.19), (3.23), and (4.8) all hold for $m = 1$.

¹It is clear from (3.1) that adding a function of y to $\phi(x, y)$ does not affect $D\phi(x, y)$; $A(y)$ serves to make $B_{p,m}(x, y)$ symmetric.

²We will see that separately computing D for each ‘term’ yields $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ in the form of an infinite sum, and that the sum is absolutely convergent. Thus, computing each term separately gives the same result as computing $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ without first separating $B_{p,m}(x, y)$ into different terms.

3.3 Terms of $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$

3.3.1 Computing $D \log(d(x, y))$

We first compute $D \log(d(x, y))$. For $x \neq y$,

$$D \log(d(x, y)) = \int_{\bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{\log(d(z, y)) - \log(d(x, y))}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \quad (3.3)$$

Suppose $v_p(x) = q$ and $v_p(y) = r$ (i.e., $x \in p^q \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and $y \in p^r \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$) for $q \neq r$. Then, $\log(d(x, y)) = 0$, and $\log(d(z, y)) = 0$ unless $v_p(z) = r$, and the integrand in (3.3) vanishes unless $v_p(z) = r$. So, (3.3) becomes

$$D \log(d(x, y)) = \int_{p^r \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{r - v_p(z - y)}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \quad (3.4)$$

Define

$$\partial y_\ell = \left\{ z \in \bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \mid v_p(z) = v_p(y), v_p(z - y) = \ell \right\} \quad (3.5)$$

Then, we can write $p^r \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \setminus \{y\} = \bigcup_{i=r}^\infty \partial y_i$. Note that $\mu^+(\partial y_r) = (p-2)p^{-r-1}$ and $\mu^+(\partial y_i) = (p-1)p^{-i-1}$ for $i > r$. So, for $v_p(x) = q \neq r = v_p(y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} D \log(d(x, y)) &= \int_{\bigcup_{i=r}^\infty \partial y_i} \frac{r - v_p(z - y)}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=r}^\infty \int_{\partial y_i} \frac{r - v_p(z - y)}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=r}^\infty \int_{\partial y_i} \frac{r - i}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=r+1}^\infty \int_{\partial y_i} \frac{r - i}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=r+1}^\infty \frac{r - i}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} (p-1)p^{-i-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Evaluating (3.7), we obtain that, for $v_p(x) = q \neq r = v_p(y)$,

$$D \log(d(x, y)) = -\frac{p^{-|q-r|}}{p-1} \quad (3.8)$$

Suppose $v_p(x) = v_p(y) = r$ (i.e., $x, y \in p^r \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$) and $v_p(x - y) = \ell$. As $\mu^+(p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times) = (p-1)p^{-s-1}$, for $s \neq r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{\log(d(z, y)) - \log(d(x, y))}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz &= \int_{p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{\ell - r}{\max\{p^{-2r}, p^{-2s}\}} p^{-r} dz \\ &= \frac{\ell - r}{\max\{p^{-2r}, p^{-2s}\}} (p-1)p^{-r-s-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We also have

$$\int_{p^r \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{\log(d(z, y)) - \log(d(x, y))}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz = \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \int_{\partial x_i} \frac{\ell - v_p(z - y)}{p^{-2i}} p^{-r} dz \quad (3.10)$$

If $i > \ell$ (i.e., $|z - x| < |x - y|$), then $|x - y| = |z - y|$ and the integrand in the right hand side of (3.10) vanishes on ∂x_i . If $i < \ell$, then $v_p(z - y) = i$ for $z \in \partial x_i$. Thus, the right hand side of (3.10) becomes

$$\sum_{i=r}^{\ell-1} \int_{\partial x_i} \frac{\ell - i}{p^{-2i}} p^{-r} dz + \int_{\partial x_\ell} \frac{\ell - v_p(z - y)}{p^{-2\ell}} p^{-r} dz \quad (3.11)$$

As $v_p(x - y) = \ell$, we can write $\partial x_\ell = (\partial y_\ell \cap \partial x_\ell) \cup (\bigcup_{i=\ell+1}^{\infty} \partial y_i)$. As the integrand in the second term of (3.11) vanishes on $\partial y_\ell \cap \partial x_\ell$, (3.11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=r}^{\ell-1} \int_{\partial x_i} \frac{\ell - i}{p^{-2i}} p^{-r} dz + \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{\infty} \int_{\partial y_i} \frac{\ell - i}{p^{-2\ell}} p^{-r} dz \\ &= \frac{p-2}{p}(\ell-r) + \sum_{i=r+1}^{\ell-1} (\ell-i)(p-1)p^{i-r-1} + \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{\infty} (\ell-i)(p-1)p^{2\ell-i-r-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{2}{p}(\ell-r) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.12), we obtain (for $v_p(x) = v_p(y) = r$ and $v_p(x - y) = \ell$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{\log(d(z, y)) - \log(d(x, y))}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} (\ell-r)(p-1)p^{s-r-1} - \frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{2}{p}(\ell-r) + \sum_{s=r+1}^{m-1} (\ell-r)(p-1)p^{r-s-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{p-1} - (p^{r-m} + p^{-r-1})(\ell-r) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Define

$$U(y) = p^{-v_p(y)} + p^{-m+v_p(y)+1} = |y| + p^{1-m}|y|^{-1} \quad (3.14)$$

Taken together, (3.8) and (3.13) give

$$D \log(d(x, y)) = \begin{cases} -\frac{p^{-|v_p(x)-v_p(y)|}}{p-1} & v_p(x) \neq v_p(y) \\ -\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{U(y)}{p}(v_p(x-y) - v_p(y)) & v_p(x) = v_p(y) \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

3.3.2 Computing $Dd(x, y)^n$ for $n \geq 1$

The computation of $Dd(x, y)^n$ for $n \geq 1$ is similar to $D \log(d(x, y))$; the full computations are shown in appendix A.

We obtain

$$Dd(x, y) = \begin{cases} -\frac{p^{-|v_p(x)-v_p(y)|}}{p+1} & v_p(x) \neq v_p(y) \\ -\frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{U(y)}{p} \left(1 - p^{-(v_p(x-y)-v_p(y))}\right) + \frac{p-1}{p}(v_p(x-y) - v_p(y)) & v_p(x) = v_p(y) \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

And, for $n > 1$,

$$Dd(x, y)^n = \begin{cases} -\frac{p^n - 1}{p^{n+1} - 1} p^{-|v_p(x)-v_p(y)|} & v_p(x) \neq v_p(y) \\ \frac{p^{n-1} - p^{-1}}{p^{n-1} - 1} - \frac{U(y)}{p} \left(1 - p^{-n(v_p(x-y)-v_p(y))}\right) - \frac{(p+1)(p^n - 1)^2}{p(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1)} p^{(1-n)(v_p(x-y)-v_p(y))} & v_p(x) = v_p(y) \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

3.4 Computing the $\lambda_n(y)$

Recall that (disregarding $x = y$) $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ can depend only on $v_p(x)$ and $v_p(y)$; however, each ‘term’ of $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ has an explicit dependence on $v_p(x - y)$ when $v_p(x) = v_p(y)$. So, the $\lambda_n(y)$ must be such that this dependence is eliminated. Examining (3.15), (3.16), and (3.17), we see that we must have $\lambda_1(y) = U(y) \frac{1}{p-1} \lambda_0$, (Recall $U(y)$ is defined in (3.14)) and for $n > 1$,

$$\lambda_n(y) = U(y) \frac{(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1)}{(p+1)(p^n - 1)^2} \lambda_{n-1}(y) \quad (3.18)$$

Equivalently, for $n > 0$,

$$\lambda_n(y) = U(y)^n \frac{p^{n+1} - 1}{(p-1)(p+1)^n(p^n - 1)} \lambda_0 \quad (3.19)$$

We set $A(y) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n(y)$ so that $B_{p,m}(x, y)$ is still symmetric for $v_p(x) \neq v_p(y)$ (we then have $B_{p,m}(x, y) \equiv 0$ when $v_p(x) = v_p(y)$).

3.5 Computing $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$

Putting together (3.15), (3.16), (3.17), and (3.19), when $v_p(x) \neq v_p(y)$ we have

$$DB_{p,m}(x, y) = -\lambda_0 p^{-|v_p(x)-v_p(y)|} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{U(y)^n}{(p-1)(p+1)^n} \quad (3.20)$$

$$= -\lambda_0 \frac{p^{-|v_p(x)-v_p(y)|}}{p-1} \frac{p+1}{p+1-U(y)} \quad (3.21)$$

When $v_p(x) = v_p(y) = r$ (but $x \neq y$) and $v_p(x - y) = \ell$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& DB_{p,m}(x, y) \\
&= -\lambda_0 \left[\frac{1}{p-1} + \frac{U(y)}{p}(\ell - r) \right] - \lambda_1(y) \left[\frac{1}{p+1} + \frac{U(y)}{p} \left(1 - p^{-(\ell-r)} \right) - \frac{p-1}{p}(\ell - r) \right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n(y) \left[-\frac{p^{n-1} - p^{-1}}{p^{n-1} - 1} + \frac{U(y)}{p} \left(1 - p^{-n(\ell-r)} \right) + \frac{(p+1)(p^n - 1)^2}{p(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1)} p^{(1-n)(\ell-r)} \right] \\
&\stackrel{=}{=} -\lambda_0 \left[\frac{1}{p-1} \right] - \lambda_0 \left[\frac{U(y)}{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{p+1} + \frac{U(y)}{p} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \lambda_0 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[U(y)^n \frac{p^{n+1} - 1}{(p-1)(p+1)^n(p^n - 1)} \left(-\frac{p^{n-1} - p^{-1}}{p^{n-1} - 1} + \frac{U(y)}{p} \right) \right] \\
&\stackrel{=}{=} -\lambda_0 \left[\frac{1}{p-1} \right] - \lambda_0 \left[\frac{U(y)}{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{p+1} \right) \right] - \lambda_0 \left[\frac{U(y)}{p-1} \left(\frac{U(y)}{p} - U(y) \frac{p^2 + p + 1}{p(p+1)^2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \lambda_0 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[U(y)^n \frac{p^{n+1} - 1}{(p-1)(p+1)^n(p^n - 1)} \left(\frac{U(y)}{p} - U(y) \frac{(p^{n+2} - 1)(p^n - 1)}{(p+1)(p^{n+1} - 1)^2} \left(\frac{p^n - p^{-1}}{p^n - 1} \right) \right) \right] \\
&\stackrel{=}{=} -\lambda_0 \left[\frac{1}{p-1} \right] - \lambda_0 \left[\frac{U(y)}{(p-1)(p+1)} \right] - \lambda_0 \left[\frac{U(y)^2}{(p-1)(p+1)^2} \right] - \lambda_0 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{U(y)^{n+1}}{(p-1)(p+1)^{n+1}} \right] \\
&= -\lambda_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{U(y)^n}{(p-1)(p+1)^n} \\
&= -\lambda_0 \frac{1}{p-1} \frac{p+1}{p+1 - U(y)} \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

Where in (1) we substitute in (3.19) and eliminate the terms that depend on $v_p(x - y) = \ell$, in (2) we regroup terms by powers of $U(y)$, and in (3) we simplify.

From (3.21) and (3.22), whenever $x \neq y$,

$$DB_{p,m}(x, y) = -\lambda_0 \frac{p^{-|v_p(x) - v_p(y)|}}{p-1} \frac{p+1}{p+1 - U(y)} \tag{3.23}$$

3.6 Absolute convergence of $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$

When computing $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$, we (implicitly) used the assumption that $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ is absolutely convergent whenever $x \neq y$. We now justify that assumption. When we apply D to $d(x, y)^n$ for any $n \geq 1$, the resulting integrand is always bounded. Because the domain of integration has finite measure, all of the resulting integrals are absolutely convergent. For $D \log(d(x, y))$, our integrand is not always bounded, but the resulting integrals are still absolutely convergent, as

$$\mu^+ \left(\left\{ z \in \bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \left| \left| \frac{\log(z, y) - \log(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} \right|_{\mathbb{R}} > M \right\} \right)$$

decreases exponentially as M increases. So, each ‘term’ of $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ is individually absolutely convergent.

Next, $|U(y)|_{\mathbb{R}} \leq 1 + p^{1-m} \leq 2$, so (for $n > 0$)

$$|\lambda_n(y)|_{\mathbb{R}} \leq 2^n \frac{p^{n+1} - 1}{(p-1)(p+1)^n(p^n - 1)} \leq \frac{2^n}{(p-1)(p+1)^{n-1}} \leq 2^n 3^{1-n}$$

When $v_p(x) \neq v_p(y)$, we have

$$|Dd(x, y)^n|_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} p^{-|v_p(x) - v_p(y)| - 1} \leq p^{-2}$$

so $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ is absolutely convergent when $v_p(x) \neq v_p(y)$.³ When $v_p(x) = v_p(y) \neq v_p(x - y)$,

$$|Dd(x, y)^n|_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{p^{n-1} - p^{-1}}{p^{n-1} - 1} - \frac{U(y)}{p} \right|_{\mathbb{R}} < \frac{p+1}{p}$$

and so $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ is absolutely convergent here as well. When $v_p(x) = v_p(y) = v_p(x - y)$,

$$|Dd(x, y)^n|_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{p^{n-1} - p^{-1}}{p^{n-1} - 1} - \frac{(p+1)(p^n - 1)^2}{p(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1)} \right|_{\mathbb{R}} < \frac{(p+1)^2}{p^2}$$

and therefore $DB_{p,m}(x, y)$ is absolutely convergent whenever $x \neq y$.

4 Properties of $C_{p,m}(x, y)$

In this part, we focus mainly on some properties of $C_{p,m}(x, y)$. Firstly, we calculate $C_{p,m}(x, y)$, as

$$DG(x, y) = \delta(x, y) - \frac{1}{V} \tag{4.1}$$

where $V = \mu^\times(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / p^{m\mathbb{Z}}) = \mu^\times(\cup_{k=0}^{m-1} p^k \mathbb{Z}_p^\times) = m(1 - p^{-1})$. (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$DC_{p,m}(x, y) = -DB_{p,m}(x, y) - \frac{1}{m(1 - p^{-1})} \tag{4.2}$$

when $x \neq y$, and from the previous calculation we know that

$$DB_{p,m}(x, y) = -\frac{p+1}{p-1} \frac{|x||y|}{\max\{|x|, |y|\}^2} \frac{\lambda_0}{p+1 - |y| - p^{1-m}|y|^{-1}} \tag{4.3}$$

³This is because $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^n 3^{1-n}$ converges.

As $C_{p,m}(x, y)$ depends only on $v_p(x)$ and $v_p(y)$, let $(\mathbf{C})_{ij} = c_{ij} = C_{p,m}(x, y) = C_{p,m}(v_p(x), v_p(y))$, and when $v_p(y) = l, l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& DC_{p,m}(x, y) \\
&= |x| \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p^\times / p^m \mathbb{Z}} \frac{C_{p,m}(z, y) - C_{p,m}(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} dz \\
&= |x| \int_{\bigcup_{k=0}^{m-1} p^k \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{C_{p,m}(z, y) - C_{p,m}(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} dz \\
&= |x| \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \int_{p^k \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{C_{p,m}(z, y) - C_{p,m}(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} dz \\
&= |x| \sum_{k=0, k \neq v_p(x)}^{m-1} \frac{c_{kl} - c_{v_p(x)l}}{\max\{p^{-k}, |x|\}^2} p^{-k} (1 - p^{-1}) \\
&= |x| (1 - p^{-1}) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{v_p(x)-1} p^k (c_{kl} - c_{v_p(x)l}) + \sum_{k=v_p(x)+1}^{m-1} p^{-k} (c_{kl} - c_{v_p(x)l}) |x|^{-2} \right) \\
&= (1 - p^{-1}) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{v_p(x)-1} |x| p^k c_{kl} - \left(|x| \frac{1 - p^{v_p(x)}}{1 - p} + \frac{p^{-(v_p(x)+1)} (1 - p^{-(m-1-v_p(x)))}}{1 - p^{-1}} \right) c_{v_p(x)l} |x|^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=v_p(x)+1}^{m-1} |x|^{-1} p^{-k} c_{kl} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{v_p(x)-1} (p-1) |x| p^{k-1} c_{kl} + \frac{|x| + |x|^{-1} p^{1-m} - 2}{p|x|} c_{v_p(x)l} + \sum_{k=v_p(x)+1}^{m-1} \frac{p-1}{|x| p^{k+1}} c_{kl}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

then we can solve these systems of algebraic equations to get \mathbf{C} .

We denote by \mathbf{A} the coefficient matrix of \mathbf{C} and by \mathbf{B} the RHS of (4.2), where $0 \leq i, j \leq m-1$. Then we can write it in matrix form $\mathbf{AC} = \mathbf{B}$. So we can get

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} (1 - p^{-1}) p^{-|i-j|}, & i \neq j \\ p^{-1} (p^{-i} + p^{i+1-m} - 2), & i = j \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

$$(DB_{p,m})_{ij} = - \frac{p^{-|i-j|}}{p+1 - p^{-j} - p^{j+1-m}} \frac{p+1}{p-1} \lambda_0 \tag{4.6}$$

As the sum of each row and column of \mathbf{A} is 0, so in order to make these systems of algebraic equations solvable, the sum of each row and column of \mathbf{B} must be 0, so we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{p^{-|i-j|}}{p+1 - p^{-j} - p^{j+1-m}} \frac{p+1}{p-1} \lambda_0 - \frac{1}{m(1 - p^{-1})} \right) = 0, \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq m-1 \tag{4.7}$$

thus we have

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{p(p-1)}{p+1} \tag{4.8}$$

so we have

$$(DB_{p,m})_{ij} = -\frac{p^{1-|i-j|}}{p+1-p^{-j}-p^{j+1-m}} \quad (4.9)$$

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} are $n \times n$ centrosymmetric matrices with $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) \geq n-1$, \mathbf{Z} is a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix that meets $\mathbf{AZ} = \mathbf{B}$. Then \mathbf{Z} is centrosymmetric.*

Proof. As \mathbf{B} is centrosymmetric, we have

$$\mathbf{AZ} = \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{JB} = \mathbf{JAZJ} \quad (4.10)$$

where $\mathbf{J} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & \ddots & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}$. As \mathbf{A} is centrosymmetric, we have $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{JAJ}$, then $\mathbf{AJ} = \mathbf{JA}$, applying it to (4.10), we have $\mathbf{AZ} = \mathbf{JAZJ} = \mathbf{AJZJ}$, thus

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{JZJ}) = \mathbf{0} \quad (4.11)$$

When $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A})=n$, then $\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{JZJ} = \mathbf{0}$, thus $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{JZJ}$, so \mathbf{Z} is centrosymmetric.

When $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A})=n-1$, then we have $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) + \text{rank}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{JZJ}) \leq n$, thus

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{JZJ}) \leq 1 \quad (4.12)$$

When $\text{rank}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{JZJ}) = 0$, then $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{JZJ}$, so \mathbf{Z} is centrosymmetric.

When $\text{rank}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{JZJ}) = 1$, then $\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{JZJ} = \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{u}$, where \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} are n -dimensional non-zero vectors. As \mathbf{Z} is symmetric, so is $\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{JZJ}$, which implies $\mathbf{v} = \Lambda \mathbf{u}$, where $\Lambda \neq 0$ is a constant. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{JZJ} = \Lambda \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top \quad (4.13)$$

and then

$$\mathbf{JZJ} - \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{JZJ})\mathbf{J} = \Lambda \mathbf{J} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{J} \quad (4.14)$$

add (4.13) with (4.14), we have $\Lambda(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top + \mathbf{J} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{J}) = \mathbf{0}$. As $\Lambda \neq 0$, we have

$$\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top + \mathbf{J} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{0} \quad (4.15)$$

note that $\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top$ is symmetric, so $\mathbf{J} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top)^\top \mathbf{J}$, which symmetrizes $\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top$ along the anti-diagonal. Then $(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top + \mathbf{J} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{J})_{ii} = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, which implies

$$\begin{cases} u_1^2 + u_n^2 = 0 \\ u_2^2 + u_{n-1}^2 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ u_n^2 + u_1^2 = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.16)$$

thus $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$, which is contradictory! □

Remark 4.2. \mathbf{A} is symmetric $\iff \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^\top$; \mathbf{A} is persymmetric $\iff \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{J}\mathbf{A}^\top\mathbf{J}$; \mathbf{A} is centrosymmetric $\iff \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{J}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{J}$; and \mathbf{A} is bisymmetric if it is both symmetric and persymmetric. Among the three, knowing two allows one to deduce the third.

Lemma 4.3. Suppose \mathbf{P} is a Kac-Murdock-Szegő (KMS) matrix (i.e. $p_{ij} = r^{|i-j|}$ where $r \in (-1, 1)$). $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{1})$ (i.e. Λ_i is the sum of the i -th row of \mathbf{P}). \mathbf{Z} is a matrix that satisfies $(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{Z} = \alpha(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} - \frac{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^\top}{n})$, where α is a constant. Then \mathbf{Z} satisfies $z_{ij} = \begin{cases} z_{i1} + z_{nj} - z_{n1}, & i \geq j \\ z_{in} + z_{1j} - z_{1n}, & i \leq j \end{cases}$.

Proof. In order to prove this lemma, it is equal to prove

$$\begin{cases} z_{ij} - z_{i1} = z_{nj} - z_{n1}, & i \geq j \\ z_{ij} - z_{in} = z_{1j} - z_{1n}, & i \leq j \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

denote $\begin{cases} D_{ij} = z_{ij} - z_{i1}, & i \geq j \\ E_{ij} = z_{ij} - z_{in}, & i \leq j \end{cases}$, it is equal to prove

$$\begin{cases} D_{ij} = D_{nj}, & i \geq j \\ E_{ij} = E_{1j}, & i \leq j \end{cases} \quad (4.18)$$

First, we consider the $i \leq j$ case. As $(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{Z} = \alpha(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} - \frac{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^\top}{n})$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n p_{ik}z_{kj} - \Lambda_i z_{ij} = \alpha\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{\Lambda_j} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (4.19)$$

let $j = n$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n p_{ik}z_{kn} - \Lambda_i z_{in} = \alpha\left(\frac{p_{in}}{\Lambda_n} - \frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (4.20)$$

(4.19) minus (4.20), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n p_{ik}E_{kj} - \Lambda_i E_{ij} = \alpha\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{\Lambda_j} - \frac{p_{in}}{\Lambda_n}\right) \quad (4.21)$$

our goal is to prove $E_{1j} = E_{2j} = \dots = E_{jj}$, $\forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. As $p_{ij} = r^{|i-j|}$, then

$$\Lambda_k = \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} r^i + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r^i \quad (4.22)$$

so $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, j-1\}$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^n p_{ik}E_{kj} - \Lambda_i E_{ij} = \alpha\left(\frac{p_{ij}}{\Lambda_j} - \frac{p_{in}}{\Lambda_n}\right)$, i.e.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r^{i-k}E_{kj} + \sum_{k=i}^n r^{k-i}E_{kj} - \Lambda_i E_{ij} = \alpha\left(\frac{r^{j-i}}{\Lambda_j} - \frac{r^{n-i}}{\Lambda_n}\right) \quad (4.23)$$

replace i with $i + 1$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^i r^{i+1-k} E_{kj} + \sum_{k=i+1}^n r^{k-i-1} E_{kj} - \Lambda_{i+1} E_{i+1,j} = \alpha \left(\frac{r^{j-i-1}}{\Lambda_j} - \frac{r^{n-i-1}}{\Lambda_n} \right) \quad (4.24)$$

(4.23) times r^{-1} minus (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r^{i-k-1} E_{kj} + \sum_{k=i}^n r^{k-i-1} E_{kj} - \sum_{k=1}^i r^{i+1-k} E_{kj} - \sum_{k=i+1}^n r^{k-i-1} E_{kj} \\ & - r^{-1} \Lambda_i E_{ij} + \Lambda_{i+1} E_{i+1,j} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

simplify (4.25) we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^i (r^{i-k-1} - r^{i+1-k}) E_{kj} - r^{-1} \Lambda_i E_{ij} + \Lambda_{i+1} E_{i+1,j} = 0 \quad (4.26)$$

Next, we use mathematical induction to prove this lemma:

First, when $i = 1$, (4.26) becomes

$$(r^{-1} - r) E_{1j} - r^{-1} \Lambda_1 E_{1j} + \Lambda_2 E_{2j} = 0 \quad (4.27)$$

apply (4.22) to (4.27), we have $(r^{-1} - r - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r^{k-1}) E_{1j} + \Lambda_2 E_{2j} = 0$, which means $(-r - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} r^k) E_{1j} + \Lambda_2 E_{2j} = 0$. That is

$$\Lambda_2 (E_{2j} - E_{1j}) = 0 \quad (4.28)$$

hence $E_{2j} = E_{1j}$. Next, we assume $E_{1j} = E_{2j} = \dots = E_{ij}$, then (4.26) becomes

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^i (r^{i-k-1} - r^{i+1-k}) - r^{-1} \Lambda_i \right) E_{ij} + \Lambda_{i+1} E_{i+1,j} = 0 \quad (4.29)$$

Applying (4.22) to (4.29) we have $(\sum_{k=1}^i (r^{i-k-1} - r^{i+1-k}) - r^{-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-i} r^k + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r^k)) E_{ij} + \Lambda_{i+1} E_{i+1,j} = 0$. By simplification, we get $-(\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} r^k + \sum_{k=1}^i r^k) E_{ij} + \Lambda_{i+1} E_{i+1,j} = 0$, that is

$$\Lambda_{i+1} (E_{i+1,j} - E_{ij}) = 0 \quad (4.30)$$

hence $E_{i+1,j} = E_{ij}$, which is the $i \leq j$ case.

For $i \geq j$ case, we only need to let (4.23) times r minus (4.24) and use the mathematical induction, similar to the argument of $i \leq j$ case, then we can get $D_{jj} = D_{j+1,j} = \dots = D_{nj}, \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. \square

Remark 4.4. \mathbf{Z} does not need to be symmetrized.

Lemma 4.5. The conditions are the same as above. Then \mathbf{Z} satisfies $z_{ij} + z_{jk} + z_{ki} = z_{ji} + z_{kj} + z_{ik}$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $i \geq j \geq k$, then by Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{cases} z_{ij} = z_{i1} + z_{nj} - z_{n1} \\ z_{jk} = z_{j1} + z_{nk} - z_{n1} \\ z_{ki} = z_{kn} + z_{1i} - z_{1n} \\ z_{ji} = z_{jn} + z_{1i} - z_{1n} \\ z_{kj} = z_{kn} + z_{1j} - z_{1n} \\ z_{ik} = z_{i1} + z_{nk} - z_{n1} \end{cases} \quad (4.31)$$

so it suffices to prove $z_{i1} + z_{nj} - z_{n1} + z_{j1} + z_{nk} - z_{n1} + z_{kn} + z_{1i} - z_{1n} = z_{jn} + z_{1i} - z_{1n} + z_{kn} + z_{1j} - z_{1n} + z_{i1} + z_{nk} - z_{n1}$, simplify it we suffices to prove

$$z_{nj} + z_{j1} - z_{n1} = z_{jn} + z_{1j} - z_{1n} \quad (4.32)$$

by Lemma 4.3, this means $z_{jj} = z_{jj}$, which is trivial. \square

Theorem 4.6. *If \mathbf{C} can be symmetrized, then \mathbf{C} is bisymmetric, and when we reindex to start from $(i, j) = (1, 1)$ it satisfies $c_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{i1} + c_{mj} - c_{m1}, & i \geq j \\ c_{im} + c_{1j} - c_{1m}, & i \leq j \end{cases}$.*

Proof. By the previous calculation, it is easy to check that \mathbf{A} is bisymmetric and \mathbf{B} is centrosymmetric. So by Lemma 4.1, \mathbf{C} is centrosymmetric, thus it is bisymmetric. Next, we note that if we let $p_{ij} = (1 - p^{-1})p^{-|i-j|}$, $\Lambda_i = \frac{p+1-p^{1-i}-p^{i-m}}{p}$, we can easily observe that $\Lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^m p_{ij}$, if we denote $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{1})$, thus we have $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{\Lambda}$ and $D\mathbf{B}_{p,m} = \frac{1}{1-p^{-1}}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1}$, applying it to (4.2), we have

$$(\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{\Lambda})\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{1-p^{-1}}(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{\Lambda}^{-1} - \frac{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^\top}{m}) \quad (4.33)$$

then by Lemma 4.3, if we take $\alpha = \frac{1}{(1-p^{-1})^2}$, $n = m$, and $r = p^{-1}$, it follows that \mathbf{C} satisfies

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{i1} + c_{mj} - c_{m1}, & i \geq j \\ c_{im} + c_{1j} - c_{1m}, & i \leq j \end{cases} \quad \square$$

We mainly focus on the symmetrized \mathbf{C} , but roughly speaking, if \mathbf{C} is symmetrized, we must have $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, which have $\frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ identities, but $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = m - 1$, which implies $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}_0 + \mathbf{1}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m)$, where \mathbf{C}_0 is a particular solution of (4.33), without loss of generality, we may assume $c_{m1} = c_{m2} = \dots = c_{mm} = 0$, so we only have m freedom variables, but we need $m \geq \frac{m(m-1)}{2}$ for any m , which is impossible, but by Theorem 4.6, this can be achieved, so we have:

Theorem 4.7. *\mathbf{C} can be symmetrized, and it can be computed directly using a set of recurrence formulas.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.6, if \mathbf{C} is symmetric, then \mathbf{C} is bisymmetric, and therefore the matrix \mathbf{C}

satisfies $c_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{i1} + c_{mj} - c_{m1}, & i \geq j \\ c_{im} + c_{1j} - c_{1m}, & i \leq j \end{cases}$, so $c_{ij} = c_{m+1-i, m+1-j}$, then it holds

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{i1} + c_{m-j+1,1} - c_{m1}, & i \geq j \\ c_{m-i+1,1} + c_{j1} - c_{m1}, & i \leq j \end{cases} \quad (4.34)$$

which means that the symmetrized \mathbf{C} depends only on the first column of it. We denote \mathbf{D} to be the antisymmetric part of \mathbf{C} , so we have $\mathbf{D} = \frac{\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}^\top}{2}$, which means

$$d_{ij} = \frac{-c_i + c_j}{2} + \frac{c_{ij} - c_{ji}}{2} \quad (4.35)$$

we need $d_{ij} = 0$, so we have $-c_i + c_j + c_{ij} - c_{ji} = 0$, i.e.

$$c_i - c_j = c_{ij} - c_{ji} \quad (4.36)$$

In order to make these identities consistent with each other, we take

$$\begin{cases} c_i - c_j = c_{ij} - c_{ji} \\ c_i - c_k = c_{ik} - c_{ki} \\ c_k - c_j = c_{kj} - c_{jk} \end{cases} \quad (4.37)$$

but we have $c_k - c_j = (c_i - c_j) - (c_i - c_k) = (c_{ij} - c_{ji}) - (c_{ik} - c_{ki})$, so we must have $c_{ij} + c_{jk} + c_{ki} = c_{ji} + c_{kj} + c_{ik}$, but by Lemma 4.5, this is true. So these identities only depend on the difference between c_i and c_j , which only have $m - 1$ independent identities, but we have m freedom variables, so after symmetrization we can remain one freedom variable, so we can also take $c_1 = 0$, i.e. $c_{m1} = 0$. Therefore, \mathbf{C} can actually be symmetrized.

According to (4.33), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^m p_{ik} c_{kj} - \Lambda_i c_{ij} = \frac{1}{1 - p^{-1}} \left(\frac{p_{ij}}{\Lambda_j} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \quad (4.38)$$

let $j = 1$, then $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^m p_{ik} c_{k1} - \Lambda_i c_{i1} = \frac{1}{1 - p^{-1}} \left(\frac{p_{i1}}{\Lambda_1} - \frac{1}{m} \right)$, i.e.

$$(1 - p^{-1}) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} p^{-(i-k)} c_{k1} + \sum_{k=i}^m p^{-(k-i)} c_{k1} \right) - \Lambda_i c_{i1} = \frac{1}{1 - p^{-1}} \left(\frac{p^{-(i-1)}}{\Lambda_1} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \quad (4.39)$$

replace i with $i + 1$, we have

$$(1 - p^{-1}) \left(\sum_{k=1}^i p^{-(i+1-k)} c_{k1} + \sum_{k=i+1}^m p^{-(k-i-1)} c_{k1} \right) - \Lambda_{i+1} c_{i+1,1} = \frac{1}{1 - p^{-1}} \left(\frac{p^{-i}}{\Lambda_1} - \frac{1}{m} \right) \quad (4.40)$$

(4.39) times p^{-1} minus (4.40), we have

$$(1 - p^{-1})(p^{-1} - p) \sum_{k=i+1}^m p^{i-k} c_{k1} - p^{-1} \Lambda_i c_{i1} + \Lambda_{i+1} c_{i+1,1} = \frac{1}{m} \quad (4.41)$$

replace i with $i - 1$, we have

$$(1 - p^{-1})(p^{-1} - p) \sum_{k=i}^m p^{i-1-k} c_{k1} - p^{-1} \Lambda_{i-1} c_{i-1,1} + \Lambda_i c_{i1} = \frac{1}{m} \quad (4.42)$$

(4.42) times p minus (4.41), we have $((1-p^{-1})(p^{-1}-p) + (p+p^{-1})\Lambda_i)c_{i1} - \Lambda_{i-1}c_{i-1,1} - \Lambda_{i+1}c_{i+1,1} = \frac{p-1}{m}$, which means

$$c_{i-1,1} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{i-1}}(((1-p^{-1})(p^{-1}-p) + (p+p^{-1})\Lambda_i)c_{i1} - \Lambda_{i+1}c_{i+1,1} - \frac{p-1}{m}) \quad (4.43)$$

In (4.41), we take $i = m-1$, we get $(1-p^{-1})(p^{-1}-p)p^{-1}c_{m1} - p^{-1}\Lambda_{m-1}c_{m-1,1} + \Lambda_m c_{m1} = \frac{1}{m}$, thus

$$c_{m-1,1} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{m-1}}(((1-p^{-1})(p^{-1}-p) + p\Lambda_m)c_{m1} - \frac{p}{m}) \quad (4.44)$$

In conclusion, we have the following recurrence formulas:

$$\begin{cases} c_{m-1,1} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{m-1}}(((1-p^{-1})(p^{-1}-p) + p\Lambda_m)c_{m1} - \frac{p}{m}) \\ c_{i-1,1} = \frac{1}{\Lambda_{i-1}}(((1-p^{-1})(p^{-1}-p) + (p+p^{-1})\Lambda_i)c_{i1} - \Lambda_{i+1}c_{i+1,1} - \frac{p-1}{m}), \quad 2 \leq i \leq m-1 \\ c_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{i1} + c_{m-j+1,1} - c_{m1}, & i \geq j \\ c_{m-i+1,1} + c_{j1} - c_{m1}, & i \leq j \end{cases} \end{cases} \quad (4.45)$$

where $\Lambda_i = 1 + p^{-1} - p^{-i} - p^{i-m-1}$. \square

When we reindex to start from $(i, j) = (0, 0)$ and simplify, this becomes

$$c_{ij} = \begin{cases} c_{m-1,0} - \frac{p}{m\Lambda_{m-2}} & i = m-2, j = 0 \\ \frac{\Lambda_i + \Lambda_{i+2}}{\Lambda_i}c_{i+1,0} - \frac{\Lambda_{i+2}}{\Lambda_i}c_{i+2,0} - \frac{p-1}{m\Lambda_i} & i < m-2, j = 0 \\ c_{i0} + c_{m-1-j,0} - c_{m-1,0} & i \geq j \\ c_{m-1-i,0} + c_{j0} - c_{m-1,0} & i \leq j \end{cases} \quad (4.46)$$

where $c_{m-1,0}$ is arbitrary and $\Lambda_i = 1 + p^{-1} - p^{-i}U(x) = 1 + p^{-1} - p^{-i-1} - p^{-m+i}$.

For example, if we take $c_{m-1,0} = 0$, then we get $c_{m-2,0} = -\frac{p}{m\Lambda_{m-2}} = -\frac{p^m}{m(p^{m-1}+p^{m-2}-p^{m-3}-1)}$, and by computer programming, we can get some numerical results for $C_{p,m}(x, y)$, shown in appendix B.

5 Finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p

Given K a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with ramification index e and residue field degree p^f , we can easily translate all of our computations for $B_{p,m}$ and $C_{p,m}$ to analogous $B_{K,m}$ and $C_{K,m}$ defined on $K^\times/q^\mathbb{Z}$ for $|q|_K < 1$. For π a uniformizer of K , set $v_K(\pi) = 1$ (and so $v_K(p) = e$), let $|x|_K = p^{-fv_K(x)}$, and normalize the Haar measure μ_K^+ by $\mu_K^+(\mathcal{O}_K) = 1$ (where \mathcal{O}_K is the ring of integers of K). Similar to with \mathbb{Q}_p , we need only consider the case of $q = \pi^m$ for some fixed uniformizer π . With the substitutions \mathcal{O}_K for \mathbb{Z}_p , $\pi \in K$ for $p \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, and $p^f \in \mathbb{R}$ for $p \in \mathbb{R}$ (including taking logarithms to be base p^f instead of base p), all of the computations for $B_{K,m}$ are identical to those for $B_{p,m}$. E.g., when (3.14) is translated to

$$U_K(y) = p^{-fv_K(y)} + p^{-f(m-1-v_K(y))} = |y|_K + p^{-f(m-1)}|y|_K^{-1} \quad (5.1)$$

then in direct correspondence with (3.19), the coefficients $\lambda_n(y)$ for $n > 0$ are given by

$$\lambda_n(y) = U_K(y)^n \frac{p^{f(n+1)} - 1}{(p^f - 1)(p^f + 1)^n(p^{fn} - 1)} \lambda_0 \quad (5.2)$$

and in direct correspondence with (3.23), whenever $x \neq y$,

$$DB_{K,m}(x, y) = -\lambda_0 \frac{p^{-f|v_K(x) - v_K(y)|}}{p^f - 1} \frac{p^f + 1}{p^f + 1 - U_K(y)} \quad (5.3)$$

Similarly, we obtain in correspondence with (4.8) that

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{p^f(p^f - 1)}{p^f + 1} \quad (5.4)$$

and in correspondence with (4.46), when we write $v_K(x) = q$ and $v_K(y) = r$, the symmetrized $C_{K,m}$ is given by

$$C_{K,m}(q, r) = \begin{cases} C_{K,m}(m-1, 0) - \frac{p^f}{m\Lambda_{m-2}} & q = m-2, r = 0 \\ \frac{\Lambda_q + \Lambda_{q+2}}{\Lambda_q} C_{K,m}(q+1, 0) - \frac{\Lambda_{q+2}}{\Lambda_q} C_{K,m}(q+2, 0) - \frac{p^f - 1}{m\Lambda_q} & q < m-2, r = 0 \\ C_{K,m}(q, 0) + C_{K,m}(m-1-r, 0) - C_{K,m}(m-1, 0) & q \geq r \\ C_{K,m}(m-1-q, 0) + C_{K,m}(r, 0) - C_{K,m}(m-1, 0) & q \leq r \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

where $C_{K,m}(m-1, 0)$ is arbitrary and $\Lambda_q = 1 + p^{-f} - p^{-f}U_K(x) = 1 + p^{-f} - p^{-f(q+1)} - p^{-f(m-q)}$.

A Appendix: Computing $Dd(x, y)^n$ for $n \geq 1$

A.1 Computing $Dd(x, y)$

Here, we compute $Dd(x, y)$. We have

$$Dd(x, y) = \int_{\bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{d(z, y) - d(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Again suppose $v_p(x) = q \neq r = v_p(y)$. As with $D \log(d(x, y))$, the integrand in (A.1) vanishes unless $v_p(z) = r$, and (A.1) becomes

$$Dd(x, y) = \int_{p^r \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{p^{r-v_p(z-y)} - 1}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Proceeding as with $D \log(d(x, y))$, we have that for $v_p(x) = q \neq r = v_p(y)$,

$$Dd(x, y) = \int_{\bigcup_{i=r}^{\infty} \partial y_i} \frac{p^{r-v_p(z-y)} - 1}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \int_{\partial y_i} \frac{p^{r-v_p(z-y)} - 1}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \int_{\partial y_i} \frac{p^{r-i} - 1}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{r-i} - 1}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} (p-1) p^{-i-1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Evaluating (A.4), we obtain that, when $v_p(x) = q \neq r = v_p(y)$,

$$Dd(x, y) = -\frac{p^{-|q-r|}}{p+1} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Again suppose $v_p(x) = v_p(y) = r$ and $v_p(x-y) = \ell$. For $s \neq r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{d(z, y) - d(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz &= \int_{p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{1 - p^{r-\ell}}{\max\{p^{-2r}, p^{-2s}\}} p^{-r} dz \\ &= \frac{1 - p^{r-\ell}}{\max\{p^{-2r}, p^{-2s}\}} (p-1) p^{-r-s-1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

By the same reasoning as with $D \log(d(x, y))$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{p^r \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{d(z, y) - d(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz &= \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \int_{\partial x_i} \frac{p^{r-v_p(z-y)} - p^{r-\ell}}{p^{-2i}} p^{-r} dz \\
&= \sum_{i=r}^{\ell-1} \int_{\partial x_i} \frac{p^{r-i} - p^{r-\ell}}{p^{-2i}} p^{-r} dz + \int_{\partial x_\ell} \frac{p^{r-v_p(z-y)} - p^{r-\ell}}{p^{-2\ell}} p^{-r} dz \\
&= \sum_{i=r}^{\ell-1} \int_{\partial x_i} \frac{p^{r-i} - p^{r-\ell}}{p^{-2i}} p^{-r} dz + \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{\infty} \int_{\partial y_i} \frac{p^{r-i} - p^{r-\ell}}{p^{-2\ell}} p^{-r} dz \\
&= \frac{p-2}{p} (1 - p^{r-\ell}) + \sum_{i=r+1, i \neq \ell}^{\infty} (p^{r-i} - p^{r-\ell}) (p-1) p^{\min\{2i, 2\ell\} - i - r - 1} \\
&= -\frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{2}{p} (1 - p^{-(\ell-r)}) + \frac{p-1}{p} (\ell-r) \tag{A.7}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (A.6) and (A.7), we obtain (for $v_p(x) = v_p(y) = r$ and $v_p(x-y) = \ell$)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{d(z, y) - d(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \\
&= -\frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{2}{p} (1 - p^{-(\ell-r)}) + \frac{p-1}{p} (\ell-r) + \sum_{s=0, s \neq r}^{m-1} (1 - p^{r-\ell}) (p-1) p^{-|r-s|-1} \\
&= -\frac{1}{p+1} - (p^{r-m} + p^{-r-1}) (1 - p^{-(\ell-r)}) + \frac{p-1}{p} (\ell-r) \tag{A.8}
\end{aligned}$$

Taken together, (A.5) and (A.8) give

$$Dd(x, y) = \begin{cases} -\frac{p^{-|v_p(x)-v_p(y)|}}{p+1} & v_p(x) \neq v_p(y) \\ -\frac{1}{p+1} - \frac{U(y)}{p} (1 - p^{-(v_p(x-y)-v_p(y))}) & v_p(x) = v_p(y) \\ \quad + \frac{p-1}{p} (v_p(x-y) - v_p(y)) & \end{cases} \tag{A.9}$$

A.2 Computing $Dd(x, y)^n$ for $n > 1$

We now compute $Dd(x, y)^n$ for $n > 1$. We have

$$Dd(x, y)^n = \int_{\bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{d(z, y)^n - d(x, y)^n}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \tag{A.10}$$

We again suppose $v_p(x) = q \neq r = v_p(y)$. As before, the integrand in (A.10) vanishes unless $v_p(z) = r$, and (A.10) becomes

$$Dd(x, y)^n = \int_{p^r \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{p^{n(r-v_p(z-y))} - 1}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \tag{A.11}$$

Proceeding as before, for $v_p(x) = q \neq r = v_p(y)$ we have

$$Dd(x, y)^n = \int_{\bigcup_{i=r}^{\infty} \partial y_i} \frac{p^{n(r-v_p(z-y))} - 1}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \int_{\partial y_i} \frac{p^{n(r-v_p(z-y))} - 1}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \int_{\partial y_i} \frac{p^{n(r-i)} - 1}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=r+1}^{\infty} \frac{p^{n(r-i)} - 1}{\max\{p^{-2q}, p^{-2r}\}} p^{-q} (p-1) p^{-i-1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Evaluating (A.13), we obtain that, when $v_p(x) = q \neq r = v_p(y)$,

$$Dd(x, y)^n = -\frac{(p^n - 1)p^{-|q-r|}}{p^{n+1} - 1} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

We again suppose $v_p(x) = v_p(y) = r$ and $v_p(x-y) = \ell$. For $s \neq r$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{d(z, y)^n - d(x, y)^n}{|z-x|^2} |x| dz &= \int_{p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{1 - p^{n(r-\ell)}}{\max\{p^{-2r}, p^{-2s}\}} p^{-r} dz \\ &= \frac{1 - p^{n(r-\ell)}}{\max\{p^{-2r}, p^{-2s}\}} (p-1) p^{-r-s-1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

By the same reasoning as before,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{p^r \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{d(z, y)^n - d(x, y)^n}{|z-x|^2} |x| dz \quad (\text{A.16}) \\ &= \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \int_{\partial x_i} \frac{p^{n(r-v_p(z-y))} - p^{n(r-\ell)}}{p^{-2i}} p^{-r} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=r}^{\ell-1} \int_{\partial x_i} \frac{p^{n(r-i)} - p^{n(r-\ell)}}{p^{-2i}} p^{-r} dz + \int_{\partial x_\ell} \frac{p^{n(r-v_p(z-y))} - p^{n(r-\ell)}}{p^{-2\ell}} p^{-r} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=r}^{\ell-1} \int_{\partial x_i} \frac{p^{n(r-i)} - p^{n(r-\ell)}}{p^{-2i}} p^{-r} dz + \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{\infty} \int_{\partial y_i} \frac{p^{n(r-i)} - p^{n(r-\ell)}}{p^{-2\ell}} p^{-r} dz \\ &= \frac{p-2}{p} \left(1 - p^{n(r-\ell)}\right) + \sum_{i=r+1, i \neq \ell}^{\infty} \left(p^{n(r-i)} - p^{n(r-\ell)}\right) (p-1) p^{\min\{2i, 2\ell\} - i - r - 1} \\ &= \frac{p^{n-1} - p^{-1}}{p^{n-1} - 1} - \frac{2}{p} \left(1 - p^{-n(\ell-r)}\right) - p^{(1-n)(\ell-r)} \left(\frac{(p+1)(p^n - 1)^2}{p(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1)}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

Combining (A.15) and (A.17), we obtain (for $v_p(x) = v_p(y) = r$ and $v_p(x - y) = \ell$)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\bigcup_{s=0}^{m-1} p^s \mathbb{Z}_p^\times} \frac{d(z, y) - d(x, y)}{|z - x|^2} |x| dz \\
&= \frac{p^{n-1} - p^{-1}}{p^{n-1} - 1} - \frac{2}{p} \left(1 - p^{-n(\ell-r)}\right) - p^{(1-n)(\ell-r)} \left(\frac{(p+1)(p^n - 1)^2}{p(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1)} \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=0, s \neq r}^{m-1} \left(1 - p^{n(r-\ell)}\right) (p-1) p^{-|r-s|-1} \\
&= \frac{p^{n-1} - p^{-1}}{p^{n-1} - 1} - \frac{U(y)}{p} \left(1 - p^{-n(\ell-r)}\right) - p^{(1-n)(\ell-r)} \left(\frac{(p+1)(p^n - 1)^2}{p(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1)} \right) \tag{A.18}
\end{aligned}$$

Taken together, (A.14) and (A.18) give

$$Dd(x, y)^n = \begin{cases} -\frac{p^n - 1}{p^{n+1} - 1} p^{-|v_p(x) - v_p(y)|} & v_p(x) \neq v_p(y) \\ \frac{p^{n-1} - p^{-1}}{p^{n-1} - 1} - \frac{U(y)}{p} \left(1 - p^{-n(v_p(x-y) - v_p(y))}\right) & v_p(x) = v_p(y) \\ -\frac{(p+1)(p^n - 1)^2}{p(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1)} p^{(1-n)(v_p(x-y) - v_p(y))} & v_p(x) = v_p(y) \end{cases} \tag{A.19}$$

B Appendix: Some numerical results for $C_{p,m}(x, y)$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{p,2} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p^3}{2(p-1)(p+1)} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{p^3}{2(p-1)(p+1)} \end{pmatrix} \\
C_{p,3} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p^4(p+1)}{(p-1)(p+2)(p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^3}{3(p-1)(p+2)} & 0 \\ -\frac{p^3}{3(p-1)(p+2)} & -\frac{2p^3}{3(p-1)(p+2)} & -\frac{p^3}{3(p-1)(p+2)} \\ 0 & -\frac{p^3}{3(p-1)(p+2)} & -\frac{p^4(p+1)}{(p-1)(p+2)(p^2+p+1)} \end{pmatrix} \\
C_{p,4} &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{p^5(3p^2+2p+1)}{2(p^2+1)(p-1)(p+1)^3} & -\frac{p^4(3p+1)}{4(p-1)(p+1)^3} & -\frac{p^4}{4(p-1)(p+1)^2} & 0 \\ -\frac{p^4(3p+1)}{4(p-1)(p+1)^3} & -\frac{p^4(2p+1)}{2(p-1)(p+1)^3} & -\frac{p^4}{2(p-1)(p+1)^2} & -\frac{p^4}{4(p-1)(p+1)^2} \\ -\frac{p^4}{4(p-1)(p+1)^2} & -\frac{p^4}{2(p-1)(p+1)^2} & -\frac{p^4(2p+1)}{2(p-1)(p+1)^3} & -\frac{p^4(3p+1)}{4(p-1)(p+1)^3} \\ 0 & -\frac{p^4}{4(p-1)(p+1)^2} & -\frac{p^4(3p+1)}{4(p-1)(p+1)^3} & -\frac{p^5(3p^2+2p+1)}{2(p^2+1)(p-1)(p+1)^3} \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{p,5} &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{p^6(p+1)(2p^4+3p^3+3p^2+p+1)}{(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)(p^4+p^3+p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^5(2p+1)(3p+2)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & \\
-\frac{p^5(2p+1)(3p+2)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^5(7p^2+9p+4)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & \\
-\frac{p^4(p+1)(3p^2+p+1)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^4(2p+1)(2p^2+2p+1)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & \\
-\frac{p^5}{5(p-1)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^5}{5(p-1)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & \\
0 & 0 & \\
-\frac{p^4(p+1)(3p^2+p+1)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^5}{5(p-1)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & 0 \\
-\frac{p^4(2p+1)(2p^2+2p+1)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^5}{2p^5} & -\frac{p^5}{5(p-1)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} \\
-\frac{p^4(p+1)(3p^2+p+1)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^4(2p+1)(2p^2+2p+1)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^4(p+1)(3p^2+p+1)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} \\
-\frac{p^4(2p+1)(2p^2+2p+1)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^5(7p^2+9p+4)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^5(2p+1)(3p+2)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} \\
-\frac{p^4(p+1)(3p^2+p+1)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^5(2p+1)(3p+2)}{5(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)} & -\frac{p^6(p+1)(2p^4+3p^3+3p^2+p+1)}{(p-1)(p^2+2p+2)(p^3+2p^2+p+1)(p^4+p^3+p^2+p+1)}
\end{array} \right) \\
C_{p,6} &= \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\frac{p^7(5p^5+2p^4+4p^3+2p^2+p+1)}{2(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^2-p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^6(2p+1)(5p^3+3p^2+3p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^6(2p+1)(5p^3+3p^2+3p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^6(2p+1)(5p^3+3p^2+3p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(6p^5+7p^4+5p^3+6p^2+2p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(6p^5+7p^4+5p^3+6p^2+2p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^5(6p^5+7p^4+5p^3+6p^2+2p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(3p^3+p^2+p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(3p^3+p^2+p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^5(3p^3+p^2+p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^6}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^6}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\frac{p^5(6p^5+7p^4+5p^3+6p^2+2p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(3p^3+p^2+p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(3p^3+p^2+p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^5(7p^4+2p^3+6p^2+2p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(2p+1)(2p^2+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(2p+1)(2p^2+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^5(9p^5+11p^4+10p^3+9p^2+4p+2)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(3p^3+p^2+p+1)}{3(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(3p^3+p^2+p+1)}{3(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^5(3p^3+p^2+p+1)}{3(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(2p+1)(2p^2+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(2p+1)(2p^2+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^5(2p+1)(2p^2+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(3p^3+p^2+p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(3p^3+p^2+p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^6}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^6}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^6}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^6}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^6}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^6}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^5(2p+1)(2p^2+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(2p+1)(2p^2+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(2p+1)(2p^2+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^5(7p^4+2p^3+6p^2+2p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(11p^4+13p^3+12p^2+7p+2)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^5(11p^4+13p^3+12p^2+7p+2)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^5(11p^4+13p^3+12p^2+7p+2)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^6(2p+1)(5p^3+3p^2+3p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^6(2p+1)(5p^3+3p^2+3p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} \\
-\frac{p^6(2p+1)(5p^3+3p^2+3p+1)}{6(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^7(5p^5+2p^4+4p^3+2p^2+p+1)}{2(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^2-p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)} & -\frac{p^7(5p^5+2p^4+4p^3+2p^2+p+1)}{2(p-1)(p+1)(p^2+p+1)^2(p^2-p+1)(p^3+p^2+1)}
\end{array} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] P. G. O. Freund and E. Witten. Adelic string amplitudes. In *Physics Letters B*, volume 199, 2. 1987.
- [2] P.G.O. Freund and M. Olson. Non-archimedean strings. In *Physics Letters B*, volume 199, 2 of *Advanced Lectures in Mathematics (ALM)*. 1987.
- [3] A. V. Zabrodin. Non-archimedean strings and bruhat-tits trees. In *Comm. Math. Phys.*, volume 123, 3. 1989.
- [4] An Huang, Bogdan Stoica, and Xiao Zhong. Quadratic reciprocity from a family of adelic conformal field theories. In *arXiv:2202.01217*. 2022.
- [5] Luis Caffarelli and Luis Silvestre. An extension problem related to the fractional laplacian. In *Comm. in Partial Differential Equations*, volume 32, pages 1245–1260. 2007.
- [6] An Huang, Bogdan Stoica, Shing-Tung Yau, and Xiao Zhong. Green’s functions for Vladimirov derivatives and Tate’s thesis. *Communications in Number Theory and Physics*, 15(2):315–361, 2021.
- [7] W. A. Zúñiga Galindo. *Pseudodifferential equations over non-Archimedean spaces*, volume 2174 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [8] Chang-Shou Lin and Chin-Lung Wang. Elliptic functions, green functions and the mean field equations on tori. *Annals of Mathematics*, 172(2):911–954, 2010.
- [9] Chang-Shou Lin and Chin-Lung Wang. A function theoretic view of the mean field equations on tori. In *Recent advances in geometric analysis*, volume 11 of *Advanced Lectures in Mathematics (ALM)*, pages 173–193. 2010.
- [10] Hazem Hassan. p -adic higher green’s functions for stark-heegner cycles. In *arXiv:2509.09446*. 2025.
- [11] Patrick Erik Bradley. Boundary value problems for p -adic elliptic parisi-zúñiga diffusion. In *arXiv:2504.06288*. 2025.
- [12] Patrick Erik Bradley. Diffusion operators on p -adic analytic manifolds. In *arXiv:2510.22563*. 2025.
- [13] An Huang and Christian Baadsgaard Jepsen. A glimpse into the ultrametric spectrum. In *to appear*.

An Huang, anhuang@brandeis.edu

Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02453, USA

Rebecca Rohrlisch, rebeccarohrlisch@brandeis.edu

Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02453, USA

Yaojia Sun, 24210180117@m.fudan.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, P.R. China

Eric Whyman, ewhyman@brandeis.edu

Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02453, USA