

Generalised Hermite-Einstein Fibre Metrics and Slope Stability for Holomorphic Vector Bundles

Dan Popovici

Abstract. Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n and let m be a positive integer with $m \leq n$. Assume that X admits a Kähler metric ω and a weakly positive, $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -closed, smooth $(n-m, n-m)$ -form Ω . We introduce the notions of (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein holomorphic vector bundles and (ω, Ω) (-semi)-stable coherent sheaves on X by generalising the classical definitions depending only on ω . We then prove that the (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein condition implies the (ω, Ω) -semi-stability of a holomorphic vector bundle and its splitting into (ω, Ω) -stable subbundles. This extends a classical result by Kobayashi and Lübke to our generalised setting.

1 Introduction

In our recent joint study with S. Dinev ([DP25a] and [DP25b]) of m -positivity, we gave a generalisation of Lamari's duality criterion to arbitrary bidegrees (m, m) . Specifically, let X be a compact complex manifold with $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} X = n$, let $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and let $\theta \in C_{m,m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$. (By $C_{p,q}^\infty(X, \mathbb{K})$, we mean the space of C^∞ differential forms of bidegree (p, q) on X with values in $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .) Then, Lemma 2.1 of [DP25b] asserts that the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) There exists a real current S of bidegree $(m-1, m-1)$ on X such that the (m, m) -current $\theta + i\partial\bar{\partial}S$ is strongly semi-positive (a fact denoted by $\theta + i\partial\bar{\partial}S \geq 0$ (strongly)) on X ;
- (ii) $\int_X \theta \wedge \Omega \geq 0$ for every $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = 0$ and $\Omega > 0$ (weakly) on X .

In the present paper, we use the flexibility afforded by a test form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ with the above two properties to generalise two classical notions:

- (1) Hermite-Einstein fibre metrics on a holomorphic vector bundle;
- (2) slope stability of torsion-free coherent sheaves.

We will often assume the existence of a Kähler metric ω on X so that certain integrals depend only on the cohomology classes (in the Bott-Chern or Aeppli senses) of the forms involved; this includes the (ω, Ω) -degree of a holomorphic line bundle L over X introduced in Definition 2.11.

Now, there is a huge literature on both the Hermite-Einstein theory and various notions of stability. In order to keep the exposition as focused as possible, we only cite the references that are used in our discussion and follow, by adapting it to our generalised setting, the presentation in the Lübke-Teleman book [LT95].

Having assumed the existence of a Kähler metric ω and of a form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$, for some $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, such that $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = 0$ and $\Omega > 0$ (weakly) on X , we define:

- the notion of (ω, Ω) -**Hermite-Einstein** C^∞ fibre metric h on a holomorphic vector bundle E of rank $r \geq 1$ on X (cf. Definition 2.1);
- the notion of (ω, Ω) (-semi)-stability for a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X (cf. Definition 2.11).

Our main result (Theorem 2.15) asserts that the classical Kobayashi-Lübke theorem of [Kob82] and [Lub83] continues to hold in our generalised context. More precisely, the existence of an (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein C^∞ fibre metric h on a holomorphic vector bundle E of rank $r \geq 1$ over X implies the (ω, Ω) -semi-stability of E and its splitting as a direct sum $E = \bigoplus_i E_i$ of (ω, Ω) -stable holomorphic subbundles $E_i \subset E$.

2 Definitions and results

Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank $r \geq 1$ on a compact complex n -dimensional manifold and let $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Suppose there exist a Kähler metric ω on X and a real form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\Omega > 0 \quad (\text{weakly}) \quad \text{and} \quad \partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = 0. \quad (1)$$

For any C^∞ Hermitian fibre metric h on E , $\Theta_h(E) \in C_{1,1}^\infty(X, \text{End}(E))$ will denote the curvature form of the Chern connection $D_h = D'_h + \bar{\partial}$ of (E, h) . Thus, $D_h^2 = \Theta_h(E) \wedge \cdot$. Meanwhile, $dV_\omega = \omega_n := \omega^n/n! \in C_{n,n}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ will denote the volume form induced by ω on X .

We will generalise to this setting the classical notions of Hermite-Einstein metrics and slope stability, as well as the relations between these two theories.

2.1 Generalised Hermite-Einstein fibre metrics

We propose the following generalisation of the classical notion of (weakly) Hermite-Einstein metrics by letting Ω replace ω^{n-m} . Its dependence on both ω and Ω gives extra flexibility to this definition.

Definition 2.1. *A C^∞ Hermitian fibre metric h on E is said to be:*

(i) **weakly (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein** if there exists a C^∞ function $\lambda_h : \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, called the **Einstein factor**, such that

$$i\Theta_h(E) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = \lambda_h dV_\omega \otimes Id_E, \quad (2)$$

where Id_E is the identity endomorphism of E .

(ii) **(ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein** if there exists a constant $\lambda_h \in \mathbb{R}$, called the **Einstein factor**, such that condition (2) is satisfied.

This definition prompts the introduction of the following Laplace-type differential operator depending on ω and Ω and acting on \mathbb{R} -valued or \mathbb{C} -valued C^∞ functions on X . It first appeared in [DP25b].

Definition 2.2. *(Definition 2.7. in [DP25b]) In the above context, we set:*

$$P = P_{\omega, \Omega} : C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}), \quad P_{\omega, \Omega}\varphi := -\frac{i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega}.$$

The same definition is made for $P = P_{\omega, \Omega} : C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C})$.

The adjoint operator is computed in

Lemma 2.3. (Lemma 2.8. in [DP25b]) Let $P_{\omega, \Omega}^* : C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C})$ be the L_ω^2 -adjoint of the operator introduced in Definition 2.2. For every C^∞ function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the following identity holds when X is compact:

$$\left(P_{\omega, \Omega}^* - P_{\omega, \Omega} \right) \varphi = \frac{i(\bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \partial\Omega - \partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\Omega) \wedge \omega^{m-1}}{dV_\omega}.$$

In particular, $P_{\omega, \Omega}^*$ and $P_{\omega, \Omega}$ differ by a first-order operator, so $P_{\omega, \Omega}^*$ is elliptic of order two with no zeroth-order terms.

Proof. Let $\varphi, \psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be arbitrary C^∞ functions. We have:

$$\langle\langle P_{\omega, \Omega}\varphi, \psi \rangle\rangle = - \int_X \bar{\psi} i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\langle \varphi, P_{\omega, \Omega}\psi \rangle\rangle = - \int_X \varphi i\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\psi} \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega.$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi \bar{\psi}) = \varphi i\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\psi} + i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\psi} + \bar{\psi} i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi + i\partial\bar{\psi} \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi.$$

Plugging the value for $\varphi i\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\psi}$ given by this equality into one of the previous expressions, we get the second equality below:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle P_{\omega, \Omega}^*\varphi, \psi \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \varphi, P_{\omega, \Omega}\psi \rangle\rangle &= \int_X \bar{\psi} i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega + \int_X i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\psi} \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega \\ &+ \int_X i\partial\bar{\psi} \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega - \int_X i\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi \bar{\psi}) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Now, thanks to ω^{m-1} being ∂ -closed and $\bar{\partial}$ -closed and to $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = 0$, two applications of the Stokes theorem yield the following result for the last integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X i\partial\bar{\partial}(\varphi \bar{\psi}) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega &= i \int_X \partial \left(\bar{\partial}(\varphi \bar{\psi}) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega \right) + i \int_X \bar{\partial}(\varphi \bar{\psi}) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \partial\Omega \\ &= i \int_X \bar{\partial} \left(\varphi \bar{\psi} \omega^{m-1} \wedge \partial\Omega \right) + i \int_X \varphi \bar{\psi} \omega^{m-1} \wedge \partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the last integral on the first line of (3), we get:

$$\int_X i\partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\bar{\psi} \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = - \int_X \bar{\psi} i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega - i \int_X \bar{\psi} \partial\varphi \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \bar{\partial}\Omega,$$

(so, this computes the sum of the last two integrals on the first line of (3)), while for the first integral on the second line of (3), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X i\partial\bar{\psi} \wedge \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega &= - \int_X \bar{\psi} i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega + i \int_X \bar{\psi} \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \partial\Omega \\ &= \langle\langle P_{\omega, \Omega}\varphi, \psi \rangle\rangle + i \int_X \bar{\psi} \bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

All these computation results transform (3) into:

$$\langle\langle P_{\omega,\Omega}^* \varphi, \psi \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle P_{\omega,\Omega} \varphi, \psi \rangle\rangle + \int_X \bar{\psi} i(\bar{\partial}\varphi \wedge \partial\Omega - \partial\varphi \wedge \bar{\partial}\Omega) \wedge \omega^{m-1}.$$

This equality holds for all C^∞ functions $\varphi, \psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This proves the contention. \square

Corollary 2.4. (Corollary 2.9. in [DP25b]) *If the manifold X is compact,*

$$\ker \left(P_{\omega,\Omega} : C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}) \right) = \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \left(P_{\omega,\Omega} : C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}) \right) = \mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover, the L_ω^2 -orthogonal decompositions hold:

$$C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R} \oplus \text{Im } P_{\omega,\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad C^\infty(X, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \text{Im } P_{\omega,\Omega}. \quad (4)$$

Proof. The statement follows from Lemma 2.3 and the maximum principle applied to $P_{\omega,\Omega}^*$ on the compact X . \square

As in the standard case (see e.g. Lemma 2.1.5 in [LT95]), we shall now see that the existence of a weakly (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein metric h on E is actually equivalent to the existence of an (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein metric \tilde{h} on E .

Proposition 2.5. *Consider the above setting of a holomorphic vector bundle $E \rightarrow (X, \omega, \Omega)$ over a compact Kähler manifold equipped with an extra form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (1).*

If h is a weakly (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein fibre metric on E , there exists a C^∞ function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, unique up to an additive real constant, such that the rescaled fibre metric $h e^{-f}$ is (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein.

Proof. By assumption, there exists a C^∞ function $\lambda_h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$i\Theta_h(E) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = \lambda_h dV_\omega \otimes \text{Id}_E.$$

On the one hand, the L_ω^2 -orthogonal splitting (4) yields a unique pair $(c, P_{\omega,\Omega} f) \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \text{Im } P_{\omega,\Omega}$ such that $\lambda_h = c + P_{\omega,\Omega} f$. Since the 2^{nd} -order differential operator $P_{\omega,\Omega}$ is elliptic with no zero th -order term and X is compact, the C^∞ function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose image under $P_{\omega,\Omega}$ has been specified is unique up to an additive real constant.

On the other hand, for every C^∞ function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (in particular, for the one found above, unique up to an additive real constant), we have:

$$i\Theta_{h e^{-f}}(E) = i\Theta_h(E) + (i\partial\bar{\partial}f) \otimes \text{Id}_E.$$

Hence, multiplying by $\omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega$, we get the first equality below:

$$i\Theta_{h e^{-f}}(E) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = (\lambda_h - P_{\omega,\Omega} f) dV_\omega \otimes \text{Id}_E = c dV_\omega \otimes \text{Id}_E.$$

This proves that the C^∞ Hermitian fibre metric $h e^{-f}$ on E is (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with Einstein factor $c \in \mathbb{R}$. \square

In the same vein, one proves the existence of an (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein fibre metric whenever the given vector bundle has rank one, as shown in

Proposition 2.6. *Let (X, ω, Ω) be a compact Kähler manifold equipped with an extra form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying conditions (1) for some $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let L be a holomorphic line bundle equipped with a C^∞ Hermitian fibre metric h over X .*

Then, there exists a C^∞ function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, unique up to an additive real constant, such that the rescaled fibre metric $h e^{-f}$ is (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein, namely there exists a constant $\lambda_{h,f} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$i\Theta_{h e^{-f}}(L) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = \lambda_{h,f} dV_\omega. \quad (5)$$

Proof. Since $i\Theta_h(L) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega$ and dV_ω are C^∞ forms of bidegree (n, n) on X , there exists a function $\lambda_h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$i\Theta_h(L) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = \lambda_h dV_\omega$$

on X . Meanwhile, for every function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, one has $i\Theta_{h e^{-f}}(L) = i\Theta_h(L) + i\partial\bar{\partial}f$, so the rest of the proof follows the same route as that of Proposition 2.5. \square

Again as in the standard case (see e.g. Lemma 2.1.4 in [LT95]), the transformations of the curvature form under the usual operations on vector bundles imply the following

Lemma 2.7. *Let $E, F \rightarrow (X, \omega, \Omega)$ be holomorphic vector bundles over a compact Kähler manifold equipped with an extra form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying conditions (1) for some $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Suppose there exist (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein fibre metrics h_E with Einstein factor λ_E on E , respectively h_F with Einstein factor λ_F on F .*

Then, the induced fibre metric on:

- (i) E^* is (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with Einstein factor $-\lambda_E$;
- (ii) $E \otimes F$ is (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with Einstein factor $\lambda_E + \lambda_F$;
- (iii) $\text{End}(E)$ is (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with Einstein factor 0;
- (iv) $\Lambda^p E$ is (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with Einstein factor $p\lambda_E$ for every $p \in \{1, \dots, \text{rk}_E\}$;
- (v) $\det E$ is (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with Einstein factor $\text{rk}_E \lambda_E$.

Kobayashi's classical vanishing theorem ([Kob87, Theorem 3.1.9]) takes on the following shape in our setting.

Theorem 2.8. *Let (X, ω, Ω) be a compact Kähler manifold equipped with an extra form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying conditions (1) for some $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let E be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle on X and suppose there exists an (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein fibre metric h on E with Einstein factor λ_h .*

(i) *If $\lambda_h < 0$, then $H^0(X, E) = \{0\}$.*

(ii) *If $\lambda_h = 0$, then for every $s \in H^0(X, E)$ we have $D_h s = 0$ on X (i.e. s is parallel with respect to the Chern connection D_h of (E, h)).*

Proof. Let $s \in H^0(X, E)$. We have:

$$P_{\omega, \Omega}|s|_h^2 = \frac{i\bar{\partial}\partial\{s, s\}_h \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega}.$$

Now, the h -compatibility of D_h gives the first inequality below, while the holomorphicity of s yields the second:

$$\partial\{s, s\}_h = \{D'_h s, s\}_h + \{s, \bar{\partial}s\}_h = \{D'_h s, s\}_h.$$

Taking $\bar{\partial}$, we further get:

$$\bar{\partial}\partial\{s, s\}_h = \{\bar{\partial}D'_h s, s\}_h - \{D'_h s, D'_h s\}_h = \{\Theta_h(E)s, s\}_h - \{D'_h s, D'_h s\}_h.$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} i\bar{\partial}\partial\{s, s\}_h \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega &= \left\{ \left(i\Theta_h(E) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega \right) s, s \right\}_h - i\{D'_h s, D'_h s\}_h \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega \\ &= \lambda_h \{s, s\}_h dV_\omega - i\{D'_h s, D'_h s\}_h \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We have thus proved that, for every $s \in H^0(X, E)$, we have:

$$P_{\omega, \Omega}|s|_h^2 = \lambda_h |s|_h^2 - \frac{i\{D'_h s, D'_h s\}_h \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \leq \lambda_h |s|_h^2, \quad (6)$$

where the inequality follows by taking $\eta := D'_h s$ in Lemma 2.9 below.

In particular, if $\lambda_h \leq 0$, we get $P_{\omega, \Omega}|s|_h^2 \leq 0$ at every point of X for every $s \in H^0(X, E)$. Since $P_{\omega, \Omega}$ is an elliptic second-order differential operator with no zeroth-order terms and X is compact, the maximum principle implies that

$$P_{\omega, \Omega}|s|_h^2 \equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |s|_h^2 = \text{Const on } X.$$

We conclude that:

- if $\lambda_h < 0$, then $s \equiv 0$ for every $s \in H^0(X, E)$;
- if $\lambda_h = 0$, then $i\{D'_h s, D'_h s\}_h \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega \equiv 0$, which amounts to $D'_h s = 0$ (hence to $D_h s = 0$ since $\bar{\partial}s = 0$ by holomorphicity of s) thanks to Lemma 2.9 applied to $\eta := D'_h s$ for any $s \in H^0(X, E)$.

This is precisely what was claimed. \square

In the above proof, we used the following

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(E, h) \rightarrow (X, \omega)$ be a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle with $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} E = r \geq 1$ over an n -dimensional complex Hermitian manifold. Suppose there exist $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and a form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\Omega > 0$ (weakly) at every point of X .*

Then, for every $\eta \in C_{1,0}^\infty(X, E)$, the \mathbb{R} -valued $C^\infty(n, n)$ -form $i\{\eta, \eta\}_h \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega \geq 0$ at every point of X . Moreover, for every $x \in X$, we have the equivalence:

$$i\{\eta, \eta\}_h \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = 0 \quad \text{at } x \iff \eta(x) = 0.$$

Proof. On an open coordinate patch $U \subset X$ on which E is trivial, let z_1, \dots, z_n be local holomorphic coordinates on X and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be a C^∞ orthonormal frame of (E, h) . Then, the restriction of η to U is of the shape:

$$\eta|_U = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \eta_{j\alpha} dz_j \otimes e_\alpha.$$

Now, fix an arbitrary point $x \in X$ and choose the z_j 's centred at x such that $\omega(x) = \sum_j idz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$. We get the following expression at x :

$$i\{\eta, \eta\}_h \wedge \frac{\omega^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} = \sum_{j, k=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_{m-1} \leq n} \eta_{j\alpha} \bar{\eta}_{k\alpha} idz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k \wedge idz_{j_1} \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge idz_{j_{m-1}} \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_{m-1}}.$$

Suppose the coordinates z_1, \dots, z_n have been chosen such that Ω is block-diagonal at x (besides $\omega(x)$ being given by the identity matrix):

$$\Omega(x) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_{n-m} \leq n} \Omega_{K\bar{K}} idz_{k_1} \wedge d\bar{z}_{k_1} \wedge \dots \wedge idz_{k_{n-m}} \wedge d\bar{z}_{k_{n-m}}, \quad \text{with } \Omega_{K\bar{K}} > 0 \text{ for all } K,$$

where $K = (1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_{n-m} \leq n)$. Then, at x we get:

$$i\{\eta, \eta\}_h \wedge \frac{\omega^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \wedge \Omega = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \sum_{\substack{|K|=n-m \\ j \notin K}} |\eta_{j\alpha}|^2 \Omega_{K\bar{K}} \right) dV_n \geq 0,$$

where $dV_n := idz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge idz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n$.

Moreover, we see that equality holds in the above inequality if and only if $\eta_{j\alpha}(x) = 0$ for all j, α , a fact that amounts to $\eta(x) = 0$. \square

In the special where $\Omega = \omega^{n-m}$, the above Lemma 2.9 reduces to the following well-known

Lemma 2.10. *In the setting of Lemma 2.9, one has:*

$$\frac{i\{\eta, \eta\}_h \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}}{dV_\omega} = \Lambda_\omega \left(i\{\eta, \eta\}_h \right) = |\eta|_{\omega, h}^2.$$

Proof. Since $\eta = \sum_{\alpha=1}^r \eta_\alpha \otimes e_\alpha$ on U , with \mathbb{C} -valued $(1, 0)$ -forms η_α , we get:

$$|\eta|_{\omega, h}^2 = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^r \langle \eta_\alpha, \eta_\beta \rangle_\omega \langle e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle_h \quad \text{and} \quad \{\eta, \eta\}_h = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^r \eta_\alpha \wedge \bar{\eta}_\beta \langle e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle_h.$$

Meanwhile, each $\bar{\eta}_\beta$ is a \mathbb{C} -valued $(0, 1)$ -form on U , hence it is primitive. Therefore, a well-known formula for the Hodge star operator of any Hermitian metric ω evaluated on any primitive form yields $\star_\omega \bar{\eta}_\beta = i \bar{\eta}_\beta \wedge \omega^{n-1}/(n-1)!$. Hence, for all α and β , we get:

$$\langle \eta_\alpha, \eta_\beta \rangle_\omega dV_\omega = \eta_\alpha \wedge \star_\omega \bar{\eta}_\beta = i \eta_\alpha \wedge \bar{\eta}_\beta \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}.$$

The contention follows by putting together these pieces of information. Note that the last pair of equalities also proves the following well-known formula of independent interest:

$$\Lambda_\omega \left(i\eta_\alpha \wedge \bar{\eta}_\beta \right) = \langle \eta_\alpha, \eta_\beta \rangle_\omega \quad (7)$$

for all \mathbb{C} -valued $(1, 0)$ -forms η_α and η_β and any Hermitian metric ω . \square

2.2 Generalised slope stability of torsion-free coherent sheaves

We now propose the following generalisations of the classical notions of degree, slope and slope stability for coherent sheaves by replacing again ω^{n-m} with Ω in the set-up described at the beginning of this section.

Definition 2.11. *Let (X, ω, Ω) be a compact Kähler manifold equipped with an extra form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying conditions (1) for some $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

(i) *The (ω, Ω) -degree of a holomorphic line bundle L over X is the real number*

$$\deg_{\omega, \Omega}(L) := \int_X i\Theta_h(L) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega, \quad (8)$$

where h is a Hermitian fibre metric on L and $\Theta_h(L) \in C_{1,1}^\infty(X, \mathbb{C})$ is the curvature form of its Chern connection.

(ii) *The (ω, Ω) -degree of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X is the real number*

$$\deg_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F}) := \deg_{\omega, \Omega}(\det \mathcal{F}), \quad (9)$$

where $\det \mathcal{F}$ is the determinant line bundle of \mathcal{F} .

(iii) *Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent, torsion-free non-trivial sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X . The (ω, Ω) -slope of \mathcal{F} is the real number*

$$\mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F}) := \frac{\deg_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F})}{\text{rk } \mathcal{F}}. \quad (10)$$

Furthermore, \mathcal{F} is said to be **(ω, Ω) -stable** (resp. **(ω, Ω) -semi-stable**) if for every coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ with $0 < \text{rk } \mathcal{G} < \text{rk } \mathcal{F}$, one has

$$\mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{G}) < \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F}) \quad \left(\text{resp. } \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F}) \right).$$

Note that the above definition is correct in the sense that the (ω, Ω) -degree of L is independent of the choice of a Hermitian fibre metric h on L . Indeed, for any h , the curvature form $i\Theta_h(L)$ belongs to a same Bott-Chern cohomology class $c_1(L)_{BC} \in H_{BC}^{1,1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, (the Bott-Chern version of) the first Chern class of L . Since ω is Kähler and $\partial\bar{\partial}\Omega = 0$, we have $\partial\bar{\partial}(\omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega) = 0$, so for every L_{loc}^1 function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, the compactness of X and the Stokes theorem yield:

$$\int_X \left(i\Theta_h(L) + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \right) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = \int_X i\Theta_h(L) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega.$$

A quick link with §2.1 is given in

Lemma 2.12. *Let $E \rightarrow (X, \omega, \Omega)$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over a compact Kähler manifold equipped with an extra form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying (1). Suppose there exists an (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein fibre metric h on E .*

Then, the Einstein factor λ_h of h is related in the following way to the (ω, Ω) -slope of E :

$$\lambda_h = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\omega(X)} \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(E), \quad (11)$$

where $\text{Vol}_\omega(X) := \int_X \omega^n / n!$ is the ω -volume of X .

Proof. Let r be the rank of E . From (iii) of Definition 2.11 we infer the first equality below:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(E) &= \frac{1}{r} \int_X i\Theta_h(\det E) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = \frac{1}{r} \int_X \text{Trace}_E \left(i\Theta_h(E) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r} \int_X \text{Trace}_E \left(\lambda_h dV_\omega \otimes \text{Id}_E \right) = \frac{1}{r} r \lambda_h \int_X dV_\omega = \lambda_h \text{Vol}_\omega(X). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the contention. We have used the well-known formula expressing the curvature form of the determinant line bundle $\det E$ as the trace Trace_E in the endomorphism bundle $\text{End}(E)$ of the curvature form $\Theta_h(E) \in C_{1,1}^\infty(X, \text{End}(E))$ of E . \square

In the setting of Definition 2.11, we consider the function:

$$d_{\omega, \Omega, h}(\mathcal{F}) : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad d_{\omega, \Omega, h}(\mathcal{F}) := \frac{i\Theta_h(\det \mathcal{F}) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega}, \quad (12)$$

where h is a Hermitian fibre metric on the determinant line bundle $\det \mathcal{F}$ of any given torsion-free coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X . The following statement generalises Proposition 2.3.1 of [LT95] to our setting.

Proposition 2.13. *Let (X, ω, Ω) be a complex Kähler manifold equipped with an extra form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying conditions (1) for some $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow (S, h_S) \longrightarrow (E, h_E) \longrightarrow (Q, h_Q) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (13)$$

be a short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on X , where h_E is a Hermitian fibre metric on E and h_S , resp. h_Q , are the induced, resp. quotient, Hermitian fibre metrics on S , resp. Q .

If h_E is (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with Einstein factor λ_E , then:

$$\frac{d_{\omega, \Omega, h_S}(S)}{\text{rk } S} \stackrel{(i)}{\leq} \frac{d_{\omega, \Omega, h_E}(E)}{\text{rk } E} \stackrel{(ii)}{\leq} \frac{d_{\omega, \Omega, h_Q}(Q)}{\text{rk } Q}. \quad (14)$$

Moreover, equality holds in (i) if and only if equality holds in (ii) if and only if the short exact sequence (13) splits holomorphically. In this case, the Hermitian fibre metrics h_S and h_Q are (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with the same Einstein constants as for (E, h_E) :

$$\lambda_S = \lambda_E = \lambda_Q.$$

In particular, if X is compact, then

$$\mu_{\omega, \Omega}(S) \leq \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(E) \leq \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(Q) \quad (15)$$

and equalities occur under the same circumstances as above.

Proof. From the general theory, we know that the curvature forms of (S, h_S) and (Q, h_Q) are given in terms of the curvature form $\Theta_{h_E}(E)$ of (E, h_E) by the formulae:

$$i\Theta_{h_S}(S) = i\Theta_{h_E}(E)|_S + i\beta^* \wedge \beta \quad \text{and} \quad i\Theta_{h_Q}(Q) = i\Theta_{h_E}(E)|_Q + i\beta \wedge \beta^*, \quad (16)$$

where $\beta \in C_{1,0}^\infty(X, \text{Hom}(S, Q))$ is the second fundamental form of S in E and $\beta^* \in C_{0,1}^\infty(X, \text{Hom}(Q, S))$ is its adjoint. Moreover, we always have $\bar{\partial}\beta^* = 0$ and the short exact sequence (13) splits holomorphically if and only if β^* is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact (which further amounts to the extension class $\{\beta^*\} \in H_{\bar{\partial}}^{0,1}(X, \text{Hom}(Q, S))$ vanishing).

Let $s := \text{rk } S$, $r := \text{rk } E$ and $q := \text{rk } Q$. We get:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\omega, \Omega, h_E}(E) &= \frac{i\Theta_{h_E}(\det E) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} = \text{Trace}_E \left(\frac{i\Theta_{h_E}(E) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) \\ &= \text{Trace}_E \left(\lambda_E \text{Id}_E \right) = r \lambda_E, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the last but one equality followed from the (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein hypothesis on (E, h_E) .

Similarly, we get (using also (16) to get the first equality):

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\omega, \Omega, h_S}(S) &= \text{Trace}_S \left(\frac{i\Theta_{h_E}(E)|_S \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) + \text{Traces}_S \left(\frac{i\beta^* \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) \\ &= \text{Trace}_S \left(\lambda_E \text{Id}_S \right) + \text{Traces}_S \left(\frac{i\beta^* \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) = s\lambda_E + \text{Traces}_S \left(\frac{i\beta^* \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and

$$d_{\omega, \Omega, h_Q}(Q) = q\lambda_E + \text{Trace}_Q \left(\frac{i\beta \wedge \beta^* \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) \quad (19)$$

Taking (17), (18) and (19) into account, we see that proving (13) is equivalent to proving:

$$\text{Trace}_S \left(\frac{i\beta^* \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) \leq 0 \leq \text{Trace}_Q \left(\frac{i\beta \wedge \beta^* \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right),$$

a fact that is guaranteed by Lemma 2.14 below.

This proves the first statement. The other statements follow at once from this one. \square

In the above proof, we used the following analogue of Lemma 2.9.

Lemma 2.14. *The setting is the same as in Proposition 2.13 except that we do not make any (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein assumption on (E, h_E) .*

Then, the forms $i\beta \wedge \beta^ \in C_{1,1}^\infty(X, \text{Hom}(Q, Q))$ and $i\beta^* \wedge \beta \in C_{1,1}^\infty(X, \text{Hom}(S, S))$, constructed from the second fundamental form $\beta \in C_{1,0}^\infty(X, \text{Hom}(S, Q))$ of S in E and its adjoint $\beta^* \in C_{0,1}^\infty(X, \text{Hom}(Q, S))$, satisfy the following inequalities:*

$$\text{Trace}_Q \left(\frac{i\beta \wedge \beta^* \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Traces}_S \left(\frac{i\beta^* \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) \leq 0$$

at every point of X .

Moreover, for every $x \in X$, either of the above inequalities is an equality at x if and only if $\beta(x) = 0$.

Proof. On an open coordinate patch $U \subset X$ on which E is trivial, let z_1, \dots, z_n be local holomorphic coordinates on X and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ be a frame for E such that $\{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$ is a frame for S and $\{e_{s+1}, \dots, e_r\}$ is a frame for Q . (Recall that $s + q = r$.) Then, on U we have:

$$\beta = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\lambda=1}^s \sum_{\mu=s+1}^r \beta_j^{\lambda\mu} dz_j \otimes e_\lambda^* \otimes e_\mu, \quad \text{hence} \quad \beta^* = {}^t \bar{\beta} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\lambda=1}^s \sum_{\mu=s+1}^r \overline{\beta_j^{\lambda\mu}} d\bar{z}_j \otimes e_\mu^* \otimes e_\lambda.$$

From this, we get the following equalities on U :

$$\begin{aligned} i\beta \wedge \beta^* &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \sum_{\lambda=1}^s \sum_{\mu,\nu=s+1}^r \beta_j^{\lambda\nu} \overline{\beta_k^{\lambda\mu}} idz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k \otimes e_\nu \otimes e_\mu^* \\ \text{Trace}_Q(i\beta \wedge \beta^*) &= \sum_{j,k=1}^n \sum_{\lambda=1}^s \sum_{\mu=s+1}^r \beta_j^{\lambda\mu} \overline{\beta_k^{\lambda\mu}} idz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Now, fix an arbitrary point $x \in U$ and choose the z_j 's on U centred at x such that $\omega(x) = \sum_j idz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j$ and Ω is block-diagonal at x :

$$\Omega(x) = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_{n-m} \leq n} \Omega_{K\bar{K}} idz_{k_1} \wedge d\bar{z}_{k_1} \wedge \dots \wedge idz_{k_{n-m}} \wedge d\bar{z}_{k_{n-m}}, \quad \text{with } \Omega_{K\bar{K}} > 0 \text{ for all } K,$$

where $K = (1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_{n-m} \leq n)$. Then, at x we get:

$$\text{Trace}_Q \left(\frac{i\beta \wedge \beta^* \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\lambda=1}^s \sum_{\mu=s+1}^r \sum_{\substack{|K|=n-m \\ j \notin K}} |\beta_j^{\lambda\mu}|^2 \Omega_{K\bar{K}} \right) dV_n \geq 0,$$

where $dV_n := idz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge idz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n$. Moreover, we see that equality occurs in the last inequality if and only if $\beta_j^{\lambda\mu} = 0$ for all j, λ, μ , a fact that amounts to $\beta(x) = 0$.

Similarly, we get

$$\text{Trace}_S \left(\frac{i\beta^* \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) = -\text{Trace}_Q \left(\frac{i\beta \wedge \beta^* \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega}{dV_\omega} \right) \leq 0,$$

with equality if and only if $\beta(x) = 0$. □

We are now ready to give the main result of this paper. It links the two notions presented above. It is the exact analogue, in our setting, of the standard result established by Kobayashi and Lübeck [Kob82, Lub83] and stated as Theorem 2.3.2 in [LT95].

Theorem 2.15. *Let (X, ω, Ω) be a compact Kähler manifold equipped with an extra form $\Omega \in C_{n-m, n-m}^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfying conditions (1) for some $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank $r \geq 1$ over X .*

If there exists an (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein C^∞ fibre metric h on E , then E is (ω, Ω) -semi-stable and, if E is not (ω, Ω) -stable, it is a holomorphic, h -orthogonal direct sum

$$E = \bigoplus_i E_i$$

of (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein holomorphic subbundles $E_i \subset E$, the fibre metric h_i induced by h in each E_i being (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with the same Einstein factor as (E, h) .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(E)$ be a coherent subsheaf of some rank s with $0 < s < r$. The inclusion $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}(E)$ induces a holomorphic morphism $\det \varphi : \det \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \left(\Lambda^s \mathcal{O}(E) \right)^{**} \simeq \Lambda^s \mathcal{O}(E)$ which is injective because \mathcal{F} is locally free on a Zariski open subset of X (actually, outside an analytic subset of codimension ≥ 2). By tensoring with $\det \mathcal{F}^*$ (which is locally free of rank 1), we get a non-trivial global holomorphic section $\sigma \in H^0(X, \Lambda^s E \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^*)$:

$$\sigma : \det \mathcal{F} \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^* \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \hookrightarrow \Lambda^s E \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^*. \quad (20)$$

Let λ_h be the Einstein factor of h , so

$$i\Theta_h(E) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = \lambda_h dV_\omega \otimes \text{Id}_E.$$

Moreover, λ_h is given in terms of the (ω, Ω) -slope $\mu_{\omega, \Omega}(E)$ of E by formula (11). Meanwhile, $\det \mathcal{F}$ is a holomorphic line bundle on a compact X , so it carries an (ω, Ω) -**Hermite-Einstein** fibre metric $h_{\det \mathcal{F}}$ by Proposition 2.6. By the same formula (11), its Einstein factor $\lambda(\det \mathcal{F}) \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies:

$$\lambda(\det \mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\omega(X)} \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\det \mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\omega(X)} \deg_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_\omega(X)} \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Hence, the metric induced on $\Lambda^s E \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^*$ by h and $h_{\det \mathcal{F}}$ is, thanks to Lemma 2.7, (ω, Ω) -**Hermite-Einstein** with Einstein factor

$$s \lambda_h - \lambda(\det \mathcal{F}) = \frac{s}{\text{Vol}_\omega(X)} \left(\mu_{\omega, \Omega}(E) - \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F}) \right).$$

On the other hand, since the holomorphic (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein vector bundle $\Lambda^s E \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^*$ has a non-trivial global holomorphic section (see (20)), our version of Kobayashi's vanishing theorem (cf. Theorem 2.8) implies that $s \lambda_h - \lambda(\det \mathcal{F}) \geq 0$, a fact that amounts to

$$\mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(E)$$

thanks to what was concluded above. This proves that E is (ω, Ω) -semi-stable.

Now, suppose that E is not (ω, Ω) -stable. Then, there exists a coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(E)$ of some rank s with $0 < s < r$ such that $\mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F}) = \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(E)$, a fact that amounts to $s \lambda_h - \lambda(\det \mathcal{F}) = 0$.

We may assume that \mathcal{F} is reflexive (or, equivalently, torsion-free and normal). Thanks to our version of Kobayashi's vanishing theorem (cf. Theorem 2.8), the section $\sigma \in H^0(X, \Lambda^s E \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^*)$ must be parallel, so the holomorphic line bundle $\det \mathcal{F}$ must be a parallel subbundle of $\Lambda^s E$. This implies that the (ω, Ω) -**Hermite-Einstein** fibre metric $h_{\det \mathcal{F}}$ we found on $\det \mathcal{F}$ equals (up to a positive multiplicative constant) the metric induced from the one on $\Lambda^s E$ by the inclusion $\det \varphi : \det \mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \Lambda^s E$.

Now, let $S \subset X$ be the singular locus of \mathcal{F} . Then:

- $\mathcal{F}|_Y$ is locally free, where $Y := X \setminus S$;
- S is an analytic subset of X and, since \mathcal{F} is reflexive, $\text{codim}_X S \geq 3$.

Meanwhile, the assumption $\mu_{\omega, \Omega}(\mathcal{F}) = \mu_{\omega, \Omega}(E)$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{s} \int_X i\Theta_{h_{\mathcal{F}}}(\det \mathcal{F}) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega = \frac{1}{r} \int_X i\Theta_h(\det E) \wedge \omega^{m-1} \wedge \Omega$$

and, given the above discussion, this implies the equality of functions:

$$\frac{d_{\omega, \Omega, h_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{F}|_Y)}{s} = \frac{d_{\omega, \Omega, h}(E|_Y)}{r} \quad \text{on } Y,$$

where $h_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the metric induced on \mathcal{F} by φ and h .

By Proposition 2.13, this equality of functions is equivalent to the short exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles on Y

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}|_Y \longrightarrow E|_Y \longrightarrow E|_Y/\mathcal{F}|_Y \longrightarrow 0$$

splitting holomorphically. Moreover, this also implies that $\mathcal{F}|_Y$ and $E|_Y/\mathcal{F}|_Y$ are (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with the same Einstein factor as (E, h) .

Since the sheaf $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(E), \mathcal{F})$ is normal and $\text{codim}_X S \geq 3$, the splitting morphism $\mathcal{O}(E)|_Y \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}|_Y$ extends to a morphism $\mathcal{O}(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Thus, the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} := \mathcal{O}(E)/\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

splits on X . In particular, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are locally free, hence they define holomorphic subbundles F and G of E over X and we have a holomorphic direct-sum decomposition:

$$E = F \oplus G.$$

Moreover, the subbundles F and G of E are (ω, Ω) -Hermite-Einstein with the same Einstein factor as (E, h) .

An induction on the rank of E completes the proof. \square

References.

- [Dem02] J.-P. Demailly — *On the Frobenius Integrability of Certain Holomorphic p -Forms* — In: Bauer, I., Catanese, F., Peternell, T., Kawamata, Y., Siu, Y.T. (eds) Complex Geometry. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-56202-0_6
- [Dem97] J.-P. Demailly — *Complex Analytic and Algebraic Geometry* — <https://www-fourier.univ-grenoble-alpes.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>
- [DP25a] S. Dinew, D. Popovici — *m -Positivity and Regularisation* — arXiv:2510.25639v1 [math.DG].
- [DP25b] S. Dinew, D. Popovici — *m -Pseudo-effectivity and a Monge-Ampère-Type Equation for Forms of Positive Degree* — arXiv:2510.27362v1 [math.DG].
- [Kob82] S. Kobayashi — *Curvature and Stability of Vector Bundles* — Proc. Jap. Acad. **58** (1982), 158-162.

[Kob87] S. Kobayashi — *Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles* — Princeton University Press, 1987.

[Lub83] M. Lübke — *Stability of Einstein-Hermitian Vector Bundles* — Manuscripta Math. **42** (1983), 245-257.

[LT95] M. Lübke, A. Teleman — *The Kobayashi-Hitchin Correspondence* — World Scientific, 1995.

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse,
Université de Toulouse,
118 route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France
Email: popovici@math.univ-toulouse.fr