

ON A NEW FILTRATION OF THE VARIATIONAL BICOMPLEX

Siye Wu¹

Department of Mathematics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan

and

Haoran Yang²

Mathematical Sciences Institute, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2600, Australia

ABSTRACT. We define a filtration on the variational bicomplex according to jet order. The filtration is preserved by the interior Euler operator, which is not a module homomorphism with respect to the ring of smooth functions on the jet space. However, the induced maps on the graded components of this filtration are. Furthermore, the space of functional forms in the image of the interior Euler operator inherits a filtration. Though the filtered subspaces are not submodules either, the graded components are isomorphic to linear spaces which do have module structures. This works for any fixed degree of the functional forms. In this way, the condition that a functional form vanishes can be stated concisely with a module basis. We work out explicitly two examples: one for functional forms of degree two in relation to the Helmholtz conditions and the other of arbitrary degree but with jet order one.

1. INTRODUCTION

The variational bicomplex is a double complex of differential forms on the infinite jet bundle of a fibred manifold, designed to give a unified geometric language for Lagrangians, variations, equations of motion, and conservation laws. After the pioneering work of Vinogradov [10] and Tulczyjew [9], much progress has been made (see for example [1, 3, 6, 7, 8]), laying the mathematical foundation for calculus of variations and classical field theory. Applications of this approach cover the study of equations of motion, the inverse problem (the Helmholtz conditions) as well as symmetries and conservation laws (Noether's theorem). More recently, the theory has been applied to modern physics settings such as gauge theory, asymptotic symmetries, and BRST and BV formalisms [5, 2].

The variational bicomplex comes from the space of differential forms on the total space of the jet bundle. There is a bigrading according to the horizontal and vertical degrees. Vinogradov's filtration is according to the vertical degree, which leads to the C -spectral sequence [10]. Instead, we define a new filtration on the variational bicomplex according to jet order. The filtration is preserved by the interior Euler operator. Though this operator is not a module homomorphism with respect to the ring of smooth functions on the jet space, the induced maps on the graded components of this filtration are. Furthermore, the space of functional forms in the image of the interior Euler operator inherits a filtration as well. Though the filtered subspaces are not submodules either, the graded components are isomorphic to linear spaces which do have module structures. In this way, the condition that a functional form vanishes can be stated concisely using a module basis. For functional forms of degree two, this condition can be worked out without consideration of the module structure. However, progress becomes hard when the degree is three or higher [1]. Our result for any fixed degree of the functional forms sheds light on this complicated open problem. We work out explicitly two examples: one for functional forms of degree two in relation to the Helmholtz conditions and the other of arbitrary degree but with jet order one.

In this paper, we follow the notations of Anderson [1]. The rest of the paper is organised as follows.

In Section 2, we recall the notions of (infinite) jet bundle $J^\infty E \rightarrow M$ of a fibre bundle $E \rightarrow M$, the ring \mathcal{R}^∞ of smooth functions on the total space $J^\infty E$ and the space of differential forms $\Omega^\bullet(J^\infty E)$, which is an \mathcal{R}^∞ -module with a bigrading $\Omega^{r,s}$ according to the horizontal degree r and vertical degree s . For classical field theory, M is the spacetime manifold of dimension n , and the fields are sections of the bundle E . We introduce a new filtration F^\bullet on $\Omega^{n,s}$ (and a subspace $\Omega_0^{n,s}$ in it) according to the jet order. Here the filtered subspaces $F^\bullet \Omega^{n,s}$ are \mathcal{R}^∞ -modules, and so are the graded components $\text{Gr}^\bullet \Omega^{n,s}$.

In Section 3, motivated by calculus of variation, we review the Euler derivative and its higher versions. We then explain the interior Euler operator I , which is a projection operator on each $\Omega^{r,s}$ but fails to be \mathcal{R}^∞ -linear. A key observation is that the map \bar{I} induced by I on the graded components $\text{Gr}^\bullet \Omega^{n,s}$ are nevertheless \mathcal{R}^∞ -module homomorphisms. The functional forms are elements of $\mathcal{F}^s := I(\Omega^{r,s})$, which is an \mathbb{R} -linear subspace but not an \mathcal{R}^∞ -submodule of $\Omega^{r,s}$ except for $s = 1$. We also recall the Euler-Lagrange complex, which includes the coboundary operator $\delta_V : \mathcal{F}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{s+1}$ for each $s \geq 1$.

¹E-mail address: swu@math.nthu.edu.tw

²E-mail address: Haoran.Yang@anu.edu.au

In Section 4, we define a filtration of \mathcal{F}^s for each $s \geq 1$ induced from that of $\Omega^{n,s}$. Our main result is that although the filtered subspaces $F^\bullet \mathcal{F}^s$ in \mathcal{F}^s are not \mathcal{R}^∞ -modules, the graded components $\text{Gr}^\bullet \mathcal{F}^s$ are isomorphic to subspaces of $\text{Gr}^\bullet \Omega^{n,s}$ which do have \mathcal{R}^∞ -module structures. In fact, such a subspace is the image of the induced map \bar{I} on the graded components $\text{Gr}^\bullet \Omega^{n,s}$. An element of \mathcal{F}^s vanishes if and only if all its graded components vanish, a condition that is easier to handle due to the \mathcal{R}^∞ -module structures. These results are new, especially when $s \geq 3$.

In Section 5, we specialise to $s = 2$ and recover the known results, with an efficient derivation of the Helmholtz condition using the \mathcal{R}^∞ -module structure. We then work out explicitly another case of arbitrary $s \geq 1$ and jet order 1.

We conclude with outlook in Section 6.

2. A FILTRATION ON THE VARIATIONAL BICOMPLEX ACCORDING TO JET ORDER

We begin with some preliminaries on jet spaces and functions and differential forms on them.

Consider a classical field theory on the spacetime manifold M in which fields are bosonic and are sections of a smooth fibre bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$. Let M be n dimensional and let $\{x^i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be local coordinates on M . The fibres of E are also finite dimensional and let $\{u^\alpha\}$ be their local coordinates. Given a multi-index $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$, $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n$, we write $|I| = k$ and $\partial_I = \partial^k / \partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_k}$. The k -jet space $J^k E$ is the total space a fibre bundle over M whose fibre at $x \in M$ consists of equivalence classes of local sections ϕ of E at x with the same $\partial_I \phi(x)$ for all $|I| \leq k$. Local coordinates along the fibres of $\pi^k : J^k E \rightarrow M$ are u_I^α , $0 \leq |I| \leq k$. There are natural projections $\pi_k^{k+1} : J^{k+1} E \rightarrow J^k E$ which are vector bundles. We identify $J^0 E$ with E and $\pi_0^k : J^k E \rightarrow E$ are also vector bundles for all $k \geq 0$. The infinite jet space $J^\infty E$ is the inverse limit of the system of projections $\{\pi_k^l\}_{l \geq k \geq 0}$. We then have the obvious natural projections $\pi_k^\infty : J^\infty E \rightarrow J^k E$ for all $k \geq 0$, and $\pi^\infty : J^\infty E \rightarrow M$.

Denote by $\mathcal{R}^k = C^\infty(J^k E)$ the ring of smooth functions on $J^k(E)$. Since π_k^{k+1} for each $k \geq 0$ is a surjection, the pull-back map $(\pi_k^{k+1})^* : \mathcal{R}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{k+1}$ is an inclusion, by which we can identify \mathcal{R}^k as a subring of \mathcal{R}^{k+1} . Using this identification, we define the ring $\mathcal{R}^\infty = C^\infty(J^\infty E)$ of smooth functions on $J^\infty(E)$ as the infinite union

$$\mathcal{R}^\infty := \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{R}^k.$$

An element of \mathcal{R}^∞ is a function depending on jets up to some finite but undetermined order. Similarly, since the pull-back map $(\pi_k^{k+1})^* : \Omega^p(J^k E) \rightarrow \Omega^p(J^{k+1} E)$ on p -forms is also an inclusion for each $k \geq 0$, we can regard $\Omega^p(J^k E)$ as a subspace of $\Omega^p(J^{k+1} E)$ and define the space of p -forms on the infinite jet space $J^\infty E$ again as the infinite union

$$\Omega^p(J^\infty E) := \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \Omega^p(J^k E).$$

Clearly \mathcal{R}^∞ is identified as $\Omega^0(J^\infty E)$ and $\Omega^p(J^\infty E)$ is an \mathcal{R}^∞ -module.

The variational bicomplex provides a canonical splitting

$$\Omega^p(J^\infty E) = \bigoplus_{r+s=p} \Omega^{r,s}(J^\infty E),$$

where r counts horizontal degree (along M) of forms and s counts the vertical degree. Vertical 1-forms are contact forms locally given by $\theta_I^\alpha = du_I^\alpha + u_{Ij}^\alpha dx^j$, and $\theta_{I_1}^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s}$ has vertical degree s . Each $\Omega^{r,s}(J^\infty E)$ is also an \mathcal{R}^∞ -module and the above direct sum is a decomposition of \mathcal{R}^∞ -modules. Locally, an element in $\Omega^{r,s}(J^\infty E)$ is a finite \mathcal{R}^∞ -linear combination of $\theta_{I_1}^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} \wedge dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_r}$. In particular, for top horizontal forms, a local \mathcal{R}^∞ -module basis of $\Omega^{n,s}(J^\infty E)$ is given by $\theta_{I_1}^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu$ up to the obvious antisymmetry. Here ν is a volume form on M , which we assume exists and is chosen.

We now introduce an ascending filtration on the space of (n, s) -forms on $J^\infty(E)$ for each $s \geq 0$. That is, we construct filtered subspaces $F^l \Omega^{n,s}(J^\infty E) \subset \Omega^{n,s}(J^\infty E)$, or $F^l \Omega^{n,s} \subset \Omega^{n,s}$ for short, such that

$$0 = F^{-1} \Omega^{n,s} \subset F^0 \Omega^{n,s} \subset F^1 \Omega^{n,s} \subset \dots \subset F^\infty \Omega^{n,s} = \Omega^{n,s}.$$

The filtration is based on jet-order data. For each $l \geq 0$, we define

$$F^l \Omega^{n,s}(J^\infty E) := \text{span}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty} \{ \theta_{I_1}^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu : |I_1| + \dots + |I_s| \leq l \}.$$

Then the associated graded components are

$$\text{Gr}^l \Omega^{n,s} := \frac{F^l \Omega^{n,s}}{F^{l-1} \Omega^{n,s}} = \text{span}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty} \{ \theta_{I_1}^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu : |I_1| + \dots + |I_s| = l \}.$$

We remark here that the filtered spaces $F^l \Omega^{n,s}$ and their graded components $\text{Gr}^l \Omega^{n,s}$ do not depend on the choice of local coordinates on M and E . The \mathbb{R} -vector subspaces $F^l \Omega^{n,s}(J^\infty E)$ are actually \mathcal{R}^∞ -submodules of $\Omega^{n,s}(J^\infty E)$ and thus the graded components are \mathcal{R}^∞ -modules. In fact, $\theta_{I_1}^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu$ form an \mathcal{R}^∞ -module basis of $F^l \Omega^{n,s}$ for $|I_1| + \dots + |I_s| \leq l$ and an \mathcal{R}^∞ -module basis of $\text{Gr}^l \Omega^{n,s}$ for $|I_1| + \dots + |I_s| = l$. We also note in passing that there is an opposite, descending filtration given by

$${}^F F^l \Omega^{n,s}(J^\infty E) := \text{span}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty} \{ \theta_{I_1}^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu : |I_1| + \dots + |I_s| \geq l \},$$

which has the same graded components. But we will not pursue it in our present work.

In what follows we will also need an \mathcal{R}^∞ -submodule of $\Omega^{n,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$ defined by

$$\Omega_0^{n,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E) := \text{span}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty} \{ \theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \theta_{I_2}^{\alpha_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu \} \subset \Omega^{n,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E).$$

There is an induced ascending filtration on $\Omega_0^{n,s} = \Omega_0^{n,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$ given by, for all $l \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{F}^l \Omega_0^{n,s} := \Omega_0^{n,s} \cap \mathcal{F}^l \Omega^{n,s} = \text{span}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty} \{ \theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \theta_{I_2}^{\alpha_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu : |I_2| + \cdots + |I_s| \leq l \}.$$

The associated graded components are

$$\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s} := \frac{\mathcal{F}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}}{\mathcal{F}^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}} = \text{span}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty} \{ \theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu : |I_2| + \cdots + |I_s| = l \}.$$

Again, both $\mathcal{F}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ and $\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ are \mathcal{R}^∞ -modules for all $s \geq 0, l \geq 0$.

3. THE INTERIOR EULER OPERATOR, FUNCTIONAL FORMS AND COHOMOLOGY

With the decomposition of p -forms on $\mathcal{J}^\infty E$ into (r, s) -forms, $r + s = p$, the exterior differentiation $d : \Omega^p(\mathcal{J}^\infty E) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$ splits as $d = d_H + d_V$, where $d_H : \Omega^{r,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E) \rightarrow \Omega^{r+1,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$, $d_V : \Omega^{r,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E) \rightarrow \Omega^{r,s+1}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$, are the horizontal, vertical differentiations, respectively. We have $d_H^2 = 0$, $d_V^2 = 0$, $d_H d_V + d_V d_H = 0$. In classical field theory, a Lagrangian is an element $\lambda \in \Omega^{n,0}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$, so that the classical action is $\int_M \lambda$, assuming that either M is compact or the fields decay sufficiently fast at infinity. The fundamental formula in the calculus of variation is

$$d_V \lambda = E_\alpha(\lambda) \theta^\alpha + d_H \eta$$

for some $\eta \in \Omega^{n-1,1}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$. Here $E_\alpha(\lambda)$ is the Euler derivative of λ , i.e.,

$$E_\alpha(\lambda) := \sum_{|I| \geq 0} (-D)_I (\partial_\alpha^I \lambda),$$

where $\partial_\alpha^I := \partial / \partial u_I^\alpha$ is a vector field on $\mathcal{J}^\infty E$, $D_j := \partial_j + \sum_{k=0}^\infty u_{I_j}^{\alpha_k} \partial_\alpha^I$ is the total derivative along x^j , and $D_I := D_{i_1} \cdots D_{i_k}$ for a multi-index $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$. The equations of motion are the Euler-Lagrange equations $E_\alpha(\lambda) = 0$. Furthermore, η can be expressed in terms of the higher Euler derivatives $E_\alpha^I(\lambda)$ of λ , i.e.,

$$\eta = \sum_{|I| \geq 0} D_I (E_\alpha^{I_j}(\lambda) \theta^\alpha) \wedge (\partial_j \lrcorner \nu), \quad E_\alpha^I(\lambda) := \sum_{J \supset I} \binom{|J|}{|I|} (-D)_{J \setminus I} (\partial_\alpha^J \lambda).$$

The above decomposition of $d_V \lambda \in \Omega^{n,1}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$ can be generalised. The interior Euler operator on $\omega \in \Omega^{r,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$ is

$$I(\omega) := \frac{1}{s} \theta^\alpha \wedge \sum_{|I| \geq 0} (-D)_I (\partial_\alpha^I \lrcorner \omega),$$

which reduces to $E_\alpha \theta^\alpha$ when $\omega = d_V \lambda$. Here (r, s) is arbitrary, but with $r \geq 0, s \geq 1$, and $I(\omega)$ remains in $\Omega^{r,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$. Furthermore, any $\omega \in \Omega^{r,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$ decomposes uniquely as $\omega = I(\omega) + d_H \eta$ for some $\eta \in \Omega^{r-1,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$ that can be obtained from ω by higher Euler operators. We have $I \circ d_H = 0$ and $I^2 = I$, i.e., I is a projection operator or an idempotent. For all $s \geq 1$, we set $\mathcal{F}^s(\mathcal{J}^\infty E) := I(\Omega^{n,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E))$ or \mathcal{F}^s for short. Elements of \mathcal{F}^s are called the functional s -forms. For $s = 1$, we have $\mathcal{F}^1 = \mathcal{F}^0 \Omega^{n,1} = \text{Gr}^0 \Omega^{n,1} = \Omega_0^{n,1}$, which is an \mathcal{R}^∞ -module of $\Omega^{n,1}$. But for general $s \geq 2$, \mathcal{F}^s are \mathbb{R} -linear subspaces of $\Omega_0^{n,s}(\mathcal{J}^\infty E)$ (see below).

The operators $\delta_V : \mathcal{F}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{s+1}$ defined by $\delta_V := I \circ d_V$ for all $s \geq 1$ satisfy $\delta_V^2 = 0$, making \mathcal{F}^\bullet a cochain complex. Making use of the Euler derivative operator $E : \Omega^{n,0} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1$, this is part of the Euler-Lagrange complex

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Omega^{0,0} \xrightarrow{d_H} \Omega^{1,0} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^{n-1,0} \xrightarrow{d_H} \Omega^{n,0} \xrightarrow{E} \mathcal{F}^1 \xrightarrow{\delta_V} \mathcal{F}^2 \xrightarrow{\delta_V} \mathcal{F}^3 \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Its cohomology groups are

$$H^p(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, d_H) \cong H^p(E), \quad p \leq n; \quad H^s(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \delta_V) \cong H^{n+s}(E), \quad s \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, for all $s \geq 1$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^{0,s} \xrightarrow{d_H} \Omega^{1,s} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Omega^{n-1,s} \xrightarrow{d_H} \Omega^{n,s} \xrightarrow{I} \mathcal{F}^s \rightarrow 0,$$

known as the acyclicity of the variational bicomplex. We refer the reader to [1, Chap. 5] for a comprehensive treatise.

Proposition 3.1. 1. The map I restricted to $\Omega_0^{n,s}$ preserves the filtration. That is, $I(\mathcal{F}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}) \subset \mathcal{F}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ for all $l \geq 0$.
2. The induced map \bar{I} acting on the graded components $\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ are idempotent \mathcal{R}^∞ -module homomorphisms.

Proof. 1. We start with a typical element

$$\eta_A := A_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s}^{I_2 \dots I_s} \theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \theta_{I_2}^{\alpha_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu \in \text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s} \subset \mathcal{F}^l \Omega_0^{n,s},$$

where the multi-indices I_2, \dots, I_s are summed over but with $|I_2|, \dots, |I_s|$ fixed so that $|I_2| + \cdots + |I_s| = l$, and $A_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s}^{I_2 \dots I_s} \in \mathcal{R}^\infty$ are coefficients in front of the \mathcal{R}^∞ -module basis of $\Omega_0^{n,s}$. Its image under the map I is

$$I(\eta_A) = \frac{1}{s} \left(A_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s}^{I_2 \dots I_s} \theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \theta_{I_2}^{\alpha_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} + \sum_{k=2}^s (-1)^{k-1} \theta^{\alpha_k} \wedge (-D)_{I_k} (A_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s}^{I_2 \dots I_s} \theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \theta_{I_2}^{\alpha_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\theta_{I_k}^{\alpha_k}} \cdots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s}) \right) \wedge \nu.$$

Here and below, quantities under hats are omitted. For each term in the sum on the right hand side, the derivatives in D_{I_k} can act on either $A_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s}^{I_2 \dots I_s}$ or on θ^{α_j} , $\theta_{I_j}^{\alpha_j}$ ($j \neq k$) by the Leibniz rule. The grading in the filtration is preserved

if none of them acts on $A_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s}^{I_2 \dots I_s}$ and is decreased if otherwise. Therefore $I(F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}) \subset F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$.

2. The induced map \bar{I} on the graded component $\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ is defined as $\bar{I}: \omega + F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s} \mapsto I(\omega) + F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}$ for all $\omega \in F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$. By Part 1, the map \bar{I} is well defined, and it is an idempotent because I is. By projecting $I(\eta_A) \in F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ calculated above to the graded component $\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$, we obtain

$$\bar{I}(\eta_A) = \frac{1}{s} A_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s}^{I_2 \dots I_s} \left(\theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \theta_{I_2}^{\alpha_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s} + \sum_{k=2}^s (-1)^{k-1} \theta^{\alpha_k} \wedge (-D)_{I_k} (\theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \theta_{I_2}^{\alpha_2} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\theta_{I_2}^{\alpha_2}} \dots \wedge \theta_{I_s}^{\alpha_s}) \right) \wedge \nu,$$

which clearly shows that \bar{I} is \mathcal{R}^∞ -linear. \square

Since the coefficients $A_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s}^{I_2 \dots I_s}$ appears inside the derivatives on the right hand side of the formula of $I(\eta_A)$, the map I , although being \mathbb{R} -linear, is not an \mathcal{R}^∞ -module homomorphism. Consequently, neither the kernel $\ker(I) = \text{im}(d_H)$ nor the image $\mathcal{F}^s = I(\Omega_0^{n,s})$ are necessarily \mathcal{R}^∞ -submodules of $\Omega_0^{n,s}$. A notable exception is \mathcal{F}^1 , which happens to be an \mathcal{R}^∞ -module because it is equal to the whole space $\Omega_0^{n,1}$, as explained above.

4. FILTRATION ON THE SPACE OF FUNCTIONAL FORMS AND GRADED COMPONENTS

For the space of functional s -forms $\mathcal{F}^s = \mathcal{F}^s(J^\infty E) = I(\Omega_0^{n,s}(J^\infty E)) \subset \Omega_0^{n,s}(J^\infty E)$, $s \geq 1$, defined above, we introduce an ascending filtration

$$0 = F^{-1} \mathcal{F}^s \subset F^0 \mathcal{F}^s \subset F^1 \mathcal{F}^s \subset \dots \subset F^\infty \mathcal{F}^s = \mathcal{F}^s$$

from that of $\Omega_0^{n,s}$, by taking

$$F^l \mathcal{F}^s := \mathcal{F}^s \cap F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}.$$

Like $\mathcal{F}^s \subset \Omega_0^{n,s}$, the filtered spaces $F^l \mathcal{F}^s$ are \mathbb{R} -linear subspaces of $F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ but are not \mathcal{R}^∞ -submodules. But we will show that the graded components $\text{Gr}^l \mathcal{F}^s = \frac{F^l \mathcal{F}^s}{F^{l-1} \mathcal{F}^s}$ are isomorphic to \mathcal{R}^∞ -submodules of $\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ (Theorem 4.3.2).

Lemma 4.1. *The graded components $\text{Gr}^l \mathcal{F}^s$, $l \geq 0$, can be naturally identified as \mathbb{R} -linear subspaces of $\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$.*

Proof. Directly, the map $\text{Gr}^l \mathcal{F}^s \rightarrow \text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ is $\omega + F^{l-1} \mathcal{F}^s \mapsto \omega + F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}$ for all $\omega \in F^l \mathcal{F}^s$. It is clearly well defined and is injective because if $\omega + F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}$ is zero in $\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$, then $\omega \in F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}$ and thus $\omega \in \mathcal{F}^s \cap F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s} = F^{l-1} \mathcal{F}^s$, i.e., $\omega + F^{l-1} \mathcal{F}^s$ is zero in $\text{Gr}^l \mathcal{F}^s$. A more formal explanation applies the group homomorphism theorem. We have

$$\text{Gr}^l \mathcal{F}^s = \frac{\mathcal{F}^s \cap F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}}{\mathcal{F}^s \cap F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}} = \frac{\mathcal{F}^s \cap F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}}{(\mathcal{F}^s \cap F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}) \cap F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}} \cong \frac{(\mathcal{F}^s \cap F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}) + F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}}{F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}}.$$

Since $(\mathcal{F}^s \cap F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}) + F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s} \subset F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$, $\text{Gr}^l \mathcal{F}^s$ is naturally isomorphic to a subspace of $\frac{F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}}{F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}} = \text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *For all $l \geq 0$, we have $F^l \mathcal{F}^s = I(F^l \Omega_0^{n,s})$.*

Proof. First, by $I(F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}) \subset F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ and $I(F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}) \subset I(\Omega_0^{n,s}) = \mathcal{F}^s$, we obtain $I(F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}) \subset F^l \Omega_0^{n,s} \cap \mathcal{F}^s = F^l \mathcal{F}^s$. Conversely, if $\omega \in F^l \mathcal{F}^s \subset F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$, then since $\omega \in \mathcal{F}^s$, we have $\omega = I(\omega) \in I(F^l \Omega_0^{n,s})$. \square

Lemma 4.2 implies that the filtration $F^l \mathcal{F}^s$ is controlled by the restriction of I to finite jet-order data. The following is a refinement of Lemma 4.1, which equips $\text{Gr}^l \mathcal{F}^s$ with \mathcal{R}^∞ -module structures.

Theorem 4.3. *$\text{Gr}^l \mathcal{F}^s$ are naturally \mathbb{R} -linearly isomorphic to $\text{Gr}^l \mathcal{F}^s \cong \bar{I}_l(\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s})$, which are \mathcal{R}^∞ -modules.*

Proof. For clarity, for each $l \geq 0$, we denote by I_l the restriction of I to $F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ and by \bar{I}_l the induced operator on $\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$. Then under the splitting of \mathcal{R}^∞ -modules $F^l \Omega_0^{n,s} = F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s} \oplus \text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$, I_l assumes the block-matrix form

$$I_l = \begin{pmatrix} I_{l-1} & B_l \\ 0 & \bar{I}_l \end{pmatrix}$$

for some \mathbb{R} -linear operator $B_l: \text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s} \rightarrow F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}$. That is, for $\omega \in F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}$ and $\eta \in \text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ such that $(\omega, \eta) \in F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$, we have $I_l(\omega, \eta) = (I_{l-1}\omega + B_l\eta, \bar{I}_l\eta)$. Typically, B_l is not \mathcal{R}^∞ -linear. By using $I_l^2 = I_l$, we get an identity $I_{l-1}B_l + B_l\bar{I}_l = B_l$ of linear operators, which is equivalent to either of the following two identities:

$$B_l(\text{id} - \bar{I}_l) = I_{l-1}B_l, \quad B_l\bar{I}_l = (\text{id} - I_{l-1})B_l.$$

Since \bar{I}_l is a projection operator on $\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s}$ and is \mathcal{R}^∞ -linear (Proposition 3.1.2), we have an \mathcal{R}^∞ -module decomposition

$$\text{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s} = \text{im}(\text{id} - \bar{I}_l) \oplus \text{im}(\bar{I}_l).$$

If $\omega \in \text{im}(\text{id} - \bar{I}_l)$, then $\omega = (\text{id} - \bar{I}_l)\omega$ and $B_l\omega = B_l(\text{id} - \bar{I}_l)\omega = I_{l-1}B_l\omega \in \text{im}(I_{l-1})$. That is, we get $B_l(\text{im}(\text{id} - \bar{I}_l)) \subset \text{im}(I_{l-1})$. On the other hand, if $\omega \in \text{im}(\bar{I}_l)$, then $\omega = \bar{I}_l\omega$ and $B_l\omega = B_l\bar{I}_l\omega = (\text{id} - I_{l-1})B_l\omega \in \text{im}(\text{id} - I_{l-1})$. Therefore $B_l(\text{im}(\bar{I}_l)) \cap \text{im}(I_{l-1}) = 0$ and hence $\Gamma_l \cap \text{im}(I_{l-1}) = 0$, where $\Gamma_l = \{(B_l\omega, \omega) : \omega \in \text{im}(\bar{I}_l)\}$ is the graph of the operator B_l restricted to $\text{im}(\bar{I}_l)$. Consequently, by Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$F^l \mathcal{F}^s = I_l(F^l \Omega_0^{n,s}) = I_{l-1}(F^{l-1} \Omega_0^{n,s}) + B_l(\text{im}(\text{id} - \bar{I}_l)) + \Gamma_l = F^{l-1} \mathcal{F}^s \oplus \Gamma_l$$

and the desired isomorphism (as \mathbb{R} -vector spaces)

$$\mathrm{Gr}^l \mathcal{F}^s = \frac{\mathbf{F}^l \mathcal{F}^s}{\mathbf{F}^{l-1} \mathcal{F}^s} \cong \Gamma_l \cong \mathrm{im}(\bar{I}_l),$$

where the last isomorphism is the projection $\Gamma_l \subset \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{id} - I_{l-1}) \oplus \mathrm{im}(\bar{I}_l) \rightarrow \mathrm{im}(\bar{I}_l)$. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Fix $l \geq 0$ and for each $k \geq l$, let Γ_k be the graph of B_k on $\mathrm{im}(\bar{I}_k)$ in the proof of Theorem 4.3. Then*

$$\mathbf{F}^l \mathcal{F}^s = \Gamma_l \oplus \Gamma_{l-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_0$$

as \mathbb{R} -vector spaces. Consequently, each $\omega \in \mathbf{F}^l \mathcal{F}^s$ can be uniquely written as $\omega = \omega_l + \omega_{l-1} + \cdots + \omega_1 + \omega_0$, where $\omega_k = (B_k \eta_k, \eta_k) \in \Gamma_k$, $\eta_k \in \bar{I}_l(\mathrm{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,s})$, $0 \leq k \leq l$. The condition $\omega = 0$ is equivalent to $\omega_k = 0$ for all $0 \leq k \leq l$ as well as to $\eta_k = 0$ for all $0 \leq k \leq l$.

Proof. In the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have $\mathbf{F}^l \mathcal{F}^s = \mathbf{F}^{l-1} \mathcal{F}^s \oplus \Gamma_l$. The decomposition $\mathbf{F}^l \mathcal{F}^s = \Gamma_l \oplus \Gamma_{l-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_0$ follows inductively, and the rest is straightforward. \square

When $s = 2$, the decomposition of ω as a sum of $\omega_l, \dots, \omega_1, \omega_0$ was obtained in [1, Proposition 3.6]. The result of Corollary 4.4 holds for general $s \geq 1$. Furthermore, the advantage of $\eta_k = 0$ over $\omega_l = 0$ is that η_k , $0 \leq k \leq l$, are in \mathcal{R}^∞ -modules, and the vanishing of η_k is conveniently achieved by setting to zero of coefficients of an \mathcal{R}^∞ -module basis.

5. TWO EXAMPLES: THE CASE $s = 2$, $l \geq 0$ ARBITRARY AND THE CASE $l = 1$, $s \geq 0$ ARBITRARY

For $s = 2$, we have

$$\mathrm{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,2} = \mathrm{span}_{\mathcal{R}^\infty} \{ \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta_I^\beta \wedge \nu : |I| = l \}.$$

An element in $\mathrm{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,2}$ is of the form $A_{\alpha\beta}^I \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta_I^\beta \wedge \nu$ where the multi-index I with $|I| = l$ is summed.

Corollary 5.1. *The induced map $\bar{I}_l : \mathrm{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}^l \Omega_0^{n,2}$ acts by*

$$A_{\alpha\beta}^I \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta_I^\beta \wedge \nu \mapsto \frac{1}{2} (A_{\alpha\beta}^I + (-1)^{l+1} A_{\beta\alpha}^I) \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta_I^\beta \wedge \nu.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathrm{im}(\bar{I}_l) = \{ A_{\alpha\beta}^I \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta_I^\beta \wedge \nu : A_{\alpha\beta}^I = (-1)^{l+1} A_{\beta\alpha}^I \}, \quad \ker(\bar{I}_l) = \{ A_{\alpha\beta}^I \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta_I^\beta \wedge \nu : A_{\alpha\beta}^I = (-1)^l A_{\beta\alpha}^I \}.$$

Proof. The map is the special case when $s = 2$ of the formula for \bar{I} in the proof of Proposition 3.1.2. The results on its image and kernel are straightforward. \square

Although well known, we use Corollary 5.1 to obtain a necessary condition that given a set of $\Delta_\alpha \in \Omega^{n,0}$, the equations $\Delta_\alpha = 0$ are the Euler-Lagrange equations of some Lagrangian $\lambda \in \Omega^{n,0}$. For this to happen, $\Delta := \theta^\alpha \wedge \Delta_\alpha$ is $\delta_V \lambda$ and must satisfy $\delta_V \Delta = 0$ in \mathcal{F}^2 . We assume that all $\Delta_\alpha \in \mathbf{F}^l \Omega^{n,0}$ for some $l \geq 1$. We calculate

$$\delta_V \Delta = I(d_V \Delta) = \frac{1}{2} \theta^\alpha \wedge \sum_{0 \leq |I| \leq l} \left(\theta_I^\beta \wedge \partial_\beta^I \Delta_\alpha - (-D)_I (\theta^\beta \wedge \partial_\alpha^I \Delta_\beta) \right).$$

The vanishing of $\delta_V \Delta$ is equivalent to that of all its graded components, or $\mu_k = 0$ for all $0 \leq k \leq l$ as in Corollary 4.4. For $k = l$, the only contributions to μ_l are from I with $|I| = l$ in the sum, and we have simply

$$\mu_l = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|I|=l} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta_I^\beta \wedge (\partial_\beta^I \Delta_\alpha + (-1)^l \partial_\alpha^I \Delta_\beta) \in \mathrm{Gr}^l \Omega^{n,2}.$$

For $k = l - 1$, there are contributions to μ_{l-1} from $|I| = l, l - 1$ in the sum. For general k , the contributions to μ_k are from $|I| = l, l - 1, \dots, l - k$. We can rewrite the second term in the sum using higher Euler derivatives so that

$$\delta_V \Delta = \frac{1}{2} \theta^\alpha \wedge \sum_{0 \leq |I| \leq l} \left(\theta_I^\beta \wedge (\partial_\beta^I \Delta_\alpha - (-1)^{|I|} E_\alpha^I(\Delta_\beta)) \right).$$

Then for each $0 \leq k \leq l$, we have

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{2} \theta^\alpha \wedge \sum_{|I|=k} \left(\theta_I^\beta \wedge (\partial_\beta^I \Delta_\alpha - (-1)^{|I|} E_\alpha^I(\Delta_\beta)) \right) \in \mathrm{Gr}^k \Omega^{n,2}.$$

Since $\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta_I^\beta \wedge \nu$, $|I| = k$, form a basis of the \mathcal{R}^∞ -module $\mathrm{Gr}^k \Omega^{n,2}$, the vanishing of μ_k gives the Helmholtz condition

$$\partial_\beta^I \Delta_\alpha = (-1)^k E_\alpha^I(\Delta_\beta), \quad 0 \leq k \leq l,$$

originally studied for the case $l = 2$ [4].

For $s \geq 3$, the map \bar{I}_l in the proof of Proposition 3.1 is quite explicit but involves sophisticated combinatorics if $l \geq 2$. We consider the special case $l = 1$ and arbitrary $s \geq 1$ as an illustration of what the general result can be.

Proposition 5.2. *Write a general element of $\mathrm{Gr}^1 \Omega^{n,s} \subset \mathbf{F}^1 \Omega^{n,s}$ as*

$$\eta_A = A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{s-1} \alpha_s}^i \theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{\alpha_{s-1}} \wedge \theta_i^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu,$$

where the coefficients $A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{s-1} \alpha_s}^i \in \mathcal{R}^\infty$ are totally antisymmetric in $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{im}(\bar{I}_1) &= \left\{ \eta_A : \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} (-1)^{(s-1)k} A_{\alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_s \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_k}^i = 0 \right\}, \\ \ker(\bar{I}_1) &= \left\{ \eta_A : s A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{s-1} \alpha_s}^i = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} (-1)^{(s-1)k} A_{\alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_s \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_k}^i \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the definition of I , we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\eta_A) &= \frac{s-1}{s} \eta_A - \frac{1}{s} D_i (A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{s-1} \alpha_s}^i \theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{\alpha_{s-1}}) \wedge \theta^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu, \\ \bar{I}_1(\eta_A) &= \left(\frac{s-1}{s} A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{s-1} \alpha_s}^i + \frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_s \alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_{s-1} \alpha_k}^i \right) \theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{\alpha_{s-1}} \wedge \theta_i^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu \\ &= \left(A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{s-1} \alpha_s}^i - \frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} (-1)^{(s-1)k} A_{\alpha_{k+1} \dots \alpha_s \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{k-1} \alpha_k}^i \right) \theta^{\alpha_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{\alpha_{s-1}} \wedge \theta_i^{\alpha_s} \wedge \nu. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the total antisymmetry of $A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{s-1} \alpha_s}^i$ in the first $s-1$ indices to rewrite each term so that the indices are cyclic permutations of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}, \alpha_s$. It is instructive to verify that \bar{I}_1 calculated here is indeed a projection operator. Put two column s -vectors: a unit vector $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{s-1})^T$, where $c_k = (-1)^{(s-1)k} / \sqrt{s}$, and

$$a = (A_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{s-1} \alpha_s}^i, A_{\alpha_2 \dots \alpha_s \alpha_1}^i, \dots, A_{\alpha_s \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{s-1}}^i)^T.$$

Then the above formula for \bar{I}_1 can be written as $\bar{I}_1(a) = (I_s - cc^T)a$, where $I_s - cc^T$ is clearly a projection onto the hyperplane perpendicular to c . The results on the image and kernel of \bar{I}_1 then follow. \square

For small s , when $s=1$, $\bar{I}_1=0$. When $s=2$, we recover the result of Corollary 5.1 when $l=1$. The first new case is when $s=3$. For $\eta_A = A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^i \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta \wedge \theta_i^\gamma \wedge \nu$, where $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^i = -A_{\beta\alpha\gamma}^i \in \mathcal{R}^\infty$, we have

$$\text{im}(\bar{I}_1) = \{ \eta_A : A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^i + A_{\gamma\alpha\beta}^i + A_{\beta\gamma\alpha}^i = 0 \}, \quad \ker(\bar{I}_1) = \{ \eta_A : 2A_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^i = A_{\gamma\alpha\beta}^i + A_{\beta\gamma\alpha}^i \}.$$

6. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In this work, we defined a filtration on the variational bicomplex according to jet order, which is preserved by the interior Euler operator. Furthermore, the space of functional forms of any fixed degree inherits a filtration. Though the filtered subspaces are not submodules, the graded components are isomorphic to linear spaces which do have module structures. In this way, the condition that a functional form vanishes can be stated concisely using a module basis. In a continuation of this work, we will consider cases with group symmetries as well as the inverse of Noether's theorems using this new filtration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The work of S.W. is supported in part by the NSTC (Taiwan) grant No. 114-2115-M-007-003 and by the funding of the Mathematical Sciences Institute Distinguished Research Visitor Program (MSRVP) of the Australian National University. He thanks the ANU, members of the MSI, and especially P. Bouwknegt, for hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. M. Anderson, The variational bicomplex, unpublished book available at https://digitalcommons.usu.edu/mathsci_facpub/32/
- [2] G. Barnich and F. Brandt, Covariant theory of asymptotic symmetries, conservation laws and central charges, Nucl. Phys. B633 (2002) 3–82
- [3] R. Bryant, P. Griffiths and D. Grossman, Exterior differential systems and Euler-Lagrange partial differential equations, Univ. Chicago Press (2003)
- [4] H. von Helmholtz, Über die physikalische Bedeutung des Principis der Kleinsten Wirkung, J. reine angew. Math. 100 (1887) 137–166
- [5] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, Quantization of gauge systems, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ (1994)
- [6] P. J. Olver, Applications of Lie groups to differential equations, 2nd ed., Grad. Text Math., 107, Springer, New York (1993)
- [7] F. Takens, A global version of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations, J. Diff. Geom. 14 (1979) 543–562
- [8] T. Tsujishita, On variation bicomplexes associated to differential equations, Osaka J. Math. 19 (1982) 311–363
- [9] W. M. Tulczyjew, The Euler-Lagrange resolution, in: Proc. Int. Colloq. CNRS, Aix-en-Provence, September, 1979, Part I, Lect. Notes Math. 836, pp. 22–48, eds. J. M. Souriau, Springer-Verlag (1980)
- [10] A. M. Vinogradov, A spectral sequence associated with a non-linear differential equation, and the algebro-geometric foundations of Lagrangian field theory with constraints, Sov. Math. Dokl. 19 (1978) 144–148