

# On Prime Matrix Product Factorizations

Saieed Akbari

Department of Mathematics,  
Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

Mohamad Parsa Elahimanesh

Department of Mathematics,  
Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran

Bobby Miraftab

School of Computer Science,  
Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

January 1, 2026

## Abstract

A graph  $G$  factors into graphs  $H$  and  $K$  via a matrix product if  $A = BC$ , where  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are the adjacency matrices of  $G$ ,  $H$ , and  $K$ , respectively. The graph  $G$  is prime if, in every such factorization, one of the factors is a perfect matching that is, it corresponds to a permutation matrix. We characterize all prime graphs, then using this result we classify all factorable forests, answering a question of Akbari et al. [*Linear Algebra and its Applications* (2025)]. We prove that every torus is factorable, and we characterize all possible factorizations of grids, addressing two questions posed by Maghsoudi et al. [*Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* (2025)].

## 1 Introduction

We say that  $G$  is factored into  $H$  and  $K$  if, for some vertex orderings, their adjacency matrices  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  satisfy  $A = BC$ . The graph  $G$  is called *factorable* if such a factorization exists. Let  $H$  and  $K$  be two graphs with  $V(K) = V(H) = [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If  $B$  and  $C$  are the adjacency matrices of  $H$  and  $K$ , respectively, then the *matrix product*  $HK$  is a weighted digraph with adjacency matrix  $BC$ .

In this paper, we investigate the factorization problem for the “matrix product of graphs”. Recent papers [1, 4, 5, 6, 7] renewed interest in matrix-product factorizations. Specifically, Maghsoudi et al. posed the following question:

**Problem 1.** [5, Problem 1] *Which class of graphs is factorable?*

Consider  $K_{2,2}$ , where vertices 1 and 2 are adjacent to vertices 3 and 4. Then, one can see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We call such a graph *prime*. More precisely, we say a graph  $G$  is *prime* if one of the factors in every factorization of  $G$  is a perfect matching. In this paper, we study prime

graphs. In fact, we develop some tools and show that there is a characterization of prime graphs in terms of the existence of a matched pair.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $H$  and  $K$  be graphs on the same vertex set. A pair  $(u, v)$  is called a *matched pair* if one of the following holds:

1.  $\deg_H(u) = \deg_H(v) = 1$  and  $v \in N_H(u)$ .
2.  $\deg_K(u) = \deg_K(v) = 1$  and  $v \in N_K(u)$ .

Without loss of generality, assume  $\deg_H(u) = \deg_H(v) = 1$  and  $v \in N_H(u)$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *The graph  $G$  is prime if and only if every factorization contains a matched pair endpoint in each component of  $G$ .*

As a consequence of studying prime graphs, we introduce new classes of factorable graphs. For instance, we characterize all factorable forests which answers a question in [1]. Let  $G$  be a forest and  $\mathcal{I}$  be the set of all isolated vertices of  $G$ .  $G$  is factorable if and only if  $G \setminus \mathcal{I}$  is factorable. Our first application is the following:

**Theorem 1.3.** *If a forest  $G$ , with no isolated vertices, is factorable, then the following holds:*

- (i) *For every component  $C$  of  $G$ , there is another component of  $G$ , say  $C'$ , such that  $C \cong C'$ .*
- (ii) *The union  $C \cup C'$  can be factored into a perfect matching and itself.*

**Example 1.** *In the following example, we see a factorization of a disjoint union of two copies of path  $P_8$ .*




Figure 1:  $P_8 \cup P_8$  is factored into  $P_8 \cup P_8$  and a perfect matching.

In addition to our first application, we characterize all factorable grid graphs (see Definition 4.11) and torus graphs (see Definition 4.15), thereby resolving two questions posed by Maghsoudi *et al.* [5].

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the Cartesian product  $P_n \square P_m$  is factorable if and only if both  $m$  and  $n$  are even.*

**Example 2.** *In the following example, we factor the grid  $P_2 \square P_4$  into a perfect matching and some graph.*




Figure 2: The left graph depicts  $P_2 \square P_4$ , which is factored into the two graphs on the right.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $C_m \square C_n$  is factorable.*

**Example 3.** *In the following example, we factor  $C_3 \square C_3$  into two 2-regular graphs.*




Figure 3: Factorization of  $C_3 \square C_3$  into two 2-regular graphs.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout,  $G$  is a *simple* graph (no loops or parallel edges) without isolated vertices, with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two vertices in  $G$ . If  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent, then we denote the edge between them as  $u \text{---} v$ .

In [5], Maghsoudi et al. presented an equivalent definition of the matrix product in a purely combinatorial manner. First, we need to establish a few notations and definitions.

**Notation 2.1.** [8] *Let  $H$  and  $K$  be graphs with the same vertex set  $V(H) = V(K) = [n]$ . The disjoint union of  $H$  and  $K$ , denoted by  $H \oplus K$ , is the graph with vertex set  $[n]$  and edge multiset  $E(H) \sqcup E(K)$ , where edges occurring in both  $H$  and  $K$  appear with multiplicity.*

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be two graphs with  $V(H) = V(K) = [n]$ . A path  $u \text{---} w \text{---} v$  is a  $(H - K)$ -path of length 2 if  $u \text{---} w \in E(H)$  and  $w \text{---} v \in E(K)$ . (The endpoints  $u$  and  $v$  may coincide.)

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $H$  and  $K$  be two graphs with  $V(H) = V(K) = [n]$ . Then  $HK$  is a digraph (multi-arcs and loops are allowed) on  $[n]$  such that

$$i \xrightarrow{k} j \text{ if and only if } \exists k \text{ } (H - K)\text{-paths of length 2 from } i \text{ to } j \text{ in } H \oplus K \quad (1)$$

The notation  $i \xrightarrow{k} j$  means there are  $k$  arcs from  $i$  to  $j$ .

In this paper, we seek graphs  $H$  and  $K$  with the adjacency matrices  $B$  and  $C$  such that  $BC$  is a  $(0, 1)$ -symmetric matrix with zero diagonal.

We next describe a combinatorial characterization of factorable graphs. Recall that a  $k$ -edge coloring of a graph assigns one of  $k$  colors to each edge. This coloring need not be a proper edge coloring.

**Definition 2.3.** (cf. [2, Definition 2.6]) We say that  $H \oplus K$  satisfies the *diamond condition* if, for every pair of vertices  $u, v$ , whenever there exists an  $(H - K)$ -path of length 2 from  $u$  to  $v$ , there exists a unique  $(K - H)$ -path of length 2 from  $u$  to  $v$ .




Figure 4

Color the edges of  $H$  blue and those of  $K$  red; their union  $H \oplus K$  is thus a 2-edge-colored graph. Understanding this coloring is key to characterizing when  $G = HK$  exists.

**Lemma 2.4.** [6, Theorem 1] *Let a graph  $G$  be factored into graphs  $H$  and  $K$ . Then the following holds:*

- (i)  $H \oplus K$  is simple i.e.  $H$  and  $K$  are edge disjoint.
- (ii) *There is a 2-edge coloring of  $H \oplus K$  in which  $E(H)$  is blue and  $E(K)$  is red, satisfying the diamond condition.*

**Lemma 2.5.** [6, Corollary 2] *Let  $G$  be factored into graphs  $H$  and  $K$ . If vertices  $u$  and  $v$  are connected in  $H$ , then  $\deg_K(u) = \deg_K(v)$ .*

**Lemma 2.6.** [6, Theorem 7] *Let  $G$  be factored into graphs  $H$  and  $K$  and  $u$  is a vertex of  $G$ . Then  $\deg_G(u) = \deg_H(u)\deg_K(u)$ .*

### 3 Prime Graphs

We start with the following simple lemma without proof.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $H$  be a perfect matching with the adjacency matrix  $B$ . Then  $B^2 = I$ .*

Any adjacency matrix of a perfect matching is a *permutation matrix*, which means that in every row and every column there is exactly one 1. In addition, every  $n \times n$  permutation matrix corresponds to a permutation on  $S_n$ , which is a product of disjoint transpositions. Each transposition corresponds to an edge of the matching. Thus, we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $H$  be a perfect matching with the adjacency matrix  $B$ . Then  $B$  can be considered as an automorphism of  $H$ .*

Let  $G$ ,  $H$ , and  $K$  be graphs with the adjacency matrices  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  respectively, such that  $A = BC$  and  $B^2 = I$ .

Since  $V(H) = V(G)$ , then  $B$  can be considered as a map from  $V(G)$  to  $V(G)$ . We next show that  $B$  also can be regarded as an automorphism of  $G$ . But we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $H$  be a perfect matching with the adjacency matrix  $B$ . If  $G$  is a graph with the adjacency matrix  $A$ , then  $BAB$  represents a relabeling of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1,  $B^2 = I$ , so  $B = B^{-1}$ . Since  $H$  is a perfect matching,  $B$  is a permutation matrix. Thus  $BAB = BAB^{-1} = BAB^T$ , which means that  $BAB$  relabels  $G$  by swapping each vertex with its matched partner in  $H$ .  $\square$

Every automorphism of a graph  $G$  can be regarded as a permutation matrix. Keeping this fact in mind, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $H$  be a perfect matching with the adjacency matrix  $B$ . Then  $G$  is factored into  $H$  and some  $K$  if and only if  $B$  is an automorphism of  $G$  without any fixed edge.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  and  $C$  be the adjacency matrices of graphs  $G$  and  $K$  so that  $A = BC = CB$ . Since  $B^2 = I$ ,  $BA = AB = C$ . Thus  $B$  is an automorphism of  $G$ . For the sake of contradiction, suppose  $B$  fixes some edge  $\{u, v\}$  of  $G$  (so  $Bu = v$  and  $Bv = u$ ). Then  $\{u, v\}$  is an edge of both  $G$  and  $H$ , contradicting Lemma 2.4 (which states that  $G$  and  $H$  have no edge in common).

Conversely, assume  $B$  is an automorphism of  $G$  with no fixed edge. Then  $BA = AB$ . Because  $B$  and  $A$  are symmetric matrices,  $BA$  is also symmetric. Moreover, since  $B$  fixes no edge of  $G$ , every diagonal entry of  $BA$  is 0 (no edge of  $G$  appears in both  $G$  and  $H$ ). Thus  $BA$  is a symmetric  $\{0, 1\}$ -matrix with zero diagonal, which we take as the adjacency matrix of a graph  $K$ . By construction  $A = B(BA) = BC$ , so indeed  $G$  is factored into  $H$  and  $K$ .  $\square$

**Notation 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $u$  be a vertex of  $G$ . Then  $C_G(u)$  denotes the component of  $G$  containing  $u$ .*

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $G$  and  $H$  be graphs with  $U \subseteq V(G)$ . A map  $\varphi: U \rightarrow V(H)$  is a *graph homomorphism* if  $u \text{---} v \in E(G)$  implies  $\varphi(u) \text{---} \varphi(v) \in E(H)$  for all  $u, v \in U$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $G$  be factored into  $H$  and  $K$  with a matched pair  $(u, v)$ . For  $s \in \{u, v\}$ , the map  $\varphi: C_K(s) \rightarrow N_H(C_K(s))$ , defined by  $\varphi(x) = N_H(x)$ , is a graph homomorphism.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.5, every  $x \in C_K(u) \cup C_K(v)$  has a unique neighbor in  $H$ , so  $\varphi(x) = N_H(x)$  is well-defined. In particular,  $\varphi(u) = v$  and  $\varphi(v) = u$ . To prove that  $\varphi$  is a graph homomorphism, let  $a, b \in C_K(s)$  be adjacent in  $K$ . Since each vertex has a unique neighbor in  $H$ , we obtain an alternating path  $N_H(a) \text{---} a \text{---} b \text{---} N_H(b)$ . By the diamond condition, this implies  $N_H(a) \text{---} N_H(b)$ , proving that  $\varphi(a) \text{---} \varphi(b) \in E(N_H(C_K(s)))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *We have  $C_K(u) = \varphi(C_K(v))$  and  $\varphi(C_K(u)) = C_K(v)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi(C_K(s)) \subseteq C_K(\varphi(s))$ , applying  $\varphi$  again gives  $\varphi^2(C_K(s)) \subseteq \varphi(C_K(\varphi(s)))$ . By the definition of  $\varphi$ , we have  $\varphi^2(C_K(s)) = C_K(s)$ , which implies  $\varphi(C_K(s)) = C_K(\varphi(s))$ . Thus,  $N_H(C_K(s)) = C_K(N_H(s))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.**  *$\varphi: C_K(u) \rightarrow C_K(v)$  is an isomorphism, and moreover  $N_H(C_K(u)) = C_K(v)$  and  $N_H(C_K(v)) = C_K(u)$ .*

**Definition 3.10.** A subset  $U$  of  $V(G) = V(H \oplus K)$  is called *alone* if every vertex  $u'$  of  $V(G) \setminus U$  has no neighbors in  $U$  in  $H \oplus K$  and  $G$ , i.e.  $N_G(u') \cap U = N_{H \oplus K}(u') \cap U = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *Let a graph  $G$  be factored into  $H$  and  $K$  with a matched pair  $(u, v)$ . Then  $C_K(u) \cup C_K(v)$  is alone.*

*Proof.* According to the diamond condition, every edge of  $G$  corresponds to an alternating path in  $H \oplus K$ . This implies that every component of  $G$  is a subset of a component of  $H \oplus K$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to show that  $C_K(u) \cup C_K(v)$  is alone in  $H \oplus K$ . Let  $x \in V(G) \setminus V(C_K(u) \cup C_K(v))$ . We need to show that  $N_{H \oplus K}(x) \cap (C_K(u) \cup C_K(v)) = \emptyset$ . By [Corollary 3.9](#), we know that  $N_H(C_K(u)) = C_K(v)$  and  $N_H(C_K(v)) = C_K(u)$ . Therefore,  $x$  cannot be adjacent to any vertex in  $C_K(u) \cup C_K(v)$  in  $H$ . Furthermore,  $C_K(u)$  and  $C_K(v)$  are components of  $K$ , and thus there is no edge in  $K$  between  $x$  and  $C_K(u) \cup C_K(v)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.12.** Let  $G$  be a graph. Then  $G$  is *prime* if, for every factorization of  $G$ , one of the factors is a perfect matching.

By definition, A graph without any factorization is prime.

**Remark 3.13.** If a prime graph  $G$  is factorable, then  $G$  has an even number of vertices.

We now are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 1.2.** *The graph  $G$  is prime if and only if every factorization contains a matched pair endpoint in each component of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G$  factors into  $H$  and  $K$  with  $H$  a perfect matching, then trivially the endpoints of each  $H$ -edge form a matched pair (as desired).

For the backward implication, assume that  $G$  factors into  $H$  and  $K$ . Consider any vertex  $x$  in  $H$ , and let  $C$  be the component of  $G$  containing  $x$ . By assumption, there is a matched pair  $u, v$  such that  $u \in C$ . By [Lemma 3.11](#),  $C_K(u) \cup C_K(v)$  is alone, which implies that  $x \in C_K(u) \cup C_K(v)$ . By [Lemma 2.5](#),  $\deg_H(z) = 1$  for every  $z \in C_K(u) \cup C_K(v)$ , it follows that  $\deg_H(x) = 1$ , which implies that  $H$  is a perfect matching.  $\square$

## 4 Applications of Prime Graphs

We now apply our prime-graph results to broader classes: first graphs without  $C_4$  (yielding factorable forests), then grids and torus graphs.

### 4.1 Square-free graphs

In this subsection, we characterize all factorable forests. We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** [\[1, Lemma 19\]](#) *Let a graph  $G$  be factored into two graphs  $H$  and  $K$ . If  $G$  has no  $C_4$ , then for every vertex  $u \in V(G)$ , either  $\deg_K(u) = 1$  or  $\deg_H(u) = 1$ .*

**Lemma 4.2.** *Every graph with no  $C_4$  is prime.*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be a component of  $H \oplus K$ . If  $C$  is 2-regular, then for each vertex  $u \in V(C)$  we have  $\deg_H(u) = \deg_K(u) = 1$ , so each  $H$ -edge in  $C$  is a *matched pair*. If  $C$  is not 2-regular, then there exists a vertex  $u \in C$  with  $\deg_{H \oplus K}(u) \geq 3$ . By [Lemma 4.1](#), we may assume w.l.o.g. that  $\deg_H(u) = 1$  and  $\deg_K(u) \geq 2$ . Then  $u$  has a unique neighbor  $N_H(u)$  in  $H$ , and by [Lemma 2.5](#) we get  $\deg_K(N_H(u)) = \deg_K(u) \geq 2$ . Applying [Lemma 4.1](#) again, we find  $\deg_H(N_H(u)) = 1$ , so  $\{u, N_H(u)\}$  is a matched pair. Since  $C$  was an arbitrary component of  $H \oplus K$ , [Theorem 1.2](#) ensures that  $G$  is prime.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph such that for a pair of vertices  $u$  and  $v$  there is a unique shortest path  $\gamma$  between them. Then there is no perfect matching  $H$  with an adjacency matrix  $B$  such that  $B$  is an automorphism of  $G$  without fixed edge and  $Bu = v$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose for contradiction that there exists a perfect matching  $H$  such that  $Bu = v$ . If  $\gamma$  has an odd number of vertices, then  $B$  fixes the central vertex of  $\gamma$ . This is because there is only one path between  $u$  and  $v$ , and so  $B\gamma = \gamma$ . However,  $B$  cannot fix a vertex of  $G$ , since  $H$  is a perfect matching. Therefore,  $\gamma$  has an even number of vertices and so  $B$  fixes the central edge of  $\gamma$ , contradicting the assumption that  $B$  fixes no edge of  $G$ .  $\square$

Now, we have the following immediately corollary.

**Corollary 4.4.** *For every tree  $T$  and every perfect matching  $H$  with adjacency matrix  $B$ , if  $B$  is an automorphism of  $T$ , then  $B$  fixes an edge of  $T$ .*

In [\[1, Problem 2\]](#), the authors ask for a characterization of factorable forests. We answer this question as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** *If a forest  $G$ , with no isolated vertices, is factorable, then the following holds:*

- (i) *For every component  $C$  of  $G$ , there is another component of  $G$ , say  $C'$ , such that  $C \cong C'$ .*
- (ii) *The union  $C \cup C'$  can be factored into a perfect matching and itself.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a forest factored into  $H$  and  $K$ . Since  $G$  has no  $C_4$ , [Lemma 4.2](#) implies  $G$  is prime; therefore  $H$  is a perfect matching. Let  $B$  be the adjacency matrices of  $H$ . By [Corollary 4.4](#),  $B$  permutes the components of  $G$  (it does not fix any component).

Next, let  $X$  be the adjacency matrix of a component. Since  $G$  is bipartite,  $X$  has the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y \\ Y^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, consider two isomorphic components of  $G$ , whose adjacency matrix is of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Y & 0 & 0 \\ Y^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Y^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If this matrix is multiplied by the adjacency matrix of a perfect matching:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \\ I & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the result is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & Y \\ 0 & 0 & Y^T & 0 \\ 0 & Y & 0 & 0 \\ Y^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.5.** [1, Theorem 20] *Every tree with at least 2 vertices is not factorable.*

## 4.2 Degree Sequences

In this subsection, we study the interaction between the degree sequence of a graph and its primeness. We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $G$  be a factorable graph. If two vertices  $a$  and  $d$  are adjacent in  $G$ , then there exist adjacent vertices  $b$  and  $c$ , such that  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$  are pairwise distinct and*

$$\deg_G(a) \deg_G(d) = \deg_G(b) \deg_G(c).$$

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be factored into  $H$  and  $K$ . Since  $a$  and  $d$  are adjacent in  $G$ , there exist vertices  $b$  and  $c$  satisfying the diamond condition (see Figure 4(a)). By Lemma 2.6, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \deg_G(a) \deg_G(d) &= \deg_K(a) \deg_H(a) \deg_K(d) \deg_H(d) \\ &= \deg_K(c) \deg_H(b) \deg_K(b) \deg_H(c) \\ &= \deg_G(c) \deg_G(b). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

As a direct consequence of the preceding result, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph with two adjacent vertices of degrees  $p$  and  $q$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are prime numbers. If  $G$  has neither*

- (i) *a vertex of degree  $pq$ , nor*
- (ii) *any vertex of degree 1,*

*then  $G$  is prime.*

*Proof.* Let  $\deg_G(a) = p$  and  $\deg_G(d) = q$  and  $G$  be factored into  $K$  and  $H$ . By Lemma 4.6 and without loss of generality, we can assume that there are two other vertices  $b$  and  $c$  such that  $\deg_G(b) = p$  and  $\deg_G(c) = q$ . Note  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$  should satisfy the diamond condition (see Figure 4(a)). Given that  $\deg_G(c) = q$ , so either  $\deg_H(c) = q$  or  $\deg_K(c) = q$ , see Lemma 2.6. If  $\deg_K(c) = q$ , then  $\deg_K(a) = q$ , as  $c$  is adjacent to  $a$  in  $H$ , see Lemma 2.5.



However, this yields a contradiction, as  $p = \deg_G(a) = \deg_H(a)\deg_K(a) = q\deg_H(a)$ . Thus, we have  $\deg_H(c) = q$  and so  $\deg_K(c) = 1$ . Note  $d$  and  $c$  are adjacent in  $K$  and so  $\deg_H(c) = \deg_H(d) = q$ . Since  $\deg_G(d) = \deg_H(d)\deg_K(d)$ , we have  $\deg_K(d) = 1$ . Since  $c$  and  $d$  are adjacent in  $K$  and  $\deg_K(d) = \deg_K(c) = 1$ , they form a matched pair.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph with a vertex of degree  $p$  and no vertices of degree  $kp$ , where  $p$  is a prime number and  $k > 1$ . Then  $G$  is prime.*


*Proof.* Let  $G$  be factored into  $H$  and  $K$ , and let  $a$  be a vertex of degree  $p$  in  $G$ . There are vertices  $b, c$  and  $d$  satisfying the diamond condition (see Figure 4(a)). Since  $\deg_G(a) = p$ , without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\deg_H(a) = 1$  and  $\deg_K(a) = p$ . Note  $a$  is adjacent to  $c$  in  $K$ , so  $\deg_K(a) = \deg_K(c) = p$ . Since  $\deg_G(c) = \deg_H(c)\deg_K(c)$ , we have  $\deg_G(c) = p\deg_H(c)$ , which implies that  $\deg_H(c) = 1$ . Given that  $\deg_H(c) = \deg_H(a) = 1$  and  $c$  is adjacent to  $a$  in  $H$ , we deduce that  $c, a$  is a matched pair. Finally, since  $G$  is connected Theorem 1.2 completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph whose maximum degree is prime. Then  $G$  is prime.*

Next, we show that the Petersen graph is not factorable.

**Corollary 4.10.** *The Petersen graph is not factorable.*

*Proof.* Let us denote the Petersen graph by  $P$ . It is cubic, and by Corollary 4.9, It is prime.



Next, we show that every automorphism of  $P$  of order two without a fixed edge has a fixed vertex. This implies that  $P$  has no factorization; see Theorem 3.4. It is known that the automorphism group of  $P$  is the symmetric group  $S_5$ , see [9]. Let  $e$  be an arbitrary edge of  $P$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $e$  is colored number 1, as  $P$  is edge-transitive. Assume that  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of  $P$  of order 2 that maps the number 1 to 2, so there are only four cases for  $\sigma$ :

$$(1, 2), (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 2)(4, 5), \text{ and } (1, 2)(3, 5)$$

In all cases,  $\sigma$  must fix the unique vertex incident with the edges colored 3 (red), 4 (blue), and 5 (green); hence  $\sigma$  has a fixed vertex.  $\square$

### 4.3 Factorization of Grids and Torus

Maghsoudi et al. [5] asked to characterize all factorable grid and torus graphs. We answer these questions here.

**Definition 4.11.** (cf. [3, p. 382]) A *two-dimensional grid* graph, also known as a two-dimensional lattice graph is an  $m \times n$  lattice graph that is the Cartesian product  $P_m \square P_n$  of path graphs on  $m$  and  $n$  vertices.

**Lemma 4.12.** *Every grid graph is prime.*

*Proof.* Let  $G = P_n \square P_m$ . We split our proof depending on the values of  $m$  and  $n$ .

- If  $n = 1$  and  $m \geq 2$ , then  $G \cong P_m$  and the result follows from [Corollary 4.5](#).
- If  $n = m = 2$ , then  $G \cong C_4$ . All vertices have degree 2 and none has degree  $2k$  ( $k > 1$ ), so [Lemma 4.8](#) applies.
- Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 3$ . Here  $G$  contains vertices of degree 3 but none of degree  $3k$  ( $k > 1$ ); [Lemma 4.8](#) again yields the result.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a connected graph. For  $u, v \in V(G)$ , let  $d(u, v)$  denote their (shortest-path) distance. For  $v \in V(G)$ , its *eccentricity* is  $\epsilon(v) = \max_{u \in V(G)} d(v, u)$ . The *radius* of  $G$  is  $r = \min_{v \in V(G)} \epsilon(v)$ , and the *center* is

$$\text{cen}(G) = \{v \in V(G) \mid \epsilon(v) = r\}.$$

**Remark 4.13.** Every automorphism of  $G$  fixes  $\text{cen}(G)$  setwise.

Next, we have the following folklore result.

**Lemma 4.14.** *Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

- (i) *If exactly one of  $m$  or  $n$  is even, then the center of  $P_n \square P_m$  has exactly two adjacent vertices.*
- (ii) *If both  $m$  and  $n$  are even, then the center of  $P_n \square P_m$  has exactly four vertices.*

In the next theorem, we discuss when  $P_n \square P_m$  is factorable. If  $m = n = 1$ , then  $P_1 \square P_1$  is a single vertex, and its adjacency matrix is a  $1 \times 1$  zero matrix. Trivially, every  $n \times n$  zero matrix is the matrix product of two  $n \times n$  zero matrices.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the Cartesian product  $P_n \square P_m$  is factorable if and only if both  $m$  and  $n$  are even.*

*Proof.* Let  $G = P_n \square P_m$ .

First, assume  $G$  is factorable. By [Lemma 4.12](#),  $G$  is prime, and by [Remark 3.13](#)  $G$  has an even number of vertices. Hence at least one of  $m$  or  $n$  is even.

Suppose for contradiction that exactly one of  $m, n$  is even. Then center of  $G$  consists of exactly two adjacent vertices (by [Lemma 4.14](#)). By [Remark 4.13](#), every automorphism

of  $G$  fixes this center edge. However, by [Theorem 3.4](#),  $B$  is an automorphism of  $G$  with no fixed edges, contradicting the previous observation. Thus, both  $m$  and  $n$  must be even.

For the backward implication, assume that both  $m$  and  $n$  are even. The vertex set of  $G$  is

$$V(G) = \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}.$$

There is a unique shortest path between  $(1, 1)$  and  $(1, m)$  and similarly between  $(1, 1)$  and  $(n, 1)$ . Since  $B$  is an automorphism of  $G$ , it follows that

$$B(1, 1) = (n, m), \quad B(n, 1) = (1, m).$$

Because  $B$  does not fix any vertex of  $G$ , we deduce that

$$B(1, i) = (n, m + 1 - i), \quad B(j, 1) = (n + 1 - j, m).$$

Applying the same argument,

$$B(2, i) = (n - 1, m + 1 - i), \quad B(j, 2) = (n + 1 - j, m - 1).$$

By induction,  $B(i, j) = (n + 1 - i, m + 1 - j)$  defines the unique automorphism, proving factorability.  $\square$

**Definition 4.15.** (cf. [3, p. 382]) The *torus* or torus grid graph  $T_{m,n}$  is the graph formed from the Cartesian product  $C_m \square C_n$  of the cycle graphs  $C_m$  and  $C_n$ .

**Definition 4.16.** (cf. [2, p. 34]) If  $S$  is a symmetric subset (A set  $S$  is *symmetric* if  $S = S^{-1}$ , where  $S^{-1} = \{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$ .) of a group  $G$ , then the corresponding *Cayley graph*  $\text{Cay}(G; S)$  is the undirected graph whose vertices are the elements of  $G$ , and such that vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if and only if  $x^{-1}y \in S$ .

The torus  $C_n \square C_m$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m; (\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1))$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $C_m \square C_n$  is factorable.

*Proof.* Let us denote the vertices of  $C_m \square C_n$  by

$$V(C_n \square C_m) = \{(i, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq m - 1, 0 \leq i \leq n - 1\}.$$

Depending on  $m$  and  $n$  we have the following cases: a symmetric subset (A set  $S$  is *symmetric* if  $S = S^{-1}$ , where  $S^{-1} = \{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$ .)

- Let at least one of  $m$  and  $n$  be even. Without loss of generality, assume that  $n$  is even. We define the graph  $H$  with the same vertex set  $V(C_n \square C_m)$  and the edge set  $\{(i, j) \text{ --- } (i + \frac{n}{2}, j) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2}, 0 \leq j \leq m - 1\}$ . Note that the sum in component occurs modulo  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  respectively. It is not hard to see that  $H$  is a perfect matching on  $V(C_n \square C_m)$ . Now, consider the adjacency matrix  $B$  of  $H$ .  $B$  has no fixed edge, and by [Theorem 3.4](#),  $G$  is factored into  $H$  and some graph  $K$ .
- Let  $m$  and  $n$  be both odd. In this case, we define  $H$  and  $K$  directly. We set  $H := \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m, (\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}), (\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{m+1}{2}))$  and  $K := \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m, (\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}), (\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{m+1}{2}))$ . Assume that  $H$  has blue edges and  $K$  red edges (dashed edges). Let  $u \text{ --- } v \text{ --- } w$  and  $u \text{ --- } z \text{ --- } t$ , where  $u = (i, j)$ . Then we have the following cases:

- We first assume that  $u + (\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}) = v$  and  $v + (\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}) = w$ . Thus we have  $u + (n, m-1) = w$  and so  $w = (i, j-1)$ .
- We assume that  $u + (\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{m+1}{2}) = v$  and  $v + (\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}) = w$ . Thus we have  $u + (n-1, m) = w$  and so  $w = (i-1, j)$ .
- We next assume that  $u + (\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}) = v$  and  $v + (\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{m+1}{2}) = w$ . Thus we have  $u + (n+1, m) = w$  and so  $w = (i+1, j)$ .
- We next assume that  $u + (\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{m+1}{2}) = v$  and  $v + (\frac{n+1}{2}, \frac{m+1}{2}) = w$ . Thus we have  $u + (n, m+1) = w$  and so  $w = (i, j+1)$ .

Hence we prove that  $(i, j)$  is adjacent to  $(i-1, j)$ ,  $(i, j-1)$ ,  $(i+1, j)$  and  $(i, j+1)$ . Next, we need to show that  $H \oplus K$  has the diamond condition. Assume that the vertices  $(i, j)$  and  $(i', j')$  belong to the following diamond:

$$(i, j) \text{ --- } X_1 \text{ --- } (i', j') \text{ --- } X_2 \text{ --- } (i, j),$$

where  $X_i \in V(H \oplus K)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Note  $(i', j')$  is one of the following cases:  $(i-1, j)$ ,  $(i, j-1)$ ,  $(i+1, j)$ , and  $(i, j+1)$ . In addition, one can see that the vertices  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are defined uniquely for each case. This shows that the matrix product of  $H$  and  $K$  is  $C_n \square C_m$ .  $\square$

## 5 Further research

We close the paper with the following problems:

1. Let  $d$  and  $t$  be integers with  $d \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 3$ . The *windmill graph*  $W_{d,t}$  is obtained by taking  $d$  copies of the clique  $K_t$  and identifying exactly one vertex from each copy into a single vertex. We call this shared vertex  $c$  and refer to it as the *hub*. Each copy contributes  $t-1$  new vertices, so there are  $d(t-1)$  non-hub vertices in total. Furthermore, each non-hub vertex lies in exactly one copy of  $K_t$  and is adjacent to the hub  $c$  and to the other  $t-2$  vertices of its own clique. For example, when  $t = 3$ , the graph  $W_{d,3}$  is exactly the standard friendship graph  $F_d$ , and it follows from [5, Proposition 3.29] that  $F_d$  (and hence  $W_{d,3}$ ) does not admit a factorization. On the other hand, the windmill graph  $W_{1,4t+1} = K_{4t+1}$  does admit a factorization.

We ask to determine all pairs  $(d, t)$  for which  $W_{d,t}$  admits a factorization.

2. The authors in [5] proved that the complete graph  $K_n$  admits a factorization if and only if  $n = 4t + 1$ . They also provide two factorizations of  $K_{4t+1}$ , where both factors are transitive in both cases. We ask the following: find two non-transitive graphs  $H$  and  $K$  with adjacency matrices  $B$  and  $C$  respectively such that  $BC = J - I$ .

## References

- [1] SAEED AKBARI, YIZHENG FANB, BABAK MIRAFAT, and YI WANG. “Spectral Methods for Matrix Product Factorization”. In: *Linear Algebra and its Applications* (2025).
- [2] CHRIS GODSIL and GORDON ROYLE. *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Vol. 207. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001, pp. xx+439.

- [3] TERESA W. HAYNES, STEPHEN T. HEDETNIEMI, and MICHAEL A. HENNING, eds. *Topics in domination in graphs*. Vol. 64. Developments in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, 2020, pp. viii+545.
- [4] ALLEN W HERMAN and BOBBY MIRAFTAB. “On Matrix Product Factorization of Cayley graphs”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2512.17110* (2025).
- [5] FARZAD MAGHSOUDI, BABAK MIRAFTAB, and SHO SUDA. “On Matrix Product Factorization of graphs”. In: *J Algebr Comb* 61, 12 (2025).
- [6] K. MANJUNATHA PRASAD, G. SUDHAKARA, H. S. SUJATHA, and M. VINAY. “Matrix product of graphs”. In: *Combinatorial matrix theory and generalized inverses of matrices*. Springer, New Delhi, 2013, pp. 41–55.
- [7] BABAK MIRAFTAB, HEYDAR RADJAVI, and SHO SUDA. “On the factorability of infinite graphs”. In: *Linear Algebra Appl.* 728 (2026), pp. 409–418.
- [8] KENNETH H. ROSEN, DOUGLAS R. SHIER, and WAYNE GODDARD, eds. *Handbook of discrete and combinatorial mathematics*. Second. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2018, pp. xxiv+1590.
- [9] JAPHETH WOOD. “Proof without words: The automorphism group of the Petersen graph is isomorphic to  $S_5$ ”. In: *Math. Mag.* 89.4 (2016), p. 267.