

BOUNDEDNESS OF FOURIER INTEGRAL OPERATORS WITH COMPLEX PHASES ON FOURIER LEBESGUE SPACES

DUVÁN CARDONA^{1,*}, WILLIAM OBENG-DENTEH^{2,*}, AND FREDERICK OPOKU^{3,*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we develop boundedness estimates for Fourier integral operators on Fourier Lebesgue spaces when the associated canonical relation is parametrised by a complex phase function. Our result constitutes the complex analogue of those obtained for real canonical relations by Rodino, Nicola, and Cordero. We prove that, under the spatial factorization condition of rank \varkappa , the corresponding Fourier integral operator is bounded on the Fourier Lebesgue space \mathcal{FL}^p , provided that the order m of the operator satisfies that $m \leq -\varkappa \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right|$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. This condition on the order m is sharp.

Keywords. Fourier integral operators, Fourier Lebesgue spaces, Complex canonical relations.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35S30; Secondary 42B37.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. FIOs & affine fibrations	7
References	22

1. INTRODUCTION

Fourier integral operators with complex-valued phase functions arise, for instance, in the analysis of the wave equation for Hörmander sub-Laplacians on Heisenberg-type and Métivier groups, as was recently and remarkably observed by Martini and Müller [11], in settings where the classical theory of Fourier integral operators with real-valued phase functions is inoperable, in particular, when one is interested in obtaining sharp boundedness results. Nevertheless, the calculus of Fourier integral operators was introduced in the 1970s by Melin and Sjöstrand [12] motivated by the problem of constructing parametrices for operators of principal type with non-real principal symbols.

Duván Cardona has been supported by the FWO Odysseus 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations and by the Methusalem programme of the Ghent University Special Research Fund (BOF) (Grant number 01M01021), by the FWO Fellowship Grant No 1204824N and by the FWO Grant K183725N of the Belgian Research Foundation FWO. Frederick Opoku has been supported by Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, (KNUST) of Ghana.

The aim of this paper is to establish boundedness properties for Fourier integral operators on Fourier Lebesgue spaces associated with complex canonical relations. Our analysis builds on fundamental progress in the subject, particularly in settings where the canonical relation is parametrised by a complex phase function. The methods and techniques developed here combine ideas from Ruzhansky [18], Laptev, Safarov, and Vassiliev [10], Rodino, Nicola, and Cordero [2], Nicola [9], as well as the work of the first author with Ruzhansky [1].

Fourier integral operators with real-valued phase functions, and associated with real canonical relations, were introduced by Hörmander [6] and Duistermaat and Hörmander [3]. The time-dependent boundedness properties of these operators are well understood in view of the celebrated results of Seeger, Sogge, and Stein [16] for L^p spaces, and by the weak (1,1) boundedness theorem due to Tao [21]. On the other hand, by taking averages in time, Sogge [17] conjectured *local smoothing estimates* for the wave equation, and consequently for Fourier integral operators. A complete solution to this conjecture in dimension $d = 2$ was established by Guth, Wang, and Zhang [5].

On the other hand, motivated by the problem of constructing parametrices or fundamental solutions for operators of principal type with non-real principal symbols, Melin and Sjöstrand [12] investigated Fourier integral operators associated with complex-valued phase functions. The L^p -regularity properties of these operators were further studied by Ruzhansky [18], who showed that the results of Seeger, Sogge, and Stein [16] can be extended to this setting. Moreover, in a series of papers, Ruzhansky investigated whether the factorization condition is necessary to obtain boundedness of these operators; see [18] and the references therein.

The regularity properties of Fourier integral operators change significantly when one considers operators associated with degenerate or complex phase functions. This was observed by Rodino, Nicola, and Cordero [2], and for phases with a specific order of degeneracy by Nicola [9]. In the results of Cordero, Rodino, and Nicola, the operators are associated with real canonical relations. To provide a more complete picture, these results motivate the study of Fourier integral operators whose canonical relation is a complex Lagrangian manifold. In some sense, the use of complex phases provides a more natural approach to Fourier integral operators. Indeed, the complex phase approach allows one to avoid the geometric obstructions present in the global theory with real phase functions, and notably, every Fourier integral operator with a real phase can be globally parametrised by a single complex phase; see Laptev, Safarov, and Vassiliev [10] for this construction.

In order to present our main result we introduce the required notation in Section 2. In particular, the class of Fourier integral operators of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$, on \mathbb{R}^d is denoted by $I^m(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d; \mathcal{C})$, and the Fourier Lebesgue spaces by \mathcal{FL}^p . Here, \mathcal{C} is a complex-canonical relation locally parametrised by a complex-valued phase function $\Phi(x, \eta)$ which we assume to satisfy the *spatial smooth factorization condition* (SSFC) in Definition 2.1. This assumption is inspired by, and closely follows, the spatial smooth factorization condition introduced by Nicola [9] in the setting of Fourier integral operators of real-valued phases. It is the spatial counterpart of

the one introduced by Ruzhansky in [18] and by Seeger, Sogge and Stein [16]. The following is our main result, inspired by the case $\text{Im}(\Phi) \equiv 0$ that have been proved by Nicola [9].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $T \in I^m(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C})$ be a Fourier integral operator associated to a complex canonical relation \mathcal{C} (locally) parametrised by a complex phase function Φ . Let us assume that there exists a real parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, and an integer $0 \leq \kappa \leq d$, such that the non-degenerate real-valued phase function*

$$\Phi_\tau(x, \eta) := \text{Re } \Phi(x, \eta) + \tau \text{Im } \Phi(x, \eta),$$

satisfies the spatial factorization condition (SSFC) of rank κ in Definition 2.1. Then for every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the operator $T : \mathcal{FL}^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{FL}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ extends to a bounded operator, that is,

$$\exists C > 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{FL}^p, \|Tf\|_{\mathcal{FL}^p} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^p},$$

provided that $m \leq -\kappa \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right|$. This condition on the order m is sharp.

Next, we briefly discuss our main theorem.

Remark 1.2. The main difficulty in proving Theorem 1.1 arises from the fact that, after conjugating the operator T with the Fourier transform, it can be realised as an operator with phase

$$\Phi_\tau(\eta, y) = \text{Re } \Phi(\eta, y) + \tau \text{Im } \Phi(\eta, y),$$

and symbol $\sigma_\tau(\eta, y) = \sigma(\eta, y)e^{-2\pi(1+i\tau)\text{Im } \Phi(\eta, y)} \in S_{1/2, 1/2}^m(\mathbb{R}_\eta^d \times \mathbb{R}_y^d)$. The main point is that the symbol $\sigma_\tau \in S_{1/2, 1/2}^m$ now exhibits a more oscillatory behaviour, since it belongs to a Hörmander class of type $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, rather than to the Kohn–Nirenberg class $S_{1,0}^m$ according to the analysis in [9]. Even in the case of pseudo-differential operators, the behavior is markedly different. While pseudo-differential operators with Kohn–Nirenberg symbols fall within the Calderón–Zygmund theory, dealing with Hörmander classes requires the use of Littlewood–Paley theory; see Fefferman [4].

In the setting of real-valued phase functions, as in [9], one must employ a first decomposition of the symbol into Littlewood–Paley components and, in addition, a second angular decomposition, which is typical in the analysis introduced by Seeger, Sogge, and Stein [16]. Our main contribution is to show that, even in the presence of the oscillatory symbol $\sigma_\tau \in S_{1/2, 1/2}^m$, the estimates arising from the second decomposition yield sufficiently accurate kernel bounds to ensure the boundedness of the operator.

Remark 1.3. The sharpness of the order condition above as been discussed when $\text{Im}(\Phi) \equiv 0$ in Nicola [9, Page 209], see also Rodino, Nicola, and Cordero [2]. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 will combine the approach and methods in Nicola [9] adapted to the setting of complex phases as developed by Ruzhansky [18, Page 47].

Remark 1.4. As it was observed by Nicola [9], the spatial factorization condition (SSFC) of rank κ in Definition 2.1 implies that the Hessian $d_x^2 \Phi_\tau(x, \eta)$ has rank

at most \varkappa . In particular, this condition is automatically satisfied when $\varkappa = d$, or whenever the Hessian $d_x^2 \Phi_\tau(x, \eta)$ has constant rank \varkappa . This includes, as a limiting case, phases that are linear in the spatial variable x , corresponding to $\varkappa = 0$ and then to the case of pseudo-differential operators.

Remark 1.5. Observe, for instance, that in the case $\varkappa = d$, the order condition

$$m \leq -d \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right|,$$

for boundedness on Fourier Lebesgue spaces differs dramatically from the order condition

$$m \leq -(d-1) \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right|,$$

established by Seeger, Sogge, and Stein [16] for the boundedness of Fourier integral operators with real-valued phase functions on L^p -spaces. In particular, the endpoint boundedness results at $p = 1, \infty$ generally fail.

Nevertheless, Ruzhansky [18] showed that the Seeger–Sogge–Stein order can also be preserved for Fourier integral operators with complex-valued phase functions.

Remark 1.6. Our estimates assume the symbol to be compactly supported in the spatial variable and it would be interesting to investigate under which conditions on the size of the phase our boundedness result remains global. We refer the reader for this topic to the references due to Staubach, Rodríguez Lopez, Israelsson and Mattsson [7, 8, 14, 15], see also Ruzhansky and Sugimoto [19, 20].

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we collect the basic definitions, notation, and results on pseudodifferential and Fourier integral operators. The presentation is designed to provide a self-contained and systematic framework for the analysis carried out in the subsequent sections, with particular emphasis on symbol classes, phase functions, canonical relations, and mapping properties of Fourier integral operators on Fourier–Lebesgue spaces. In Section 3 we present the derivation of kernel estimates, endpoint boundedness results, and interpolation arguments for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For non-negative quantities A and B , the notation $A \lesssim B$ means that there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of the relevant parameters, such that $A \leq CB$. We write $A \asymp B$ if both $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$ hold and we denote by N_0 a fixed positive integer, independent of the dyadic indices. Now, for $R > 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by $B_R(x_0)$ the open ball in \mathbb{R}^d with centre x_0 and radius R . We also set

$$\nabla_1 := (\partial_{\eta_1}, \partial_{\eta_2}, \dots, \partial_{\eta_d}),$$

to denote the gradient operator with respect to the frequency variable η of the phase space variable (x, η) . For an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote by $C^\infty(U)$ the space of smooth functions on U and by $C_c^\infty(U)$ the subspace of compactly supported functions. Their duals are denoted respectively by $\mathcal{D}'(U)$ and $\mathcal{E}'(U)$. The

Schwartz space on \mathbb{R}^d is denoted by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and its dual by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The Fourier transform of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \eta} f(x) dx,$$

and extended to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by duality. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the Fourier–Lebesgue space $\mathcal{FL}_s^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of all tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^p} = \|\langle \eta \rangle^s \widehat{f}(\eta)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} < \infty, \quad \langle \eta \rangle = (1 + |\eta|^2)^{1/2},$$

with the usual modification if $p = \infty$. The operator $\langle D \rangle^s$ defines an isomorphism from \mathcal{FL}_s^p onto \mathcal{FL}^p . Consequently, boundedness results on \mathcal{FL}_s^p can be reduced to boundedness on \mathcal{FL}^p after a change of order of the symbol. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open and $m \in \mathbb{R}$. For $0 \leq \rho, \delta \leq 1$, the symbol class $S_{\rho, \delta}^m(X \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of all functions $a \in C^\infty(X \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that, for every compact set $K \subset X$ and for all multi-indices α, β , there exists a constant $C_{\alpha, \beta, K}$ satisfying

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta a(x, \eta)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, K} \langle \eta \rangle^{m - \rho|\beta| + \delta|\alpha|}, \quad (x, \eta) \in K \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Of particular importance in this work are the classes $S_{1,0}^m$ and $S_{1/2, 1/2}^m$, which naturally arise in the study of Fourier integral operators and their dyadic decompositions. Indeed, given a symbol $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$, the corresponding pseudodifferential operator is defined by

$$a(x, D)f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i x \cdot \eta} a(x, \eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta.$$

A pseudodifferential operator is called *regularizing* if its symbol belongs to the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$. Such operators map $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ continuously into $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and have smooth integral kernels.

Let $X, Y \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be open subsets. A real-valued function $\Phi \in C^\infty(X \times Y \times \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ is called a *phase function* if it is positively homogeneous of degree one in η and satisfies

$$\nabla_{(x, y, \eta)} \Phi(x, y, \eta) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } (x, y, \eta).$$

The associated canonical relation $\mathcal{C} \subset T^*X \times T^*Y$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(x, \nabla_x \Phi(x, y, \eta), y, -\nabla_y \Phi(x, y, \eta)) : \nabla_\eta \Phi(x, y, \eta) = 0\}.$$

As we will observe later, the rank properties of the mixed Hessian $\partial_x \partial_\eta \Phi$ play a central role in the mapping properties of the associated Fourier integral operator. On the other hand, in order to introduce Fourier integral operators with complex phases, we require Φ to be a phase function of positive type; this means that:

- $\text{Im } \Phi(x, y, \eta) \geq 0$,
- $\Phi(x, y, \eta)$ has no critical points on its domain: $\partial_\theta \Phi(x, y, \eta) \neq 0$.
- $\Phi(x, y, \eta)$ is homogeneous of degree one in η i.e., $\forall \lambda > 0, \Phi(x, y, \lambda \eta) = \lambda \Phi(x, y, \eta)$.
- $\{\partial_\eta \Phi(x, y, \eta) = 0\}$ is smooth. This means that if $\partial_\eta \Phi(x, y, \eta) = 0$, then the vectors $d \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \eta_j}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{C} .

Let $\sigma := \sigma(x, \eta) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ be a symbol compactly supported in the x variable and let Φ to be a phase function of positive type. The Fourier integral operator T associated with Φ and σ is defined by

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i \Phi(x, y, \eta)} \sigma(x, \eta) f(y) d\eta dy. \quad (2.1)$$

Under standard non-degeneracy assumptions on Φ , the operator T defines a regular operator mapping $C_c^\infty(Y)$ into $\mathcal{D}'(X)$ and extends by density to various function spaces. The class of Fourier integral operators with symbols in the class $\sigma \in S_{\rho, 1-\rho}^m$, $1/2 \leq \rho \leq 1$, is invariantly defined under changes of coordinates and denoted by $I_{\rho, 1-\rho}^m(X, Y; \mathcal{C})$, indicating that their wave-front sets are contained in the canonical relation \mathcal{C} , locally parametrised by the phase function Φ . When $\rho = 1$, we write $I^m(X, Y; \mathcal{C}) := I_{1,0}^m(X, Y; \mathcal{C})$.

In view of the Hörmander phase-function theorem [6] in the setting of complex-valued phase functions [12], microlocally, each Fourier integral operator (2.1) can be re-written as

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i \Phi(x, \eta)} \sigma(x, \eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i \Phi(x, \eta) - 2\pi i y \cdot \eta} \sigma(x, \eta) f(y) dy d\eta.$$

So, from now we will assume that each operator in the class $I_{\rho, 1-\rho}^m(X, Y; \mathcal{C})$, $1/2 \leq \rho \leq 1$, has microlocally this form.

In order to obtain sharp Fourier–Lebesgue boundedness results for Fourier integral operators with complex phase, we shall impose a structural assumption on the spatial dependence of the phase function. This assumption is inspired by, and closely follows, the spatial smooth factorization condition introduced by Nicola [9] in the setting of Fourier integral operators of real-valued phases. Let us consider $\Phi(x, \eta) = \operatorname{Re} \Phi(x, \eta) + i \operatorname{Im} \Phi(x, \eta)$ to be a complex-valued phase function of positive type. For a fixed real parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we associate to Φ the real-valued phase

$$\Phi_\tau(x, \eta) := \operatorname{Re} \Phi(x, \eta) + \tau \operatorname{Im} \Phi(x, \eta).$$

The set $\Lambda_\tau \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ denotes the open domain on which Φ_τ is smooth. Typically, we will assume that Λ_τ is the support of the symbol of the corresponding Fourier integral operator. For $(x, \eta) \in \Lambda_\tau$, we write $\nabla_x \Phi_\tau(x, \eta)$ for the gradient of Φ_τ with respect to the spatial variable x , and

$$d_x^2 \Phi_\tau(x, \eta) := (\partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_k} \Phi_\tau(x, \eta))_{1 \leq j, k \leq d}$$

for the corresponding spatial Hessian matrix.

Definition 2.1 (Spatial smooth factorization condition (SSFC)). We assume that there exists an integer $0 \leq \varkappa \leq d$ such that, for some $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, and for every $(x_0, \eta_0) \in \Lambda_\tau$ with $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, there exists an open neighbourhood Ω of x_0 and an open neighbourhood $\Gamma' \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ of η_0 , with $\Omega \times \Gamma' \subset \Lambda_\tau$, satisfying the following properties.

- (SSFC) For every $\eta \in \Gamma'$ there exists a smooth fibration of Ω , depending smoothly on η , whose fibres are affine subspaces of codimension \varkappa , such

that

$$\nabla_x \Phi_\tau(\cdot, \eta) \quad \text{is constant along each fibre.}$$

- In addition, we also assume that Φ_τ holds the non-degeneracy condition,

$$\det \partial_y \partial_\eta (\operatorname{Re} \Phi(y, \eta) + \tau \operatorname{Im} \Phi(y, \eta)) \neq 0.$$

To simplify the terminology, if a phase function Φ can be associated with a phase function Φ_τ that satisfies both properties in Definition 2.1 for some $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we say that Φ satisfies the smooth spatial factorization condition of rank \varkappa .

Remark 2.2. As in the case of real-valued phase functions, this condition implies that the Hessian $d_x^2 \Phi_\tau(x, \eta)$ has rank at most \varkappa . In particular, the condition is automatically satisfied when $\varkappa = d$, or whenever the Hessian $d_x^2 \Phi_\tau(x, \eta)$ has constant rank \varkappa . This includes, as a limiting case, phases that are linear in the spatial variable x , corresponding to $\varkappa = 0$.

Remark 2.3. Observe that in Definition 2.1, if Φ_τ holds the non-degeneracy condition for some $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, since $\gamma \mapsto \det \partial_y \partial_\eta (\operatorname{Re} \Phi(y, \eta) + \gamma \operatorname{Im} \Phi(y, \eta))$, is a polynomial function, Φ_τ holds the non-degeneracy condition for almost all $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$.

Under this assumption, the geometry of the canonical relation associated with Φ_τ exhibits a partial flatness in the spatial variables, quantified by the integer \varkappa . As shown by Nicola [9] in the real-phase setting, this geometric feature governs the precise loss of derivatives in Fourier–Lebesgue spaces. In particular, it leads to the sharp threshold

$$m \leq -\varkappa \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right|,$$

which will reappear in the complex-phase estimates proved below.

Throughout the paper we use standard dyadic partitions of unity in the frequency variable. Let $\{\psi_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ be a smooth partition of unity such that $\operatorname{supp} \psi_j \subset \{\eta : 2^{j-1} \leq |\eta| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$ for $j \geq 1$, and $\operatorname{supp} \psi_0 \subset \{|\eta| \leq 2\}$. This decomposition allows us to localize Fourier integral operators at frequency scale $|\eta| \sim 2^j$ and to exploit both symbol estimates and angular decompositions adapted to the geometry of the canonical relation. This decomposition will be repeatedly used in the proof of boundedness results on Fourier–Lebesgue spaces, especially in the treatment of endpoint cases and interpolation arguments.

3. FIOS & AFFINE FIBRATIONS

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. The Fourier integral operator (FIO) T to be considered is defined as

$$Tf(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i \Phi(x, \eta)} \sigma(x, \eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta, \quad (3.1)$$

where $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, since the symbol $\sigma := \sigma(x, \eta) \in S_{1,0}^m(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ has compact support in x . Conjugating the operator T with the Fourier transform we have that,

$$\tilde{T}f(x) = \iint e^{2\pi i (\Phi(\eta, y) - x\eta)} \sigma(\eta, y) f(y) dy d\eta, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\tilde{T} = \mathcal{F} \circ T \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1}$. The symbol $\sigma(\eta, y)$ is no longer supported with respect to y and the phase $\Phi(\eta, y) - x\eta$ is a real-valued function which is no longer positively homogeneous of order 1 with respect to η .

Let us assume that there exists a real parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, and an integer $0 \leq \varkappa \leq d$, such that the real-valued phase

$$\Phi_\tau(x, \eta) := \operatorname{Re} \Phi(x, \eta) + \tau \operatorname{Im} \Phi(x, \eta)$$

satisfies the spatial factorization condition (SSFC) of rank \varkappa in Definition 2.1. With this choice of τ , we rewrite (3.2) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}f(x) &= \iint e^{2\pi i(\Phi(\eta, y) - x\eta)} \sigma(\eta, y) f(y) dy d\eta. \\ &= \iint e^{2\pi i(\operatorname{Re} \Phi(\eta, y) + i \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y))} \sigma(\eta, y) e^{-2\pi i x \eta} f(y) dy d\eta. \\ &= \iint e^{2\pi i(\operatorname{Re} \Phi(\eta, y) - \tau \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y) + \tau \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y) + i \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y))} \sigma(\eta, y) e^{-2\pi i x \eta} f(y) dy d\eta. \\ &= \iint e^{2\pi i(\operatorname{Re} \Phi(\eta, y) + \tau \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y))} \sigma(\eta, y) e^{-2\pi(1+i\tau) \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y)} e^{-2\pi i x \eta} f(y) dy d\eta. \\ &= \iint e^{2\pi i(\operatorname{Re} \Phi(\eta, y) + \tau \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y) - x\eta)} \sigma(\eta, y) e^{-2\pi(1+i\tau) \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y)} f(y) dy d\eta. \\ &= \iint e^{2\pi i(\Phi_\tau(\eta, y) - x\eta)} \sigma_\tau(\eta, y) f(y) dy d\eta, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\Phi_\tau(\eta, y) = \operatorname{Re} \Phi(\eta, y) + \tau \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y)$. Note that

$$\sigma_\tau(\eta, y) = \sigma(\eta, y) e^{-2\pi(1+i\tau) \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y)} \in S_{1/2, 1/2}^m(\mathbb{R}_\eta^d \times \mathbb{R}_y^d),$$

since $e^{-2\pi(1+i\tau) \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y)} \in S_{1/2, 1/2}^0$, see [18, Page 28]. Then it satisfies the following estimates;

$$|\partial_y^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta e^{-2\pi(1+i\tau) \operatorname{Im} \Phi(\eta, y)}| \lesssim \langle y \rangle \frac{|\beta| - |\alpha|}{2}, \text{ and } |\partial_y^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \sigma_\tau(\eta, y)| \lesssim \langle y \rangle^{m - \frac{1}{2}|\alpha| + \frac{1}{2}|\beta|}.$$

The operator in (3.3) has integral kernel

$$K(x, y) = \int e^{2\pi i(\Phi_\tau(\eta, y) - x\eta)} \sigma_\tau(\eta, y) d\eta. \tag{3.4}$$

Now, we consider the Littlewood-Paley decomposition in y . We choose a smooth function $\psi_0(y)$ such that $\psi_0(y) = 1$ for $|y| \leq 1$ with $\psi_0(y) = 0$ for $|y| \geq 2$. Set $\psi(y) = \psi_0(y) - \psi(2y)$, $\psi_j(y) = \psi(2^{-j}y)$, $j \geq 1$. Then,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j(y) = 1, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (0).$$

Notice that if $j \geq 1$, then ψ_j is supported where $2^{j-1} \leq |y| \leq 2^{j+1}$. We can now write the kernel above as,

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{j \geq 1} K_j(x, y),$$

where,

$$K_j(x, y) = \int e^{2\pi i(\Phi_\tau(y, \eta) - x\eta)} \sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y) d\eta, \quad (3.5)$$

with $\sigma_{\tau j}(y, \eta) = \sigma_\tau(y, \eta)\psi_j(y)$.

In what follows we will use the argument of Nicola [9] adapted to complex phases as in Ruzhansky [18, Page 47]. Observe that η lies in the open neighbourhood $\Omega' \Subset \Omega$. After shrinking Ω' and the parameter set Γ' if necessary, the spatial smooth factorization condition (SSFC) (see Definition 2.1) implies that there exists an integer \varkappa with $0 \leq \varkappa \leq d$, an open neighbourhood $U \times V$ of $(0, 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^\varkappa \times \mathbb{R}^{d-\varkappa}$, and a smooth change of variables $U \times V \ni (u, v) \mapsto \eta_y(u, v) \in \Omega_y$, smoothly depending on the parameter $y \in \Gamma'$ and homogeneous of degree 0 with respect to y , with $\Omega' \subset \Omega_y \subset \Omega$, such that the function $\eta \mapsto \nabla_\eta \Phi_\tau(\eta, y)$ is constant along each $(d - \varkappa)$ -dimensional affine plane given by $u = \text{const}$.

Equivalently, in these adapted coordinates the gradient $\nabla_\eta \Phi_\tau(\eta_y(u, v), y)$ depends only on the transversal variable u and is independent of the fibre variable v , so that $\nabla_\eta \Phi_\tau(\eta_y(u, v), y) = \nabla_\eta \Phi_\tau(\eta_y(u, 0), y)$, $(u, v) \in U \times V$. We emphasize that, due to the previous conjugation of the Fourier integral operator, we are using Definition 2.1 with the variables (x, η) replaced by (η, y) , so that no additional assumptions are introduced and the factorization structure is preserved.

For every $j \geq 1$ we chose $u_j^v, v = 1, \dots, N_\varkappa(j)$, such that $|u_j^v - u_j^{v'}| \geq C_0 2^{-j/2}$ for $v \neq v'$ and such that U is covered by balls with centre u_j^v and radius $C_1 2^{-j/2}$. It is easy to see that $N_\varkappa(j) = O(2^{j\varkappa/2})$. Let then $\eta_j^v = \eta_y(u_j^v, 0)$. Consider a smooth partition of unity to cover U , given by smooth functions $\chi_j^v(u), v = 1, \dots, N_\varkappa(j)$, supported in the above balls and satisfying the estimate (see [9]),

$$|\partial_u^\alpha \chi_j^v(u)| \lesssim 2^{j|\alpha|/2}.$$

Note that the support of $\chi_j(u)$ is contained on U . So, in the support of χ_j , we have that $|u| \lesssim 1$. We further decompose the kernel $K_j(x, y)$ as $\sum_{v=1}^{N_\varkappa(j)} K_j^v(x, y)$ with

$$K_j^v(x, y) = \int e^{2\pi i(\Phi_\tau(y, \eta) - x\eta)} \chi_j^v(u(y, \eta)) \sigma_\tau^v(\eta, y) \psi_j(y) d\eta, \quad (3.6)$$

where, $\sigma_\tau^v(y, \eta)\psi_j(y)$ is the symbol restricted to a small cap v and localized to the dyadic band j in y . Consider now the first order Taylor expansion of $\Phi_\tau(\cdot, y)$ at η_j^v :

$$\Phi_\tau(\eta, y) = \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) + \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y), \eta - \eta_j^v \rangle + R_j^v(\eta, y),$$

where,

$$\nabla_1 := (\partial_{\eta_1}, \partial_{\eta_2}, \dots, \partial_{\eta_d}),$$

and

$$R_j^v(\eta, y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1-t) (d_1^2 \Phi_\tau)(\eta_j^v + t(\eta - \eta_j^v), y) [\eta - \eta_j^v, \eta - \eta_j^v] dt.$$

The phase function can be expressed as

$$\Phi_\tau(\eta, y) - \langle x, \eta \rangle = \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x, \eta \rangle + r(\eta),$$

where,

$$r(\eta) = \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y), \eta_j^v \rangle + R_j^v(\eta, y).$$

Fix $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and let us consider the first-order Taylor expansion of $\Phi_\tau(\cdot, y)$ at a point η_j^v :

$$\Phi_\tau(\eta, y) = \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) + \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y), \eta - \eta_j^v \rangle + R_j^v(\eta, y),$$

where $\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) = \partial_\eta \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y)$, and the remainder $R_j^v(\eta, y)$ is given by the integral form of the second-order Taylor remainder:

$$R_j^v(\eta, y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1-t) d_1^2 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v + t(\eta - \eta_j^v), y) [\eta - \eta_j^v, \eta - \eta_j^v] dt.$$

Here, $d_1^2 \Phi_\tau$ denotes the Hessian matrix of second derivatives with respect to the first argument of η . Thus,

$$d_1^2 \Phi_\tau(\eta, y) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi_\tau}{\partial \eta_i \partial \eta_j}(\eta, y) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}.$$

The kernel of the Fourier integral operator involves the phase $\Phi_\tau(\eta, y) - x \cdot \eta$. Substituting the Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\tau(\eta, y) - x \cdot \eta &= \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) + \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y), \eta - \eta_j^v \rangle + R_j^v(\eta, y) - x \cdot \eta \\ &= \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x, \eta \rangle + \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y), \eta_j^v \rangle + R_j^v(\eta, y). \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$r(\eta) := \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y), \eta_j^v \rangle + R_j^v(\eta, y),$$

so that the phase $\Phi_\tau(\eta, y)$ can be written as

$$\Phi_\tau(\eta, y) - x \cdot \eta = \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x, \eta \rangle + r(\eta).$$

This decomposition allows us to apply repeated integration by parts with respect to η , using operators adapted to the decomposition into η' , η'' coordinates, in order to control the kernel of the Fourier integral operator. The linear term provides decay, while the remainder $r(\eta)$ is uniformly bounded and does not affect the estimates.

After linearizing the phase function, we can now rewrite (3.6) as,

$$K_j^v(x, y) = \int_{\text{supp}_\eta(\sigma(\eta, y))} e^{2\pi i \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x, \eta \rangle} \sigma_\tau^v(\eta, y) \psi_j(y) d\eta, \quad (3.7)$$

where,

$$\sigma_{\tau j}^v(\eta, y) = e^{2\pi i r(\eta)} \chi_j^v(u(y, \eta)) \sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y).$$

Note that in the support of $\chi_j^v(u(y, \eta))$, we have that

$$|\eta| \lesssim |(y, \eta)| \asymp |u(y, \eta)| \lesssim 2^{-\frac{j}{2}}.$$

The following lemma will be used later in our argument of integration by parts.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Phi_\tau(\eta, y)$ be a smooth phase function and, for fixed j and v , consider the splitting $\eta = (\eta', \eta'')$, where η'' are tangent to a small cap v . Define the operator*

$$L_j^v = (1 - \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle) (1 - \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle).$$

Then L_j^v satisfies the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + 4\pi^2 2^{-j} |(\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)|^2) (1 + 4\pi^2 |(\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)''|^2) e^{2\pi i \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x, \eta \rangle} \\ = L_j^v e^{2\pi i \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x, \eta \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$A := \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x \quad \text{and} \quad e(\eta) := e^{2\pi i \langle A, \eta \rangle} = e^{2\pi i \langle A', \eta' \rangle} e^{2\pi i \langle A'', \eta'' \rangle},$$

where $A = (A', A'')$ corresponds to the splitting of η . We compute the action of each factor in L_j^v on the exponential.

(i) Action on the η' -factor. For any coordinate k in the η' variables,

$$\partial_{\eta'_k} e(\eta) = 2\pi i A'_k e(\eta),$$

hence

$$\partial_{\eta'_k}^2 e(\eta) = (2\pi i A'_k)^2 e(\eta) = -4\pi^2 (A'_k)^2 e(\eta).$$

Therefore (using linearity and summing over k),

$$\langle \nabla_{\eta'}, \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle e(\eta) = \sum_k \partial_{\eta'_k}^2 e(\eta) = -4\pi^2 |A'|^2 e(\eta).$$

Multiplying by the 2^{-j} factor from L_j^v we obtain

$$\langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle e(\eta) = 2^{-j} \langle \nabla_{\eta'}, \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle e(\eta) = -4\pi^2 2^{-j} |A'|^2 e(\eta).$$

Thus

$$(1 - \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle) e(\eta) = (1 + 4\pi^2 2^{-j} |A'|^2) e(\eta).$$

(ii) Action on the η'' -factor. Exactly the same computation (without the 2^{-j} weight) for the η'' -variables gives

$$\langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle e(\eta) = -4\pi^2 |A''|^2 e(\eta),$$

and hence

$$(1 - \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle) e(\eta) = (1 + 4\pi^2 |A''|^2) e(\eta).$$

(iii) Combine the two factors. Since the η' and η'' variables are independent and the two factors in L_j^v commute when acting on a product of functions that separate in η' and η'' (in particular on $e(\eta)$), we may apply them successively:

$$L_j^v e(\eta) = (1 - \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle) (1 - \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle) e(\eta).$$

By the two identities above we obtain,

$$L_j^v e(\eta) = (1 + 4\pi^2 2^{-j} |A'|^2) (1 + 4\pi^2 |A''|^2) e(\eta),$$

which is exactly the claimed identity after recalling the definition of A . \square

Now we apply repeated integration by parts to (3.7) gives us

$$K_j^v(x, y) = H_j^v(x, y) \int (L_j^v)^N \sigma_{\tau j}^v(\eta, y) e^{2\pi i \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x, \eta \rangle} d\eta, \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$H_j^v(x, y) = (1 + 4\pi^2 2^{-j} |(\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)'|^2)^{-N} (1 + 4\pi^2 |(\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)''|^2)^{-N}. \quad (3.9)$$

The following estimate can be verified easily and for completeness we present a proof.

Lemma 3.2 (Equivalence of polynomial decay). *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a constant $C_N > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$(1 + |z|^2)^{-N} \leq C_N (1 + |z|)^{-2N}.$$

Consequently, for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$(1 + \lambda^2 |z|^2)^{-N} \leq C_N (1 + \lambda |z|)^{-2N}.$$

Proof. For every $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

$$1 + |z|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 + |z|)^2.$$

Indeed, expanding the right-hand side gives

$$\frac{1}{2} (1 + 2|z| + |z|^2) \leq 1 + |z|^2.$$

Raising both sides to the power $-N$ yields

$$(1 + |z|^2)^{-N} \leq 2^N (1 + |z|)^{-2N}.$$

Setting $C_N = 2^N$ proves the first claim.

The second inequality follows by applying the first with z replaced by λz , which gives

$$(1 + \lambda^2 |z|^2)^{-N} \leq 2^N (1 + \lambda |z|)^{-2N}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

From Lemma (3.2), we can express $H_j^v(x, y)$ as

$$H_j^v(x, y) \lesssim (1 + 2^{-j/2} |(\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)'|)^{-2N} (1 + |(\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)''|)^{-2N}.$$

Now, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |K_j^v(x, y)| &= |H_j^v(x, y) \int (L_j^v)^N \sigma_{\tau j}^v(\eta, y) e^{2\pi i \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x, \eta \rangle} d\eta| \\ &\lesssim H_j^v(x, y) \int |(L_j^v)^N \sigma_{\tau j}^v(\eta, y)| d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

We need to find the bound of

$$|(L_j^v)^N \sigma_{\tau j}^v(\eta, y)| = |(L_j^v)^N (e^{2\pi i \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y), \eta_j^v \rangle + R_j^v(\eta, y)} \chi_j^v(u(y, \eta)) \sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y))|.$$

So we now investigate the effect of $(L_j^v)^N$ falling on each of the following terms:

- $\chi_j^v(u(y, \eta))$
- $\sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y)$

$$\bullet e^{2\pi i \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - \langle \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y), \eta_j^v \rangle + R_j^v(x, y)}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that the damped amplitude $\sigma_\tau(\eta, y) \in S_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}^m((\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, that is, for every pair of multiindices α, β there exists $C_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$ such that*

$$|\partial_y^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \sigma_\tau(\eta, y)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle y \rangle^{m - \frac{|\alpha|}{2} + \frac{|\beta|}{2}}, \quad (\eta, y) \in (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (3.10)$$

Let $\sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y)$ denote the localization of $\sigma_\tau(\eta, y)$ to the j -th dyadic y -shell, so that on the support of $\sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y)$ we have $\langle y \rangle \approx 2^j$. Then, for every multiindex β (and uniformly in y),

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\eta^\beta \sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y)| &\leq C_\beta \langle y \rangle^{m + \frac{|\beta|}{2}} \quad (\text{by (3.10) with } \alpha = 0) \\ &\lesssim C_\beta 2^{jm} 2^{\frac{j|\beta|}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

In particular, each single η -derivative produces a factor $2^{j/2}$ on the j -th shell:

$$\partial_{\eta_k} \sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y) = O(2^{jm} 2^{j/2}), \quad \langle y \rangle \approx 2^j.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\chi_j^v(u)$ be a smooth cutoff function supported in the region*

$$|u| \lesssim 2^{-j/2},$$

and suppose its derivatives satisfy that,

$$|\partial_u^\alpha \chi_j^v(u)| \lesssim 2^{j|\alpha|/2} \quad \text{for all multi-indices } \alpha.$$

Let $u = u(y, \eta)$ be a smooth function of (y, η) such that its derivatives in η satisfy the bounds

$$|\partial_\eta^\beta u(y, \eta)| \lesssim 2^{-j|\beta|/2} \quad \text{for all multi-indices } \beta.$$

Then for every multi-index α we have

$$|\partial_\eta^\alpha (\chi_j^v(u(y, \eta)))| \lesssim 1.$$

That is, the derivatives of the cutoff χ_j^v introduce no net growth: the decay factor $2^{-j/2}$ arising from derivatives of u exactly compensates the growth $2^{j|\alpha|/2}$ coming from derivatives of χ_j^v .

Lemma 3.5. *Let*

$$R_j^v(\eta, y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1-t) d_\eta^2 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v + t(\eta - \eta_j^v), y) [\eta - \eta_j^v, \eta - \eta_j^v] dt$$

be the remainder term in the first-order Taylor expansion of $\Phi_\tau(\eta, y)$ at η_j^v . Assume that

$$\eta - \eta_j^v = O(2^{-j/2}).$$

Then, for every multi-index β ,

$$|\partial_\eta^\beta R_j^v(\eta, y)| \lesssim C_\beta,$$

where C_β is independent of j .

Remark 3.6. Since R_j^v is quadratic in $(\eta - \eta_j^v)$, each derivative reduces the degree by at most one. Given

$$\eta - \eta_j^v = O(2^{-j/2}),$$

all terms produced by derivatives remain uniformly bounded independently of j .

Lemma 3.7. *Let*

$$\sigma_{\tau j}^v(\eta, y) = \chi_j^v(u(y, \eta)) \sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y) e^{2\pi i r(\eta)},$$

where

- (1) $\sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y) \in S_{1/2, 1/2}^m$ and $\langle \eta \rangle \sim 2^j$ on its support;
- (2) $\chi_j^v(u(y, \eta))$ is supported in an angular cap of radius $O(2^{-j/2})$ and satisfies $|\partial_u^\alpha \chi_j^v| \lesssim 2^{j|\alpha|/2}$;
- (3) the Taylor remainder $R_j^v(\eta, y)$ satisfies

$$|\partial_\eta^\gamma R_j^v| \lesssim \begin{cases} 2^{-j/2}, & |\gamma| = 1, \\ 1, & |\gamma| \geq 2, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad R_j^v(\eta, y) = O(2^{-j}) \text{ on the cap.}$$

Define

$$L_j^v = \left(1 - \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle\right) \left(1 - \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle\right).$$

Then for every integer $N \geq 1$ there exists a constant C_N such that

$$|(L_j^v)^N \sigma_{\tau j}^v(\eta, y)| \leq C_N 2^{jm}.$$

Note that this estimate coincides with (3.7) in Nicola [9].

Proof. Write

$$A_j := 1 - \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle, \quad B := 1 - \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle,$$

so that $L_j^v = A_j B$. By repeated application of the product rule,

$$(L_j^v)^N = (A_j B)^N = \sum_{k, \ell} c_{k, \ell}^{(N)} \left(\langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle \right)^k \left(\langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle \right)^\ell,$$

with $k + \ell \leq N$. Each term has order $2k$ derivatives in η' and 2ℓ derivatives in η'' , together with a prefactor 2^{-jk} coming from the 2^{-j} in A_j . Hence each term is of the form

$$C_{k, \ell} 2^{-jk} \partial_\eta^\alpha, \quad |\alpha| = 2(k + \ell) \leq 2N.$$

Applying $2^{-jk} \partial_\eta^\alpha$ to the product

$$\sigma_{\tau j}^v = \chi_j^v(u(y, \eta)) \sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y) e^{2\pi i r(\eta)}$$

and using the Leibniz rule yields a sum of terms

$$2^{-jk} \partial_\eta^{\alpha_1} \chi_j^v(u(y, \eta)) \partial_\eta^{\alpha_2} \sigma_{\tau j}(\eta, y) \partial_\eta^{\alpha_3} e^{2\pi i r(\eta)}, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = \alpha.$$

We now estimate each factor.

(i) *Symbol term.* Since $\sigma_{\tau j} \in S_{1/2, 1/2}^m$ and $\langle \eta \rangle \sim 2^j$,

$$|\partial_\eta^{\alpha_2} \sigma_{\tau j}| \lesssim C_{\alpha_2} 2^{jm} 2^{-j|\alpha_2|/2}.$$

(ii) *Cutoff term.* Each derivative in η produces factors $2^{-j/2}$ from u and $2^{j|\alpha|/2}$ from $\partial_u^\alpha \chi_j^v$. These cancel, giving

$$|\partial_\eta^{\alpha_1} \chi_j^v(u(y, \eta))| \lesssim C_{\alpha_1},$$

uniformly in j .

(iii) *Exponential term.* Repeated differentiation gives

$$\partial_\eta^{\alpha_3} e^{2\pi i r(\eta)} = e^{2\pi i r(\eta)} P_{\alpha_3}(\{\partial_\eta^\gamma r(\eta)\}_{|\gamma| \leq |\alpha_3|}),$$

where P_{α_3} is a fixed polynomial. Since r is quadratic and its derivatives satisfy the bounds listed above,

$$|\partial_\eta^{\alpha_3} e^{2\pi i r(\eta)}| \lesssim C_{\alpha_3}.$$

Combining the three bounds we obtain

$$|2^{-jk} \partial_\eta^\alpha (\sigma_{\tau j}^v)| \lesssim 2^{-jk} C_{\alpha_1, \alpha_3} (2^{jm} 2^{-j|\alpha_2|/2}) \lesssim 2^{jm} 2^{-jk} 2^{-j|\alpha_2|/2}.$$

Since $|\alpha_2| \leq |\alpha| = 2(k + \ell) \leq 2N$, the exponents satisfy

$$2^{-jk} 2^{-j|\alpha_2|/2} \leq 1.$$

Thus each term in the expansion of $(L_j^v)^N$ is bounded by

$$C_N 2^{jm}.$$

There are finitely many terms in the operator expansion, depending only on N . Summing them yields

$$|(L_j^v)^N \sigma_{\tau j}^v(\eta, y)| \leq C_N 2^{jm}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.8. Let

$$A_j := 1 - \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle, \quad B := 1 - \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle.$$

For a test function $u = u(\eta', \eta'') \in C^\infty$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} A_j B u &= \left(1 - \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle\right) \left(1 - \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle\right) u \\ &= u - \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle u - \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle u \\ &\quad + \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle u. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} B A_j u &= \left(1 - \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle\right) \left(1 - \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle\right) u \\ &= u - \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle u - \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle u \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle \langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle u. \end{aligned}$$

Since derivatives with respect to η' commute with those with respect to η'' , the last terms in the above expressions coincide. Hence,

$$A_j B u = B A_j u \quad \text{for all } u \in C^\infty,$$

and therefore

$$A_j B = B A_j.$$

This commutation property allows the operators A_j and B to be freely interchanged and justifies repeated applications of the Leibniz rule to obtain

$$(L_j^v)^N = (A_j B)^N = \sum_{k,\ell} c_{k,\ell}^{(N)} \left(\langle 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'}, 2^{-j/2} \nabla_{\eta'} \rangle \right)^k \left(\langle \nabla_{\eta''}, \nabla_{\eta''} \rangle \right)^\ell,$$

with $k + \ell \leq N$.

This gives us

$$|K_j^v(x, y)| \lesssim C_N 2^{jm} \times H_j^v(x, y) \int_{|\eta| \lesssim 2^{-j/2}} d\eta, \quad m = -\varkappa/2.$$

Therefore, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_j^v(x, y)| \\ & \lesssim C_N 2^{jm} (1 + 2^{-j/2} |(\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)'|)^{-2N} (1 + |(\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)''|)^{-2N} \int_{|\eta| \lesssim 2^{-j/2}} d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we are working with polynomial decay in the form given below

$$H_j^v(x, y) := (1 + 2^{-j/2} |(\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)'|)^{-2N} (1 + |(\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)''|)^{-2N},$$

where the coordinates are split according to the rank \varkappa of the non-degenerate part of the phase:

- $(\cdot)' \in \mathbb{R}^\varkappa$ denote the *non-degenerate directions*,
- $(\cdot)'' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-\varkappa}$ denote the *degenerate directions*,
- η_j^v is the center of the frequency cone,
- $N > d/2$ is an integer to ensure convergence of integrals.

Lemma 3.9 (Integral of the kernel). *Under the above assumptions, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of j and y , such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_j^v(x, y) dx \leq C 2^{j\varkappa/2}.$$

Proof. We aim to compute the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_j^v(x, y) dx$$

and understand how the dyadic frequency scaling affects its size. we apply change of variables $x \mapsto \nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x$ in both non-degenerate and degenerate directions and define the scaled variables as;

$$u' := 2^{-j/2} (\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)' \in \mathbb{R}^\varkappa, \quad u'' := (\nabla_1 \Phi_\tau(\eta_j^v, y) - x)'' \in \mathbb{R}^{d-\varkappa}.$$

The Jacobian of this transformation is

$$dx = dx' dx'' = 2^{j\varkappa/2} du' du''.$$

Rewrite the integral in terms of u', u'' , the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_j^v(x, y) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^\varkappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-\varkappa}} (1 + |u'|)^{-2N} (1 + |u''|)^{-2N} 2^{j\varkappa/2} du' du'' \\ &= 2^{j\varkappa/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\varkappa} (1 + |u'|)^{-2N} du' \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-\varkappa}} (1 + |u''|)^{-2N} du''. \end{aligned}$$

Since $N > d/2$, both integrals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^\varkappa} (1 + |u'|)^{-2N} du', \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-\varkappa}} (1 + |u''|)^{-2N} du''$$

converge to finite constants C_\varkappa and $C_{d-\varkappa}$, independent of j and y .

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} H_j^v(x, y) dx \leq 2^{j\varkappa/2} C_\varkappa C_{d-\varkappa} =: C 2^{j\varkappa/2},$$

where $C = C_\varkappa \cdot C_{d-\varkappa}$ is independent of j and y .

The factor $2^{j\varkappa/2}$ arises from the scaling in the non-degenerate directions, while the polynomial decay ensures integrability in all directions. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We now have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |K_j^v(x, y)| dx &\lesssim C_N 2^{jm} \times 2^{\frac{j\varkappa}{2}} \int_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^\varkappa: |\eta| \lesssim 2^{-j/2}} d\eta, \quad m = -\varkappa/2 \\ &\lesssim C_N 2^{jm} \times 2^{\frac{j\varkappa}{2}} \times 2^{-\frac{j\varkappa}{2}} \\ &\lesssim C_N 2^{jm}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the analysis above, summing over the v caps gives us the estimate

$$\sum_{v=1}^{N(v)} \int |K_j^v(x, y)| dx \leq C_N 2^{jm} 2^{j\frac{\varkappa}{2}} \leq C_N.$$

Summation over the estimates. We now explain how the dyadic estimates obtained above can be summed in order to deduce boundedness of the full operator

$$T = \sum_{j \geq 1} T_j \quad \text{on } \mathcal{FL}^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Step 1: A summation estimate in \mathcal{FL}^1 . Let $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be supported in the annulus $\{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d : B_0^{-1} \leq |\eta| \leq B_0\}$ for some $B_0 > 0$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\chi(\widehat{2^{-j}D})f(\eta) = \chi(2^{-j}\eta)\widehat{f}(\eta).$$

Hence, by definition of the Fourier–Lebesgue norm,

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \|\chi(2^{-j}D)f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} = \sum_{j \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\chi(2^{-j}\eta)| |\widehat{f}(\eta)| d\eta.$$

Since the integrand is nonnegative, Tonelli's theorem allows us to interchange the sum and the integral, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \geq 1} \|\chi(2^{-j}D)f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j \geq 1} |\chi(2^{-j}\eta)| |\widehat{f}(\eta)| d\eta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{j \geq 1} |\chi(2^{-j}\eta)| \right) |\widehat{f}(\eta)| d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

By the compact support of χ , for each fixed $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ the sum $\sum_{j \geq 1} |\chi(2^{-j}\eta)|$ contains only finitely many nonzero terms and is uniformly bounded. Therefore,

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} |\chi(2^{-j}\eta)| \leq C, \quad \text{for all } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \|\chi(2^{-j}D)f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{f}(\eta)| d\eta \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1}.$$

Step 2: Estimate for a single dyadic operator. Due to the frequency localization of T_j , there exists $\chi \in C_c^\infty$ such that $\chi(\eta) = 1$ for $1/2 \leq |\eta| \leq 2$ and $\chi(\eta) = 0$ for $|\eta| \leq 1/4$ and $|\eta| \geq 4$ (so that $\chi\psi = \psi$). Hence,

$$T_j f = T_j(\chi(2^{-j}D)f).$$

Using the L^1 -boundedness of T_j , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_j f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} &= \|T_j(\chi(2^{-j}D)f)\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| T_j(\widehat{\chi(2^{-j}D)f})(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \widehat{\chi(2^{-j}D)f}(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ &\lesssim \|\chi(2^{-j}D)f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 3: Summation over j . We now estimate the full operator $T = \sum_{j \geq 1} T_j$. By the triangle inequality in \mathcal{FL}^1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} &= \left\| \sum_{j \geq 1} T_j f \right\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \sum_{j \geq 1} \widehat{T_j f}(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{j \geq 1} \left| \widehat{T_j f}(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \widehat{T_j f}(\xi) \right| d\xi \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j \geq 1} \|T_j f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1}.$$

Using the estimate obtained in Step 2 and the summation estimate from Step 1, we conclude that

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} \leq C \sum_{j \geq 1} \|\chi(2^{-j}D)f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^1}.$$

Therefore, the operator T extends to a bounded operator on $\mathcal{FL}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The case $p = \infty$. We begin with a simple but fundamental observation concerning frequency localized functions.

Remark 3.10. Let $\{f_k\}_{k \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a sequence such that

$$\text{supp } \widehat{f}_0 \subset B_2(0), \quad \text{supp } \widehat{f}_k \subset \{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d : 2^{k-1} \leq |\eta| \leq 2^{k+1}\}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

If the sequence $\{f_k\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{FL}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the series $\sum_{k=0}^\infty f_k$ converges in $\mathcal{FL}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{k=0}^\infty f_k \right\|_{\mathcal{FL}^\infty} \lesssim \sup_{k \geq 0} \|f_k\|_{\mathcal{FL}^\infty}.$$

Indeed, at each frequency $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ there are at most two indices k such that $\widehat{f}_k(\eta) \neq 0$.

Using a dyadic partition of unity $\{\psi_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ in the frequency variable, we write

$$Tf = \sum_{k \geq 0} \psi_k(D)Tf,$$

and therefore

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{FL}^\infty} \lesssim \sup_{k \geq 0} \|\psi_k(D)Tf\|_{\mathcal{FL}^\infty} = \sup_{k \geq 0} \left\| \sum_{j \geq 1} \psi_k(D)T_j f \right\|_{\mathcal{FL}^\infty}. \quad (3.12)$$

Next, we analyze the operators $\psi_k(D)T_j$. The symbol $\sigma_j(x, \eta)$ of T_j belongs to a bounded subset of $S_{1,0}^{-\infty/2}$, while $\psi_k(\eta)$ belongs to a bounded subset of $S_{1,0}^0$. By composition of FIOs with pseudodifferential operators, for each j, k we may decompose

$$\psi_k(D)T_j = S_{k,j} + R_{k,j},$$

where:

- $S_{k,j}$ is a Fourier integral operator with the same phase Φ and symbol $\sigma_{k,j} \in S_{1,0}^{-d/2}$, uniformly bounded with respect to j, k ;
- $R_{k,j}$ is a smoothing operator whose symbol $r_{k,j}$ belongs to a bounded subset of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$.

The support properties of $\sigma_{k,j}$ follow from the symbolic calculus. If $k = 0$, then $\sigma_{k,j}$ is supported where

$$\{(x, \eta) \in \Omega' \times \Gamma : |\nabla_x \Phi_\tau(x, \eta)| \leq 2, \ 2^{j-1} \leq |\eta| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$$

while for $k \geq 1$ it is supported where

$$\{(x, \eta) \in \Omega' \times \Gamma : 2^{k-1} \leq |\nabla_x \Phi_\tau(x, \eta)| \leq 2^{k+1}, \ 2^{j-1} \leq |\eta| \leq 2^{j+1}\}.$$

By Euler's identity and the nondegeneracy condition, we have the equivalence

$$|\nabla_x \Phi_\tau(x, \eta)| = |\partial_{x, \eta}^2 \Phi_\tau(x, \eta) \eta| \asymp |\eta|, \quad \forall (x, \eta) \in \Omega \times \Gamma.$$

Consequently, there exists $N_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sigma_{k,j} \equiv 0 \quad \text{whenever } |j - k| > N_0.$$

On the other hand, the smoothing remainders satisfy

$$r_k(x, \eta) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_{k,j}(x, \eta) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

with $\{r_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ bounded in \mathcal{S} . Hence we may write

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_k(D) T_j = \sum_{j \geq 1: |j-k| \leq N_0} S_{k,j} + r_k(x, D).$$

Since the number of indices j with $|j - k| \leq N_0$ is finite and independent of k , the boundedness Theorem 1.1 for $p = \infty$, together with the uniform boundedness of $\psi_k(D)$, $R_{k,j}$, and $r_k(x, D)$ on \mathcal{FL}^∞ , yields

$$\left\| \sum_{j \geq 1} \psi_k(D) T_j f \right\|_{\mathcal{FL}^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^\infty}, \quad \text{uniformly in } k.$$

Inserting this bound into (3.12), we conclude that

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{FL}^\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}^\infty},$$

which proves the boundedness of T on $\mathcal{FL}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We have already proved Theorem 1.1 in the endpoint cases $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$ for Fourier integral operators of order $m = -\varkappa/2$. The boundedness result for intermediate exponents $1 < p < \infty$ and operators of order

$$m = -\varkappa \left| \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right|,$$

will then follow by complex interpolation together with the classical L^2 theory of Fourier integral operators. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote by \mathcal{FL}_s^p the weighted Fourier–Lebesgue space consisting of all tempered distributions f such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^p} := \|\langle \eta \rangle^s \widehat{f}(\eta)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \left(\int \langle \eta \rangle^{ps} |\widehat{f}|^p d\eta \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

with the usual modification when $p = \infty$. It is well known that the operator $\langle D \rangle^s$ defines an isomorphism

$$\langle D \rangle^s : \mathcal{FL}_s^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{FL}^p.$$

Consequently, an operator T is bounded

$$T : \mathcal{FL}_s^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{FL}^p \quad \text{if and only if} \quad T \langle D \rangle^{-s} : \mathcal{FL}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{FL}^p \text{ is bounded.}$$

Observe that if T is a Fourier integral operator with phase Φ and symbol $\sigma(x, \eta)$ of order m , then the composition $T \langle D \rangle^{-s}$ is again a Fourier integral operator with

the *same phase* Φ and symbol $\sigma(x, \eta)\langle\eta\rangle^{-s}$, which has order $m - s$. Let $1 < p < 2$ and consider a Fourier integral operator T of order

$$m = -\varkappa\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Assume that the boundedness Theorem 1.1 is known for $p = 1$ and $p = 2$. As before, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by \mathcal{FL}_s^p the weighted Fourier–Lebesgue space with norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^p} = \|\langle\eta\rangle^s \widehat{f}(\eta)\|_{L^p}.$$

The operator $\langle D \rangle^s$ defines an isomorphism $\mathcal{FL}_s^p \rightarrow \mathcal{FL}^p$. By the endpoint estimates, the operator T satisfies

$$T : \mathcal{FL}_{m+\varkappa/2}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{FL}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad T : L_m^2 \longrightarrow L^2.$$

Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ be chosen such that

$$\frac{1-\theta}{1} + \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{1}{p}.$$

Solving for θ , we obtain

$$\frac{1-\theta}{1} + \frac{\theta}{2} = 1 - \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{1}{p} \implies \theta = 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

We now compute the interpolated Sobolev index. Interpolating between $\mathcal{FL}_{m+\varkappa/2}^1$ and L_m^2 yields the exponent $(1-\theta)(m+\varkappa/2) + \theta m$. Substituting the value of m and θ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\theta)(m+\varkappa/2) + \theta m &= m + (1-\theta)\frac{\varkappa}{2} = -\varkappa\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\varkappa}{2}\left(1 - 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)\right) \\ &= -\frac{\varkappa}{p} + \frac{\varkappa}{2} + \frac{\varkappa}{2}\left(\frac{2}{p} - 1\right) \\ &= -\frac{\varkappa}{p} + \frac{\varkappa}{2} + \frac{\varkappa}{p} - \frac{\varkappa}{2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the complex interpolation argument yields

$$T : \mathcal{FL}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{FL}^p,$$

which proves Theorem 1.1 for all $1 < p < 2$. The case $2 < p < \infty$ follows by the same argument, interpolating between $p = 2$ and $p = \infty$. Finally, if the order m satisfies a strict inequality

$$m \leq -\varkappa\left|\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right|,$$

the result follows from the equality case, since any operator of order $m' < m$ is also of order m . \square

Acknowledgement. Duván Cardona has been supported by the FWO Odysseus 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations and by the Methusalem programme of the Ghent University Special Research Fund (BOF) (Grant number 01M01021), by the FWO Fellowship Grant No 1204824N and by

the FWO Grant K183725N of the Belgian Research Foundation FWO. Frederick Opoku has been supported by Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, (KNUST) of Ghana.

REFERENCES

1. D. Cardona and M. Ruzhansky, *The weak $(1, 1)$ boundedness of Fourier integral operators with complex phases*, submitted; arXiv:2402.09054.
2. E. Cordero, F. Nicola, and L. Rodino, *Boundedness of Fourier integral operators on FL^p spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 11, 6049–6071.
3. J. J. Duistermaat and L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators. II*, Acta Math. **128** (1972), no. 3–4, 183–269.
4. C. Fefferman, *L^p bounds for pseudo-differential operators*, Israel J. Math. **14** (1973), no. 4, 413–417.
5. L. Guth, H. Wang, and R. Zhang, *A sharp square function estimate for the cone in \mathbb{R}^3* , Ann. of Math. (2) **192** (2020), no. 2, 551–581.
6. L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators. I*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), 79–183.
7. A. Israelsson, S. Rodríguez-López, and W. Staubach, *Local and global estimates for hyperbolic equations in Besov–Lipschitz and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces*, Anal. PDE **14** (2021), no. 1, 1–44.
8. A. Israelsson, T. Mattsson, and W. Staubach, *Boundedness of Fourier integral operators on classical function spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **285** (2023), no. 5, Paper No. 110018, 64 pp.
9. F. Nicola, *Boundedness of Fourier integral operators on Fourier Lebesgue spaces and affine fibrations*, Studia Math. **198** (2010), no. 3, 207–219.
10. A. Laptev, Y. Safarov, and D. Vassiliev. On global representation of Lagrangian distributions and solutions of hyperbolic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 47(11), 1411–1456, (1994).
11. A. Martini and D. Müller, *An FIO-based approach to L^p -bounds for the wave equation on 2-step Carnot groups: the case of Métivier groups*, Analysis & PDE (to appear). arXiv:2406.04315
12. A. Melin and J. Sjöstrand, *Fourier integral operators with complex phase functions and parametrix for an interior boundary value problem*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **1** (1976), no. 4, 313–400.
13. A. Melin and J. Sjöstrand, *Fourier integral operators with complex-valued phase functions*, in: *Fourier Integral Operators and Partial Differential Equations: Colloque International, Université de Nice, 1974*, Springer (2006), 120–223.
14. S. Rodríguez-López, D. Rule, and W. Staubach, On the boundedness of certain bilinear oscillatory integral operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **367** (2015), no. 10, 6971–6995.
15. S. Rodríguez-López, D. Rule, and W. Staubach, A Seeger–Sogge–Stein theorem for bilinear Fourier integral operators, *Adv. Math.* **264** (2014), 1–54.
16. A. Seeger, C. D. Sogge, and E. M. Stein, *Regularity properties of Fourier integral operators*, Ann. of Math. (2) **134** (1991), no. 2, 231–251.
17. C. D. Sogge, *Propagation of singularities and maximal functions in the plane*, Invent. Math. **104** (1991), no. 1, 349–376.
18. M. Ruzhansky, *Regularity theory of Fourier integral operators with complex phases and singularities of affine fibrations*, CWI Tract **131** (2001).
19. Ruzhansky, M. Sugimoto, M. Global L^2 -boundedness theorems for a class of Fourier integral operators. *Commun. Partial. Differ. Equ.*, 31(4-6), 547–569, (2006).
20. Ruzhansky, M. Sugimoto, M. Weighted Sobolev L^2 estimates for a class of Fourier integral operators. *Math. Nachr.* 284(13), 1715–1738, (2011).
21. T. Tao. The weak-type $(1,1)$ of Fourier integral operators of order $-(n-1)/2$. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 76(1), 1–21, (2004).

DUVÁN CARDONA:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

GHENT UNIVERSITY, BELGIUM

E-mail address duvanc306@gmail.com, duvan.cardonasanchez@ugent.be

WILLIAM OBENG-DENTEH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

KWAME NKRUMAH UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, (KNUST)- GHANA.

E-mail address wobengdenteh@gmail.com

FREDERICK OPOKU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

KWAME NKRUMAH UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, (KNUST)- GHANA.

E-mail address frederick@aims.edu.gh