

NON-COMMUTATIVE MAXIMAL INEQUALITIES FOR STATE PRESERVING ACTIONS OF AMENABLE GROUPS

PANCHUGOPAL BIKRAM, HARIHARAN G, SUDIPTA KUNDU, AND DIPTESH SAHA

ABSTRACT. In this article, we establish maximal inequalities and deduce ergodic theorems for state-preserving actions of amenable, locally compact, second-countable groups on tracial non-commutative L^1 -spaces. As a further consequence, in combination with the Neveu decomposition, we obtain a stochastic ergodic theorem for amenable group actions.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we investigate non-commutative maximal ergodic inequalities and pointwise ergodic theorems for actions of amenable groups that preserve a given state. The study of ergodic theorems in classical measure-theoretic settings originates in the 1930s with the seminal contributions of Birkhoff and von Neumann. Since then, the theory has undergone extensive development. The non-commutative counterparts of these results were initiated in the pioneering work of Lance ([Lan76]), who established a pointwise ergodic theorem for averages associated with a single state-preserving automorphism of a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal state. Subsequent generalizations were provided by Kümmerer, Conze, Dang-Ngoc, and others (cf. [K78], [CDN78], and the references therein).

Over time, it has become well understood that the canonical route to establishing an ergodic theorem proceeds through the derivation of an appropriate maximal inequality together with a proper Banach principle. The non-commutative framework, however, renders the maximal inequality step particularly intricate and technically demanding.

In two foundational papers [Yea77, Yea80], Yeadon developed maximal ergodic theorems for actions of positive, sub-tracial, sub-unital maps operating on the preduals of semifinite von Neumann algebras and, as a consequence, established associated pointwise ergodic theorems. This line of inquiry was later advanced by Junge and Xu [JX07], who extended Yeadon's results by proving non-commutative Dunford–Schwartz maximal inequalities in L^p -spaces, employing sophisticated interpolation methods.

Parallel to these developments, substantial progress has been made on ergodic theorems for group actions on classical measure spaces (cf. [AAB⁺10, Cal53]). In particular, relevance to the present discussion is the work of Lindenstrauss [Lin01], which established pointwise ergodic theorems for tempered Følner sequences, thereby extending Birkhoff's classical theorem to actions of second-countable amenable groups.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L53, 46L55; Secondary 37A55, 46L40.

Key words and phrases. Maximal ergodic theorem, Pointwise ergodic theorem, von Neumann algebras.

A significant advancement in the non-commutative theory was made by Hong, Liao, and Wang in [HLW21]. In their work, the authors established a collection of maximal inequalities in non-commutative L^p -spaces for actions of locally compact group metric measure spaces satisfying a doubling condition, as well as for compactly generated groups exhibiting polynomial growth. These inequalities subsequently enabled them to derive the corresponding pointwise ergodic theorems. The scope of these results was later broadened to encompass general amenable groups in [CW22] and very recently for unimodular, amenable groups in [CXZ25].

It is worth emphasizing that both of the aforementioned results deal exclusively with actions that preserve a faithful, normal, semifinite trace. In contrast, Junge and Xu [JX07] examined maximal inequalities for ergodic averages in Haagerup's L^p -spaces ($1 < p < \infty$) associated with state-preserving actions of the discrete group \mathbb{Z} and of the semigroup \mathbb{R}_+ . The corresponding endpoint case $p = 1$ for such state-preserving actions remained open until the more recent developments in [BS23b] and [BS23a].

In [BS23b], the authors established maximal inequalities and pointwise ergodic theorems in non-commutative L^1 -spaces for actions of locally compact groups of polynomial growth that preserve a faithful, normal state on a von Neumann algebra. Their approach relies primarily on an inequality from [HLW21, Proposition 4.8], which allows the relevant ergodic averages over the group to be dominated by an ergodic average of an associated Markov operator.

In [BS23a], a comparable maximal inequality was derived for actions of \mathbb{Z}_+^d and \mathbb{R}_+^d , employing a similar strategy. Additionally, in [BS26], the author proved a maximal inequality for actions of group metric measure spaces satisfying the doubling condition, making use of suitable analogues of Calderón's transference principle together with maximal inequalities for non-commutative martingales.

In the present article, we establish a similar maximal inequality for the state preserving action of an amenable group as in [BS26], by exploiting the maximal inequality obtained by Cadilhac and Wang, in [CW22], which was obtained in tracial setup.

Let G be an amenable, locally compact, second-countable group equipped with a right-invariant Haar measure μ with an admissible Følner sequence (F_n) .

Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal tracial state τ , and let $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$ be a kernel (cf. Definition 3.10). One of the main results of this article establishes the following maximal inequality and ergodic theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$, then consider the averages $(A_k(\phi))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, where*

$$A_k(\phi)(x) := \frac{1}{\mu(F_k)} \int_{F_k} \phi(\alpha_{s^{-1}}(x)) d\mu(s), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then the following hold:

(1) Furthermore, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\phi_j \in \mathcal{M}_{*s}$ satisfy $\|\phi_j\| \leq \frac{1}{4^{s_j}}$. Fix $v > 0$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a sequence $(\gamma_j(n))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers and projections $\{p_{n,j}^v : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in \mathcal{M} such that:

(a) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_j(n) = 0$, uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) $\rho(1 - p_{n,j}^v) \leq (1 + v)\gamma_j(n) + v$.

(c) For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(1.1) \quad A_k(\phi_j)(x) \leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in p_{n,j}^v \mathcal{M}_+ p_{n,j}^v \text{ and } k \in [n].$$

where, $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The constant c depends only on the group G .

(2) Additionally, we assume G is unimodular. Then for any $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$, there exists an element $\bar{\phi} \in \mathcal{M}_*$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $\tau(1 - e) < \varepsilon$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in e \mathcal{M}_+ e \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{|(A_n(\phi) - \bar{\phi})(x)|}{\tau(x)} = 0.$$

We note that a similar maximal inequality obtained in [BS26, Corollary 3.18], which was proved for state-preserving actions on group metric measure spaces. In that setting, the authors derive the estimate

$$|A_k(\phi_j)(x)| \leq C\delta \|\phi_j\| \|x\| + \frac{C}{2^j} \rho(x),$$

for all $x \in q_{n,j}^{\delta,v} \mathcal{M}_+ q_{n,j}^{\delta,v}$ and all $k \in [n]$.

In contrast, in the present maximal inequality, specifically in eq. (1.1), the additional error term $C\delta \|\phi_j\| \|x\|$ does not appear. Instead, we obtain the sharper bound

$$A_k(\phi_j)(x) \leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in q_{j,n}^v \mathcal{M}_+ q_{j,n}^v \text{ and } k \in [n],$$

where the auxiliary sequence (s_j) arises naturally in the passage from the trace to the state.

We conclude this introduction by outlining the structure of the paper. In the following section, we review basic notions from the theory of von Neumann algebras and their associated linear functionals. We also introduce noncommutative L^1 -spaces, discuss the bilateral almost uniform (b.a.u.) topology, and conclude the section with the definition of noncommutative dynamical systems and the corresponding predual actions.

Section 3 is devoted to the proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we establish a pointwise ergodic theorem for ball averages along an admissible Følner sequence, thereby proving part (2) of Theorem 1.1. In addition, we derive an appropriate Banach principle adapted to this setting.

Finally, in the last section, we combine the results obtained in Section 4 with the Neveu decomposition theorem from [BS23b] to obtain a stochastic ergodic theorem within this framework.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this article, let \mathcal{M} denote a von Neumann algebra acting on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . The operator norm inherited from $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is written as $\|\cdot\|_\infty$; when no confusion can arise, we abbreviate it simply by $\|\cdot\|$. The commutant of \mathcal{M} is denoted by \mathcal{M}' . We further write $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ for the collection of projections contained in \mathcal{M} .

The predual of \mathcal{M} , is denoted by \mathcal{M}_* , is the closed linear subspace of the Banach dual \mathcal{M}^* consisting exactly of the ultraweakly continuous linear functionals on \mathcal{M} . A functional $\phi \in \mathcal{M}^*$ is called positive if $\phi(x^*x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$, and it is said to be self-adjoint when $\phi(x) = \overline{\phi(x^*)}$ holds for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. We denote by \mathcal{M}_{*+} and \mathcal{M}_{*s} the cones of positive and self-adjoint elements of \mathcal{M}_* , respectively.

2.1. Non-commutative L^1 -spaces. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful, normal, semifinite (f.n.s.) trace τ . A (possibly unbounded) operator

$$X : \mathcal{D}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H},$$

which is densely defined, closed, and affiliated with \mathcal{M} , i.e, satisfying $u'^*Xu' = X$ for every unitary $u' \in \mathcal{M}'$ —will be written as $X \eta \mathcal{M}$. Such an operator X is said to be τ -measurable if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a projection $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\tau(1 - e) < \varepsilon$ and $e\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(X)$. The collection of all τ -measurable operators affiliated with \mathcal{M} is then defined by

$$L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \{ X \eta \mathcal{M} : X \text{ is } \tau\text{-measurable} \}.$$

The space $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ forms a $*$ -algebra under the adjoint operation, strong sum $X + Y := \overline{X + Y}$, and strong product $X \cdot Y := \overline{XY}$, where \overline{X} denotes the closure of X . Detailed treatments may be found in [SZ19, Hia21].

Several natural topologies arise on $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. The first relevant one is the *measure topology*, whose neighborhoods are of the form

$$X + \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \delta), \quad \varepsilon, \delta > 0, X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau),$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon, \delta) := \{ X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \exists e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ with } \tau(1 - e) < \delta \text{ and } \|eXe\| < \varepsilon \}.$$

A net $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ converges to X in the measure topology precisely when, for every $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$, there exists $i_0 \in I$ such that for all $i \geq i_0$ one may find $e_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ with $\tau(1 - e_i) < \delta$ and

$$\|e_i(X_i - X)e_i\| < \varepsilon.$$

By [Nel74, Theorem 1], the space $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is complete in the measure topology. Furthermore, this topology is metrizable and renders \mathcal{M} dense in $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

The second topology of interest is the *bilateral almost uniform* (b.a.u.) topology.

Definition 2.1. A net $\{X_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is said to converge *bilaterally almost uniformly* (b.a.u.) to $X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a projection $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\tau(1 - e) < \varepsilon$ and

$$\lim_i \|e(X_i - X)e\| = 0.$$

The following fundamental fact, due to [Lit24, Theorem 2.2], will be used subsequently.

Theorem 2.2. *The space $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is complete with respect to the b.a.u. topology.*

We record a simple but useful observation.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ be nets in $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $X_i \rightarrow X$ and $Y_i \rightarrow Y$ in measure (resp. b.a.u.). Then, for every scalar $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the net $cX_i + Y_i$ converges to $cX + Y$ in measure (resp. b.a.u.).*

The trace τ on \mathcal{M}_+ extends in the standard way to $L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ by

$$\tau(X) := \int_0^\infty \lambda d\tau(e_\lambda),$$

where $X = \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda$ is the spectral decomposition of X . The associated noncommutative L^1 -space is defined by

$$L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) := \{X \in L^0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \tau(|X|) < \infty\},$$

with norm $\|X\|_1 := \tau(|X|)$. The following properties, proved in [Hia21, Chapter 4], will be used repeatedly.

Theorem 2.4. (1) $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a Banach space under $\|\cdot\|_1$.
 (2) If $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}$, then both Xy and yX lie in $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and moreover $\tau(Xy) = \tau(yX)$.
 (3) The canonical identification

$$\phi \in \mathcal{M}_* \longleftrightarrow X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \quad \phi(x) = \tau(Xx) \quad (x \in \mathcal{M})$$

defines a surjective linear isometry from $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ onto \mathcal{M}_* , which preserves positivity.

2.2. Non-commutative dynamical systems. Let G be a locally compact, second countable, Hausdorff group equipped with a right-invariant Haar measure μ . A Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of measurable subsets of G satisfying

$$0 < \mu(F_n) < \infty \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and such that for every $g \in G$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(F_n g \triangle F_n)}{\mu(F_n)} = 0,$$

where \triangle denotes the symmetric difference.

Throughout this article, we further, assume that G is an amenable group with a Følner sequence (F_n) in G . In addition, for most of our results, we shall assume that (F_n) is an *admissible Følner*

sequence (see [CW22] for the precise definition).

We also remark that if G is a locally compact, second countable, amenable group, then every Følner sequence admits an admissible Følner subsequence; see [CW22] for details.

Definition 2.5. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real ordered Banach space. Then a *non-commutative dynamical system* is a triple (E, G, γ) , where $\gamma : G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(E)$ is a mapping satisfying $\gamma_s \circ \gamma_t = \gamma_{st}$ for all $s, t \in G$, and the following conditions hold:

- (1) for every $a \in E$, the orbit map $s \mapsto \gamma_s(a)$ is continuous on G , where E is equipped with the norm topology (and the w^* -topology in the case $E = \mathcal{M}$);
- (2) the operators are uniformly bounded, i.e., $\sup_{s \in G} \|\gamma_s\| < \infty$;
- (3) positivity is preserved: if $a \geq 0$, then $\gamma_s(a) \geq 0$ for all $s \in G$.

We further assume that if $E = \mathcal{M}$, γ_s is a $*$ -automorphism on \mathcal{M} for all $s \in G$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful, normal, semifinite trace τ , and consider the dynamical system $(L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), G, \gamma)$. Since $\mathcal{M} = (L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))^*$, the Banach-space adjoint of γ_s , denoted γ_s^* , is characterized by

$$(2.1) \quad \tau(\gamma_s^*(x)Y) = \tau(x\gamma_s(Y)), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, Y \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

Similarly, for a non-commutative dynamical system (\mathcal{M}, G, β) , the predual operator of β_s , denoted $\widehat{\beta}_s$, is defined via

$$(2.2) \quad \tau(\beta_s(x)Y) = \tau(x\widehat{\beta}_s(Y)), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, Y \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

In particular, one has $(\widehat{\beta}_s)^* = \beta_s$ for every $s \in G$.

Now let $T : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a bounded normal operator. Its adjoint $T^* : \mathcal{M}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^*$ is given by

$$T^*(\phi)(x) = \phi(T(x)), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \phi \in \mathcal{M}^*.$$

If $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_* \subseteq \mathcal{M}^*$, then $T^*(\phi)$ also belongs to \mathcal{M}_* ; hence the restriction $T^*|_{\mathcal{M}_*} : \mathcal{M}_* \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ serves as the predual transformation associated with T .

To streamline notation, we shall not distinguish between T and its predual $T^*|_{\mathcal{M}_*}$. Thus, when $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ and we write $T(\phi)$, it is to be understood that T acts as the predual map. Under this convention,

$$(2.3) \quad T(\phi)(x) = \phi(T(x)), \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \phi \in \mathcal{M}_*.$$

3. Maximal Inequality

In this section we establish a maximal inequality associated to a state preserving action of an amenable group on von Neumann algebra.

Suppose \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra with a f.n.s. trace τ . Then, consider the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N} =: L^\infty(G, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ and consider the f.n.s trace $\tilde{\tau}$ on \mathcal{N} , defined by

$$\tilde{\tau} = \int_G (\cdot) d\mu \otimes \tau$$

We note that $L^1(\mathcal{N}, \tilde{\tau})$ is identified with $L^1(G, L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$. Further, we note that $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ can be identified with \mathcal{M}_* via the following map:

$$L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \ni A \rightarrow \tau_A \in \mathcal{M}_*,$$

where $\tau_A(x) = \tau(Ax)$ for $x \in \mathcal{M}$. This will establish an isometric isomorphism between $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and \mathcal{M}_* . Consequently, $L^1(G, L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ is isometrically isomorphic to $L^1(G, \mathcal{M}_*)$ via the following map

$$L^1(G, L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)) \ni f \mapsto \tau_f \in L^1(G; \mathcal{M}_*),$$

where $\tau_f(s) =: \tau_{f(s)}$. Further, as $\mathcal{N} = L^\infty(G, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M} \cong L^\infty(G; \mathcal{M}) :=$ the space of weakly measurable essentially bounded functions from G to \mathcal{M} , we obtain that

$$\tilde{\tau}_f(g) =: \tilde{\tau}(fg) = \int_G \tau(f(s)g(s)) d\mu(s),$$

where $f \in L^1(G, L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ and $g \in L^\infty(G; \mathcal{M})$. We also note that $\tilde{\tau}(g) = \int_G \tau(g(s)) d\mu(s)$, for $g \in L^\infty(G; \mathcal{M})$. Let (F_n) be a Følner sequence in G and $f \in L^1(G) \otimes \mathcal{M}_*$. Then consider

$$\mathbb{A}_n(f)(s) = \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} f(st) d\mu(t).$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in L^1(G, \mathcal{M}_*)_+$ and $h \in L^1(G; L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))_+$ such that*

$$f = \tilde{\tau}_h,$$

then it follows that

$$\mathbb{A}_n(f) = \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{A}_n(h)}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Let $g \in L^\infty(G; \mathcal{M})$ and $t \in G$, then observe that $\rho_t(g) \in L^\infty(G, \mathcal{M})$, where $\rho_t(g)(s) = g(st^{-1})$ for all $s \in G$. As $f = \tilde{\tau}_h$, so, we have

$$\int_G f(s)(\rho_t(g)(s)) d\mu = \int_G \tau(h(s)\rho_t(g)(s)) d\mu.$$

Further, since μ is right-invariant Haar measure, we have

$$\int_G f(st)(g(s)) d\mu(s) = \int_G \tau(h(st)(g(s)) d\mu(s)$$

Thus, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \mathbb{A}_n(f)(s)(g(s)) d\mu(s) &= \int_G \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} f(st)(g(s)) d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \int_G f(st)(g(s)) d\mu(s) d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \int_G \tau(h(st)(g(s))) d\mu(s) d\mu(t) \\
&= \int_G \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \tau(h(st)(g(s))) d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \\
&= \int_G \tau(\mathbb{A}_n(h)(s)g(s)) d\mu(s).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Then by linearity of the operator \mathbb{A}_n and the weight $\tilde{\tau}$, we observe that for all $\nu \in L^1(G, \mathcal{M}_*)$ there exists $B_\nu \in L^1(G; L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ such that

$$\nu(\cdot) = \tilde{\tau}(B_\nu(\cdot)), \text{ i.e., } \nu = \tilde{\tau}_{B_\nu}.$$

We can also have the following observation.

Lemma 3.2. *Let e be a projection in \mathcal{N} and $\delta > 0$, then $\|e(B_\nu)e\| \leq \delta$ if and only if*

$$|(\nu)(exe)| \leq \delta \tilde{\tau}(exe), \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{N}_+.$$

Proof. Suppose

$$|(\nu)(exe)| \leq \delta \tilde{\tau}(exe), \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{N}_+,$$

then for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_+$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|(\nu)(exe)| \leq \delta \tilde{\tau}(exe) \\
&\Leftrightarrow |\tilde{\tau}((B_\nu)(exe))| \leq \delta \tilde{\tau}(exe) \\
&\Leftrightarrow |\tilde{\tau}(e(B_\nu)ex)| \leq \delta \tilde{\tau}(exe)
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to $\|e(B_\nu)e\| \leq \delta$. □

We recall the following theorem from [CW22] for smooth reference.

Theorem 3.3. *Let (F_n) be an admissible Følner sequence in G . Let $f \in L^1(G, L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists a projection e^ε in \mathcal{N} such that*

- (1) $\tau(1 - e^\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{\varepsilon}$ and
- (2) $\|e^\varepsilon \mathbb{A}_k(f) e^\varepsilon\| \leq c\varepsilon$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now using the Theorem 3.2 we immediately deduce the following theorem from Theorem 3.3. This version will be used in our analysis.

Theorem 3.4. *Let K be a Borel set in G and $f \in L^1(G; \mathcal{M}_*)$ with $\text{supp}(f) \subseteq K$. Then for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a projection with e_K^ε in \mathcal{N} with $\text{supp}(e_K^\varepsilon) \in L^\infty(K, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the following*

- (1) $\tilde{\tau}(1_K \otimes 1 - e_K^\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{\varepsilon}$ and
- (2) $\|\mathbb{A}_k(f)(e_K^\varepsilon x e_K^\varepsilon)\| \leq c\varepsilon \tilde{\tau}(e_K^\varepsilon x e_K^\varepsilon)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_+$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Note that $f \in L^1(G; \mathcal{M}_*)_+$, so, $f : G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ is a measurable function and

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_G \|f(s)\| d\mu.$$

Further, note that there exists a $h \in L^1(G; L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))_+$ such that

$$\int_G f(s)g(s)d\mu = \int_G \tau(h(s)g(s))d\mu, \text{ for all } g \in L^\infty(G; \mathcal{M}),$$

i.e, $f = \tilde{\tau}_h$. Further, it follows that $\|f\|_1 = \int_G \tau(h(s))d\mu$.

From the Theorem 3.3 recall that, for given $h \in L^1(G; L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a projection e^ε in $\mathcal{N} = L^\infty(G; \mathcal{M})$ such that

- (1) $\tilde{\tau}(1 - e^\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\|f\|_1}{\varepsilon}$ and
- (2) $\|e^\varepsilon \mathbb{A}_k(h)e^\varepsilon\| \leq c\varepsilon$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, as $\mathbb{A}_k(f) = \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbb{A}_k(h)}$ (Theorem 3.1), so, from the Theorem 3.2, it follows that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{A}_k(f)(e^\varepsilon x e^\varepsilon) \leq c\varepsilon \tilde{\tau}((e^\varepsilon x e^\varepsilon)), \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{N}_+ \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Further, consider

$$e_K^\varepsilon = (1_K \otimes 1)e^\varepsilon,$$

but for notational convenient we write $e_K^\varepsilon = 1_K e^\varepsilon$. As $\mathcal{N} = L^\infty(G, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$, we note that

$$(1_K \otimes 1)e^\varepsilon = e^\varepsilon(1_K \otimes 1)e^\varepsilon.$$

Thus, it follows that e_K^ε is a projection in \mathcal{N} . Now since $e_K^\varepsilon \leq e^\varepsilon$, so, the last part of the theorem follows from the Equation (3.1). □

For an admissible Følner sequence (F_n) of G , we consider the following averages:

$$A_n(\phi)(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \phi(\alpha_{s^{-1}}(x)) d\mu(s), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{M}_*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

Remark 3.5. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define probability measures on G by $\mu_n(E) = \frac{\mu(E \cap F_n)}{\mu(F_n)}$, where E is a measurable subset of G . Then observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(\phi)(x) &= \int_G \phi(\alpha_{s^{-1}}(x)) d\mu_n(s), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{M}_*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}, \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{A}_n f(s) &= \int_G f(st) d\mu_n(t), \quad f \in \mathcal{N}_*, \quad s \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we observe that G has an increasing sequence of compact sets (K_n) such that such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(K_n) = \mu_n(G)$. Now for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider

$$A_{n,m}(\phi)(x) := \int_G \phi(\alpha_{s^{-1}}(x)) \chi_{K_m}(s) d\mu_n(s), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{M}_*, \quad x \in \mathcal{M},$$

and we notice that

$$A_{n,m}(\phi) \leq A_n(\phi), \text{ and } \lim_m A_{n,m}(\phi)(x) = A_n(\phi)(x) \text{ for all } \phi \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}, x \in \mathcal{M}_+.$$

Similar observations can also be drawn for (\mathbb{A}_n) . Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that the support of μ_n 's are compact. In fact, we invoke this intermediate assumption only in the proof of Theorem 3.11 to employ the associated transference principle.

Consider the following set

$$(3.2) \quad K_n := \bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k \cup \{1^G\},$$

where 1^G is the identity element of G and note that K_n is a compact subset of G .

Now we have the following proposition which establishes a relation between the average of the action A_n and the average of the function space. It can be proved for both right and left Haar measure. As a proof is known and straightforward, we omit the proof.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $F \subseteq G$ be any compact set, $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$ and $f \in L^1(G, \mathcal{M}_*)$ defined by $f(t) = \chi_{FK_n}(t)\alpha_{t^{-1}}(\phi)$. Then it follows that*

$$\alpha_{s^{-1}}A_k(\phi) = \mathbb{A}_k f(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in F \text{ and } k \in [n].$$

where, $[n]$ denotes the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \subset \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 3.7. Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a f.n tracial state τ , and a f.n state ρ . Then we note that there exists a unique $A \in L^1(M, \tau)_+$ such that $\rho(\cdot) = \tau(A(\cdot))$.

We recall that $\mathcal{N} = L^\infty(G, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$ and the f.n.s trace $\tilde{\tau}$ defined as $\tilde{\tau} = \int_G (\cdot) \otimes \tau$. Further, we consider the f.n semi-finite weight on \mathcal{N}_+ , defined as $\tilde{\rho} = \int_G (\cdot) \otimes \rho$.

Now suppose $X = 1 \otimes A$ and then note that $\tilde{\rho}(x) = \tilde{\tau}(Xx)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}$.

For any $s > 0$, consider the projection $q_s := \chi_{(1/s, s)}(A) \in \mathcal{M}$. Observe that $\tau(1 - q_s) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Therefore, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $s_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\tau(1 - q_{s_\varepsilon}) < \varepsilon$. Furthermore, it follows that $Aq_{s_\varepsilon} \geq \frac{1}{s_\varepsilon} q_{s_\varepsilon}$.

Now let E be a Borel set in G with $\mu(E) < \infty$. Then consider $r_s = 1_E \otimes q_s$, then we have

$$\frac{\tilde{\tau}(1_E \otimes 1 - r_s)}{\mu(E)} = \tau(1 - q_s) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Further, we have $\frac{\tilde{\tau}(1_E \otimes 1 - r_{s_\varepsilon})}{\mu(E)} < \varepsilon$. As $X = 1 \otimes A$, we also note that $Xr_{s_\varepsilon} \geq \frac{1}{s_\varepsilon} r_{s_\varepsilon}$.

Thus, for all $0 \neq x \in r_{s_\varepsilon} \mathcal{N}_+ r_{s_\varepsilon}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(x)}{\tilde{\tau}(x)} &= \frac{\tilde{\tau}(Xx)}{\tilde{\tau}(x)} = \frac{\tilde{\tau}(X_{s_\varepsilon}x)}{\tilde{\tau}(x)} \quad (\text{since } r_{s_\varepsilon}x = x) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{s_\varepsilon} \frac{\tilde{\tau}(x)}{\tilde{\tau}(x)} = \frac{1}{s_\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\frac{\tilde{\tau}(x)}{\tilde{\rho}(x)} \leq s_\varepsilon, \text{ for all } x \in r_{s_\varepsilon} \mathcal{N}_+ r_{s_\varepsilon}$$

Now for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, suppose $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4j}$ and write $s_{\frac{1}{4j}} = s_j$, $r_j =: r_{s_j}$. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain that

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\tau(1_E \otimes 1 - r_j)}{\mu(E)} < \frac{1}{4j} \text{ and } \frac{\tilde{\tau}(x)}{\tilde{\rho}(x)} \leq s_j, \text{ for all } x \in r_j \mathcal{N}_+ r_j.$$

We note that $s_j \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, observe that the sequence (s_j) only depends on $(\frac{1}{4j})$ and the Radon Nikodym derivative operator A of ρ with respect to the trace τ .

We list the following lemma and omit the proof, as it is straightforward.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $f \in L^1(G, \mathcal{M}_*)_+$, e be a projection in $\mathcal{N} = L^\infty(G; \mathcal{M})$ and $\delta > 0$, such that*

$$f(exe) \leq \delta \tilde{\rho}(exe), \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{N}_+.$$

Then it follows that

$$f(s)(e(s)xe(s)) \leq \delta \rho(e(s)xe(s)), \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{M}_+$$

and μ -a.e $s \in G$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra equipped with an f.n.s. weight ρ . We denote the associated GNS Hilbert space by $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$. The algebra \mathcal{M} admits a concrete representation as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho))$. For any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\rho(x^*x) < \infty$, we denote by \hat{x} the corresponding GNS vector in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$. The inner product on the GNS space will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\rho$, and the associated norm by $\|\cdot\|_\rho$. Furthermore, if ρ is a state, the cyclic and separating vector associated with ρ will be denoted by Ω_ρ .

Now we account the following lemma for smooth references, a proof can be found in [BS26].

Lemma 3.9. *Let \mathcal{M}_1 and \mathcal{M}_2 be two von Neumann algebras. Let φ be an f.n.s. weight on \mathcal{M}_1 , and let ρ and τ be an f.n. state and an f.n. tracial state on \mathcal{M}_2 , respectively. Suppose that*

$$\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2, \varphi \otimes \rho)).$$

Further, let S be an arbitrary set, and $\{p_j(s) : j \in \mathbb{N}, s \in S\} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ and $\{x_j(s) : j \in \mathbb{N}, s \in S\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2$ be a family of projections and a family of operators, respectively, such that

$$0 < \varphi(p_j(s)) < \infty \text{ and } 0 \leq x_j(s) \leq p_j(s) \otimes 1, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}, s \in S.$$

Define

$$f_j(s) := \frac{p_j(s) \otimes 1 - x_j(s)}{\varphi(p_j(s))}, \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}, s \in S.$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi \otimes \rho)(f_j(s)) = 0$, uniformly in $s \in S$,
- (2) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi \otimes \tau)(f_j(s)) = 0$, uniformly in $s \in S$.

Let ρ be a f.n. state on \mathcal{M} , and let τ be a f.n. tracial state on \mathcal{M} . We recall the following functionals on $L^\infty(G, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$:

$$\tilde{\rho}(\cdot) = \left(\int_G \otimes \rho \right) (\cdot), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\tau}(\cdot) = \left(\int_G \otimes \tau \right) (\cdot).$$

We observe that $\tilde{\rho}$ is a f.n.s. weight, while $\tilde{\tau}$ is a f.n.s. tracial weight on $L^\infty(G, \mu) \otimes \mathcal{M}$.

Definition 3.10. Let G be amenable group and (\mathcal{M}, G, α) be a non-commutative dynamical system (cf. Definition 2.5) such that $\rho \circ \alpha_s = \rho$ for all $s \in G$, where ρ is a f.n. state on \mathcal{M} . We call the quadruple $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$ a kernel.

Theorem 3.11. Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and let $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$ be a kernel. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\phi_j \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ satisfy $\|\phi_j\| \leq \frac{1}{4^{s_j}}$. Fix $v > 0$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a sequence $(\gamma_j(n))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers and projections $\{p_{n,j}^v : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in \mathcal{M} such that:

- (1) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_j(n) = 0$, uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) $\rho(1 - p_{n,j}^v) \leq (1 + v)\gamma_j(n) + v$.
- (3) For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$A_k(\phi_j)(x) \leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in p_{j,n}^v \mathcal{M}_+ p_{j,n}^v \text{ and } k \in [n].$$

The constant c depends only on the group G .

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta, v > 0$, and let the sequence $(\phi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ with $\|\phi_j\| \leq \frac{1}{4^j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Consider the K_n as in Eq. 3.2, i.e.,

$$K_n := \bigcup_{k=1}^n F_k \cup \{1^G\},$$

is a compact subset of G . Then by the amenability of G , choose a compact set F such that

$$\frac{\mu(FK_n)}{\mu(F)} < 1 + v.$$

Note that $\mu(FK_n) < \infty$ and $F \subseteq FK_n$ as K_n contains the identity element of the group G . Consider the compact set $F \subseteq G$ and define, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f_j(t) := \chi_{FK_n}(t) \alpha_{t^{-1}}(\phi_j).$$

Observe that $f_j \in \mathcal{N}_{*+}$ and that $\|f_j\| \leq \mu(KF)\|\phi_j\|$. Furthermore, we note that

$$\alpha_{s^{-1}} A_n(\phi_j) = \mathbb{A}_n f_j(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in F.$$

For $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2^{s_j}}$ and for $f_j \in L^1(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$, by Theorem 3.4, there exists a projection $e_{j,n}$ in \mathcal{N} such that

- (1) $\tilde{\tau}(\chi_{FK_n} \otimes 1 - e_{j,n}) \leq 2^{s_j} \|f_j\|_1 \leq \frac{\mu(FK_n)}{2^{s_j}}$ and
- (2) $\mathbb{A}_k(f_j)(e_{j,n} x e_{j,n}) \leq \frac{c}{2^{s_j}} \tilde{\tau}(e_{j,n} x e_{j,n})$, $k \in [n]$, $x \in \mathcal{N}_+$ and a.e in μ .

Now suppose $q_{j,n} = e_{j,n} \wedge r_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where (r_j) is the sequence of projections as discussed as in the Remark 3.7. Then note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}(\chi_{FK_n} \otimes 1 - q_{j,n}) &\leq \tilde{\tau}(\chi_{FK_n} \otimes 1 - e_{j,n}) + \tilde{\tau}(\chi_{FK_n} \otimes 1 - r_j) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(FK_n)}{2^{s_j}} + \frac{\mu(FK_n)}{4^j} = \mu(FK_n) \left(\frac{1}{2^{s_j}} + \frac{1}{4^j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in q_{j,n}\mathcal{N}_+q_{j,n}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in [n]$, then note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A}_k(f_j)(x) &= \mathbb{A}_k(f_j)(q_{j,n}xq_{j,n}) \leq \frac{c}{2^{s_j}} \tau(e_{j,n}xe_{j,n}) \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2^{s_j}} \tilde{\tau}(x) \\ &= \frac{c}{2^{s_j}} \tilde{\rho}(x) \frac{\tilde{\tau}(x)}{\tilde{\rho}(x)} \\ &\leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \tilde{\rho}(x) \text{ (see Eq. 3.3),} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, further from the Theorem 3.8, it follows that

$$\mathbb{A}_k(f_j)(s)(q_{j,n}(s)xq_{j,n}(s)) \leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(q_{j,n}(s)xq_{j,n}(s)), \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{M}_+ \text{ and } k \in [n],$$

a.e μ in $s \in FK_n$. As $F \subseteq FK_n$, there exists a Borel set F_μ with $\mu(F \setminus F_\mu) = 0$ and for all $s \in F_\mu$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{A}_k(f_j)(s)(q_{j,n}(s)xq_{j,n}(s)) \leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(q_{j,n}(s)xq_{j,n}(s)), \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{M}_+ \text{ and } k \in [n],$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we further observe that

$$\frac{\tilde{\tau}(1 - q_{j,n})}{\mu(FK_n)} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2^{s_j}} + \frac{1}{4^j} \right) \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\tau}(1 - q_{j,n})}{\mu(FK_n)} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consequently, by Theorem 3.9, we obtain

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(1 - q_{j,n})}{\mu(FK_n)} = 0, \text{ uniformly in } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Suppose

$$\gamma_j(n) = \frac{1}{\mu(FK_n)} \tilde{\rho}(1 - q_{j,n}),$$

and we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_j(n) = 0,$$

uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, we find a $t_v \in F$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left(1 - \alpha_{t_v}^{-1}(q_{j,n}(t_v)) \right) &= \rho(1 - q_{j,n}(t_v)) \text{ since } \alpha_{t_v}^{-1}(1) = 1 \text{ and } \rho \circ \alpha_t = \rho. \\ &\leq \inf_{s \in F_\mu} \rho(1 - q_{j,n}(s)) + v. \end{aligned}$$

We denote $p_{j,n}^v = \alpha_{t_v^{-1}}(q_{j,n}(t_v))$. Then, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(1 - p_{j,n}^v) &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(F_\mu)} \int_{F_\mu} \rho(1 - q_{j,n}(s)) d\mu(s) + v \\ &\leq \frac{\mu(FK_n)}{\mu(F)} \frac{1}{\mu(FK_n)} \tilde{\rho}(1 - q_{j,n}) + v \\ &\leq (1 + v)\gamma_j(n) + v. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for all $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$ and $k \in [n]$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} A_k(\phi_j)(p_{j,n}^v x p_{j,n}^v) &= A_k(\phi_j) \left(\alpha_{t_v^{-1}}(q_{j,n}(t_v)) \right) x \left(\alpha_{t_v^{-1}}(q_{j,n}(t_v)) \right) \\ &= \alpha_{t_v^{-1}} A_k(\phi_j) (q_{j,n}(t_v) \alpha_{t_v}(x) q_{j,n}(t_v)) \\ &= \mathbb{A}_k(f_j)(t_v) (q_{j,n}(t_v) \alpha_{t_v}(x) q_{j,n}(t_v)) \\ &\leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} (\rho \circ \alpha_{t_v}) \left(\alpha_{t_v^{-1}}(q_{j,n}(t_v)) x \alpha_{t_v^{-1}}(q_{j,n}(t_v)) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(p_{j,n}^v x p_{j,n}^v), \text{ (as } \rho \circ \alpha_{t_v} = \rho). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.12. *Let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and let $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$ be a kernel. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\phi_j \in \mathcal{M}_{*s}$ satisfy $\|\phi_j\| \leq \frac{1}{4^{s_j}}$. Fix $v > 0$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist a sequence $(\gamma_j(n))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers and projections $\{p_{n,j}^v : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in \mathcal{M} such that:*

- (1) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_j(n) = 0$, uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 - (2) $\rho(1 - p_{n,j}^v) \leq (1 + v)\gamma_j(n) + v$.
 - (3) For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $$(3.5) \quad A_k(\phi_j)(x) \leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in p_{n,j}^v \mathcal{M}_+ p_{n,j}^v \text{ and } k \in [n].$$

The constant c depends only on the group G .

Proof. Let $\phi_j \in \mathcal{M}_{*s}$ be such that $\|\phi_j\| \leq \frac{1}{4^j}$. Note that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $(\phi_j)_1, (\phi_j)_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ such that $\phi_j = (\phi_j)_1 - (\phi_j)_2$ and $\|\phi_j\| = \|(\phi_j)_1\| + \|(\phi_j)_2\|$.

By applying Theorem 3.11 to $\psi_j = (\phi_j)_1 + (\phi_j)_2$, we obtain a sequence $(\gamma_j(n))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist projections $\{p_{n,j}^v : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in \mathcal{M} satisfying:

- (1) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_j(n) = 0$ uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (2) The inequality

$$\rho(1 - p_{n,j}^v) \leq (1 + v)\gamma_j(n) + v$$

holds.

- (3) For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$A_k(\psi_j)(x) \leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(x)$$

for all $x \in p_{n,j}^v \mathcal{M}_+ p_{n,j}^v$.

Furthermore, for all $x \in e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v} \mathcal{M}_+ e_{n,\varepsilon}^{i,\delta,v}$, and for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |A_k(\phi_j)(x)| &= |A_k((\phi_j)_1)(x) - A_k((\phi_j)_2)(x)| \\ &\leq A_k(\psi_j)(x) \\ &\leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(x), \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.13. (1) Corollary 3.12 is analogous to the maximal inequality established in [BS26, Corollary 3.18], which was proved in the setting of state preserving actions on group metric measure spaces. In particular, [BS26, Corollary 3.18] concludes with the estimate

$$|A_k(\phi_j)(x)| \leq C\delta \|\phi_j\| \|x\| + \frac{C}{2^j} \rho(x),$$

for all $x \in q_{n,j}^{\delta,v} \mathcal{M}_+ q_{n,j}^{\delta,v}$ and all $k \in [n]$, where the family of projections $(q_{n,j}^{\delta,v})$ is defined as in [BS26, Corollary 3.18].

In our setting, however, the maximal inequality—specifically the Inequality 3.5, is obtained from the maximal inequality of [CW22]. As a consequence, no error term of the form $C\delta \|\phi_j\| \|x\|$ appears (see the Inequality 3.5). Instead, we obtain

$$A_k(\phi_j)(x) \leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in q_{j,n}^v \mathcal{M}_+ q_{j,n}^v \text{ and } k \in [n],$$

where the auxiliary sequence (s_j) arises naturally when passing from the trace to the state.

Thus, to obtain the pointwise ergodic theorem, we need to prove an appropriate Banach principle, which will be established in the next section.

- (2) We note that a maximal inequality analogous to [BS23b, Theorem 4.13] can be established for ergodic averages taken over a suitably chosen Følner sequence in a unimodular amenable group. This follows from the ergodic average dominance result proved very recently in [CXZ25, Theorem 3.1].

We also remark that, when G is assumed to be unimodular, the maximal inequality stated in Theorem 3.12 applies to ergodic averages associated with Følner sequences satisfying structural properties different from those considered in [CXZ25, Theorem 3.1].

4. Pointwise ergodic theorems

In this section, we study the pointwise convergence of specific ergodic averages. With the weak-type maximal inequality at our disposal (cf. [CW22]), it remains to establish an appropriate Banach principle and demonstrate the pointwise convergence of elements from a dense subset.

Throughout this section, we assume that \mathcal{M} is a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal tracial state τ and that G , with the right Haar measure, is an amenable group with right Følner sequence (F_n) . Additionally, we assume that (\mathcal{M}, G, α) is a non-commutative dynamical system for which

there exists a faithful normal state ρ on \mathcal{M} satisfying $\rho \circ \alpha_s = \rho$ for all $s \in G$, making $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$ a kernel.

Before proceeding further, we fix the following notation. Let $\{\gamma_s : s \in G\}$ be a family of (appropriate) maps acting on a Banach space E . For the associated averaging operators, with a mild abuse of notations, we write:

- $A_n(\cdot) := \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \gamma_{s^{-1}}(\cdot) d\mu(s)$ and
- $B_n(\cdot) := \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \gamma_s(\cdot) d\mu(s)$.

Strictly speaking, these operators should be denoted by A_n^γ and B_n^γ to emphasize their dependence on the family $\{\gamma_s\}_{s \in G}$. However, for notational simplicity, we suppress this dependence and write A_n and B_n throughout.

Since $\rho \circ \alpha_s = \rho$ for all $s \in G$, we observe that one can define a unitary u_s on the *G.N.S.* space $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ given by

$$u_s(x\Omega_\rho) = \alpha_s(x)\Omega_\rho,$$

satisfying $u_s \circ u_t = u_{st}$ for all $s, t \in G$, where Ω_ρ is the associated cyclic and separating vector in $L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$. Throughout this section, we denote the Hilbert space inner product and norm in the aforementioned *G.N.S.* space by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\rho$ and $\|\cdot\|_\rho$, respectively.

Now, we present the following mean ergodic theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let F_n be Følner sequence with all the notation given in the introduction of this section. Then, the averages*

$$B_n x := \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} u_s(x) d\mu(s), \quad x \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho),$$

converge in $\|\cdot\|_\rho$.

Proof. Since $(L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho), G, u)$ forms a non-commutative dynamical system, we observe that

$$L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho) = F_2 \oplus F_2^\perp,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_2 &= \{x \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho) : u_s(x) = x \text{ for all } s \in G\}, \\ F_2^\perp &= \overline{\text{span}}\{y - u_s(y) : y \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho), s \in G\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it suffices to check the convergence of $A_n x$ for $x = y - u_s(y)$, where $y \in L^2(\mathcal{M}, \rho)$ and $s \in G$. Moreover, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} B_n x &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} u_t(y) d\mu(t) - \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} u_{ts}(y) d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} u_t(y) d\mu(t) - \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n s} u_t(y) d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_G (\chi_{F_n} - \chi_{F_n s}) u_t(y) d\mu(t)$$

Consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_n(x)\| &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_G |\chi_{F_n} - \chi_{F_n s}| \|u_t(y)\| d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_G \chi_{F_n \Delta F_n s} \|y\| d\mu(t) \\ &= \|y\| \frac{\mu(F_n \Delta F_n s)}{\mu(F_n)} \end{aligned}$$

Since (F_n) is a Følner sequence the R.H.S converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $A_n(x)$ converges to 0. \square

We recall the following lemma from [BS23b].

Lemma 4.2 ([BS23b, Lemma 4.5]). *Let (\mathcal{M}, G, α) be a non-commutative dynamical system with a f.n. state ρ , as described at the beginning of this section. Then, there exists a non-commutative dynamical system $(\mathcal{M}', G, \alpha')$, where \mathcal{M}' is the commutant of \mathcal{M} , such that*

$$\langle \alpha'_s(y') x \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho = \langle y' \alpha_{s-1}(x) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $y' \in \mathcal{M}'$, and $s \in G$.

Let G be an amenable group with a Følner sequence (F_n) and (M, G, α) be dynamical system. Then we recall the following averages;

$$A_n(\nu) := \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \alpha_{s-1}(\nu) d\mu(s), \quad \nu \in \mathcal{M}_*,$$

We also recall the following norm convergence result from the same paper, with the additional condition that ρ is invariant under the action of G .

Theorem 4.3 ([BS23b, Theorem 4.6]). *For all $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$, there exists $\bar{\nu} \in \mathcal{M}_*$ such that*

$$\bar{\nu} = \|\cdot\| - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(\nu).$$

Further, if the group G is unimodular and that the Følner sequence $\{F_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is chosen to be symmetric, then, $\bar{\nu}$ is G -invariant.

Proof. Let $L^2(M, \rho)$ be the closure of M with respect to the norm induced from the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\rho$. Then we can define the following contractions on the Hilbert space $L^2(M, \rho)$:

$$u_g(x \Omega_\rho) = \alpha_g(x) \Omega_\rho, \quad x \in M_s, \quad g \in G.$$

Now, consider

$$B_n := \frac{1}{m(F_n)} \int_{F_n} u_g d\mu(g).$$

Then by the von Neumann mean ergodic theorem, it follows that for all $\xi \in L^2(M, \rho)$, $T_n(\xi)$ converges to $P\xi$ strongly, where P is the orthogonal projection of $L^2(M, \rho)$ onto the subspace

$$\{\xi \in L^2(M, \rho) : u_g \xi = \xi \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

Let $x \in M$, then it follows that $T_n(x\Omega)$ converges in $L^2(M, \rho)$.

Let $y_1, y_2 \in M'(\sigma)$ and define $\psi_{y_1, y_2}(x) = \langle xy_1\Omega_\rho, y_2\Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho$ for all $x \in M$. Then by the discussion just before Remark 4.3, there exists a $z \in M$ such that $y_1^*y_2\Omega_\rho = z\Omega_\rho$, where $z = J\sigma_{i/2}(y_2^*y_1)J$. Consequently, for $x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(\psi_{y_1, y_2})(x) &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \langle \alpha_{g^{-1}}(x)y_1\Omega_\rho, y_2\Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho d\mu(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \langle \alpha_{g^{-1}}(x)\Omega_\rho, y_1^*y_2\Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho d\mu(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \langle \alpha_{g^{-1}}(x)\Omega_\rho, z\Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho d\mu(g). \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \langle x\Omega_\rho, u_{g^{-1}}^*(z\Omega_\rho) \rangle_\rho d\mu(g) \\ &= \langle x\Omega_\rho, B_n(z\Omega_\rho) \rangle_\rho d\mu(g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $x \in M$,

$$A_n(\psi_{y_1, y_2})(x) \rightarrow \langle x\Omega_\rho, \eta \rangle_\rho, \quad \text{where } \eta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(z\Omega_\rho).$$

Consider $\bar{\psi}_{y_1, y_2} \in M_*$ defined by

$$\bar{\psi}_{y_1, y_2}(x) = \langle x\Omega_\rho, \eta \rangle_\rho, \quad x \in M.$$

Then by standard argument it follows that

$$\bar{\psi}_{y_1, y_2} \circ \alpha_g = \bar{\psi}_{y_1, y_2} \quad \text{for all } g \in G,$$

and

$$\|\bar{\psi}_{y_1, y_2}\| = \|\cdot\|_1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(\psi_{y_1, y_2}).$$

Hence the result follows since the set

$$\{\psi_{y_1, y_2} : y_1, y_2 \in M'(\sigma)\}$$

is total in M_* .

□

Remark 4.4. Now, if G is unimodular, we can take $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ to be symmetric and left and right Følner both. In that case, $\bar{\nu}$ is G invariant. Indeed, for $\nu \in M_*$, recall that

$$A_n(\nu) = \frac{1}{\nu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \nu \circ \alpha_{g^{-1}} d\mu(g), \quad \text{and } \bar{\nu} = \|\cdot\|_1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(\nu).$$

Fix $h \in G$, we observe that $\bar{\nu} \circ \alpha_h = \bar{\nu}$. Indeed, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(\nu) \circ \alpha_h &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \nu \circ \alpha_{g^{-1}} \circ \alpha_h d\mu(g) = \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \nu \circ \alpha_{g^{-1}h} d\mu(g) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \nu \circ \alpha_{(h^{-1}g)^{-1}} d\mu(g) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{h^{-1}F_n} \nu \circ \alpha_{g^{-1}} d\mu(g) \quad [\text{by unimodularity}]$$

Hence the difference is

$$A_n(\nu) \circ \alpha_h - A_n(\nu) = \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \left(\int_{h^{-1}F_n} - \int_{F_n} \right) \nu \circ \alpha_{g^{-1}} d\mu(g).$$

Taking the norm (dual norm on M_*) and using $\|\nu \circ \alpha_{g^{-1}}\| \leq \|\nu\|$ we get the estimate

$$\|A_n(\nu) \circ \alpha_h - A_n(\nu)\| \leq \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n \Delta(h^{-1}F_n)} \|\nu \circ \alpha_{g^{-1}}\| d\mu(g) \leq \|\nu\| \frac{\mu(F_n \Delta(h^{-1}F_n))}{\mu(F_n)}.$$

Because $\{F_n\}$ is a Følner sequence and G is unimodular (so left and right Haar measures agree), the ratio

$$\frac{\mu(F_n \Delta(h^{-1}F_n))}{\mu(F_n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Thus $\|A_n(\nu) \circ \alpha_h - A_n(\nu)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Passing to the $\|\cdot\|_1$ -limit that defines $\bar{\nu}$ yields

$$\bar{\nu} \circ \alpha_h = \bar{\nu}.$$

Since $h \in G$ was chosen arbitrarily, $\bar{\nu}$ is G -invariant.

We obtain the following convergence result for a noncommutative dynamical system.

Lemma 4.5. *Let (E, G, γ) be a dynamical system, where $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in E$, we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_n(a - B_k(a))\| = 0,$$

where

$$B_m(a) := \frac{1}{\mu(F_m)} \int_{F_m} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(a - B_k(a)) &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} [\gamma_s(a) - \gamma_s(B_k(a))] d\mu(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \left[\gamma_s(a) - \gamma_s \left(\frac{1}{\mu(F_k)} \int_{F_k} \gamma_t(a) d\mu(t) \right) \right] d\mu(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \frac{1}{\mu(F_k)} \int_{F_n} \int_{F_k} (\gamma_s(a) - \gamma_{st}(a)) d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \frac{1}{\mu(F_k)} \int_{F_k} \int_{F_n} (\gamma_s(a) - \gamma_{st}(a)) d\mu(s) d\mu(t) \quad (\text{by Fubini's theorem}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_k)} \int_{F_k} \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \left(\int_{F_n} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) - \int_{F_n t} \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) \right) d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(F_k)} \int_{F_k} \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \left(\int_G (\chi_{F_n} - \chi_{F_n t})(s) \gamma_s(a) d\mu(s) \right) d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $|\chi_{F_n} - \chi_{F_n t}| = \chi_{F_n \Delta F_n t}$. Since $\sup_{g \in G} \|\gamma_s\|$ is finite, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_n(a - B_k(a))\| &\leq \frac{1}{\mu(F_k)} \int_{F_k} \left(\frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_G |(\chi_{F_n} - \chi_{F_n t})(s)| \|\gamma_s(a)\| d\mu(s) \right) d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \frac{\|a\| \sup_{g \in G} \|\gamma_g\|}{\mu(F_k)} \int_{F_k} \frac{\mu(F_n \Delta F_n t)}{\mu(F_n)} d\mu(t) \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions $g_n(t) = \frac{\mu(F_n \Delta F_n t)}{\mu(F_n)}$ are bounded on F_k with $\mu(F_k) < \infty$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and converges to 0 pointwise (by the definition of amenability), by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we obtain for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in E$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_n(a - B_k(a))\| = 0.$$

□

Given a kernel $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$, we observe that by Theorem 4.3, it follows that $\|\cdot\|_1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(\nu)$ exists for all $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$, and the limit is denoted by $\bar{\nu}$.

We recall another result from [BS23b], which identifies a dense subset of \mathcal{M}_* such that for elements of this set, the ergodic averages converge in a manner that is similar to bilateral almost uniform convergence. This result requires that the group G be unimodular, due to our use of remark 4.4.

Proposition 4.6 ([BS23b], Lemma 4.9). *Consider the following set:*

$$\mathcal{W}_1 := \{\nu - A_k(\nu) + \bar{\nu} : k \in \mathbb{N}, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_{*+} \text{ with } \nu \leq \lambda \rho \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}.$$

(i) Define $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_1 - \mathcal{W}_1$. Then, \mathcal{W} is dense in \mathcal{M}_{*s} .

(ii) For all $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$, we have

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}_+, x \neq 0} \frac{|(A_n(\nu) - \bar{\nu})(x)|}{\rho(x)} = 0.$$

Proof. (i): The proof follows from a similar argument as in the proof of [BS23b, Lemma 4.9, Part (i)]. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By lemma 3.19 from [Hia21], we find a $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$ with $\nu \leq \lambda \rho$ for some $\lambda > 0$, such that $\|\mu - \nu\| < \varepsilon/2$. Further, by 4.3 we know that $A_n(\nu)$ is convergent, and write $\bar{\nu} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n(\nu)$. So there exists an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\bar{\nu} - A_{n_0}(\nu)\| \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

Therefore by the triangle inequality, we have

$$\|\mu - (\nu - A_{n_0}(\nu) - \bar{\nu})\| \leq \|\mu - \nu\| + \|A_{n_0}(\nu) - \bar{\nu}\| < \varepsilon.$$

Now for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{*s}$, we write $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$, where μ_+, μ_- are normal positive linear functionals. Thus, it follows that \mathcal{W} is dense in \mathcal{M}_{*s} .

(ii): Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider $\nu_k := \nu - A_k(\nu) + \bar{\nu}$. It suffices to prove Eq. 4.1 for ν_k .

Now, since

$$A_n(\nu_k) - \bar{\nu} = A_n(\nu - A_k(\nu))$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from Lemma 4.5 that $\overline{\nu_k} = \bar{\nu}$.

Since $\nu \leq \lambda\rho$, by [BS23b, Lemma 4.4], there exists a unique $y'_1 \in \mathcal{M}'_+$ with $y'_1 \leq \lambda$ such that

$$\nu(x) = \langle y'_1 x \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

For $y' \in \mathcal{M}'$, we write

$$B_n(y') := \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \alpha'_s(y') d\mu(s).$$

By Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\langle B_n(y') x \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho = \langle y' A_n(x) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{M}, y' \in \mathcal{M}',$$

here

$$A_n(x) := \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \alpha_{s^{-1}}(x) d\mu(s).$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(A_n(\nu_k) - \bar{\nu})(x)| &= |(\nu_k - \bar{\nu})(A_n(x))| \\ &= |(\nu - A_k(\nu))(A_n(x))| \quad (\text{since } \nu_k := \nu - A_k(\nu) + \bar{\nu}) \\ &= |\nu(A_n(x)) - \nu(A_k(A_n(x)))| \quad (\text{as } B_k(\nu)(\cdot) = \nu(B_k(\cdot))) \\ &= \left| \langle y'_1 A_n(x) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho - \langle y'_1 A_k(A_n(x)) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho \right| \\ &\quad (\text{since } \nu(\cdot) = \langle y'_1(\cdot) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho) \\ &= \left| \langle (y'_1 - B_k(y'_1)) A_n(x) \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho \right| \\ &= \left| \langle B_n(y'_1 - B_k(y'_1)) x \Omega_\rho, \Omega_\rho \rangle_\rho \right|, \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.2}) \\ &\leq \|B_n(y'_1 - B_k(y'_1))\|_\rho \rho(x). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since Lemma 4.5 ensures that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|B_n(y'_1 - B_k(y'_1))\|_\rho = 0$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}_+, x \neq 0} \frac{|(A_n(\nu_k) - \bar{\nu})(x)|}{\rho(x)} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{M}_+, x \neq 0} \frac{|(A_n(\nu_k) - \bar{\nu})(x)|}{\rho(x)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.7. (1) From Proposition 4.6, we begin by observing that for every $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$, one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in p\mathcal{M}_+ \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{|(A_n(\nu) - \bar{\nu})(x)|}{\rho(x)} = 0.$$

(2) Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and let $\nu \in \mathcal{W}$. Since $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$, there exists a unique element $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ such that

$$\rho(x) = \tau(Xx), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

For each $s > 0$, define the projection $q_s := \chi_{(1/s, s)}(X) \in \mathcal{M}$. Note that

$$\tau(1 - q_s) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Consequently, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $s_0 > 0$ such that $\tau(1 - q_{s_0}) < \varepsilon$. Moreover, we have the operator inequality $Xq_{s_0} \leq s_0 q_{s_0}$. Hence, for every nonzero element $x \in q_{s_0} \mathcal{M}_+ q_{s_0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho(x)}{\tau(x)} &= \frac{\tau(Xx)}{\tau(x)} = \frac{\tau(Xq_{s_0}x)}{\tau(x)} \\ &\leq \frac{\tau(s_0 x)}{\tau(x)} = s_0. \end{aligned}$$

It therefore follows from Proposition 4.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in p\mathcal{M}_+p \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{|(A_n(\nu) - \bar{\nu})(x)|}{\tau(x)} &\leq s_0 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in p\mathcal{M}_+p \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{|(A_n(\nu) - \bar{\nu})(x)|}{\rho(x)} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout the remainder of this section, we assume that the von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} is endowed with a faithful normal tracial state τ .

The next result establishes a suitable Banach principle, which plays a central role in deriving ergodic convergence results. To this end, we first introduce the notion of bilateral almost uniform (b.a.u.) convergence for sequences in the predual \mathcal{M}_* .

Definition 4.8. Let $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathcal{M}_* and let $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$. We say that ν_n converges to ν in the bilateral almost uniform (b.a.u.) topology if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a projection $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ with $\tau(1 - p) < \varepsilon$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in p\mathcal{M}_+p \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{|(\nu_n - \nu)(x)|}{\tau(x)} = 0.$$

Remark 4.9. Let $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}_*$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$. By Proposition 2.4, there exist a sequence $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an element $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $\nu_n(x) = \tau(X_n x)$ and $\nu(x) = \tau(Xx)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

We claim that (ν_n) converges to ν in the b.a.u. topology, in the sense of Definition 4.8, if and only if the corresponding sequence (X_n) converges to X bilaterally almost uniformly in $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, as in Definition 2.1. Indeed, assume first that $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$ in b.a.u. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a projection $e \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ with $\tau(1 - e) < \varepsilon$ such that for every $\delta > 0$, one can find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying, for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$|(\nu_n - \nu)(exe)| \leq \delta \tau(exe), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}_+ \setminus \{0\}.$$

Using the trace representation, this inequality is equivalent to

$$|\tau((X_n - X)(exe))| \leq \delta \tau(exe), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}_+ \setminus \{0\},$$

which, by the faithfulness of τ , is in turn equivalent to

$$\|e(X_n - X)e\| \leq \delta.$$

Consequently, $X_n \rightarrow X$ in the b.a.u. sense. The converse implication follows by reversing the above arguments.

The following proposition shows that the predual \mathcal{M}_* is complete with respect to the b.a.u. topology.

Proposition 4.10. *Every b.a.u.-Cauchy sequence $(\nu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{M}_* converges in the b.a.u. topology to some $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_*$.*

Proof. The assertion follows directly from Theorem 2.2 together with Remark 4.9. \square

We now prove the Banach principle.

Theorem 4.11 (Banach principle). *Suppose G is a l.c.s.c. amenable group with admissible Følner sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, and let \mathcal{M} be a finite von Neumann algebra equipped with a faithful normal tracial state τ and a faithful normal state ρ .*

Let (\mathcal{M}, G, α) be a non-commutative dynamical system such that $\rho \circ \alpha_g = \rho$ for all $g \in G$, i.e., $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$ forms a kernel. Then, the following set

$$\mathcal{C} = \{\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{*s} \mid A_n(\phi) \text{ converges in b.a.u.}\}$$

*is closed in \mathcal{M}_{*s} .*

Proof. Let (ϕ_n) be a sequence in \mathcal{C} , converging to $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_{*s}$ in norm, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_n - \phi\| = 0.$$

Then, we need to show that $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$.

First, we note that since $\rho \in \mathcal{M}_{*+}$, there exists a unique $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_+$ such that $\rho(x) = \tau(Xx)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, for any $s > 0$, consider the projection $q_s := 1_{(1/s, s)}(X) \in \mathcal{M}$. Observe that $(1 - q_s) \xrightarrow{s \rightarrow \infty} 0$ in the strong operator topology (SOT).

Let $\theta > 0$ be arbitrary. Therefore, there exists an $s_0 > 0$ such that $\tau(1 - q_{s_0}) < \frac{\theta}{2}$. Further, this implies $Xq_{s_0} \leq s_0 q_{s_0}$. Thus, for all $0 \neq x \in q_{s_0} \mathcal{M}_+ q_{s_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho(x)}{\tau(x)} &= \frac{\tau(Xx)}{\tau(x)} = \frac{\tau(Xq_{s_0}x)}{\tau(x)} \quad (\text{since } q_{s_0}x = x) \\ &\leq \frac{\tau(s_0x)}{\tau(x)} = s_0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi - \phi_n\| = 0$, possibly passing through a subsequence, we can assume that

$$\|\phi - \phi_j\| < \frac{1}{4s_j} \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Furthermore, note that $\phi - \phi_j \in \mathcal{M}_{*s}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, using Corollary 3.12 for $n = N$, $v = \frac{1}{2^i}$, we obtain positive reals $(\gamma_j(N))_{N \in \mathbb{N}}$ and projections $q_{N,j}^i := q_{N,j}^{\frac{1}{2^i}}$ in \mathcal{M} for $j, N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

- (1) $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_j(N) = 0$, uniformly in $N \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) $\rho(1 - q_{N,j}^i) \leq (1 + \frac{1}{2^i})\gamma_j(N) + \frac{1}{2^i}$, and
- (3) for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$A_n(\phi - \phi_j)(x) \leq \frac{cs_j}{2^{s_j}} \rho(x) \text{ for all } x \in q_{N,j}^i \mathcal{M}_+ q_{N,j}^i \text{ and } n \in [N].$$

Now denote $q_{N,j} = q_{N,j}^j$

We have,

$$\rho(1 - q_{N,j}) \leq (1 + \frac{1}{2^j})\gamma_j(N) + \frac{1}{2^j}$$

for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, it immediately follows that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \rho(1 - q_{N,j}) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We use Lemma 3.9. Let $\mathcal{M}_1 = L^\infty(G)$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}$, take S to be the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} , let $x_j(n) = q_{j,n}$ and $p_j(n) = \chi_{F_n} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $f_j(N) = \frac{p_j(N) \otimes 1 - q_{j,N}}{\mu(F_N)}, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}$. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\varphi \otimes \rho)(\chi_{F_N} \otimes (1 - q_{N,j}))}{\varphi(\chi_{F_N})} = 0 \\ \implies & \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\varphi \otimes \tau)(\chi_{F_N} \otimes (1 - q_{N,j}))}{\varphi(\chi_{F_N})} = 0 \text{ by Lemma 3.10} \\ \implies & \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(\chi_{F_N})\tau(1 - q_{N,j})}{\varphi(\chi_{F_N})} = 0 \\ \implies & \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \tau(1 - q_{N,j}) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exists a subsequence $(j_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\tau(1 - q_{N,j_l}) < \frac{\theta}{2^{l+2}}, \quad \text{for all } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\phi_j \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a sequence of projections (f_j) in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ and a strictly increasing sequence $(N_j) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (1) $\tau(1 - f_j) < \frac{\theta}{2^{j+2}}, \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N},$
- (2) $\sup_{x \in f_j \mathcal{M}_+ f_j, x \neq 0} \frac{|(A_n(\phi_j) - A_m(\phi_j))(x)|}{\tau(x)} < \frac{1}{2^j}, \quad \text{for all } n, m \geq N_j.$

Now, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $q_l := q_{N_{j_{l+1}}, j_l}$. Then, we note that

- (1) $\tau(1 - q_l) \leq \frac{\theta}{2^{l+2}},$
- (2) $A_n(\phi - \phi_{j_l})(q_l x q_l) \leq \frac{cs_{j_l}}{2^{s_{j_l}}} \rho(q_l x q_l), \quad \text{for all } n \in [N_{j_{l+1}}].$

Moreover, for the subsequence (j_l) , we have

$$\tau(1 - f_{j_l}) \leq \frac{\theta}{2^{j_l+2}}.$$

Finally, consider the projection

$$e = \left(\bigwedge_{l \in \mathbb{N}} q_l \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{l \in \mathbb{N}} f_{j_l} \right) \wedge q_{s_0}.$$

Then, we have $\tau(1 - e) < \theta$ and, for all $0 \neq x \in e\mathcal{M}_+e$, assuming $N_{j_l} \leq n, m \leq N_{j_l+1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|(A_n(\phi) - A_m(\phi))(x)|}{\tau(x)} \\ &= \frac{|(A_n(\phi) - A_n(\phi_{j_l}) + A_n(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi_{j_l}) + A_m(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi))(x)|}{\tau(x)} \\ &\leq \frac{|(A_n(\phi) - A_n(\phi_{j_l}))(x)|}{\tau(x)} + \frac{|(A_n(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi_{j_l}))(x)|}{\tau(x)} + \frac{|(A_m(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi))(x)|}{\tau(x)} \\ &\leq \frac{|(A_n(\phi - \phi_{j_l}))(x)|}{\tau(x)} + \frac{|(A_n(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi_{j_l}))(x)|}{\tau(x)} + \frac{|(A_m(\phi_{j_l} - \phi))(x)|}{\tau(x)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{|(A_n(\phi - \phi_{j_l}))(x)|}{\rho(x)} + \frac{|(A_m(\phi_{j_l} - \phi))(x)|}{\rho(x)} \right) \frac{\rho(x)}{\tau(x)} + \frac{|(A_n(\phi_{j_l}) - A_m(\phi_{j_l}))(x)|}{\tau(x)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2cs_{j_l}}{2^{s_{j_l}}} \right) s_0 + \frac{1}{2^{s_{j_l}}} = \frac{(2cs_{j_l}s_0 + 1)}{2^{s_{j_l}}}, \quad (\text{by Eq. 4.2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $j_l \rightarrow \infty$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in e\mathcal{M}_+e, x \neq 0} \frac{|(A_n(\phi) - A_m(\phi))(x)|}{\tau(x)} = 0.$$

Therefore, $(A_n(\phi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in b.a.u. Thus, by Proposition 4.10, it follows that $(A_n(\phi))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in b.a.u., which implies that the set \mathcal{C} is closed in \mathcal{M}_{*s} . \square

The following results require that G is unimodular, due to our use of proposition 4.6(ii). We conclude with the following ergodic theorem.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$ be a kernel, and let τ be a faithful normal tracial state on \mathcal{M} . For any $\phi \in \mathcal{M}_*$, there exists an element $\bar{\phi} \in \mathcal{M}_*$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find a projection $e \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $\tau(1 - e) < \varepsilon$ and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\substack{x \in e\mathcal{M}_+e \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{|(A_n(\phi) - \bar{\phi})(x)|}{\tau(x)} = 0.$$

Proof. The assertion is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 4.11, combined with Remark 4.7. \square

Let $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$ be a kernel, and let τ be a faithful normal tracial state on \mathcal{M} . We next reformulate Theorem 4.12 in the framework of the noncommutative L^1 -space $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For a given element

$X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, recall from the Eq.2.2 the associated ergodic averages

$$A_n(X) := \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \hat{\alpha}_{s^{-1}}(X) d\mu(s).$$

Theorem 4.13. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, G, \alpha, \rho)$ be a kernel, and let $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then there exists $\bar{X} \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that the sequence $(A_n(X))$ converges bilaterally almost uniformly to \bar{X} .*

Proof. The conclusion follows directly from Theorem 4.12 together with Remark 4.9. \square

Remark 4.14. In view of Theorem 4.13, we observe that a non-commutative pointwise ergodic theorem, analogous to [BS23b, Theorem 4.17], can be obtained for ergodic averages taken along the Følner sequences associated with an unimodular amenable group action considered in the dominance result of [CXZ25, Theorem 3.1].

5. Stochastic Ergodic Theorem

This section brings together the results developed so far in order to derive a stochastic ergodic theorem. Throughout, we assume that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a von Neumann algebra endowed with a faithful normal tracial state τ , and that we work in the associated noncommutative space $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Moreover, we assume that G is a unimodular amenable group admitting a symmetric admissible Følner sequence (F_n) .

We consider a noncommutative dynamical system (\mathcal{M}, G, α) . As before, for each $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ we define the corresponding ergodic averages by

$$A_n(X) := \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \alpha_s^*(X) d\mu(s) = \frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \hat{\alpha}_{s^{-1}}(X) d\mu(s).$$

Relying on the preceding results, we now establish a stochastic ergodic theorem for the averages $A_n(\cdot)$. To this end, we first recall the following decomposition theorem from [BS23b].

Theorem 5.1 (Neveu Decomposition). *Let (\mathcal{M}, G, α) be a noncommutative dynamical system, and let τ be a faithful normal tracial state on \mathcal{M} . Then there exist projections $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfying $e_1 + e_2 = 1$ and $e_1 e_2 = 0$, with the following properties:*

- (i) *There exists a G -invariant normal state ρ on \mathcal{M} whose support projection is $s(\rho) = e_1$.*
- (ii) *There exists an element $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ with support $s(x_0) = e_2$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\mu(F_n)} \int_{F_n} \alpha_s(x_0) d\mu(s) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{in } \|\cdot\|.$$

Moreover, the projections $s(\rho)$ and $s(x_0)$ are uniquely determined.

We next record several basic consequences of this decomposition, following [BS23b].

- (1) For every $g \in G$ and $i = 1, 2$, the projections e_i are α -invariant, that is, $\alpha_g(e_i) = e_i$.
- (2) The restriction of α to the reduced von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_{e_i} := e_i \mathcal{M} e_i$ induces an action by automorphisms. Consequently, for each $i = 1, 2$, the triple $(\mathcal{M}_{e_i}, G, \alpha)$ forms a noncommutative dynamical system.

- (3) For $i = 1, 2$ and all $g \in G$, the induced predual action $\hat{\alpha}_g$ on $L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ satisfies

$$\hat{\alpha}_g(e_i X e_i) = e_i \hat{\alpha}_g(X) e_i, \quad \forall X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau).$$

As a consequence, the ergodic averages obey

$$e_i A_n(X) e_i = A_n(e_i X e_i), \quad \forall X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For $i = 1, 2$, we define the normalized traces $\tau_{e_i} := \frac{1}{\tau(e_i)} \tau|_{e_i \mathcal{M} e_i}$. We are now in a position to state the main convergence result.

Theorem 5.2. *Let (\mathcal{M}, G, α) be a noncommutative dynamical system, and let τ be a faithful normal tracial state on \mathcal{M} . Let $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ be the projections arising from the Neveu decomposition. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *For every $B \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_{e_1}, \tau_{e_1})$, there exists $\bar{B} \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_{e_1}, \tau_{e_1})$ such that $A_n(B)$ converges bilaterally almost uniformly to \bar{B} . In addition, $A_n(B)$ converges to \bar{B} in measure.*
- (ii) *For every $B \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_{e_2}, \tau_{e_2})$, the sequence $A_n(B)$ converges to 0 in measure.*

Moreover, for any $X \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, there exists an element $Z \in L^1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $A_n(X)$ converges to Z in measure and satisfies

$$e_1 Z e_1 = Z, \quad e_2 Z e_2 = 0.$$

Proof. Given the Neveu decomposition (Theorem 5.1) and the b.a.u. convergence result of Theorem 4.13, the argument proceeds verbatim as in [BS23b, Section 5]. \square

Acknowledgements: P. Bikram acknowledges the support of the grant ANRF/ARGM/2025/001021/MTR, Government of India.

REFERENCES

- [AAB⁺10] Claire Anantharaman, Jean-Philippe Anker, Martine Babillot, Aline Bonami, Bruno Demange, Sandrine Grellier, François Havard, Philippe Jaming, Emmanuel Lesigne, Patrick Maheux, Jean-Pierre Ota, Barbara Schapira, and Jean-Pierre Schreiber, *Théorèmes ergodiques pour les actions de groupes*, Monographies de L'Enseignement Mathématique [Monographs of L'Enseignement Mathématique], vol. 41, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 2010, With a foreword in English by Amos Nevo. MR 2643350
- [BS23a] Panchugopal Bikram and Diptesh Saha, *On the non-commutative ergodic theorem for semigroup and free group actions*, arXiv:2307.00542 (2023).
- [BS23b] ———, *On the non-commutative Neveu decomposition and ergodic theorems for amenable group action*, Journal of Functional Analysis **284** (2023), no. 1, 109706.
- [BS26] Panchugopal Bikram and Diptesh Saha, *Maximal inequalities associated to doubling condition for state preserving actions*, J. Funct. Anal. **290** (2026), no. 2, Paper No. 111201, 39. MR 4964146
- [Cal53] Alberto P Calderon, *A general ergodic theorem*, Annals of Mathematics (1953), 182–191.
- [CDN78] J.-P. Conze and N. Dang-Ngoc, *Ergodic theorems for noncommutative dynamical systems*, Inventiones Mathematicae **46** (1978), no. 1, 1–15. MR 500185
- [CW22] Léonard Cadilhac and Simeng Wang, *Noncommutative maximal ergodic inequalities for amenable groups*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.12228 (2022).
- [CXZ25] Ujan Chakraborty, Runlian Xia, and Joachim Zacharias, *Ergodic average dominance for unimodular amenable groups*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2512.12894 (2025).

- [Hia21] Fumio Hiai, *Lectures on selected topics in von neumann algebras*, EMS Press Berlin, 2021.
- [HLW21] Guixiang Hong, Ben Liao, and Simeng Wang, *Noncommutative maximal ergodic inequalities associated with doubling conditions*, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **170** (2021), no. 2, 205–246. MR 4202493
- [JX07] Marius Junge and Quanhua Xu, *Noncommutative maximal ergodic theorems*, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **20** (2007), no. 2, 385–439. MR 2276775
- [K78] Burkhard Kümmerer, *A non-commutative individual ergodic theorem*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **46** (1978), no. 2, 139–145. MR 482260
- [Lan76] E. Christopher Lance, *Ergodic theorems for convex sets and operator algebras*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **37** (1976), no. 3, 201–214. MR 428060
- [Lin01] Elon Lindenstrauss, *Pointwise theorems for amenable groups*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **146** (2001), no. 2, 259–295. MR 1865397
- [Lit24] Semyon Litvinov, *Notes on noncommutative ergodic theorems*, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* (2024).
- [Nel74] Edward Nelson, *Notes on non-commutative integration*, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **15** (1974), no. 2, 103–116.
- [SZ19] Șerban Strătilă and Laszlo Zsido, *Lectures on von neumann algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [Yea77] F. J. Yeadon, *Ergodic theorems for semifinite von Neumann algebras. I*, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society. Second Series* **16** (1977), no. 2, 326–332. MR 487482
- [Yea80] ———, *Ergodic theorems for semifinite von Neumann algebras. II*, *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **88** (1980), no. 1, 135–147. MR 569639

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR,
AN OCC OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, JATNI- 752050, INDIA

Email address: bikram@niser.ac.in

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR,
AN OCC OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, JATNI- 752050, INDIA

Email address: hariharan.g@niser.ac.in

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR,
AN OCC OF HOMI BHABHA NATIONAL INSTITUTE, JATNI- 752050, INDIA

Email address: sudipta.kundu@niser.ac.in

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, UL. SNIADICKICH 8, 00–656 WARSZAWA,
POLAND

Email address: dptshs@gmail.com