

ABSOLUTELY SUMMING TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON BERGMAN SPACES IN THE UNIT BALL OF \mathbb{C}^n

ZHANGJIAN HU, ERMIN WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, for $p > 1$ and $r \geq 1$ we provide a complete characterization of the positive Borel measures μ on the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n of \mathbb{C}^n for which the induced Toeplitz operator T_μ is r -summing on the Bergman space A^p . We prove that the r -summing norm of $T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p$ is equivalent to $\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^\kappa(d\lambda)}$, where κ is a positive number determined by p and r . As some preliminary, we describe when a Carleson embedding $J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ ($1 \leq p, q \leq 2$) is r -summing, which extends the main result in [B. He, et al, Absolutely summing Carleson embeddings on Bergman spaces, Adv. Math., 439, 109495 (2024)].

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let \mathbb{C}^n denote the n -dimensional complex Euclidian space. For $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , we write $\langle z, w \rangle = z_1 \bar{w}_1 + \dots + z_n \bar{w}_n$ and $|z| = \sqrt{\langle z, z \rangle}$. The open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n is the set

$$\mathbb{B}_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z| < 1\}.$$

We use $H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ to denote the space of holomorphic functions in \mathbb{B}_n .

For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the usual space L^p consists of Lebesgue measurable functions on \mathbb{B}_n such that

$$\|f\|_{L^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p dv(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where dv is the volume measure on \mathbb{B}_n , normalized so that $v(\mathbb{B}_n) = 1$. The Bergman space A^p is defined by

$$A^p = H(\mathbb{B}_n) \cap L^p$$

with inherited norm written as $\|\cdot\|_{A^p}$.

It is clear that A^p is a Banach space under $\|\cdot\|_{A^p}$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. The point evaluations $L_z : f \mapsto f(z)$ are bounded linear functionals on A^p for each $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. In particular, A^2 is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space: for each $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, there is a function $K_z \in A^2$ with $\|L_z\| = \|K_z\|_{A^2}$, such that

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) \overline{K_z(w)} dv(w)$$

for all $f \in A^2$. The function $K(z, w) = \overline{K_z(w)}$ is called the Bergman kernel of A^2 which has the property that $K(z, w) = \overline{K(w, z)}$. The orthogonal projection $P : L^2 \rightarrow A^2$ can be represented as

$$(1.1) \quad Pf(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} K(z, w) f(w) dv(w), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30H20, 46G12.

Key words and phrases. Absolutely Summing operators; Bergman spaces; Toeplitz operators.

The Bloch space \mathcal{B} is defined to be the space of holomorphic functions f on \mathbb{B}_n such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup \left\{ (1 - |z|^2) \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j}(z) \right| : z \in \mathbb{B}_n \right\} < \infty.$$

All the spaces A^p and \mathcal{B} are Banach spaces. For $1 < p < \infty$, the dual space of A^p can be identified with $A^{p'}$ under the pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dv(z), \quad f \in A^p, g \in A^{p'},$$

where p' is the conjugate exponent of p , that is, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. And the dual space of A^1 can be identified with \mathcal{B} under the pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(rz) \overline{g(z)} dv(z), \quad f \in A^1, g \in \mathcal{B}.$$

See [25] for more detail.

With the Bergman kernel $K(z, w)$ we define the Bergman metric $B(z)$ on \mathbb{B}_n as

$$B(z) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}_j \partial z_k} \log K(z, z) \right)_{n \times n}.$$

The induced Bergman distance is denoted by $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$ and $r > 0$, let $B(z, r)$ denote the Bergman ball centered at z with radius r , that is,

$$B(z, r) = \{w \in \mathbb{B}_n : \beta(w, z) < r\}.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, write $k_{z,p}(\cdot) = K_z(\cdot) / \|K_z\|_{A^p}$ to be the normalized reproducing kernel for A^p . Given some positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{B}_n (denoted by $\mu \geq 0$), the Berezin transform of μ is defined as

$$\tilde{\mu}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |k_{z,2}(w)|^2 d\mu(w).$$

For fixed $\delta > 0$, set

$$\hat{\mu}_\delta(z) = \frac{\mu(B(z, \delta))}{v(B(z, \delta))}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n,$$

to be the average of μ on $B(z, \delta)$.

The Toeplitz operator T_μ with symbol $\mu \geq 0$ is defined as

$$(1.2) \quad T_\mu f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) K(z, w) d\mu(w).$$

if it is densely well defined on A^p .

Here is the definition of r -summing operators between Banach spaces.

Definition 1.1. Suppose $1 \leq r < \infty$, a linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces X and Y is said to be r -summing, written as $T \in \Pi_r(X, Y)$, if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.3) \quad \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|Tx_k\|_Y^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x^*(x_k)|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

for every finite sequence $\{x_k\}_{1 \leq k \leq n} \subset X$, where X^* denotes the dual space of X , and B_{X^*} denotes the closed unit ball $\{x^* \in X^* : \|x^*\| \leq 1\}$ of X^* .

Denote by $\Pi_r(X, Y)$ the set of all r -summing operators from X to Y . The r -summing norm of T , denoted $\pi_r(T : X \rightarrow Y)$, is defined as the infimum over all constants C satisfying inequality (1.3). Clearly, $\Pi_r(X, Y)$ is a linear subspace of $B(X, Y)$, the space of bounded linear operators from X to Y , and π_r defines a norm on $\Pi_r(X, Y)$ satisfying

$$\|T\| \leq \pi_r(T : X \rightarrow Y).$$

Moreover, it is well known that $\Pi_r(X, Y)$ is a Banach space under the norm π_r . In what follows, we abbreviate $\pi_r(T : X \rightarrow Y)$ as $\pi_r(T)$ whenever no confusion occurs.

The theory of absolutely r -summing operators plays an important role in the general theory of Banach spaces [16]. The study of absolutely 1-summing operators was initiated by Grothendieck [6, 7], who defined them via tensor products with the space ℓ^1 . One of his celebrated results in this direction is that every bounded linear operator from l^1 to l^2 is 1-summing—a statement now known as Grothendieck’s theorem. Pietsch [18] later introduced the notion of absolutely r -summing operators between normed spaces and established many of their fundamental properties. Such operators naturally generalize Hilbert-Schmidt operators on Hilbert spaces to the setting of general Banach spaces. Indeed, for Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 , an operator $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is Hilbert-Schmidt if and only if it is 2-summing, and moreover $|T|_2 = \pi_2(T)$.

In the recent years, the study of r -summing operators on holomorphic function spaces has attracted considerable attention. In 2018, on the unit disc \mathbb{D} Lefevre and Rodriguez-Piazza [12] obtained a complete characterization of r -summing Carleson embeddings on Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{D})$. Building on this work, analogous characterizations were established for embeddings on the classical Bergman spaces $A^p(\mathbb{D})$ [9], weighted Bergman spaces $A_\alpha^p(\mathbb{H})$ over the upper half-plane \mathbb{H} [2], and weighted Fock spaces $F_{\alpha, \omega}^p(\mathbb{C})$ with A_∞ -type weights [1]. Additionally, absolutely summing Volterra operators and weighted composition operators on Bergman and Bloch spaces have been investigated in [5, 11].

Toeplitz operators are widely recognized for their significant applications in fields such as signal processing and quantum theory, they also play a key role in the operator theory of holomorphic function spaces. There has been extensive research on Toeplitz operators acting on Bergman spaces from various perspectives. Luecking [15] was probably the pioneer to study Toeplitz operators T_μ with measures as symbols, where he provides, among other things, a description of Schatten class Toeplitz operators $T_\mu : A_\alpha^2 \rightarrow A_\alpha^2$ in terms of an l^p -condition involving a hyperbolic lattice of \mathbb{D} , where A_α^2 is the weighted Bergman space as (3.1). Subsequent works have further explored positive Toeplitz operators on various weighted Bergman spaces; see, for example, [4, 17, 19, 20, 24, 27] and the references therein.

It is worth noting that research on Toeplitz operators has predominantly focused on their boundedness, compactness, Schatten class membership, and related algebraic properties. However, the study of absolutely summing Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces remains unexplored to date. The aim of this paper is to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive characterization of positive Toeplitz operators that are r -summing on the Bergman space.

The purpose of the present work is to characterize those $\mu \geq 0$ on \mathbb{B}_n so that T_μ is r -summing on the Bergman space A^p provided $1 \leq p, r < \infty$. To state our main result precisely, we write

$$d\lambda(z) = K(z, z)dv(z)$$

to be the invariant measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Given $1 \leq p, r < \infty$ we set the constant $\kappa = \kappa(p, r)$ as

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } 1 \leq p \leq 2; \\ p', & \text{if } p \geq 2 \text{ and } 1 \leq r \leq p'; \\ r, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \text{ and } p' \leq r \leq p; \\ p, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \text{ and } p \leq r < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Two quantities A and B are said to be equivalent, written as $A \simeq B$, if $C^{-1}A \leq B \leq CA$ for some $C > 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . For $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$, the Toeplitz operator $T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p$ is r -summing if and only if $\tilde{\mu} \in L^\kappa(d\lambda)$. Furthermore,*

$$\pi_r(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p) \simeq \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^\kappa(d\lambda)}.$$

The statement above remains true if $\tilde{\mu}$ is replaced by $\hat{\mu}_\delta$ with some (or any) $\delta > 0$.

In [10], analogous problems were studied in the setting of Fock spaces. It should be noted that the methods employed in the present paper differ substantially from those in [10]. Among these differences, two points are particularly crucial. First, the Fock spaces considered in [10] satisfy the nesting property: $F_\varphi^p \subset F_\varphi^q$ for $0 < p \leq q$. Consequently, the embedding $\text{Id} : F_\varphi^1 \rightarrow F_\varphi^2$ is bounded whenever $p \leq q$, and Grothendieck's theorem immediately implies that $\text{Id} : F_\varphi^1 \rightarrow F_\varphi^2$ is 1-summing. Such a nesting property does not hold in the Bergman space setting. Second, the characterization for the r -summing Toeplitz operator T_μ on F_φ^1 coincides with that on F_φ^2 . This uniformity allows one to use complex interpolation to treat r -summing Toeplitz operators on F_φ^p for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. By contrast, the boundedness characterization for T_μ on the Bergman space A^1 differs from that on A^p ($p > 1$). This suggests that whether T_μ is r -summing on A^1 may differ from its behavior on A^p . Consequently, complex interpolation cannot be applied to the Bergman space case.

As one preliminary to prove Theorem 1.2, we have the following theorem on the r -summing Carleson embedding.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ and $s = \frac{2p}{2p-2q+pq}$. Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Then for $r \geq 1$, the embedding*

$$J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^q(\mu), \quad f \mapsto f$$

is r -summing if and only if $\tilde{\mu}(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \in L^s$. Furthermore,

$$(1.4) \quad \pi_r(J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p) \simeq \left\| \tilde{\mu}(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

The statement above remains true if $\tilde{\mu}$ is replaced by $\hat{\mu}_\delta$ with some (or any) $\delta > 0$.

Theorem 1.3 extends the main result of [9] for $1 < p \leq 2$ to a larger range $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and to arbitrary dimension n . Moreover, by avoiding the heavy machinery invoked in [9], our proof is both simpler and more direct, and we expect it to work equally well on bounded symmetric domains and on bounded strongly pseudoconvex domains; see Section 5.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some preliminaries that will be used throughout. In Section 3, we are going to prove Theorem 1.3. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Starting from Pietsch factorization theorem, we exploit the relationship between absolutely summing operators and order bounded operators. Combining this with Grothendieck's theorem and Pietsch domination theorem yields the desired conclusion. In Section 5, we would like to give some remarks concerning certain further generalization.

Throughout this paper, we use C to denote positive constants whose value may change from line to line, but do not depend on functions being considered. For two quantities A and B we write $A \lesssim B$ if there exists some C such that $A \leq CB$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect some notations and preliminary results that will be used throughout the paper.

Let $\delta > 0$ and let $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be some sequence in \mathbb{B}_n . We call $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ a δ -lattice in the Bergman metric if $\{B(a_k, \delta)\}_k$ covers \mathbb{B}_n and there is some constant $c > 0$ so that $\{B(a_k, c\delta)\}_k$ are pairwise disjoint. The existence of δ -lattices can be seen from Theorem 2.23 in [25]. Given a δ -lattice $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ we have an integer N so that

$$1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \chi_{B(a_k, \delta)}(z) \leq N$$

for $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$, where χ_E is the characteristic function of $E \subset \mathbb{B}_n$.

Let $\{r_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be the sequence of Rademacher functions on $[0, 1]$, defined by

$$r_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq t - [t] < \frac{1}{2}; \\ -1, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t - [t] < 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad r_{k+1}(t) = r_1(2^k t) \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The Khinchine's inequality is closely related to the Rademacher functions, which plays an important role in our study. For $0 < l < \infty$, there exists some positive constants C_1 and C_2 depending only on l such that

$$C_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |b_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{l}{2}} \leq \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=1}^m b_k r_k(t) \right|^l dt \leq C_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |b_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{l}{2}}$$

for all $m \geq 1$ and complex numbers b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m . See [3] for details.

Recall that for $1 \leq p < \infty$, the space l^p is given by

$$l^p = \left\{ x = \{x_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n : \|x\|_{l^p} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

The following two lemmas can be found in [25, 26].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an δ -lattice with $\delta > 0$, then for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\{c_k\} \in l^p$, the function f defined by*

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k k_{a_k, p}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n$$

belongs to A^p with $\|f\|_{A^p} \lesssim \|\{c_k\}\|_{l^p}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $f \in A^p$, where $p > 0$. Then*

$$(2.2) \quad |f(z)| \leq \|f\|_{A^p} \cdot K(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$.

It is well know that, for $f \in H(\mathbb{B}_n)$, f has a homogeneous expansion as

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j(z).$$

Based on this expansion, for N positive we can define an invertible operator

$$R^{0,N} : H(\mathbb{B}_n) \rightarrow H(\mathbb{B}_n)$$

as

$$R^{0,N} f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+1+j+N)}{\Gamma(n+1+N)\Gamma(n+1+j)} f_j(z).$$

The inverse of $R^{0,N}$, denoted by $R_{0,N}$ is given by

$$R_{0,N} f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1+N)\Gamma(n+1+j)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+1+j+N)} f_j(z).$$

The following lemma comes from Lemma 1.14 in [25].

Lemma 2.3. *For $N > 0$, the operator $R^{0,N}$ is the unique continuous linear operator on $H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ satisfying*

$$R^{0,N} \left(\frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1}} \right) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+N}},$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{B}_n$. Similarly, the operator $R_{0,N}$ is the unique continuous linear operator on $H(\mathbb{B}_n)$ satisfying

$$R_{0,N} \left(\frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+N}} \right) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1}}.$$

For $0 < p, q < \infty$, we call a positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{B}_n is a (p, q) -Carleson measure if there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}^q$$

for all $f \in A^p$. Luecking's characterization tells us that the (p, q) -Carleson measure depends only on the ratio $s = \frac{p}{q}$, so we often call it s -Carleson measure. The s -Carleson measures were first studied by Hastings [8], and further pursued by many authors. The details can be found in [13, 14, 17, 23, 26] and the references therein. In the following lemma, we list some relevant results that we need.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose $\mu \geq 0$, then for $1 \leq p < \infty$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\tilde{\mu} \in L^p(d\lambda)$;
- (2) $\hat{\mu}_\delta \in L^p(d\lambda)$ for some (or any) $\delta > 0$;
- (3) $\{\hat{\mu}_\delta(a_k)\} \in l^p$ for some (or any) δ -lattice $\{a_k\}$. Moreover,

$$\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^p(d\lambda)} \simeq \|\hat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^p(d\lambda)} \simeq \|\{\hat{\mu}_\delta(a_k)\}\|_{l^p}.$$

Now we recall some useful properties of r -summing operators, which can be found in [3].

(1) **Ideal property of p -summing operators** [p.37]: For any $r \geq 1$, the class $\Pi_r(X, Y)$ forms an operator ideal between Banach spaces: for any $T \in \Pi_r(X, Y)$, and for any two Banach spaces X_0, Y_0 such that both $S : X_0 \rightarrow X$ and $U : Y \rightarrow Y_0$ are linear bounded operators, we have $UTS \in \Pi_r(X_0, Y_0)$ with

$$(2.3) \quad \pi_r(UTS) \leq \|U\| \pi_r(T) \|S\|.$$

(2) **Inclusion relations** [p.39]: If $1 \leq p < q < \infty$, then $\Pi_p(X, Y) \subset \Pi_q(X, Y)$. Moreover, for $T \in \Pi_p(X, Y)$, we have

$$\pi_q(T) \leq \pi_p(T) \quad \text{for } q \geq p.$$

(3) **Cotype property** We call a Banach space X has cotype q if there is a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that no matter how we select finitely many vectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m from X ,

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|x_j\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \kappa \left(\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m r_j(t)x_j \right\|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where r_j is as in (2.1).

It is well known that, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega, \nu)$ has cotype $\max\{p, 2\}$, see [3, p.219]. For Banach spaces X and Y , if X and Y both have cotype 2, [3, Corollary 11.16] tells us that

$$(2.4) \quad \Pi_r(X, Y) = \Pi_1(X, Y).$$

with the equivalence $\pi_r(T) \simeq \pi_1(T)$ for $1 \leq r < \infty$.

The following result, known as Pietsch domination theorem, plays a key role in our study.

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose $1 \leq r < \infty$, $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Banach space linear operator. Then T is r -summing if and only if there exists a constant C and a regular probability measure σ on the closed unit ball B_{X^*} of X^* such that for each $f \in X$, there holds*

$$(2.5) \quad \|Tf\|_Y \leq C \cdot \left(\int_{B_{X^*}} |\langle g, f \rangle|^r d\sigma(g) \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

In such a case, $\pi_r(T)$ is the least of all the constants C for which such a measure exists.

We shall use several times the following well known fact about summing operators, see [3] or [12].

Lemma 2.6. *Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $r \geq 1$. Then a bounded operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is r -summing if and only if there exists $C > 0$ such that for any measurable space (Ω, Σ, ν) and any continuous mapping $F : \Omega \rightarrow X$, there holds*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \|T \circ F\|_Y^r d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq C \sup_{\xi \in B_{X^*}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\xi \circ F|^r d\nu \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Moreover, the best C is $\pi_r(T)$.

The order bounded operators are closely related to absolutely summing operators. Recall that, for $p \geq 1$, a Banach space linear operator $T : X \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ is order bounded if $T(B_X)$ is an order bounded subset of $L^p(\mu)$. That is, there exists a non-negative function $h \in L^p(\mu)$ such that $|f| \leq h$ μ -almost everywhere for each $f \in T(B_X)$. The following lemma is a consequence of Propositions 5.5 and 5.18 in [3].

Lemma 2.7. *Let X be a Banach space, $p \geq 1$, and let (Ω, Σ, m) be a measure space. If the operator $T : X \rightarrow L^p(\Omega, m)$ is order bounded, then it is p -summing with*

$$\pi_p(T) \leq \left\| \sup_{f \in B_X} |Tf| \right\|_{L^p(\Omega, m)}.$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We begin with the introduction of some auxiliary function spaces to be employed later. Given $\alpha > -1$, we define a positive Borel measure dv_α on \mathbb{B}_n as follows:

$$dv_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dv(z),$$

where

$$c_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}$$

is a normalizing constant so that dv_α is a probability measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n and $1 \leq p < \infty$, the space $L^p(\mu)$ consists of all Lebesgue measurable functions f on \mathbb{B}_n for which

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mu)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^p d\mu(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

When $d\mu = dv_\alpha$, we use L_α^p instead of $L^p(dv_\alpha)$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the Bergman space A_α^p is defined by

$$(3.1) \quad A_\alpha^p = L_\alpha^p \cap H(\mathbb{B}_n)$$

with inherited norm written as $\|\cdot\|_{A_\alpha^p}$. The reproducing kernel of A_α^2 is given by

$$K_\alpha(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+\alpha}}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

When $\alpha=0$, we write $K_\alpha(z, w)$ as $K(z, w)$ -the reproducing kernel of A^2 . Similar to (2.2) we have

$$(3.2) \quad |f(z)| \leq \|f\|_{A_\alpha^p} \cdot K_\alpha(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for all $f \in A_\alpha^p$ and $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. See [25].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $1 < p \leq 2$ and let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . The following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *The embedding operator $J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ is 2-summing.*

(2) $\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|K(\cdot, w)\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{p'} dv(w) < \infty$.

Furthermore,

$$(3.3) \quad \pi_2(J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^2(\mu)) \simeq \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|K(\cdot, w)\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{p'} dv(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Proof. Suppose $J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ is 2-summing first. Since $p' > 2$ we know $J_\mu \in \Pi_{p'}(A^p, L^2(\mu))$ with the estimate

$$\pi_{p'}(J_\mu) \leq \pi_2(J_\mu).$$

For $w \in \mathbb{B}_n$, take $K_w(z) \in A^p$. Now we have

$$\sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |\langle K_w, g \rangle|^{p'} dv(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} = \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |g(w)|^{p'} dv(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} = 1.$$

We define a continuous mapping F on \mathbb{B}_n as

$$\begin{aligned} F : \mathbb{B}_n &\rightarrow A^p \\ w &\mapsto K_w(\cdot) \end{aligned}$$

Then, applying Lemma 2.6 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|K(\cdot, w)\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{p'} dv(w) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|J_\mu K_w\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{p'} dv(w) \\ &\leq (\pi_2(J_\mu))^{p'} \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |\langle K_w, g \rangle|^{p'} dv(w). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|K(\cdot, w)\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{p'} dv(w) \leq (\pi_2(J_\mu))^{p'}.$$

Conversely, suppose the statement (2) holds. Define an operator R on $L^2(\mu)$ as

$$R(g)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{g(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1}} d\mu(w).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rg\|_{L^{p'}}^{p'} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|g(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1}} d\mu(w) \right)^{p'} dv(z) \\ &\leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{p'} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|K_z\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{p'} dv(z) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This means that R is bounded from $L^2(\mu)$ to $L^{p'}$. Now for $f \in A^p$ and $g \in L^2(\mu)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|g(w)|}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1}} d\mu(w) \right) dv(z) \\ &\leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mu)} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)| \|K_z\|_{L^2(\mu)} dv(z) \\ &\leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mu)} \|f\|_{A^p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|K_z\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{p'} dv(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This allows us to apply Fubini's theorem and then to use Bergman projection, we have

$$\langle J_\mu f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle f, Rg \rangle_{A^2}.$$

Therefore, $J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ is bounded and $J_\mu^* = R$. Something more, it is trivial to verify that $R : L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^{p'}$ is order bounded. Lemma 2.7 tells us that R is p' -summing. Since $R(L^2(\mu)) \subset A^{p'}$, we have $R \in \Pi_{p'}(L^2(\mu), A^{p'})$ with

$$\pi_{p'}(R) \lesssim \left\| \sup_{g \in B_{L^2(\mu)}} |R(g)| \right\|_{A^{p'}} \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|K(\cdot, w)\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{p'} dv(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

This together with [3, Theorem 2.21] shows $J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$ is 2-summing and

$$(3.5) \quad \pi_2(J_\mu) \lesssim \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|K(\cdot, w)\|_{L^2(\mu)}^{p'} dv(w) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

The norm equivalence (3.3) follows from (3.4) and (3.5). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Recall that $s = \frac{2p}{2p-2q+pq}$. First, we prove that

$$(3.6) \quad \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot) K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{q}} \simeq \left\| \widetilde{\mu}(\cdot) K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

That the left hand side can be dominated by the right hand side is an easy consequence of the fact that $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(z) \leq C\widetilde{\mu}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{B}_n$. To get the other direction estimate, for any fixed $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\mu}(z)K(z, z)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ & \lesssim K(z, z)^{\frac{q}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|K(z, w)|^2}{K(z, z)} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(w) dv(w) \\ & = (1 - |z|^2)^{(n+1)(1-\frac{q}{2})} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{(n+1)\frac{q}{2}}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2(n+1)}} \left(\widehat{\mu}_\delta(w)(1 - |w|^2)^{-(n+1)\frac{q}{2}} \right) dv(w) \\ & = S_{(n+1)(1-\frac{q}{2}), (n+1)\frac{q}{2}, 2(n+1)} \left(\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right) (z). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $s \geq 1$ and $s = 1$ if and only if $p = 2$. Now for $p < 2$ applying Theorem A from [22] (or for $p = 2$ applying Theorem B from [22]) to obtain

$$\left\| \widetilde{\mu}(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Then we have the estimates (3.6).

Now we prove that $J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ is r -summing if and only if $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \in L^s$, and

$$(3.7) \quad \pi_r(J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p) \simeq \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for some (or any) $\delta > 0$.

Case 1: $1 < p \leq 2$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$. Suppose $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \in L^s$ for some $\delta > 0$. Write

$$\omega(z) = \widehat{\mu}_\delta(z)^{\frac{2s}{p'}} K(z, z)^{\frac{sq}{p'}-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{B}_n.$$

Since

$$(\omega(z)K(z, z))^{\frac{p'}{2}} = \left(\widehat{\mu}_\delta(z)K(z, z)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)^s,$$

we get $\omega(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot) \in L^s$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $J_\omega : A^p \rightarrow L^2(\omega dv)$ is 2-summing. Moreover,

$$(3.8) \quad \pi_2(J_\omega) \simeq \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{s \cdot \frac{1}{p'}}.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check

$$\widehat{\mu}_\delta(z)\omega(z)^{-\frac{q}{2}} = \widehat{\mu}_\delta(z)^{1-\frac{sq}{p'}} K(z, z)^{\frac{q}{2}-\frac{sq^2}{2p'}}.$$

From this we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\widehat{\mu}_\delta(z)\omega(z)^{-\frac{q}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-q}} dv(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(z)^s K(z, z)^{\frac{sq}{2}} dv(z) \\ &= \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^s. \end{aligned}$$

Set $A^2(\omega dv) = L^2(\omega dv) \cap H(\mathbb{B}_n)$. We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Id}_1 : A^2(\omega dv) &\rightarrow L^q(\mu) \\ f &\mapsto f \end{aligned}$$

is bounded. In fact, for $f \in A^2(\omega dv)$, set

$$E = \{z \in \mathbb{B}_n : \omega(z) = 0\},$$

then it is trivial to see $\omega(z) = 0$ if and only if $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(z) = 0$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^q \widehat{\mu}_\delta(z) dv(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus E} |f(z)|^q \widehat{\mu}_\delta(z) dv(z).$$

Lemma 51 in [23] and Hölder's inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^q d\mu(z) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)|^q \widehat{\mu}_\delta(z) dv(z) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus E} |f(z)|^2 \omega(z) dv(z) \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{B}_n \setminus E} \left(\widehat{\mu}_\delta(z) \omega(z)^{-\frac{q}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{2-q}} dv(z) \right]^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \\ &= \|f\|_{A^2(\omega dv)}^q \cdot \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot) K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{\frac{s(2-q)}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows $\text{Id}_1 : A^2(\omega dv) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ is bounded with

$$(3.9) \quad \|\text{Id}_1\|_{A^2(\omega dv) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)} \leq \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot) K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{\frac{s(2-q)}{2q}}.$$

Consider the chain of maps:

$$A^p \xrightarrow{J_\omega} L^2(\omega dv) \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_1} L^q(\mu),$$

The estimate (2.3) indicates $J_\mu(A^p \rightarrow L^q(\mu)) = \text{Id}_1 \circ J_\omega$ is 2-summing. It follows from (2.4) that $J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ is r -summing. Furthermore, by (3.8) and (3.9) we get

$$(3.10) \quad \pi_r(J_\mu) \simeq \pi_2(J_\mu) \lesssim \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot) K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Conversely, suppose $J_\mu \in \Pi_r(A^p, L^q(\mu))$. Since A^p and $L^q(\mu)$ both have cotype 2 when $1 < p \leq 2$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$, we have $\Pi_r(A^p, L^q(\mu)) = \Pi_q(A^p, L^q(\mu))$. Pietsch domination theorem tells us there exists some regular probability measure σ on $B_{A^{p'}}$ such that for each $f \in A^p$, there holds

$$(3.11) \quad \|f\|_{L^q(\mu)}^q \leq (\pi_q(J_\mu))^q \cdot \int_{B_{A^{p'}}} |\langle g, f \rangle|^q d\sigma(g).$$

Now for $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, set

$$(3.12) \quad f_t(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j r_j(t) k_{a_j, p}(z),$$

where $r_j(t)$ is the Rademacher function on $[0, 1]$, a_j belongs to the δ -lattice $\{a_j\}$ for small enough δ . On one hand, since for $w \in B(z, \delta)$,

$$|1 - \langle z, w \rangle| \simeq 1 - |z|^2 \simeq 1 - |w|^2, v(B(z, \delta)) \simeq K(z, z)^{-1},$$

Fubini's theorem and Khinchine's inequality give

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \|f_t\|_{L^q(\mu)}^q dt &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^m c_j r_j(t) k_{a_j,p}(z) \right|^q dt \right) d\mu(z) \\
&\simeq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 |k_{a_j,p}(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d\mu(z) \\
&\gtrsim \sum_{k=1}^m |c_k|^q \int_{B(a_k, \delta)} |k_{a_k,p}(z)|^q d\mu(z) \\
&\gtrsim \sum_{k=1}^m |c_k|^q \frac{K(a_k, a_k)^q}{\|K(\cdot, a_k)\|_{A^p}^q} \cdot \mu(B(a_k, \delta)) \\
&\simeq \sum_{k=1}^m |c_k|^q K(a_k, a_k)^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \cdot \widehat{\mu}_\delta(a_k).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, thanks to the reproducing kernel formula, by using Hölder's inequality with $\frac{p'}{2}$ and its conjugate exponent, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 \int_{B_{Ap'}} |\langle g, f_t \rangle|^q d\sigma(g) dt \\
&= \int_{B_{Ap'}} d\sigma(g) \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^m c_j r_j(t) \frac{g(a_j)}{\|K(\cdot, z)\|_{A^p}} \right|^q dt \\
&\simeq \int_{B_{Ap'}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 |g(a_j)|^2 K(a_j, a_j)^{-\frac{2}{p'}} \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d\sigma(g) \\
&\lesssim \int_{B_{Ap'}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^{\frac{2p'}{p'-2}} \right)^{\frac{p'-2}{p'} \cdot \frac{q}{2}} \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |g(a_j)|^{p'} K(a_j, a_j)^{-1} \right)^{\frac{q}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{p'}} d\sigma(g) \\
&\lesssim \|\{|c_j|^q\}\|_{l^{\frac{2p}{(2-p)q}}} \cdot \int_{B_{Ap'}} \|g\|_{A^{p'}}^q d\sigma(g) \\
&\leq \|\{|c_j|^q\}\|_{l^{\frac{2p}{(2-p)q}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.11) yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^q K(a_j, a_j)^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(a_j) \lesssim (\pi_q(J_\mu))^q \cdot \|\{|c_j|^q\}\|_{l^{\frac{2p}{(2-p)q}}}.$$

Since m is arbitrary, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^q K(z_j, z_j)^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(z_j) \lesssim (\pi_q(J_\mu))^q \cdot \|\{|c_j|^q\}\|_{l^{\frac{2p}{(2-p)q}}}.$$

A duality argument to $\frac{2p}{(2-p)q}$ and its conjugate s , notice $(\frac{q}{p}-1) \cdot s = \frac{q}{2} \cdot s - 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\widehat{\mu}_\delta(z_j) K(z_j, z_j)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)^s \cdot |B(z_j, \delta)| \lesssim (\pi_q(J_\mu))^{qs}.$$

This indicates $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \in L^s$. Moreover,

$$(3.13) \quad \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^s}^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \pi_q(J_\mu) \simeq \pi_r(J_\mu).$$

Case 2: $p = 1$, $1 \leq q \leq 2$. Suppose $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \in L^{\frac{2}{2-q}}$, we show $\text{Id}_2 : A^1 \rightarrow A_2^2$ is 1-summing, and $\text{Id}_3 : A_2^2 \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ is bounded. In fact, for $f \in A^1$, it follows from (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{A_2^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^2 |f(z)|^2 dv(z) \\ &\leq \|f\|_{A^1} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(z)| dv(z) \\ &= \|f\|_{A^1}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which gives $\text{Id}_2 : A^1 \rightarrow A_2^2$ is bounded with $\|\text{Id}_2\|_{A^1 \rightarrow A_2^2} \leq 1$. It follows from [3, Theorem 3.4] that $\text{Id}_2 : A^1 \rightarrow A_2^2$ is 1-summing with

$$(3.14) \quad \pi_1(\text{Id}_2 : A^1 \rightarrow A_2^2) \lesssim \|\text{Id}_2\|_{A^1 \rightarrow A_2^2} \leq 1.$$

Meanwhile, for $f \in A_2^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{Id}_3 f\|_{L^q(\mu)}^q &= \|f\|_{L^q(\mu)}^q \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(\xi)|^q \cdot \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\xi) dv(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} [(1 - |\xi|^2)^q |f(\xi)|^q] \cdot [\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\xi)K(\xi, \xi)^{\frac{q}{2}}] dv(\xi) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |\xi|^2)^2 |f(\xi)|^2 dv(\xi) \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\xi)^{\frac{2}{2-q}} \cdot K(\xi, \xi)^{\frac{q}{2-q}} dv(\xi) \right)^{\frac{2-q}{2}} \\ &= \|f\|_{A_2^2}^q \cdot \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-q}}}^q. \end{aligned}$$

This gives $\text{Id}_3 : A_2^2 \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ is bounded with

$$(3.15) \quad \|\text{Id}_3\|_{A_2^2 \rightarrow L^q(\mu)} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-q}}}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Consider the chain of maps:

$$A^1 \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_2} A_2^2 \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_3} L^q(\mu),$$

we get $J_\mu(A^1 \rightarrow L^q(\mu)) = \text{Id}_3 \circ \text{Id}_2$ is 1-summing. Furthermore, by (3.14) and (3.15) we get

$$(3.16) \quad \pi_1(J_\mu : A^1 \rightarrow L^q(\mu)) \lesssim \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-q}}}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Conversely, suppose $J_\mu : A^1 \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ is 1-summing, then $J_\mu \in \Pi_q(A^1, L^q(\mu))$. By Pietsch domination theorem, there exists regular probability measure σ on $B_{\mathcal{B}}$ such that for each $f \in A^1$, there holds

$$(3.17) \quad \|f\|_{L^q(\mu)}^q \leq (\pi_q(J_\mu))^q \cdot \int_{B_{\mathcal{B}}} |\langle g, f \rangle|^q d\sigma(g).$$

For $z, w \in \mathbb{B}_n$, set

$$L(z, w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} K(z, w) = \frac{(n+1)\overline{w}_1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+2}}.$$

Then for $g \in \mathcal{B} \subset A^2$, by $g(z) = \langle g, K(\cdot, z) \rangle$ we know

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} g(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \left\langle g, \overline{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} K(z, \cdot)} \right\rangle = \langle g, \overline{L(z, \cdot)} \rangle.$$

Meanwhile, applying [25, Theorem 1.12] to obtain

$$\|L(\cdot, w)\|_{A^1} \simeq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{1}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^{n+2}} dv(z) \simeq \frac{1}{1 - |w|^2},$$

and

$$\int_{B(w, \delta)} \frac{|L(z, w)|^q}{\|L(\cdot, w)\|_{A^1}^q} d\mu(z) \simeq \int_{B(w, \delta)} \frac{1}{(1 - |w|^2)^{(n+1)q}} d\mu(z) \simeq K(w, w)^{q-1} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(w).$$

Now for $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, take

$$f_t(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j r_j(t) \frac{L(z, a_j)}{\|L(\cdot, a_j)\|_{A^1}},$$

where $r_j(t)$ is the Rademacher function on $[0, 1]$, $\{a_j\}$ is any given δ -lattice with $\delta > 0$. Fubini's theorem and Khinchine's inequality give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \|f_t\|_{L^q(\mu)}^q dt &\simeq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |c_k|^2 \frac{|L(z, a_k)|^2}{\|L(\cdot, a_k)\|_{A^1}^2} \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d\mu(z) \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^q \int_{B(a_j, \delta)} \frac{|L(z, a_j)|^q}{\|L(\cdot, a_j)\|_{A^1}^q} d\mu(z) \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^q K(a_j, a_j)^{q-1} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(a_j). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by

$$\sup_j \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}(a_j) \right| (1 - |a_j|^2) \leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_{B_{\mathcal{B}}} |\langle g, f_t \rangle|^q d\sigma(g) dt &\simeq \int_{B_{\mathcal{B}}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_1}(a_j) \right|^2 (1 - |a_j|^2)^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} d\sigma(g) \\ &\lesssim \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \cdot \int_{B_{\mathcal{B}}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{B}}^q d\sigma(g) \\ &\leq \|\{c_j\}^q\|_{l_q^{\frac{2}{q}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.17) deduces that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^q K(a_j, a_j)^{q-1} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(a_j) \leq (\pi_q(J_\mu))^q \cdot \|\{c_j\}^q\|_{l_q^{\frac{2}{q}}}.$$

A duality argument to the exponentials $\frac{2}{q}$ and its conjugate $\frac{2}{2-q}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[\widehat{\mu}_\delta(z_j) K(a_j, a_j)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right]^{\frac{2}{2-q}} \cdot |B(a_j, \delta)| \lesssim (\pi_q(J_\mu))^{\frac{2q}{2-q}}.$$

This shows $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \in L^{\frac{2}{2-q}}$ with the estimate

$$(3.18) \quad \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2-q}}}^{\frac{1}{q}} \lesssim \pi_q(J_\mu : A^1 \rightarrow L^q(\mu)) \simeq \pi_r(J_\mu : A^1 \rightarrow L^q(\mu)).$$

Combine the proof above we know that for $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ and $r \geq 1$, $J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^q(\mu)$ is r -summing if and only if $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \in L^s$ for some (of any) $\delta > 0$. And the desired norm equivalence (1.4) comes from (3.10), (3.13), (3.16) and (3.18). The proof of Theorem 1.3 is complete.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we are going to present the proof of Theorem 1.2. Our proof will be carried out in four subsections.

4.1. The r -summing Toeplitz operators on A^p , $1 < p \leq 2$.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose $1 < p \leq 2$, $1 < q \leq 2$ and $r \geq 1$, $s = \frac{2p}{2p-2q+pq}$. Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Then $T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^q$ is r -summing if and only if $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^{qs}$. Furthermore,*

$$(4.1) \quad \pi_r(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^q) \simeq \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{qs}}.$$

Proof. Suppose $T_\mu \in \Pi_r(A^p, A^q)$, $1 < p \leq 2, 1 \geq q \leq 2$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$. Since both A^p and A^q have cotype 2, we know $\Pi_r(A^p, A^q) = \Pi_q(A^p, A^q)$. Pietsch domination theorem tells us there exists regular probability measure σ on $B_{A^{p'}}$ such that, for $f \in A^p$, there holds

$$(4.2) \quad \|T_\mu(f)\|_{A^q}^q \leq (\pi_q(T_\mu))^q \cdot \int_{B_{A^{p'}}} |\langle g, f \rangle|^q d\sigma(g).$$

Some element calculate shows $T_\mu(k_{z,p})(z) = \widetilde{\mu}(z) \cdot K(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mu}(z)^q &= |T_\mu(k_{z,p})(z)|^q K(z, z)^{-1} K(z, z)^{1-\frac{q}{p}} \\ &\lesssim K(z, z)^{1-\frac{q}{p}} \int_{B(z, \delta)} |T_\mu(k_{z,p})(z)|^q dv(z). \end{aligned}$$

Now fix some δ -lattice $\{a_j\}$. For $\{c_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, take f_t as in (3.12). Fubini's theorem and Khinchine's inequality give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \|T_\mu(f_t)\|_{A^q}^q dt &\simeq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |c_k|^2 |T_\mu(k_{a_k,p})(z)|^2 \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} dv(z) \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^q \int_{B(a_j, \delta)} |T_\mu(k_{a_j,p})(z)|^q dv(z) \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{j=1}^m |c_j|^q K(a_j, a_j)^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \widetilde{\mu}(a_j)^q \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, as in Section 3.1, we get

$$\int_0^1 \int_{B_{A^{p'}}} |\langle g, f_t \rangle|^q d\sigma(g) dt \lesssim \|\{c_j\}^q\|_{l^{\frac{2p}{(2-p)q}}}.$$

With (4.2) we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |c_j|^q K(a_j, a_j)^{\frac{q}{p}-1} \tilde{\mu}(a_j)^q \lesssim (\pi_q(T_\mu))^q \cdot \|\{c_j\}^q\|_{l^{\frac{2p}{(2-p)q}}}.$$

A duality argument shows

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\tilde{\mu}(z_j)^q K(a_j, a_j)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)^s K(a_j, a_j)^{-1} \lesssim (\pi_q(T_\mu))^{qs}.$$

This indicates $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^{qs}$ with the following estimate

$$(4.3) \quad \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{qs}} \lesssim \pi_q(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^q) \simeq \pi_r(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^q).$$

Conversely, suppose $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^{qs}$, $1 < p \leq 2, 1 < q \leq 2$. It is enough to show $T_\mu \in \Pi_2(A^p, A^q)$. For this purpose we set $\omega(z) = \widehat{\mu}_\delta(z)^q$. For $w \in B(z, \delta/2)$, by $B(z, \delta/2) \subset B(w, \delta) \subset B(z, 2\delta)$ we know

$$\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(z) \lesssim \widehat{\mu}_\delta(w) \lesssim \widehat{\mu}_{2\delta}(z).$$

It implies

$$\widehat{\mu}_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(z)^q \lesssim \widehat{\omega}_\delta(z) \lesssim \widehat{\mu}_{2\delta}(z)^q.$$

Notice that $\omega(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} = \left[\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^q$ and $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^{qs}$ is independent of the choice of δ , we know $\widehat{\omega}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{q}{2}} \in L^s$. Theorem 1.3 tells us that $J_\omega : A^p \rightarrow L^q(\omega dv)$, $f \mapsto f$ is r -summing. Set $A^q(\omega dv) = L^q(\omega dv) \cap H(\mathbb{B}_n)$, it is easy to check that $A^q(\omega dv)$ is a Banach space. As an operator from A^p to $A^q(\omega dv)$, J_ω is also bounded. Moreover,

$$(4.4) \quad \pi_r(J_\omega : A^p \rightarrow A^q(\omega dv)) \simeq \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot)K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{qs}}.$$

Define the operator T from $A^q(\omega dv)$ to L^q by

$$T : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) K(z, w) d\mu(w).$$

We claim that $T : A^q(\omega dv) \rightarrow L^q$ is bounded. To see this, take the operator $S_{b,c}$ as

$$(4.5) \quad S_{b,c}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^b}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^c} dw(w).$$

It is easy to see

$$|Tf(z)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)| |K(z, w)| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(w) dv(w) = S_{0,n+1}(|f| \widehat{\mu}_\delta)(z).$$

Since $1 < q < \infty$, it follows from [21, Theorem 3] that $S_{0,n+1}$ is bounded on L^q . Therefore, for $f \in A^q(\omega dv)$,

$$(4.6) \quad \|Tf\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|S_{0,n+1}\|_{L^q \rightarrow L^q} \|f \cdot \widehat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^q} = \|S_{0,n+1}\|_{L^q \rightarrow L^q} \|f\|_{A^q(\omega dv)}.$$

This indicates $T : A^q(\omega dv) \rightarrow L^q$ is bounded with the norm estimate $\|T\|_{A^q(\omega dv) \rightarrow L^q} \lesssim 1$. Now let us see the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^p & \xrightarrow{T_\mu} & A^q \\ \downarrow J_\omega & & \uparrow P \\ A^q(\omega dv) & \xrightarrow{T} & L^q \end{array},$$

where P is the usual Bergman projection as (1.1). For $1 < p < \infty$, P is bounded from L^p to A^p with $\|P\|_{L^p \rightarrow A^p} \lesssim 1$ and $P(f) = f$ for $f \in A^p$. Therefore, (2.3) and (4.4), (4.6) turn out $T_\mu = P \circ T \circ J_\omega$ is r -summing with

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_r(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^q) &\leq \pi_r(J_\omega : A^p \rightarrow A^q(\omega dv)) \cdot \|T\|_{A^q(\omega dv) \rightarrow L^q} \cdot \|P\|_{L^p \rightarrow A^p} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\cdot) K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^{qs}}. \end{aligned}$$

This and the estimate (4.3) turn out the norm equivalence (4.1). \square

Take $p = q$ in Proposition 4.1, we have the following conclusion immediately.

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose $1 < p \leq 2$ and $r \geq 1$. Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Then $T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p$ is r -summing if and only if $\tilde{\mu} \in L^2(d\lambda)$. Furthermore,*

$$\pi_r(T_\mu) \simeq \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^2(d\lambda)}.$$

4.2. The r -summing Toeplitz operators on A^p , $p \geq 2$ and $1 \leq r \leq p'$.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose $p \geq 2$ and $1 \leq r \leq p'$. Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Then $T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p$ is r -summing if and only if $\tilde{\mu} \in L^{p'}(d\lambda)$. Furthermore,*

$$(4.7) \quad \pi_r(T_\mu) \simeq \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^{p'}(d\lambda)}.$$

Proof. Suppose $T_\mu \in \Pi_r(A^p, A^p)$, since $p' \geq r$, it is clear that $T_\mu \in \Pi_{p'}(A^p, A^p)$ with $\pi_{p'}(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p) \leq \pi_r(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p)$. Meanwhile, since $\Pi_{p'}(A^p, A^p) \subset B(A^p, A^p)$, we have $(T_\mu)^* = T_\mu \in B(A^{p'}, A^{p'})$, here $B(X, Y)$ is the set of bounded linear operators from X to Y . Consider

$$L^p \xrightarrow{P} A^p \xrightarrow{T_\mu} A^p, \text{ and } A^{p'} \xrightarrow{T_\mu} A^{p'} \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_1} L^{p'},$$

Then P is bounded from L^p to A^p . Set $Q_1 = T_\mu \circ P : A^p \rightarrow A^p$ and $Q_2 = \text{Id}_1 \circ T_\mu : A^{p'} \rightarrow L^{p'}$, then $Q_1 = Q_2^*$ and $Q_1 \in \Pi_{p'}(L^p, A^p)$. It follows from [3, Corollary 5.21] that $Q_2 : A^{p'} \rightarrow L^{p'}$ is order bounded, that is $\sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} |T_\mu(g)(z)| \in L^{p'}$ with the estimate

$$(4.8) \quad \left\| \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} |T_\mu(g)| \right\|_{L^{p'}} \leq \pi_{p'}(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p).$$

Notice that

$$k_{z,p'}(\xi) = \frac{K(\xi, z)}{\|K(\cdot, z)\|_{A^{p'}}} \simeq \frac{K(\xi, z)}{K(z, z)^{1-\frac{1}{p'}}},$$

we have

$$\sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} |T_\mu(g)(z)| \geq |T_\mu(k_{z,p'})(z)| \simeq \tilde{\mu}(z) \cdot K(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Hence, (4.8) yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \tilde{\mu}(z)^{p'} K(z, z) dv(z) \lesssim (\pi_{p'}(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p))^{p'},$$

which shows $\tilde{\mu} \in L^{p'}(d\lambda)$ with

$$(4.9) \quad \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^{p'}(d\lambda)} \lesssim \pi_{p'}(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p) \leq \pi_r(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p).$$

Conversely, suppose $\tilde{\mu} \in L^{p'}(d\lambda)$. We fix some $N > 0$ so that

$$\alpha = N + (n+1) \left(\frac{1}{p} - 1 \right) > 0$$

and

$$\beta = 2N + (n + 1) \left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) > 0.$$

We consider the chain of maps:

$$A^p \xrightarrow{R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu} A_\alpha^1 \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_2} A_\beta^2 \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_3} A_{Np}^p \xrightarrow{R_{0,N}} A^p.$$

We claim the following statements are true:

- (i) $R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A_\alpha^1$ is bounded.
- (ii) All of Id_2 , Id_3 and $R_{0,N}$ are bounded.
- (iii) $\text{Id}_2 : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow A_\beta^2$ is 1-summing.

To prove (i), from Lemma 2.3 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} R^{0,N} T_\mu f(z) &= R^{0,N} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) K(z, w) d\mu(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) R^{0,N} (K(\cdot, w))(z) d\mu(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) \frac{1}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+N}} d\mu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Then by [25, Theorem 1.12] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^{0,N} T_\mu f\|_{A_\alpha^1} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dv(z) \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(w)}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+N}} dv(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(w) dv(w) \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+N}} dv(z) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(w) K(w, w)^{\frac{1}{p'}} dv(w). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Fubini's Theorem and the Holder's inequality with the exponentials p and p' to get

$$(4.10) \quad \|R^{0,N} T_\mu f\|_{A_\alpha^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{A^p} \|\widehat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^{p'}(d\lambda)}.$$

This gives the boundedness of $R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu$ from A^p to A_α^1 .

To see (ii), we know from [25, Exercise 2.27] that, for $0 < p < q < \infty$ and

$$n + 1 + \alpha = \frac{n + 1 + \beta}{2},$$

the inclusion $\text{Id}_2 : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow A_\beta^2$ is bounded. Moreover,

$$(4.11) \quad \|\text{Id}_2\|_{A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow A_\beta^2} \lesssim 1.$$

That Id_3 is bounded with the estimate

$$(4.12) \quad \|\text{Id}_3\|_{A_\beta^2 \rightarrow A_{Np}^p} \lesssim 1$$

can be seen easily from estimate (3.2) on A_β^2 .

Now we show that $R_{0,N} : A_{Np}^p \rightarrow A^p$ is bounded. For $f \in H^\infty$, from [25, Theorem 2.2] we know

$$f(z) = c_N \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{f(w)(1 - |w|^2)^N}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+N}} dv(w).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{0,N}f(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w)(1-|w|^2)^N R_{0,N} \left(\frac{1}{(1-\langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1+N}} \right) (w) dv(w) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} (f(w)(1-|w|^2)^N) \frac{1}{(1-\langle z, w \rangle)^{n+1}} dv(w) \\ &= P((1-|\cdot|^2)^N f)(z). \end{aligned}$$

With the boundedness of the Bergman projection P on L^p and the density of H^∞ in A_{pN}^p , we have

$$(4.13) \quad \|R_{0,N}f\|_{A^p} \leq \|P((1-|\cdot|^2)^N f)\|_{A^p} \leq C\|P\|_{L^p \rightarrow A^p} \|f\|_{A_{pN}^p}.$$

Now we prove (iii). Consider the maps:

$$L_\alpha^1 \xrightarrow{P_\gamma} A_\alpha^1 \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_2} A_\beta^2 \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_4} L_\beta^2,$$

where

$$P_\gamma(f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w) K_\gamma(z, w) dv(w).$$

Fix γ so that $\gamma > \alpha$ and $2(\gamma + 1) > \beta + 1$, it follows from [25, Theorem 2.11] that P_γ is bounded from L_α^1 to A_α^1 and bounded from L_β^2 to A_β^2 . Set $U = \text{Id}_4 \circ \text{Id}_2 \circ P_\gamma$, then U is bounded from L_α^1 to L_β^2 with $\|U\|_{L_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L_\beta^2} \lesssim 1$. By [3, Theorem 3.4] we get $U : L_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L_\beta^2$ is 1-summing with $\pi_1(U) \lesssim \|U\|_{L_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L_\beta^2}$. As mentioned on page 37 in [3], the restriction map $U|_{A_\alpha^1}$ is also 1-summing with

$$\pi_1(U|_{A_\alpha^1} : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L_\beta^2) \leq \pi_1(U) \lesssim 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Id}_2(A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow A_\beta^2) = P_\gamma(L_\beta^2 \rightarrow A_\beta^2) \circ U|_{A_\alpha^1}(A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow L_\beta^2)$$

is 1-summing with

$$(4.14) \quad \pi_1(\text{Id}_2 : A_\alpha^1 \rightarrow A_\beta^2) \lesssim 1.$$

It is easy to see that $T_\mu = R_{0,N} \circ \text{Id}_3 \circ \text{Id}_2 \circ R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu$. Then we know $T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p$ is r -summing. Furthermore, by (4.10), (4.11), (4.12), (4.14) and the ideal property we get

$$\pi_r(T_\mu) \leq \pi_1(T_\mu) \lesssim \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^{p'}(d\lambda)}.$$

This and (4.9) give the norm equivalence (4.7). \square

4.3. The r -summing Toeplitz operators on A^p for $p \geq 2$ and $p' \leq r < p$.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose $p \geq 2$ and $p' \leq r < p$. Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Then $T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p$ is r -summing if and only if $\tilde{\mu} \in L^r(d\lambda)$. Furthermore,*

$$(4.15) \quad \pi_r(T_\mu) \simeq \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^r(d\lambda)}.$$

Proof. Suppose $T_\mu \in \Pi_r(A^p, A^p)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathbb{B}_n &\longrightarrow A^p, \\ z &\longmapsto k_{z,p}(\cdot) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6 gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|T_\mu(k_{z,p})\|_{A^p}^r d\lambda(z) \leq (\pi_r(T_\mu))^r \cdot \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |\langle g, k_{z,p} \rangle|^r d\lambda(z).$$

On one hand, the sub-mean value property of $|T_\mu(k_{z,p})|^p$ yields

$$\tilde{\mu}(z)^p \lesssim \|T_\mu(k_{z,p})\|_{A^p}^p,$$

which follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \tilde{\mu}(z)^r d\lambda(z) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \|T_\mu(k_{z,p})\|_{A^p}^r d\lambda(z).$$

On the other hand, since $r \geq p'$, (2.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |\langle g, k_{z,p} \rangle|^r d\lambda(z) &\simeq \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left| g(z) \cdot K(z, z)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \right|^r d\lambda(z) \\ &\lesssim \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |g(z)|^{p'} \cdot \|g\|_{A^{p'}}^{r-p'} K(z, z)^{\frac{r-p'}{p'}} \cdot K(z, z)^{\frac{r}{p}-r+1} dv(z) \\ &= \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \|g\|_{A^{p'}}^r \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The above estimates show that

$$(4.16) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \tilde{\mu}(z)^r d\lambda(z) \lesssim (\pi_r(T_\mu))^r,$$

which tells us $\tilde{\mu} \in L^r(d\lambda)$ with $\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^r(d\lambda)} \lesssim \pi_r(T_\mu)$.

Conversely, suppose $\tilde{\mu} \in L^r(d\lambda)$. Similar to the proof of the previous subsection, take N to be positive so that

$$\eta = Nr - (n+1) \left(1 - \frac{r}{p}\right) > 0.$$

Consider the chain of maps:

$$A^p \xrightarrow{R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu} A_\eta^r \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} A_{Np}^p \xrightarrow{R_{0,N}} A^p,$$

We claim that the following two statements hold.

- (i) $\text{Id} : A_\eta^r \rightarrow A_{Np}^p$ and $R_{0,N} : A_{Np}^p \rightarrow A^p$ are bounded.
- (ii) $R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L_\eta^r$ is order bounded, and then $R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A_\eta^r$ is r -summing.

In fact, for $f \in A_\eta^r$, by (3.2) we know

$$|f(z)| \leq \|f\|_{A_\eta^r} (1 - |z|^2)^{-\frac{n+1+\eta}{r}}.$$

Notice that $Np - \frac{n+1+\eta}{r}(p-r) = \eta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{A_{Np}^p}^p &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \left(|f(w)| (1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{n+1+\eta}{r}} \right)^{p-r} \left(|f(w)|^r (1 - |w|^2)^{Np - \frac{n+1+\eta}{r}(p-r)} \right) dv(w) \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{A_\eta^r}^{p-r} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |f(w)|^r (1 - |w|^2)^\eta dv(w). \end{aligned}$$

With this we have $\text{Id} : A_\eta^r \rightarrow A_{Np}^p$ is bounded

$$\|\text{Id}\|_{A_\eta^r \rightarrow A_{Np}^p} \lesssim 1.$$

The boundedness of $R_{0,N} : A_{Np}^p \rightarrow A^p$ comes from (4.13).

Now we prove that $R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L_\eta^r$ is order bounded. For $f \in B_{A^p}$, similar to that in the previous subsection we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu f(z)| \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \frac{|f(w)| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(w)}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+N}} dv(w) \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_{A^p} \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(w) K(w, w)^{\frac{1}{r}} \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{(n+1)(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p})}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+N}} dv(w) \\ & = \|f\|_{A^p} \cdot S \left(\widehat{\mu}_\delta K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right) (z), \end{aligned}$$

where $S = S_{b,c}$ with $b = (n+1) \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{p} \right)$ and $c = n+1+N$ is the operator defined in (4.5). Applying [21, Theorem 3] we know S is bounded from L^r to L_η^r with the estimate

$$\left\| S \left(\widehat{\mu}_\delta K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right) \right\|_{L_\eta^r} \leq \left\| \widehat{\mu}_\delta K(\cdot, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{r}} \right\|_{L^r} \cdot \|S\| = \|\widehat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^r(d\lambda)} \cdot \|S\|.$$

Hence, $R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L_\eta^r$ is order bounded. And

$$\left\| \sup_{f \in B_{A^p}} |R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu f| \right\|_{L_\eta^r} \lesssim \|\widehat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^r(d\lambda)}.$$

Lemma 2.7 tells us $R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L_\eta^r$ is r -summing with the estimate

$$\pi_r(R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L_\eta^r) \lesssim \left\| \sup_{f \in B_{A^p}} |R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu f| \right\|_{L_\eta^r} \lesssim \|\widehat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^r(d\lambda)}.$$

Since $R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu(A^p) \subset A_\eta^r$, we obtain

$$\pi_r(R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A_\eta^r) \lesssim \|\widehat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^r(d\lambda)}.$$

Therefore, $T_\mu = R_{0,N} \circ \text{Id} \circ R^{0,N} \circ T_\mu$ is r -summing on A^p . Moreover,

$$(4.17) \quad \pi_r(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p) \lesssim \|\widehat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^r(d\lambda)}.$$

The norm equivalence (4.15) comes from (4.16) and (4.17). \square

4.4. The r -summing Toeplitz operators on A^p for $p \geq 2$ and $r \geq p$.

Proposition 4.5. *Suppose $p \geq 2$ and $r \geq p$. Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B}_n . Then $T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p$ is r -summing if and only if $\tilde{\mu} \in L^p(d\lambda)$. Furthermore,*

$$(4.18) \quad \pi_r(T_\mu) \simeq \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^p(d\lambda)}.$$

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{\mu} \in L^p(d\lambda)$, we claim $T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^p$ is order bounded. To see this, for $\delta > 0$ fixed, we set

$$h(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} K(\xi, \xi)^{\frac{1}{p}} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\xi) |K(z, \xi)| dv(\xi).$$

The boundedness of the operator $S_{0,n+1}$ defined in (4.5) implies that

$$(4.19) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} h(z)^p dv(z) \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} K(z, z) \widehat{\mu}_\delta(z)^p dv(z) = \|\widehat{\mu}_\delta\|_{L^p(d\lambda)}^p < \infty.$$

Now for $g \in A^p$, (2.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} |T_\mu(g)(z)| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} |g(\xi)| |K(z, \xi)| \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\xi) dv(\xi) \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{A^p} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} K(\xi, \xi)^{\frac{1}{p}} \widehat{\mu}_\delta(\xi) |K(z, \xi)| dv(\xi) \\ &= \|g\|_{A^p} h(z). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7, together with (2.4) and (4.19), gives $T_\mu \in \Pi_p(A^p, L^p)$. Since $T_\mu(A^p) \subset A^p$, we have $T_\mu \in \Pi_p(A^p, A^p)$,

$$(4.20) \quad \pi_p(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow A^p) = \pi_p(T_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^p) \leq \left\| \sup_{g \in B_{A^p}} |T_\mu(g)| \right\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^p(d\lambda)}.$$

Conversely, suppose $T_\mu \in \Pi_r(A^p, A^p)$. Since the cotype of A^p is $p \geq 2$, it follows from [3, Theorem 11.13] that $T_\mu \in \Pi_{p,2}(A^p, A^p)$. Precisely, for $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset A^p$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|T_\mu f_j\|_{A^p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\lesssim \pi_r(T_\mu) \cdot \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\langle g, f_j \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \pi_r(T_\mu) \cdot \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\langle g, f_j \rangle|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Fix some δ -lattice $\{a_j\}$ with δ small, set $f_j = k_{a_j, p}$, then $\tilde{\mu}(z_j) \lesssim \|T_\mu(k_{a_j, p})\|_{A^p}$. From the above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{\mu}(a_j)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\lesssim \pi_r(T_\mu) \cdot \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\langle g, k_{a_j, p} \rangle|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\simeq \pi_r(T_\mu) \cdot \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left| g(a_j) K(a_j, a_j)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \right|^{p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\lesssim \pi_r(T_\mu) \cdot \sup_{g \in B_{A^{p'}}} \|g\|_{A^{p'}}^{p'} \\ &= \pi_r(T_\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{\mu}_\delta(a_j) \leq C\tilde{\mu}(a_j)$, Lemma 2.4 tells us that $\tilde{\mu} \in L^p(d\lambda)$ with

$$\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^p(d\lambda)} \simeq \|\{\widehat{\mu}_\delta(a_j)\}\|_{l^p} \lesssim \pi_r(T_\mu).$$

This along with (4.20) gives the norm equivalence (4.18). \square

Summarizing the above 4 subsections, we have obtain the proof of Theorem 1.2 for all $1 < p < \infty$ and $r \geq 1$.

5. FURTHER REMARKS

Take $1 \leq p = q \leq 2$, and take $r = 1$ in Theorem 1.3. We know that the Carleson embedding $J_\mu : A^p \rightarrow L^p(\mu)$ is absolutely summing if and only if

$$\tilde{\mu} \in L^1(d\lambda).$$

In other words, the absolutely summing for J_μ on A^p can be formulated with the same condition. For the Toeplitz operators, Theorem 1.2 says that T_μ is absolutely summing on A^p , $1 < p \leq 2$, if and only if

$$(5.1) \quad \tilde{\mu} \in L^2(d\lambda).$$

Comparing these observations with the known characterizations of T_μ and J_μ bounded (or compact) on A^p , we have good reason to believe that the condition (5.1) is still far from being necessary and sufficient for T_μ to be absolutely summing on A^1 . It is therefore natural to ask what conditions characterize $T_\mu \in \pi_1(A^1 \rightarrow A^1)$. At present we do not even have a plausible conjecture.

The approach in this paper works well on weighted Bergman spaces. Precisely, for $\alpha > -1$ let $K_\alpha(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the Bergman kernel on A_α^2 . Similar to (1.2), we define the Toeplitz operator $T_{\mu,\alpha}$ on A_α^p as

$$T_{\mu,\alpha}f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_n} f(w)K_\alpha(z, w)d\mu(w).$$

With these adjustment we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{B} . For $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq r < \infty$, the Toeplitz operator $T_{\mu,\alpha} : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow A_\alpha^p$ is r -summing if and only if $\tilde{\mu} \in L^\kappa(d\lambda)$. Furthermore,*

$$\pi_r(T_\mu : A_\alpha^p \rightarrow A_\alpha^p) \simeq \|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^\kappa(d\lambda)}.$$

How should absolutely summing positive Toeplitz operators be characterized on Bergman spaces of more general domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$? On bounded symmetric domains the entire machinery of this paper transfers verbatim: the transitive group action preserves all kernel estimates, and the fractional-derivative and fractional-integral operators $R^{0,N}, R_{0,N}$ are available in the same way as that on \mathbb{B}_n . For bounded strongly pseudoconvex domains Ω the r -summing Carleson embedding $J_\mu : A^p(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p(\Omega)$ can still be established by the same strategy, and the Bergman-kernel estimates we need are already known. What is missing, however, is the exact fractional-derivative/fractional-integral operators used in Subsections 4.2 and 4.3. To prove the analogue of Theorem 1.2 we expect that suitable substitutes-most likely built on higher-order gradients-can be constructed to close the gap.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chen, B. He, M. Wang, Absolutely summing Carleson embeddings on weighted Fock spaces with A_∞ -type weights, To appear in J. Oper. Theory, 2025, 4.
- [2] J. Chen, C. Dong, M. Wang, Absolutely summing Carleson embeddings over the half-plane, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 19, 72 (2025).
- [3] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, A. Tonge, Absolutely summing operators, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).
- [4] J. Du, B. Hu, S. Li, et al, Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces induced by doubling weights in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , J. Math. Anal. Appl., 544, 129077 (2025)
- [5] T. Farès, P. Lefèvre, Absolutely summing weighted composition operators on Bloch spaces, J. Oper. Theory, 88, 407-443 (2022).
- [6] A. Grothendieck, Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo, 8, 1-79 (1953).
- [7] A. Grothendieck, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 16, 140 (1955).
- [8] W. Hastings, A Carleson measure theorem for Bergman spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 52, 237-241 (1975).
- [9] B. He, J. Jreis, P. Lefèvre, Z. Lou, Absolutely summing Carleson embeddings on Bergman spaces, Adv. Math., 439, 109495 (2024).
- [10] Z. Hu, E. Wang, Absolutely Summing Toeplitz operators on Fock spaces, Preprint available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.19967>, 2025.
- [11] J. Jreis, P. Lefèvre, Some operator ideal properties of Volterra operators on Bergman and Bloch spaces, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory, 96, No. 1 (2024).

- [12] P. Lefèvre, L. Rodríguez-Piazza, Absolutely summing Carleson embeddings on Hardy spaces, *Adv. Math.*, 340, 528-587 (2018).
- [13] D. Luecking, A technique for characterizing Carleson measures on Bergman spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 87, 656-660 (1983).
- [14] D. Luecking, Forward and reverse Carleson inequalities for functions in Bergman spaces and their derivative, *Amer. J. Math.*, 107, 85-111 (1985).
- [15] D. Luecking, Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz operators, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 73, 345-368 (1987).
- [16] J. Lindenstrauss, A. Pełczyński, Absolutely summing operators in \mathcal{L}_p -spaces and their applications, *Studia Math.*, 29, 275-326 (1968).
- [17] J. Pau, R. Zhao, Carleson measures and Toeplitz operators for weighted Bergman spaces on the unit ball, *Michigan Math. J.*, 64, 759-796 (2015).
- [18] A. Pietsch, Absolut p -summierende Abbildungen in normierten Räumen, *Studia Math.*, 28, 333-353 (1967).
- [19] J. Peláez, J. Rättyä, K. Sierra, Berezin transform and Toeplitz operators on weighted Bergman spaces induced by regular weights, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 28, 1-32 (2016).
- [20] S. Wang, Z. Wang, Toeplitz operators between distinct bergman spaces, *Sci. China Math.*, 65, 2325-2336(2022).
- [21] R. Zhao, Generalization of Schur's test and its application to a class of integral operators on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory*, 82, 519-532 (2015).
- [22] R. Zhao, L. Zhou, L^p - L^q boundedness of Forelli-Rudin type operators on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , *J. Funct. Anal.*, 282, 109345 (2022).
- [23] R. Zhao, K. Zhu, Theory of Bergman spaces in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n , *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N. S.)*, 115 (2015).
- [24] K. Zhu, Positive Toeplitz operators on weighted Bergman spaces of bounded symmetric domains, *J. Oper. Theory*, 20, 329-357 (1988).
- [25] K. Zhu, *Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Ball*, Springer, New York (2005).
- [26] K. Zhu, *Operator theory in function spaces*, American Mathematical Society, New York (2007).
- [27] Y. Zhang, X. Wang, Z. Hu, Toeplitz operators on Bergman spaces with exponential weights, *Complex Var. Elliptic*, 68, 974-1007 (2023).

ZHANGJIAN HU: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU 313000, ZHEJIANG CHINA

Email address: huzj@zjhu.edu.cn

ERMIN WANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LINGNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, ZHANJIANG 524048, GUANGDONG CHINA

Email address: wem0913@sina.com