

AVERAGE FIRST-PASSAGE TIMES FOR CHARACTER SUMS

QUANYU TANG AND HAO ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and, for an odd prime p , set

$$S_\ell(p) := \sum_{n \leq \ell} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right).$$

Define the *first-passage time*

$$f_\varepsilon(p) := \min\{\ell \geq 1 : S_\ell(p) < \varepsilon\ell\}.$$

We prove that there exists a constant $c_\varepsilon > 0$ such that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p) \sim c_\varepsilon \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

A central question in number theory is to understand the behavior of the quadratic character sum

$$S_\ell(p) := \sum_{n \leq \ell} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right),$$

where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p} \right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol modulo an odd prime p . Such sums encode fundamental information on the distribution of quadratic residues and non-residues modulo p . In particular, bounds for $S_\ell(p)$ lead to results on the size of the least quadratic non-residue modulo p ; for a more detailed discussion and further background, see [6, Section 1].Let $\chi_p(n) := \left(\frac{n}{p} \right)$. A basic heuristic models the values $\chi_p(n)$ as independent unbiased ± 1 signs, in which case $S_\ell(p)$ is typically of size $\asymp \sqrt{\ell}$ and, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, the inequality $S_\ell(p) < \varepsilon\ell$ should hold for all sufficiently large ℓ . This motivates quantitative questions about the time at which the graph of $S_\ell(p)$ falls below the linear barrier $\varepsilon\ell$.In [2, Eq. (80)], Erdős posed the following conjecture, which appears as Problem #981 on Bloom's Erdős Problems website [1].¹**Conjecture 1.1** (Erdős's eventual-time problem). *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and for each odd prime p let $F_\varepsilon(p)$ be the smallest integer such that*

$$S_\ell(p) < \varepsilon\ell \quad \text{for every } \ell \geq F_\varepsilon(p). \tag{1.1}$$

Then there exists a constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} F_\varepsilon(p) \sim C_\varepsilon \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11L40.

Key words and phrases. Quadratic character sums, quadratic large sieve.

¹An earlier version of the Problem #981 page [1] misstated the question by defining a *first-passage* threshold (asking for the smallest ℓ with $S_\ell(p) < \varepsilon\ell$), rather than the *eventual-time* threshold stated below. The present paper studies and resolves that earlier first-passage formulation.

This conjecture was proved by Elliott [3].

Motivated by this, we consider the associated *first-passage* threshold. For $\varepsilon > 0$ and each odd prime p , define

$$f_\varepsilon(p) := \min\{\ell \geq 1 : S_\ell(p) < \varepsilon\ell\}.$$

Clearly one has $f_\varepsilon(p) \leq F_\varepsilon(p)$ for each p , since (1.1) forces $S_{F_\varepsilon(p)}(p) < \varepsilon F_\varepsilon(p)$. However, an asymptotic formula for $\sum_{p \leq x} F_\varepsilon(p)$ does not by itself imply the corresponding asymptotic for $\sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p)$. Our main result establishes the expected asymptotic for $\sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p)$.

Theorem 1.2. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $c_\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ such that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p) \sim c_\varepsilon \frac{x}{\log x}.$$

Proof outline. Write the average first-passage time in terms of tail probabilities:

$$\frac{1}{\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p) = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_m(x), \quad a_m(x) := \frac{1}{\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)} \#\{p \leq x : f_\varepsilon(p) > m\},$$

where $\pi_{\text{odd}}(x) = \#\{p \leq x : p \text{ is an odd prime}\}$. For each fixed m , the event $\{f_\varepsilon(p) > m\}$ is determined by the finite vector $(\chi_p(q))_{q \leq m}$, and the prime number theorem for Dirichlet characters gives the pointwise limits $a_m(x) \rightarrow \hat{a}_m$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. To pass from these pointwise limits to an asymptotic for the average, the key additional input is a uniform tail bound, which allows us to interchange the limit $x \rightarrow \infty$ with the infinite sum over m . We obtain this by dominating $a_m(x)$ by the density of primes with $S_m(p) \geq \varepsilon m$ and controlling this density via high-moment estimates for $S_m(p)$: for $m \leq x^{1/6-\kappa}$ we use a sixth-moment bound coming from the quadratic large sieve, while for larger m we combine quadratic reciprocity, Heath–Brown’s large sieve, and the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation and conventions. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. The case $\varepsilon > 1$ is trivial since $S_1(p) = 1 < \varepsilon$ for every odd prime p , hence $f_\varepsilon(p) = 1$. Thus, throughout the paper we assume that $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$, with the convention $S_0(p) := 0$.

We use Vinogradov’s asymptotic notation. For functions $f = f(n)$ and $g = g(n)$, we write $f = O(g)$, $f \ll g$, or $g \gg f$ to mean that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|f(n)| \leq Cg(n)$ for all sufficiently large n . We write $f \asymp g$ to mean that $f \ll g$ and $g \ll f$, and we write $f = o(g)$ to mean that $f(n)/g(n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If the implicit constant is allowed to depend on one or more parameters z_1, \dots, z_r , we indicate this by writing $f \ll_{z_1, \dots, z_r} g$, $g \gg_{z_1, \dots, z_r} f$ or $f = O_{z_1, \dots, z_r}(g)$. Finally, we write $f \sim g$ to mean that $f(n)/g(n) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Throughout the paper, the letter p always denotes an odd prime. We use the standard prime-counting function $\pi(x) = \#\{p \leq x : p \text{ prime}\}$, and we set

$$\pi_{\text{odd}}(x) := \#\{p \leq x : p \text{ is an odd prime}\} = \pi(x) - 1 \quad (x \geq 2).$$

Accordingly, $\sum_{p \leq x}$ denotes a sum over odd primes $p \leq x$, and we normalize by $\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)$ when taking averages. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(x)$ the set of fundamental discriminants d such that $|d| \leq x$. We write $mn = \square$ to indicate that mn is a perfect square.

2.2. Two forms of the quadratic large sieve. Next we present two forms of the quadratic large sieve. The first is a large sieve inequality for prime discriminants, which is a special case of [9, Lemma 9]; see also [6, Lemma 4.8].

Lemma 2.1 ([9]). *Let $\kappa > 0$ be a fixed small number. Let $x \geq 2$ and $2 \leq N \leq x^{1/2-\kappa}$. Then for arbitrary complex numbers a_1, \dots, a_N we have*

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \right|^2 \ll_{\kappa} \frac{x}{\log x} \sum_{\substack{m, n \leq N \\ mn = \square}} |a_m a_n|.$$

The second form is due to Heath-Brown [5, Corollary 2]; see also [8, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 2.2 ([5]). *Let $x, N \geq 2$. Then for arbitrary complex numbers a_1, \dots, a_N and for any $\rho > 0$ we have*

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(x)} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \left(\frac{d}{n} \right) \right|^2 \ll_{\rho} (xN)^{\rho} (x + N) \sum_{\substack{m, n \leq N \\ mn = \square}} |a_m a_n|.$$

Here $\left(\frac{d}{\cdot} \right)$ denotes the Kronecker symbol modulo $|d|$.

2.3. Cubic coefficients and a square-condition bound. For an integer $\ell \geq 1$, define

$$c_u(\ell) := \#\{(a, b, c) \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}^3 : abc = u\} \quad (1 \leq u \leq \ell^3), \quad (2.1)$$

and set

$$C_3(\ell) := \sum_{\substack{u, v \leq \ell^3 \\ uv = \square}} c_u(\ell) c_v(\ell). \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.3. *For every $\delta > 0$ one has*

$$C_3(m) \ll_{\delta} m^{3+\delta}.$$

Proof. We use the trivial bound $c_u(m) \leq d_3(u)$, where

$$d_k(u) = \#\{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k : a_1 \cdots a_k = u\}.$$

To bound $d_3(u)$ in terms of the usual divisor function $d(u) = d_2(u)$. Write

$$u = \prod_{q \text{ prime}} q^{s_q}.$$

Then

$$d_3(u) = \prod_{q|u} \#\{(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3 : e_1 + e_2 + e_3 = s_q\} = \prod_{q|u} \binom{s_q + 2}{2}.$$

Since $\binom{s+2}{2} = \frac{(s+1)(s+2)}{2} \leq (s+1)^2$ for every $s \geq 0$, we obtain

$$d_3(u) \leq \prod_{q|u} (s_q + 1)^2 = d(u)^2 \ll_{\theta} u^{2\theta}.$$

The last inequality follows from a classical result that $d(n) \ll_{\theta} n^{\theta}$ (see e.g. [4, Theorem 315]). Thus $c_u(m) \ll_{\theta} u^{2\theta}$.

The condition $uv = \square$ is equivalent to writing $u = ds^2$ and $v = dt^2$ with d squarefree. Therefore,

$$C_3(m) \leq \sum_{\substack{d \text{ squarefree} \\ d \leq m^3}} \left(\sum_{ds^2 \leq m^3} c_{ds^2}(m) \right)^2 \ll_{\theta} \sum_{d \leq m^3} \left(\sum_{s \leq m^{3/2}/\sqrt{d}} (ds^2)^{2\theta} \right)^2.$$

The inner sum satisfies

$$\sum_{s \leq m^{3/2}/\sqrt{d}} (ds^2)^{2\theta} = d^{2\theta} \sum_{s \leq m^{3/2}/\sqrt{d}} s^{4\theta} \leq d^{2\theta} \left(\frac{m^{3/2}}{\sqrt{d}} \right)^{1+4\theta} = m^{\frac{3}{2}(1+4\theta)} d^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence

$$C_3(m) \ll_{\theta} \sum_{d \leq m^3} \left(m^{\frac{3}{2}(1+4\theta)} d^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 = m^{3+12\theta} \sum_{d \leq m^3} d^{-1} \ll_{\theta} m^{3+12\theta} \log m.$$

Now choose $\theta = \delta/24$ and use $\log m \ll_{\delta} m^{\delta/2}$ to conclude $C_3(m) \ll_{\delta} m^{3+\delta}$. \square

Next we give a uniform bound for $f_{\varepsilon}(p)$ via the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality.

Lemma 2.4. *There exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every odd prime p and every $\ell \geq 1$,*

$$|S_{\ell}(p)| < C\sqrt{p} \log p.$$

Consequently,

$$f_{\varepsilon}(p) \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{p} \log p$$

for all odd primes p .

Proof. The Pólya–Vinogradov inequality (see, for example [10, Theorem 9.18]), states that for any nonprincipal Dirichlet character χ modulo q ,

$$\max_{1 \leq t \leq q} \left| \sum_{n \leq t} \chi(n) \right| \ll \sqrt{q} \log q.$$

Applying this to $\chi = \chi_p$ gives $|S_{\ell}(p)| \ll \sqrt{p} \log p$ for $1 \leq \ell \leq p$. For $\ell > p$, the character χ_p is periodic modulo p and $\sum_{n=1}^p \chi_p(n) = 0$, so $S_{\ell}(p) = S_{\ell \bmod p}(p)$ and the same bound holds. Hence, there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for every odd prime p and every $\ell \geq 1$, $|S_{\ell}(p)| < C\sqrt{p} \log p$. For any $\ell \geq (C/\varepsilon)\sqrt{p} \log p$, we have $S_{\ell}(p) \leq |S_{\ell}(p)| < \varepsilon\ell$, so $f_{\varepsilon}(p) \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{p} \log p$. \square

2.4. A density parameter. Now, we need to estimate the density of primes such that $S_{\ell}(p) \geq \varepsilon\ell$. For this purpose, for $x \geq 2$ and each integer $\ell \geq 1$, we define

$$P_{\ell}(x) := \frac{1}{\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)} \#\{p \leq x : S_{\ell}(p) \geq \varepsilon\ell\}. \quad (2.3)$$

We give the estimation of $P_{\ell}(x)$ through the sixth-moment of $S_{\ell}(p)$.

Lemma 2.5. *Fix $\kappa \in (0, 1/6)$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$. When $2 \leq \ell \leq x^{1/6-\kappa}$, we have the uniform bound*

$$P_{\ell}(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \kappa, \delta} \ell^{-3+\delta}. \quad (2.4)$$

When $\ell > x^{1/6-\kappa}$, we have the uniform bound

$$P_{\ell}(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \rho, \delta} (\log x) x^{\rho-1} \ell^{3\rho-3+\delta} (x + \ell^3). \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Using the following trivial bound, we transform the problem to the estimation of sixth-moment of $S_{\ell}(p)$.

$$P_{\ell}(x) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^6 \ell^6 \pi_{\text{odd}}(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} |S_{\ell}(p)|^6. \quad (2.6)$$

By (2.1), we have the identity

$$S_{\ell}(p)^3 = \sum_{a, b, c \leq \ell} \left(\frac{abc}{p} \right) = \sum_{u \leq \ell^3} c_u(\ell) \left(\frac{u}{p} \right).$$

Therefore

$$|S_{\ell}(p)|^6 = \left| \sum_{u \leq \ell^3} c_u(\ell) \left(\frac{u}{p} \right) \right|^2. \quad (2.7)$$

Case 1: $2 \leq \ell \leq x^{1/6-\kappa}$. Then $\ell^3 \leq x^{1/2-3\kappa}$. Applying Lemma 2.1 with $N = \ell^3 \geq 2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \leq x} |S_\ell(p)|^6 = \sum_{p \leq x} \left| \sum_{u \leq \ell^3} c_u(\ell) \left(\frac{u}{p} \right) \right|^2 \ll_\kappa \frac{x}{\log x} \sum_{\substack{u, v \leq \ell^3 \\ uv = \square}} c_u(\ell) c_v(\ell) = \frac{x}{\log x} C_3(\ell).$$

By Lemma 2.3, $C_3(\ell) \ll_\delta \ell^{3+\delta}$, hence

$$\sum_{p \leq x} |S_\ell(p)|^6 \ll_{\kappa, \delta} \frac{x}{\log x} \ell^{3+\delta}. \quad (2.8)$$

Since $\pi_{\text{odd}}(x) \asymp x / \log x$, inserting (2.8) into (2.6) yields (2.4).

Case 2: $\ell > x^{1/6-\kappa}$. Let $\rho > 0$ be a small parameter. For an odd prime p , define $p^* := (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p$. Quadratic reciprocity implies $\left(\frac{u}{p} \right) = \left(\frac{p^*}{u} \right)$ for all integers u . Thus

$$\sum_{p \leq x} |S_\ell(p)|^6 = \sum_{p \leq x} \left| \sum_{u \leq \ell^3} c_u(\ell) \left(\frac{p^*}{u} \right) \right|^2 \leq \sum_{d \in \mathcal{F}(x)} \left| \sum_{u \leq \ell^3} c_u(\ell) \left(\frac{d}{u} \right) \right|^2.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 with $N = \ell^3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{p \leq x} |S_\ell(p)|^6 &\ll_\rho (x\ell^3)^\rho (x + \ell^3) \sum_{\substack{u, v \leq \ell^3 \\ uv = \square}} c_u(\ell) c_v(\ell) = (x\ell^3)^\rho (x + \ell^3) C_3(\ell) \\ &\ll_{\rho, \delta} (x\ell^3)^\rho (x + \ell^3) \ell^{3+\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Combining (2.9) with (2.6) and $\pi_{\text{odd}}(x) \asymp x / \log x$ gives (2.5). \square

As a consequence, we obtain a uniform upper bound for $P_\ell(x)$.

Theorem 2.6. Fix $\kappa \in (0, \frac{1}{6})$. Then for any positive real number $\beta < \frac{1}{3} - 2\kappa$, we have

$$\sum_{\ell > x^{1/6-\kappa}} P_\ell(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \kappa, \beta} x^{-\beta}. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Let $C > 0$ be the absolute constant from Lemma 2.4. For primes $p \leq x$ and integers $\ell \geq (C/\varepsilon)\sqrt{x} \log x$, Lemma 2.4 gives $|S_\ell(p)| < C\sqrt{x} \log x \leq \varepsilon\ell$, hence $P_\ell(x) = 0$. Thus

$$\sum_{\ell > x^{1/6-\kappa}} P_\ell(x) = \sum_{x^{1/6-\kappa} < \ell \leq (C/\varepsilon)\sqrt{x} \log x} P_\ell(x).$$

For any $\ell \geq x^{1/6-\kappa}$, (2.5) yields the bound

$$P_\ell(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \rho, \delta} (\log x) x^{\rho-1} \ell^{3\rho-3+\delta} (x + \ell^3) \quad (\ell \geq 2). \quad (2.11)$$

Split the summation at $\ell = x^{1/3}$.

Range I: $x^{1/6-\kappa} < \ell \leq x^{1/3}$. Here $x + \ell^3 \leq 2x$, so (2.11) gives

$$P_\ell(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \rho, \delta} (\log x) x^\rho \ell^{3\rho-3+\delta}.$$

Assuming $3\rho - 2 + \delta < 0$, we obtain

$$\sum_{x^{1/6-\kappa} < \ell \leq x^{1/3}} P_\ell(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \rho, \delta} (\log x) x^\rho \sum_{\ell > x^{1/6-\kappa}} \ell^{3\rho-3+\delta} \ll_{\varepsilon, \rho, \delta} (\log x) x^{\rho+(1/6-\kappa)(3\rho-2+\delta)}.$$

Range II: $x^{1/3} < \ell \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon}\sqrt{x} \log x$. Here $x + \ell^3 \leq 2\ell^3$, so (2.11) gives

$$P_\ell(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \rho, \delta} (\log x) x^{\rho-1} \ell^{3\rho+\delta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{x^{1/3} < \ell \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{x} \log x} P_\ell(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \rho, \delta} (\log x) x^{\rho-1} (\sqrt{x} \log x)^{1+3\rho+\delta} \ll_{\varepsilon, \rho, \delta} x^{-1/2+(5/2)\rho+\delta/2} (\log x)^{2+3\rho+\delta}.$$

Now choose $\rho, \delta > 0$ sufficiently small (depending on κ, β) so that

$$\rho + (1/6 - \kappa)(3\rho - 2 + \delta) < -\beta \quad \text{and} \quad -1/2 + (5/2)\rho + \delta/2 < -\beta.$$

This is possible since $\beta < \frac{1}{3} - 2\kappa$. Absorbing the logarithmic factors into $x^{o(1)}$ completes the proof of (2.10). \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin with some notations. For integers $m \geq 0$, we define

$$N_m(x) := \#\{p \leq x : f_\varepsilon(p) > m\} \quad \text{and} \quad a_m(x) := \frac{N_m(x)}{\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)}.$$

Using the double counting trick, we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p) = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_m(x). \quad (3.1)$$

3.1. Finite-dimensional equidistribution and limiting tail probabilities. The identity (3.1) shows that it suffices to understand the tail probabilities $a_m(x)$. For fixed m , the condition $f_\varepsilon(p) > m$ only depends on finitely many Legendre symbols $\chi_p(q)$ with $q \leq m$, so we begin by recording their equidistribution.

Lemma 3.1. *Let q_1, \dots, q_r be distinct primes and let $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then*

$$\#\left\{p \leq x : p \notin \{q_1, \dots, q_r\}, \chi_p(q_i) = \eta_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)\right\} = 2^{-r} \pi(x) + o(\pi(x)).$$

Proof. For odd primes $p \notin \{q_1, \dots, q_r\}$ we define

$$J(p) := \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1 + \eta_i \cdot \chi_p(q_i)}{2}.$$

It is easy to see that $J(p) = 1$ if $\chi_p(q_i) = \eta_i$ for all i and $J(p) = 0$ otherwise. Expanding the product gives

$$J(p) = 2^{-r} \sum_{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}} \left(\prod_{i \in S} \eta_i \right) \chi_p(q_S),$$

where $q_S = \prod_{i \in S} q_i$. For $S = \emptyset$ the summand is 1. For $S \neq \emptyset$, the map $p \mapsto \chi_p(q_S)$ is a nonprincipal quadratic Dirichlet character in the prime variable p . By the prime number theorem for Dirichlet characters [7, Corollary 5.29], we have

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \chi_p(q_S) = o(\pi(x)).$$

Summing $J(p)$ over primes $p \leq x$ and discarding the finitely many primes $p \in \{q_1, \dots, q_r\}$ gives

$$\sum_{p \leq x} J(p) = 2^{-r} \pi(x) + o(\pi(x)),$$

which is exactly the desired counting statement. \square

Fix $m \geq 1$, and list the primes $\leq m$ as

$$q_1 < q_2 < \cdots < q_{\pi(m)} \quad (\text{so } q_1 = 2 \text{ when } m \geq 2).$$

For an odd prime $p > m$ define

$$v_m(p) := (\chi_p(q_1), \chi_p(q_2), \dots, \chi_p(q_{\pi(m)})) \in \{\pm 1\}^{\pi(m)}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Fix $m \geq 1$. Let*

$$A_m = \left\{ \sigma \in \{\pm 1\}^{\pi(m)} : v_m(p) = \sigma \text{ and } f_\varepsilon(p) > m \text{ for some odd prime } p > m \right\}.$$

Then the limit

$$\hat{a}_m := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a_m(x) \tag{3.2}$$

exists and equals $\frac{|A_m|}{2^{\pi(m)}}$.

Proof. We first show that for every odd prime $p > m$,

$$f_\varepsilon(p) > m \iff v_m(p) \in A_m.$$

Indeed, if $p, p' > m$ are odd primes with $v_m(p) = v_m(p')$, then $\chi_p(q) = \chi_{p'}(q)$ for every prime $q \leq m$. By complete multiplicativity, this implies $\chi_p(n) = \chi_{p'}(n)$ for all integers $1 \leq n \leq m$, and hence $S_k(p) = S_k(p')$ for every $k \leq m$. In particular, $f_\varepsilon(p) > m$ if and only if $f_\varepsilon(p') > m$.

Now if $v_m(p) \in A_m$, then by definition of A_m , we see that there exists an odd prime $p' > m$ such that $v_m(p') = v_m(p)$ and $f_\varepsilon(p') > m$. By the discussion above, we have $f_\varepsilon(p) > m$. Conversely, if $f_\varepsilon(p) > m$, then $v_m(p) \in A_m$ by definition.

Therefore,

$$N_m(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in A_m} \#\{p \leq x : p > m, v_m(p) = \sigma\} + O(1),$$

where the $O(1)$ term accounts for the finitely many primes $p \leq m$. Applying Lemma 3.1 to each fixed $\sigma \in \{\pm 1\}^{\pi(m)}$ yields

$$\#\{p \leq x : p > m, v_m(p) = \sigma\} = \left(2^{-\pi(m)} + o(1)\right) \pi(x).$$

Summing over $\sigma \in A_m$ and dividing by $\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)$ gives

$$a_m(x) = \frac{|A_m|}{2^{\pi(m)}} + o(1),$$

so the limit exists and equals $|A_m|/2^{\pi(m)}$. □

3.2. Uniform tail bounds and completion of the proof.

Proposition 3.3. *We have*

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m > M} a_m(x) = 0.$$

Proof. Fix $\kappa \in (0, 1/6)$ and choose $\delta \in (0, 1)$ satisfying the condition given in the proof of Theorem 2.6. For any fixed M , take x sufficiently large so that $M < x^{1/6-\kappa}$ and thus

$$\sum_{m > M} a_m(x) = \sum_{M < m \leq x^{1/6-\kappa}} a_m(x) + \sum_{m > x^{1/6-\kappa}} a_m(x).$$

Note that if $f_\varepsilon(p) > m$, then $S_m(p) \geq \varepsilon m$. So we have a trivial inequality $a_m(x) \leq P_m(x)$. By (2.4), the first sum is bounded by

$$\sum_{M < m \leq x^{1/6-\kappa}} a_m(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \kappa, \delta} \sum_{m > M} m^{-3+\delta}.$$

The second sum is $o(1)$ by Theorem 2.6. Hence

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m > M} a_m(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \kappa, \delta} \sum_{m > M} m^{-3+\delta}.$$

Letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ gives the claim. \square

We are now ready to present the following.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first show that $\sum_{m \geq 0} \widehat{a}_m < \infty$. In fact, we fix suitable constants $\kappa \in (0, 1/6)$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$. For each fixed $m > 0$, taking x sufficiently large so that $m \leq x^{1/6-\kappa}$ and using (2.4) with the trivial inequality $a_m(x) \leq P_m(x)$, we obtain

$$\widehat{a}_m = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a_m(x) \leq \sup_{x \geq m^{6/(1-6\kappa)}} a_m(x) \ll_{\varepsilon, \kappa, \delta} m^{-3+\delta}.$$

Then the convergence of the series $\sum_{m \geq 0} \widehat{a}_m$ follows. We write $c_\varepsilon := \sum_{m \geq 0} \widehat{a}_m$.

Fix $M \geq 0$. Splitting (3.1) gives

$$\frac{1}{\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p) = \sum_{m=0}^M a_m(x) + \sum_{m > M} a_m(x).$$

Taking $\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty}$ and using nonnegativity yields

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p) \geq \sum_{m=0}^M \widehat{a}_m.$$

Letting $M \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p) \geq \sum_{m \geq 0} \widehat{a}_m = c_\varepsilon.$$

For the \limsup , Proposition 3.3 implies that for every $\eta > 0$ there exists M such that $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m > M} a_m(x) \leq \eta$. Hence

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p) \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^M a_m(x) + \eta = \sum_{m=0}^M \widehat{a}_m + \eta \leq c_\varepsilon + \eta.$$

Since $\eta > 0$ is arbitrary, we get $\limsup \leq c_\varepsilon$. Combining with the \liminf gives

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi_{\text{odd}}(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p) = c_\varepsilon.$$

This implies that $\sum_{p \leq x} f_\varepsilon(p) \sim c_\varepsilon \frac{x}{\log x}$ by the prime number theorem. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Terence Tao for valuable comments on the Erdős Problems website, which significantly improved an earlier version of this paper. They are also grateful to Thomas Bloom for founding and maintaining the Erdős Problems website.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. F. Bloom, Erdős Problem #981, <https://www.erdosproblems.com/981>, accessed 2025-12-22.
- [2] P. Erdős, Some recent advances and current problems in number theory, *Lectures on Modern Mathematics*, Vol. III (1965), 196–244.
- [3] P. D. T. A. Elliott, A conjecture of Erdős concerning character sums, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **72 = Indag. Math.** **31** (1969), 164–171.
- [4] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 6th ed., Oxford University Press, 2008.
- [5] D. R. Heath-Brown, A mean value estimate for real character sums, *Acta Arith.* **72**:3 (1995), 235–275.
- [6] A. Hussain and Y. Lamzouri, The limiting distribution of Legendre paths, *J. Éc. polytech. Math.* **11** (2024), 589–611.
- [7] H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 53, AMS, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [8] Y. Lamzouri, The distribution of large quadratic character sums and applications, *Algebra & Number Theory* **18** (2024), no. 11, 2091–2131.
- [9] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, Mean values of character sums, *Canad. J. Math.* **31** (1979), 476–487.
- [10] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory I: Classical Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **97**, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, XI'AN JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, XI'AN 710049, P. R. CHINA
Email address: tang_quanyu@163.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410082, P. R. CHINA
Email address: zhanghaomath@hnu.edu.cn