

Propagation of space-time singularities for perturbed harmonic oscillators

Kenichi ITO^{*} & Tomoya TAGAWA[†]

Abstract

We discuss propagation of space-time singularities for the quantum harmonic oscillator with time-dependent metric and potential perturbations. Reformulating the *quasi-homogeneous wave front set* according to Lascar (1977) in a semiclassical manner, we obtain a characterization of its appearance in comparison with the unperturbed system. The idea of our proof is based on the argument of Nakamura (2009), which was originally devised for the analysis of *spatial* singularities of the Schrödinger equation, however, the application is non-trivial since the time is no more a parameter, but takes a part in the base variables.

Keywords: Schrödinger equation, harmonic oscillator, propagation of singularities, wave front set

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: Primary 35Q40; Secondary 35S05, 81Q20

Contents

1	Settings and results	2
1.1	Perturbed harmonic oscillator	2
1.2	Quasi-homogeneous wave front set	3
1.3	Classical high-energy asymptotics	5
1.4	Main result	5
1.5	Strategy of the proof	7

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, 1-1, Rokkodai, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan. E-mail: ito-ken@math.kobe-u.ac.jp.

[†]Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8914, Japan. E-mail: tagawa-tomoya1212@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

2	Classical mechanics	8
2.1	Reduction to simpler Hamilton equations	9
2.2	Classical Mourre-type estimates	10
2.3	Conclusions	16
3	Proof	18
3.1	Construction of symbol	18
3.2	Proof of the main result	20

1 Settings and results

1.1 Perturbed harmonic oscillator

Let $\mathbb{R}^{1+d} = \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^d$ with $d \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. In this paper we investigate space-time singularities of a solution $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ to the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = -iHu, \quad u(0, \cdot) = \phi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (1.1)$$

with H being the Schrödinger operator with a perturbed harmonic potential

$$H = \frac{1}{2}p_i a_{ij}(t, x)p_j + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + V(t, x). \quad (1.2)$$

Here $p_i = -i\partial/\partial x_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$, and the *Einstein summation convention* is adopted without tensorial superscripts. We assume that the perturbation is time-dependent, and of *short-range* type as follows. Let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$.

Assumption 1.1. Let $a_{ij}, V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+d}; \mathbb{R})$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, d$, and assume the following.

1. For each $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$ the matrix $(a_{ij}(t, x))_{i,j=1,\dots,d}$ is symmetric and positive definite.
2. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d) = (\alpha_0, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0^d$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ and $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$

$$|\partial^{\tilde{\alpha}}(a_{ij}(t, x) - \delta_{ij})| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{-1-|\alpha|-\epsilon}, \quad |\partial^{\tilde{\alpha}}V(t, x)| \leq C\langle x \rangle^{1-|\alpha|-\epsilon},$$

where δ is the Kronecker delta, and $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$.

Remark 1.2. The above assumption corresponds to the so-called short-range condition for the harmonic oscillator in the high-energy regime. It actually suffices to assume these estimates locally in time, but for simplicity we let them be global.

Under Assumption 1.1 the unique solvability of the Cauchy problem (1.1) is well-known for some more restrictive initial data.

Theorem 1.3 ([18, Theorem 6 and Remark (a)]). Suppose Assumption 1.1, and set

$$\mathcal{D}_\pm = \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d); (1 + x^2 + p^2)^{\pm 1/2} \phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)\},$$

respectively. Then there exists a unique family $\{U(t, s)\}_{t, s \in \mathbb{R}}$ of unitary operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the following properties.

1. For any $t, s, r \in \mathbb{R}$, $U(t, s)U(s, r) = U(t, r)$.
2. The mapping $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}_+)$, $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)$ is strongly continuous, where $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}_+)$ denotes the space of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{D}_+ .
3. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_+$ the mapping $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_-$, $(t, s) \mapsto U(t, s)\phi$ is continuously differentiable with partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} U(t, s)\phi = -iHU(t, s)\phi, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s} U(t, s)\phi = iU(t, s)H\phi.$$

Throughout the paper we consider $U(t, s)$ only for $s = 0$, and thus denote $U(t) = U(t, 0)$ for short. Now for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_+$ we are going to discuss the singularities of $u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ given by

$$u(t, x) = (U(t)\phi)(x). \quad (1.3)$$

To be more precise, we characterize them in terms of those of the unperturbed solution $u_{\text{os}} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ given by

$$u_{\text{os}}(t, x) = (e^{-itH_{\text{os}}}\phi)(x), \quad H_{\text{os}} = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2. \quad (1.4)$$

1.2 Quasi-homogeneous wave front set

For the analysis of space-time singularities of the Schrödinger equation (1.1) it is more natural and appropriate to introduce the *quasi-homogeneous wave front set* following Lascar [8]. Recall that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the *Weyl quantization* of a symbol $a \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is defined through the formula

$$a^W(z, p_z)v(z) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} e^{i(z-w)\zeta} a\left(\frac{1}{2}(z+w), \zeta\right) v(w) dw d\zeta, \quad v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Definition 1.4. For any $v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ define the *quasi-homogeneous wave front set of order $\theta \in (0, \infty)$* :

$$\text{WF}_\theta^{\text{qh}}(v) \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times \mathbb{S}^d$$

as the complement of the set of all $(s, y, \sigma, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times \mathbb{S}^d$ such that there exists $a \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)})$ satisfying $a(s, y, \sigma, \eta) \neq 0$ and

$$\|a^W(t, x, h^\theta p_t, h p_x)v\|_{L_{t,x}^2} = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow +0.$$

It is clear that $\text{WF}_1^{\text{qh}}(v)$ coincides with the ordinary wave front set $\text{WF}(v)$. However, $\text{WF}_\theta^{\text{qh}}(v)$ for $\theta > 1$ refines $\text{WF}(u)$ at the north and the south poles of the cosphere bundle $S^*\mathbb{R}^{1+d} \cong \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times \mathbb{S}^d$, while it degrades the rest down to the equator. Let us state it more precisely. Set

$$\mathcal{P} = \{(t, x, \pm 1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times \mathbb{S}^d\}, \quad \mathcal{E} = \{(t, x, 0, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times \mathbb{S}^d\}.$$

Proposition 1.5. For any $v \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ and $0 < \rho < \theta < \infty$ the following holds.

1. If $\text{WF}_\theta^{\text{qh}}(v) \cap \mathcal{E}^c \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{WF}_\rho^{\text{qh}}(v) \cap \mathcal{P} \neq \emptyset$.
2. If $\text{WF}_\rho^{\text{qh}}(v) \cap \mathcal{P}^c \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{WF}_\theta^{\text{qh}}(v) \cap \mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$.

Remarks 1.6. 1. These assertions exactly say that $\text{WF}_\theta^{\text{qh}}(v)$ refines $\text{WF}_\rho^{\text{qh}}(v)$ at \mathcal{P} , and $\text{WF}_\rho^{\text{qh}}(v)$ refines $\text{WF}_\theta^{\text{qh}}(v)$ at \mathcal{E} . In the terminology of Melrose [9], $\text{WF}_\theta^{\text{qh}}(v)$ is simultaneously a *blow-up* and a *blow-down* of $\text{WF}_\rho^{\text{qh}}(v)$ at \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{E} , respectively.

2. We could further refine the assertion 1 in terms of the northern/southern hemisphere and the north/south pole, respectively, and the assertion 2 in terms of longitudes, but we omit them.

The proof of Proposition 1.5 is not difficult, and we omit it.

We clearly see that the principal part of the Schrödinger equation (1.1) is *quasi-homogeneous* in the t - and the x -derivatives, and we should investigate the quasi-homogeneous wave front set of order $\theta = 2$ for a solution u . In fact, $\theta = 2$ is the critical order in the following sense.

Theorem 1.7. Suppose Assumption 1.1, let $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_+$, and let $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ be given by (1.3). Then

$$\text{WF}_\theta^{\text{qh}}(u) \subset \begin{cases} \mathcal{P} & \text{if } \theta \in (0, 2), \\ \{(t, x, -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2 a_{ij}(t, x) \xi_i \xi_j, \mu \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times \mathbb{S}^d\} & \text{if } \theta = 2, \\ \mathcal{E} & \text{if } \theta \in (2, \infty), \end{cases}$$

where $\mu = \mu(t, x, \xi)$ is a positive normalization factor satisfying

$$\frac{1}{4}\mu^4(a_{ij}(t, x)\xi_i\xi_j)^2 + \mu^2|\xi|^2 = 1. \quad (1.5)$$

For the proof of Theorem 1.7 we have only to reformulate the *microlocal ellipticity* associated with a semiclassical quantization $a^W(t, x, h^\theta p_t, h p_x)$ for each given $\theta > 0$, and to construct a *parametrix* in the standard manner. See, e.g., a textbook by Martinez [12]. Let us omit the details.

1.3 Classical high-energy asymptotics

The propagation of singularities for (1.1) is described by the high-energy limit of the corresponding classical mechanics with time-dependent classical Hamiltonian

$$K(t, x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2}a_{ij}(t, x)\xi_i\xi_j + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2 + V(t, x), \quad (t, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)}.$$

However, due to our short-range assumption we can drop the potential and even the time-dependence, so that it in fact reduces to a classical Hamiltonian

$$K_s(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2}a_{ij}(s, x)\xi_i\xi_j, \quad (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}, \quad (1.6)$$

for fixed $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us take a closer look at (1.6). Denote by

$$(x(t), \xi(t)) = (x(t; s, y, \eta), \xi(t; s, y, \eta)) \quad (1.7)$$

a solution to the Hamilton equations

$$\dot{x}_i = a_{ij}(s, x)\xi_j, \quad \dot{\xi}_i = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_i a_{jk}(s, x))\xi_j\xi_k \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d \quad (1.8)$$

with initial condition $(x(s), \xi(s)) = (y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$.

Definition 1.8. Initial data $(s, y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2d}$ is said to be *non-trapping* if

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} |x(0; s, y, \lambda\eta)| = \infty.$$

In addition, we denote by $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+2d}$ the set of all non-trapping initial data.

Our characterization of the quasi-homogeneous wave front set for (1.3) involves the following classical scattering data in the high-energy limit.

Proposition 1.9 ([14, Lemma 3]). Suppose Assumption 1.1. Then $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+2d}$ is an open subset. Moreover, for any $(s, y, \eta) \in \Omega$ there exist the limits

$$\begin{aligned} x_+(s, y, \eta) &:= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (x(0, s, y, \lambda\eta) + s\xi(0, s, y, \lambda\eta)), \\ \xi_+(s, y, \eta) &:= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-1}\xi(0, s, y, \lambda\eta). \end{aligned}$$

1.4 Main result

Now we present our main result that characterizes the quasi-homogeneous wave front set of a solution u to (1.1). Note that by Theorem 1.7 we may only consider the critical order $\theta = 2$. Moreover, as in Theorem 1.7 again, we can parametrize all possible points of $\text{WF}_2^{\text{qh}}(u)$ by $(t, x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$, setting

$$\Pi(t, x, \xi) = (t, x, -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2 a_{ij}(t, x)\xi_i\xi_j, \mu\xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times \mathbb{S}^d.$$

Here μ is the positive normalization factor satisfying (1.5), or

$$\mu = \mu(t, x, \xi) = \left(\sqrt{2} \left([\xi^4 + (a_{ij}(t, x) \xi_i \xi_j)^2]^{1/2} + \xi^2 \right) \right)^{-1/2}.$$

In particular, for the unperturbed case we denote it by

$$\Pi_{\text{os}}(t, x, \xi) = \left(t, x, -(2^{1/2} - 1), (2^{3/2} - 2)^{1/2} \xi / |\xi| \right) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times \mathbb{S}^d.$$

Theorem 1.10. Suppose Assumption 1.1. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_+$ let $u, u_{\text{os}} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ be from (1.3), (1.4), respectively, and for any $(s, y, \eta) \in \Omega$ with $s \in (-\pi, \pi)$ let

$$x_+ = x_+(s, y, \eta), \quad \xi_+ = \xi_+(s, y, \eta)$$

be from Proposition 1.9. Then one has

$$\Pi(s, y, \eta) \in \text{WF}_2^{\text{qh}}(u) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \Pi_{\text{os}}(s, x_+, \xi_+) \in \text{WF}_2^{\text{qh}}(u_{\text{os}}).$$

Remarks 1.11. 1. Theorem 1.10 may be seen as a characterization of $\text{WF}_2^{\text{qh}}(u)$ in terms of the initial state ϕ , since u_{os} has an explicit integral representation involving ϕ and the Mehler kernel. This essentially differs from the result by Lascar [8], which discussed two points on a bicharacteristic curve at the same time $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

2. For the Schrödinger equation with a decaying potential, Fujii–Ito [3] obtained a third equivalent condition that is more directly written by ϕ , without going through the free propagator. In addition, using this condition, they reproduced the result of Lascar [8]. We could not verify the corresponding results for a perturbed harmonic oscillator. We also refer to a recent work by Gell-Redman–Gomes–Hassell [4] for a relevant result on space-time singularities.

Propagation of singularities for the Schrödinger equation is different from the wave equation in that it has infinite propagation speed. Thus the standard method for the wave equation does not work for the Schrödinger equation. Lascar [8] actually developed a class of pseudodifferential operators that suited PDEs with quasi-homogeneous principal parts, however for the Schrödinger equation he could only compare the space-time singularities of the same time component.

After Lascar the main focus has shifted to *spatial* singularities, or those of time-slices, of a solution. Among others, for the Schrödinger equation with a decaying potential, complete characterizations of spatial singularities were given by Hassell–Wunsch [5] and Nakamura [14]. The method of Nakamura [14] was applied to the harmonic oscillator with short-range perturbations by Mao–Nakamura [11], and further with long-range perturbations by Mao [10]. As for singularities for

the harmonic oscillators, see also Wunsch [17] for the trace formula, Doi [1] for perturbations of linear growth, Rodino–Trapasso [15] for the Gabor wave front set, and Ito–Kato [7] for the wave packet transform.

The present paper focuses back on *space-time* singularities for the harmonic oscillator. We are directly motivated by an ongoing project due to Fujii–Ito [3] which deals with a decaying potential. Since Lascar [8], we are not aware of any other works investigating the space-time singularities for the Schrödinger equation, except for a recent work by Gell-Redman–Gomes–Hassell [4]. Our arguments at last boil down to those similar to [14, 11], however there are some non-trivial difficulty before the reduction. See the discussion at the end of Section 1.5.

Finally, we remark that Fujii–Ito [3] obtain more direct description of space-time singularities in terms of the initial state. They employ a variant of the wave front set, which in some sense refines the *homogeneous wave front set* by Nakamura [13], or the *quadratic scattering wave front set* by Wunsch [16]. As for such these variants of the wave front set we refer to Ito [6], Fukushima [2] and Rodino–Trapasso [15].

1.5 Strategy of the proof

Finally we close this section with strategy of the proof for Theorem 1.10, which would motivate the arguments of the following sections.

Fix any non-trapping initial data $(s, y, \eta) \in \Omega$, and let $x_+ = x_+(s, y, \eta)$ and $\xi_+ = \xi_+(s, y, \eta)$ be from Proposition 1.9. Assume $\Pi(s, y, \eta) \notin \text{WF}_2^{\text{qh}}(u)$. Then by definition there exists $a_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)})$ such that $a_0(s, y, -\frac{1}{2}a_{ij}(s, y)\eta_i\eta_j, \eta) \neq 0$, and that

$$\|a_0^{\text{W}}(t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x)u\|_{L_{t,x}^2} = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow +0.$$

Thus, if we can construct $a_1 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)})$ such that $a_1(s, x_+, -\frac{1}{2}|\xi_+|^2, \xi_+) \neq 0$, and that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|a_1^{\text{W}}(t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x)u_{\text{os}}\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 \\ & \leq \|a_0^{\text{W}}(t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x)u\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 + \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow +0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

then we obtain $\Pi_{\text{os}}(s, x_+, \xi_+) \notin \text{WF}_2^{\text{qh}}(u_{\text{os}})$, and the proof is done. The converse is proved by the same manner.

In either way, we have to “connect” two operators $|a_0^{\text{W}}(t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x)|^2$ and $|a_1^{\text{W}}(t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x)|^2$ with the desired properties. For that we are going to interpolate them with an operator-valued function

$$B(\kappa) = b^{\text{W}}(\kappa, t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x). \tag{1.10}$$

Now, let us set

$$I(\kappa) = \langle e^{-i\kappa t H_{\text{os}}} U((1-\kappa)t) \phi, B(\kappa) e^{-i\kappa t H_{\text{os}}} U((1-\kappa)t) \phi \rangle_{L^2_{t,x}}, \quad (1.11)$$

and solve

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa} I(\kappa) = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) \quad \text{uniformly in } \kappa \in [0, 1].$$

By direct computations we can write

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa} I(\kappa) = \langle e^{-i\kappa t H_{\text{os}}} U((1-\kappa)t) \phi, \mathbf{D}B(\kappa) e^{-i\kappa t H_{\text{os}}} U((1-\kappa)t) \phi \rangle_{L^2_{t,x}}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}B(\kappa) &= \frac{d}{d\kappa} B(\kappa) + i[L(\kappa), B(\kappa)], \\ L(\kappa) &= -t \left\{ e^{-i\kappa t H_{\text{os}}} H((1-\kappa)t) e^{i\kappa t H_{\text{os}}} - H_{\text{os}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $H(t)$ is an operator defined by the Hamiltonian H with t fixed. Hence it reduces to the equation

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa} B(\kappa) + i[L(\kappa), B(\kappa)] = \mathcal{O}(h^\infty) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow +0, \quad (1.12)$$

and we will construct a symbol $b(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)$ of $B(\kappa)$ as an asymptotic sum. We note that the operator $L(\kappa)$ has an exact symbol

$$\begin{aligned} l(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{2}t \left\{ a_{ij}((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) - \delta_{ij} \right\} \\ &\quad \cdot (-\sin(-\kappa t)x_i + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_i)(-\sin(-\kappa t)x_j + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_j) \\ &\quad - tV((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi), \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

cf. [11], and we are led to study the Hamiltonian flow associated with l .

Although we will further reduce it to a flow similar to Mao–Nakamura [11], we emphasize that our reduction procedure is quite different from theirs. In the analysis of spatial singularities the time t is an *external* parameter, and we can directly use it to connect two operators. However, as for the space-time singularities, t is involved in integrations as a base variable, and we can no more use it as a parameter. Thus we have to introduce a new extra parameter κ as in (1.11), which we consider is non-trivial. In addition, our potential is time-dependent, so that the analysis of the classical mechanics gets more intricate.

2 Classical mechanics

In this section we study the asymptotics of a solution to the Hamilton equations for the Hamiltonian l from (1.13). More precisely, we consider

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa} t = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\kappa}x_i &= -t(a_{ij}((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) - \delta_{ij}) \\
&\quad \cos(-\kappa t)(-\sin(-\kappa t)x_j + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_j) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}t(\partial_i a_{jk})((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) \sin(-\kappa t) \\
&\quad (-\sin(-\kappa t)x_j + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_j)(-\sin(-\kappa t)x_k + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_k) \\
&\quad - t \sin(-\kappa t)(\partial_i V)((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\kappa}\tau &= \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij}((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) - \delta_{ij}) \\
&\quad (-\sin(-\kappa t)x_i + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_i)(-\sin(-\kappa t)x_j + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_j) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(1-\kappa)t(\partial_t a_{ij})((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) \\
&\quad (-\sin(-\kappa t)x_i + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_i)(-\sin(-\kappa t)x_j + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_j) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}t\kappa(\partial_k a_{ij})((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) \\
&\quad (-\sin(-\kappa t)x_k + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_k)(-\sin(-\kappa t)x_i + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_i) \\
&\quad (-\sin(-\kappa t)x_j + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_j) \\
&\quad - t\kappa(a_{ij}((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) - \delta_{ij}) \\
&\quad (-\cos(-\kappa t)x_i + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi_i)(-\sin(-\kappa t)x_j + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_j) \\
&\quad + V((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) \\
&\quad + (1-\kappa)t(\partial_t V)((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) \\
&\quad - \kappa t(\partial_i V)((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) \\
&\quad (-\sin(-\kappa t)x_i + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_i),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\kappa}\xi_i &= \frac{1}{2}t((\partial_i a_{jk})((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi)) \cos(-\kappa t) \\
&\quad (-\sin(-\kappa t)x_j + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_j)(-\sin(-\kappa t)x_k + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_k) \\
&\quad + t(a_{ij}((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) - \delta_{ij}) \\
&\quad (-\sin(-\kappa t))(-\sin(-\kappa t)x_j + \cos(-\kappa t)\xi_j) \\
&\quad + t(\partial_i V)((1-\kappa)t, \cos(-\kappa t)x + \sin(-\kappa t)\xi) \cos(-\kappa t).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

We solve the equations (2.1)–(2.4) with initial data

$$(t(0), x(0), \tau(0), \xi(0)) = (s, y, \lambda^2\sigma, \lambda\eta), \tag{2.5}$$

and investigate the limit of a solution as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

2.1 Reduction to simpler Hamilton equations

Let us first reduce the equations (2.1)–(2.4) to simpler ones. It is trivial from (2.1) and (2.5) that $t \equiv s$. Substitute it into (2.2)–(2.4), and change the dependent variables as

$$z(\kappa) = \cos(-\kappa s)x(\kappa) + \sin(-\kappa s)\xi(\kappa),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma(\kappa) &= -\sin(-\kappa s)x(\kappa) + \cos(-\kappa s)\xi(\kappa), \\
\rho(\kappa) &= \tau(\kappa) + (1 - \kappa)\left(\frac{1}{2}a_{ij}((1 - \kappa)s, z(\kappa))\gamma_i(\kappa)\gamma_j(\kappa) + V((1 - \kappa)s, z(\kappa))\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\kappa}{2}|\gamma|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|z|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we actually obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa}z_i = -sa_{ij}((1 - \kappa)s, z)\gamma_j, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa}\gamma_i = \frac{1}{2}s(\partial_i a_{jk}((1 - \kappa)s, z))\gamma_j\gamma_k + s(\partial_i V)((1 - \kappa)s, z) + sz_i(\kappa), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa}\rho = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

The equation (2.8) for ρ is trivially solved, and we can obtain the following expression for τ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\kappa) &= \lambda^2 \left(\sigma + \frac{1}{2}a_{ij}(s, y)\eta_i\eta_j - \frac{\kappa}{2} \left| \frac{\gamma(\kappa)}{\lambda} \right|^2 \right) \\
&\quad - (1 - \kappa)\left(\frac{1}{2}a_{ij}((1 - \kappa)s, z(\kappa))\gamma_i(\kappa)\gamma_j(\kappa) + V((1 - \kappa)s, z(\kappa))\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}|z(\kappa)|^2 + V(s, y) + \frac{1}{2}|y|^2.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Thus it suffices to consider the equations (2.6) and (2.7) for (z, γ) .

2.2 Classical Mourre-type estimates

Let us denote by

$$(z(\kappa), \gamma(\kappa)) = (z(\kappa, s, y, \lambda\eta), \gamma(\kappa, s, y, \lambda\eta))$$

a solution to the equations (2.6) and (2.7) with initial data

$$(z(0), \gamma(0)) = (y, \lambda\eta),$$

and we investigate its asymptotic behavior as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. For that we further set

$$(z_\lambda(\kappa, s, y, \eta), \gamma_\lambda(\kappa, s, y, \eta)) = (z(\lambda^{-1}\kappa, s, y, \lambda\eta), \lambda^{-1}\gamma(\lambda^{-1}\kappa, s, y, \lambda\eta)).$$

Then they satisfy

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa}z_{\lambda,i} = -sa_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)s, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,j}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\kappa}\gamma_{\lambda,i} &= \frac{1}{2}s(\partial_i a_{jk}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)s, z_\lambda))\gamma_{\lambda,j}\gamma_{\lambda,k} \\
&\quad + s\lambda^{-2}(\partial_i V)((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)s, z_\lambda) + s\lambda^{-2}z_{\lambda,i}(\kappa)
\end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

with

$$(z_\lambda(0, s, y, \eta), \gamma_\lambda(0, s, y, \eta)) = (y, \eta).$$

The equations (2.10) and (2.11) are obviously the the Hamilton equations for the Hamiltonian

$$H_\lambda(\kappa, s, z, \gamma) = -\frac{1}{2}s a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)s, z)\gamma_i\gamma_j - \frac{1}{2}s\lambda^{-2}|z|^2 - s\lambda^{-2}V((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)s, z).$$

The next proposition claims that, for $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently large, the non-trapping condition implies that z_λ remains away from the origin for relatively small κ .

Proposition 2.1. Let (s, y, η) be non-trapping in the sense of Definition 1.8. Then there exist $0 < \delta < 1$, $\lambda_0 \geq 1$, $c_1, c_2 > 0$, and a neighborhood $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ of (s, y, η) such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, $\kappa \in [0, \delta\lambda]$, and $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}$

$$|z_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| \geq c_1\kappa - c_2.$$

Proof. Throughout the proof, we use $C_\star > 0$ to denote a generic constant independent of \tilde{s} and λ . We note that, for the purpose of the proof, we may assume, for the time being, that $|\tilde{s} - s| < 1$, $|\tilde{y} - y| < 1$, $|\tilde{\eta} - \eta| < 1$ and further restrictions on $\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}$ will be imposed later as needed.

Step 1. We first deduce a rough kinetic energy estimate. Let us differentiate

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{d\kappa} (a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} + \lambda^{-2}|z_\lambda|^2) \\ &= -\tilde{s}\lambda^{-1}(\partial_t a_{ij})((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} \\ & \quad + a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)(2\tilde{s}\lambda^{-1}\partial_i V)(\lambda^{-1}\gamma_{\lambda,j}). \end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality this implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{d}{d\kappa} (a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} + \lambda^{-2}|z_\lambda|^2) \right| \\ & \leq C_1\lambda^{-1} (a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} + \lambda^{-2}|z_\lambda|^2) + C_1\lambda^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that using the Gronwall inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} + \lambda^{-2}|z_\lambda|^2 \\ & \geq e^{-C_1\lambda^{-1}\kappa} (a_{ij}(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y})\tilde{\eta}_i\tilde{\eta}_j + \lambda^{-2}|\tilde{y}|^2 + \lambda^{-1}) - \lambda^{-1}, \\ & a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} + \lambda^{-2}|z_\lambda|^2 \\ & \leq e^{C_1\lambda^{-1}\kappa} (a_{ij}(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y})\tilde{\eta}_i\tilde{\eta}_j + \lambda^{-2}|\tilde{y}|^2 + \lambda^{-1}) - \lambda^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by letting $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ be large enough and choosing $c_1, c_2 > 0$ appropriately, it follows that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $\kappa \in [0, \lambda]$

$$0 < c_1 \leq a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} + \lambda^{-2}|z_\lambda|^2 \leq c_2 < \infty. \quad (2.12)$$

We remark that due to (2.10) and (2.12) we in particular have for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $\kappa \in [0, \lambda]$

$$|z_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| \leq C_2 \kappa, \quad |\gamma_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| \leq C_2. \quad (2.13)$$

Step 2. We next deduce the classical Mourre-type estimate. We differentiate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{d\kappa^2} |z_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|^2 &= 2\lambda \frac{d}{d\kappa} ((-\tilde{s})a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)z_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j}) \\ &= 2\tilde{s}\lambda^{-1}(\partial_t a_{ij})((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} \\ &\quad + 2\tilde{s}^2(\partial_k a_{ij})((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)a_{kl}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,l}z_{\lambda,j} \\ &\quad + 2\tilde{s}^2 a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)(a_{ik}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda) - \delta_{ik})\gamma_{\lambda,j}\gamma_{\lambda,k} \\ &\quad + 2\tilde{s}^2 a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} \\ &\quad - \tilde{s}^2 a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\partial_j a_{kl}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,k}\gamma_{\lambda,l}z_{\lambda,i} \\ &\quad - 2\tilde{s}\lambda^{-2} a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)z_{\lambda,i}(\partial_j V) \\ &\quad - 2\tilde{s}\lambda^{-2} a_{ij}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)z_{\lambda,i}z_{\lambda,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.13) together with Assumption 1.1, and retaking $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ larger if necessary, we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{d\kappa^2} |z_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|^2 \geq 2\tilde{s}^2 c_1 - C_3 \langle z_\lambda \rangle^{-1-\epsilon} - C_3 \lambda^{-2} |z_\lambda|^2. \quad (2.14)$$

Hence, by letting $0 < \delta_1 < 1$ be sufficiently small, for any $\kappa \in [0, \delta_1 \lambda]$, we obtain from (2.13) and (2.14) that

$$\frac{d^2}{d\kappa^2} |z_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|^2 \geq c_3 - C_3 \langle z_\lambda \rangle^{-1-\epsilon} \quad (2.15)$$

with some constant $c_3 > 0$.

Step 3. Here we prove that, letting $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ be even larger if necessary, we can deduce that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and $\kappa \in [0, \delta \lambda]$, and for any $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})$ in a suitably chosen neighborhood $\tilde{\Omega}$ of (s, y, η) , we have

$$|z_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| \geq c_4 \kappa - C_4,$$

with some constants $c_4, C_4 > 0$. To this end, recall that (s, y, η) satisfies the non-trapping condition, and let $(x(\kappa, s, y, \eta), \xi(\kappa, s, y, \eta))$ be the solution to (1.7). Then, at $\kappa = 0$, the initial data of $(x((1 - \kappa)s, s, y, \eta), \xi((1 - \kappa)s, s, y, \eta))$ coincides with that of $(z_\lambda(\kappa), \gamma_\lambda(\kappa))$. Furthermore, the trajectories $(x(\kappa), \xi(\kappa))$ and $(z_\lambda(\kappa), \gamma_\lambda(\kappa))$ satisfy the Hamiltonian systems (1.8) and (2.10)–(2.11), respectively. Consequently, using the continuity of solutions to ODEs with respect to the parameters λ, s and the initial values y, η , we can choose $\lambda_0 \geq 1$ sufficiently large, a sufficiently small neighborhood $\tilde{\Omega}$ of (s, y, η) , and $\kappa_0 > 0$ so that the following inequality hold

$$|z_\lambda(\kappa_0, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|^{1+\epsilon} \geq (\frac{1}{2}c_3 C_3^{-1})^{-1}, \quad (\frac{d}{d\kappa} |z_\lambda|)(\kappa_0, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) > 0 \quad (2.16)$$

for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}$. Hence, by (2.15) and (2.16), we obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{d\kappa^2} |z_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} c_3 > 0$$

for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, $\kappa \in [\kappa_0, \delta\lambda]$, and $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}$. Thus by a standard convexity argument, we obtain the assertion. \square

Next we investigate the asymptotic behavior of $(x(\kappa), \xi(\kappa))$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Recalling the definitions of $z(\kappa)$, $\gamma(\kappa)$, $z_\lambda(\kappa)$, and $\gamma_\lambda(\kappa)$, and using the scaling relation, we remark that we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x(\kappa), \xi(\kappa)) &= \exp(s\kappa H_{\text{os}})(z_\lambda(\lambda\kappa), \lambda\gamma_\lambda(\lambda\kappa)) \\ &= \left(\text{pr}_1 \left[\exp(s\kappa\lambda H_{\text{os},\lambda})(z_\lambda(\lambda\kappa), \gamma_\lambda(\lambda\kappa)) \right], \right. \\ &\quad \left. \lambda \text{pr}_2 \left[\exp(s\kappa\lambda H_{\text{os},\lambda})(z_\lambda(\lambda\kappa), \gamma_\lambda(\lambda\kappa)) \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Here $\exp(tH_{\text{os}})$ and $\exp(tH_{\text{os},\lambda})$ denote the Hamiltonian flows generated by H_{os} and $H_{\text{os},\lambda} = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{-2}x^2$, respectively, and for $i = 1, 2$, $\text{pr}_i : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the projection onto the i -th component. We first consider the behavior of $(x(\kappa), \xi(\kappa))$ for short times in κ , that is, for κ in a small interval $[0, \delta]$.

Proposition 2.2. Assume that (s, y, η) is non-trapping in the sense of Definition 1.8. Fix $0 < \delta < 1$, and let $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ be as in Proposition 2.1. Then, for any $\theta \in (0, \delta]$ and $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}$, the following limit holds:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \exp(\tilde{s}(\theta\lambda)H_{\text{os},\lambda})(z_\lambda(\theta\lambda, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}), \gamma_\lambda(\theta\lambda, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})) = (x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}), \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})),$$

where x_+ and ξ_+ are those defined in Proposition 1.9. Moreover, this convergence is uniform on $\tilde{\Omega}$ and $\theta \in (0, \delta]$.

Proof. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{z}(\kappa), \widehat{\gamma}(\kappa)) &= (\widehat{z}(\kappa, \lambda, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}), \widehat{\gamma}(\kappa, \lambda, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})) \\ &= \exp(\tilde{s}(\kappa\lambda)H_{\text{os},\lambda})(z_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}), \gamma_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})) \\ &= \left(\cos\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) z_\lambda(\kappa) + \lambda \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \gamma_\lambda(\kappa), \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) z_\lambda(\kappa) + \cos\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \gamma_\lambda(\kappa) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

We first show that

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{z}(\kappa) = O(\langle \kappa \rangle^{-1-\epsilon}), \quad \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{\gamma}(\kappa) = O(\langle \kappa \rangle^{-2-\epsilon})$$

for $\kappa \in [0, \delta\lambda]$. By Assumption 1.1 direct computations yield the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{z_m}(\kappa) &= \cos\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \left((-\tilde{s})(a_{mj}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda) - \delta_{mj})\gamma_{\lambda,j} \right) \\
&\quad + \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \left(\tilde{s}\lambda^{-1}(\partial_m V)((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda) \right) \\
&\quad + \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{s}\lambda(\partial_m a_{ij})((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} \right) \\
&= O(\langle z_\lambda \rangle^{-1-\epsilon} + \lambda^{-1}\langle z_\lambda \rangle^{-\epsilon} + \lambda\langle z_\lambda \rangle^{-2-\epsilon}), \\
\frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{\gamma_m}(\kappa) &= -\lambda^{-1} \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \left((-\tilde{s})(a_{mj}((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda) - \delta_{mj})\gamma_{\lambda,j} \right) \\
&\quad + \cos\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{s}(\partial_m a_{ij})((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda)\gamma_{\lambda,i}\gamma_{\lambda,j} \right) \\
&\quad + \cos\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \left(\tilde{s}\lambda^{-2}(\partial_m V)((1 - \lambda^{-1}\kappa)\tilde{s}, z_\lambda) \right) \\
&= O(\lambda^{-1}\langle z_\lambda \rangle^{-1-\epsilon} + \langle z_\lambda \rangle^{-2-\epsilon} + \langle z_\lambda \rangle^{-2-\epsilon}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

From Proposition 2.1, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{z_m}(\kappa) \right| \leq C_1 \langle \kappa \rangle^{-1-\epsilon}, \quad \left| \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{\gamma_m}(\kappa) \right| \leq C_1 \langle \kappa \rangle^{-2-\epsilon} \tag{2.20}$$

for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and $\kappa \in [0, \delta\lambda]$. Moreover, for each $\kappa \in (0, \delta\lambda]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{z_m}(\kappa) &= (-\tilde{s})(a_{mj}(\tilde{s}, x((1 - \kappa)\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})) - \delta_{mj})\xi_j((1 - \kappa)\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \\
&\quad + \frac{\tilde{s}^2\kappa}{2}(\partial_m a_{ij})(\tilde{s}, x((1 - \kappa)\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}))\xi_i((1 - \kappa)\tilde{s})\xi_j((1 - \kappa)\tilde{s}), \\
\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{\gamma_m}(\kappa) &= \frac{\tilde{s}}{2}(\partial_m a_{ij})(\tilde{s}, x((1 - \kappa)\tilde{s}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}))\xi_i((1 - \kappa)\tilde{s})\xi_j((1 - \kappa)\tilde{s}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

By (2.20), (2.21) and the dominated convergence theorem, for each $\theta \in [0, \delta]$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{z}(\theta\lambda) &= \tilde{y} + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\theta\lambda} \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{z}(\kappa) d\kappa \\
&= \tilde{y} + \int_0^\infty \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{z}(\kappa) d\kappa = x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}), \\
\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{\gamma}(\theta\lambda) &= \tilde{\eta} + \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\theta\lambda} \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{\gamma}(\kappa) d\kappa \\
&= \tilde{\eta} + \int_0^\infty \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{\gamma}(\kappa) d\kappa = \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use that $x(\kappa)$ and $\xi(\kappa)$ satisfy (1.8). The uniformity of the convergence with respect to $\tilde{\Omega}$ and θ follows from the fact that (2.20) holds uniformly on $\tilde{\Omega}$ for $\kappa \in [0, \delta\lambda]$. This completes the proof of the assertion. \square

We next study the behavior of $(x(\kappa), \xi(\kappa))$ for κ close to 1.

Proposition 2.3. Assume that (s, y, η) with $|s| < \pi$ is non-trapping in the sense of Definition 1.8. Fix $0 < \delta < 1$, and let $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$ be as in Proposition 2.1. Then, for any $\theta \in [\delta, 1]$ and $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}$, the following identity holds:

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \exp(s(\theta\lambda)H_{\text{os},\lambda}) (z_\lambda(\theta\lambda, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}), \gamma_\lambda(\theta\lambda, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})) = (x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}), \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})),$$

where x_+ and ξ_+ are those defined in Proposition 1.9. Moreover, this convergence is uniform on $\tilde{\Omega}$ and $\theta \in [\delta, 1]$.

Proof. Let $0 < \epsilon_0 < \frac{1}{2} \min_{\tilde{\Omega}} |\xi_+|$. We show, by contradiction, that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that, for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, we have

$$\max_{\substack{\theta \in [\delta, 1] \\ (\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}}} (|\widehat{z}(\theta\lambda, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|, |\widehat{\gamma}(\theta\lambda, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|) < \epsilon. \quad (2.22)$$

Here $\widehat{z}(\kappa)$ and $\widehat{\gamma}(\kappa)$ are defined by (2.18). We first note that

$$\begin{aligned} z_\lambda(\kappa) &= \cos\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \widehat{z}(\kappa) - \lambda \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \widehat{\gamma}(\kappa), \\ \gamma_\lambda(\kappa) &= \lambda^{-1} \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \widehat{z}(\kappa) + \cos\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right) \widehat{\gamma}(\kappa). \end{aligned}$$

For the moment, suppose that for any $\kappa \in [\delta\lambda, \tilde{\theta}\lambda]$ and $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ we have $|\widehat{z}(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| < \epsilon_0$ and $|\widehat{\gamma}(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| < \epsilon_0$ for some $\tilde{\theta} \in (\delta, 1]$. Then

$$|z_\lambda(\kappa, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| \geq |\lambda \sin\left(\frac{\tilde{s}\kappa}{\lambda}\right)| (|\xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| - \epsilon_0) - (|x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| + \epsilon_0).$$

Hence, by choosing λ_0 sufficiently large and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, we obtain

$$|z_\lambda(\kappa)| \geq c_1 \lambda$$

for any $\kappa \in [\delta\lambda, \tilde{\theta}\lambda]$ and some constant $c_1 > 0$ depending only on x_+ and ξ_+ . Here we use the fact that $|\tilde{s}| < \pi$. Then, using formula (2.19), we obtain

$$\left| \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{z}(\kappa) \right| \leq C_1 \lambda^{-1-\epsilon}, \quad \left| \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{\gamma}(\kappa) \right| \leq C_1 \lambda^{-2-\epsilon} \quad (2.23)$$

for any $\kappa \in [\delta\lambda, \tilde{\theta}\lambda]$ and some constant $C_1 > 0$. Assume the negation of (2.22). Then there exist a sequence $\lambda_n \nearrow \infty$ and $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_0$ such that

$$\max_{\substack{\theta \in [\delta, 1] \\ (\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}}} (|\widehat{z}(\theta\lambda_n, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|, |\widehat{\gamma}(\theta\lambda_n, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|) \geq \epsilon_1 \quad (2.24)$$

for all n . From Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$\max_{(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}} (|\widehat{z}(\delta\lambda_n, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|, |\widehat{\gamma}(\delta\lambda_n, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|) < \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} \quad (2.25)$$

for sufficiently large n . From (2.24) and (2.25), there exists $\theta_n \in (\delta, 1]$ such that

$$\max_{(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}} (|\widehat{z}(\theta_n\lambda_n, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|, |\widehat{\gamma}(\theta_n\lambda_n, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|) = \epsilon_1,$$

and

$$\max_{(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}} (|\widehat{z}(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|, |\widehat{\gamma}(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|) \leq \epsilon_1$$

for any $\tilde{\kappa} \in [\delta\lambda_n, \theta_n\lambda_n]$. From (2.23) and (2.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{z}(\theta_n\lambda_n, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| &= \left| \int_{\delta\lambda_n}^{\theta_n\lambda_n} \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{z}(\kappa) d\kappa + \widehat{z}(\delta\lambda_n) - x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \right| \\ &\leq C_2(1 - \delta)\lambda_n^{-\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, \\ |\widehat{\gamma}(\theta_n\lambda_n, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})| &= \left| \int_{\delta\lambda_n}^{\theta_n\lambda_n} \frac{d}{d\kappa} \widehat{\gamma}(\kappa) d\kappa + \widehat{\gamma}(\delta\lambda_n) - \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \right| \\ &\leq C_2(1 - \delta)\lambda_n^{-1-\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_2 > 0$ depending only on x_+ and ξ_+ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &= \max_{(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}} (|\widehat{z}(\theta_n\lambda_n, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - x_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|, |\widehat{\gamma}(\theta_n\lambda_n, \tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta}) - \xi_+(\tilde{s}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\eta})|) \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon_1}{2} + C_2(1 - \delta)(\lambda_n^{-\epsilon} + \lambda_n^{-1-\epsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

for all n . By choosing n sufficiently large, this yields a contradiction. Thus the assertion follows. \square

2.3 Conclusions

We denote the flow generated by the system (2.1)–(2.4) with initial data (2.5) by $F_l: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)}$. We are interested in the asymptotic behavior of

$$F_l(\kappa, s, y, \lambda^2\sigma, \lambda\eta)$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. For that we introduce the scaling operator on $\mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)}$:

$$\Theta_\lambda: \mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)}, \quad (s, y, \sigma, \eta) \mapsto (s, y, \lambda^2\sigma, \lambda\eta),$$

and consider the limit of the composition

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \Theta_\lambda^{-1} F_l \Theta_\lambda.$$

Proposition 2.4. The following three properties hold.

1. For any $(s, y, \eta) \in \Omega$ with $|s| < \pi$ and any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a neighborhood $\widehat{\Omega}$ of (s, y, σ, η) such that for any $(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta}) \in \widehat{\Omega}$

$$\lim_{\substack{\lambda \rightarrow \infty \\ \kappa \in (0,1]}} \Theta_\lambda^{-1} F_l \Theta_\lambda(\kappa, \hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta}) = (\hat{s}, x_+(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}), \Sigma(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta}), \xi_+(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta})),$$

where $\Sigma(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta})$ is given by

$$\Sigma(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta}) = \hat{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} a_{ij}(\hat{s}, \hat{y}) \hat{\eta}_i \hat{\eta}_j - \frac{1}{2} |\xi_+(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta})|^2.$$

2. Moreover, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2(1+d)}$, $(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta}) \in \widehat{\Omega}$ and $\kappa \in [0, 1]$,

$$\partial^\alpha (\Theta_\lambda^{-1} F_l \Theta_\lambda)(\kappa, \hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta}) \rightarrow (\partial^\alpha \hat{s}, \partial^\alpha x_+(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}), \partial^\alpha \Sigma(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta}), \partial^\alpha \xi_+(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}))$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and the convergence is uniform on $\widehat{\Omega}$ and $\kappa \in [0, 1]$.

3. For any $(s, y, \eta) \in \Omega$ with $|s| < \pi$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, the mapping

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (\Theta_\lambda^{-1} F_l \Theta_\lambda)(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$$

is a local diffeomorphism in a neighborhood of (s, y, σ, η) .

Proof. 1. We set $\widehat{\Omega} = \{(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)} \mid (\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}) \in \tilde{\Omega}, |\hat{\sigma} - \sigma| < 1\}$. Here $\tilde{\Omega}$ is as in Proposition 2.1. Let $(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta}) \in \widehat{\Omega}$ be fixed. From Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, together with (2.17), we obtain

$$(x(\kappa, \hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}), \lambda^{-1} \xi(\kappa, \hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta})) \longrightarrow (x_+(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}), \xi_+(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta})) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover, by (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-2} \tau(\kappa, \hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta}) &= \hat{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} a_{ij}(\hat{s}, \hat{y}) \hat{\eta}_i \hat{\eta}_j - \frac{\kappa}{2} |\gamma_\lambda(\lambda \kappa)|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-2} |z_\lambda(\lambda \kappa)|^2 \\ &\quad - (1 - \kappa) \left(\frac{1}{2} a_{ij}((1 - \kappa) \hat{s}, z_\lambda(\lambda \kappa)) \gamma_{\lambda,i}(\lambda \kappa) \gamma_{\lambda,j}(\lambda \kappa) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \lambda^{-2} V((1 - \kappa) \hat{s}, z_\lambda(\lambda \kappa)) \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda^{-2} V(\hat{s}, \hat{y}) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{-2} |\hat{y}|^2 \\ &\longrightarrow \Sigma(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta}) \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Hence the assertion follows.

2. By (2.1) and (2.26) it suffices to consider $\partial^\alpha x(\kappa, \hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta})$ and $\lambda^{-1} \partial^\alpha \xi(\kappa, \hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\eta})$, which are uniformly convergent on $\widehat{\Omega}$ and $\kappa \in [0, 1]$. The functions $x(\kappa)$ and

$\xi(\kappa)$ satisfy (2.2) and (2.4), and $\partial^\alpha x$ and $\lambda^{-1}\partial^\alpha \xi$ satisfy similar first order ODEs whose coefficients are integrable in κ . Hence, using induction on the order $|\alpha|$ of derivatives, the assertion follows.

3. First, we consider the Jacobian matrix of $(\Theta_\lambda^{-1}F_l\Theta_\lambda)$ with respect to $(\hat{s}, \hat{y}, \hat{\sigma}, \hat{\eta})$. It is invertible if and only if that of $(x(\kappa), \lambda^{-1}\xi(\kappa))$ with respect to $(\hat{y}, \hat{\eta})$ is invertible. By (2.2) and (2.4), the Jacobian matrix for x and $\lambda^{-1}\xi$ is invertible near (s, y, σ, η) for sufficiently large λ . Hence the Jacobian matrix of $(\Theta_\lambda^{-1}F_l\Theta_\lambda)$ is also invertible. Moreover, by the assertion 2, the Jacobian matrix of $\Theta_\lambda^{-1}F_l\Theta_\lambda$ converges uniformly to the Jacobian matrix of $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty}(\Theta_\lambda^{-1}F_l\Theta_\lambda)$. Therefore, the assertion follows. \square

3 Proof

3.1 Construction of symbol

Here let us construct a symbol b defining the operator (1.10) that satisfies the equation (1.12). We are going to construct a symbol b of the form

$$b \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^{\epsilon_j} b_j.$$

By the pseudodifferential symbol calculus, the principal symbol b_0 should satisfy the transport equation

$$\partial_\kappa b_0 + (\partial_\tau l) \partial_t b_0 + (\partial_\xi l) \partial_x b_0 - h^2 (\partial_t l) \partial_\tau b_0 - h (\partial_x l) \partial_\xi b_0 = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

with $b_0(0, t, x, \tau, \xi) = a_0(t, x, \tau, \xi)$, where l is from (1.13) and a_0 is defined in Section 1.5. By using the flow F_l we can rewrite the equation (3.1) as

$$\frac{d}{d\kappa} \{b_0(\kappa, \Theta_h F_l)\} = 0,$$

so that

$$b_0(\kappa, \Theta_h F_l(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)) = b_0(0, \Theta_h(t, x, \tau, \xi)) = a_0(t, x, h^2 \tau, h \xi).$$

Hence

$$b_0(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi) = a_0(\Theta_h F_l^{-1}(\kappa) \Theta_h^{-1}(t, x, \tau, \xi)),$$

where $F_l(\kappa)$ denotes $F_l(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ for brevity.

Proposition 3.1. There exists $b(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)})$ for $\kappa \in [0, 1]$ such that

1. $b(0, t, x, \tau, \xi) = a_0(t, x, \tau, \xi)$.

2. $b(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is supported in $(\Theta_h F_l(\kappa) \Theta_h^{-1})(\text{supp } a_0)$.
3. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2(1+d)}$, there exist constants $C_\alpha > 0$ and $h_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|\partial^\alpha b(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha$$

for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, $(t, x, \tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)}$ and $h \in (0, h_\alpha]$.

4. The principal symbol of $b(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)$ is $b_0(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)$. That is, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2(1+d)}$, there exist constants $C_\alpha > 0$ and $h_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|\partial^\alpha (b(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi) - b_0(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi))| \leq C_\alpha h^\varepsilon$$

for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, $(t, x, \tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2(1+d)}$ and $h \in (0, h_\alpha]$.

5. If we set $B(\kappa) = b^W(\kappa, t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x)$, Then

$$\left\| \frac{d}{d\kappa} B(\kappa) + i[L(\kappa), B(\kappa)] \right\|_{L^2_{t,x}} = O(h^\infty)$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $\kappa \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. If necessary, we may assume that $\text{supp } a_0 \subset \widehat{\Omega}$, where $\widehat{\Omega}$ is the neighborhood specified in Proposition 2.4. Then, by Proposition 2.4, for any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2(1+d)}$ there exists $h_0 > 0$ such that for any $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, $(t, x, \tau, \xi) \in \widehat{\Omega}$, and $h \in (0, h_0]$, we have

$$|\partial^\alpha b_0(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha.$$

We construct the symbol b by an iterative procedure as follows. We choose Γ sufficiently large so that $b_0(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi) = 0$ for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, $(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$, and $(t, x) \notin \Gamma$. Define

$$r_0^W(\kappa, t, x, p_t, p_x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} b_0^W(\kappa, t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x) + i[L(\kappa), b_0^W(\kappa, t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x)].$$

Then, by the asymptotic expansion formula, for any $\gamma = (\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \beta, \tilde{\beta}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2(1+d)}$ there exist constants C_γ and $h_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_t^\alpha \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \partial_\tau^\beta \partial_\xi^{\tilde{\beta}} r_0(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)| \leq C_\gamma h^{\varepsilon + |\tilde{\beta}| + 2|\beta|}$$

for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, $(t, x) \in \Gamma$, $(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$, and $h \in (0, h_\gamma]$. Moreover, r_0 is essentially supported in $\text{supp } b_0(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$, that is, modulo $O(h^\infty)$ terms. Next, we solve the transport equation

$$\partial_\kappa(h^\varepsilon b_1) + (\partial_\tau l) \partial_t(h^\varepsilon b_1) + (\partial_\xi l) \partial_x(h^\varepsilon b_1) - h^2(\partial_t l) \partial_\tau(h^\varepsilon b_1) - h(\partial_x l) \partial_\xi(h^\varepsilon b_1) = -r_0,$$

with the initial condition $b_1(0, t, x, \tau, \xi) = 0$. Then, for any multi-index α , there exist $C_\alpha > 0$ and $h_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|\partial^\alpha b_1(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha$$

for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, $(t, x) \in \Gamma$, $(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$, and $h \in (0, h_\alpha]$. Moreover, b_1 is also essentially supported in $\text{supp } b_0$. If we set r_1^W

$$\begin{aligned} r_1^W(\kappa, t, x, p_t, p_x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} h^\epsilon b_1^W(\kappa, t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x) + i[L(\kappa), h^\epsilon b_1^W(\kappa, t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x)] \\ &\quad + r_0^W(\kappa, t, x, p_t, p_x), \end{aligned}$$

Then r_1 satisfies, for any $\gamma = (\alpha, \tilde{\alpha}, \beta, \tilde{\beta}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^{2(1+d)}$,

$$|\partial_t^\alpha \partial_x^{\tilde{\alpha}} \partial_\tau^\beta \partial_\xi^{\tilde{\beta}} r_1(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)| \leq C_\gamma h^{2\epsilon + |\tilde{\beta}| + 2|\beta|}$$

for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, $(t, x) \in \Gamma$, $(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$, and sufficiently small $h > 0$. Iterating this procedure, we obtain symbols b_j , $j = 2, 3, \dots$, such that

$$|\partial^\alpha b_j(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)| \leq C_\alpha$$

for all $\kappa \in [0, 1]$, $(t, x) \in \Gamma$, and $(\tau, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$, and the corresponding remainders

$$\begin{aligned} r_j^W(\kappa, t, x, p_t, p_x) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} h^\epsilon b_j^W(\kappa, t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x) + i[L(\kappa), h^\epsilon b_j^W(\kappa, t, x, h^2 p_t, h p_x)] \\ &\quad + r_{j-1}^W(\kappa, t, x, p_t, p_x), \end{aligned}$$

are $O(h^{\epsilon(j+1)})$ for any $j \geq 2$. We then define

$$b(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h^\epsilon b_j(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi),$$

as an asymptotic sum as $h \rightarrow 0$. We may choose $b(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)$ to be supported in $\text{supp } b_0(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$, since the error is $O(h^\infty)$. It is now straightforward to verify that $b(\kappa, t, x, \tau, \xi)$ satisfies the required properties. \square

3.2 Proof of the main result

Finally, we prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. We take the function b constructed in Proposition 3.1. By Proposition 2.4, we have $b(1, s, x_+, -\frac{1}{2}|\xi_+|^2, \xi_+) \neq 0$ for sufficiently small $h > 0$. Moreover, its support is in a small neighborhood of $(s, x_+, -\frac{1}{2}|\xi_+|^2, \xi_+)$. Taking this fact into account, the proof can be carried out by following the strategy described in Section 1.5. \square

Funding

KI was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23K03163.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Data Availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

- [1] S. Doi, *Singularities of solutions of Schrödinger equations for perturbed harmonic oscillators*, Hyperbolic problems and related topics, Grad. Ser. Anal., Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2003, pp. 185–199.
- [2] S. Fukushima, *Propagation of singularities under Schrödinger equations on manifolds with ends*, preprint, 2022.
- [3] T. Fujii and K. Ito, *Characterization of space-time singularities for the Schrödinger equation by initial state*, in preparation.
- [4] J. Gell-Redman, S. Gomes, and A. Hassell, *Propagation of singularities and Fredholm analysis for the time-dependent Schrödinger equation*, 2023.
- [5] A. Hassell and J. Wunsch, *The Schrödinger propagator for scattering metrics*, Ann. of Math. (2) **162** (2005), no. 1, 487–523.
- [6] K. Ito, *Propagation of singularities for Schrödinger equations on the Euclidean space with a scattering metric*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **31** (2006), no. 10-12, 1735–1777.
- [7] S. Ito and K. Kato, *Wave front set of solutions to Schrödinger equations with perturbed harmonic oscillators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **507** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 125821, 17.
- [8] R. Lascar, *Propagation des singularités des solutions d’équations pseudo-différentielles quasi homogènes*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **27** (1977), no. 2, vii–viii, 79–123.

- [9] R. B. Melrose, *Spectral and scattering theory for the Laplacian on asymptotically Euclidian spaces*, Spectral and scattering theory (Sanda, 1992), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 161, Dekker, New York, 1994, pp. 85–130.
- [10] S. Mao, *Wave front set for solutions to Schrödinger equations with long-range perturbed harmonic oscillators*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), no. 10, 6200–6223.
- [11] S. Mao and S. Nakamura, *Wave front set for solutions to perturbed harmonic oscillators*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **34** (2009), no. 4-6, 506–519.
- [12] André Martinez, *An introduction to semiclassical and microlocal analysis*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [13] S. Nakamura, *Propagation of the homogeneous wave front set for Schrödinger equations*, Duke Math. J. **126** (2005), no. 2, 349–367.
- [14] S. Nakamura, *Wave front set for solutions to Schrödinger equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **256** (2009), no. 4, 1299–1309.
- [15] L. Rodino and S. I. Trapasso, *An introduction to the Gabor wave front set*, Anomalies in Partial Differential Equations (Cham) (Massimo Cicognani, Daniele Del Santo, Alberto Parmeggiani, and Michael Reissig, eds.), Springer International Publishing, 2021, pp. 369–393.
- [16] J. Wunsch, *Propagation of singularities and growth for Schrödinger operators*, Duke Math. J. **98** (1999), no. 1, 137–186.
- [17] J. Wunsch, *The trace of the generalized harmonic oscillator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49** (1999), no. 1, viii, xi–xii, 351–373.
- [18] K. Yajima, *Schrödinger evolution equations with magnetic fields*, J. Analyse Math. **56** (1991), 29–76.