

The square of a subcubic planar graph without a 5-cycle is 7-choosable

Seog-Jin Kim^a, Xiaopan Lian^b, Atsuhiro Nakamoto^c, Kenta Ozeki^c,

^aDepartment of Mathematics Education, Konkuk University, Korea

^bCenter for Combinatorics and LPMC, Nankai University, China

^cGraduate school of Environmental and Information Science, Yokohama National University, Japan

January 1, 2026

Abstract

The square of a graph G , denoted G^2 , has the same vertex set as G and has an edge between two vertices if the distance between them in G is at most 2. Thomassen [12] showed that $\chi(G^2) \leq 7$ if G is a subcubic planar graph. A natural question is whether $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 7$ or not if G is a subcubic planar graph. Recently Kim and Lian [11] showed that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 7$ if G is a subcubic planar graph of girth at least 6. And Jin, Kang, and Kim [10] showed that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 7$ if G is a subcubic planar graph without 4-cycles and 5-cycles. In this paper, we show that the square of a subcubic planar graph without 5-cycles is 7-choosable, which improves the results of [10] and [11].

Key words. planar graph, list coloring, square of graph, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminary	3
3	Summary of reducible configurations	3
4	Proof of Theorem 3	6
5	Proofs of reducible configurations	9
5.1	Basic configurations	9
5.2	Special configurations	17
5.3	Subgraph H_2 is reducible	19
5.4	Subgraph H_3 is reducible	24
5.5	Subgraph H_4 is reducible	29
5.6	7-face is adjacent to at most one 4-face	39

1 Introduction

The *square* of a graph G , denoted G^2 , has the same vertex set as G and has an edge between two vertices if the distance between them in G is at most 2. We say a graph G is *subcubic* if $\Delta(G) \leq 3$, where $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree in G . The *girth* of G , denoted $g(G)$, is the size of smallest cycle in G . Let $\chi(G)$ be the chromatic number of a graph G .

Wegner [13] posed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. [13] *Let G be a planar graph. The chromatic number $\chi(G^2)$ of G^2 is at most 7 if $\Delta(G) = 3$, at most $\Delta(G) + 5$ if $4 \leq \Delta(G) \leq 7$, and at most $\lfloor \frac{3\Delta(G)}{2} \rfloor$ if $\Delta(G) \geq 8$.*

Conjecture 1 is still wide open. The only case for which the answer is known is when $\Delta(G) = 3$. Thomassen [12] showed that $\chi(G^2) \leq 7$ if G is a planar graph with $\Delta(G) = 3$, which implies that Conjecture 1 is true for $\Delta(G) = 3$. Conjecture 1 for $\Delta(G) = 3$ is also confirmed by Hartke, Jahanbekam and Thomas [7]. Many results were obtained with conditions on $\Delta(G)$. One may see a detailed story on the study of Wegner's conjecture in [3].

A list assignment for a graph G is a function L that assigns each vertex a list of available colors. The graph is *L -colorable* if it has a proper coloring f such that $f(v) \in L(v)$ for all v . If G is L -colorable whenever all lists have size k , then it is called *k -choosable*. The *list chromatic number* $\chi_\ell(G)$ is the minimum k such that G is k -choosable.

Since it was known in [12] that $\chi(G^2) \leq 7$ if G is a subcubic planar graph, the following natural question was raised in [4] and [9], independently.

Question 2. [4, 9] *Is it true that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 7$ if G is a subcubic planar graph?*

Considering the Thomassen's proof in [12], it seems difficult to answer Question 2 completely if the answer of the question is positive.

For general upper bound on $\chi_\ell(G^2)$ for a subcubic graph G , Cranston and Kim [4] proved that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 8$ if G is a connected graph (not necessarily planar) with $\Delta(G) = 3$ and if G is not the Petersen graph. To the direction of Question 2, Cranston and Kim [4] proved that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 7$ if G is a subcubic planar graph with $g(G) \geq 7$. Recently, Kim and Lian [11] made an interesting progress by showing that that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 7$ if G is a subcubic planar graph with $g(G) \geq 6$. And Jin, Kang, and Kim [10] improved the result further by showing that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 7$ if G is a subcubic planar graph with 4-cycles and 5-cycles.

In this paper, we make a big improvement of previous results by showing the following main theorem.

Theorem 3. *If G is a subcubic planar graph without 5-cycles, then $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 7$.*

Theorem 3 improves the result of [10] and [11] since it forbids only 5-cycles. In [11], k -cycles are forbidden for $k \in \{3, 4, 5\}$, and in [10] 4-cycles and 5-cycles are forbidden. But, in our paper, only 5-cycles are forbidden.

On the other hand, it was asked in [7] whether $\chi(G^2) \leq 6$ if G is a subcubic planar graph drawn without 5-faces, since every example having $\chi(G^2) = 7$ has a 5-cycle. As a weaker version, it was conjectured in [5, 6] that $\chi(G^2) \leq 6$ when G is a cubic bipartite planar graph. As a natural question, one may ask the following question.

Question 4. Is it true that $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 6$ if G is a cubic bipartite planar graph?

But, it is not known whether the square of a cubic bipartite planar graph is 7-choosable or not. In this direction, our result provides an interesting upper bound in a more general setting.

Corollary 5. If G is a subcubic bipartite planar graph, then $\chi_\ell(G^2) \leq 7$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, which is an important tool for list coloring. In Section 3, we summarize the list of subgraphs which do not appear in a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 3 by discharging argument. In Section 5, we provide the proofs of reducible configurations, which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

2 Preliminary

Let G be a graph and let ' $<$ ' be an arbitrary fixed ordering of the vertices of G . The *graph polynomial* of G is defined as

$$P_G(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{u \sim v, u < v} (x_u - x_v),$$

where $u \sim v$ means that u and v are adjacent, and $\mathbf{x} = (x_v)_{v \in V(G)}$ is a vector of $|V(G)|$ variables indexed by the vertices of G . It is easy to see that a mapping $c : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is a proper coloring of G if and only if $P_G(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$, where $\mathbf{c} = (c(v))_{v \in V(G)}$. Therefore, to find a proper coloring of G is equivalent to find an assignment of \mathbf{x} so that $P_G(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$. The following theorem, which was proved by Alon and Tarsi, gives sufficient conditions for the existence of such assignments as above.

Theorem 6 ([1]). (*Combinatorial Nullstellensatz*) Let \mathbb{F} be an arbitrary field and let $f = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Suppose that the degree $\deg(f)$ of f is $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i$ where each t_i is a nonnegative integer, and suppose that the coefficient of $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{t_i}$ of f is nonzero. Then if S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n are subsets of \mathbb{F} with $|S_i| \geq t_i + 1$, then there are $s_1 \in S_1, s_2 \in S_2, \dots, s_n \in S_n$ so that $f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \neq 0$.

In particular, a graph polynomial $P_G(\mathbf{x})$ is a homogeneous polynomial and $\deg(P_G)$ is equal to $|E(G)|$.

Let G be a graph and let $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a list. Then \mathbf{c} is an L -coloring of G if and only if $P_G(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$, where $\mathbf{c} = (c(v_1), c(v_2), \dots, c(v_n))$. Therefore, if there exists a monomial $\alpha \prod_{v \in V(G)} x_v^{t_v}$ in the expansion of P_G so that $\alpha \neq 0$ and $t_v < k$ for each $v \in V(G)$, then G is k -choosable.

3 Summary of reducible configurations

In this section, let G be a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3. We will study structural properties of a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3. A configuration is *reducible* if a planar graph containing it cannot be a minimal counterexample.

A vertex of degree k is called a k -vertex. A cycle of size k is called a k -cycle, and a cycle of size at least k (resp. at most k) is called a k^+ -cycle (resp. a k^- -cycle).

We summarize the key reducible configurations which will be used in the discharging part. In Section 5, we will prove that the following subgraphs do not appear in G .

First, we list the reducible configurations related with a 3-cycle (see Figure 1).

- (1) Subgraph F_1 , which consists of a 3-cycle adjacent to a 4^- -cycle. (Lemma 7 (a))
- (2) Subgraph F_2 , which consists of a 3-cycle and a 4-cycle whose distance is at most 1. (Lemma 12)
- (3) Subgraph F_3 , which consists of a 3-cycle adjacent to a 6-cycle. (Lemma 7 (b))
- (4) Subgraph F_4 , which consists of a 8^- -cycle F adjacent to a 3-cycle and a 4-cycle such that the distance between the 3-cycle and the 4-cycle is 2. (Lemma 13)




Figure 1: Subgraphs F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4






Figure 2: Subgraphs H_1, H_2, H_3, H_4

Next, we list the reducible configurations related with a 4-cycle, (see Figure 2).

- (5) Subgraph H_1 , which consists of two adjacent 4-cycles. (Lemma 8)
- (6) Subgraph H_2 , which consists of a 6-cycle adjacent to two 4-cycles such that the distance between the two 4-cycles is 1. (Lemma 19)
- (7) Subgraph H_3 , which consists of a 4-cycle adjacent to two 6-cycles consecutively. (Lemma 20)



Graph H_5



Graph H_6

Figure 3: Subgraphs H_5 and H_6

- (8) Subgraph H_4 , which consists of a 8-cycle adjacent to four faces f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 in order such that f_1 and f_4 are 4-cycles and f_2 and f_3 are 6-cycles. (Lemma 22)
- (9) Subgraphs H_5 and H_6 , both of which consist of a 7-cycle adjacent to two 4-cycles. (Lemmas 24 and 27)

Let G be a plane graph drawn on the plane without crossing edges. A face of size k is called a k -face, and a face of size at least k (resp. at most k) is called a k^+ -face (resp. a k^- -face).

In addition, we use the following properties for a minimal counterexample G .

Lemma 7. (c) *The distance between any two 3-cycles is at least 3 in G .*

Lemma 10. *A minimal counterexample G to Theorem 3 is a cubic planar graph.*

Corollary 14. *If a 7-face is adjacent to a 3-face in G , then it is not adjacent to a 4-face. And if a 8-face is adjacent to a 3-face, then it cannot be adjacent to two 4-faces.*

We have the following by Lemma 7 (c) and Corollary 14, together with the fact that F_2 and H_1 do not exist in G :

- (A) If a 7-face is adjacent to a 3-face, then it is not adjacent to a 4-face and no other 3-faces.
- (B) If an 8-face is adjacent to a 3-face, then it is adjacent to at most one another 4^- -face.
- (C) If a 9-face is adjacent to a 3-face, then it is adjacent to at most two other 4^- -faces.
- (D) If a 10-face is adjacent to a 3-face, then it is adjacent to at most three other 4^- -faces.
- (E) An 11^+ -face f is adjacent to at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d(f) \rfloor$ 4^- -faces, where $d(f)$ is the length of f .

4 Proof of Theorem 3

In this section, we prove Theorem 3, assuming reducible configurations and properties of a minimal counterexample introduced in the previous section. Let G be a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3 and let G be a plane graph drawn on the plane without crossing edges. Let $F(G)$ be the set of faces of G . For a face $f \in F(G)$, let $d(f)$ be the length of f .

We assign $2d(x) - 6$ to each vertex $x \in V(G)$ and $d(x) - 6$ for each face $x \in F(G)$ as an original charge function $\omega(x)$ of x . According to Euler's formula $|V(G)| - |E(G)| + |F(G)| = 2$, we have

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} (2d(v) - 6) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} (d(f) - 6) = -12.$$

We next design some discharging rules to redistribute charges along the graph with conservation of the total charge. Let $\omega'(x)$ be the charge of $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ after the discharge procedure that we will later explain. Note that $\sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \omega(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \omega'(x)$.

Next, we will show that $\omega'(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$, which leads to the following contradiction.

$$0 \leq \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \omega'(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \omega(x) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} (2d(v) - 6) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} (d(f) - 6) = -12.$$

We now discharge by the following rules.

The discharging rule:

For each edge e between a 7^+ -face f and a 4-face f' , let f_1 and f_2 be the faces containing one of the end vertices of e , and then

(R1) f sends 1 to f' if both f_1 and f_2 are 6-faces,

(R2) f sends $\frac{3}{4}$ to f' if one of f_1 and f_2 is a 6-face and the other is a 7^+ -face,

(R3) f sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to f' if both f_1 and f_2 are 7^+ -faces.




Figure 4: Discharging rules

In addition, we give one more discharging rule:

(R4) If a face f of size at least 7 is adjacent to a 3-face f' , then f sends 1 to f' .

We now show that $\omega'(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$. Note that G is a cubic graph by Lemma 10. In addition, G has no subgraphs F_1 – F_4 and H_1 – H_6 , and satisfies (A)–(E) as in Section 3.

First, for each vertex v , v is a 3-vertex by Lemma 10, and hence $\omega'(v) = \omega(v) = 0$.

Next, we will show $\omega'(f) \geq 0$ for each face f , depending on the value of $d(f)$. Note that only 7⁺-faces may send charge to adjacent 3-faces or 4-faces.

(1) The case $d(f) = 3$.

Note that $\omega(f) = 3 - 6 = -3$. Let f_1, f_2, f_3 be the faces adjacent to f . Since F_1 and F_3 do not exist, $d(f_i) \geq 7$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. So, by (R4), each of f_i sends 1 to f . Hence $\omega'(f) = -3 + 3 \times 1 = 0$.

(2) The case $d(f) = 4$.

Note that $\omega(f) = 4 - 6 = -2$. Let f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 be the faces adjacent to f in the clockwise order. Since F_1 and H_1 do not exist in G , $d(f_i) \geq 6$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

- If f is adjacent to no 6-face, then it follows from (R3) that each face adjacent to f sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to f , and hence $\omega'(f) = -2 + \frac{1}{2} \times 4 = 0$.
- Suppose that f is adjacent to a 6-face, say f_1 . Since H_3 does not exist in G , both f_2 and f_4 are 7⁺-faces. If f_3 is a 6-face, then both f_2 and f_4 send 1 to f by (R1), and hence $\omega'(f) = -2 + 1 \times 2 = 0$. Otherwise, that is, if f_3 is a 7⁺-face, then both f_2 and f_4 send $\frac{3}{4}$ to f by (R2), and f_3 sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to f by (R3). Thus, $\omega'(f) = -2 + \frac{3}{4} \times 2 + \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

(3) The case $d(f) = 6$.

In this case, f does not send nor receive any charge. Hence $\omega'(f) = \omega(f) = d(f) - 6 = 0$.

(4) The case $d(f) = 7$.

Note that $\omega(f) = 7 - 6 = 1$. We consider the following two cases.

- Suppose that f is adjacent to a 3-face. By (A), f is not adjacent to another 4[–]-face. Then by (R1)–(R4), $\omega'(f) = \omega(f) - 1 = 0$.
- Suppose that f is not adjacent to a 3-face. Since neither H_5 nor H_6 exists in G , f is adjacent to at most one 4-face. Then by (R1)–(R4), $\omega'(f) \geq \omega(f) - 1 = 0$.

(5) The case $d(f) = 8$.

Note that $\omega(f) = 8 - 6 = 2$. If f is adjacent to a 3-face, then f is adjacent to at most one another 4[–]-face by (B). Hence $\omega'(f) \geq 2 - 1 \times 2 = 0$. Thus, we may assume that f is not adjacent to a 3-face.

Since H_1 does not exist in G , f is adjacent to at most four 4-faces, and no two 4-faces are adjacent. Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_8 be the faces adjacent to f in the clockwise order.

- If f is adjacent to at most two 4-faces, then it follows from (R1)–(R3) that f sends at most 1 to each 4-face adjacent to f , and hence $\omega'(f) \geq 2 - 1 \times 2 = 0$.
- Suppose that f is adjacent to exactly three 4-faces. By symmetry, we have the following two cases;

- Suppose that f_1, f_3 and f_5 are 4-faces. Since H_2 does not exist in G , both f_2 and f_4 are 7^+ -faces. Thus, f sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to f_3 by (R3), and at most $\frac{3}{4}$ to f_1 and f_5 by (R2) and (R3). Therefore, $\omega'(f) \geq 2 - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4} \times 2 = 0$.
- Suppose that f_1, f_3 and f_6 are 4-faces. Since H_2 does not exist in G , f_2 is a 7^+ -face.
 - * If both f_4 and f_8 are 7^+ -faces, then f sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to f_1 and f_3 by (R3), and at most 1 to f_6 by (R1)–(R3), and hence $\omega'(f) \geq 2 - \frac{1}{2} \times 2 - 1 = 0$.
 - * Suppose that f_4 is a 7^+ -face and f_8 is a 6-face. Note that f sends $\frac{3}{4}$ to f_1 by (R2), and $\frac{1}{2}$ to f_3 by (R3). Since H_4 does not exist in G , f_7 is a 7^+ -face, and hence f sends at most $\frac{3}{4}$ to f_6 by (R2) and (R3). Thus, $\omega'(f) \geq 2 - \frac{3}{4} \times 2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0$.
 - * If f_4 is a 6-face and f_8 is a 7^+ -face, then by the symmetry to the previous case, $\omega'(f) \geq 2 - \frac{3}{4} \times 2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0$.
 - * Suppose that both f_4 and f_8 are 6-faces. Note that f sends $\frac{3}{4}$ to f_1 and f_3 by (R2). Since H_4 does not exist in G , both f_5 and f_7 are 7^+ -faces, and hence f sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to f_6 by (R3). Thus, $\omega'(f) \geq 2 - \frac{3}{4} \times 2 - \frac{1}{2} = 0$.
- Suppose that f is adjacent to exactly four 4-faces. Since H_1 does not exist in G , it follows from the symmetry that f_1, f_3, f_5 and f_7 are 4-faces. Since H_2 does not exist in G , all of f_2, f_4, f_6 and f_8 are 7^+ -faces. Then it follows from (R1) that f sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to f_1, f_3, f_5 and f_7 , and hence $\omega'(f) = 2 - \frac{1}{2} \times 4 = 0$.

(6) The case $d(f) = 9$.

Note that $\omega(f) = 9 - 6 = 3$. We consider the following two cases.

- If f is adjacent to a 3-face, by (C), f is adjacent to at most two other 4^- -faces, and hence $\omega'(f) \geq 3 - 1 \times 3 = 0$.
- Suppose that f is not adjacent to a 3-face. Since H_1 does not exist in G , f is adjacent to at most four 4-faces.
 - If f is adjacent to at most three 4-faces, then it follows from (R1)–(R3) that f sends at most 1 to each 4-face adjacent to f , and hence $\omega'(f) \geq 3 - 1 \times 3 = 0$.
 - Suppose that f is adjacent to exactly four 4-faces. Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_9 be the faces adjacent to f in the clockwise order. Since H_1 does not exist in G , we may assume that f_1, f_3, f_5, f_7 are the 4-faces. Since H_2 does not exist in G , f_2, f_4, f_6 are 7^+ -faces. By (R2) and (R3), f sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to f_3 and f_5 , respectively, and sends at most $\frac{3}{4}$ to f_1 and f_7 , respectively. Thus $\omega'(f) \geq 3 - \frac{1}{2} \times 2 - \frac{3}{4} \times 2 > 0$.

(7) The case $d(f) = 10$.

Note that $\omega(f) = 10 - 6 = 4$. We consider the following two cases.

- If f is adjacent to a 3-face, then it follows from (D) that f is adjacent to at most three other 4^- -faces. So, $\omega'(f) \geq 4 - 1 \times 4 = 0$.

- Suppose that f is not adjacent to a 3-face. Since H_1 does not exist in G , f is adjacent to at most five 4-faces. If f is adjacent to at most four 4-faces, then it follows from (R1)–(R3) that f sends at most 1 to each 4-face adjacent to f , and hence $\omega'(f) \geq 4 - 1 \times 4 = 0$. Thus, we may assume that f is adjacent to exactly five 4-faces. Since neither H_1 nor H_2 exists in G , the 4-faces appear every other along the clockwise order of the faces adjacent to f and the other faces are 7^+ -faces. Thus, by (R3), $\omega'(f) = 4 - \frac{1}{2} \times 5 > 0$.

(8) The case $d(f) \geq 11$.

By (E), the number of 4^- -faces adjacent to f is at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{2}d(f) \rfloor$. We have $\omega'(f) \geq (d(f) - 6) - 1 \times \lfloor \frac{1}{2}d(f) \rfloor = \lceil \frac{1}{2}d(f) \rceil - 6 \geq 0$ by (R1)–(R4).

Therefore, $\omega'(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

5 Proofs of reducible configurations

In this section, we study the properties of a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, and show that then subgraphs F_1 – F_4 and subgraphs H_1 – H_6 do not appear. Throughout this section, let G be a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3.

5.1 Basic configurations

In this subsection, we prove several important reducible configurations which will be used in the proofs of important lemmas. First, we will list reducible configurations related with a 3-face, where (a), (b) and (c) show that subgraphs F_1 and F_3 in Figure 1 and subgraph T in Figure 5 are all reducible configurations.

Lemma 7. *We have the following properties.*

- (a) *A 3-cycle do not share an edge with a 4^- -cycle.*
- (b) *A 3-cycle do not share an edge with a 6-cycle.*
- (c) *The distance between any two 3-cycles is at least 3 in G .*

Proof. (b) follows from [10, Lemma 8]. If a 3-cycle share an edge with a 4-cycle, then there is a 5-cycle, a contradiction. Thus, in (a) it suffices to show the case when two 3-cycles share an edge. This can be shown similarly to [10, Lemma 8] or the proof of the next lemma. (We leave the detail to the readers.) (c) is obtained from [10, Lemma 9], together with (a). \square

Next, we will show that subgraph H_1 does not appear in G .

Lemma 8. *The subgraph H_1 in Figure 2 does not appear in G .*




Figure 5: Graph T



Figure 6: Cases 1 and 2 of H_1 , respectively. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Proof. Suppose that G has H_1 as a subgraph, and denote $V(H_1) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ (see Figure 6). Let L be a list assignment with lists of size 7 for each vertex in G . We will show that G^2 has a proper coloring from the list L , which is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample to the theorem.

Case 1: H_1^2 is an induced subgraph of G^2 .

Let $G' = G - V(H_1)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G')$.

Now, for each $v_i \in V(H_1)$, we define

$$L_{H_1}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(H_1)\}.$$

Then, we have the following (see Case 1 in Figure 6).

$$|L_{H_1}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3 & i = 1, 3, 4, 6, \\ 5 & i = 2, 5. \end{cases}$$

Now, we will show that H_1^2 admits a proper coloring from the list L_{H_1} .

Subcase 1.1: $L_{H_1}(v_1) \cap L_{H_1}(v_6) \neq \emptyset$.

Color v_1 and v_6 by a color $c \in L_{H_1}(v_1) \cap L_{H_1}(v_6)$, and greedily color v_3, v_4, v_2, v_5 in order. Then H_1^2 admits a proper coloring from its list. This gives an L -coloring for G^2 .

Subcase 1.2: $L_{H_1}(v_1) \cap L_{H_1}(v_6) = \emptyset$.

Note that in this case $|L_{H_1}(v_1) \cup L_{H_1}(v_6)| \geq 6$ and $|L_{H_1}(v_5)| \geq 5$. So, we can color v_1 by $c_1 \in L_{H_1}(v_1)$ and v_6 by $c_6 \in L_{H_1}(v_6)$ so that $|L_{H_1}(v_5) \setminus \{c_1, c_6\}| \geq 5$. Then greedily color v_3, v_4, v_2, v_5 in order. Then H_1^2 admits a proper coloring from its list L_{H_1} . This gives an L -coloring for G^2 .

Case 2: H_1^2 is not an induced subgraph in G^2 .

In this case, we need to consider the case when v_1 and v_6 are adjacent or v_3 and v_4 are adjacent in G^2 . By symmetry, we may assume that v_3 and v_4 are adjacent in G^2 . Since G has no 5-cycle, v_3 and v_4 cannot have a common neighbor in G , and hence v_3 and v_4 are adjacent in G . By the planarity, v_1 and v_6 are not adjacent in G^2 .

Let $G' = G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G')$. Then v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 induce a K_5 and the number of available colors at vertices are like Case 2 in Figure 6. Then v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 are colored properly from the list. Thus, G^2 has an L -coloring. This is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample. So, H_1 does not appear in G . \square

Next, we will prove that a 6-cycle has no 2-vertex.

Lemma 9. ([11, Lemma 5]) G has no 6-cycle which contains a 2-vertex.

Proof. Suppose that G has a 6-cycle C such that $V(C) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ and v_6 is a 2-vertex (see Figure 7). Note that for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, v_i and v_{i+3} are not adjacent in G by Lemma 8, and v_i and v_{i+3} have no common neighbor since G has no 5-cycle. Thus C^2 is an induced subgraph of G^2 . But in this case, subgraph C cannot appear in G by [11, Lemma 5]. So, G has no 6-cycle which contains a 2-vertex. \square




Figure 7: A 6-cycle C which has a 2-vertex v_6 .

Next, we show that if G is a minimal counterexample, then $\delta(G) \geq 3$.

Lemma 10. G is a cubic planar graph.

Proof. It is easily checked that G has no 1-vertex. Also, it is easily verified that a 3-cycle has no 2-vertex, and a 4-cycle has no 2-vertex. A 6-cycle has no 2-vertex by Lemma 9. Thus, if a minimal counterexample G has a 2-vertex w with $N_G(w) = \{x, y\}$, then there exist no cycle of length at most 6 that passes through w . We modify G with $H = G - w + xy$. Then, we know that the resulting graph H is still a planar graph without 5-cycles. Now since $|V(H)| < |V(G)|$, H^2 has an L -coloring ϕ by induction hypothesis. And then we can color w by a color $c \in L(w)$ since w has at most six neighbors in G^2 . Thus, G^2 has a proper L -coloring, which is a contradiction. So, G has no 2-vertex. Thus $\delta(G) \geq 3$ and G is a cubic planar graph since $\Delta(G) \leq 3$. \square

Lemma 10 shows the next lemma.

Lemma 11. *G has no two 4-faces which are adjacent.*

Proof. By Lemma 8, G has no two 4-faces sharing exactly one edge. If there are two 4-faces sharing two edges, then G must have a vertex of degree 2, contradicting to Lemma 10. \square

Next, we prove that subgraph F_2 in Figure 1 does not appear in G .

Lemma 12. *The subgraph F_2 in Figure 1 does not appear in G .*

Proof. Suppose that G has F_2 as a subgraph, where the distance between a 3-face and a 4-face is 1. Let H be the subgraph induced by $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_7\}$ in G (see Figure 8). Let $G' = G - V(H)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G')$.

Now, for each $v_i \in V(H)$, we define

$$L_H(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(H)\}.$$

Then, we have the following (see Figure 8).

$$|L_H(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2 & i = 6, \\ 3 & i = 1, 2, 5, 7, \\ 5 & i = 3, 4. \end{cases}$$




Figure 8: The distance between 3-face and 4-face is 1. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

If v_1 and v_5 are adjacent in G^2 , then G has a 3-cycle adjacent to a 4-cycle or a 5-cycle, contradicting Lemma 7 (a) or the assumption. Thus, we can assume that v_1 and v_5 are not adjacent in G^2 . Similarly, we see that v_i and v_j are not adjacent in G^2 for any $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and $j \in \{5, 6, 7\}$.

Case 1: $L_H(v_1) \cap L_H(v_5) \neq \emptyset$.

Color v_1 and v_5 by a color $c \in L(v_1) \cap L_H(v_5)$, and then greedily color v_6, v_7, v_4, v_2, v_3 in order.

Case 2: $L_H(v_1) \cap L_H(v_5) = \emptyset$.

Since $|L_H(v_1) \cup L_H(v_5)| \geq 6$ and $|L_H(v_3)| \geq 5$, we can color v_1 by $c_1 \in L_H(v_1)$ and v_5 by $c_5 \in L_H(v_5)$ so that $|L_H(v_3) \setminus \{c_1, c_5\}| \geq 4$. And then greedily color v_6, v_7, v_4, v_2, v_3 in order.

So, H^2 admits an L -coloring from the list $L_H(v)$. Thus G^2 admits an L -coloring from the list $L(v)$, which is a contradiction. \square

Next lemma is for the property of subgraph F_4 in Figure 1.

Lemma 13. *If a face F is adjacent to a 3-face and a 4-face such that the distance between the 3-face and the 4-face is 2, then the size of F is at least 9.*

Proof. Suppose that G has F_4 as a subgraph with the size of the face F is at most 8 (see Figure 9). Then F is either a 7-face or 8-face, see subgraphs W_1 and W_2 in Figure 9, respectively.

We have the following two cases.

Case 1: F is a 7-face.

Let W_1 be the subgraph induced by $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{10}\}$ in G . Let $G' = G - V(W_1)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G')$.

Subcase 1.1: W_1^2 is an induced subgraph of G^2 .

Now, for each $v_i \in V(W_1)$, we define

$$L_{W_1}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(W_1)\}.$$

Then, we have the following (see W_1 in Figure 9).

$$|L_{W_1}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3 & i = 6, 7, 9, 10, \\ 4 & i = 2, 4, \\ 5 & i = 1, 3, 5, 8. \end{cases}$$




Figure 9: Face F is adjacent to a 3-face and a 4-face such that the distance between the 3-face and the 4-face is 2. W_1 and W_2 are for the case when F is a 7-face and a 8-face, respectively. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

If $|L_{W_1}(v_2)| \geq 5$, then greedily color $v_{10}, v_9, v_8, v_7, v_6, v_5, v_4, v_3, v_1, v_2$ in order. This coloring is possible since $|L_{W_1}(v_2)| \geq 5$ and v_2 has only four neighbors in G^2 .

If $|L_{W_1}(v_2)| = 4$, then there exists a color $c_1 \in L_{W_1}(v_1) \setminus L_{W_1}(v_2)$ since $|L_{W_1}(v_1)| \geq 5$. Color v_{10} by a color $c_{10} \in L_{W_1}(v_{10}) \setminus \{c_1\}$, and then color v_9 by a color $c_9 \in L_{W_1}(v_9) \setminus$

$\{c_1, c_{10}\}$. Next, greedily color v_8, v_7, v_6, v_5, v_4 in order. Let $\phi(v_i)$ be the color that is colored at v_i for $i \in \{4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} L'_{W_1}(v_1) &= L_{W_1}(v_1) \setminus \{c_9, c_{10}, \phi(v_4)\}, & L'_{W_1}(v_2) &= L_{W_1}(v_2) \setminus \{c_{10}, \phi(v_4)\}, \\ L'_{W_1}(v_3) &= L_{W_1}(v_3) \setminus \{c_{10}, \phi(v_4), \phi(v_5)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have that $|L'_{W_1}(v_i)| \geq 2$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Here if $\phi(v_4) \neq c_1$, then $c_1 \in L'_{W_1}(v_1) \setminus L'_{W_1}(v_2)$. So, $L'_{W_1}(v_1) \neq L'_{W_1}(v_2)$. Hence, we can color v_1, v_2, v_3 from the list $L'_{W_1}(v_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Next, if $\phi(v_4) = c_1$, then $|L'_{W_1}(v_2)| \geq 3$ since $c_1 \in L_{W_1}(v_1) \setminus L_{W_1}(v_2)$. So, we can color v_1, v_2, v_3 from the list $L'_{W_1}(v_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Hence W_1^2 admits an L -coloring from the list $L_{W_1}(v)$.

Subcase 1.2: W_1^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 .

Simplifying cases:

- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_2, v_6\}$, $\{v_2, v_9\}$, $\{v_4, v_6\}$, $\{v_4, v_9\}$, $\{v_4, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_6, v_{10}\}$, and $\{v_7, v_9\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes F_1 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 7(a): $\{v_2, v_4\}$, and $\{v_2, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes F_2 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 12: $\{v_4, v_7\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes F_3 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 7(b): $\{v_2, v_7\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes H_1 in Figure 2, which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_7, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs have no common neighbor outside W_1 , since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_2, v_4\}$, $\{v_2, v_9\}$, $\{v_2, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_4, v_7\}$, $\{v_4, v_9\}$, $\{v_4, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_6, v_7\}$, $\{v_6, v_9\}$, and $\{v_7, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs have no common neighbor outside W_1 , since it makes F_2 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 12: $\{v_9, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs have no common neighbor outside W_1 , since it makes F_3 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 7(b): $\{v_2, v_6\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs have no common neighbor outside W_1 , since it makes H_1 in Figure 2, which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_4, v_6\}$, and $\{v_7, v_9\}$.

Considering these, for edges in G^2 but not in W_1^2 , we only need to consider the following cases.

Subcase 1.2.1: v_2 and v_7 have a common neighbor outside W_1 .

In this case, the number of available colors at vertices of $V(W_1)$ is Subcase 1.2.1 in Figure 10. If $|L_{W_1}(v_2)| \geq 6$, then greedily color $v_{10}, v_9, v_8, v_7, v_6, v_5, v_4, v_3, v_1, v_2$ in

order as in Subcase 1.1. Next, if $|L_{W_1}(v_2)| = 5$, then we color v_7 first, and then color $v_{10}, v_9, v_8, v_6, v_5, v_4$ in order by the same procedure as Subcase 1.1. Then we can show that the vertices in W_1 can be colored from the list L_{W_1} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 .

Subcase 1.2.2: v_6 and v_{10} have a common neighbor outside W_1 , or v_6 and v_9 are adjacent in G .

In these case, we can follow the same procedure as Subcase 1.1. Then can show that the vertices in W_1 can be colored from the list L_{W_1} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 .

This completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2: F is a 8-face.

Let W_2 be the subgraph induced by $\{v_1, \dots, v_{11}\}$ in G (see Figure 9).

Subcase 2.1: W_2^2 is an induced subgraph of G^2 .

Similarly to Subcase 1.1, the square of $G - V(W_2)$ has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G) - V(W_2)$. For each $v_i \in V(W_2)$, we define

$$L_{W_2}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(W_2)\},$$

and then we have the following (see W_2 in Figure 9).

$$|L_{W_2}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2 & i = 10, \\ 3 & i = 6, 7, 9, 11, \\ 4 & i = 2, 4, \\ 5 & i = 1, 3, 5, 8. \end{cases}$$


If $|L_{W_2}(v_2)| \geq 5$, then greedily color $v_{11}, v_{10}, v_9, v_8, v_7, v_6, v_5, v_4, v_3, v_1, v_2$ in order. This is possible since $|L_{W_2}(v_2)| \geq 5$ and v_2 has only four neighbors in W_2^2 .

If $|L_{W_2}(v_2)| = 4$, then there exists a color $c_1 \in L_{W_2}(v_1) \setminus L_{W_2}(v_2)$ since $|L_{W_2}(v_1)| \geq 5$. Color v_{10} by a color $c_{10} \in L_{W_2}(v_{10}) \setminus \{c_1\}$, and then color v_{11} by a color $c_{11} \in L_{W_2}(v_{11}) \setminus \{c_1, c_{10}\}$. Next, greedily color $v_9, v_8, v_7, v_6, v_5, v_4$ in order, and then color v_1, v_2, v_3 by the same argument as Case 1.1 using $c_1 \in L_{W_2}(v_1) \setminus L_{W_2}(v_2)$. So, W_2^2 admits an L -coloring from the list $L_{W_2}(v)$.


Subcase 2.2: W_2^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 .

Simplifying cases:

- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_2, v_6\}$, $\{v_2, v_9\}$, $\{v_2, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_4, v_6\}$, $\{v_4, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_4, v_{11}\}$, $\{v_6, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_7, v_9\}$, and $\{v_7, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes F_1 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 7(a): $\{v_2, v_4\}$, and $\{v_2, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes F_2 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 12: $\{v_4, v_7\}$, and $\{v_9, v_{11}\}$.



W_1 in (i) in Subcase 1.2.1



W_2 in (i) in Subcase 2.2.1

Figure 10: Subcase 1.2 and Subcase 2.2. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes F_3 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 7(b): $\{v_2, v_7\}$, $\{v_4, v_9\}$, and $\{v_6, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes H_1 in Figure 2, which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_7, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs have no common neighbor outside W_2 , since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_2, v_4\}$, $\{v_2, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_2, v_{11}\}$, $\{v_4, v_7\}$, $\{v_4, v_9\}$, $\{v_4, v_{11}\}$, $\{v_6, v_7\}$, $\{v_6, v_9\}$, and $\{v_7, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs have no common neighbor outside W_2 , since it makes F_2 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 12: $\{v_9, v_{10}\}$, and $\{v_9, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs have no common neighbor outside W_2 , since it makes F_3 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 7(b): $\{v_2, v_6\}$, $\{v_2, v_9\}$, $\{v_4, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs have no common neighbor outside W_2 , since it makes two 3-cycles of distance 1, which does not exist by Lemma 7(c): $\{v_{10}, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs have no common neighbor outside W_2 , since it makes H_1 in Figure 2, which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_4, v_6\}$, and $\{v_7, v_9\}$.

Considering these, we only need to consider the following five cases (i)–(v):

- v_2 and v_7 have a common neighbor outside W_2 in G .
- v_6 and v_{10} have a common neighbor outside W_2 in G .
- v_6 and v_{11} have a common neighbor outside W_2 in G .
- v_7 and v_{11} have a common neighbor outside W_2 in G .

(v) v_6 is adjacent to v_9 in G .

Subcase 2.2.1: Case (i): v_2 and v_7 have a common neighbor outside W_2 in G .

The number of available colors at vertices of $V(W_1)$ is presented in Subcase 2.2.1 in Figure 10. We first color v_7 by a color $c_7 \in L_{W_2}(v_7)$ so that $|L_{W_2}(v_9) \setminus \{c_7\}| \geq 3$. If $|L_{W_1}(v_2) \setminus \{c_7\}| \geq 5$, then greedily color $v_{11}, v_{10}, v_9, v_8, v_6, v_5, v_4, v_3, v_1, v_2$ in order. If $|L_{W_1}(v_2) \setminus \{c_7\}| = 4$, then choose a color $c_1 \in L_{W_1}(v_1) \setminus (L_{W_1}(v_2) \setminus \{c_7\})$, and follow the same procedure as Subcase 2.1. Then, the vertices in W_2 can be colored from the list L_{W_2} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 . Note that it is possible that $c_1 = c_7$, but we can still follow the same procedure as Subcase 2.1 since we colored v_7 first.

Subcase 2.2.2: Case (ii)–(v).

We follow the same argument as Subcase 2.1. Then, we can show that the vertices in W_2 can be colored from the list L_{W_2} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 .

This completes the proof of Case 2. Thus, in either case, G^2 admits an L -coloring, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 13. \square

Corollary 14. *If a 7-face is adjacent to a 3-face, then it is not adjacent to a 4-face. And if a 8-face is adjacent to a 3-face, then it cannot be adjacent to two 4-faces.*

Proof. If a 7-face C_7 is adjacent to a 3-face f_1 and a 4-face f_2 , then the distance between f_1 and f_2 is at most 2. However, this contradicts Lemma 12 or 13. So, a 7-face cannot be adjacent to both of 3-face and 4-face.

If a 8-face C_8 is adjacent to a 3-face f_3 and two 4-faces, then there exists a 4-face f_4 such that the distance between f_3 and f_4 is at most 2. Again, this contradicts Lemma 12 or 13. So, if a 8-face is adjacent to a 3-face, then it cannot be adjacent to two 4-faces. \square

5.2 Special configurations

In this subsection, we prove three configurations which are of independent interest.




Figure 11: The size of list $L(v_3)$ and $L(v_4)$ are 2, and the other vertices have lists of size 3 in J_1 . The size of list $L(v_2)$ and $L(v_4)$ are 2, and the other vertices have lists of size 3 in J_2 .

Lemma 15. *For a 6-cycle J_1 with $V(J_1) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$, if each vertex v_i has a list $L(v_i)$ with $|L(v_i)| = 3$ for $i = 1, 2, 5, 6$, $|L(v_3)| = |L(v_4)| = 2$ and $L(v_3) \neq L(v_4)$ (see Figure 11), then J_1^2 has a proper coloring from the list.*

Proof. Let J_1 be a 6-cycle with $V(J_1) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ and suppose that the list L satisfies $|L(v_i)| = 3$ for $i = 1, 2, 5, 6$, $|L(v_3)| = |L(v_4)| = 2$ and $L(v_3) \neq L(v_4)$. We will show that J_1^2 has a proper coloring from the list.

Case 1: $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) \neq \emptyset$.

Color v_1 and v_4 by a color $c \in L(v_1) \cap L(v_4)$. Let $L'(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{c\}$ for $i = 2, 3, 5, 6$. Then $|L'(v_2)| \geq 2$, $|L'(v_3)| \geq 1$, $|L'(v_5)| \geq 2$, and $|L'(v_6)| \geq 2$. In this case, if $|L'(v_3)| \geq 2$, then v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6 can be colored properly from the list since v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6 form a 4-cycle in J_1^2 and every vertex has a list of size at least 2. So, we can assume that $|L'(v_3)| = 1$. In this case, the only case when v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6 cannot be colored properly from the list is essentially as follows for some colors 1, 2, 3:

$$L'(v_2) = \{1, 2\}, L'(v_3) = \{1\}, L'(v_5) = \{1, 3\}, L'(v_6) = \{2, 3\}.$$

So, the original list of v_i for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ is as follows for some colors a, b and d .

$$\begin{aligned} L(v_1) &= \{a, b, c\}, & L(v_2) &= \{1, 2, c\}, & L(v_3) &= \{1, c\}, \\ L(v_4) &= \{c, d\}, & L(v_5) &= \{1, 3, c\}, & L(v_6) &= \{2, 3, c\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $d \neq 1$ since $L(v_3) \neq L(v_4)$. By the symmetry between a and b , we may assume that $a \neq 1$. Then we can color v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6 properly from the list by ϕ as

$$\phi(v_1) = a, \phi(v_2) = 1, \phi(v_3) = c, \phi(v_4) = d, \phi(v_5) = 1, \phi(v_6) = c.$$

This is a proper coloring since $a \neq 1$ and $d \neq 1$.

Case 2: $L(v_3) \cap L(v_6) \neq \emptyset$.

By the same argument, we can show that J_1^2 has a proper coloring from the list.

Case 3: $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) = L(v_3) \cap L(v_6) = \emptyset$, and $L(v_2) \cap L(v_5) \neq \emptyset$.

Color v_2 and v_5 by a color $c \in L(v_2) \cap L(v_5)$. Let $L'(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{c\}$ for $i = 1, 3, 4, 6$. Then since $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) = \emptyset$ and $L(v_3) \cap L(v_6) = \emptyset$, we have that $|L'(v_1)| = 3$ if $|L'(v_4)| = 1$ or $|L'(v_1)| \geq 2$ if $|L'(v_4)| = 2$. Similarly, $|L'(v_6)| = 3$ if $|L'(v_3)| = 1$ or $|L'(v_6)| \geq 2$ if $|L'(v_3)| = 2$. So, the sizes of $L'(v)$ are as follows.

- $|L'(v_1)| = 3, |L'(v_3)| = 1, |L'(v_4)| = 1, |L'(v_6)| = 3$, or
- $|L'(v_1)| \geq 2, |L'(v_3)| = 1, |L'(v_4)| = 2, |L'(v_6)| = 3$, or
- $|L'(v_1)| = 3, |L'(v_3)| = 2, |L'(v_4)| = 1, |L'(v_6)| \geq 2$, or
- $|L'(v_1)| \geq 2, |L'(v_3)| = 2, |L'(v_4)| = 2, |L'(v_6)| \geq 2$.

At each case, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6 are colorable from the list L' since v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6 form a 4-cycle in J_1^2 and $L'(v_3) \neq L'(v_4)$ in the first case. This completes the proof Case 3.

Case 4: $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) = L(v_3) \cap L(v_6) = L(v_2) \cap L(v_5) = \emptyset$.

Let $X = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$, and we define a bipartite graph W as follows.

- $V(W) = X \cup Y$ where $Y = \bigcup_{v_i \in X} L(v_i)$.

- For each v_i , $v_i\alpha \in E(W)$ if $\alpha \in L(v_i)$.

Note that $|N_W(v_1) \cup N_W(v_4)| = |N_W(v_3) \cup N_W(v_6)| = 5$ and $|N_W(v_2) \cup N_W(v_5)| = 6$ since $L(v_j) \cap L(v_{j+3}) = \emptyset$ for each $j = 1, 2, 3$. Thus, we can easily show that $|N_W(S)| \geq |S|$ for every $S \subset \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$. Hence by Hall's theorem, the bipartite graph W has a matching M that contains all vertices of $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$. So, we can choose six different colors $\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6\}$ such that $c_i \in L(v_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 6$. Hence J_1^2 can be colored properly from the list. \square

Next we prove Lemma 16 which will be used for the proof of the reducibility of H_4 .

Lemma 16. *For a 6-cycle J_2 with $V(J_2) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$, if each vertex v_i has a list $L(v_i)$ with $|L(v_i)| = 3$ for $i = 1, 3, 5, 6$, $|L(v_2)| = |L(v_4)| = 2$ and $L(v_2) \neq L(v_4)$ (see Figure 11), then J_2^2 has a proper coloring from the list.*

Proof. Let J_2 be a 6-cycle with $V(J_2) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ and suppose that the list L satisfies $|L(v_i)| = 3$ for $i = 1, 3, 5, 6$, $|L(v_2)| = |L(v_4)| = 2$ and $L(v_2) \neq L(v_4)$.

Then we can easily check that J_2^2 has a proper coloring from the list if $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) \neq \emptyset$ or $L(v_2) \cap L(v_5) \neq \emptyset$ by the same argument as Case 1 in the proof of Lemma 15. And by the same argument as Case 3, we can show the case $L(v_1) \cap L(v_4) = L(v_2) \cap L(v_5) = \emptyset$, and $L(v_3) \cap L(v_6) \neq \emptyset$. Then, we can show that J_2^2 has a proper coloring from the list by the same argument as Case 4 in Lemma 15. \square

Next, we will prove an important lemma which will be used in the proof for the reducibility of H_3 .

Lemma 17. *For a 6-cycle H with $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$, if each vertex v_i has a list $L(v_i)$ with $|L(v_i)| = 3$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6$ and $|L(v_4)| = 2$ (see Figure 12), then H^2 has a proper coloring from the list.*

Proof. Since $|L(v_3)| = 3$ and $|L(v_4)| = 2$, we can remove a color c from $L(v_3)$ so that $L(v_3) \setminus \{c\} \neq L(v_4)$. So, it holds by Lemma 15. \square




Figure 12: The size of list $L(v_4)$ are 2, and the other vertices have list of size 3.

5.3 Subgraph H_2 is reducible

In this subsection, we will prove that subgraph H_2 cannot appear in a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3. First, we prove that subgraph J_3 and J_4 do not appear in G . We consider the case when two 4-cycles share two edges. In J_3 , two 4-cycles are adjacent to a 6-face, and in J_4 , two 4-cycles are adjacent to a 7-face (see Figure 13).



Figure 13: Graphs J_3 and J_4 . The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Lemma 18. *The subgraphs J_3 and J_4 in Figure 13 do not appear in G .*

Proof. Suppose that the subgraphs J_3 or J_4 in Figure 13 appear in G . Let L be a list assignment with lists of size 7 for each vertex in G . We will show that G^2 has a proper coloring from the list L , which is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample to the theorem.

Case 1: The subgraph J_3 .

Let $V(J_3) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8\}$ as in Figure 13. Let $G' = G - V(J_3)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G')$. Now, for each $v_i \in V(J_3)$, we define

$$L_{J_3}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(J_3)\}.$$

Then, we have the following (see the graph J_3 in Figure 13).

$$|L_{J_3}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2 & i = 7, \\ 3 & i = 6, 8, \\ 4 & i = 1, \\ 6 & i = 2, 3, 4, 5. \end{cases}$$

Now, we will show that J_3^2 admits a proper coloring from the list L_{J_3} .

Since $|L_{J_3}(v_6)| \geq 3$, $|L_{J_3}(v_7)| \geq 2$, $|L_{J_3}(v_8)| \geq 3$, we can color v_6, v_7, v_8 by some colors c_6, c_7, c_8 , respectively, so that $L_{J_3}(v_1) \neq L_{J_3}(v_3) \setminus \{c_6, c_7\}$. For each $v_i \in \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$, let $L'_{J_3}(v_i)$ the list of available colors at v_i after coloring v_6, v_7, v_8 . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} L'_{J_3}(v_1) &= L_{J_3}(v_1), \quad L'_{J_3}(v_2) = L_{J_3}(v_2) \setminus \{c_6, c_8\}, \quad L'_{J_3}(v_3) = L_{J_3}(v_3) \setminus \{c_6, c_7\}, \\ L'_{J_3}(v_4) &= L_{J_3}(v_4) \setminus \{c_6, c_8\}, \quad \text{and} \quad L'_{J_3}(v_5) = L_{J_3}(v_5) \setminus \{c_7, c_8\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $|L'_{J_3}(v_i)| \geq 4$ for each $v_i \in \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. Note that v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 form a K_5 in J_3^2 and $L'_{J_3}(v_1) \neq L_{J_3}(v_3) \setminus \{c_6, c_7\} = L'_{J_3}(v_3)$. So, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 are colored properly from the list L'_{J_3} . Thus J_3^2 has an L_{J_3} -coloring from the list, and so G^2 has a proper coloring from the list L , which is a contradiction. Hence the subgraph J_3 does not appear in G .

Case 2: The subgraph J_4 .

Let $V(J_4) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9\}$ as in Figure 13. Let $G' = G - V(J_4)$. Then the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G')$. Now, for each $v_i \in V(J_4)$, we define

$$L_{J_4}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(J_4)\}.$$

Then, we have the following (see the graph J_4 in Figure 13).

$$|L_{J_4}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2 & i = 7, 8, \\ 3 & i = 6, 9, \\ 4 & i = 1, \\ 6 & i = 2, 3, 4, 5. \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that J_4^2 admits a proper coloring from the list L_{J_4} .

Since $|L_{J_4}(v_6)| \geq 3$, $|L_{J_4}(v_7)| \geq 2$, $|L_{J_4}(v_8)| \geq 2$, $|L_{J_4}(v_9)| \geq 3$, we can color v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9 by some colors c_6, c_7, c_8, c_9 , respectively, so that $L_{J_4}(v_1) \neq L_{J_4}(v_3) \setminus \{c_6, c_7\}$. By the same way as in Case 1, we obtain that J_4^2 has a proper coloring from the list L_{J_4} , and so G^2 has a proper coloring from the list L , which is a contradiction. Hence the subgraph J_4 does not appear in G . \square

Now, we will prove that H_2 does not appear in G .

Lemma 19. *The graph H_2 does not appear in G .*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that G has H_2 as a subgraph, and denote $V(H_2) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{10}\}$ as in Figure 14. Let L be a list assignment with lists of size 7 for each vertex in G . We will show that G^2 has a proper coloring from the list L , which is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample to the theorem.

Let $G' = G - V(H_2)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(H)$. For each $v_i \in V(H_2)$, we define

$$L_{H_2}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(H_2)\}.$$

We have the following three cases.

Case 1: H_2^2 is an induced subgraph of G^2 .

In this case, we have the following (see Figure 14).

$$|L_{H_2}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3 & i = 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, \\ 5 & i = 6, 9, \\ 6 & i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that H_2^2 admits a proper coloring from the list L_{H_2} .

First, we color v_1, v_4, v_5, v_{10} . Let $L'_{H_2}(v_i)$ for $i = 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9$ be the color list of v_i after coloring v_1, v_4, v_5, v_{10} . Then we have that $|L'_{H_2}(v_i)| \geq 3$ for $i = 2, 3, 6, 9$ and $|L'_{H_2}(v_j)| \geq 2$ for $j = 7, 8$. Here note that since $|L_{H_2}(v_5)| \geq 3$ and $|L_{H_2}(v_{10})| \geq 3$, we can color v_5 and



Figure 14: Graph H_2 and Case 2 of the proof of Lemma 19. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

v_{10} so that $L'_{H_2}(v_7) \neq L'_{H_2}(v_8)$. So, we can complete the coloring of $v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9$ by Lemma 15. This completes the proof of Case 1.

Note that for $X \subset V(G)$, $G[X]$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by X .

Case 2: H_2^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 and $E(G[V(H_2)]) - E(H_2) \neq \emptyset$.

Simplifying cases:

- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_1, v_8\}$, $\{v_1, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_4, v_5\}$, $\{v_4, v_7\}$, $\{v_5, v_7\}$, and $\{v_8, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes H_1 , which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_1, v_4\}$, $\{v_5, v_8\}$, and $\{v_7, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes J_3 , which does not exist by Lemma 18: $\{v_1, v_7\}$, and $\{v_4, v_8\}$.

Thus we only need to consider the case when v_5 and v_{10} are adjacent. We have the following (see Case 2 in Figure 14).

$$|L_{H_2}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3 & i = 7, 8, \\ 4 & i = 1, 4, \\ 6 & i = 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10. \end{cases}$$

Color v_5 by a color $c_5 \in L_{H_2}(v_5) \setminus L_{H_2}(v_7)$, and color v_{10} by a color $c_{10} \in L_{H_2}(v_{10}) \setminus L_{H_2}(v_8)$ and $c_5 \neq c_{10}$. Next, color v_1 and v_4 greedily. Let $L'_{H_2}(v_i)$ be the list of available colors at $v_i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9\}$ after coloring v_1, v_4, v_5, v_{10} . Then we have the following.

$$|L'_{H_2}(v_i)| \geq 3 \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9.$$

Since $v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9$ form a 6-cycle, $v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9$ can be colored properly from the list L'_{H_2} by Lemma 17.

Case 3: H_2^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 and $E(G[V(H_2)]) - E(H_2) = \emptyset$.

Simplifying cases:




Figure 15: Subcases 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 of the proof of Lemma 19. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_2 , since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_1, v_4\}$, $\{v_1, v_5\}$, $\{v_1, v_7\}$, $\{v_4, v_8\}$, $\{v_4, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_5, v_8\}$, and $\{v_7, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_2 , since it makes the subgraph F_3 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 7(b): $\{v_7, v_8\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_2 , since it makes H_1 in Figure 2, which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_5, v_7\}$, and $\{v_8, v_{10}\}$.

Considering these, we only need to deal with the following three subcases.

Subcase 3.1: v_5 and v_{10} have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_2)$ (see Subcase 3.1 in Figure 15).

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(H_2) \setminus \{v_5, v_{10}\}$ by the argument of the Simplifying cases. The number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_2)$ is presented in Subcase 3.1 of Figure 15. Color v_5 by a color in $L_{H_2}(v_5) \setminus L_{H_2}(v_7)$ and greedily color v_{10}, v_1, v_4 in order. Let $L'_{H_2}(v_i)$ be the list of available colors at $v_i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9\}$ after coloring v_1, v_4, v_5, v_{10} . Then we have the following.

$$|L'_{H_2}(v_i)| \geq 3 \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, \text{ and } |L'_{H_2}(v_8)| \geq 2.$$

Since $v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9$ form a 6-cycle, $v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9$ can be colored properly from the list L'_{H_2} by Lemma 17.

Subcase 3.2: v_4 and v_5 (or v_1 and v_{10} by symmetry) have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_2)$.

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(H_2) \setminus \{v_4, v_5\}$ by the argument of the Simplifying cases. Then the number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_2)$ is presented in Subcase 3.2 of Figure 15. In this case, we follow the same argument as Subcase 3.1. Color v_5 by a color in $L_{H_2}(v_5) \setminus L_{H_2}(v_7)$ and greedily color v_{10}, v_1, v_4 in order. Let $L'_{H_2}(v_i)$ be the list of available colors at $v_i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9\}$ after coloring v_1, v_4, v_5, v_{10} . Then we have the following.

$$|L'_{H_2}(v_i)| \geq 3 \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, \text{ and } |L'_{H_2}(v_8)| \geq 2.$$

Since $v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9$ form a 6-cycle, $v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9$ can be colored properly from the list L'_{H_2} by Lemma 17.

Subcase 3.3: v_4 and v_7 (or v_1 and v_8 by symmetry) have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_2)$.

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(H_2) \setminus \{v_4, v_7\}$ by the argument of he Simplifying cases. Then the number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_2)$ is presented in Subcase 3.3 of Figure 15. In this case, first we color v_1 and v_5 greedily. We may assume that c_5 is the color assigned at v_5 . Next, color v_4 by a color c_4 so that $|L_{H_2}(v_7) \setminus \{c_4, c_5\}| \geq 3$. This is possible since $|L_{H_2}(v_4)| \geq 4$. And then color v_{10} . Let $L'_{H_2}(v_i)$ be the list of available colors at $v_i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9\}$ after coloring v_1, v_4, v_5, v_{10} . Then we have the following.

$$|L'_{H_2}(v_i)| \geq 3 \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, \text{ and } |L'_{H_2}(v_8)| \geq 2.$$

Since $\{v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9\}$ form a 6-cycle, $\{v_2, v_3, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_9\}$ can be colored properly from the list L'_{H_2} by Lemma 17.

So, in either case, the vertices in H_2 can be colored from the list L_{H_2} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 . This is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample. So, G has no H_2 . \square

5.4 Subgraph H_3 is reducible

In this subsection, we will prove that graph H_3 in Figure 2 does not appear in a minimal counterexample.




Figure 16: Graph H_3 . The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Lemma 20. *The graph H_3 does not appear in G .*

Proof. Suppose that G has H_3 as a subgraph, and denote $V(H_3) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{11}\}$ (Figure 16). Let L be a list assignment with lists of size 7 for each vertex in G . We will show that G^2 has a proper coloring from the list L , which is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample to the theorem.

Let $G' = G - V(H_3)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(H_3)$. For each $v_i \in V(H_3)$, we define

$$L_{H_3}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(H_3)\}.$$

We have the following three cases.

Case 1: H_3^2 is an induced subgraph of G^2 .

In this case, we have the following (see Figure 16).

$$|L_{H_3}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2 & i = 2, 11, \\ 3 & i = 1, 3, 7, 10, \\ 4 & i = 8, \\ 5 & i = 4, 5, 9, \\ 7 & i = 6. \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that H_3^2 admits an L -coloring from the list L_{H_3} . Observe that H_3^2 has 30 edges. And the graph polynomial for H_3^2 is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} P_{H_3^2}(\mathbf{x}) = & (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 - x_5)(x_1 - x_6)(x_1 - x_8)(x_2 - x_3)(x_2 - x_4)(x_2 - x_5) \\ & (x_3 - x_4)(x_3 - x_6)(x_3 - x_7)(x_4 - x_5)(x_4 - x_6)(x_4 - x_7)(x_4 - x_9)(x_4 - x_{11}) \\ & (x_5 - x_6)(x_5 - x_8)(x_5 - x_9)(x_6 - x_7)(x_6 - x_8)(x_6 - x_9)(x_6 - x_{10})(x_7 - x_{10}) \\ & (x_7 - x_{11})(x_8 - x_9)(x_8 - x_{10})(x_9 - x_{10})(x_9 - x_{11})(x_{10} - x_{11}). \end{aligned}$$

By the calculation using Mathematica, the coefficient of $x_1^2 x_2^1 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^4 x_6^5 x_7^2 x_8^3 x_9^4 x_{10}^2 x_{11}^1$ is 2, which is nonzero. Thus, by Theorem 6, H_3^2 admits an L -coloring from its list. This gives an L -coloring for G^2 . This is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample.

Case 2: H_3^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 and $E(G[V(H_3)]) - E(H_3) \neq \emptyset$.

Simplifying cases:

- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent, since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_1, v_3\}$, $\{v_1, v_7\}$, $\{v_1, v_8\}$, $\{v_1, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_2, v_{11}\}$, $\{v_3, v_8\}$, $\{v_3, v_{10}\}$, $\{v_7, v_8\}$, $\{v_7, v_{10}\}$, and $\{v_8, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes H_1 , which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_2, v_8\}$, and $\{v_8, v_{11}\}$.
- v_3 and v_7 cannot be adjacent in G since it makes F_3 , which does not exist by Lemma 7 (b).
- v_3 and v_{11} cannot be adjacent in G since it makes H_2 , consisting of the 4-cycles $v_3 v_4 v_7 v_{11} v_3$ and $v_5 v_6 v_9 v_8 v_5$, and the 6-cycle $v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_6 v_5 v_1$ (see the case $v_3 v_{11} \in E(G)$ in Figure 17), a contradiction to Lemma 19. (In fact, it corresponds to Subcase 3.2 in the proof of Lemma 19, where v_{10} corresponds to the common neighbor w .) So, if v_3 and v_{11} are adjacent, then it is reducible by Lemma 19.

Symmetrically, v_2 and v_7 cannot be adjacent in G .

Thus, either v_1 and v_{11} are adjacent or v_2 and v_{10} are adjacent. By symmetry, we only need to consider the latter case. We have the following (see the case $v_2 v_{10} \in E(G)$)


in Figure 17).

$$|L_{H_3}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3 & i = 7, 11, \\ 4 & i = 1, 3, 8, \\ 5 & i = 2, 4, 5, \\ 6 & i = 9, 10, \\ 7 & i = 6. \end{cases}$$


We first color v_3 by a color $c_3 \in L_{H_3}(v_3)$ so that $|L_{H_3}(v_7) \setminus \{c_3\}| \geq 3$, and color v_2 by a color $c_2 \in L_{H_3}(v_2) \setminus \{c_3\}$ so that $|L_{H_3}(v_{11}) \setminus \{c_2\}| \geq 3$. Next, color v_5 by a color $c_5 \in L_{H_3}(v_5) \setminus \{c_2\}$ so that $|L_{H_3}(v_4) \setminus \{c_2, c_3, c_5\}| \geq 3$, and then greedily color v_1 and v_8 in order. Let $L'_{H_3}(v_i)$ be the list of available colors for $i \in \{4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11\}$ after coloring v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_8 . We have the following.

$$|L'_{H_3}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3 & i = 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, \\ 2 & i = 10. \end{cases}$$

Note that $v_4, v_6, v_7, v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}$ form a 6-cycle. So, $v_4, v_6, v_7, v_9, v_{10}$, and v_{11} can be colored properly in H_3^2 from the list by Lemma 17.



The case $v_3v_{11} \in E(G)$



The case $v_2v_{10} \in E(G)$

Figure 17: Two cases in Case 2 of the proof of Lemma 20. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Case 3: H_3^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 and $E(G[V(H_3)]) - E(H_3) = \emptyset$.

Simplifying cases:

- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_3 , since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_2, v_7\}$, $\{v_2, v_8\}$, $\{v_3, v_{11}\}$, and $\{v_8, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_3 , since it makes F_3 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_1, v_2\}$, $\{v_2, v_3\}$, $\{v_7, v_{11}\}$, and $\{v_{10}, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_3 , since it makes H_1 in Figure 2, which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_1, v_8\}$, and $\{v_8, v_{10}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_3 , since it makes H_2 in Figure 2, which does not exist by Lemma 19: $\{v_3, v_7\}$.

Note that v_1 and v_3 are adjacent in H_3^2 through v_2 , and hence we do not need to consider the case v_1 and v_3 have a common neighbor outside H_3 . Similarly, we do not need to consider the case v_7 and v_{11} have a common neighbor outside H_3 . Thus, by symmetry, we only need to consider the following five subcases in Case 3.

Subcase 3.1: v_1 and v_{10} have a common neighbor outside H_3 in G .

Subcase 3.2: v_2 and v_{10} (v_1 and v_{11} by symmetry) have a common neighbor outside H_3 in G .

Subcase 3.3: v_3 and v_{10} (v_1 and v_7 by symmetry) have a common neighbor outside H_3 in G .

Subcase 3.4: v_7 and v_8 (v_3 and v_8 by symmetry) have a common neighbor outside H_3 in G .

Subcase 3.5: v_2 and v_{11} have a common neighbor outside H_3 in G .

In either case, we follow the same procedure as Case 1, by using the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. Since the argument is repeated in each subcase, we will just write the graph polynomial and indicated a monomial whose coefficient is not zero. Recall that $P_{H_3^2}(\mathbf{x})$ is the graph polynomial for H_3^2 when H_3 is an induced subgraph in Case 1.




Figure 18: Subcases 3.1.1, 3.1.2, and 3.1.3 of the proof of Lemma 20. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Subcase 3.1: v_1 and v_{10} have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_3)$.

In this subcase, we further divide the proof into some cases.

Subcase 3.1.1: w is adjacent to v_3 or v_7 .

By symmetry, we may assume w is adjacent to v_3 . In this subcase, the number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_3)$ is Subcase 3.1.1 in Figure 18. The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1 - x_{10})(x_3 - x_{10})P_{H_3^2}(\mathbf{x}).$$

By the calculation using Mathematica, we see that the coefficient of $x_1^3x_2^1x_3^3x_4^4x_5^4x_6^5x_7^2x_8^3x_9^4x_{10}^2x_{11}^1$ is 3, which is nonzero.

Subcase 3.1.2: v_2 and v_{11} have a common neighbor z , where $z \notin V(H_3)$.

The number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_3)$ is Subcase 3.1.2 in Figure 18. The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = (x_1 - x_{10})(x_2 - x_{11})P_{H_3^2}(\mathbf{x}).$$

By the calculation using Mathematica, we see that the coefficient of $x_1^3x_2^2x_3^2x_4^4x_5^4x_6^2x_7^2x_8^3x_9^4x_{10}^2x_{11}^1$ is 2, which is nonzero.

Subcase 3.1.3: w is adjacent to neither v_3 nor v_7 , and v_2 and v_{11} do not have a common neighbor outside H_3 .

The number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_3)$ is Subcase 3.1.3 in Figure 18. The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = (x_1 - x_{10})P_{H_3^2}(\mathbf{x}).$$

By the calculation using Mathematica, we see that the coefficient of $x_1^3x_2^1x_3^2x_4^4x_5^4x_6^2x_7^2x_8^3x_9^4x_{10}^2x_{11}^1$ is 2, which is nonzero.




Figure 19: Subcases 3.2, 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 of the proof of Lemma 20. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Subcase 3.2: v_2 and v_{10} have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_3)$.

The number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_3)$ is Subcase 3.2 in Figure 19. The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = (x_2 - x_{10})P_{H_3^2}(\mathbf{x}).$$

By the calculation using Mathematica, we see that the coefficient of $x_1^2x_2^1x_3^2x_4^4x_5^4x_6^2x_7^2x_8^3x_9^4x_{10}^3x_{11}^1$ is -4 , which is nonzero. So, it is reducible.

Subcase 3.3: v_3 and v_{10} have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_3)$, and w is not adjacent to v_1 .

The number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_3)$ is Subcase 3.3 in Figure 19. The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = (x_3 - x_{10})P_{H_3^2}(\mathbf{x}).$$

By the calculation using Mathematica, we see that the coefficient of $x_1^2x_2^1x_3^3x_4^4x_5^4x_6^2x_7^2x_8^3x_9^4x_{10}^2x_{11}^1$ is 2, which is nonzero.

Subcase 3.4: v_7 and v_8 have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_3)$.

The number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_3)$ is Subcase 3.4 in Figure 19. The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = (x_7 - x_8)P_{H_3^2}(\mathbf{x}).$$

By the calculation using Mathematica, we see that the coefficient of $x_1^2x_2^1x_3^2x_4^4x_5^4x_6^5x_7^2x_8^4x_9^4x_{10}^2x_{11}^1$ is -3 , which is nonzero.

Subcase 3.5: v_2 and v_{11} have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_3)$, and v_1 and v_{10} have no common neighbor outside H_3 .

The number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_3)$ is Subcase 3.5 in Figure 19. The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = (x_2 - x_{11})P_{H_3^2}(\mathbf{x}).$$

By the calculation using Mathematica, we see that the coefficient of $x_1^2x_2^2x_3^2x_4^4x_5^4x_6^5x_7^2x_8^3x_9^4x_{10}^2x_{11}^1$ is 2 , which is nonzero.

Thus, in either case, it follows from Theorem 6 that the vertices in H_3 can be colored from the list L_{H_3} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 . This is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample. So, G has no H_3 . This completes the proof of Lemma 20. \square

5.5 Subgraph H_4 is reducible

In this subsection, we will prove that H_4 in Figure 2 does not appear in a minimal counterexample. Before we prove Lemma 22, we will prove the following lemma which is used in the proof of Lemma 22.

Lemma 21. *The graph J_5 in Figure 20 does not appear in G .*

Proof. Suppose that G has J_5 as a subgraph. We denote $V(J_5) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{10}\}$ as in Figure 20. Let L be a list assignment with lists of size 7 for each vertex in G . We will show that G^2 has a proper coloring from the list L , which is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample to the theorem.




Figure 20: Graph J_5 . The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Let $G' = G - V(J_5)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G')$. For each $v_i \in V(J_5)$, we define

$$L_{J_5}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(J_5)\}.$$

Then, we have the following (see Figure 20).

$$|L_{J_5}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3 & i = 1, 5, 6, 10, \\ 4 & i = 3, 8, \\ 5 & i = 2, 4, 7, 9. \end{cases}$$

We now consider the following two cases.

Case 1: v_1 and v_5 are not adjacent in G^2 .

If $L_{J_5}(v_1) \cap L_{J_5}(v_5) \neq \emptyset$, then color v_1 and v_5 by a color $c \in L_{J_5}(v_1) \cap L_{J_5}(v_5)$. If $L_{J_5}(v_1) \cap L_{J_5}(v_5) = \emptyset$, then we can color v_1 by a color c_1 and v_5 by a color c_5 so that $|L_{J_5}(v_3) \setminus \{c_1, c_5\}| \geq 3$. And then, color v_6 and v_{10} greedily. Let $L'_{J_5}(v_i)$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9$ be the color list after coloring v_1, v_5, v_6, v_{10} . Then

$$|L'_{J_5}(v_i)| \geq 3 \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, \text{ and } |L'_{J_5}(v_8)| \geq 2.$$

Then, $v_2, v_3, v_4, v_7, v_8, v_9$ are colorable from the list by Lemma 17.

Case 2: v_1 and v_5 are adjacent in G^2 .

Note that v_1 and v_5 cannot be adjacent in G since it makes a 5-cycle. So, we just need to consider the case when v_1 and v_5 have a common neighbor v_{11} outside J_5 .

Suppose first $v_{11} = v_6$ or v_{10} , say $v_{11} = v_{10}$ by symmetry. In this case, we have the following.

$$|L_{J_5}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 4 & i = 3, 5, 6, 8, \\ 5 & i = 4, 7, \\ 6 & i = 1, 2, 9, 10. \end{cases}$$

Since $|L_{J_5}(v_1)| \geq 6$ and $|L_{J_5}(v_3)| \geq 4$, there exists a color $c_1 \in L_{J_5}(v_1)$ such that $|L_{J_5}(v_3) \setminus \{c_1\}| \geq 4$. Color v_1 by c_1 , and then greedily color v_5, v_6, v_{10} in order. Let $L'(v_i)$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9$ be the color list after coloring v_1, v_5, v_6, v_{10} . We have

$$|L'(v_i)| \geq 3 \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, \text{ and } |L'(v_8)| \geq 2.$$

Then, $v_2, v_3, v_4, v_7, v_8, v_9$ are colorable from the list by Lemma 17.

Suppose next $v_{11} \neq v_6, v_{10}$. In this case, we uncolor v_{11} , and we define for each $v_i \in V(J_5) \cup \{v_{11}\}$,

$$L'_{J_5}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(J_5) \cup \{v_{11}\}\}.$$

Then, we have the following:

$$|L'_{J_5}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 4 & i = 3, 6, 8, 10, 11, \\ 5 & i = 1, 5, 7, 9, \\ 6 & i = 2, 4. \end{cases}$$

Since $|L_{J_5}(v_1)| \geq 5$ and $|L_{J_5}(v_3)| \geq 4$, there exists a color $c_1 \in L_{J_5}(v_1)$ such that $|L_{J_5}(v_3) \setminus \{c_1\}| \geq 4$. Color v_1 by c_1 , and then greedily color v_{11}, v_5, v_6, v_{10} in order. Let $L''_{J_5}(v_i)$ for $i = 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9$ be the color list after coloring $v_1, v_5, v_6, v_{10}, v_{11}$. Then

$$|L''_{J_5}(v_i)| \geq 3 \text{ for } i = 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, \text{ and } |L''_{J_5}(v_8)| \geq 2.$$

Then, $v_2, v_3, v_4, v_7, v_8, v_9$ are colorable from the list L''_{J_5} by Lemma 17. \square




Figure 21: Graph H_4 . A face F is adjacent to four faces f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 in order. F is a 8-face, f_1 and f_4 are 4-faces and f_2 and f_3 are 6-faces.

Now, we will prove that the subgraph H_4 in Figure 21 does not appear in G . In Figure 21, F is a face of length 8 and is adjacent to four faces f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 in order, where f_1 and f_2 are 4-faces and f_2 and f_3 are 6-faces.

Lemma 22. *The graph H_4 in Figure 21 does not appear in G .*

Proof. Suppose that G has H_4 as a subgraph, and denote $V(H_4) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{17}\}$ as in Figure 21. In addition, we denote the subgraph contained in H_4 induced by $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{14}\}$ by J_6 . That is, $V(J_6) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{14}\}$ (see Figure 22). Note that J_6 is a subgraph of H_4 .

Let L be a list assignment with lists of size 7 for each vertex in G . We will show that G^2 has a proper coloring from the list L , which is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample to the theorem.




Figure 22: Coloring J_6^2 . The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Case 1: J_6^2 is an induced subgraph of G^2 .

Let $G' = G - V(H_4)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(H)$. For each $v_i \in V(H_4)$, we define

$$L_{H_4}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(H_4)\}.$$

Then, we have the following (see Figure 22).

$$|L_{H_4}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2 & i = 16, \\ 3 & i = 3, 4, 9, 12, 15, 17, \\ 4 & i = 1, 14, \\ 5 & i = 2, 5, 8, 11, 13, \\ 7 & i = 6, 7, 10. \end{cases}$$

We divide Case 1 into two subcases depending on whether v_3 is adjacent to a vertex in $\{v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17}\}$ in G^2 .

Subcase 1.1: v_3 is adjacent to none of v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17} in G^2 .

Now, we show that the vertices in H_4 can be colored from the list L_{H_4} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 by the following three steps.




Figure 23: Coloring H_4^2 . The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Step 1: Since $|L_{H_4}(v_1)| \geq 4$ and $|L_{H_4}(v_3)| \geq 3$, there exists a color $c_1 \in L_{H_4}(v_1)$ such that $|L_{H_4}(v_3) \setminus \{c_1\}| \geq 3$. Color v_1 by c_1 , and greedily color v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17} in order. Let $L'_{H_4}(v_i)$ be the list of available colors at $v_i \in V(H_4) \setminus \{v_1, v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17}\}$ after Step 1, where their sizes are represented in Step 1 in Figure 23.

Step 2: Since $|L'_{H_4}(v_{10})| \geq 6$ and $|L'_{H_4}(v_8)| \geq 5$, there exists a color $c_{10} \in L'_{H_4}(v_{10})$ such that $|L'_{H_4}(v_8) \setminus \{c_{10}\}| \geq 5$. Color v_{10} by c_{10} , and greedily color $v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{12}, v_{11}, v_9$ in order. Let $L''_{H_4}(v_i)$ be the list of available colors at $v_i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8\}$ after Step 2, where their sizes are represented in Step 2 in Figure 23.

Step 3: Since $|L''_{H_4}(v_5)| \geq 2$, we can color v_5 by a color c_5 so that $L''_{H_4}(v_4) \neq L''_{H_4}(v_7) \setminus \{c_5\}$.

Since $L''_{H_4}(v_4) \neq L''_{H_4}(v_7) \setminus \{c_5\}$, $v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6, v_7, v_8$ are colorable from the list by Lemma 16. So, the vertices in H_4 can be colored from the list L_{H_4} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 . This completes the proof of Subcase 1.1.

Subcase 1.2: v_3 is adjacent to a vertex in $\{v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17}\}$ in G^2 .

In this case, v_3 or v_4 is adjacent to a vertex in $\{v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17}\}$ in G , or v_3 has a common neighbor with a vertex in $\{v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17}\}$ outside H_4 in G .




Figure 24: Subcases 1.2.1. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

In Subcase 1.1, we color v_1 first with keeping the size of $L(v_3)$ at least 3 since $|L_{H_4}(v_1)| \geq 4$ and $|L_{H_4}(v_3)| \geq 3$. But, in this case, we cannot start Step 1 in Case 1.1. Hence we modify the procedure in this subcase.

Subcase 1.2.1: v_3 is adjacent to a vertex in $\{v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17}\}$ in G .

Since G has no 5-cycle, we only need to consider the case when v_3 is adjacent to v_{16} or v_{17} . In this case, the number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_4)$ is presented in Figure 24. By the planarity, we see that v_{12} is adjacent to none of v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17} in G^2 , and hence we can perform symmetrically the procedures in Subcase 1.1 using v_{12} instead of v_3 ; Color $v_{14}, v_{17}, v_{16}, v_{15}, v_6, v_5, v_1, v_3, v_2, v_4, v_{13}$ appropriately, and then vertices $v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}$ are colorable from the remaining lists by Lemma 16.




Figure 25: Subcase 1.2.2. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Subcase 1.2.2: v_4 is adjacent to a vertex in $\{v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17}\}$ in G .

For the case when v_4 is adjacent to v_{15} or v_{16} , the number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_4)$ is presented in Figure 25. At each case, the proof is the same style. As an example, we provide the proof of the case when $v_4v_{16} \in E(G)$. We first color v_{16} by a color $c_{16} \in L_{H_4}(v_{16})$ so that $|L_{H_4}(v_3) \setminus \{c_{16}\}| \geq 4$, and then color $v_1, v_{15}, v_{17}, v_{10}, v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{12}, v_{11}, v_9, v_5$ in order by the same procedure as in Subcase 1.1. Then we can

show that the vertices $v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6, v_7, v_8$ are colorable from the remaining lists by Lemma 16.

If v_4 is adjacent to v_{17} , then v_{12} is adjacent to none of v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17} in G^2 , and hence we can perform symmetrically the procedures in Subcase 1.1 using v_{12} instead of v_3 .




Figure 26: Subcase 1.2.3. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Subcase 1.2.3: v_3 has a common neighbor w with a vertex in $\{v_{15}, v_{16}, v_{17}\}$ in G , where $w \notin V(H_4)$.

In this case, we know that the distance between v_1 and w in G is at least 3. In fact, if v_1 is adjacent to w , then v_1, v_2, v_3, w form a 4-cycle, which forms H_1 but contradicts Lemma 8. So v_1 and w cannot be adjacent. And if v_1 and w have a common neighbor, then it makes a 5-cycle, a contradiction.

We uncolor w and we define for each $v_i \in V(H_4) \cup \{w\}$,

$$L'_{H_4}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(H_4) \cup \{w\}\}.$$

As an illustration, we consider the case when v_3 has a common neighbor with v_{15} , but the other cases are similarly shown. In this case, the size of color list is like Step (i) in Figure 26.

If $L'_{H_4}(v_1) \cap L'_{H_4}(w) \neq \emptyset$, then color v_1 and w by a color $c_1 \in L'_{H_4}(v_1) \cap L'_{H_4}(w)$. If $L'_{H_4}(v_1) \cap L'_{H_4}(w) = \emptyset$, then since $|L_{H_4}(v_1)' \cup L'_{H_4}(w)| \geq 8$ and $|L'_{H_4}(v_3)| \geq 5$, we can color v_1 by a color c_1 and w by a color c_w so that $|L'_{H_4}(v_3) \setminus \{c_1, c_w\}| \geq 4$. In either case, the sizes of list after coloring v_1 and w are represented in Step (ii) in Figure 26. And then, we follow the same procedure as Subcase 1.1. We can show that the vertices in $V(H_4) \cup \{w\}$ can be colored from the list L'_{H_4} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 .

This completes the proof of Subcase 1.2.

Before we begin Cases 2 and 3, we investigate some useful remark on the structures about the vertices v_5 and v_{13} in J_6 .

Remark 23. When J_6^2 is not an induced subgraph in G^2 , the following holds.

- (a) v_{13} is adjacent to no vertex in $V(J_6) \setminus \{v_{10}, v_{14}\}$. (By symmetry, v_5 is adjacent to no vertex in $V(J_6) \setminus \{v_1, v_6\}$.) We can see this remark as follows.

- (i) If v_{13} is adjacent to v_1 , then the face F has length at least 9 since v_5 has its third neighbor v_{15} and G has no 1-vertex (see Case (a) in Figure 27). So, v_{13} is not adjacent to v_1 . By the same argument, v_{13} is not adjacent to v_3 .
 - (ii) If v_{13} is adjacent to v_4 or v_9 , then it makes a 5-cycle, which is forbidden.
 - (iii) If v_{13} is adjacent to v_{12} , then it makes J_3 , which does not exist by Lemma 18. So v_{13} is not adjacent to v_{12} .




Figure 27: v_1 and v_{13} are adjacent in Case (a), v_1 and v_{13} have a common neighbor w in Case (b).

- (b) v_1 and v_{13} cannot have a common neighbor outside J_6 . (By symmetry v_5 and v_{14} cannot have a common neighbor outside J_6 .) We can see this remark as follows.

If v_1 and v_{13} have a common neighbor outside J_6 , that has to be v_{17} , then there must be a path $v_{17}v_{16}v_{15}v_5$ since the face F has length 8 (see Case (b) in Figure 27). Then $v_1v_5v_{15}v_{16}v_{17}v_1$ forms a 5-cycle, which is forbidden. So, v_1 and v_{13} cannot have a common neighbor outside J_6 .

Case 2: J_6^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 and $E(G[V(J_6)]) - E(J_6) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $G' = G - V(J_6)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G')$. For each $v_i \in V(J_6)$, we define

$$L_{J_6}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(J_6)\}.$$

Before we proceed with Case 2, we simplify cases.

Simplifying cases:

- As in Remark 23(a), v_{13} is adjacent to no vertex in $V(J_6) \setminus \{v_{10}, v_{14}\}$, and v_5 is adjacent to no vertex in $V(J_6) \setminus \{v_1, v_6\}$.
 - The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_1, v_3\}$, $\{v_3, v_{12}\}$, and $\{v_{12}, v_{14}\}$.
 - The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes F_3 , which does not exist by Lemma 7(b): $\{v_4, v_9\}$.

- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes H_1 , which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_1, v_4\}$, and $\{v_9, v_{14}\}$.
- v_3 and v_9 cannot be adjacent in G since it makes J_5 , consisting of the 4-cycles $v_3v_4v_8v_9v_3$ and $v_{10}v_{11}v_{14}v_{13}v_{10}$, and the 6-cycle $v_7v_8v_9v_{12}v_{11}v_{10}v_7$, a contradiction to Lemma 21.

Symmetrically, v_4 and v_{12} cannot be adjacent in G .




Figure 28: Case 2 of the proof of Lemma 22. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Thus, by symmetry, we only need to consider the case where v_1 and v_i are adjacent where $i \in \{9, 12, 14\}$. The number of available colors at vertices of $V(J_6)$ of each case is as shown in Figure 28.

In all of these cases, we start by coloring v_1 by a color $c \in L_{J_6}(v_1) \setminus L_{J_6}(v_3)$, color $v_{10}, v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{12}, v_{11}, v_9, v_5$ in this order following the same procedure as in Subcase 1.1, and then use Lemma 16.

Thus, in Case 2, the vertices in $V(J_6)$ can be colored from the list L_{J_6} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 . This is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample. This completes the proof of Case 2.

Case 3: J_6^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 and $E(G[V(J_6)]) - E(J_6) = \emptyset$.

Simplifying cases:

- As in Remark 23(b), v_1 and v_{13} cannot have a common neighbor outside J_6 , and v_5 and v_{14} cannot have a common neighbor outside J_6 .
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside J_6 , since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_1, v_4\}$, $\{v_1, v_5\}$, $\{v_3, v_5\}$, $\{v_3, v_9\}$, $\{v_4, v_{12}\}$, $\{v_9, v_{14}\}$, $\{v_{12}, v_{13}\}$, and $\{v_{13}, v_{14}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside J_6 , since it makes the subgraph F_3 in Figure 1, which does not exist by Lemma 7(b): $\{v_3, v_4\}$, and $\{v_9, v_{12}\}$.

- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside J_6 , since it makes H_1 in Figure 2, which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_1, v_3\}$, and $\{v_{12}, v_{14}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside J_6 , since it makes J_5 , which does not exist by Lemma 21: $\{v_4, v_9\}$.

So by Remark 23 (b) and by symmetry, we only need to consider the following eleven subcases in Case 3.

Subcase 3.1: v_1 and v_i have a common neighbor w , where $i \in \{9, 12, 14\}$ and $w \notin V(J_6)$. (Or by symmetry, v_{14} and v_i have a common neighbor w , where $i \in \{3, 4\}$ and $w \notin V(J_6)$.)

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(J_6) \setminus \{v_1, v_i\}$ by the argument of Simplifying cases.

Let $G' = G - V(J_6)$ and we follow the same procedure as in Case 2. Then the number of available colors at vertices of $V(J_6)$ is represented in Figure 29. In this case, we start by coloring v_1 by a color $c_1 \in L_{J_6}(v_1)$ so that $|L_{J_6}(v_3) \setminus \{v_1\}| \geq 3$, next color $v_{10}, v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{12}, v_{11}, v_9, v_5$ in this order following the same procedure as in Subcase 1.1, and then use Lemma 16. Then the vertices in J_6 can be colored from the list L_{J_6} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 .




Figure 29: Subcases 3.1 of the proof of Lemma 22. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Subcase 3.2: v_3 and v_i have a common neighbor w , where $i \in \{12, 13\}$ and $w \notin V(J_6)$. (Or by symmetry, v_5 and v_{12} have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(J_6)$.)

Note that one may consider the case when v_3 and v_{14} have a common neighbor. But, this is the case of Subcase 3.1 (b). So, we do not need to consider this case.

Then there are 4 cases needed to consider as shown in Figure 30. Note that for each case in Figure 30, we have that w is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(J_6) \setminus \{v_3, v_i\}$ by the argument of Simplifying cases.

Let $G' = G - (V(J_6) \cup \{w\})$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(H)$. For each $v \in V(J_6) \cup \{w\}$, we define

$$L'_{J_6}(v) = L(v) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(J_6) \cup \{w\}\}.$$




Figure 30: Subcases 3.2 of the proof of Lemma 22. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Then the number of available colors at vertices of $V(J_6) \cup \{w\}$ is Subcase 3.2 in Figure 30 for each case, respectively.

Note that $d_G(v_1, w) \geq 3$ and $|L'_{J_6}(v_1)| \geq 3$, $|L'_{J_6}(v_3)| \geq 5$, and $|L'_{J_6}(w)| \geq 4$. So, we can color v_1 and w with color c_1 and c_w , respectively, so that $|L'_{J_6}(v_3) \setminus \{c_1, c_w\}| \geq 3$. Next, color $v_{10}, v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{12}, v_{11}, v_9, v_5$ in this order following the same procedure as in Subcase 1.1, and then use Lemma 16. Then the vertices in $V(J_6) \cup \{w\}$ can be colored from the list L'_{J_6} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 .




Figure 31: Subcases 3.3 of the proof of Lemma 22. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Subcase 3.3: v_4 and v_i have a common neighbor w where $i \in \{5, 13\}$ and $w \notin V(J_6)$. (Or by symmetry, v_5 and v_9 have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(J_6)$.)

Note that one may consider the case when v_4 and v_{14} have a common neighbor. But, this is the case of Subcase 3.1 (c). So, we do not need to consider this case.

Recall that v_5 and v_{13} lies on a 8-face. Then there are 3 cases needed to consider as shown in Figure 31. Furthermore, when $v_4w, wv_{13} \in E(G)$, it holds that w is not adjacent to any vertex in $V(J_6) \setminus \{v_4, v_{13}\}$ by the argument of Simplifying cases. Recall further that ϕ is a proper coloring of the square of $G' = G - V(J_6)$ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G')$. Then we uncolor some vertices as follows:

- If $v_4w, wv_{13} \in E(G)$, then uncolor w ;
- If $v_4w, wv_5 \in E(G)$, the uncolor vertices in $\{w, v_{16}, v_{17}\}$;

- If $v_4w, wv_5, v_9z, zv_{13} \in E(G)$, then uncolor vertices in $\{w, v_{16}, z\}$.

And then follow the same procedure as in Case 2. Let $L'_{J_6}(v)$ be the list at v after uncoloring vertices for each cases. Then the number of available colors at uncolored vertices of each case is as shown in Figure 31, respectively.

If $v_4w, wv_{13} \in E(G)$, then we have that $d_G(v_1, w) \geq 3$ or $d_G(v_1, w) = 2$. So, we consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 3.3.1: $v_4w, wv_{13} \in E(G)$ and $d_G(v_1, w) \geq 3$.

Color v_1 and w with color c_1 and c_w , respectively, so that $|L'_{J_6}(v_3) \setminus \{c_1, c_w\}| \geq 3$. Color $v_{10}, v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{12}, v_{11}, v_9, v_5$ in this order following the same procedure as in Subcase 1.1.

Subcase 3.3.2: $v_4w, wv_{13} \in E(G)$ and $d_G(v_1, w) = 2$, or $v_4w, wv_5 \in E(G)$, or $v_4w, wv_5, v_9z, zv_{13} \in E(G)$

If $v_4w, wv_{13} \in E(G)$ and $d_G(v_1, w) = 2$, then $|L'_{J_6}(w)| \geq 5$ and $|L'_{J_6}(v_1)| \geq 4$. So, at each case of Subcases 3.3.2, we can color w by a color $w_c \in L'_{J_6}(w)$ so that $|L'_{J_6}(v_3) \setminus \{w_c\}| \geq 4$ since $|L'_{J_6}(w)| \geq 6$ and $|L'_{J_6}(v_3)| \geq 4$. Next, color v_1 and then follow the same procedure as in Subcase 1.1. Finally, by using Lemma 16, we can show that each uncolored vertex admits an L'_{J_6} -coloring from the list. This completes the proof of Lemma 22. \square

5.6 7-face is adjacent to at most one 4-face

In this subsection, we will show that a 7-face is adjacent to at most one 4-face. If a 7-face is adjacent to two 4-faces, then by symmetry, we just need to consider two cases; Figure 32 and Figure 36.




Figure 32: Graph H_5 . The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Lemma 24. *The graph H_5 in Figure 32 does not appear in G .*

Proof. Suppose that G has H_5 as a subgraph, and denote $V(H_5) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{11}\}$ as in Figure 32. Let L be a list assignment with lists of size 7 for each vertex in G . We will show that G^2 has a proper coloring from the list L , which is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample to the theorem.

Let $G' = G - V(H_5)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(H_5)$. For each $v_i \in V(H_5)$, we define

$$L_{H_5}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(H_5)\}.$$

We have the following three cases.

Case 1: H_5^2 is an induced subgraph of G^2 .

In this case, we have the following (see Figure 32).

$$|L_{H_5}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 3 & i = 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, \\ 4 & i = 3, \\ 5 & i = 2, 4, 7, 10. \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that H_5^2 admits an L -coloring from the list $L_{H_5}(v_i)$. Observe that H_5^2 has 28 edges. And the graph polynomial for H_5^2 is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} P_{H_5^2}(\mathbf{x}) = & (x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_1 - x_6)(x_1 - x_7)(x_2 - x_3)(x_2 - x_4)(x_2 - x_6)(x_2 - x_7)(x_2 - x_8) \\ & (x_3 - x_4)(x_3 - x_5)(x_3 - x_7)(x_3 - x_{10})(x_4 - x_5)(x_4 - x_9)(x_4 - x_{10})(x_4 - x_{11}) \\ & (x_5 - x_{10})(x_5 - x_{11})(x_6 - x_7)(x_6 - x_8)(x_7 - x_8)(x_7 - x_9)(x_8 - x_9)(x_8 - x_{10}) \\ & (x_9 - x_{10})(x_9 - x_{11})(x_{10} - x_{11}) \end{aligned}$$

By the calculation using Mathematica, we see that the coefficient of $x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^2 x_6^2 x_7^3 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}^3 x_{11}^2$ is -2 . Thus, by Theorem 6, H_5^2 admits an L -coloring from its list. This gives an L -coloring for G^2 . This is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample. So, G has no H_5 .

Case 2: H_5^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 and $E(G[V(H_5)]) - E(H_5) \neq \emptyset$.

Simplifying cases:

- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_1, v_3\}$, $\{v_1, v_5\}$, $\{v_1, v_9\}$, $\{v_3, v_5\}$, $\{v_3, v_8\}$, $\{v_3, v_9\}$, $\{v_5, v_8\}$, $\{v_6, v_8\}$, and $\{v_9, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes H_1 , which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_6, v_9\}$, and $\{v_8, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes H_2 , which does not exist by Lemma 12: $\{v_6, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes J_4 , which does not exist by Lemma 18: $\{v_1, v_8\}$, $\{v_3, v_6\}$, $\{v_3, v_{11}\}$, and $\{v_5, v_9\}$.

Considering these, we only need to consider the case where v_1 and v_{11} are adjacent in G or v_5 and v_6 are adjacent in G . By symmetry, suppose that the latter holds.

The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = (x_1 - x_5)(x_4 - x_6)(x_5 - x_6)(x_5 - x_7)(x_6 - x_{11})P_{H_5^2}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $P_{H_5^2}(\mathbf{x})$ is the graph polynomial for H_5^2 when H_5 is an induced subgraph in Case 1. By the calculation using Mathematica, the coefficient of $x_1^3 x_2^4 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^2 x_6^2 x_7^3 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}^4 x_{11}^3$ is -5 , which is nonzero. Thus in Case 2, by Theorem 6, the vertices in $V(H_5)$ can be colored from the list L_{H_5} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 . This is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample.




Figure 33: The case when v_5 and v_6 are adjacent in the proof of Lemma 24. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Case 3: H_5^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 and $E(G[V(H_5)]) - E(H_5) = \emptyset$.

Simplifying cases:

- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_5 , since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_1, v_6\}$, $\{v_1, v_8\}$, $\{v_3, v_6\}$, $\{v_3, v_8\}$, $\{v_3, v_9\}$, $\{v_3, v_{11}\}$, $\{v_5, v_9\}$, $\{v_5, v_{11}\}$, $\{v_6, v_9\}$, $\{v_8, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_5 , since it makes F_2 , which does not exist by Lemma 12: $\{v_8, v_9\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_5 , since it makes H_1 , which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_1, v_3\}$, $\{v_3, v_5\}$, $\{v_6, v_8\}$, $\{v_9, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_5 , since it makes J_5 , which does not exist by Lemma 21: $\{v_1, v_5\}$.

Thus, we only need to consider the following three subcases in Case 3.

Subcase 3.1: v_6 and v_{11} have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_5)$.

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex $v_i \in V(H_5) \setminus \{v_6, v_{11}\}$ by the argument of Simplifying cases. The number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_5)$ is presented in Subcase 3.1 in Figure 34. The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = (x_6 - x_{11})P_{H_5^2}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $P_{H_5^2}(\mathbf{x})$ is the graph polynomial for H_5^2 when H_5 is an induced subgraph in Case 1. By the calculation using Mathematica, the coefficient of $x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^2 x_6^2 x_7^3 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}^3 x_{11}^3$ is 1, which is nonzero.

Subcase 3.2: v_5 and v_6 (or v_1 and v_{11} by symmetry) have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_5)$.

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex $v_i \in V(H_5) \setminus \{v_5, v_6\}$ by the argument of Simplifying cases. The number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_5)$ is presented in Subcase 3.2 in Figure 34. The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = (x_5 - x_6)P_{H_5^2}(\mathbf{x}).$$




Figure 34: Subcase 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3 of the proof of Lemma 24. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

By the calculation using Mathematica, the coefficient of $x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^3 x_6^2 x_7^3 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}^3 x_{11}^2$ is -1 , which is nonzero.

Subcase 3.3: v_5 and v_8 (or v_1 and v_9 by symmetry) have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_5)$.

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex $v_i \in V(H_5) \setminus \{v_5, v_8\}$ by the argument of Simplifying cases. The number of available colors at vertices of $V(H_5)$ is presented in Subcase 3.3 in Figure 34. The graph polynomial for this subcase is

$$f(\mathbf{x}') = (x_5 - x_8) P_{H_5^2}(\mathbf{x}).$$

By the calculation using Mathematica, we see that the coefficient of $x_1^2 x_2^4 x_3^2 x_4^4 x_5^3 x_6^2 x_7^3 x_8^2 x_9^2 x_{10}^3 x_{11}^2$ is -3 , which is nonzero.

Thus in Case 3, by Theorem 6, the vertices in H_5 can be colored from the list L_{H_5} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 . This gives an L -coloring for G^2 . This is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample. This completes the proof of Lemma 24. \square

Next we will prove a few properties which will be used in the proof of Lemma 27.




Figure 35: Subgraphs J_7 and J_8 . The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Lemma 25. *For a graph J_7 with $V(J_7) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ as in Figure 35, suppose that each vertex v_i has a list $L(v_i)$ with $|L(v_i)| \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 5$, $|L(v_i)| \geq 3$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, and $|L(v_1) \cup L(v_3) \cup L(v_4)| \geq 4$. In addition, suppose further that $|L(v_1)| \geq 3$, or $|V(v_1) \cup L(v_3)| \geq 5$. Then J_7^2 has a proper coloring from the list.*

Proof. We consider two cases.

Case 1: $L(v_1) \cap L(v_5) \neq \emptyset$.

Color v_1 and v_5 by a color $c \in L(v_1) \cap L(v_5)$. For $i = 2, 3, 4$, let $L'(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{c\}$. Then $|L'(v_i)| \geq 2$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$, and $|L'(v_2) \cup L'(v_3) \cup L'(v_4)| \geq 3$ since $|L(v_2) \cup L(v_3) \cup L(v_4)| \geq 4$. So, v_2, v_3, v_4 are colorable from the list.

Case 2: $L(v_1) \cap L(v_5) = \emptyset$.


Let $X = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$, and we define a bipartite graph W as follows.

- $V(W) = X \cup Y$ where $Y = \bigcup_{v_i \in X} L(v_i)$.
- For each $v_i, v_i\alpha \in E(W)$ if $\alpha \in L(v_i)$.

Note that $|N_W(v_2) \cup N_W(v_3) \cup N_W(v_4)| \geq 4$, and in addition $|N_W(v_1)| \geq 3$ or $|N_W(v_1) \cup N_W(v_3)| \geq 5$. Thus, we can easily show that $|N_W(S)| \geq |S|$ for every $S \subset X$. Hence by Hall's theorem, the bipartite graph W has a matching M that contains all vertices of $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. Hence J_7^2 can be colored properly from the list. \square

Lemma 26. *For a graph J_8 with $V(J_8) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8\}$ as in Figure 35, if each vertex v_i has a list $L(v_i)$ with $|L(v_i)| = 3$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 6, 8$, $|L(v_4)| = |L(v_5)| = 5$, and $|L(v_7)| = 2$, then J_8^2 has a proper coloring from the list.*

Proof. In subgraph J_8 in Figure 35, we first greedily color v_6, v_7, v_8 by colors c_6, v_7, v_8 , respectively. If $L(v_2) = L(v_3) = L(v_4) \setminus \{c_6, c_8\}$, then we recolor v_6 by a color $c \in L(v_6) \setminus \{c_6, c_8\}$. Then $L(v_2) \neq L(v_4) \setminus \{c, c_8\}$. This satisfies the condition of J_7 as in Lemma 25, so J_8^2 can be colored properly from the list. \square



Case 1

Case 2

Figure 36: Graph H_6 . The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Lemma 27. *The graph H_6 in Figure 36 does not appear in G .*

Proof. Suppose that G has H_6 as a subgraph, and denote $V(H_6) = \{v_1, \dots, v_{11}\}$ (Figure 36). Let L be a list assignment with lists of size 7 for each vertex in G . We will show that G^2 has a proper coloring from the list L , which is a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample to the theorem.

Let $G' = G - V(H_6)$. Then G' is also a subcubic planar graph and $|V(G')| < |V(G)|$. Since G is a minimal counterexample to Theorem 3, the square of G' has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(H)$. For each $v_i \in V(H_6)$, we define

$$L_{H_6}(v_i) = L(v_i) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv_i \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(H_6)\}.$$

We now consider three cases.

Case 1: H_6^2 is an induced subgraph of G^2 .

In this case, we have the following (see Case 1 in Figure 36).

$$|L_{H_6}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2 & i = 8, \\ 3 & i = 1, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, \\ 5 & i = 6, 10, \\ 6 & i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that H_6^2 admits an L -coloring from the list $L_{H_6}(v_i)$.

Subcase 1.1: $L_{H_6}(v_1) \cap L_{H_6}(v_7) \neq \emptyset$.

Color v_1 and v_7 by a color $c \in L_{H_6}(v_1) \cap L_{H_6}(v_7)$, and color v_8 and v_9 in order. Let c_8 and c_9 be the colors at v_8 and v_9 , respectively. For $v_i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_{10}, v_{11}\}$, let $L'_{H_6}(v_i)$ be the list after coloring v_1, v_7, v_8, v_9 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |L'_{H_6}(v_2)| &\geq 5, & |L'_{H_6}(v_3)| &\geq 4, & |L'_{H_6}(v_4)| &\geq 3, & |L'_{H_6}(v_5)| &\geq 2, \\ |L'_{H_6}(v_6)| &\geq 3, & |L'_{H_6}(v_{10})| &\geq 3, & |L'_{H_6}(v_{11})| &\geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Here since $|L'_{H_6}(v_5)| \geq 2$ and $|L'_{H_6}(v_6)| \geq 3$, we can color v_5 and v_6 by c_5, c_6 , respectively, so that $L'_{H_6}(v_3) \setminus \{c_6\} \neq L'_{H_6}(v_4)$. For $v_i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_{10}, v_{11}\}$, $L''_{H_6}(v)$ be the list after coloring v_5 and v_6 . That is

$$\begin{aligned} L''_{H_6}(v_2) &= L'_{H_6}(v_2) \setminus \{c_5, c_6\}, & L''_{H_6}(v_3) &= L'_{H_6}(v_3) \setminus \{c_6\}, & L''_{H_6}(v_4) &= L'_{H_6}(v_4), \\ L''_{H_6}(v_{10}) &= L'_{H_6}(v_{10}), & L''_{H_6}(v_{11}) &= L'_{H_6}(v_{11}). \end{aligned}$$

Then $|L''_{H_6}(v_2)| \geq 3, |L''_{H_6}(v_3)| \geq 3, |L''_{H_6}(v_4)| \geq 3, |L''_{H_6}(v_{10})| \geq 3, |L''_{H_6}(v_{11})| \geq 2$, and $|L''_{H_6}(v_3) \cup L''_{H_6}(v_4) \cup L''_{H_6}(v_{10})| \geq 4$ since $L''_{H_6}(v_3) \neq L''_{H_6}(v_4)$.

Now $v_2, v_3, v_4, v_{10}, v_{11}$ are colorable by Lemma 25 from the list L''_{H_6} . So, H_6^2 admits an L -coloring from its list.

Subcase 1.2: $L_{H_6}(v_7) \neq L_{H_6}(v_5)$.

Color v_7 by a color $c_7 \in L_{H_6}(v_7)$ so that $|L_{H_6}(v_5) \setminus \{c_7\}| \geq 3$, and greedily color v_8 and v_9 in order. For $v_i \in \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_{10}, v_{11}\}$, let $L'_{H_6}(v_i)$ be the list after coloring v_7, v_8, v_9 . Then

$$|L'_{H_6}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2 & i = 11, \\ 3 & i = 1, 4, 5, 6, 10, \\ 5 & i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_{10}, v_{11}\}$ are colorable from the list $L'_{H_6}(v)$ by Lemma 26. So, H_6^2 admits an L -coloring from its list.

Subcase 1.3: $L_{H_6}(v_1) \cap L_{H_6}(v_7) = \emptyset$ and $L_{H_6}(v_7) = L_{H_6}(v_5)$.

In this case, we have that $L_{H_6}(v_1) \cap L_{H_6}(v_5) = \emptyset$. Now color greedily v_7, v_8, v_9 in order. For $v_i \in \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_{10}, v_{11}\}$, let $L'_{H_6}(v_i)$ be the list after coloring v_7, v_8, v_9 . Then we have the following (see Figure 37).


$$|L'_{H_6}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2 & i = 5, 11, \\ 3 & i = 1, 4, 6, 10, \\ 5 & i = 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

Since $|L'_{H_6}(v_4)| \geq 3$, $|L'_{H_6}(v_{10})| \geq 3$, and $|L'_{H_6}(v_{11})| \geq 2$, we can color v_4, v_{10}, v_{11} by c_4, c_{10}, c_{11} , respectively, so that $L'_{H_6}(v_1) \neq L'_{H_6}(v_2) \setminus \{c_4, c_{10}\}$.


For $v_i \in \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6\}$, let $L''_{H_6}(v_i)$ be the list after coloring v_4, v_{10}, v_{11} . Then we have the following (see Figure 37).

$$|L''_{H_6}(v_i)| \geq \begin{cases} 2 & i = 3, 5, \\ 3 & i = 1, 2, 6. \end{cases}$$

Note that $|L''_{H_6}(v_1) \cup L''_{H_6}(v_2) \cup L''_{H_6}(v_6)| \geq 4$ since $L'_{H_6}(v_1) \neq L'_{H_6}(v_2) \setminus \{c_4, c_{10}\}$, and $|L''_{H_6}(v_1) \cup L''_{H_6}(v_5)| \geq 5$ since $L_{H_6}(v_1) \cap L_{H_6}(v_5) = \emptyset$. By Lemma 25, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6 are colorable from the list $L''_{H_6}(v)$. Hence H_6^2 can be colored properly from the list.



Color list $L'_{H_6}(v)$



Color list $L''_{H_6}(v)$

Figure 37: Subcase 1.3. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Case 2: H_6^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 and $E(G[V(H_6)]) - E(H_6) \neq \emptyset$.

Simplifying cases:

- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_1, v_8\}$, $\{v_1, v_9\}$, $\{v_1, v_{11}\}$, $\{v_4, v_5\}$, $\{v_4, v_7\}$, $\{v_4, v_8\}$, $\{v_5, v_7\}$, $\{v_5, v_9\}$, $\{v_7, v_{11}\}$, and $\{v_9, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes F_2 , which does not exist by Lemma 12: $\{v_7, v_9\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes H_1 in Figure 2, which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_1, v_4\}$, $\{v_5, v_8\}$, and $\{v_8, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs are nonadjacent since it makes J_4 , which does not exist by Lemma 18: $\{v_1, v_7\}$, and $\{v_4, v_9\}$.

Thus, we only need to consider the case when v_5 is adjacent to v_{11} (see Case 2 in Figure 36). In this case, color greedily v_1, v_4, v_7, v_8, v_9 in order. For $v_i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6, v_{10}, v_{11}\}$, let $L'_{H_6}(v_i)$ be the list after coloring v_1, v_4, v_7, v_8, v_9 . Then $|L'_{H_6}(v_i)| \geq 3$ for $i = 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 11$ and $v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6, v_{10}, v_{11}$ form a 6-cycle. So, $v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6, v_{10}, v_{11}$ are colorable from the list $L'(v)$ by Lemma 17. Hence the vertices in H_6 can be colored from the list L_{H_6} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 .




Figure 38: Subcases 3.1–3.4 of the proof of Lemma 27. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Case 3: H_6^2 is not an induced subgraph of G^2 and $E(G[V(H_6)]) - E(H_6) = \emptyset$.

Simplifying cases:

- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_6 , since it makes a 5-cycle: $\{v_1, v_4\}$, $\{v_1, v_5\}$, $\{v_1, v_7\}$, $\{v_4, v_9\}$, $\{v_4, v_{11}\}$, $\{v_5, v_8\}$, and $\{v_8, v_{11}\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_6 , since it makes F_2 , which does not exist by Lemma 12: $\{v_7, v_8\}$, and $\{v_8, v_9\}$.
- The vertices in each of the following pairs do not have a common neighbor outside H_6 , since it makes H_1 , which does not exist by Lemma 8: $\{v_5, v_7\}$, and $\{v_9, v_{11}\}$.
- If v_4 and v_7 have a common neighbor w where $w \notin V(H_6)$, then the 6-cycle $w, v_4, v_3, v_2, v_6, v_7$ together with two 4-cycles v_1, v_2, v_6, v_5 and v_3, v_4, v_{11}, v_{10} forms H_2 , contradicting Lemma 19. Thus, v_4 and v_7 do not have a common neighbor outside H_6 .

By symmetry, v_1 and v_9 do not have a common neighbor outside H_6 .

Note that v_7 and v_9 are adjacent in H_6^2 through v_8 , and hence we do not need to consider the case v_7 and v_9 have a common neighbor outside H_6 . So, by symmetry we only need to consider the following four subcases in Case 3. For each subcase, the size of list L_{H_6} is displayed in Figure 38.

Subcase 3.1: v_5 and v_{11} have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_6)$.

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex $v_i \in V(H_6) \setminus \{v_5, v_{11}\}$ by the argument of Simplifying cases.

In this case, we uncolor w . For each $v \in V(H_6) \cup \{w\}$, we define

$$L'_{H_6}(v) = L(v) \setminus \{\phi(x) : xv \in E(G^2) \text{ and } x \notin V(H_6) \cup \{w\}\}.$$

The number of available colors at vertices are in Figure 39. Note that $d_G(v_7, w) \geq 3$. First, we color v_7 and w so that we can save a color at v_5 . If $L'_{H_6}(v_7) \cap L'_{H_6}(w) \neq \emptyset$, then color v_7 and w by a color $c \in L'_{H_6}(v_7) \cap L'_{H_6}(w)$. If $L'_{H_6}(v_7) \cap L'_{H_6}(w) = \emptyset$, then we can color v_7 and w by colors c_7 and c_w , respectively, so that $|L'_{H_6}(v_5) \setminus \{c_7, c_w\}| \geq 4$. And then color greedily v_8 and v_9 .

For $v_i \in V(H_6) \setminus \{v_7, v_8, v_9\}$, let $L''_{H_6}(v_i)$ be the list after coloring v_7, v_8, v_9, w . Then the list of $L''_{H_6}(v)$ is like (a) in Figure 39, where v_5 and v_{11} have the common neighbor w . Next, we color v_4, v_{10}, v_{11} by colors c_4, c_{10}, c_{11} , respectively, so that $L''_{H_6}(v_1) \neq L''_{H_6}(v_2) \setminus \{c_4, c_{10}\}$. Then, the list of the remaining vertices are presented in Figure 39 (b). For $v_i \in \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6\}$, let $L'''_{H_6}(v_i)$ be the list after coloring v_4, v_{10}, v_{11} .

Note that $|L'''_{H_6}(v_1) \cup L'''_{H_6}(v_2) \cup L'''_{H_6}(v_6)| \geq 4$ since $L''_{H_6}(v_1) \neq L''_{H_6}(v_2) \setminus \{c_4, c_{10}\}$. Then v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6 are colorable from the list $L'''_{H_6}(v)$ by Lemma 25. Hence H_6^2 can be colored properly from the list.




Figure 39: Subcase 3.1. The numbers at vertices are the number of available colors.

Subcase 3.2: v_4 and v_5 (or v_1 and v_{11} by symmetry) have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_6)$.

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex $v_i \in V(H_6) \setminus \{v_4, v_5\}$ by the argument of Simplifying cases. If v_7 and v_{11} have a common neighbor z with $z \notin V(H_6)$, then $w, v_4, v_{11}, z, v_7, v_6, v_5$ form H_5 , contradicting Lemma 24.

Uncolor w and then color v_7 and w to save a color at v_5 . Next, follow the same procedure as Case 3.1. Then the vertices in H_6 can be colored from the list L_{H_6} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 .

Subcase 3.3: v_7 and v_{11} (or v_5 and v_9 by symmetry) have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_6)$.

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex $v_i \in V(H_6) \setminus \{v_7, v_{11}\}$ by the argument of Simplifying cases. Color v_7 by a color $c_7 \in L_{H_6}(v_7)$ so that $|L_{H_6}(v_5) \setminus \{c_7\}| \geq 3$, and follow the same procedure as Subcase 1.2. Then the vertices in H_6 can be colored from the list L_{H_6} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 .

Subcase 3.4: v_4 and v_8 (or v_1 and v_8 by symmetry) have a common neighbor w , where $w \notin V(H_6)$.

Note that w is not adjacent to any vertex $v_i \in V(H_6) \setminus \{v_4, v_8\}$ by the argument of Simplifying cases. Follow the same procedure as Case 1 from the right hand side with v_4 , v_9 , and v_{11} . The roles of v_4, v_9, v_{11} are v_1, v_7, v_5 , respectively. Then we can show that the vertices in H_6 can be colored from the list L_{H_6} so that we obtain an L -coloring in G^2 .

Thus in Case 3, we obtain a contradiction for the fact that G is a counterexample. This completes the proof of Lemma 27. \square

Acknowledgments

Seog-Jin Kim is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT)(No.NRF-2021R1A2C1005785). Xiaopan Lian is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 12371351. Kenta Ozeki is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 22K19773 and 23K03195.

References

- [1] N. Alon, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 8 (1999) 7–29.
- [2] N. Alon, M. Tarsi, Colorings and orientations of graphs, *Combinatorica* 12 (1992) 125–134.
- [3] D. W. Cranston, Coloring, List Coloring, and Painting Squares of Graphs (and Other Related Problems), *Electron. J. Combin.* 30(2) (2023), #DS25.
- [4] D. W. Cranston and S.-J. Kim, List-coloring the square of a subcubic graph. *J. Graph Theory* 57 (2008), no. 1, 65–87.
- [5] Z. Dvořák, R. Škrekovski, and M. Tancer, List-coloring squares of sparse subcubic graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 22 (2008), no. 1, 139–159
- [6] T. Feder, P. Hell, and C. Subi, Distance-two colourings of Barnette graphs, *European J. Combin.* 91 (2021), Paper No. 103210.
- [7] S. G. Hartke, S. Jahanbekam, and B. Thomas, The chromatic number of the square of subcubic planar graphs, 2016, arXiv:1604.06504.
- [8] F. Havet, Choosability of the square of planar subcubic graphs with large girth, *Discrete Math.* 309 (2009), no. 11, 3553–3563.
- [9] F. Havet, J. van den Heuvel, C. McDiarmid, and B. Reed, List colouring squares of planar graphs, preprint, available at: <http://arxiv.org/abs/0807.3233>.
- [10] L. Jin, Y. Kang, and S.-J. Kim, The square of every subcubic planar graph without 4-cycles and 5-cycles is 7-choosable, submitted for publication, available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.00426>.

- [11] S.-J. Kim and Xiaopan Lian, The square of every subcubic planar graph of girth at least 6 is 7-choosable, *Discrete Math.* 347 (2024), no. 6, Paper No. 113963.
- [12] C. Thomassen, The square of a planar cubic graph is 7-colorable. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 128 (2018), 192–218.
- [13] G. Wegner, Graphs with given diameter and a colouring problem, preprint, University of Dortmund (1977).