

# A causal Markov category with Kolmogorov products

Sean Moss and Sam Staton

Notes from October 2021, compiled 1st January 2026

In [2] (Problem 6.7) the problem was posed of finding an interesting Markov category which is *causal* and has all (small) *Kolmogorov products*. Here we give an example where the deterministic subcategory is the category of Stone spaces (i.e. the dual of the category of Boolean algebras) and the kernels correspond to a restricted class of Kleisli arrows for the Radon monad.

We look at this from two perspectives. First via pro-completions and Stone spaces directly. Second via duality with Boolean algebras and effect algebras.

## 1 Perspective in terms of pro-completions

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Markov category, with deterministic wide subcategory  $\det(\mathcal{C})$ . A motivating example is  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{FinKer}$ , so that  $\det(\mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{Fin}$ , and  $\mathbf{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$  is the category of Stone spaces.

We often write ‘ $X \rightarrow X'$ ’ for an object of  $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ , as a shorthand for  $X \cong \lim_{X \rightarrow X'} X'$ , where the  $X'$ s are in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$  be the full subcategory of the profinite completion  $\mathbf{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$  given by limits of cofiltered diagrams that factorize through  $\det(\mathcal{C})$ . Equivalently,  $\mathbf{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$  is the full image of

$$\mathbf{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Pro}(\mathcal{C}),$$

where  $\mathbf{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$  is cartesian monoidal.  $\mathbf{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$  is monoidal with

$$X \otimes Y = \lim_{\substack{X \rightarrow X' \\ Y \rightarrow Y' \\ \text{in } \mathbf{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))}} X' \otimes Y',$$

i.e. the cartesian product  $X \times Y$  in  $\mathbf{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$ . Every  $X \in \mathbf{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$  has a copy structure given by

$$X \longrightarrow X' \xrightarrow{\text{copy}} X' \otimes X'$$

for each  $X \rightarrow X'$  in  $\mathbf{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $\mathbf{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$  is a Markov category with deterministic subcategory  $\mathbf{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$ .

*Proof.* The monoidal unit is the terminal object  $1 \in \mathcal{C}$ . Clearly every map in  $\mathbf{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$  is deterministic. Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be deterministic in  $\mathbf{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$ .

Then for every  $Y \rightarrow Y'$  in  $\text{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$ , there is an  $X \rightarrow X'$  in  $\text{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$  such that

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow Y' = X \rightarrow X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y'$$

for some  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , whence we have equations

$$\begin{aligned} X &\xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\text{copy}} Y \otimes Y \rightarrow Y' \otimes Y' \\ &= X \xrightarrow{f} Y \rightarrow Y' \xrightarrow{\text{copy}} Y' \otimes Y' \\ &= X \rightarrow X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y' \xrightarrow{\text{copy}} Y' \otimes Y', \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X &\xrightarrow{\text{copy}} X \otimes X \xrightarrow{f \otimes f} Y \otimes Y \rightarrow Y' \otimes Y' \\ &= X \xrightarrow{\text{copy}} X \otimes X \rightarrow X' \otimes X' \xrightarrow{f' \otimes f'} Y' \otimes Y' \\ &= X \rightarrow X' \xrightarrow{\text{copy}} X' \otimes X' \xrightarrow{f' \otimes f'} Y' \otimes Y'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for some factorization  $X \rightarrow X'' \rightarrow X'$  in  $\text{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$  we have

$$X'' \rightarrow X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y' \xrightarrow{\text{copy}} Y' \otimes Y' = X'' \rightarrow X' \xrightarrow{\text{copy}} X' \otimes X' \xrightarrow{f' \otimes f'} Y' \otimes Y',$$

whence we deduce that

$$X'' \rightarrow X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y'$$

is in  $\det(\mathcal{C})$ . Since  $Y \rightarrow Y'$  was arbitrary, the map  $f$  is in  $\text{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.**  $\text{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$  has all small Kolmogorov products.

*Proof.* Given  $(X_i : i \in I)$ , let  $X$  be their product in  $\text{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C}))$ , as an object of  $\text{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then  $X$  is an infinite tensor product of the  $X_i$ , since  $\text{Pro}(\det(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$  preserves cofiltered limits, essentially by construction.  $\square$

In the case of  $\text{ProDet}(\text{FinKer})$  there is also a faithful functor  $\text{ProDet}(\text{FinKer}) \rightarrow \text{Kleisli}(R)$  into the Kleisli category of the Radon monad on compact Hausdorff spaces. On objects, this maps a cofiltered diagram to its limit in compact Hausdorff spaces: a Stone space. Recall that a morphism  $f : \lim_i X_i \rightarrow \lim_j Y_j$  in  $\text{ProDet}(\text{FinKer})$  is given by, for each  $j$ , a choice  $i$  and a morphism in  $\text{FinKer}(X_i, Y_j)$  making suitable diagrams commute, and modulo choice of  $i$ . Thus for each deterministic point  $x : 1 \rightarrow \lim_i X_i$ , i.e. point of the Stone space, we have a morphism  $p_j(x) \in \text{FinKer}(1, Y_j)$  for all  $j$ , i.e. a distribution  $p_j(x)$  on  $Y_j$  for all  $j$ , and these are all suitably compatible; we then construct a function  $p : \lim_i X_i \rightarrow R(\lim_j Y_j)$  between compact Hausdorff spaces, mapping  $x$  to the Kolmogorov extension of  $p_j(x)$  on the Stone space  $\lim_j X_j$ .

From [1, Example 11.35], the Markov category of all probability kernels between measurable spaces is causal. And so, from this faithful embedding, we see that  $\text{ProDet}(\text{FinKer})$  is causal.

We revisit this in the Section 2, where the algebra brings out a different angle, and also suggests a direct proof of causality.

## 1.1 Aside: Additional basic results

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is representable, then so is  $\text{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\text{det}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  has a right adjoint  $D : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{det}(\mathcal{C})$ . By 2-functoriality of  $\text{Pro}$ , this gives a right adjoint  $D^+$  to  $\text{Pro}(\text{det}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ :

$$D^+X = \lim_{X \rightarrow X' \in \text{Pro}(\text{det}(\mathcal{C}))} DX'.$$

□

**Lemma 4.** *If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a.s.-compatibly representable, then so is  $\text{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p : T \rightarrow A$  and  $f, g : A \rightarrow X$  be maps in  $\text{ProDet}(\mathcal{C})$ , and suppose that  $f \equiv_{p\text{-a.s.}} g$ , i.e.

$$T \xrightarrow{p} A \rightarrow A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f} A \otimes X = T \xrightarrow{p} A \rightarrow A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes g} A \otimes X,$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} & T \xrightarrow{p} A \rightarrow A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f^\sharp} A \otimes D^+X \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{samp}} A \otimes X \\ &= T \xrightarrow{p} A \rightarrow A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes g^\sharp} A \otimes D^+X \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{samp}} A \otimes X. \end{aligned}$$

We are required to show that we can cancel the factor of  $\text{id} \otimes \text{samp}$  from each side. It is straightforward to show that this is possible upon post-composing with projections  $A \otimes X \rightarrow A' \otimes X'$ . □

(Note: Lemmas 3 and 4 are not relevant to  $\text{FinKer}$ .)

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $\mathcal{C} = \text{FinKer}$ . Then for  $X, Y \in \text{FinKer}$ ,  $L \in \text{ProDet}(\text{FinKer})$ , every kernel  $p : X \rightsquigarrow Y \otimes L$  admits a conditional  $k : X \otimes Y \rightsquigarrow L$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, let  $X = 1$ . For  $y \in Y$ , the value of  $p(*) (y, \top^Z)$  is independent of the deterministic projection  $\pi : L \rightarrow Z \in \text{Pro}(\text{Fin})$  chosen. If it is zero, we define  $k(*, y)$  arbitrarily. If it is not zero, say  $0 < K \leq 1$ , then for each  $\pi : L \rightarrow Z$  we have a  $K$ -valued measure  $p(*) (y, -)$  on  $Z$  forming a cone of kernels over the diagram for  $L$ . Normalizing by  $K$ , this is still a cone and hence we have defined a kernel  $k : 1 \otimes Y \rightsquigarrow L$ .

We must check that this really is the conditional, but this is straightforward. □

## 2 Dual perspective in terms of Boolean algebras and effect algebras

**Boolean algebras and effect algebras** Let  $\text{BAlg}$  denote the category of Boolean algebras, whose subcategory  $\text{BAlg}_{\text{fin}}$  of finite algebras is equivalent to  $\text{Fin}^{\text{op}}$ , the dual of the category of finite sets and all functions. We recall some generalities. The embedding

$$\text{BAlg} \hookrightarrow [\text{BAlg}_{\text{fin}}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}] \simeq [\text{Fin}, \text{Set}]$$

is full and faithful, and the essential image is the closure of the representable functors under filtered colimits.

The category **EAlg** of *effect algebras* is traditionally presented in terms of partial algebras, but it is sometimes helpful to use the following viewpoint: the mapping

$$(E, \otimes, 0, 1) \mapsto \lambda n. \{(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in E^n : e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_n = 1\}$$

embeds **EAlg** as a full reflective subcategory of **[Fin, Set]** containing **BAlg**

$$\mathbf{BAlg} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{EAlg} \hookrightarrow [\mathbf{Fin}, \mathbf{Set}].$$

We won't refer to the precise limit-preservation conditions that characterize **EAlg** this way [4, 6]. The cocartesian monoidal structure on finite Boolean algebras extends by Day convolution to a symmetric monoidal structure  $\boxplus$  on **[Fin, Set]**, which restricts to the coproduct on **BAlg**. It's not obvious, but the reflection of the Day convolution into **EAlg** gives the classical effect algebra tensor  $\otimes$  when restricted to **EAlg**. While the inclusion  $\mathbf{BAlg} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{EAlg}$  does not preserve coproducts in general, it does preserve the initial Boolean algebra  $\mathbb{2} = \{\perp, \top\}$ . This makes **EAlg** an interesting semicocartesian category.

**The interval effect monoid** Let  $\mathbb{I}$  denote the effect algebra whose underlying set is the real interval  $[0, 1]$  with partial sum  $a \oplus b$  defined whenever  $a + b \leq 1$  in which case it is equal to  $a + b$ . As a functor  $\mathbf{Fin} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ ,

$$\mathbb{I}(n) = \{\phi \in [0, 1]^n : \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(i) = 1\}$$

and the action of a function  $f : m \rightarrow n$  sends  $\phi \in \mathbb{I}(m)$  to

$$\lambda i \in n. \sum_{f(j)=i} \phi(j).$$

As is well-known,  $\mathbb{I}$  is a monoid with respect to  $\otimes$ , where the multiplication can be represented in terms of the Day convolution

$$\int^{a, b \in \mathbf{Fin}} \mathbf{Fin}(a \times b, n) \times \mathbb{I}(a) \times \mathbb{I}(b) \cong (\mathbb{I} \boxplus \mathbb{I})(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}(n)$$

by the dinatural transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Fin}(a \times b, n) \times \mathbb{I}(a) \times \mathbb{I}(b) &\rightarrow \mathbb{I}(n) \\ (f, \phi, \psi) &\mapsto \mathbb{I}(f)(\lambda(i, j) \in a \times b. \phi(i)\psi(j)) \end{aligned}$$

and the unit is just the unique map  $\mathbb{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ . (Recall that  $\mathbb{I} \boxplus \mathbb{I}$  is not itself the effect tensor  $\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I}$ , rather it's an object of **[Fin, Set]** whose reflection into **EAlg** is  $\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I}$ ).

**Measures on and kernels between Boolean algebras** We will only work with probability measures. A measure (i.e. valuation) on a Boolean algebra  $A$  is a map  $m : A \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  in **EAlg**, i.e. a natural transformation. This is actually just the usual notion of finitely-additive measure (or modular valuation).

**Definition 6.** For  $A, B \in \mathbf{BAlg}$ , a *kernel*  $k : A \rightsquigarrow B$  is a map  $k : B \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes A$ .

Modules for the effect monoid  $\mathbb{I}$  have been called “convex effect algebras” and have a representation theorem in terms of ordered vector spaces with a chosen strong unit [3]. For our purposes, the following special case is helpful.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $A \in \mathbf{BAlg}$ . Then  $\mathbb{I} \otimes A$  is isomorphic to the effect algebra  $\mathbf{M}(A)$  whose elements are locally constant functions  $f : S(A) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  from the Stone space  $S(A)$  of  $A$  to the unit interval with the evident partial sum operation.*

Stone duality tells us that  $\mathbf{BAlg}^{\text{op}}$  is equivalent to a full subcategory of  $\mathbf{CHaus}$ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{BAlg}(B, A) \cong \mathbf{CHaus}(S(A), S(B)).$$

It is fairly straightforward to check that measures on  $A \in \mathbf{BAlg}$  are equivalent to Radon (probability) measures on  $S(A)$ . Thus we ‘extend’ Stone duality a little bit with the observation

$$\mathbf{EAlg}(B, \mathbb{I}) \cong \mathbf{CHaus}(1, R(S(B)))$$

where  $R$  is the Radon monad. In general, we only get an injection

$$\mathbf{EAlg}(B, \mathbb{I} \otimes A) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CHaus}(S(A), R(S(B))).$$

The Radon kernels  $k : S(A) \rightarrow R(S(B))$  that arise in this way are those with the property that, for every clopen set  $C \subseteq S(B)$ , the function  $\lambda x \in S(A). k(x, C)$  is locally constant — in that case there is a (necessarily finite) partition of  $S(A)$  into clopen sets such that the measure assigned to  $C$  is constant on each.

**Example 8.** A Radon kernel between Stone spaces that does not arise in this way is  $b : 2^{\mathbb{N}} \rightsquigarrow 2$  where  $b(\vec{x})$  is the Bernoulli distribution with bias  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} 2^{-i-1} x_i$ .

## A Markov category of Boolean kernels

**Definition 9.** Let  $\mathbf{BKer} = ((\mathbf{BAlg})_{\mathbb{I} \otimes (-)})^{\text{op}}$ , the dual of the Kleisli category of the monad  $\mathbb{I} \otimes (-)$  on  $\mathbf{EAlg}$  restricted to the objects of  $\mathbf{BAlg}$ . Thus the objects of  $\mathbf{BKer}$  are Boolean algebras and the morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  are kernels, i.e. maps  $B \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes A$  in  $\mathbf{EAlg}$ .

**Lemma 10.**  *$\mathbf{BKer}$  is a semicartesian monoidal category.*

*Proof.* The tensor of effect algebras straightforwardly induces a tensor of free  $\mathbb{I}$ -modules.  $\mathbf{BKer}$  is semicartesian because the monoidal unit is  $2$ , the initial object of  $\mathbf{EAlg}$ .  $\square$

The copy-discard structure of  $\mathbf{BKer}$  is given in the evident way. For a Boolean algebra  $A$ , the ‘codiscard’ map is the unique map

$$2 \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes A$$

and the ‘cocomplete’ map is

$$A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes A$$

given the composing the codiagonal in  $\mathbf{BAlg}$  with the unit of  $\mathbb{I}$  in  $\mathbf{EAlg}$ .

$$A \otimes A \cong A +_{\mathbf{BAlg}} A \rightarrow A \cong 2 \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes A$$

**Lemma 11.** *The deterministic subcategory of  $\mathbf{BKer}$  is precisely  $\mathbf{BAlg}^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{BKer}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes A$  represent a deterministic map  $A \rightarrow B$  of  $\mathbf{BKer}$ . We want to show that, for all  $b \in B$ ,  $f(b)$  has the form  $1 \otimes a$  for some  $a \in A$ . Write  $f(b)$  in the form  $\alpha_1 \otimes a_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \otimes a_k$  with the  $a_i$  mutually orthogonal and  $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1$ . Copyability implies

$$\alpha_1 \otimes a_1 + \dots + \alpha_k \otimes a_k = \alpha_1^2 \otimes a_1 + \dots + \alpha_k^2 \otimes a_k$$

whence  $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , as required.  $\square$

**Causality** From [1, Example 11.35], the Markov category of all probability kernels between measurable spaces is causal. Since  $\mathbf{BKer}$  embeds faithfully into it with a morphism of Markov categories,  $\mathbf{BKer}$  is also causal. Let's also argue directly.

Suppose we are given

$$f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes A \quad g : C \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes B \quad h_i : D \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes C$$

in  $\mathbf{EAlg}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  with  $A, B, C, D \in \mathbf{BAlg}$  such that the two composites

$$(h_i \otimes 1_C) \circ \text{copy}_C \circ g \circ f : A \rightarrow D \otimes C$$

in  $\mathbf{BKer}$  agree. We are required to show that the two composites

$$(((h_i \otimes 1_C) \circ \text{copy}_C \circ g) \otimes 1_B) \circ \text{copy}_B \circ f : A \rightarrow D \otimes C \otimes B$$

are equal. The latter are actually maps  $D \otimes C \otimes B \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes A$ , hence it suffices to check equality on generators of the form  $d \otimes c \otimes b$ . By decomposing  $c$ , we can assume that  $c$  is 'small' enough that both  $h_i(d) \in \mathbb{I} \otimes C$  are sums of the form  $\sum_i \alpha_i x_i$  with, for each  $i$ , either  $c \leq x_i$  or  $c \wedge x_i = 0_C$ . In terms of  $\mathbf{M}(C)$ , this says that both  $h_i(d)$  are constant on the clopen subset of  $S(C)$  corresponding to  $c$ . It follows that the maps  $(h_i \otimes 1_C) \circ \text{copy}_C : C \rightarrow D \otimes C$  send, as functions  $D \otimes C \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \times C$ , the element  $d \otimes c$  to  $\xi_i \otimes c$  for some  $\xi_i \in [0, 1]$ . By hypothesis, either  $g \circ f : A \rightarrow C$  sends, as a function  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \otimes A$ , the element  $c$  to 0 or  $\xi_1 = \xi_2$ . In either case it is clear to see that the required equation holds.

**Kolmogorov products** Recall that  $\mathbf{BAlg}$  is the closure under filtered colimits of the representable functors in  $[\mathbf{Fin}, \mathbf{Set}]$ , and that by construction the Day tensor preserves colimits in each argument. It follows that the inclusion  $\mathbf{BAlg} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{EAlg}$  preserves filtered colimits, as does the (strict) monoidal functor  $\mathbf{BAlg} \rightarrow \mathbf{BKer}^{\text{op}}$ , and hence that  $\mathbf{BKer}$  has all infinite tensor products of all small families, given by the coproduct in  $\mathbf{BAlg}$  of the underlying objects. Obviously, the marginals are deterministic, i.e.  $\mathbf{BAlg}$  has all Kolmogorov products.

**See also** Lorenzin and Zanasi, *Approaching the Continuous from the Discrete: an Infinite Tensor Product Construction*, 2025 [5].

## References

- [1] Tobias Fritz. A synthetic approach to markov kernels, conditional independence and theorems on sufficient statistics. *Advances in Mathematics*, 370:107239, 2020.
- [2] Tobias Fritz and Eigil Fjeldgren Rischel. Infinite products and zero-one laws in categorical probability. *Compositionality*, 2, August 2020.
- [3] Stanley P. Gudder and Sylvia Pulmannová. Representation theorem for convex effect algebras. *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 39(4):645–659, 1998.
- [4] Bart Jacobs. Convexity, duality and effects. In *Theoretical computer science*, volume 323 of *IFIP Adv. Inf. Commun. Technol.*, pages 1–19. Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [5] Antonio Lorenzin and Fabio Zanasi. Approaching the Continuous from the Discrete: an Infinite Tensor Product Construction. arXiv:2510.14716. October 2025.
- [6] Sam Staton and Sander Uijlen. Effect algebras, presheaves, non-locality and contextuality. In Magnús M. Halldórsson, Kazuo Iwama, Naoki Kobayashi, and Bettina Speckmann, editors, *Automata, Languages, and Programming*, pages 401–413, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2015. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.