

Skim-Aware Contrastive Learning for Efficient Document Representation

Waheed Ahmed Abro

CDEP - UR 2471, Univ Artois, France
wahmed.abro@univ-artois.fr

Zied Bouraoui

CRIL - CNRS, Univ Artois, France
zied.bouraoui@cril.fr

Abstract

Although transformer-based models have shown strong performance in word- and sentence-level tasks, effectively representing long documents, especially in fields like law and medicine, remains difficult. Sparse attention mechanisms can handle longer inputs, but are resource-intensive and often fail to capture full-document context. Hierarchical transformer models offer better efficiency but do not clearly explain how they relate different sections of a document. In contrast, humans often skim texts, focusing on important sections to understand the overall message. Drawing from this human strategy, we introduce a new self-supervised contrastive learning framework that enhances long document representation. Our method randomly masks a section of the document and uses a natural language inference (NLI)-based contrastive objective to align it with relevant parts while distancing it from unrelated ones. This mimics how humans synthesize information, resulting in representations that are both richer and more computationally efficient. Experiments on legal and biomedical texts confirm significant gains in both accuracy and efficiency.

1 Introduction

Since the introduction of Language Models (LMs), the focus in NLP has been on fine-tuning large pre-trained language models, especially for solving sentence and paragraph-level tasks. However, accurately learning document embeddings continues to be an important challenge for several applications, such as document classification (Saggau et al., 2023), ranking (Ginzburg et al., 2021; Izacard et al., 2021), retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) systems that demand efficient document representation encoders (Zhang et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2025) and legal and medical applications like judgment prediction (Chalkidis et al., 2019; Feng et al., 2022), legal information retrieval (Sansone

and Sperlí, 2022), and biomedical document classification (Johnson et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2023).

Learning high-quality document representations is a challenging task due to the difficulty in developing efficient encoders with reasonable complexity. Most document encoders use sentence encoders based on self-attention architectures such as BERT (Devlin et al., 2019). However, it is not feasible to have inputs that are too long as self-attention scales quadratically with the input length. To process long inputs efficiently, architectures such as Linformer (Wang et al., 2020), Big Bird (Zaheer et al., 2020a), Longformer (Beltagy et al., 2020a) and Hierarchical Transformers (Chalkidis et al., 2022a) have been developed. Unlike quadratic scaling in traditional attention mechanisms, these architectures utilize sparse attention mechanisms or hierarchical attention mechanisms that scale linearly. As such, they can process 4096 input tokens, which is enough to embed most types of documents, including legal and medical documents, among others.

While methods based on sparse attention networks offer a solution for complexity, the length of the document remains a problem for building faithful representations for downstream applications such as legal and medical domains. First, fine-tuning these models for downstream tasks is computationally intensive. Second, capturing the meaning of the whole document remains too complex. In particular, it is unclear how or to what extent inner-dependencies between text fragments are considered. This is because longer documents contain more information than shorter documents, making it difficult to capture all the relevant information within a fixed-size representation. Additionally, documents usually cover different parts, making the encoding process complex and may lead to collapsed representations. This is particularly true for legal and medical documents, as they contain specialized terminology and text segments that describe a series of interrelated facts.

When domain experts, such as legal or medical professionals, read through documents, they skillfully skim the text, honing in on some text fragments that, when pieced together, provide an understanding of the content. Inspired by this intuitive process, our work focuses on developing document encoders capable of generating high-quality embeddings for long documents. These encoders mimic the expert ability to distill relevant text chunks, enabling them to excel in downstream tasks right out of the box, without the need for fine-tuning. We propose a novel framework for self-supervised contrastive learning that focuses on long legal and medical documents. Our approach features a self-supervised Chunk Prediction Encoder (CPE) designed to tackle the challenge of learning document representations without supervision. By leveraging both intra-document and inter-document chunk relationships, the CPE enhances how documents should be represented through its important fragments. The model operates by randomly selecting a text fragment from a document and using an encoder to predict whether this fragment is strongly related to other parts of the same document. To simulate the skimming process, we frame this task as a Natural Language Inference (NLI) problem. In our method, “entailment” and “contradiction” are not literal NLI labels. Rather, we use an NLI-style binary objective as a practical proxy that teaches the model whether a chunk is semantically compatible with its document context (positive) or not (negative). This enables the encoder to judge whether a local fragment is compatible with document-level context, thereby capturing long-range, cross-fragment relevance. This method not only uncovers connections between different documents, but also emphasizes the relevance of various sections, thus enriching the overall learning process for document representations. The main contributions are as follows:

- We introduce a self-supervised Chunk Prediction Encoder (CPE) that employs random span sampling into a hierarchical transformer and Longformer: by sampling text spans and training the model to predict whether a span belongs to the same document, CPE captures both intra- and inter-document fragment relationships, preserves global context through chunk aggregation, and models the complex hierarchical structures of long texts.
- We apply a contrastive loss that pulls to-

gether representations of related fragments and pushes apart unrelated ones, reinforcing meaningful connections across different parts of the same document.

- We conducted extensive experiments to demonstrate the effectiveness of our framework. Specifically, i) we compare the quality of our document embeddings against strong baselines, (ii) we benchmark CPE-based models in an end-to-end fine-tuning setup while training all parameters jointly and comparing downstream classification performance against established methods, and (iii) we perform an ablation study to assess the impact of different chunk sizes, visualize the resulting embedding space, and evaluate performance on shorter documents. We also compare with LLMs such as LLaMA capabilities for handling long legal texts.

2 Related Work

This section provides an overview of the modelling of long documents and self-supervised document embedding.

Modeling of long documents. Long documents are typically handled using sparse-attention models such as Longformer (Beltagy et al., 2020b) and BigBird (Zaheer et al., 2020b). These models use local and global attention mechanisms to overcome the $O(n^2)$ complexity of standard full attention mechanisms. Alternatively, one can use a hierarchical attention mechanism (Yang et al., 2016), where the document is processed in a hierarchical manner. For example, (Chalkidis et al., 2019) applied a hierarchical BERT model to model long legal documents. The model first processes the words in each sentence using the BERT-base model to produce sentence embeddings. Then, a self-attention mechanism is applied to the sentence-level embeddings to produce document embeddings. The authors have demonstrated that their hierarchical BERT model outperforms both the Vanilla BERT architecture and Bi-GRU models. Similarly, (Dai et al., 2022) explored the various methods of splitting the long document and compared them with sparse attention methods on long document classification tasks. Their findings showed that better results were achieved by splitting the document into smaller chunks of 128 tokens. (Wu et al., 2021) proposed a model called Hi-Transformer,

which applies both sentence-level and document-level transformers followed by hierarchical pooling. Meanwhile, (Chalkidis et al., 2022b) introduced a variant of hierarchical attention transformers based on segment encoder and cross-segment encoder, which demonstrated comparable results with the Longformer model. In this work, we consider processing long documents with a hierarchical attention mechanism and sparse-attention Longformer encoders. We further improve document embedding using self-supervised contrastive learning.

Unsupervised Document Representation. Unsupervised document representation learning is a highly active research field. At first, deep learning models were introduced to create contextualized word representations, such as Word2Vec (Mikolov et al., 2013) and GloVe (Pennington et al., 2014). The Doc2Vec (Le and Mikolov, 2014) model was proposed, which utilized contextualized word representation to generate document embeddings. In the same vein, the Skip-Thoughts (Kiros et al., 2015) model extended the word2vec approach from the word level to the sentence level. Transformer-based models (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019) were also suggested to produce a vector representation of the sentence. Recently, there have been advancements in self-supervised contrastive learning methods (Iter et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2021; Giorgi et al., 2021; Klein and Nabi, 2022; Saggau et al., 2023). In this direction, CONPONO proposes using sentence-level objectives with a masked language model to capture discourse coherence between sentences. The sentence-level encoder predicts text that is k sentences away. On the other hand, SimCSE (Gao et al., 2021) uses a dropout version of the same sentence as a positive pair on short sentences. Similarly, (Saggau et al., 2023) proposed SimCSE learning on long documents with additional Bregman divergence. On the other hand, SDDE (Chen et al., 2019) model was proposed to generate document representation based on inter-document relationships using an RNN encoder. We follow a similar strategy of exploiting inter-document relationships, we employ transformer-based pre-trained language models with multiple negatives ranking contrastive loss.

Legal and medical document representation

Processing legal and medical documents is an active research topic. (Chalkidis et al., 2019) propose the hierarchical BERT model to process legal documents. (Malik et al., 2021) propose the hierarchical

transformer model architecture for the legal judgment prediction task. The input document is split into several chunks of size 512 tokens. Each chunk embedding is produced by a pre-trained XLNET model. Then, a Bi-GRU encoder is applied to the chunk embeddings to produce final document embeddings. (Zheng et al., 2021) train the BERT model on CaseHOLD (Case Holdings On Legal Decisions) dataset. (Hamilton, 2023) employed GPT-2 models to predict how each justice votes for supreme court justice’s opinions. (Yang et al., 2019) process the legal document using a Multi-Perspective Bi-Feedback Network to classify law articles. (Xu et al., 2020) propose to represent the document using a graph neural network. To distinguish confusing articles, a distillation-based attention network is applied to extract discriminative features.

For medical document processing, in (Arnold et al., 2020), authors propose contextualized document representations to answer questions from long medical documents. The model employs a hierarchical dual encoder based on hierarchical LSTM to encode medical entities. (Mullenbach et al., 2018) proposed a convolutional neural network base label-wise attention network to produce label-wise document representations by attending to the most relevant document information for each medical code. In (Chalkidis et al., 2020), authors showed that the pre-trained BERT model outperforms CNN-based label-wise attention networks. In the same direction, (Kementchedjhieva and Chalkidis, 2023) proposed encoder-decoder architecture and outperforms the encoder-only model on multi-label text classification for legal and medical domains. The work in (Wang et al., 2023) provides a comprehensive survey focusing on the integration of pre-trained language models within the biomedical domain. Their investigation highlights the substantial benefits of employing LMs in various NLP tasks. In contrast to previous works, we propose the learn document representation using self-supervised contrastive learning pre-trained LMs.

3 Chunk Prediction Encoders

To process long documents in time efficient manner, we use state-of-the-art hierarchical transformer method (Chen et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2021; Dai et al., 2022) and sparse attention longformer model (Beltagy et al., 2020b). To enhance the quality of these representations, we propose self-




Figure 1: Illustration of CPE Contrastive Learning via the hierarchical transformer model.

supervised CPE that takes into account the relationship between different text chunks and determines relevant ones. Finally, we use the document embedding to predict the outcome of single and multi-label classification for legal and medical classification tasks.

CPE for Hierarchical Representation. We first briefly introduce hierarchical representation model using pre-trained model \mathcal{M} . Let D be an input document, and c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n denotes the set of corresponding text chunks in D where n is the maximum number of chunks, padding with zero if the chunks are less than n . Each chunk contains a sequence of T tokens $C = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_t)$, where t is less than 512. Furthermore, the special classification [CLS] token is added at the start of each chunk. Our aim is to learn the vector representation of each chunk using a shared *small* language model encoder as follows:

$$f = \mathcal{M}(w_{[\text{CLS}]}, w_1, \dots, w_t) \quad (1)$$

where f represents the output of a model (which can be BERT, RoBERTa, LegalBERT, Clinical-BioBERT or any other). Following the common strategy, we consider the [CLS] token as the representation of the whole chunk. To obtain the final document representation from different chunk features, we consider the following pooling strategies: the Mean-Pooling obtained by taking the mean of chunks representation $d_t = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$, and the Max-Pooling over chunks representation. Each chunk encodes the local feature of the document, and the whole document is represented by the average of these local features.

Learning process We propose a chunk prediction encoder to train a hierarchical transformer model using self-supervised contrastive learning to leverage intra and inter-document relationships. For each document, we randomly remove one




Figure 2: The CPE Contrastive Learning process with the Longformer model.

chunk and then ask the NLI classifier to predict whether this chunk is derived from other chunks of the document. By doing so, we force the model to learn the dependencies between chunks and their relevance in representing a document. Consider a mini-batch of N documents, denoted as $D = \{(d_i)\}_1^N$. For each document d_i , we randomly select a text chunk c^+ and remove it from that document \tilde{d}_i to form a positive pair (\tilde{d}_i, c^+) . We then select a negative chunk c^- from the remaining $N - 1$ documents of the batch to form a negative pair, (\tilde{d}_i, c^-) . Notice that c^- does not belong to document \tilde{d}_i . One concern that could be seen here is the text chunks could be similar and fit on both positive and negative documents. However, this is not an issue as in the training objective, we have multiple negatives, so our model is forced to optimize most dissimilar documents than most similar ones. The chunk predictive contrastive learning process can be viewed as an unsupervised natural language inference task, where a positive chunk sample represents an entailment of a document, and negative samples from other documents represent a contradiction of the document. We use multiple negatives ranking loss (Henderson et al., 2017) to train the model:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(f(\tilde{d}_i), f(c_i^+)))}{\sum_1^k \exp(\text{sim}(f(\tilde{d}_i), f(c_k^-)))}, \quad (2)$$

where f denotes the feature vector generated by document encoder, sim represents cosine similarity, c_i^+ is the positive chunk taken from the document d_i and c_k^- are the k negative sample of chunk taken from other documents than d_i . Multiple negative ranking loss compares the positive pair representation with the negative pair samples in mini-batch. The general architecture is illustrated in Figure 1. Our architecture includes a shared encoder that generates a complete document embedding, except for chunk $C4$. Additionally, the shared encoder

shown at the bottom produces a vector representation of $C4$. The classifier is responsible for learning whether the embedding of chunk $C4$ aligns with or contradicts the document embedding.

CPE for Longformer During the training of the CPE on the Longformer model, the document is split into two segments: the reference text and the positive text chunk. Our approach aims to ensure that the reference text segment and the positively sampled text chunk, which is extracted from the same document, are in agreement and maximize in consequence the objective function. Suppose we have a mini-batch of N documents, denoted as $D = \{(d_i)\}_1^N$. For each document d_i , we randomly select a text chunk c^+ and the remaining text as reference text \tilde{d} to form a positive pair (\tilde{d}_i, c_i^+) . We then select a negative chunk c^- from the remaining $N - 1$ documents of the batch to serve as the negative pair, (\tilde{d}_i, c_j^-) . The reference text and positive text chunks are passed through the same Longformer encoder. The proposed method utilizes the [CLS] token representations to produce the reference text ($z_{\tilde{d}_i}$) embedding and text segment (z_c^+) embedding. The linear classifier is then trained to maximize the agreement between the segment and reference text, both sampled from the same document and minimize the agreement between reference text and segment taken from another document. The multiple negatives ranking loss is used to optimize the model as given as follows:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp(\text{sim}(z_{\tilde{d}_i}, z_c^+))}{\sum_1^k \exp(\text{sim}(z_{\tilde{d}_i}, z_{c_k}^-))}, \quad (3)$$

where $z_{\tilde{d}_i}$, $z_{c_i}^+$, and $z_{c_k}^-$ denote the feature vectors generated by the Longformer document encoder. The general architecture is depicted in Figure 2. A shared Longformer encoder creates a document embedding for most of the text, excluding a small portion that has been removed. Additionally, a shared encoder at the bottom generates a vector representation of the removed chunk. The classifier learns to differentiate whether the embedding of the small chunk aligns with or contradicts the document embedding.

Document Classification After producing our document representations, we train an MLP classifier, which consists of three hidden layers and an output layer. The hidden layer uses the TANH activation function. In the output layer, we use

the sigmoid for the multi-label classifier and the softmax for the multi-class classifier.

$$\hat{y} = g(\tanh(W * d + b)) \quad (4)$$

where g denotes the activation function (sigmoid or softmax), d is the document representation, W represents the weight matrices, b is the bias vector. The model minimizes the cross-entropy loss. Notice that we introduce this classifier to assess the quality of our document embeddings and to evaluate to what extent the representation can be considered to perform classification tasks.

4 Experimental Setting

In this section, we define the experimental setup for evaluating the proposed contrastive learning framework.

Datasets We evaluate our encoders on three legal datasets, ECHR, SCOTUS, and EURLEX and two medical datasets, MIMIC and Biosq. Table 4 in Appendix provides statistics and the average document length of each dataset. Notice that we also consider Biosq to test the capability of our model in handling short-length documents as well.

Setting and evaluation metrics We consider small language models such bert-base¹, roberta-base², legal-bert-base³, and ClinicalBioBERT⁴ models as we seek for a simple model with less number of parameters. While our hierarchical transformer is capable of processing documents of any length, in this study, we restrict our analysis to the initial 4096 tokens driven primarily by computational considerations. The number of text chunks is set to 32 and the length of the chunk is set to 128 for ECHR, SCOTUS, and MIMIC datasets. For the EURLEX dataset, which is smaller than other datasets, we utilize initial 2048 tokens; 16 segments of 128 tokens each. The Longformer model can handle sequences of up to 4096 input length. We truncate or pad documents that are longer or shorter accordingly. The length of the positive text chunk is set to 128 tokens for the Longformer model. As evaluation metrics, we report results in Micro-averaged F1 and Macro-averaged F1 for

¹<https://huggingface.co/bert-base-uncased>

²<https://huggingface.co/FacebookAI/roberta-base>

³<https://huggingface.co/nlpaueb/legal-bert-base-uncased>

⁴<https://huggingface.co/Dinithi/ClinicalBioBERT>

Multi-label ECHR and Multi-class SCOTUS classification datasets.

Document Embedding Baseline Models We compare classifier model performance in the following settings.

Pre-trained models Embedding + MLP Classification: In this setting, we obtained the document embeddings using the existing pre-trained parameters of the hierarchical pre-trained language models (BERT, RoBERTa, LegalBERT, ClinicalBioBERT), and the Longformer model. On top of these document embeddings, we applied a MLP classification layer to predict the legal and medical labels. During training, only the parameters of the MLP layers are updated, while the parameters of the pre-trained models are fixed.

SimCSE Embedding + MLP Classification: SimCSE (Gao et al., 2021) proposed a contrastive learning framework that employs dropout for data augmentation. We train the SimCSE framework using hierarchical pre-trained language models and the Longformer model on long documents. After producing document embedding from the SimCSE framework, we apply an MLP layer for determining the legal and medical labels.

ESimCSE Embedding + MLP Classification: The Enhanced SimCSE (Wu et al., 2022) contrastive learning framework applied word repetition operation to construct positive pair. We train the ESimCSE on long documents by employing hierarchical pre-trained language models and the Longformer model. We trained an MLP classifier on top of fixed ESimCSE document embedding.

End-to-end Finetuning Baseline Models We compared our approach against the following state-of-the-art fine-tuning methods:

Hi-LegalBERT (Chalkidis et al., 2022c): The hierarchical Legal-BERT encodes each text chunk via a pretrained Legal-BERT [CLS] embedding. These chunk embeddings are then fed into a two-layer Transformer to capture inter-chunk context, then max-pool the context-aware chunk vectors into a single document embedding for classification.

LSG Attention (Condevaux and Harispe, 2023): LSG architecture employs Local, Sparse, and Global attention. LSG is based on block local attention for short-range context, structured sparse attention for mid-range dependencies, and global attention to improve information flow. LSG produces competitive results for classifying long documents.

LegalLongformer (Mamakas et al., 2022): LegalLongformer adapts the Longformer architecture by initializing all parameters from LegalBERT and then fine-tuning the entire model on downstream long document classification tasks.

HAT (Chalkidis et al., 2022b): (Hierarchical Attention Transformer) employs a two-stage encoder: a segment-wise transformer to capture local context, followed by a cross-segment transformer to model inter-segment interactions. This hierarchical approach enables efficient and accurate classification of long documents.

We propose generalized CPE which can be applied to any hierarchical attentional document such as ToBERT (Chalkidis et al., 2021), RoBERT (Papagari et al., 2019), or sparse attention models such BigBird (Zaheer et al., 2020a). By leveraging more advanced methods, the CPE can achieve better performance. We output the performance of the CPE using an advanced hierarchical attentional document encoder in the table 5.

5 Evaluation and Results

We conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the proposed CPE framework by benchmarking against a hierarchical transformer encoder, a sparse-attention Longformer model, and state-of-the-art hierarchical transformer variants. Experiments are carried out on standard long legal and medical datasets under frozen-embedding and full end-to-end fine-tuning settings.

Evaluation of Hierarchical Representation Legal and medical topic classification results on fixed document representation via hierarchical transformer results in presented in Table 1. From the table, we can observe that MLP classifiers with document embedding from various PTM produce worse performance on all datasets. It is evident that self-supervised contrastive learning SimCSE, ESimCSE, and CPE improves the classification performance of PTM document embedding across the datasets. The SimCSE embedding improves the performance of BERT embedding by 12% in terms of the macro-F1 score on the ECHR. While improvement of ESimCSE over BERT embedding is 14%. However, the CPE embedding achieves the best performance on all datasets using BERT PTM. Specifically, CPE improves macro-F1 scores approximately by 6%, and 4% on BERT embedding of SimCSE, ESimCSE for ECHR, dataset. Similar improvement can be observed on SCOTUS,

Table 1: Classification Model performance on document embedding produced by contrastively trained pre-trained hierarchical transformer models on ECHR, SCOTUS, and MIMIC datasets. Performance is reported in F-scores $\times 100$.

PTM	Model	ECHR		SCOTUS		EURLEX		MIMIC	
		macro-F1	μ -F1	macro-F1	μ -F1	macro-F1	μ -F1	macro-F1	μ -F1
BERT	Emb + MLP	36.32	55.56	40.6	61.79	25.86	51.44	49.06	62.99
	Emb _{SimCSE} + MLP	48.69	61.37	45.50	61.14	33.43	57.07	54.59	67.13
	Emb _{ESimCSE} + MLP	50.83	64.41	52.12	64.07	34.16	54.56	57.05	68.08
	Emb _{CPE} + MLP	54.77	66.98	54.56	66.78	40.96	63.68	57.12	68.20
RoBERTa	Emb + MLP	35.27	55.64	32.77	57.92	21.88	35.05	49.63	64.27
	Emb _{SimCSE} + MLP	49.04	59.4	48.28	62.71	35.13	53.64	55.72	66.99
	Emb _{ESimCSE} + MLP	45.27	56.61	57.73	67.85	33.76	54.14	57.47	67.32
	Emb _{CPE} + MLP	56.02	65.58	57.85	68.07	41.94	63.44	61.01	69.42
LegalBERT	Emb + MLP	52.63	65.31	44.93	65.42	17.78	39.47	Not considered	
	Emb _{SimCSE} + MLP	57.52	68.81	57.55	68.92	40.87	61.58	Not considered	
	Emb _{ESimCSE} + MLP	56.47	68.29	56.08	67.78	40.40	62.59	Not considered	
	Emb _{CPE} + MLP	57.74	69.39	59.45	71.85	42.16	64.17	Not considered	
ClinicalBioBERT	Emb + MLP	not considered for legal documents				55.84		68.9	
	Emb _{SimCSE} + MLP	not considered for legal documents				62.74		71.06	
	Emb _{ESimCSE} + MLP	not considered for legal documents				60.39		70.94	
	Emb _{CPE} + MLP	not considered for legal documents				63.89		71.72	

Table 2: Classification model performance on document embedding produced by contrastively pre-trained sparse attention models on ECHR, SCOTUS, EURLEX, and MIMIC datasets. Performance is reported in F-scores $\times 100$.

PTM	Model	ECHR		SCOTUS		EURLEX		MIMIC	
		macro-F1	μ -F1						
Longformer	Emb + MLP	35.89	50.35	27.35	50.28	25.83	54.45	44.55	62.09
	Emb _{SimCSE} + MLP	35.15	46.81	36.82	52.64	32.01	53.61	45.36	60.77
	Emb _{ESimCSE} + MLP	32.92	46.29	35.33	53.35	35.38	55.85	46.06	61.44
	Emb _{CPE} + MLP	48.94	59.71	47.46	62.57	43.24	64.57	53.06	64.93

EURLEX, and MIMIC.

The MLP classifiers based on Bert-base model perform poorly for both legal and biomedical datasets. The potential reason is that legal and biomedical terminology are not well represented in the generic corpora of the BERT model. So we also perform experiments with LegalBERT PTM, BERT version pre-trained on legal corpora. Similarly, we utilize ClinicalBioBERT which is pre-trained on medical documents. The MLP classifier leveraging LegalBERT and ClinicalBioBERT document embeddings demonstrates enhanced performance compared to the classifier based on BERT document embeddings. In-domain knowledge appears to be particularly crucial for the SCOTUS dataset, resulting in a 5% improvement in macro F1 score of LegalBERT Embedding_{CPE} MLP over BERT Embedding_{CPE} MLP. In comparison, the improvements on the ECHR and EURLEX datasets over BERT Embedding_{CPE} MLP models are 3% and 2%, respectively. This is because the SCOTUS dataset is more domain-specific in its language compared to the other datasets. Similarly, in the

MIMIC dataset, ClinicalBioBERT Embedding_{CPE} shows a notable 3% enhancement in performance compared to BERT Embedding_{CPE}.

The MLP classifier utilizing RoBERTa embeddings shows better performance compared to BERT-base model and achieves comparable results to the classifiers utilizing LegalBERT and ClinicalBioBERT embeddings. This improved performance can be attributed to RoBERTa’s pre-training on larger generic corpora and more extensive vocabulary. The proposed RoBERTa Embedding_{CPE} MLP outperforms SimCSE, ESimCSE MLP models on ECHR, SCOTUS, EURLEX, and MIMIC. The proposed CPE outperforms the document Emb, Emb_{SimCSE}, Emb_{ESimCSE} employing various PTM encoders across datasets. The CPE training produced better performance using LegalBERT and ClinicalBioBERT. These results suggest that CPE performance improves with domain-adapted PTM. Furthermore, results indicate the dropout augmentation or simple repetition of words to construct positive pairs and generate text embeddings may not yield significant improvements at the document

Table 3: Performance comparison of end-to-end fine-tuned classification models on the ECHR and SCOTUS datasets.

Model	ECHR		SCOTUS	
	macro-F1	μ -F1	macro-F1	μ -F1
Hi-LegalBERT	64.0	70.0	66.5	76.4
LSG	60.3	71.0	63.7	73.3
LegalLongformer	63.6	71.7	66.9	76.6
HAT	-	79.8	59.1	70.5
Ours	66.1	72.6	67.3	77.5

or paragraph level embeddings.

Evaluation on Longformer Table 2 shows the MLP classifier results on document representation produced by the contrastively trained Longformer model. The Longformer + MLP classifier performs poorly in all datasets because the model fails to model global context and paragraph-level interaction. It is evident that self-supervised strategies of (SimCSE, ESimCSE, and CPE) improve the results in the Longformer models. The proposed CPE method has a clear advantage over SimCSE and ESimCSE methods, producing 12% and 14% better macro F1-score on the ECHR dataset and 10% and 12% improvement in terms of macro F1-score on the SCOTUS dataset. Similarly, on the MIMIC dataset, the performance gain from CPE is 8% and 7% in macro F1 over SimCSE and ESimCSE, respectively. Based on the results, it is clear that hierarchical transformer models perform better than Longformer-based models on the ECHR, SCOTUS, and MIMIC datasets. The only dataset where Longformer models demonstrate superior performance is EURLEX. This improved performance on the EURLEX dataset can likely be attributed to its shorter length, which allows the Longformer model to capture all relevant information more efficiently than the hierarchical transformer model. Overall, our self-contrastive CPE methods, which are based on Longformer and hierarchical transformer, yield better results compared to SimCSE and ESimCSE models. This demonstrates the effectiveness of our method. Stacking MLP classifiers on top of document embeddings proves to be an effective approach for encoding long documents.

Evaluation on End-to-end Finetuning Setting To further solidify our findings, we evaluated our proposed CPE encoder in an end-to-end fine-tuning setting. We applied the LegalBERT CPE encoder to encode different chunks. Rather than using simple

average pooling, we employed a two-layer transformer encoder to aggregate the information from different chunks. All parameters are trained in an end-to-end manner. The results for the classification of long documents are presented in Table 3. On the ECHR dataset, our model achieves a macro-F1 score of 66.1 an improvement of 2.5 points over LegalLongformer and 2.1 points over Hi-LegalBERT—and a micro-F1 score that is 0.9 points higher than LegalLongformer and 2.6 points higher than Hi-LegalBERT. Compared with LSG, we see even larger margins +5.8 pp macro and +1.6 pp micro on ECHR, +3.6 pp macro and +4.2 pp micro on SCOTUS. HAT delivers a strong micro-F1 (79.8) on ECHR but underperforms on SCOTUS macro (59.1), indicating less balanced class handling. Our consistent gains in both macro- and micro-F1 confirm that our CPE encoder generates high-quality chunk representations, simplifying the downstream classification of both frequent and rare legal classes during fine-tuning.

Supplementary Evaluation and Analysis Additional evaluations in the appendix highlight the robustness of the proposed CPE framework. As shown in Table 3, end-to-end fine-tuning with a hierarchical transformer improves performance over baselines like Hi-LegalBERT and LegalLongformer, confirming CPE’s effectiveness. An ablation study on chunk size (Table 6) shows 128-token chunks offer the best performance, balancing context and relevance. On the short-document BIOSQ dataset (Table 7), CPE outperforms contrastive baselines, demonstrating its adaptability beyond long texts. Embedding visualizations and similarity metrics (Figure 3) further confirm that CPE produces semantically coherent and discriminative document representations.

6 Conclusion

We proposed a novel self-supervised contrastive learning framework, Chunk Prediction Encoders (CPE), for generating long document representations in legal and biomedical domains. CPE captures intra-document and inter-document relationships by aligning text chunks within a document and distinguishing them from other documents. Our experiments on legal and biomedical classification tasks demonstrated significant improvements in macro F1 scores, outperforming baselines. Additionally, leveraging domain-specific pre-trained models like LegalBERT and Clinical-

BioBERT within CPE further boosted performance, emphasizing the value of domain adaptation in document representation. Our findings highlight the potential of CPE for enhancing document understanding in legal and medical domain.

Limitations

While CPE demonstrates strong performance in long document representation, certain aspects warrant further exploration. Its effectiveness across diverse domains beyond legal and medical texts remains to be fully assessed. Additionally, while the model effectively captures intra-document relationships, further refinements could enhance its adaptability to varying document structures. Future work could explore extensions to multilingual datasets and cross-domain applications.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by ANR-22-CE23-0002 ERIANA and ANR Chaire IA Responsable.

References

Sebastian Arnold, Betty van Aken, Paul Grundmann, Felix A. Gers, and Alexander Löser. 2020. [Learning Contextualized Document Representations for Healthcare Answer Retrieval](#). In *Proceedings of The Web Conference 2020 (WWW '20)*, page 1332–1343.

Iz Beltagy, Matthew E. Peters, and Arman Cohan. 2020a. [Longformer: The long-document transformer](#). *CoRR*, abs/2004.05150.

Iz Beltagy, Matthew E. Peters, and Arman Cohan. 2020b. Longformer: The long-document transformer. *arXiv:2004.05150*.

Ilias Chalkidis, Ion Androutsopoulos, and Nikolaos Aletras. 2019. [Neural legal judgment prediction in English](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4317–4323.

Ilias Chalkidis, Xiang Dai, Manos Fergadiotis, Prodromos Malakasiotis, and Desmond Elliott. 2022a. [An exploration of hierarchical attention transformers for efficient long document classification](#). *CoRR*, abs/2210.05529.

Ilias Chalkidis, Xiang Dai, Manos Fergadiotis, Prodromos Malakasiotis, and Desmond Elliott. 2022b. An exploration of hierarchical attention transformers for efficient long document classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.05529*.

Ilias Chalkidis, Manos Fergadiotis, Sotiris Kotitsas, Prodromos Malakasiotis, Nikolaos Aletras, and Ion Androutsopoulos. 2020. [An empirical study on large-scale multi-label text classification including few and zero-shot labels](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2020, Online, November 16-20, 2020*, pages 7503–7515.

Ilias Chalkidis, Manos Fergadiotis, Dimitrios Tsarapatsanis, Nikolaos Aletras, Ion Androutsopoulos, and Prodromos Malakasiotis. 2021. [Paragraph-level rationale extraction through regularization: A case study on European court of human rights cases](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 226–241, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ilias Chalkidis, Abhik Jana, Dirk Hartung, Michael Bommarito, Ion Androutsopoulos, Daniel Katz, and Nikolaos Aletras. 2022c. [LexGLUE: A benchmark dataset for legal language understanding in English](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 4310–4330, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Hong-You Chen, Chin-Hua Hu, Leila Wehbe, and Shou-De Lin. 2019. [Self-discriminative learning for unsupervised document embedding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 2465–2474, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Charles Condeveneux and Sébastien Harispe. 2023. Lsg attention: Extrapolation of pretrained transformers to long sequences. In *Pacific-Asia Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 443–454. Springer.

Xiang Dai, Ilias Chalkidis, Sune Darkner, and Desmond Elliott. 2022. [Revisiting transformer-based models for long document classification](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2022*, pages 7212–7230, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186.

Yi Feng, Chuanyi Li, and Vincent Ng. 2022. Legal judgment prediction via event extraction with constraints. In *Proc. ACL 2022, Vol. 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 648–664.

Tianyu Gao, Xingcheng Yao, and Danqi Chen. 2021. [SimCSE: Simple contrastive learning of sentence embeddings](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 6894–6910.

Dvir Ginzburg, Itzik Malkiel, Oren Barkan, Avi Caciularu, and Noam Koenigstein. 2021. *Self-supervised document similarity ranking via contextualized language models and hierarchical inference*. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages 3088–3098. Association for Computational Linguistics.

John Giorgi, Osvald Nitski, Bo Wang, and Gary Bader. 2021. *DeCLUTR: Deep contrastive learning for unsupervised textual representations*. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)* (giorgi2021declutrs), pages 879–895, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Sil Hamilton. 2023. Blind judgement: Agent-based supreme court modelling with gpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.05327*.

Matthew Henderson, Rami Al-Rfou, Brian Strope, Yun-Hsuan Sung, László Lukács, Ruiqi Guo, Sanjiv Kumar, Balint Miklos, and Ray Kurzweil. 2017. Efficient natural language response suggestion for smart reply. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.00652*.

Dan Iter, Kelvin Guu, Larry Lansing, and Dan Jurafsky. 2020. *Pretraining with contrastive sentence objectives improves discourse performance of language models*. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4859–4870. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Gautier Izacard, Mathilde Caron, Lucas Hosseini, Sebastian Riedel, Piotr Bojanowski, Armand Joulin, and Edouard Grave. 2021. Unsupervised dense information retrieval with contrastive learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.09118*.

Alistair E. W. Johnson, Tom J. Pollard, Lu Shen, Li wei H. Lehman, Mengling Feng, Mohammad Mahdi Ghassemi, Benjamin Moody, Peter Szolovits, Leo Anthony Celi, and Roger G. Mark. 2016. *Mimic-iii, a freely accessible critical care database*. *Scientific Data*, 3.

Yova Kementchedjhieva and Ilias Chalkidis. 2023. *An exploration of encoder-decoder approaches to multi-label classification for legal and biomedical text*. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 5828–5843.

Ryan Kiros, Yukun Zhu, Russ R Salakhutdinov, Richard Zemel, Raquel Urtasun, Antonio Torralba, and Sanja Fidler. 2015. *Skip-thought vectors*. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 28, pages 3294–3302. Curran Associates, Inc.

Tassilo Klein and Moin Nabi. 2022. *SCD: Self-contrastive decorrelation of sentence embeddings*. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 394–400.

Quoc Le and Tomas Mikolov. 2014. *Distributed representations of sentences and documents*. In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 32 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1188–1196. PMLR.

Vijit Malik, Rishabh Sanjay, Shubham Kumar Nigam, Kripabandhu Ghosh, Shouvik Kumar Guha, Arnab Bhattacharya, and Ashutosh Modi. 2021. *ILDC for CJPE: Indian legal documents corpus for court judgment prediction and explanation*. In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 4046–4062.

Dimitris Mamakas, Petros Tsotsi, Ion Androutsopoulos, and Ilias Chalkidis. 2022. Processing long legal documents with pre-trained transformers: Modding LegalBERT and longformer. In *Proceedings of the Natural Legal Language Processing Workshop 2022*, pages 130–142.

Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg S Corrado, and Jeff Dean. 2013. *Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality*. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 26, pages 3111–3119. Curran Associates, Inc.

James Mullenbach, Sarah Wiegreffe, Jon Duke, Jimeng Sun, and Jacob Eisenstein. 2018. *Explainable prediction of medical codes from clinical text*. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 1101–1111.

Raghavendra Pappagari, Piotr Zelasko, Jesús Villalba, Yishay Carmiel, and Najim Dehak. 2019. Hierarchical transformers for long document classification. In *2019 IEEE automatic speech recognition and understanding workshop (ASRU)*, pages 838–844. IEEE.

Jeffrey Pennington, Richard Socher, and Christopher Manning. 2014. *GloVe: Global vectors for word representation*. In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1532–1543, Doha, Qatar. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. *Sentence-BERT: Sentence embeddings using Siamese BERT-networks*. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3982–3992, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Daniel Saggau, Mina Rezaei, Bernd Bischl, and Ilias Chalkidis. 2023. *Efficient document embeddings via self-contrastive bregman divergence learning*. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 12181–12190.

Carlo Sansone and Giancarlo Sperlí. 2022. [Legal information retrieval systems: State-of-the-art and open issues](#). *Information Systems*, 106:101967.

George Tsatsaronis, Georgios Balikas, Prodromos Malakasiotis, Ioannis Partalas, Matthias Zschunke, Michael R Alvers, Dirk Weissenborn, Anastasia Krithara, Sergios Petridis, Dimitris Polychronopoulos, and 1 others. 2015. An overview of the bioasq large-scale biomedical semantic indexing and question answering competition. *BMC bioinformatics*, 16(1):1–28.

Benyou Wang, Qianqian Xie, Jiahuan Pei, Zhihong Chen, Prayag Tiwari, Zhao Li, and Jie Fu. 2023. Pre-trained language models in biomedical domain: A systematic survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 56(3):1–52.

Sinong Wang, Belinda Z. Li, Madian Khabsa, Han Fang, and Hao Ma. 2020. [Linformer: Self-attention with linear complexity](#). *CoRR*, abs/2006.04768.

Chuhan Wu, Fangzhao Wu, Tao Qi, and Yongfeng Huang. 2021. [Hi-transformer: Hierarchical interactive transformer for efficient and effective long document modeling](#). In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 848–853, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Xing Wu, Chaochen Gao, Liangjun Zang, Jizhong Han, Zhongyuan Wang, and Songlin Hu. 2022. ESimCSE: Enhanced sample building method for contrastive learning of unsupervised sentence embedding. In *COLING*, pages 3898–3907.

Nuo Xu, Pingui Wang, Long Chen, Li Pan, Xiaoyan Wang, and Junzhou Zhao. 2020. [Distinguish confusing law articles for legal judgment prediction](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3086–3095.

Wenmian Yang, Weijia Jia, Xiaojie Zhou, and Yutao Luo. 2019. [Legal judgment prediction via multi-perspective bi-feedback network](#). In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-19*, pages 4085–4091. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization.

Zichao Yang, Diyi Yang, Chris Dyer, Xiaodong He, Alex Smola, and Eduard Hovy. 2016. [Hierarchical attention networks for document classification](#). In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 1480–1489, San Diego, California. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Manzil Zaheer, Guru Guruganesh, Kumar Avinava Dubey, Joshua Ainslie, Chris Alberti, Santiago Ontañón, Philip Pham, Anirudh Ravula, Qifan Wang, Li Yang, and Amr Ahmed. 2020a. [Big bird: Transformers for longer sequences](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 33: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2020, NeurIPS 2020, December 6–12, 2020, virtual*.

Manzil Zaheer, Guru Guruganesh, Kumar Avinava Dubey, Joshua Ainslie, Chris Alberti, Santiago Ontañón, Philip Pham, Anirudh Ravula, Qifan Wang, Li Yang, and Amr Ahmed. 2020b. [Big bird: Transformers for longer sequences](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 33, pages 17283–17297. Curran Associates, Inc.

Xin Zhang, Yanzhao Zhang, Dingkun Long, Wen Xie, Ziqi Dai, Jialong Tang, Huan Lin, Baosong Yang, Pengjun Xie, Fei Huang, Meishan Zhang, Wenjie Li, and Min Zhang. 2024. mGTE: Generalized long-context text representation and reranking models for multilingual text retrieval. In *Proc. EMNLP 2024 (Industry Track)*, pages 1393–1412.

Xinping Zhao, Yan Zhong, Zetian Sun, Xinshuo Hu, Zhenyu Liu, Dongfang Li, Baotian Hu, and Min Zhang. 2025. FunnelRAG: A coarse-to-fine progressive retrieval paradigm for RAG. In *Findings of NAACL-HLT 2025*, pages 3029–3046.

Lucia Zheng, Neel Guha, Brandon R Anderson, Peter Henderson, and Daniel E Ho. 2021. When does pre-training help? assessing self-supervised learning for law and the casehold dataset of 53,000+ legal holdings. In *Proceedings of the eighteenth international conference on artificial intelligence and law*, pages 159–168.

A Experimental Setting

A.1 Datasets

The different datasets we use are the following. Table 4 reports statistics.

ECHR: The European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) (Chalkidis et al., 2021) comprises around 11K cases of alleged human rights violations. Each case contains a list of facts or events from the case description and the task is to predict whether specific human rights articles have been violated among 10 labels.

SCOTUS: The Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS) (Chalkidis et al., 2022c) contains complex cases that are not well solved by the lower court. SCOTUS is a single-label classification task, which predicts the court opinions from a choice of 14 available issues such as criminal procedure, and civil rights, among others. The training set consists of 5K and the validation and test set contain 1.4k cases.

EURLEX (Chalkidis et al., 2022c): European Union (EU) legislation dataset contains 65K cases

Table 4: Statistics of the long and short documents dataset - Average text token length and number of train and test samples for self-contrastive pre-training

Dataset	Train	Test	Avg. token length
ECHR	9000	1000	2050
SCOTUS	5000	1400	8000
MIMIC	30000	10000	3200
EUR-LEX	55000	5000	1400
Bioasq	80000	20000	300

from the European Union portal ⁵. The task is to predict its EuroVoc labels such as (economics, trade, and healthcare). The training set consists of 55K and the validation and test set contain 5k cases. The average document length is approximately 1400 tokens. EUR-LEX is a multi-label classification dataset containing 100 labels (concepts).

MIMIC-III: Medical information mart for intensive care (MIMIC-III) (Johnson et al., 2016) dataset comprises 40K discharge summaries from US hospitals, with each summary mapped to one or more (International classification of diseases, ninth revision) ICD-9 taxonomy labels. We utilized labels from the first level of the ICD-9 hierarchy.

BIOASQ (Tsatsaronis et al., 2015): The BIOASQ dataset comprises biomedical articles sourced from PubMed. Each article is annotated with concepts from the Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) taxonomy. We used the first levels of the MeSH taxonomy. The dataset is divided into train and test categories.

A.2 Configuration

We use the AdamW optimizer with a learning rate of $2e - 5$ and weight decay of 0.001. The model is trained for 3 epochs for self-supervised SimCSE, ESimCSE, and CPE settings, while the MLP classifier model is trained for 20 epochs. The classifier uses a batch size of 16, while the self-contrastive learning module uses a batch size of 4. We apply Max Pooling to the chunk representations to aggregate information across the chunks. All models are trained using NVIDIA Quadro RTX 8000 48GB GPU.

Evaluation of Advanced Hierarchical Representation In Section 3, we introduced a generalized CPE that can be used with any long document encoder. We hypothesise that the performance

Table 5: Performance evaluation of classification model using different hierarchical transformer CPE encoders applied on BERT for ECHR and SCOTUS datasets.

Model	ECHR		SCOTUS	
	macro-F1	μ -F1	macro-F1	μ -F1
HBERT+MLP	54.77	66.98	54.56	66.78
RoBERT+MLP	54.99	67.05	58.34	69.64
ToBERT+MLP	60.28	68.46	58.79	70.07

of CPE improves when using an advanced document encoder. To test this, we conducted experiments using Transformer over BERT (ToBERT) and Recurrence over BERT (RoBERT). For classification tasks, we kept the parameters of BERT fixed (frozen), and only the Transformer and LSTM encoder with MLP layer were learned during training. The results in Table 5 show indeed that ToBERT improved the performance of the generalized HBERT by 6% in macro F1 and 1.5% in μ F1-score. On the SCOTUS dataset, ToBERT achieved a performance gain of approximately 4% in both macro and μ F1-scores.

B Ablation Study

We conducted an ablation study to evaluate the impact of different chunk sizes, visualize the quality of the embedding space, and examine the performance of the CPE framework on short documents.

Impact of chunk length: Table 6 and Figure 3 summarize the CPE classification performance measured by macro-F1 using a hierarchical Transformer encoder with chunk sizes of 64, 128, 256, and 512 across four datasets: ECHR, SCOTUS, EURLEX, and MIMIC. Fig 3 illustrates how performance varies with chunk size. For the ECHR data set, on small chunk size of 64 produce 57.64 macro F1 score and remains stable for chunk size 128 and produce 57.74 micro F-1 score. The model performance then gradually decreases for large chunk sizes of 256 and 512. On the other hand, for SCOTUS dataset, there is a notable improvement when moving from a chunk size of 64 to 128, after which the performance slightly drops for larger sizes. The scores for MIMIC show a modest decline, on chunk size 64 to chunk size 512. This demonstrates relative robustness with only a slight decrease as the chunk size increases. Conversely, the EURLEX dataset exhibits its best performance at the smaller chunk sizes of 64 and 128, but shows a sharp decline at chunk sizes of 256 and 512. This suggests

⁵<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/>




Figure 3: Macro-F1 Scores vs. Chunk Size via hierarchical transformer encoders applied on LegalBERT for ECHR, SCOTUS, EURLEX, and ClinicalBioBERT for MIMIC datasets.

that EURLEX is highly sensitive to the chunk size parameter, likely because its shorter average text length means that larger chunks incorporate too much irrelevant detail.

Performance on short document corpus To evaluate our CPE framework on short documents, we perform experiments on the BIOASQ dataset. We followed the method outlined in Section 3.2, but instead of using the Longformer encoder, we utilized ClinicalBioBERT and BERT features, setting the length of the positive chunk to 64 tokens. Table 7 reports classification results. The top rows show the performance of models using BERT embedding and the bottom rows display the performance of models using ClinicalBioBERT embedding. The ClinicalBioBERT Embedding_{CPE} + MLP model produces the highest macro and micro F1 scores, achieving 71.28 and 84.43 macro F1 and micro F1-scores, respectively. This indicates that self-supervised CPE learning produces high-quality embeddings. Conversely, the state-of-the-art ClinicalBioBERT Emb_{SimCSE} + MLP and ClinicalBioBERT Emb_{ESimCSE} + MLP models does not enhance the performance of the baseline model Embedding + MLP. This suggests that using only dropout augmentation or basic word repetition to form positive pairs for generating text embeddings yields little benefit for document- or paragraph-level representations, even though these techniques perform very well for sentence embeddings. Results demonstrate that the proposed CPE method improves embedding derived from ClinicalBioBERT by around 4% macro-F1.

Embeddings Quality Figure 4 shows the t-SNE

projections of the CPE embeddings compared to the SimCSE baseline using the LegalBERT encoder on SCOTUS. As we can see, CPE demonstrates a higher quality of legal act encoding, as evidenced by more compact clusters. To quantify the comparison of visualized embeddings, we applied the DB-Scan clustering algorithm to the t-SNE projections. We evaluated clustering quality using completeness and homogeneity measures. As shown in Figure 4, the completeness and homogeneity scores for CPE are 0.31 and 0.38, respectively, compared to 0.23 and 0.32 for SimCSE. This indicates a clear improvement in topic separation using the projected embeddings from CPE.

Training Time

Table 8 presents the training time required by each model on the ECHR and SCOTUS datasets. Self-supervised contrastive learning using a CPE encoder requires approximately 3 h on ECHR and 1.5 h on SCOTUS dataset. In contrast, SimCSE and ESimCSE training takes place 4.5 on ECHR and 2.5 h on SCOTUS dataset.

SimCSE, and ESimCSE require significantly longer training times due to their document-level positive pair and negative pair. Our CPE training is more efficient because each positive and negative pair involves a single sampled chunk paired with an aggregated document context rather than encoding the entire document twice, reducing both computation and memory overhead.

Furthermore, for the evaluation of the document embedding in the downstream with an MLP head (1.78M trainable parameters), the training is light, taking less than 10 minutes per epoch on our hardware.

Prompting LLAMA on long legal documents

Table 9 demonstrates that the zero-shot prompting model LLAMA underperforms compared to embedding models (HBERT+MLP), primarily due to the extensive length of its prompts. Although the literature suggests that few-shot demonstrations can enhance model performance, the limited context window presents significant challenges when handling long documents, each averaging 4,096 tokens. Incorporating even one-shot examples into the prompt consumes nearly all available space, leaving insufficient room for the actual query. Furthermore, the LLAMA model tends to over-predict a limited number of classes while rarely predicting others, leading to imbalanced classification outcomes, as indicated by a low macro-F1 score.

Table 6: Performance evaluation of classification model using different chunk size length via hierarchical transformer encoders applied on LegalBERT for ECHR, SCOTUS, EURLEX, and ClinicalBioBERT for MIMIC datasets.

Chunk Size	ECHR		SCOTUS		EURLEX		MIMIC	
	macro-F1	μ -F1						
64	57.64	69.1	58.77	71.14	42.10	63.81	63.09	70.74
128	57.74	69.39	62.69	73.29	42.16	64.17	63.35	70.79
256	56.93	67.69	59.18	71.79	38.99	59.18	61.54	70.27
512	55.60	67.29	59.45	71.85	29.29	43.63	60.63	68.90

Table 7: Performance evaluation of classification model on short document BIOASQ dataset using BERT and ClinicalBioBERT encoders.

PTM	Model	Bioasq	
		macro-F1	μ -F1
BERT	Embedding + MLP	68.64	83.30
	Embedding _{SimCSE} + MLP	68.05	82.70
	Embedding _{ESimCSE} + MLP	68.04	82.66
	Embedding _{CPE} + MLP	70.51	84.08
ClinicalBioBERT	Embedding + MLP	68.05	83.60
	Embedding _{SimCSE} + MLP	69.13	83.31
	Embedding _{ESimCSE} + MLP	68.77	83.17
	Embedding _{CPE} + MLP	71.28	84.43

Table 8: Training time (in hours or minutes) across different datasets.

Model	ECHR (T)	SCOTUS (T)
CPE	3 h	1.5 h
SimCSE	4.5 h	2.5 h
ESimCSE	4.5 h	2.5 h

Table 9: Classification performance of the zero-shot LLAMA model on the ECHR and SCOTUS datasets.

Model	ECHR		SCOTUS	
	macro-F1	μ -F1	macro-F1	μ -F1
HBERT+MLP	54.77	66.98	54.56	66.78
Llama3-8B-Instruct	15.39	22.54	0.369	24.91




Figure 4: t-SNE visualization on the Scotus dataset with corresponding legal class.