

Charge functions for all dimensional partitions

Hao Feng,^{1,*} Tian-Shun Chen,^{1,†} and Kilar Zhang^{1,2,3,‡}

¹*Department of Physics and Institute for Quantum Science and Technology, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China*

²*Shanghai Key Lab for Astrophysics, Shanghai 200234, China*

³*Shanghai Key Laboratory of High Temperature Superconductors, Shanghai 200444, China*

The charge functions for n -dimensional partitions are known for $n=2,3,4$ in the literature. We give the expression for arbitrary odd dimension in a recent work, and now further conjecture a formula for all even dimensional cases. This conjecture is proved rigorously for 6D, and numerically verified for 8D.

I. INTRODUCTION

The statistical enumeration of Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) states on toric Calabi-Yau n -folds stands as a fundamental problem in both topological string theory and enumerative geometry [1–3]. The key to this program is the identification of n -dimensional partitions [4, 5], which provide a combinatorial realization of the BPS Hilbert space. In these systems, the molten crystal growth is governed by the underlying BPS algebra [6–8], a symmetry structure whose representation theory is encoded within the spectral properties of its Cartan operators. The eigenvalues of these operators are packaged into a single meromorphic object: the charge function $\psi(u)$. As a decisive factor in the algebraic bootstrap procedure, $\psi(u)$ determines the melting rules of the crystal, where its simple poles are in one-to-one correspondence with the box positions where a box can be added to or removed from a partition [9, 10].

While the charge functions for low-dimensional partitions are well-established, they exhibit an evolution toward increasing non-locality. For 3D partitions, the affine Yangian of \mathfrak{gl}_1 successfully describes the dynamics through simple factorized rational kernels [10–12]. This framework precisely describes the algebraic structure behind Nekrasov instanton partition functions and the AGT correspondence [13, 14]. However, moving toward 4D solid partitions and higher dimensions necessitates the inclusion of collective excitations known as box clusters [10, 15, 16]. This transition reflects the growing complexity of the instanton moduli space and the associated equivariant cohomology [13, 17–20]. Building upon these developments, in our preceding work [21], a general formula is proposed for arbitrary odd-dimensional partitions, which is strictly proved for 5D case, and tested for 7D and 9D.

However, generalizing these results to arbitrary even dimensions ($n = 2K, K \geq 3$) remains a formidable task. The primary difficulty lies in the asymmetric distribution induced by odd-order product terms and the breaking of pole-sign symmetry in even dimensions, which pre-




FIG. 1. Schematic of a 4D partition. Green and red designate addable and removable box positions, respectively, while black dots indicate sites where our method predicts a box (either red or green) to be. The subfigures show slices at $l_4 = 0, 1, 2$ from left to right, all of which are consistent with the melting rule.

vents the charge function from following the simple patterns observed in lower dimensions [21, 22]. In this letter, we overcome these obstacles by proposing a universal conjecture for the charge functions of even-dimensional partitions. We provide a rigorous proof for the 6D case by introducing a lemma and apply an exhaustion method [23, 24], and perform extensive numerical verification via Monte Carlo sampling for 8D partitions. Our formula completes the library of charge functions for all dimensions, providing the essential data required to explore the BPS algebras of higher-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifolds [25, 26].

II. PARTITIONS

First let us collect the necessary definitions relating partitions, following the descriptions in [10, 21].

An n -dimensional partition $\Delta^{(n)}$ should obey the melting rule: For any box $\vec{\square} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ and any $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, if there exists $\vec{\square}' \in \Delta^{(n)}$ with $\vec{\square}' = \vec{\square} + \vec{e}_k$, then $\vec{\square} \in \Delta^{(n)}$.

For instance, Fig.1 presents a schematic of an $n = 4$ partition satisfying the melting rule.

The canonical basis is defined as

$$\vec{e}_i = (\delta_{1i}, \delta_{2i}, \dots, \delta_{ni}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (\text{II.1})$$

with δ_{ij} the Kronecker delta.

A set of complex number h_i called weight or flavor parameter, characterize the equivalent toric action on CY_n

* fenghaozi@shu.edu.cn

† cts2003912@shu.edu.cn

‡ kilar@shu.edu.cn; Corresponding Author

as $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (e^{h_1}x_1, e^{h_2}x_2, \dots, e^{h_n}x_n)$. They satisfy the Calabi-Yau condition: $\sum_{i=1}^n h_i = 0$.

Here two fundamental sets are of particular importance:

$$A_{\Delta^{(n)}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\Delta^{(n)}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \quad (\text{II.2})$$

which consist of all boxes in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ that can be added to or removed from $\Delta^{(n)}$, respectively, while ensuring the resulting configuration remains a valid n -dimensional partition. We now define a projection map c as follows¹:

$$c: \quad \vec{\square} = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i \vec{e}_i \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n l_i h_i, \quad (\text{II.3})$$

where the weight parameters $\{h_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ are generic complex numbers satisfying the Calabi-Yau condition.

The projection c effectively identifies points in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ that differ by integer multiples of the vector $\sum_{i=1}^n \vec{e}_i$. Consequently, the decomposition in (II.3) is not unique. To establish a unique representation, we introduce the shifted coordinates l'_i by setting the minimal component to zero:

$$l'_i = l_i - \min\{l_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}. \quad (\text{II.4})$$

This allows c to be expressed uniquely as

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^n l'_i h_i, \quad l'_i \geq 0. \quad (\text{II.5})$$

In what follows, we shall use ℓ and ℓ' as operators acting on the projection value, specifically $\ell(c) = \ell$ and $\ell'(c) = \ell'$.

We define P_n as the set of all possible n -dimensional partitions and \mathcal{P} as the set of points in the projected space:

$$P_n := \left\{ \Delta^{(n)} \text{ for any number of boxes} \right\} \subset 2^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n}, \quad (\text{II.6})$$

$$\mathcal{P} := \left\{ c = \sum_{i=1}^n l_i h_i \mid l_i \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}. \quad (\text{II.7})$$

Finally, we introduce a set of certain partitions at a given box $\vec{\square}$, denoted by $G(\vec{\square})$. This set comprises all n -dimensional partitions that possess at least one addable or removable position whose projection coincides with $c(\vec{\square})$:

$$G(\vec{\square}) = \left\{ \Delta^{(n)} \in P_n \mid \exists \tilde{\vec{\square}} \in A_{\Delta^{(n)}} \cup R_{\Delta^{(n)}}, \tilde{c}(\tilde{\vec{\square}}) = c(\vec{\square}) \right\}. \quad (\text{II.8})$$

¹Throughout this work, the coordinate components of a box $\vec{\square}$ are denoted by l_i .

III. CHARGE FUNCTIONS

In this letter, we show that the charge functions $\psi(u)$ for arbitrary dimensional partitions $\Delta^{(n)}$ can be explicitly constructed. These functions are characterized by the following fundamental properties:

1. $\psi_{\Delta^{(n)}}(u)$ is a meromorphic function of u whose singularities are restricted to simple poles.
2. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between the poles of $\psi_{\Delta^{(n)}}(u)$ and the projected coordinates $c(\vec{\square})$ associated with the addable and removable boxes $\vec{\square} \in A_{\Delta^{(n)}} \cup R_{\Delta^{(n)}}$.

In the following, we directly present the general expressions of the charge functions for arbitrary dimension n , distinguishing between the even and odd cases. A review of the charge functions for lower-dimensional partitions can be found in Appendix A.

a. Formula for arbitrary odd dimension (proposed in [21], proved for 5D, and checked for 7D and 9D): In dimension $n = 2K + 1$, $K \geq 1$, the charge function reads

$$\psi_{\Delta^{(n)}}(u) = \psi_0(u) \psi'_{\Delta^{(n)}}(u), \quad (\text{III.1})$$

with $\psi_0 = \frac{1}{u}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_{\Delta^{(n)}}(u) &= \prod_{\vec{\square} \in \Delta^{(n)}} \varphi_1^{(2K+1)}(u - c(\vec{\square})) \\ &\times \prod_{m=2}^K \prod_{\phi_{2m} \subset \Delta^{(n)}} \varphi_{2m}^{(2K+1)}(u - c(\phi_{2m})), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.2})$$

where

$$\varphi_1^{(2K+1)}(u) = \frac{\prod_{m=1}^K \prod_{1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_{2m} \leq 2K+1} (u - \sum_{i=1}^{2m} h_{l_i})}{\prod_{i=1}^{2K+1} (u - h_i)}, \quad (\text{III.3})$$

$$\varphi_{2m}^{(2K+1)}(u) = \frac{1}{u}. \quad (\text{III.4})$$

In the above, we introduce the p -box cluster $\phi_p = \left\{ \vec{\square}, \vec{\square} + \vec{e}_{s_1}, \vec{\square} + \vec{e}_{s_2}, \dots, \vec{\square} + \vec{e}_{s_{p-1}} \right\}$, where s_i are $p-1$ different integers in $[1, n]$. We also define

$$c(\phi_p) = c(\vec{\square}) + \sum_{i=1}^p h_{s_i}. \quad (\text{III.5})$$

b. Formula for arbitrary even dimension: We conjecture the form of the charge function in dimension $n = 2K$, $K \geq 2$,

$$\psi_{\Delta^{(n)}}(u) = \psi_0(u) \psi'_{\Delta^{(n)}}(u), \quad (\text{III.6})$$

where again $\psi_0 = \frac{1}{u}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_{\Delta^{(n)}}(u) &= \prod_{\vec{\square} \in \Delta^{(n)}} \varphi_1^{(2K)}(u - c(\vec{\square})) \\ &\times \prod_{m=2}^{K-1} \prod_{\phi_{2m} \subset \Delta^{(n)}} \varphi_{2m}^{(2K)}(u - c(\phi_{2m})) \\ &\times \prod_{\phi_{2K} \subset \Delta^{(n)}} \varphi_{2K}^{(2K)}(u - c(\phi_{2K})) \\ &\times \prod_{\phi_{2K+1} \subset \Delta^{(n)}} \varphi_{2K+1}^{(2K)}(u - c(\phi_{2K+1})). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.7})$$

and the exact forms for the factors are:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^{(2K)}(u) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{2K} (u + h_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^{2K} (u - h_i)} \\ &\times \prod_{m=1}^{K-1} \prod_{1 \leq l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_{2m} \leq 2K} (u - \sum_{i=1}^{2m} h_{l_i}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.8})$$

$$\varphi_{2m}^{(2K)}(u) = \frac{1}{u}, \quad (m \in \{2, 3, \dots, K-1\}), \quad (\text{III.9})$$

$$\varphi_{2K}^{(2K)}(u) = \frac{1}{u^2}, \quad (\text{III.10})$$

and

$$\varphi_{2K+1}^{(2K)}(u) = u^2. \quad (\text{III.11})$$

It is easy to tell for $n = 4$, i.e. $K = 2$, (III.6) identifies with the known formula for solid partitions as shown in (A.8).

It is worth mentioning that the 2D case (A.2) is an exception, since its dimension is too low to share the common structure of p-box clusters.

IV. POTENTIAL FUNCTION

To characterize the analytic structure of the charge functions, we introduce a potential function $\omega_{0,\Delta^{(n)}}(c)$, which identifies the order of the pole at a projected point c :

$$\omega_{0,\Delta^{(n)}}(c) = \begin{cases} m, & \text{for a pole of order } m, \\ 0, & \text{for an analytic point,} \\ -m, & \text{for a zero of order } m. \end{cases} \quad (\text{IV.1})$$

The explicit form of $\omega_{0,\Delta^{(n)}}(c)$ derived from (III.7), is composed of a vacuum contribution and a partition-dependent term:

$$\omega_{0,\Delta^{(n)}}(c) = \delta_{0,c} + \omega_{\Delta^{(n)}}(c). \quad (\text{IV.2})$$

with:

$$\omega_{\Delta^{(n)}}(\tilde{c}) := \omega_{\Delta^{(n)},1}(\tilde{c}) + \omega_{\Delta^{(n)},\phi_p}(\tilde{c}), \quad (\text{IV.3})$$

where the single-box contributions are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\Delta^{(n)},1}(\tilde{c}) &:= \sum_{\vec{\square} \in \Delta^{(n)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\tilde{c},c(\vec{\square})+h_i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{\tilde{c},c(\vec{\square})-h_i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{m=1}^{K-1} \sum_{0 \leq l_1 \leq \dots \leq l_{2m} \leq n} \delta_{\tilde{c},c(\vec{\square})+\sum_{i=1}^{2m} h_{l_i}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{IV.4})$$

and the cluster-dependent terms are defined via:

$$\omega_{\phi_p}(\tilde{c}) := \begin{cases} \delta_{\tilde{c},c(\phi_p)} & p \in \{4, 6, \dots, 2K-2\} \\ 2\delta_{\tilde{c},c(\phi_p)} & p = 2K \\ -2\delta_{\tilde{c},c(\phi_p)} & p = 2K+1 \end{cases}, \quad (\text{IV.5})$$

$$\omega_{\Delta^{(n)},\phi_p}(\tilde{c}) := \sum_{p \in \{4, 6, \dots, 2K\} \cup \{2K+1\}} \sum_{\phi_p \subseteq \Delta^{(n)}} \omega_{\phi_p}(\tilde{c}). \quad (\text{IV.6})$$

The potential function associated with a specific box $\vec{\square}$ is naturally defined as $\omega_{\Delta^{(n)}}(\vec{\square}) := \omega_{\Delta^{(n)}}(c(\vec{\square}))$.

Using the potential function introduced above, we can rewrite the charge function properties as:

- 1. $\psi_{\Delta^{(n)}}(u)$ has only simple poles.
- 2. $\Delta^{(n)} \in G(\vec{\square}) \iff \omega_{0,\Delta^{(n)}}(\vec{\square}) = 1$.

Conjecture: The even dimensional charge function (III.6) satisfies the properties above.

V. PROOF

Before proceeding to the proof, it is important to note that the verification of the conjecture for any arbitrary n -dimensional partition $\Delta^{(n)}$ can be reduced to a specific study of partitions within a hypercube geometry. Following the proof strategy established in our previous work for odd-dimensional cases [21], the global property of the charge function is fundamentally determined by the local configuration of the partition surface. This reduction implies that proving the general conjecture is equivalent to proving it for the cases where $\Delta^{(n)}$ is a subset of a d -dimensional hypercube $HC^{(d)}$ (for any $d \leq n$). Consequently, the entire conjecture rests upon the validity of the following lemma, which we state as the core building block for the subsequent proof.

We first define the hypercube HC :

A d -dimensional hypercube HC with its origin at $\vec{\square}$ is defined as a subspace with 2^d elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$:

$$HC^{(d)}(\vec{\square}, \{e_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^d) := \{\tilde{\vec{\square}} \mid \tilde{\vec{\square}} = \vec{\square} + \sum_{i=1}^d \delta_i \vec{e}_{n_i}, \delta_i = 0, 1\}. \quad (\text{V.1})$$

For example, Fig.2 shows all six unique partitions of the hypercube $HC^{(2)}(n = 6)$.




FIG. 2. All six unique partitions of the hypercube $HC^{(2)}(n=6)$. Red star marks the target box position. Cyan color represents an occupied box.

Lemma A. (proved for 6D and discussed for higher n)

For $\forall \Delta^{(n)} \subseteq HC^{(d)}(\vec{0}, \{\vec{e}_{n_i}\})$,

$$\omega_{0, \Delta^{(n)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i} \right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \Delta^{(n)} \in G \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i} \right), \quad (\text{A1}) \\ \leq 0, & \text{if } \Delta^{(n)} \notin G \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i} \right). \quad (\text{A2}) \end{cases}$$

To study Lemma A, We write $HC^{(d)} \left(\vec{0}, \{\vec{e}_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^d \right) = HC^{(d)}$ for short. We first claim that: if $\Delta^{(n)} \subseteq HC^{(d)}$,

$$\Delta^{(n)} \in G \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i} \right) \iff \begin{cases} \{\emptyset, \{\vec{0}\}, HC^{(n)} - \{\sum_{i=1}^n \vec{e}_i\}, HC^{(n)}\} & d = n, \\ \{HC^{(d)} - \sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i}\}, HC^{(d)} \} & d \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (\text{V.2})$$

This is easy to be checked by the melting rule.

For ease of understanding, we can take Fig.2 as an example. A simple pole occurs at the target position only when either the target position alone remains unfilled or all positions are filled (Partition 5 and 6); otherwise, the number of poles is less than one.

A. Some analytically check of Lemma 1

We offer a proof for Lemma A1:

$$\Delta^{(n)} \in G \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i} \right) \Rightarrow \omega_{0, \Delta^{(n)}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i} \right) = 1. \quad (\text{V.3})$$

First, it is direct to show:

$$w_{0, \emptyset} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \vec{e}_i \right) = w_{0, \{\vec{0}\}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \vec{e}_i \right) = 1, \quad (\text{V.4})$$

$$w_{0, HC^{(d)} - \{\sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i}\}} = w_{0, HC^{(d)}}. \quad (\text{V.5})$$

To complete the prove of Lemma A1, all we need to prove is:

$$w_{0, HC^{(d)}} = 1. \quad (\text{V.6})$$

We introduce the concept of d -neighbor as follows: A configuration c is called a d -neighbor of c' (where $d < n$), denoted as $c' \xrightarrow{d} c$, if and only if:

$$c = c' + \sum_{i=1}^d h_{n_i}, \quad (\text{V.7})$$

for some index set $\{n_i\}$ with $n_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

The number of $n - m$ neighbor of $c \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^d h_{n_i}$ is:

$$|\{c \mid c \xrightarrow{m} \sum_{i=1}^d h_{n_i}, c \in HC^{(d)}\}| = C_d^m. \quad (\text{V.8})$$

Noting that $\forall k \in \{3, 5 \dots 2K - 3\}$, there's one cluster centered at each $n - k$ neighbor of $\sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i}$ that contributes a pole to $\sum_{i=1}^d \vec{e}_{n_i}$. Each $n-1$ -neighbor contributes one zero. Additionally, in the cluster centered at each $n-1$ -neighbor, it contributes two poles. Thus, the net contribution of each $n-1$ -neighbor is one pole. In a word, each $n - m$, ($1 \leq m \leq n - 1$) neighbor contributes $(-1)^{n+1}$ poles.

Case 1: $n \neq d$

$$w_{HC^{(d)}} = \sum_{m=1}^d C_d^m (-1)^{m+1} = 1, \quad (\text{V.9})$$

and

$$w_{0, HC^{(d)}} = w_{HC^{(d)}}. \quad (\text{V.10})$$

Case 2: $n = d$

$$w_{HC^{(n)}} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} C_d^m (-1)^{m+1} - 2 = \sum_{m=0}^n C_d^m (-1)^{m+1} = 0, \quad (\text{V.11})$$

$$w_{0, HC^{(n)}} = 1 + w_{HC^{(n)}} = 1. \quad (\text{V.12})$$

Thus we have completed the proof of Lemma A1.



(a) Exhaustive enumeration for $n = 6$.(b) Monte Carlo sampling for $n = 8$.

FIG. 3. Numerical verification of the pole order at the target position $\vec{\square} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ for even dimensional partitions within a hypercube. The red dashed line represents the theoretical threshold $\omega = 1$. (a) The 6D case shows all possible partitions, forming a clear boundary. (b) The 8D case, obtained via sampling, shows a consistent distribution where all sampled states satisfy $\omega \leq 1$. Note that the pole order equals 1 only for the limiting cases ($N = 0, 1, 2^n - 1, 2^n$).

B. Numerical Verification

For Lemma A2, we don't give a analytical proof. Instead, we perform exhaustive enumeration and have it proved for 6D. For 8D, we perform Monte Carlo sampling tests and check its correctness.

For the 6D case, the total number of boxes in the hypercube $HC^{(6)}$ is $2^6 = 64$. The size of the configuration space is manageable (in total 7836132 cases), allowing us to perform an exhaustive enumeration of all possible partitions $\Delta^{(6)} \subseteq HC^{(d)}$, ($d = 1, 2, \dots, 6$). We calculate the pole order $\omega_{\Delta^{(6)}}(\vec{\square})$ at the target position $\vec{\square} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ for every unique partition.

The results for $d = 6$ are presented in Fig. 3(a). The horizontal axis represents the number of boxes $N = |\Delta^{(6)}|$, ranging from 0 to 64. The vertical axis shows the calculated pole order. It is evident that for all valid partitions, the pole order satisfies the condition $\omega \leq 1$. The maximum value $\omega = 1$ is achieved only when $N = 0, 1, 63, 64$, which is consistent with the theoretical prediction that these are the only admissible configurations in $G(\sum \vec{e}_i)$ for the hypercube geometry. The distribution of pole orders forms a characteristic “U” shape, strictly bounded by the threshold line $\omega = 1$.

For the 8D case, the hypercube $HC^{(8)}$ contains $2^8 = 256$ boxes. The number of unique partitions (equal to the Dedekind number $M(8)$) is astronomically large, making exhaustive enumeration computationally intractable. Therefore, we adopted an independent Monte Carlo sampling approach. For each box number $N \in [0, 256]$, we generated a large number of random valid partitions satisfying the melting rule and computed their potential functions.

Figure 3(b) displays the results of the 8D sampling. Similar to the 6D case, the sampled pole orders are densely distributed below the threshold line. Despite the significant increase in dimensionality and complexity, no violations of the upper bound $\omega \leq 1$ were ob-

served. The density of the scatter plot (represented by the transparency in the figure) indicates the statistical distribution of the pole orders, confirming that the vast majority of configurations lie well within the negative region, while the boundary conditions at $N = 0, 1, 255, 256$ correctly return to $\omega = 1$.

These numerical results provide strong evidence supporting our formula for even dimensional partitions, confirming that the constructed charge function correctly reproduces the pole structure required by the BPS algebra representation theory.

VI. CONCLUSION

By combining the even-dimensional charge functions ($n = 2K, K \geq 2$) proposed in this letter with our previously established results for odd dimensions, together with the lower dimensional cases in the literature, we have completed the library of charge functions for partitions in all dimensions, providing the essential foundation for exploring the BPS algebras of higher-dimensional toric Calabi-Yau manifolds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Yutaka Matsuo, Jean-Emile Bourgine and Rui-Dong Zhu for helpful discussions. K.Z. (Hong Zhang) is supported by a classified fund of Shanghai city.

Appendix A: Lower Dimensions

a. Case $n = 2$: Young Diagrams For 2D partitions, the Calabi-Yau condition specializes to

$$h_1 + h_2 = 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

The corresponding charge function associated with the Young diagram $\Delta^{(2)}$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\Delta^{(2)}}(u) &= \frac{1}{u} \prod_{\square \in \Delta^{(2)}} \varphi_1^{(2)}(u - c(\phi_1)) \\ &\times \prod_{\phi_2 \in \Delta^{(2)}} \varphi_2^{(2)}(u - c(\phi_2)) \prod_{\phi_3 \in \Delta^{(2)}} \varphi_3^{(2)}(u - c(\phi_3)), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where the factors are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^{(2)}(u) &= \frac{1}{(u - h_1)(u - h_2)}, \\ \varphi_2^{(2)}(u) &= u^2, \quad \varphi_3^{(2)}(u) = \frac{1}{u^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

b. Case $n = 3$: Plane Partitions For 3D (plane) partitions, the Calabi-Yau constraint takes the form

$$h_1 + h_2 + h_3 = 0. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The charge function for $\Delta^{(3)}$ is expressed in terms of a single species of factor $\varphi_1^{(3)}(u)$:

$$\psi_{\Delta^{(3)}}(u) = \frac{1}{u} \prod_{\square \in \Delta^{(3)}} \varphi_1^{(3)}(u - c(\vec{\square})), \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where

$$\varphi_1^{(3)}(u) = \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{u + h_i}{u - h_i}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

This 3D structure is known to be governed by the affine Yangian of \mathfrak{gl}_1 .

c. Case $n = 4$: Solid Partitions In the 4D case, the Calabi-Yau condition reads

$$h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4 = 0. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The charge function for the solid partition $\Delta^{(4)}$ incorporates both single-box and cluster contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\Delta^{(4)}}(u) &= \frac{1}{u} \prod_{\square \in \Delta^{(4)}} \varphi_1^{(4)}(u - c(\phi_1)) \\ &\times \prod_{\phi_4 \in \Delta^{(4)}} \varphi_4^{(4)}(u - c(\phi_4)) \prod_{\phi_5 \in \Delta^{(4)}} \varphi_5^{(4)}(u - c(\phi_5)), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where the factors are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^{(4)}(u) &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^4 (u + h_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (u - h_i - h_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 (u - h_i)}, \\ \varphi_4^{(4)}(u) &= \frac{1}{u^2}, \quad \varphi_5^{(4)}(u) = u^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

The factor $\varphi_1^{(4)}(u)$ also admits the following symmetric representation:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^{(4)}(u) &= \frac{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (u - h_i - h_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^4 (u - h_i)} \\ &\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4} (u - h_i - h_j - h_k). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

[1] H. Ooguri and M. Yamazaki, Crystal melting and toric Calabi-Yau manifolds, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **292**, 179 (2009).

[2] A. Okounkov, N. Reshetikhin, and C. Vafa, Quantum Calabi-Yau and classical crystals, in *The Unity of Mathematics: In Honor of the Nineteenth Birthday of I.M. Gelfand*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 244, edited by P. Etingof, V. Retakh, and I. M. Singer (Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006) pp. 597–618.

[3] A. Iqbal, C. Vafa, N. Nekrasov, and A. Okounkov, Quantum foam and topological strings, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2008**, 011 (2008), arXiv:hep-th/0312022 [hep-th].

[4] P. A. MacMahon, Memoir on the theory of the partitions of numbers. part ii., Proceedings of the Royal Society of London **64**, 224 (1898).

[5] P. A. MacMahon, Ix. memoir on the theory of the partitions of numbers.-part vi. partitions in two-dimensional space, to which is added an adumbration of the theory of the partitions in three-dimensional space, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, Containing Papers of a Mathematical or Physical Character **211**, 345 (1912).

[6] J. A. Harvey and G. Moore, Algebras, BPS states, and strings, *Nuclear Physics B* **463**, 315 (1996).

[7] J. A. Harvey and G. Moore, On the algebras of BPS states, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **197**, 489 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9609017 [hep-th].

[8] J.-É. Bourgine and K. Zhang, A note on the algebraic engineering of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super Yang–Mills theories, *Physics Letters B* **789**, 610 (2019), arXiv:1809.08882 [hep-th].

[9] W. Li and M. Yamazaki, Quiver Yangian from crystal melting, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2020**, 35 (2020), arXiv:2003.08909 [hep-th].

[10] D. Galakhov and W. Li, Charging solid partitions, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2024**, 43 (2024), arXiv:2311.02751 [hep-th].

[11] T. Procházka, \mathcal{W} -symmetry, topological vertex and affine Yangian, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2016**, 77 (2016), arXiv:1512.07178 [hep-th].

[12] M. Rapčák, Y. Soibelman, Y. Yang, and G. Zhao, Co-homological Hall algebras, vertex algebras and instantons, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2023**, 125 (2023), arXiv:2211.13030 [hep-th].

tons, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **376**, 1803 (2020).

[13] N. A. Nekrasov, Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting, *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **7**, 831 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0206161 [hep-th].

[14] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, and Y. Tachikawa, Liouville correlation functions from four-dimensional gauge theories, *Letters in Mathematical Physics* **91**, 167 (2010), arXiv:0906.3219 [hep-th].

[15] N. Nekrasov and N. Piazzalunga, Magnificent four with colors, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **372**, 573 (2019), arXiv:1808.05206 [hep-th].

[16] N. Nekrasov, Magnificent four, *Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **24**, 1171 (2020), arXiv:1712.08128 [hep-th].

[17] O. Schiffmann and E. Vasserot, Cherednik algebras, W-algebras and the equivariant cohomology of the moduli space of instantons on \mathbb{A}^2 , *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS* **118**, 213 (2013), arXiv:1202.2756 [math.QA].

[18] N. Arbesfeld and O. Schiffmann, A presentation of the deformed $W_{1+\infty}$ algebra, in *Symmetries, integrable systems and representations*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, Vol. 40, edited by K. Iohara, S. Morier-Genoud, and B. Rémy (Springer, London, 2013) pp. 1–13, arXiv:1106.4301 [math.RT].

[19] S. K. Donaldson and R. P. Thomas, Gauge theory in higher dimensions, in *The Geometric Universe: Science, Geometry, and the Work of Roger Penrose*, edited by S. A. Huggett, L. J. Mason, K. P. Tod, S. T. Tsou, and N. M. J. Woodhouse (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998) pp. 31–47.

[20] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman, Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants, *Communications in Number Theory and Physics* **5**, 231 (2011), arXiv:1006.2706 [math.AG].

[21] S. Xiang, H. Feng, K. Zhuo, T.-S. Chen, and K. Zhang, Charge functions for odd dimensional partitions (2025), arXiv:2512.07758 [math-ph].

[22] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, Vol. I and II (Courier Corporation, 2004) this is a two-volume reprint of the original 1915/1916 edition published by Cambridge University Press. The original volumes are in the public domain.

[23] G. Bonelli, N. Fasola, A. Tanzini, and Y. Zenkevich, ADHM in 8D, coloured solid partitions and Donaldson-Thomas invariants on orbifolds, *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **191**, 104910 (2023), arXiv:2011.02366 [hep-th].

[24] R. J. Szabó and M. Tirelli, Instanton counting and Donaldson-Thomas theory on toric Calabi-Yau four-orbifolds, arXiv preprint (2023), arXiv:2301.13069 [hep-th].

[25] Y. Cao and M. Kool, Zero-dimensional Donaldson-Thomas invariants of Calabi-Yau 4-folds, *Advances in Mathematics* **338**, 601 (2018), arXiv:1712.07347 [math.AG].

[26] D. Maulik and A. Okounkov, Quantum groups and quantum cohomology, *Astérisque* **408**, 1 (2019), arXiv:1211.1287 [math.AG].